



**Department of Earth Sciences
Institute for Geographic Sciences
Physical Geography**

Luis Alfonso Sandia Rondón

*Dissertation to obtain
Degree Dr. rer. nat.*

**Sustainable Environmental Planning in
Venezuelan rural areas, Concept and Methodology.
Cases of study: Rivas Dávila Municipality (Mérida State)
and Quíbor Valley (Lara State), Venezuela.**

Chairperson of the Examination Board:
Univ. Prof. Dr. Brigitta Schütt

Supervisors:
Univ. Prof. Dr. Brigitta Schütt - Freie Universität Berlin
Univ. Prof. Dr. Karl Tilman Rost - Freie Universität Berlin



Rivas Dávila Municipality



Quíbor Valley



Date of defence: 19.03.2009

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Brigitta Schütt who gave me the opportunity to do this Ph.D. at the Institute for Geographic Sciences, Freie Universität Berlin. Her constructive supervision, opportune advises and continuous encouragements were of fundamental importance throughout the entire work of this Ph.D. thesis. Special thanks to Prof. Dr. Karl Tilman Rost, my co-supervisor, for giving me comments and suggestions on my thesis.

I would like to give my special thanks to Dr. Karl-Reiner Nippes, who has been a permanent mentor for my Ph.D. work. His contributions in reviewing the original documents and his opportune suggestions were invaluable. Sincere thanks to Prof. Dr. Günter Mertins, who encouraged me to carry out this Ph.D. My deepest gratitude also to Dr. Joachim Weiss for his appreciated revision of my thesis.

I express my gratitude to the Programme AlBan, European Union Programme of High Level Scholarships for Latin America, through which I received the scholarship to carry out this Ph.D. Many thank to Dr. Alberto Sereno, director of Alban Office as well as to Mr. Hugo Simoes and Mrs. Mariana Silva for their constant and valuable support. Likewise, I thank to the German Academic Exchange Service DAAD for the support during my first months in Germany.

I would like to also thank the Universidad de Los Andes for its authorization and for providing scholarship to accomplish this Ph.D. Thanks to Prof. Nancy Rivas de Prado, Prof. Rafael Ramírez, Prof. Jose María Andérez, Prof. Pablo Djabayán Djibeyan and Ing. Miguel Linares.

Sincere gratitude goes to my colleges and friends of CIDIAT, at the Universidad de Los Andes, from whom I received all the needed support. Special thanks to Prof. Tomás Bandes, Prof. Hervé Jegat, Prof. José Pérez Roas, Prof. Miguel Cabeza as well as to Mrs. Isabel Vielma.

I am especially grateful to Dipl.-Geogr. Jan Krause for his invaluable support and encouragement. Thanks also to Dr. Wiebke Bebermeier for her contributions to improve the original document. Special thanks to my friends Dipl.-Geogr. Anette Stumptner, Dipl.-Geogr. Pasquale Borelli and Dipl.-Ing. Michel Menker for their comments, support and encouragements. Thanks so much to Dr. Steffen Müller, Dr. Wolfgang Schwanghart,

Dipl.-Geogr. Jonas Berking, Dipl.-Geogr. Riccardo Klinger as well as to all other colleagues from Physical Geography, Institute of Geographic Sciences, Freie Universität Berlin who have helped me during this study.

Many people in Venezuela have helped me by providing basic information for my Ph.D. thesis. Thus, I express thanks to Alberto Galindo, Josué Briceño, Zulay Salas, Zulay Méndez, María Alejandra Sampson, Balbina Mora, María Isabel Rojas, Nerio Ramírez, Lisset Yáñez, Silvia Pabón and Esneira Quiñonez.

My heartfelt thanks to my wife and my sons for their love, inspiration and encouragement while accomplishing this work.

I don't have words to express my gratitude and love to my parents, from whom I received love, encouragement and the most valuable life lessons. Thank so much also to my siblings, nephews and nieces as well as to all my very big and loved family.

Dedication

To my loved wife Luz, and my sons Luis Manuel and Juan Luis,
who were always with me during this wonderful experience.

Content

| | |
|--|------|
| Abstract | xiii |
| Zusammenfassung | xv |
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1 Objectives | 4 |
| 1.2 Phases of the work | 4 |
| 2. State of the art | 9 |
| 2.1 Basic definitions | 9 |
| 2.1.1 Sustainable development | 9 |
| 2.1.2 Environmental Management | 9 |
| 2.1.3 Environmental Assessment | 10 |
| 2.1.4 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) | 10 |
| 2.1.5 Strategic environmental assessment (SEA) | 11 |
| 2.1.6 Land-use planning | 12 |
| 2.1.7 Environmental planning | 12 |
| 2.1.8 Landscape | 13 |
| 2.1.9 Landscape planning | 13 |
| 2.1.10 Landscape sensitivity | 13 |
| 2.1.11 Environmental Sensitivity | 14 |
| 2.2 Sustainable development and rural areas | 15 |
| 2.2.1 Importance of the rural areas | 15 |
| 2.2.2 Main social and ecological problems of rural areas | 16 |
| 2.3 Sustainable environmental planning in the Venezuelan legal framework | 20 |
| 2.3.1 National Constitution | 20 |
| 2.3.2 Other legal instruments | 22 |
| 2.4 Building a concept of sustainable environmental planning | 23 |
| 2.5 General methodology for sustainable environmental planning | 25 |
| 3. Description of the study areas | 31 |
| 3.1 Localization of study areas | 32 |
| 3.2 Rivas Dávila Municipality | 33 |
| 3.2.1 Geology | 34 |
| 3.2.2 Relief | 35 |
| 3.2.3 Soils | 37 |
| 3.2.4 Climate | 38 |
| 3.2.5 Vegetation | 41 |
| 3.2.6 Land-use | 43 |
| 3.3 Quíbor Valley | 47 |
| 3.3.1 Geology | 48 |
| 3.3.2 Relief | 49 |
| 3.3.3 Soils | 51 |
| 3.3.4 Climate | 53 |
| 3.3.5 Vegetation | 54 |
| 3.3.6 Land-use | 56 |
| 3.3.7 The project “Hydraulic System Yacambú-Quíbor” | 59 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 4. Model of spatial analysis for the Sustainable Environmental Planning in Rural Areas (SEPRA) | 63 |
| 4.1 Conceptual framework | 63 |
| 4.2 Technical structure of the Spatial Analysis Model (SEPRA) | 66 |
| 4.3 Input factors | 68 |
| 4.3.1 Geology | 68 |
| 4.3.1.1 Earthquakes | 68 |
| 4.3.1.2 Geological faults | 70 |
| 4.3.1.3 Lithology | 71 |
| 4.3.2 Geomorphology | 71 |
| 4.3.2.1 Relief | 72 |
| 4.3.2.1.1 Land form | 72 |
| 4.3.2.1.2 Slope | 73 |
| 4.3.2.1.3 Curvature | 75 |
| 4.3.2.1.4 Slope exposition (Slope aspect) | 77 |
| 4.3.2.2 Geomorphological processes | 78 |
| 4.3.3 Soil | 79 |
| 4.3.4 Climate | 80 |
| 4.3.4.1 Rainfall | 81 |
| 4.3.5 Hydrology | 81 |
| 4.3.6 Vegetation | 82 |
| 4.2.7 Land-use | 83 |
| 4.3 Methods application | 85 |
| 4.3.1 Cartographic scale | 85 |
| 4.3.2 Base map | 86 |
| 4.3.3 Geological analysis | 86 |
| 4.3.4 Relief and geomorphological analysis | 87 |
| 4.3.5 Soil analysis | 88 |
| 4.3.6 Climate analysis | 89 |
| 4.3.7.1 Rainfall erosivity | 90 |
| 5. SEPRA: Application and validation | 95 |
| 5.1 Methodological framework (Outputs) | 95 |
| 5.1.1 Geostructural stability | 97 |
| 5.1.2 Hydro-geomorphological stability | 98 |
| 5.1.3 Erosion susceptibility | 99 |
| 5.1.4 Fragility of ecological units | 102 |
| 5.1.5 Intensity of land-use | 103 |
| 5.2 Rivas Dávila Municipality | 103 |
| 5.2.1 Geostructural analysis | 104 |
| 5.2.2 Hydro-geomorphological stability | 108 |
| 5.2.3 Erosion susceptibility | 113 |
| 5.2.4 Fragility of vegetation units | 119 |
| 5.2.5 Intensity of the land-uses | 120 |
| 5.2.6 Physiographic restrictions | 121 |
| 5.2.7 Landscape sensitivity | 123 |
| 5.2.8 Landscape suitability | 124 |
| 5.3 Quíbor Valley | 126 |
| 5.3.1 Geostructural analysis | 126 |
| 5.3.2 Hydro-geomorphological stability | 130 |

| | |
|--|---------|
| 5.3.3 Erosion susceptibility | 134 |
| 5.3.4 Fragility of vegetation units | 141 |
| 5.3.5 Intensity of the land-uses | 143 |
| 5.3.6 Physiographic restrictions | 144 |
| 5.3.7 Landscape sensitivity | 147 |
| 5.3.8 Landscape suitability | 147 |
| 6. Discussion, land-use planning | 151 |
| 6.1 Theoretical framework | 151 |
| 6.2 Importance of the environmental planning in the study areas | 152 |
| 6.3 Spatial analysis model: Sustainable Environmental Planning of Rural Areas (SEPRA) | 153 |
| 6.3.1 Conceptualization of the model | 153 |
| 6.3.2 Outputs | 154 |
| 6.3.2.1 Geostructural stability | 154 |
| 6.3.2.2 Hydro-geomorphological stability | 155 |
| 6.3.2.3 Erosion susceptibility | 155 |
| 6.3.2.4 Fragility of the vegetation | 156 |
| 6.3.2.5 Intensity of land-uses | 157 |
| 6.3.2.6 Physiographic restrictions | 158 |
| 6.3.2.7 Landscape sensitivity | 159 |
| 6.3.2.8 Suitability of the land-uses | 159 |
| 6.4 Proposal of land-use plan | 161 |
| 6.4.1 Proposal of land-use plan. Case Rivas Dávila Municipality | 162 |
| 6.4.2 Proposal of land-use plan. Case Quíbor Valley | 163 |
| 7. Conclusion | 167 |
| 8. References | 169 |
| Erklärung | 189 |

Figures

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----|
| Figure 1.1: | General scheme of the thesis | 5 |
| Figure 2.1: | Main social and ecological problems of rural areas | 16 |
| Figure 2.2: | Environmental impacts of the deforestation on rural areas | 19 |
| Figure 2.3: | Hierarchic issues and levels of the environmental managements | 24 |
| Figure 2.4: | Ambits of the sustainable environmental planning in rural areas | 25 |
| Figure 2.5: | General scheme of sustainable environmental planning in rural areas | 26 |
| Figure 3.1: | Debris flow and mudflow in Las Tapias sector. February 2005 | 37 |
| Figure 3.2: | Climate data of Tovar station | 39 |
| Figure 3.3: | Dry east-exposed slope and humid west-exposed slope | 41 |
| Figure 3.4: | Croplands and tourist park | 46 |
| Figure 3.5: | Relief characteristics | 50 |
| Figure 3.6: | Severe erosion processes | 52 |
| Figure 3.7: | Precipitation, temperature and evaporation, Quíbor station (1969-2006) | 54 |
| Figure 3.8: | Vegetation cover | 55 |
| Figure 3.9: | Quíbor Valley, agricultural activities | 58 |
| Figure 3.10: | Tows and typical handicraft of Quíbor Valley | 59 |
| Figure 3.11: | Hydraulic System Yacambú-Quíbor | 60 |
| Figure 4.1: | Conceptual framework of the interactions between environmental factors and environmental processes | 65 |
| Figure 4.2: | Model of spatial analysis for sustainable environmental planning in rural areas | 67 |
| Figure 4.3: | Seismic network and main fault systems of the Venezuelan west | 69 |
| Figure 4.4: | Land forms classifications | 73 |
| Figure 4.5: | Mathematical expression of slope | 74 |
| Figure 4.6: | Cross slope and down-slope component | 75 |
| Figure 4.7: | Profile across types | 76 |
| Figure 4.8: | Factors associated with soil degradation | 80 |
| Figure 4.9: | Technical phases of the method | 85 |
| Figure 5.1: | Model of spatial analysis SEPRA | 96 |
| Figure 5.2: | Analysis of basic conditions of geostructural stability-instability | 97 |
| Figure 5.3: | Analysis of hydro-geomorphological stability-instability | 98 |
| Figure 5.4: | Analysis of erosion susceptibility | 100 |
| Figure 5.5: | Analysis of fragility of ecological units | 102 |
| Figure 5.6: | Analysis of intensity of land-uses | 103 |

Tables

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| Table 2.1: | Issues related with the current environmental conception | 9 |
| Table 4.1: | Slope classification | 74 |
| Table 4.2: | Aspect classification | 78 |
| Table 4.3: | Modified Mercalli intensity scale | 87 |
| Table 4.4: | Meteorological stations, Rivas Dávila | 89 |
| Table 4.5: | Meteorological stations, Quibor Valley | 89 |
| Table 4.6: | Aggressiveness of the rainfall - Modified Fournier Index | 92 |

Maps

| | |
|---|-----|
| Map 3.1: Relative localization of study areas | 32 |
| Map 3.2: Rivas Dávila Municipality, base map | 33 |
| Map 3.3: Geological map | 34 |
| Map 3.4: Geomorphological map | 36 |
| Map 3.5: Soil map | 38 |
| Map 3.6: Average annual temperature | 39 |
| Map 3.7: Average annual precipitation | 40 |
| Map 3.8: Ecological units | 42 |
| Map 3.9: Land-use map | 45 |
| Map 3.10: Quíbor Valley, geographical localization and base map | 47 |
| Map 3.11: Geological map | 48 |
| Map 3.12: Geomorphological map | 50 |
| Map 3.13: Soil map | 52 |
| Map 3.14: Annual average precipitation | 53 |
| Map 3.15: Vegetation units | 55 |
| Map 3.16: Land-use map | 57 |
| Map 5.1: Intensity of the recorded earthquakes, period 1800-2007 | 104 |
| Map 5.2: Instability due to geological faults | 105 |
| Map 5.3: Fragility of the rocks | 106 |
| Map 5.4: Geostructural instability | 107 |
| Map 5.5: Hydrological risk areas | 108 |
| Map 5.6: Mass movement risk | 109 |
| Map 5.7: Digital elevation model | 110 |
| Map 5.8: Geomorphological units | 111 |
| Map 5.9: Hydro-geomorphological stability | 112 |
| Map 5.10: Slope | 113 |
| Map 5.11: Curvature | 114 |
| Map 5.12: Slope aspect | 115 |
| Map 5.13: Soil suitability | 116 |
| Map 5.14: Modified Fournier Index | 117 |
| Map 5.15: Erosion susceptibility | 118 |
| Map 5.16: Ecological fragility | 119 |
| Map 5.17: Intensity of the land uses | 121 |
| Map 5.18: Physiographic restrictions | 122 |
| Map 5.19: Landscape sensitivity | 123 |
| Map 5.20: Landscape suitability | 125 |
| Map 5.21: Intensity of the recorded earthquakes, period 1800-2007 | 126 |
| Map 5.22: Instability due to geological faults | 127 |
| Map 5.23: Fragility of the rocks | 128 |
| Map 5.24: Geostructural instability | 129 |
| Map 5.25: Hydrological risk areas | 130 |
| Map 5.26: Mass movement risk | 131 |
| Map 5.27: Digital elevation model | 132 |
| Map 5.28: Geomorphological units | 133 |
| Map 5.29: Hydro-geomorphological stability | 134 |
| Map 5.30: Slope | 135 |
| Map 5.31: Curvature | 136 |
| Map 5.32: Slope aspect | 137 |
| Map 5.33: Soil erodibility | 138 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Map 5.34: Modified Fournier Index | 140 |
| Map 5.35: Erosion susceptibility | 141 |
| Map 5.36: Priority of conservation of the vegetation formations | 142 |
| Map 5.37: Intensity of the land-uses | 144 |
| Map 5.38: Physiographic restrictions | 145 |
| Map 5.39: Landscape sensitivity | 146 |
| Map 5.40: Landscape suitability | 148 |
| Map 6.1: Proposal of land-use of Rivas Dávila Municipality | 162 |
| Map 6.1: Proposal of land-use of Quíbor Valley | 163 |

Abstract

Sustainable development constitutes one of the most important challenges of current worldwide society. Thus, the development of technical instruments aimed at maintaining natural resources, improving quality of life and guaranteeing the environmental quality for future generations are greatly needed.

Among the instruments for environmental management towards the sustainable development the preponderance of land-use planning and environmental planning processes are recognized. Environmental planning can be understood as political, technical and administrative processes to assess the environmental potentiality and restrictions in order to select and implement the best options of sustainable land-use in accordance with the physical, ecological and socio-economical characteristics.

Environmental planning processes can be applied on different ambits and scales, such as national, regional and local level. In Venezuela instruments of rural environmental planning are needed, in order to control and prevent the environmental impacts, damages and natural hazards. This is not only due to the significant surface of the rural areas, but also because of the wealth of its ecological patrimony.

This dissertation is addressed at formulating a concept and a general methodology of sustainable environmental planning of rural areas. A spatial analysis model was developed as fundamental part of the proposed general methodology. This model, used as an instrument for the physical, ecological and socioeconomic diagnosis required by the environmental planning, allows the integral evaluation of rural territories on a local scale.

The developed “Sustainable Environmental Planning of Rural Areas, SEPRA” model was applied and validated in two rural areas located in the west of Venezuela: Rivas Dávila Municipality, Mérida state; and Quíbor Valley, Lara state.

The spatial analysis model is based on a comprehensive and holistic conception of the environment, in which dynamic interactions between factors and processes determine the environmental stability or instability. This subsequently allows the landscape sensitivity to be evaluated. Taking into account the landscape sensitivity it is possible to determine environmental potentialities and restrictions and, at the same time, it is possible to formulate guidelines for sustainable land-use.

The SEPRA model, which was developed by means of the ESRI ArcGis 9.1 software, required the establishment of analysis criteria as a reference to evaluate the interactions between environmental factors and processes. A successive process of decision making allowed the elaboration of cartographic layers about the analyzed environmental variables, which were successively combined in order to generate integrated synthesis of the environmental stability-instability and landscape sensitivity. In the first evaluation phase the following maps were constructed: Geostructural stability-instability, Hydro-geomorphological stability-instability, Erosion susceptibility, Fragility of vegetation units and Intensity of the land-uses.

Afterwards, the successive integrated analysis of these first results generated a second level of maps, i.e., Physiographic restrictions, Landscape sensitivity and Landscape suitability. This last map, which evaluates the suitability of the land-uses with respect to the landscape sensitivity, constitutes the basis for the guidelines of land-use zonation.

Finally, in both study areas, guidelines for land-use planning were formulated. These guidelines must be considered as a basic reference for a final land-use plan which must be the product of a process of discussion and consensus among all social actors of the researched areas. This allows agreements and compromises for the implementation and fulfillment of the plan.

Zusammenfassung

Die nachhaltige Entwicklung ist weltweit eine der größten Herausforderungen an die heutige Gesellschaft. Dies erfordert die Entwicklung technischer Instrumente, die der Erhaltung der natürlichen Ressourcen, der Verbesserung der Lebensqualität und dem Erhalt der Umweltqualität für zukünftige Generationen dient.

Im Rahmen eines “Umweltmanagements” spielen Landnutzungs- und Umweltplanung eine besonders wichtige Rolle. Umweltplanung kann als ein politischer, technischer und administrativer Prozess verstanden werden, dass die Erfassung Umweltpotentials und die Wahl und Ausführung der besten Optionen einer nachhaltigen Landnutzungsplanung unter Berücksichtigung von physischen, ökologischen und sozioökonomischen Kennwerten zum Ziel hat.

Umweltpolitische Prozesse können auf unterschiedlichen Ebenen und Skalen (national, regional und lokal) angewandt werden. In Venezuela wird auf lokaler Ebene vor allem das Instrument der ländlichen Umweltplanung benötigt. Dies gilt nicht nur, weil der ländliche Raum eine besonders große Bedeutung hat, sondern auch, um den Erhalt des ökologischen Erbes zu sichern.

In dieser Arbeit wird ein Konzept formuliert und eine allgemeine Methodologie für eine nachhaltige Umweltplanung im ländlichen Raum entwickelt. Als Teil der Methode wird ein räumlich analytisches Modell entwickelt. Dieses Modell als Instrument einer physischen, ökologischen und sozioökonomischen Diagnose für die Umweltplanung erlaubt die integrierte Bewertung ländlicher Gebiete im lokalen Maßstab.

Das im Rahmen dieser Untersuchung entwickelte Modell “Sustainable Environmental Planning of Rural Areas, SEPRA” ist in zwei ländlichen Gebieten in West-Venezuela, Rivas Dávila Municipality im Staat Mérida und Quíbor Valley im Staat angewandt und validiert worden.

Dieses räumliche Modell basiert auf einem umfassenden und holistischen Konzept der Umwelt mit dynamischen Interaktionen von Faktoren und Prozessen, die Stabilität-Instabilität der Umwelt erfassen. Dadurch kann schrittweise die Sensitivität der Landschaft erfasst werden. Dies ermöglicht, das Umweltpotential und Restriktionen zu erfassen, auf deren Basis Richtlinien für eine nachhaltige Landnutzung abgeleitet werden können.

Das SEPRA Modell, das mit Hilfe der Software ESRI ArcGis 9.1 entwickelt wurde, erfordert die Einführung analytischer Kriterien, um die Interaktionen zwischen Umweltfaktoren und – Prozessen zu bewerten. Im Rahmen eines sukzessiven Entscheidungsprozesses werden kartographische Layer der untersuchten Umweltvariablen erarbeitet, die schrittweise kombiniert werden, um eine integrative Synthese der Umweltstabilität-Umweltinstabilität und Sensitivität der Landschaft zu generieren. Im ersten Schritt wurden folgende Karten erarbeitet: Geostrukturelle Stabilität-Instabilität, Hydro-geomorphologische Stabilität-Instabilität, Erosionsanfälligkeit, Fragilität der Vegetationseinheiten und Intensität der Landnutzung.

Im nächsten Schritt werden durch sukzessive integrative Analyse dieser ersten Ergebnisse eine zweite Ebene von Karten generiert: Physiographische Beschränkungen, Landschaftssensitivität und Tragfähigkeit der Landschaft. Diese Karte, die Belastbarkeit der Landschaft auf Basis der Sensitivität bewertet, ist schließlich die Basis für die Richtlinien zur Festlegung von Landnutzungszonen.

Im letzten Schritt werden für beide Testgebiete spezielle Richtlinien für die Landnutzungsplanung formuliert. Diese können als Grundlage für den endgültigen Landnutzungsplan dienen, der auf Diskussionen und Vereinbarungen unter den Beteiligten des untersuchten Gebiets beruhen. Darauf können Vereinbarungen und Regelungen für die Planausführung aufbauen.