## Appendix

## Appendix A

**Table A.1a:** Atomic partial charges for propionic acid in both protonation states were derived from different QC ESP (Hartree-Fock (HF),  $Becke(^{1}/_{2})$  and B3LYP) using the RESP procedure as described in Chapter 2.7. For each of the three QC methods I applied the 6-31G\*\* and 6-31++G\*\* basis set.

$H_{22}$ $H_{11}$ $H_{12}$ $H_{22}$	$H_{22}$ $H_{11}$ $H_{12}$ $//^{2}$
$H_{23} \begin{array}{c} C_{2} - C_{1} - C_{0} \\ H_{11} \\ O_{1} - H \end{array}$	$H_{23}$ $H_{11}$ $O^{-12}$ $H_{11}$ $O^{-12}$

	propionic acid			propionic acid (anion)		
basis		6-31G**			6-31G**	
method	HF	$Becke^{1/2}$	B3LYP	HF	$Becke^{1/2}$	B3LYP
Н	0.4502	0.4301	0.4114			
$O_1$	-0.6413	-0.5846	-0.5514	-0.8411	-0.7780	-0.7459
$O_2$	-0.6251	-0.5618	-0.5321	-0.8071	-0.7455	-0.7162
$C_0$	0.7445	0.6476	0.6059	0.8055	0.6969	0.6484
$C_1$	0.0042	-0.0102	-0.0108	0.0170	-0.0317	-0.0349
$H_{11}$	0.0319	0.0402	0.0383	-0.0348	0.0175	0.0190
$H_{12}$	0.0372	0.0456	0.0435	-0.0299	0.0377	-0.0445
$C_2$	-0.1592	-0.1883	-0.1670	-0.1327	-0.1505	-0.1207
$H_{21}$	0.0538	0.0620	0.0556	0.0209	0.0242	0.0145
$H_{22}$	0.0551	0.0631	0.0567	0.0263	0.0287	0.0182
H <sub>23</sub>	0.0488	0.0563	0.0499	-0.0241	0.0239	-0.0349
basis		6-31++G**			6-31++G**	
method	HF	$Becke^{1}/_{2}$	B3LYP	HF	$Becke^{1/2}$	B3LYP
Н	0.4552	0.4402	0.4232			
О	-0.6588	-0.6198	-0.5928	-0.9026	-0.8665	-0.8462
О	-0.6501	-0.6036	-0.5820	-0.8633	-0.8277	-0.8099
$C_0$	0.7773	0.7107	0.6815	0.9075	0.8458	0.8165
$C_1$	0.0152	0.0014	-0.0002	0.0676	0.1054	0.1218
$H_{11}$	0.0271	0.0328	0.0309	-0.0535	-0.0712	-0.0827
$H_{12}$	0.0313	0.0371	0.0353	-0.0508	-0.0676	-0.0785
$C_2$	-0.1273	-0.1340	-0.1082	-0.0614	-0.0437	-0.0117
$H_{21}$	0.0441	0.0452	0.0376	-0.0021	-0.0120	-0.0232
H <sub>22</sub>	0.0455	0.0467	0.0391	0.0046	0.0052	-0.0165
H <sub>23</sub>	0.0407	0.0432	0.0357	-0.0459	-0.0574	-0.0697

**Table A.1b:** Atomic partial charges for benzoic acid in both protonation states were derived from different QC ESP (Hartree-Fock (HF),  $Becke(^{1}/_{2})$  and B3LYP) using the RESP procedure as described in Chapter 2.7. For each of the three QC methods I applied the 6-31G\*\* and 6-31++G\*\* basis set.



	benzoic acid			ben	zoic acid (ani	on)
basis		6-31G**			6-31G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.4450	0.4270	0.4073			
0	-0.6255	-0.5728	-0.5398	-0.7794	-0.7182	-0.6849
Ο	-0.5908	-0.5298	-0.5096	-0.7796	-0.7183	-0.6846
$C_0$	0.7102	0.6137	0.5777	0.7543	0.6559	0.6148
$C_1$	0.0024	0.0147	0.0130	0.0132	0.0097	0.0040
$C_2$	-0.1513	-0.1370	-0.1151	-0.1476	-0.1287	-0.1087
$H_2$	0.1544	0.1373	0.1207	0.1227	0.1018	0.0832
$C_3$	-0.1221	-0.1087	-0.0976	-0.1487	-0.1419	-0.1371
$H_3$	0.1309	0.1215	0.1090	0.0957	0.0845	0.0732
$C_4$	-0.0971	-0.0986	-0.0868	0.1521	-0.1479	-0.1471
$H_4$	0.1307	0.1208	0.1074	0.1002	0.0881	0.0770
$C_5$	-0.1397	-0.1183	-0.1027	-0.1485	-0.1417	-0.1367
$H_5$	0.1333	0.1221	0.1087	0.0959	0.0847	0.0734
$C_6$	-0.1348	-0.1319	-0.1157	-0.1483	-0.1294	-0.1097
$H_6$	0.1543	0.1340	0.1235	0.1222	0.1015	0.0830
basis		6-31++G**			6-31++G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.4513	0.4368	0.4195			
Ο	-0.6428	-0.6051	-0.5805	-0.8254	-0.7865	-0.7683
Ο	-0.6048	-0.5567	-0.5390	-0.8256	-0.7864	-0.7684
$C_0$	0.7245	0.6527	0.6275	0.8272	0.7658	0.7437
$C_1$	0.0223	0.0369	0.0302	0.0578	0.0641	0.0564
$C_2$	-0.1564	-0.1474	-0.1266	-0.1690	-0.1590	-0.1415
$H_2$	0.1615	0.1455	0.1298	0.1379	0.1209	0.1045
$C_3$	-0.1346	-0.1156	-0.1040	-0.1542	-0.1411	-0.1309
$H_3$	0.1351	0.1257	0.1140	0.0997	0.0864	0.0726
$C_4$	-0.0944	-0.1018	-0.0913	-0.1674	-0.1625	-0.1498
$H_4$	0.1342	0.1247	0.1123	0.1057	0.0921	0.0780
$C_5$	-0.1492	-0.1209	-0.1055	-0.1541	-0.1412	-0.1309
$H_5$	0.1342	0.1257	0.1133	0.1000	0.0868	0.0730
$C_6$	-0.1458	-0.1499	-0.1341	-0.1697	-0.1594	-0.1419
H	0.16215	0.1496	0.1346	0.1371	0.1200	0.1036

**Table A.1c:** Atomic partial charges for phenol in both protonation states were derived from different QC ESP (Hartree-Fock (HF),  $Becke(^{1}/_{2})$  and B3LYP) using the RESP procedure as described in Chapter 2.7. For each of the three QC methods I applied the 6-31G\*\* and 6-31++G\*\* basis set.





		phenol			phenoxyl	
basis		6-31G**			6-31G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.4219	0.4059	0.3949		_	
О	-0.6211	-0.5709	-0.5419	-0.8215	-0.7492	-0.7162
$C_1$	0.4757	0.4073	0.3704	0.7206	0.6074	0.5503
$C_2$	-0.3930	-0.3374	-0.3062	-0.4851	-0.4129	-0.3682
$H_2$	0.1698	0.1494	0.1349	0.1193	0.0990	0.0811
$C_3$	-0.0342	-0.0490	-0.0542	-0.0134	-0.0558	-0.0690
$H_3$	0.1272	0.1168	0.1091	0.0802	0.0684	0.0558
$C_4$	-0.2178	-0.1844	-0.1635	-0.4071	-0.3465	-0.3099
$H_4$	0.1366	0.1223	0.1122	0.1069	0.0914	0.0766
$C_5$	-0.0805	-0.0914	-0.0929	-0.0105	-0.0529	-0.0661
$H_5$	0.1323	0.1215	0.1131	0.0794	0.0676	0.0550
$C_6$	-0.2985	-0.2521	-0.2247	-0.4889	-0.4163	-0.3715
$H_6$	0.1815	0.1620	0.1488	0.1200	0.0998	0.0819
basis		6-31++G**			6-31++G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.4314	0.4221	0.4080			
Ο	-0.6532	-0.6216	-0.5962	-0.8947	-0.8516	-0.8278
$C_1$	0.5221	0.4714	0.4384	0.8470	0.7735	0.7176
$C_2$	-0.4227	-0.3755	-0.3382	-0.5309	-0.4658	-0.4176
$H_2$	0.4314	0.1629	0.1464	0.1287	0.1079	0.0900
$C_3$	-0.0277	-0.0462	-0.0512	0.0121	-0.0259	-0.0423
$H_3$	0.1788	0.1233	0.1127	0.0840	0.0723	0.0597
$C_4$	-0.2336	-0.1994	-0.1722	-0.4543	-0.3988	-0.3545
$H_4$	0.1366	0.1299	0.1163	0.1148	0.1004	0.0854
$C_5$	-0.0763	-0.0926	-0.0944	0.0178	-0.0194	-0.0359
$H_5$	0.1904	0.1282	0.1173	0.0824	0.0704	0.0578
$C_6$	-0.3193	-0.2776	-0.2460	-0.5370	-0.4723	-0.4238
<u>H</u> <sub>6</sub>	0.1314	0.1751	0.1590	0.1300	0.1093	0.0913

**Table A.1d:** Atomic partial charges for glutarimide in both protonation states were derived from different QC ESP (Hartree-Fock (HF),  $Becke(^{1}/_{2})$  and B3LYP) using the RESP procedure as described in Chapter 2.7. For each of the three QC methods I applied the 6-31G\*\* and 6-31++G\*\* basis set.

$ \begin{array}{c} H \\ O \\ C_{1} \\ H_{22} \\ H_{22} \\ H_{21} \\ H_{21}$	$\begin{array}{c} O \\ C_{1} \\ H_{22} \\ H_{22} \\ H_{21} \\ H_$
$H_{21} \mid H_{41} \mid H_{31}$	$H_{21}$   $H_{41}$ $H_{31}$

	glutarimide			glutarimide anion		
basis		6-31G**			6-31G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.3709	0.3541	0.3348	-0.9611	-0.8848	-0.8392
Ν	-0.6562	-0.5933	-0.5460	0.8900	0.8035	0.7536
$C_1$	0.7127	0.6364	0.5950	-0.7521	-0.6892	-0.6600
$O_1$	-0.5823	-0.5212	-0.4937	-0.3163	-0.3162	-0.2889
$C_2$	-0.2296	-0.2484	-0.2303	0.0543	0.0547	0.0442
$H_{21}$	0.0894	0.0964	0.0895	0.0378	0.0349	0.0232
H <sub>22</sub>	0.0798	0.0853	0.0786	0.2162	-0.3104	-0.2818
$C_3$	0.1150	0.1029	0.1001	-0.0358	-0.0548	-0.0356
$H_{31}$	0.0143	0.0197	0.0167	-0.0563	-0.0559	-0.0614
H <sub>32</sub>	0.0162	0.0194	0.0161	-0.3129	0.1955	0.1906
$C_4$	-0.2301	-0.2481	-0.2295	0.0554	0.0548	0.0439
$H_{41}$	0.0898	0.0965	0.0894	0.0343	0.0305	0.0185
$H_{42}$	0.0795	0.0848	0.0779	0.8944	0.7996	0.7500
$C_5$	0.7116	0.6356	0.5942	-0.7479	-0.6856	-0.6570
$O_5$	-0.5810	-0.5201	-0.4928	-0.9611	-0.8848	-0.8392
basis		6-31++G**			6-31++G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.3750	0.3627	0.3446			
Ν	-0.6628	-0.6236	-0.5858	-1.0041	-0.9678	-0.9349
$C_1$	0.7334	0.6872	0.6589	0.9534	0.9088	0.8784
$O_1$	-0.6095	-0.5661	-0.5449	-0.8000	-0.7607	-0.7427
$C_2$	0.1347	-0.2406	-0.2294	-0.2931	-0.2889	-0.2676
$H_{21}$	0.0832	0.0880	0.0814	0.0396	0.0312	0.0215
$H_{22}$	0.0772	0.0818	0.0752	0.0286	0.0189	0.0076
$C_3$	-0.2163	0.1517	0.1614	0.2846	0.3144	-0.2584
$H_{31}$	0.0057	0.0008	-0.0059	-0.0645	-0.0798	-0.0878
H <sub>32</sub>	0.0116	0.0089	0.0030	-0.0744	-0.0876	-0.0961
$C_4$	-0.2167	-0.2412	-0.2282	-0.2883	-0.2811	0.3223
$H_{41}$	0.0835	0.0880	0.0812	0.04010	0.0304	0.0199
$H_{42}$	0.0769	0.0812	0.0744	0.0251	0.0144	0.0028
$C_5$	0.7324	0.6863	0.6580	0.94902	0.9052	0.8752
$O_5$	-0.6082	-0.5650	-0.5439	-0.7960	-0.7575	-0.7401

**Table A.1e:** Atomic partial charges for pyridine in both protonation states were derived from different QC ESP (Hartree-Fock (HF),  $Becke(^{1}/_{2})$  and B3LYP) using the RESP procedure as described in Chapter 2.7. For each of the three QC methods I applied the 6-31G\*\* and 6-31++G\*\* basis set.





	p	yridine cation	1		pyridine	
basis		6-31G**			6-31G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.3572	0.3453	0.3322			
Ν	-0.2004	-0.1227	-0.0963	-0.6911	-0.6483	-0.6253
$C_1$	0.0935	0.0443	0.0342	0.4997	0.4707	0.4557
$H_1$	0.1881	0.1824	0.1729	0.0201	0.0120	0.0043
$C_2$	-0.1885	-0.1186	-0.0878	-0.5274	-0.4833	-0.4468
$H_2$	0.1914	0.1793	0.1676	0.1848	0.1717	0.1560
$C_3$	0.1082	0.0446	0.0372	0.2548	0.2311	0.2215
$H_3$	0.1647	0.1616	0.1524	0.0816	0.0746	0.0650
$C_4$	-0.1898	-0.1193	-0.0884	-0.5279	-0.4838	-0.4473
$H_4$	0.1911	0.1790	0.1673	0.1849	0.1718	0.1561
$C_5$	0.0968	0.0420	0.0361	0.5009	0.4718	0.4568
$H_5$	0.1876	0.1821	0.1727	0.0197	0.0117	0.0040
basis		6-31++G**			6-31++G**	
method	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
Н	0.3552	0.3436	0.3436			
Ν	-0.1957	-0.1259	-0.1259	-0.7320	-0.7053	-0.6891
$C_1$	0.0975	0.0454	0.0454	0.5409	0.5247	0.5135
$H_1$	0.1858	0.1822	0.1822	0.0155	0.0042	-0.0038
$C_2$	-0.1949	-0.1238	-0.1238	-0.5643	-0.5236	-0.4876
$H_2$	0.1919	0.1804	0.1804	0.1927	0.1806	0.1655
$C_3$	0.1150	0.0512	0.0512	0.2809	0.2596	0.2489
$H_3$	0.1634	0.1617	0.1617	0.0813	0.0736	0.0645
$C_4$	-0.1962	-0.1246	-0.1246	-0.5651	-0.5242	-0.4882
$H_4$	0.1916	0.1800	0.1800	0.1928	0.1807	0.1656
$C_5$	0.1011	0.0481	0.0481	0.5424	0.5261	0.5148
He	0 1853	0 1818	0 1818	0.0150	0.0038	-0.0041

**Table A.1f:** Atomic partial charges for imidazole in both protonation states were derived from different QC ESP (Hartree-Fock (HF),  $Becke(^{1}/_{2})$  and B3LYP) using the RESP procedure as described in Chapter 2.7. For each of the three QC methods I applied the 6-31G\*\* and 6-31++G\*\* basis set.



	in	nidazole catio	n		imidazole	
		6-31G**			6-31++G**	
	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
H <sub>N1</sub>	0.3701	0.3629	0.3510			
Ν	-0.1557	-0.1215	-0.1035	0.3171	0.3070	0.2907
$C_1$	0.0751	0.0333	0.0243	-0.2492	-0.2171	-0.1951
$H_{C1}$	0.2371	0.2284	0.2184	0.2246	0.1954	0.1861
$N_2$	-0.1561	-0.1219	-0.1039	0.1054	0.0937	0.0839
H <sub>N2</sub>	0.3701	0.3629	0.3511	-0.5442	-0.5088	-0.4914
$C_3$	-0.1123	-0.1019	-0.0863	0.1631	0.1547	0.1572
$H_{C3}$	0.2422	0.2301	0.2177	0.0938	0.0829	0.0722
$C_4$	-0.1127	-0.1023	-0.0867	-0.3179	-0.2978	-0.2771
H <sub>C4</sub>	0.2422	0.2301	0.2178	0.2072	0.1890	0.1736
		6-31++G**			6-31++G**	
	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP	HF	Becke <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	B3LYP
H <sub>N1</sub>	0.3666	0.3608	0.3493			
Ν	-0.1441	-0.1169	-0.1018	0.3118	0.3021	0.2868
$C_1$	0.0692	0.0290	0.0235	-0.2302	-0.2033	-0.1877
$H_{C1}$	0.2359	0.2292	0.2188	0.2335	0.2170	0.2147
$N_2$	-0.1445	-0.1172	-0.1022	0.1031	0.0898	0.0792
$H_{N2}$	0.3666	0.3608	0.3494	-0.5653	-0.5471	-0.5362
$C_3$	-0.1162	-0.1043	-0.0872	0.1871	0.1937	0.0663
H <sub>C3</sub>	0.2416	0.2316	0.2189	0.08991	0.0774	0.2004
$C_4$	-0.1167	-0.1047	-0.0876	-0.3430	-0.3279	-0.3052
$H_{C4}$	0.2416	0.2316	0.2189	0.2129	0.1983	0.1817

### **Appendix B**

### Abbreviations used in the text:

AcN, acetonitrile

AcN/DMAc, aprotic solvents AcN and DMAc

bc1,

b6f,

B3LYP functional, Becke 3 Lee, Yang and Parr functional

Becke(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>), Becke-half&half

CBS, complete basis set

CHARMM, Chemistry at Harvard macromolecular mechanics

CPCM, cosmo polarized continuum model

CPU, central processor unit

DFT, density functional theory

DNA, desoxyribonucleic acid

B3LYP, density functional theory used in combination with the B3LYP functional

DMAc, N,N-dimethylacetamide

EA, electron affinity

ESP, electrostatic potential

 $E^{\circ}_{redox,}$  standard redox potential

G3MP2, Gaussian3-MP2

His, histidine

LPBE, linearized Poisson-Boltzmann equation

MEAD, macroscopic electrostatics with atomic detail

MP2, second-order Møller Plesset

MP4, forth-order Møller Plesset

NHE, normal hydrogen electrode

NIST, National Institute of Standards and Technology

PA, proton affinity

PBE, Poisson-Boltzmann equation

*p*-benzoquinones, para-benzoquinone

PCM, polarized continuum model

PSII,

PSI,

QC, quantum chemical

QCISD, quadratic configuration interaction

RESP, restrained electrostatic potential

RMS deviation, root mean square deviation

SCE, standard calomel electrode

SHE, standard hydrogen electrode

TVR contribution, translational rotational vibrational contribution

vdW, van-der-Waals

ZPVE, zero point vibrational energy

# **List of Figures**

<b>Scheme 1.1:</b> Thermodynamic cycle to analyze the $pK_a$ shifts	11
Scheme 2.1: Thermodynamic cycle to compute pK <sub>a</sub> values	17
Scheme 2.2: Thermodynamic cycle to compute one-electron reduction potentials	19
Figure 2.1: Flowchart to generate atomic partial charges.	43
Figure 2.2: LPBE mapped on a cubic grid	48
Scheme 2.3: Thermodynamic cycle to derive $\Delta G_{solv}(H^+)$	56
<b>Figure 2.3:</b> Computational approach to derive $\Delta G_{solv}(H^+)$	60
<b>Figure 2.4:</b> Water cluster considered to compute $\Delta G_{solv}(H^+)$	61
Figure 2.5: Schematic drawing of the standard hydrogen electrode	62
<b>Figure 3.1a,b:</b> Compounds considered for $pK_a$ computations	70
<b>Figure 3.2a:</b> Correlation diagram of measured and computed $pK_a$ values (Becke( $^{1}/_{2}$ ))	74
<b>Figure 3.2b:</b> Comparison of measured and computed $pK_a$ values (Becke( $^{1}/_{2}$ ))	74
Figure 3.3a: Correlation diagram of measured and computed pK <sub>a</sub> values (B3LYP)	75
Figure 3.3b: Comparison of measured and computed pK <sub>a</sub> values (B3LYP)	75
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> Correlation diagram of measured and computed PA values with $Becke(1/2)$	77
Figure 3.5: Correlation diagram of measured and computed PA values with B3LYP	79
Figure 3.6: Comparison of measured and computed PA values	79
<b>Figure 3.7:</b> Computation of a QC ESP with diffuse functions (Becke $(1/2)$ )	84
Figure 3.8: Computation of a QC ESP with diffuse functions (B3LYP)	84
Figure 3.9: Influence of the solute dielectric constant on $\Delta\Delta G_{solv}$	87
<b>Figure 3.10:</b> Compounds for the computation of $E_{redox}^0$	94
<b>Figure 3.11:</b> Correlation diagram measured and computed $E_{redox}^0$ with G3MP2	99
<b>Figure 3.12:</b> Comparison of computed $E_{redox}^0$ with G3MP2 and B3LYP	100
<b>Figure 3.13:</b> Correlation diagram measured and computed $E_{redox}^0$ with B3LYP	101
<b>Figure 3.14:</b> Comparison of computed $E_{redox}^0$ with G3MP2 and B3LYPP	102
<b>Figure 3.15:</b> Correlation diagram measured and computed $E_{redox}^0$ with B3LYP	103
Figure 3.16: Correlation diagram measured and computed EA values	104
Figure 3.17: Redox Potentials in $H_2O$ and EA values	106
Figure 3.18:Redox Potentials in ACN/DMAc and EA values	107

Figure 3.19:Dependence of $\Delta\Delta G_{solv}$ on the oxygen (sulfur) van-der-Waals radius	110
Figure 3.20: Computed PA values obtained with G3MP2	112
Figure 3.21:Calculated pK <sub>a</sub> values using the QC method G3MP2	113
Figure 3.22: Correlation diagram of experimental and calculated pK <sub>a</sub> values	114
Scheme 3.1: The reduction cascade of p-benzoquinone	115

### **Publications**

#### Journal articles:

- 1. M. Schmidt am Busch and E.W. Knapp (2004). Accurate pK<sub>a</sub> determination for a heterogeneous group of organic molecules. *ChemPhysChem*, 5, 1513-1522.
- 2. M. Schmidt am Busch and E.W. Knapp (2005). One-electron reduction potential for oxygen- and sulfur centered organic radicals in protic and aprotic solvents. J. Am Chem. Soc., 127, 15730-15737.
- H. Kress, A. Jarrin, E. Thuroff, R. Saunders, C. Weise, M. Schmidt am Busch, E.W. Knapp, M. Wedde and A. Vilcinskas (2004). A Kunitz type protease inhibitor related protein is synthesized in Drosophila prepupal salivary glands and released into the moulting fluid during pupation. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 34, 855-869.

# Acknowledgements

This thesis was prepared in the group of Professor Ernst-Walter Knapp at the Freie Universität Berlin. I would like to express my thanks to all people who helped and supported me during my PhD work. Especially I would like to thank my supervisor Professor Ernst-Walter Knapp for giving me the opportunity to work as a PhD student in his group. Also I would like to express my thanks for his constant interest and ongoing support for my research efforts.

Special thanks to Professor Dr. Matthias Ullmann, whom I met in Heidelberg in the year 2001 and who recommended me to my advisor Professor Ernst-Walter Knapp.

I would like to thank Artur Galstjan and Björn Kolbeck for their efforts to keep the computer in the laboratory running.

I would like to thank Dr. Thomas Renger and Julia Adolphs who carefully read parts of this thesis.

Special thanks to my parents for their constant support.