

Development of a miniaturised platform for PCR
based assays with application in gene expression
analysis and SNP genotyping

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades des Doktors
der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.)

eingereicht im Fachbereich Biologie, Chemie, Pharmazie
der Freien Universität Berlin

vorgelegt von Andreas Dahl
aus Potsdam

December, 2006

Die vorliegende Arbeit wurde in der Zeit von November 2002 bis November 2006 am Max-Planck-Institut für molekulare Genetik in Berlin-Dahlem in der Abteilung von Herrn Prof. Dr. Hans Lehrach in der Arbeitsgruppe von Dr. Lajos Nyarsik angefertigt.

1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Hans Lehrach,
Max-Planck-Institut für Molekulare Genetik
2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Volker Erdmann,
Freie Universität Berlin

Disputation am: 05.Juli 2007

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This dissertation represents the effort of many years of work and would not have been possible to complete without the cooperation and assistance of various people who deserve my acknowledgement.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor, Prof. Dr. Hans Lehrach, for the opportunity of preparing my thesis work in his department, for the guidance and for reviewing this thesis. I also thank Prof. Dr. Volker Erdmann for reviewing this thesis.

I am exceptionally grateful to Drs. Lajos Nyarsik and Sascha Sauer for all their encouragement, advice and inspiring discussions as well as the open and independent working environment I could enjoy.

For their manifold support and the successful and stimulating team work I should like express my deep gratitude to Pamela Kepper, Anett Smyra, Regine Schwartz, Matthias Lange and Tillmann Wegwerth.

My special thanks to my dear family and friends for continuous encouragement and mental support.

This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, the European Commission (integrated project MolTools) and Applied Biosystems.

Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS I

Table of contents II

Abbreviations VI

List of Figures VII

List of Tables IX

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Miniaturization of bioanalytical systems 1

1.2 The Polymerase Chain reaction 2

1.3 Microchip PCR systems 3

 1.3.1 PCR chip materials and fabrication strategies 3

 1.3.2 Thermocycling of PCR chips 4

 1.3.3 Detection of amplification product 5

1.4 Advances in miniaturization of PCR 5

 1.4.1 Closed chamber based devices 6

 1.4.2 Flow-through devices 7

 1.4.3 Open well based devices 7

1.5 PCR based expression analysis 8

 1.5.1 Detection using intercalating dyes 10

 1.5.2 Probe based detection chemistries 11

1.6 PCR based SNP genotyping 13

1.7 Aim of this work 15

2 Material and Methods 16

2.1 Material 16

 2.1.1 Primers and Oligos 16

 2.1.2 Chemicals 16

 2.1.3 Consumables 17

 2.1.4 Software used 17

 2.1.5 TaqMan gene expression assays 17

 2.1.6 TaqMan SNP genotyping assays 18

2.2 Standard procedures 18

 2.2.1 Standard PCR 18

 2.2.2 Standard SybrGreen assay 19

 2.2.3 Standard TaqMan assay 19

 2.2.4 Standard TaqMan assay for genotyping 20

2.3	Material tests	20
2.3.1	Test for impact on PCR performance (biocompatibility)	20
2.3.2	Background fluorescence & thermal stability	20
2.3.3	Tested materials	20
2.4	The μ PCR chip	21
2.4.1	1k-format	21
2.4.2	1k/V-format	22
2.4.3	4k-format	22
2.5	Functionalization by low pressure gas-discharge plasmas	22
2.6	Liquid handling	23
2.6.1	Piezo dispensing - SciFlexArrayer	23
2.6.2	Valve dispensing - ValveProto	24
2.6.3	Valve dispensing - Staccato workstation with iNL10	24
2.6.4	Protocols for nano-liquid handling	25
2.6.5	Volume Measurements	27
2.7	Cyclers	27
2.7.1	ABI Sandwich Cyclers	27
2.7.2	Hydro Sandwich Cyclers	28
2.7.3	Real-time Hydro Cyclers	28
2.8	Fluorescence detection	29
2.8.1	Detection unit for genotyping	29
2.9	Samples and sample preparation	30
2.9.1	RNAse P TaqMan assay flanking PCR product	30
2.9.2	Genotyping samples	30
2.9.3	Preparation of DNA dilution gradients	30
2.9.4	DNA fragmentation	31
2.9.5	DNA desiccation	31
2.9.6	Total RNA extraction from mouse	31
2.10	Data analysis	31
2.10.1	Read-out of intensities by image analysis	31
2.10.2	Control for successful amplification by endpoint measurement	31
2.10.3	Processing of raw intensity data from real-time experiments	31
2.10.4	Categorisation of outliers in real-time experiments	32
2.10.5	Relative quantification of expression levels in mouse study	32
2.10.6	Real-time PCR standard curve and PCR efficiency	32
2.10.7	Calculation of the confidence interval and other statistical tests	33
3	Results	34
3.1	Material tests	34
3.2	Liquid handling	35

3.2.1	Dispensing accuracy of the piezo nanodispenser	36
3.2.2	Dispensing accuracy of the valve nanodispensers	36
3.2.3	Elimination of mixing effects by an oil separation layer	38
3.2.4	Fragmentation of DNA for improved dispenser performance	39
3.3	A workflow suitable for the nanoliter-scale	40
3.3.1	Desiccation had no significant effect on the quantification result	41
3.3.2	Surface adsorption effects on PCR performance	41
3.4	Feasibility of PCR in nanoliter range	42
3.4.1	Endpoint measurements	42
3.4.2	Real-time monitoring of amplification	44
3.4.3	Intercalating dye vs. probe based chemistry	45
3.5	Feasibility of real-time quantification with 200 nl TaqMan assays	47
3.5.1	Sensitivity of 200 nl TaqMan assays	47
3.5.2	Quantification capability with 200 nl TaqMan assays	48
3.5.3	Replicate number evaluation for 200 nl assays	52
3.5.4	The dynamic range of 200 nl TaqMan assays	55
3.5.5	Chip-to-chip reproducibility	55
3.6	Further reduction of assay volume	57
3.6.1	Volume reduction using the 1k/V- μ PCR chip	57
3.6.2	Volume reduction using the 4k- μ PCR chip	58
3.7	Application: Miniaturized real-time PCR based expression profiling in mouse	60
3.8	Application: TaqMan based genotyping in nanoliter assay volumes	62
3.8.1	Algorithm for allele calling of 200 nl genotyping assays	62
3.8.2	Verification of the allele calling algorithm	65
3.8.3	Limiting target concentrations for SNP genotyping in 200 nl	66
3.8.4	Further volume reduction – SNP genotyping in 100 nl	69
3.8.5	Validation study for 200 nl genotyping	70
4	Discussion	72
4.1	Material tests for the μ PCR Chip	72
4.2	The μ PCR chip	73
4.3	Workflow for a miniaturized open well based platform	74
4.3.1	Advanced nanoliter liquid handling	74
4.3.2	Evaporation and Sealing	75
4.3.3	Thermal processing of the μ PCR chip	76
4.3.4	Signal detection, data retrieval and analysis	77
4.4	Nano-PCR on a plastic substrate	78
4.4.1	PCR-based assays on a nanoliter scale	78
4.4.2	Sensitivity of the platform	80

4.5	Real-time PCR based expression analysis with the μ PCR chip platform	80
4.5.1	Quantification capability	81
4.5.2	Inter-chip reproducibility	82
4.5.3	Data quality vs. number of replicates	82
4.5.4	Reduction of the assay volume below 200 nl	83
4.5.5	Expression analysis in mouse tissues using the μ PCR platform .	85
4.6	TaqMan based SNP genotyping using the μ PCR chip platform	85
4.6.1	Detection and allele calling algorithm	86
4.6.2	DNA quality and quantity on the μ PCR chip platform	86
4.6.3	Validation study	87
4.7	PCR based assays on a nanoliter scale at high throughput	88
5	Summary	90
6	Zusammenfassung	91
7	References	92
8	Publications	i
A	Supplementary data	ii
A.1	Workflow	ii
A.1.1	Liquid handling	ii
A.2	qPCR based expression analysis	ii
A.2.1	Comprehensive data sheet for mouse expression profiling	ii
A.3	TaqMan based SNP genotyping	iv
A.3.1	Examples of excellent allele calling in 10 μ l	iv
A.3.2	Genotype information for samples provided by the University of Kiel	iv
A.3.3	Example of 200 nl SNP genotyping analysis summary	viii
A.3.4	Comprehensive data from validation of allele calling algorithm .	x
A.3.5	Concordance rates SNP genotyping 60 patients vs. 16 SNPs . .	xi
A.4	Additional information about software used	xii
A.4.1	Perl scripts	xii
A.4.2	R statistics scripts	xiv
	SELBSTÄNDIGKEITSERKLÄRUNG	xxiv

Abbreviations

AAM	allylamin
BSA	bovine serum albumin
cDNA	complementary DNA
CE	capillary electrophoresis
COC	cyclic olefine copolymere
Ct	cycle at threshold
CV	coefficient of variation
CI	confidence interval
$\Delta\Delta Ct$	DeltaDelta Ct
DNA	desoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxyribonucleotide
FAM	fluorescent dye (6-Carboxyl-Fluorescein)
GMA	glycidyl methacrylate
GT	genotyping
hgDNA	human genomic DNA
HEG	hexethylene glycol
IPA	isopropyl alcohol
IR	infra red
IQR	inter quartile range
mRNA	messenger RNA
μ TAS	micro total analysis system
MB	molecular beacon
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
PC	polycarbon
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PDMS	polydimethylsiloxane
PEG	polyethylene glycol
PMMA	polymethylmethacrylate
PP	polypropylene
RNA	ribonucleic acid
SNP	single nucleotide polymorphism
VIC	fluorescent dye from ABI
WGA-DNA	whole genome amplified DNA

List of Figures

1	Examples for miniaturized closed-chamber and flow-through devices . . .	6
2	Two examples for high density well plates	8
3	Time course of DNA concentration during PCR	9
4	Principle of intercalating dyes	10
5	Principle of the TaqMan assay	11
6	Selection of probe based approaches	12
7	Principle of TaqMan SNP genotyping assay	14
8	1k-format and 1k/V-format of the μ PCR Chip	22
9	4k-format of the μ PCR chip	22
10	SciFlexArrayer - piezo dispensing station	23
11	The valve dispensing platforms	24
12	Custom-made cyclers without real-time detection	28
13	The custom-made real-time cycler station	29
14	Genotyping detection unit	30
15	Gelelectrophoretic analysis of PCR material tests	34
16	Mixing effects with the valve nanodispensing technology	37
17	Efficiency of oil separation for the valve dispenser	38
18	Impact of DNA fragmentation on PCR efficiency	40
19	Effect of desiccation procedure on PCR performance	41
20	Real-time PCR performance for GMA-treated μ PCR chips	42
21	Endpoint detection of nanoliter SG-assays under oil	43
22	Gelelectrophoretic analysis of pooled 1 μ l, 500 nl and 200 nl reactions .	44
23	Realtime analysis of SybrGreen assays in 1 μ l	45
24	Effects of primer dimerisation on Ct-values	46
25	First concentration Gradient experiment with RNaseP in 200nl	47
26	Quantification capability in 200 nl	48
27	Comparison of variation between 10 μ l volumes and 200 nl volumes . .	50
28	Ct-value distribution in 200 nl TaqMan assays	52
29	Normal distribution of Ct-values	53
30	Estimated quality of replicates	55
31	1k-format chip-to-chip reproducibility	56
32	PCR volume reduction down to 100 and 50 nl with the 1k-V format . .	57
33	18nl-real time PCR in the 4k- μ PCR chip	59
34	Quantification with the 4k- μ PCR chip	59
35	Amplification plot of mouse expression analysis in 200 nl	60
36	Comparison of absolute Ct-values between 10 μ l and 200 nl	61
37	Relative quantification in 200 nl	62
38	Signal intensities of an accurate performing 200 nl TaqMan GT assay .	63

39	Signal intensities of a failing 200 nl TaqMan GT assay	64
40	Impact of enzyme concentration on allele calling in 200nl assays	65
41	Examples for clustering	66
42	Limiting target amounts for 200 nl TaqMan genotyping SNP 2063 I	67
43	Limiting target amounts for 200 nl TaqMan genotyping SNP 2063 II	68
44	Limiting target amounts for 200 nl TaqMan genotyping SNP IGR2198a	68
45	Limiting target concentration for 100 nl TaqMan genotyping	69
46	200 nl SNP genotyping – 60 patients vs. 16 SNPs	70
47	Allele calling in 10 µl assays	iv

List of Tables

1	Primers and oligos	16
2	Chemicals	16
3	Consumables	17
4	Software used	17
5	TaqMan [®] gene expression assays	17
6	TaqMan [®] SNP genotyping assays	18
7	Filters used in SNP genotyping detection unit	29
8	Summarization of results for all material tests	35
9	Dispensing performance for fragmented and native hgDNA	39
10	Comparison of assay efficiencies between SybrGreen and TaqMan assays	46
11	Sensitivity – 200 nl PCR with low numbers of initial molecules	48
12	Comparison of variation between 200 nl and 10 µl volumes	49
13	Calculated fold changes for low molecule gradient in 200 nl	51
14	Results from 100 nl / 50 nl TaqMan RNase P assays	58
15	Test for dispensing accuracy of the piezo nanodispenser	ii
16	Comprehensive result table for mouse expression profiling	iii
17	SNP genotypes provided by University of Kiel	viii
18	Example of 200 nl SNP genotyping analysis summary	ix
19	200 nl SNP genotyping for verification of the allele calling algorithm . .	x
20	Concordance (Conc.) rates 200 nl SNP genotyping 60 patients vs. 16 SNPs	xi