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## Zusammenfassung

AKAPs sind eine Proteinfamilie mit ungefähr 50 Proteinen. AKAPs interagieren mit der cAMP-abhängigen Protein Kinase A (PKA) und anderen Signalmolekülen. Die Interaktion mit PKA findet über eine strukturell konservierte, amphipathische $\alpha$-Helix statt, die R-bindende Domäne (RBD) genannt wird.
Ein Ziel der vorliegenden Arbeit war die Bestimmung der Minimalsequenz des von AKAP18-abgeleiteten Peptids AKAP18ס-L314E, die für eine spezifische Wechselwirkung mit PKA benötigt wird. Diese Minimalsequenz kann z.B. als zukünftige Basis für die Entwicklung von Peptidomimetika dienen. Zu diesem Zweck wurden verkürzte Peptide von dem 25-mer AKAP18ס-L314E abgeleitet; für mehrere dieser Peptide konnte in einem in vitro assay gezeigt werden, dass sie PKA binden.
In einem alternativen Ansatz sollte mit der Entwicklung von kompetitiven, wirkstoffartigen Hemmstoffen der AKAP-PKA Wechselwirkung begonnen werden. Dazu wurde ein Konzept verwendet, dass von Hamilton et al. entwickelt worden ist: Es wurde gezeigt, dass bestimmte aromatische oder heteroaromatische Oligomere als Mimetika des Rückgrats von $\alpha$-helikalen Peptiden verwendet werden können. Basierend darauf wurde ein funktionalisiertes Quaterpyridine als potentielles $\alpha$ helikales Mimetikum der RBD von AKAP18 in silico entworfen. Die weiteren Ziele dieser Arbeit waren die Synthese von Derivaten der Zielverbindung und die Evaluierung dieser Derivate als Mimetika der AKAP18- RBD.

Die Synthese gliedert sich in zwei Teile. Zunächst wurden die vier funktionalisierten Pyridinbausteine dargestellt. Dann erfolgte die Synthese von Bipyridin- und Terpyridinderivaten mittels Suzuki-Miyaura Kreuzkopplung der einzelnen Bausteine. Dazu wurde unter anderem eine Mikrowellen-unterstützte Prozedur für eine Eintopf Borylierung/Suzuki-Miyaura Kopplung von Bipyridylbromiden mit einem Pyridylchlorid entwickelt. In einer alternativen Synthesestrategie wurden mehrere Terpyridinderivate erfolgreich über eine $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-katalysierte [2+2+2] Cycloadditionsreaktion dargestellt.
Die bereits dargestellten Terpyridin- und Bipyridinderivate wurden auf ihre Fähigkeit zur spezifischen, AKAP-artigen Wechselwirkung mit PKA untersucht. Dazu wurden STD-NMR- und HSQC-NMR-Messungen durchgeführt. Zwei der synthetisierten Terpyridinederivate zeigten in qualitativen Messungen eine Bindung zu der PKADomäne, die die AKAP-Bindungsstelle enthält. Die Quantifizierung der Bindungsaffinität ist der nächste Schritt zur weiteren Charakterisierung dieser Substanzen.

## Summary

AKAPs are a family of approximately 50 anchoring proteins which interact via specific domains with cAMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA) and other signalling molecules. The interaction of AKAPs with PKA is mediated through a structurally conserved, ampipathic $\alpha$-helix termed R binding domain (RBD).
The first aim of the thesis was to determine the minimal length of the amino acid sequence of the high-affinity peptide AKAP18סL314E required for specific binding to the AKAP-binding site of PKA as a basis for peptidomimetics and therapeutic exploitation. For this purpose, truncated peptides derived from AKAP188L314E were tested; they retained the ability to bind RII subunits in an in vitro assay.
An alternative approach towards competitive disruptors of AKAP-PKA interactions could be the development of drug-like, non-peptidic mimics of RBDs using aromatic oligomers as backbone scaffolds. This concept was recently developed by Hamilton et al. who showed that a chain of certain aromatic or heteroaromatic rings can assemble in a way that allows projection of amino acid residues in a similar geometry as found as in a-helical structures. Based on this work, a highly functionalized quaterpyridine was designed in silico as a potential $\alpha$-helical mimic of the RBD of AKAP18. The second aim of this thesis was the development of a synthesis for this ligand or derivatives thereof.
The synthesis of the derivatives was performed in two steps: First, the functionalized single pyridine building blocks were synthesized. Then, coupling of the single pyridine building blocks by sequential Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling reactions was performed. Several bipyridine and terpyridine derivatives of the target scaffold were successfully synthesized. A microwave-assisted two-step, one-pot borylation/SuzukiMiyaura coupling protocol was successfully developed for the coupling of bipyridyl bromides with pyridyl chlorides. In an alternative procedure, several terpyridine derivatives were successfully synthesized with a $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition reaction. This procedure was employed to introduce a dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine moiety to a bipyridine building block using Ru(II) catalysis. The characterization of the binding ability of selected terpyridines and bipyridine derivatives to PKA was performed with STD-NMR and HSQC-NMR experiments. Qualitative measurements suggested that two terpyridine derivatives bind to the domain of PKA that contains the AKAP-binding site. The quantification of binding affinity will be the next step for the characterization of the compounds.
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## Abbreviations

| AC | adenylyl cyclase |
| :---: | :---: |
| ACN | acetonitrile |
| AcOH | acetic acid |
| AKAP | A kinase anchoring protein |
| AQP2 | aquaporine 2 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ | bis(pinaclato)diboron |
| BuLi | $n$-butyllithium |
| cAMP | cyclic adenosine monophosphate |
| cat | catalytical |
| CD | circular dichroism |
| Cp | cyclopentadienyl |
| Cp* | pentamethylcyclopentadienyl |
| cod | cyclooctadiene |
| d | dublet |
| б | chemical shift |
| DCC | dicyclohexylimide |
| 1,2-DCE | 1,2.dichloroethane |
| DCM | dichloromethane |
| D/D domain | dimerization and docking domain |
| DEE | diethyl ether |
| DMAE | $N, N$-(dimethylamino)ethanol |
| DMAP | 4-(dimethylamino)-pyridine |
| DMF | dimethylformamide |
| DMSO | dimethylsulfoxide |
| eq | equivalents |
| ESI-MS | electrospray ionisation-mass spectometry |
| EtOAc | ethyl acetate |
| EtOH | ethanol |
| GPCR | G protein coupled receptor |
| HPLC | high performance liquid chromatography |
| HMBC | heteronuclear multiple bond coherence |
| HMQC | heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence |


| HRMS | high resolution mass spectrometry |
| :---: | :---: |
| HSQC | Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence |
| $\lambda$ | wavelength |
| LDA | lithium diisopropylamide |
| LC | liquid chromatography |
| KOtBu | potassium-t-butoxide |
| M | molarity |
| m | multiplet |
| MeOH | methanol |
| MS | mass spectrometry |
| NBS | N -bromosuccinimide |
| $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | triethylamine |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ | tricyclohexylphosphine |
| PDB | protein database |
| PDE | phosphodiesterase |
| pH | potentia hydrogenii |
| PKA | protein kinase A |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | triphenylphosphine |
| ppm | parts per million |
| P (tBu) ${ }_{3}$ | tri-t-butylphosphine |
| RBD | R binding domain |
| RIIBD | RII binding domain |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | retention factor |
| rt/RT | room temperature |
| s | singlet |
| Ser | serine |
| SPhos | 2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2',6'-dimethoxybiphenyl |
| SPPS | solid phase peptide synthesis |
| STD | saturation transfer difference |
| t | triplet |
| TFA | trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran |
| Thr | threonine |


| TLC | thin layer chromatography |
| :--- | :--- |
| TMP | 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine |
| $t_{R}$ | retention time |
| XPhos | 2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2',4', $\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$-triisopropylbiphenyl |

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Protein kinase A and A-kinase anchoring proteins

Protein kinase $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{PKA})$ is a cAMP-dependent kinase that is ubiquitously expressed in all cell types and tissues. PKA is a Ser/Thr kinase and phosphorylates a number of target proteins containing PKA phosphorylation consensus sequences. ${ }^{[1-4]}$
The enzyme plays a central role in cAMP-dependent signal transduction pathways which are vital for transducing stimuli from the cellular environment into a specific cellular response. In general, the cAMP pathway is activated upon binding of an agonist to a G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR), which in turn leads to activation of adenylyl cyclases (AC). ${ }^{[5 ; 6]}$ This results in an increase in the concentration of the second messenger cAMP ${ }^{[2 ; 5 ; 6]}$ which activates PKA and other signaling molecules. ${ }^{[1 ; 7]}$

The PKA holoenzyme is a hetero-tetramer which consists of a dimer of regulatory subunits and two catalytic subunits. ${ }^{[3 ; 4]}$ Upon cooperative binding of four cAMP molecules to the $R$ subunit dimer the catalytic subunits are released from the holoenzyme and phosphorylate target substrates. ${ }^{[3 ; 4 ; 8]}$ In figure 1.1 a schematic view of the $R$ subunit structure is depicted. $R$ subunits consist of a dimerization and docking (D/D) domain followed by a linker region with a PKA inhibitor site that maintains the catalytic subunits inactive, and a tandem repeat of cAMP binding domains. ${ }^{[9 ; 10]}$


Figure 1.1: Schematic representation of the structure of an R subunit protomer. Adapted from ${ }^{[9]}$.

There are two types of R subunits termed RI and RII which can be further divided into $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-subtypes; these $R$ isoforms differ e.g. in tissue distribution and biochemical properties. RII subunits are preferentially localized at distinct cellular loci ${ }^{[1 ; 11]}$ while RI subunits are usually considered to be localized in the cytosol. ${ }^{[12]}$

The cAMP/PKA pathway controls a plethora of physiological processes. Therefore, a tight spatiotemporal control of PKA-activity is vital in order to ensure a specific cellular response to each of the different stimuli cells perceive. ${ }^{[1 ; 7]}$ It is believed that this tight control of PKA activity is ensured through compartmentalization of PKA by A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs). ${ }^{[1 ; 11 ; 13]}$
AKAPs are a family of scaffolding proteins with around 50 members. These proteins localize PKA to distinct sites within the cell. The defining characteristic of an AKAP is the presence of an R-binding domain (RBD). The RBD is an amphipatic $\alpha$-helix of 14 to 18 amino acids that binds to the D/D domain of the $R$ subunit dimer. ${ }^{[1]}$ Apart from an RBD, AKAPs possess an anchoring domain that targets the AKAP to a specific subcellular location. AKAPs can be placed roughly into three categories: RI-specific, RII-specific or dual-specific depending on their specificity for the different PKA R subunit isoforms. ${ }^{[8 ; 9]}$
In addition to PKA-anchoring, several AKAPs have been suggested to serve as scaffolding proteins that coordinate signaling processes. For this purpose, AKAPs form supramolecular complexes through interactions with other signaling molecules/proteins. ${ }^{[7 ; 13]}$ This co-localization of proteins and molecules that are involved in the regulation of cAMP-dependent signal transduction is an additional mechanism contributing to the specific control within this pathway.

### 1.2 Structural aspects of AKAP and PKA interactions

Structural data on full length AKAP proteins are very scarce. This is due to the large size of many AKAPs, e.g. AKAP450 ( 450 kDa ) or AKALbc (309 kDa). In addition, AKAPs are often associated with membranes and other proteins. Therefore, additional signaling molecules may be required in order for an AKAP protein to adopt an ordered structure which would be a prerequisite for a structural analysis. Today there is only one resolved full length AKAP structure known, that of the protein GSKIP which was recently identified as an AKAP by our group. ${ }^{[14 ; 14 ; 15]}$ The structure of GSKIP was resolved by NMR analysis by the Northeast Structural Genomics consortium (PDB code: 1SGO). ${ }^{[14 ; 15]}$
The molecular determinants of AKAP-PKA interactions were studied mostly using AKAP-derived peptides comprising only the RBD of an AKAP and the D/D domain of Rlla or Rla subunits. In the following chapter only the interaction of the RIla D/D
domain with RBD-derived peptides will be discussed, because AKAPs interact preferentially with RII subunits.

### 1.2.1 AKAP interactions with RII subunits of PKA

The RII-binding domains (RIIBDs) of AKAPs have no obvious consensus sequence and a low sequence identity of $<30 \%$; instead there is a unifying secondary structural motif as a conserved feature in different AKAPs. ${ }^{[16]}$

| AKAP |  | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AKAP1 | (D-AKAP1) | GLDRNEEIKRAAFQIISQVISEATE |
| AKAP2 | (AKAP-KL) | DDPLEYQAGLLVQNAIQQAIAEQVD |
| AKAP3 | (AKAP110) | NLVIAMARKEINEKIDGSENKCVYQ |
| AKAP4 | (AKAP82) | SIDDLSFYVNRLSSLVIQMAHKEIK |
| AKAP5 | (AKAP79/75/150) | YETLLIETASSLVKNAIQLSIEQLV |
| AKAP6 | (mAKAP) | KDAEDCSVHNFVKEI IDMASTALKS |
| AKAP7 | (AKAP18) | PEDAELVRLSKRLVENAVLKAVQQY |
| AKAP8 | (AKAP95) | KETPEEVAADVLAEVITAAVRAVDG |
| AKAP9 | (AKAP350) | YQEQLEEEVAKVIVSMSIAFAQQTE |
| AKAP9 | (Yotiao) | NLQKIVEEKVAAALVSQIQLEAVQE |
| AKAP10 | (D-AKAP2) | GNTDEAQEELAWKIAKMIVSDVMQQ |
| AKAP11 | (AKAP220) | VNLDKKAVLAEKIVAEAIEKAEREL |
| AKAP12 | (Gravin) | GILELETKSSKLVQNI IQTAVDQFV |
| AKAP13 | (AKAP-Lbc) | KGADLIEEAASRIVDAVIEQVKAAG |
| AKAP14 | (AKAP28) | TQDKNYEDELTQVALALVEDVINYA |
| GSKIP |  | TDMKDMRLEAEAVVNDVLFAVNNMF |
| MAP2 |  | ETAEEVSARIVQVVTAEAVAVLKGE |
| Rab32 |  | NINIEEAARFLVEKILVNHQSFPNE |
| AKAP-IS | (peptide) | QIEYLAKQIVDNAIQQA |
| SuperAKAP-IS | (peptide) | QIEYVAKQIVDYAIHQA |
| RIAD | (peptide) | LEQYANQLADQIIKEATE |

Table 1.1: Alignment of RII-binding domains of the indicated AKAPs. The conserved hydrophobic aliphatic amino acid residues are indicated in grey. AKAP-IS, SuperAKAP-IS and RIAD are peptides that were identified through a combination of in silico design and substitution analysis as AKAP-like high-affinity binders of R subunits. Adapted from ${ }^{[13]}$.

When aligning R-binding regions of known AKAPs, a common pattern of alternating pairs of hydrophobic and hydrophilic residues that have a high probability to form amphipathic $\alpha$-helices emerges. In an ideal $\alpha$-helix, one turn of the helix consists of 3.6 amino acids. Therefore, the amino acid residues $i, i+4, i+7, i+11$ and so forth are usually placed on the same face of the $\alpha$-helix. Amphipathic helices are characterized by having an hydrophobic face on one side of the helix which is made up by unpolar
amino acid residues and a hydrophilic face that is made up by polar or charged residues. The amphipathic nature of an $\alpha$-helical peptide can be visualized by helical wheel analysis in which the amino acids are rotated around the helical axis successively in a $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ angle to each other. In silico helical wheel analysis was used to identify regions with high probability to form an amphipathic $\alpha$-helix in order to find potential RIIBDs on AKAPLbc (Ht31 and Ht21). Peptides representing the anticipated RIIBDs were shown to bind RIla subunits of PKA. ${ }^{[16]}$

It was validated by NMR and crystallographic analysis that the AKAP-derived peptides adopt $\alpha$-helical secondary structures in complex with the RIla D/D domain. ${ }^{[8 ; 9 ; 17 ; 18]}$ The structural analysis of the interaction with the D/D domain of Rlla was performed with peptides comprising the RIIBD of AKAPLbc (residues 493-515), of AKAP79 (residues 392-413), of D-AKAP2 and with the peptide AKAP-IS which has been designed in silico as a RII-binding peptide with high-affinity.

The structures showed that the two protomers of the D/D domain form an X-type four-helix bundle that creates a shallow, hydrophobic groove into which the AKAP peptides dock. The protomers are arranged antiparallel to each other as depicted schematically in figure $1.2 .{ }^{[8 ; 9 ; 17 ; 18]}$


Figure 1.2: A schematic view on top of the AKAP-binding site of the D/D domain of Rlla. Two protomers depicted in light and dark grey are arranged in an antiparallel manner thereby forming the characteristic X-type four-helix bundle. Also displayed are the unordered N-terminal tails of the protomers as well as the residues Ile3, Ile3', lle5 and II5' which are involved in binding of RIIBDderived peptides. Adapted from ${ }^{[9]}$.

The RIIBD-derived peptides are positioned diagonally over one face of the D/D domain and the interaction surface between both interaction partners is shielded from the solvent (figure 1.3).


Figure 1.3: Models derived from the corresponding x-ray structures showing the D/D domain from Rlla with different AKAP peptides. Left: apo structure (PDB code 2IZY). Middle: D/D domain and AKAP-IS (PDB code 2IZX). Right: D/D domain and D-AKAP2 peptide (PDB code 2HWN). The two RIlla protomers are shown in red and blue respectively, RIIBD peptides are depicted in green.

Binding into the hydrophobic groove of the D/D domain is mediated by hydrophobic aliphatic residues which validated the earlier helical wheel analysis of RIIBDs. ${ }^{[16]}$ Binding of an AKAP peptide to the D/D domain induces asymmetry into the complex through the interaction of the peptide with one of the N -terminal tails. The N -terminal tails of the RIla D/D domain are not ordered in the absence of an RIIBD-derived peptide. Through the interaction with the AKAP peptide, amino acids Ile3 and Ile5 of one N -terminal tail become ordered while the amino acids stay unstructured in the N terminus of the second protomer. ${ }^{[8 ; 9]}$
For the peptide AKAP-IS in addition to hydrophobic interactions with the D/D domain also several H-bonding interactions (Asp14/Thr10'; Gln19/Gln14; Asn15/Thr10') and intramolecular salt bridges that stabilize the peptide helix are proposed from analysis of the x-ray data ${ }^{[8]}$ while there is no evidence for H -bonding found for the AKAPderived peptides Ht31, AKAP79 and D-AKAP2. ${ }^{[9 ; 17 ; 18]}$
X-ray and NMR analysis indicate that only side chain residues of RBD-derived peptides mediate the interaction and contributions from the backbone through helixhelix interactions appear negligible. ${ }^{[8 ; 9 ; 17 ; 18]}$

### 1.2.2 AKAP18

The AKAP18 protein family consists of the splice variants $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ that contain a conserved RIIBD. AKAP18 isoforms are involved in a variety of different cellular processes including the arginine vasopressin-induced (AVP) AQP2-shuttle in renal
cells (AKAP18ठ) or glucose-stimulated insulin release (AKAP18 $\alpha$ and $ү$ ). AKAP18ס has been shown to be also involved in the control of cellular processes in the heart in cardiac myocytes. ${ }^{[13 ; 19]}$
The isoform AKAP18ס is a 353 amino acid long high-affinity AKAP and recently, a partial crystal structure which contains amino acids 76 to 292 of the protein has been resolved (AKAP18ס ${ }^{\text {CD }}$; PDB code: 2VFL). ${ }^{[20]}$ This structure did not contain the RIIBD of the protein. Therefore, there is no information on secondary or tertiary structure assumed by the RBD in its native environment. Sequence alignments suggested that AKAP $18 \delta^{\text {CD }}$ contains a His-X-Thr motif which is a characteristic of the 2 H phosphoesterase family. Co-crystallization with 5'AMP and 5'CMP revealed binding of these nucleotides to the His-X-Thr motif suggesting that it has some physiological relevance. ${ }^{[20]}$ However, the function of this motif in AKAP18ס needs further elucidation.

The RIIBD of AKAP18ס comprises amino acid residues 292 to 321. Peptides containing this sequence as well as an N-terminally truncated AKAP18ס protein (residues $124-353$ ) bind with higher affinity to Rlla than the full length protein AKAP18ס. ${ }^{[21-23]}$ This suggested that in order for AKAP18ס to bind to PKA, the protein has to undergo a conformational change. This conformational change might involve the $N$-terminal domain of AKAP18ס; for example, to make the hydrophobic and therefore probably partially buried RIIBD accessible for binding. ${ }^{[13]}$


Figure 1.4: A schematic representation of potential H-bonding interactions (depicted in shaded light grey) and salt bridges (depicted in dark grey) between the RIIBD of AKAP18 and the D/D domain of Rlla. The residues of the two protomers of the D/D domain are distinguishable by presence and absence of apostrophes. Adapted from ${ }^{[13]}$.

The RIIBD of AKAP18 binds RIla subunits with subnanomolar affinity ( $K_{D}=0.4 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Sequence alignments showed a similar number and distribution of hydrophobic amino acid residues as found in other AKAPs that bind RIla with significantly less affinity. Therefore, it seemed likely that hydrophobic contacts were not the only type of interaction contributing to this high-affinity binding. ${ }^{[21]}$

Peptides comprising the RII binding sequence of AKAP18ס were studied using peptide spot array technology and RII-overlay techniques in order to elucidate the role of non-hydrophobic interactions to binding with Rlla. ${ }^{[21]}$ The results confirmed the critical role of small, aliphatic residues for binding of the RIIBD of AKAP18 to RIla. Moreover, binding was diminished upon introduction of helix-distorting Pro residues. Based on the results from the substitution analysis, from in vitro assays of several AKAP18ס-derived peptides and based on the data from the NMR structures of the Rlla D/D domain with various other AKAP-derived peptides, a model structure was calculated. In Figure 1.4 amino acid residues from AKAP18ס and RIl $\alpha$ are highlighted that potentially form H bonds or salt bridges with each other thereby probably contributing to high-affinity binding. ${ }^{[21]}$ In addition, it was found in this study that an exchange of the hydrophobic residue Leu-314 (number of the amino acid residue is based on the position of that amino acid in full length AKAP18ס) with a more hydrophilic Glu residue yields a peptide with similar affinity to Rlla and an increased solubility in aqueous solutions as compared to the wildtype peptide.


Figure 1.5: A schematic representation of potential H -bonding interactions (depicted in shaded light grey) and salt bridges (depicted in dark grey) between the peptide AKAP18ס-L314E and the D/D domain of Rila. The residues of the two protomers of the D/D domain are distinguishable by presence and absence of apostrophes.

The interaction of the peptide AKAP18ס-L314E with the D/D domain of Rlla was also modeled. In Figure 1.5, amino acid residues from the peptide AKAP188-L314E and RIl $\alpha$ are highlighted that have been proposed to contribute to binding via H -bonding or salt bridges. ${ }^{[21]}$ In addition to the interactions proposed for the RIIBD of AKAP18ס, one additional H -bonding interaction of Glu-314 is predicted based on the calculated structure. ${ }^{[21]}$

### 1.3 Pharmacological interference with AKAP-PKA interactions

In order to understand the role of AKAP-PKA interactions in the cellular response to different extracellular stimuli several studies have employed the above described AKAP-derived peptides. RII binding peptides were used in vivo and in vitro assays as tools that prevent PKA anchoring. ${ }^{[1 ; 13 ; 24]}$ For example, the open-probability of L-type $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ channels in cardiac myocytes increases when they are phosphorylated by PKA. This takes place in response to $\beta$-adrenergic stimulation of the cells and leads to an intracellular increase in $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-concentration. Upon treatment of cardiac myocytes with the AKAP-derived peptides Ht31 and AKAP18-derived peptides this increase in intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-concentration after $\beta$-adrenergic stimulation was prevented. This suggested that PKA-anchoring is required for the specific cellular response in this pathway. ${ }^{[21-23]}$ Similar studies with AKAP-derived peptides established the role of PKA-anchoring in various other cellular functions in different types of cells and tissues. ${ }^{[1 ; 13 ; 25]}$

One of the major drawbacks of using peptides as pharmacological tools is their susceptibility to proteolytic degradation. In addition, peptides are highly charged, high-molecular weight compounds that usually do not readily penetrate plasma membranes. ${ }^{[26]}$ This makes their applicability as pharmacological tools in cell-based assays and animal models difficult.
Nonetheless, the use of peptidic disruptors of AKAP-PKA interactions has revealed the relevance of this interaction in several pathological processes, and suggested that inhibition of AKAP-PKA interactions is a potential new therapeutic approach, for instance towards treatment of chronic heart failure. ${ }^{[27]}$ In order to study the functional role of AKAP-PKA interactions in animal models and to validate these interactions as drug targets, the identification of non-peptidic, small molecules that inhibit the interaction between AKAPs and PKA would be desirable. Moreover, small molecules could be useful starting points for the development of drugs targeting PKA-AKAP interactions.

### 1.3.1 Protein-protein interactions as targets for pharmacological intervention

The interaction between AKAPs and PKA is one example for a protein-protein interaction (PPI). Protein-protein interactions are an emerging target class for the development of potentially highly-specific pharmacological tools and drugs. The term small or drug-like molecules in this work refers to compounds that in principle follow Lipinski's rule of five; i.e., molecular weight < 500 Da , max. 5 H -bond donors and 10 H -bond acceptors, clog $P<5$ (in octanol/water). ${ }^{[28]}$
Compared to the classic targets of drug intervention like enzymes, receptors, ion channels and ion pumps with defined binding pockets for endogenous ligands which can be used as a structural basis for drug-like molecules ${ }^{[29],[30]}$, targeting PPI with small molecules is less straightforward. The interaction surface and binding contacts of two proteins are usually spread over a larger surface and often no deep, restricted binding pockets as found e.g. in enzymes are present. Instead, the interaction surface is shallow, amphiphilic, without specific features and often flexible. ${ }^{[26 ; 28 ; 31]}$ Nonetheless, it has been found for many PPIs that the major binding free energy of an interaction stems from a few key amino acid residues, the so-called binding hot spots of the interaction. ${ }^{[32]}$
Several strategies have been successfully employed for the development of different types of protein-protein interaction inhibitors. For PPI involving $\alpha$-helical interaction partners these approaches can be divided into (1) screening approaches ${ }^{[29]}$ and (2) helix-mimetic approaches. ${ }^{[33 ; 34]}$ With both strategies small molecules that exhibit drug-like properties and inhibit PPIs have been identified.

### 1.3.1.1 Screening for PPI inhibitors

Screening methodologies have been widely established in drug discovery and have also been shown useful for PPI-targets: ${ }^{[28 ; 29]}$ High-throughput screening (HTS) has been successfully used to identify hit compounds for the design of PPI inhibitors. For example, the interaction between the proteins p53-hDM2 has been suggested as a potential target for novel cancer therapeutics. ${ }^{[26 ; 28]}$ Small-molecule inhibitors of this interaction, the so-called nutlins, were initially found by HTS. ${ }^{[26 ; 28]}$

For cases in which no detailed structural information about the respective PPI is available, HTS can be a useful starting point for hit discovery. With regard to AKAPPKA interactions this could be an appealing approach, because not much structural information on full length AKAP proteins is available. ${ }^{[13]}$ Indeed, successful identification of small molecule AKAP-PKA interaction inhibitors using an ELISAbased screening of a library with 20,000 compounds was reported recently. ${ }^{[27]}$

In fragment-based drug discovery, libraries containing small molecules (100-300 Da) are screened for weak affinity binders that are subsequently chemically linked yielding ideally a higher-affinity ligand. ${ }^{[26 ; 35 ; 36]}$ Fragment-based screening usually employs biophysical methods for screening, like X-ray crystallography or 2D-NMR methods ("SAR-by-NMR") ${ }^{[37]}$ in which ligand binding is detected. Biophysical methods are usually supported with data from biochemical and if necessary cellbased assays at every optimization step. ${ }^{[29 ; 35]}$
Screening approaches can be especially valuable tools to identify allosteric inhibitors of PPIs. Allosteric inhibitors of PPIs might not be accessible using structure-based drug design approaches as binding cavities for allosteric inhibitors may not be predictable by structure-based approaches. They might not be localized close to the actual interaction site or they are inducible upon binding only and not easily localized from e.g. static crystal structures. ${ }^{[29 ; 38]}$

An in silico screening of virtual ligand libraries requires solid structural data for predicting binding pockets and for reliable docking of small molecules into the target structure. Therefore, this approach is structure-based. It has been successfully applied for example as a starting point for the development of sulfonamide-based small molecule inhibitors of the interaction between p53 and hDM2. ${ }^{[26]}$

### 1.3.1.2 Helix-mimetic approaches

All helix-mimetic approaches are structure-based and detailed information about the binding interface of the protein-protein interaction that is to be targeted is required. With regard to AKAP-PKA interactions, there are structural data available, although it is restricted to the direct interaction between the $D / D$ domain of $R$ subunits and AKAP-derived peptides. ${ }^{[8 ; 9 ; 17 ; 18]}$

The basic idea behind an helix-mimetic approach is that the binding epitope of one of the interaction partners is transferred from the corresponding protein onto another scaffold that is considered to be suitable as pharmacological tool or drug. ${ }^{[34]}$ For this purpose, a motif that allows a spatial arrangement of the binding epitope as found in the native structure has to be identified. ${ }^{[38 ; 39]}$
The most straightforward way to achieve this is to use a short peptide as scaffold that contains the hot spot amino acids. Although peptide secondary structure is usually lost when taken from the surrounding protein onto short peptides of 15-20 amino acids, ${ }^{[39]} \alpha$-secondary structure can often be induced in a short peptide upon binding to the target protein. An example for the successful use of short peptides derived from one of the binding partners as PPI inhibitors are the RIIBD-derived peptides described in the previous chapter. ${ }^{[40-42]}$ Some of these peptides have even better affinity to the target protein than the original binding partner. ${ }^{[21]}$

As already mentioned, one of the major drawbacks when using peptides as pharmacological tools, is their susceptibility to proteolytic degradation. In several recent examples this problem has been successfully overcome: For example, Torheim et al. showed that introduction of non-natural amino acids into certain positions of a peptide that binds to RI subunits of PKA increased stability in serum about 50-fold as compared to the unmodified peptide. ${ }^{[25]}$
Introducing conformational restraints to strengthen the $\alpha$-helical structure of short peptides is another approach to increase stability and affinity in many cases. Through suitably arranged intramolecular linkers with e.g. lactam or disulfide bridges between side chain residues, sterically restrained macrocylic peptides can be generated. ${ }^{[38 ; 39]}$ In one example, an alkene-linker between two amino acids was placed on the same, non-interacting face of the helix. Closing of the tether was achieved by Ru-catalyzed metathesis of two reactive, terminal alkenes that are incorporated into the peptide through corresponding $\alpha, \alpha$-disubstituted aminoacids. ${ }^{[43 ; 44]}$ This approach yielded peptidomimetic structures for inhibition of different PPI with increased potency and proteolytical stability as compared to the original peptides. ${ }^{[45 ; 46]}$
Apart from standard peptides other oligomeric scaffolds with increased proteolytic and metabolic stability have also been applied or designed as potential $\alpha$-helix mimetics. For example, retro-inverso peptides, peptoid or $\beta$-hairpin scaffolds yielded PPI inhibitors for the p53-hDM2 interaction. ${ }^{[26 ; 34 ; 47]}$ Another potential oligomeric
scaffold for helix mimetics are $\beta$-peptides consisting of $\beta$-amino acids. $\beta$-amino acids contain an additional methylene group between the carboxylic acid and the amino functionality. A careful arrangement of constrained and acyclic $\beta$-amino acids can create well-defined, distinct structures. ${ }^{[48]} \beta$-peptides as well as chimeric $\alpha / \beta$ - and $(\alpha / \beta+\alpha)$-peptides have been used as backbone scaffolds for helical PPI inhibitors. ${ }^{[48 ; 49]}$
All of the described oligomeric scaffolds stabilize secondary structure, show an increased resistance towards enzymatic degradation and their synthesis is generally established and automatable. However, the resulting molecules are still highly charged, have a high molecular weight and usually do not penetrate plasma membranes. ${ }^{[26]}$ This makes their applicability as pharmacological tools in cell-based assays and animal models difficult.

Recently, the concept of employing linear, aromatic oligomers for mimicking recognition patterns of helical peptide sequences was reported. ${ }^{[50]}$ The initially designed $3,2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-trisubsituted terphenyl derivative is - as compared to previously reported oligomers - a reduced, small-molecule type of scaffold. It was confirmed in computational studies and x-ray analysis that substituents are arranged in a way similar as found for residues $i, i+3 / 4$ and $i+7$ on one face of an $\alpha$-helix, with the aromatic rings placed in a staggered conformation. ${ }^{[50]}$ The terphenyl scaffolds were assembled using Negishi or Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling. ${ }^{[51]}$


Figure 1.6: Schematic representation of the terphenyl scaffold that has been employed as $\alpha$ -helix-mimic. Adapted from ${ }^{[52]}$.

With the original terphenyl scaffold depicted in figure 1.6 different PPIs were successfully targeted. ${ }^{[50 ; 51 ; 53 ; 54]}$ For example, the p53-hDM2 and the $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{Bak}$ interaction were inhibited both in vitro and in cell culture experiments. ${ }^{[55]}$ The interaction of $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{Bak}$ is involved in regulation of apoptosis and has been suggested as target for novel cancer therapeutics. ${ }^{[53]}$ Due to their hydrophobicity, selectivity of these compounds should be carefully evaluated in order to exclude unspecific binding to hydrophobic areas of random proteins. ${ }^{[26]}$
Several new scaffolds were developed based on this idea. They were designed to exhibit a better profile with regard to hydrophobicity/solubility and synthetic accessibility. Some of the additional backbones have, for example, a more polar backbone, like the pyridine-based oligomer ${ }^{[52]} \mathbf{1 a}$ or terphtalimide ${ }^{[53]}$ and benzoylurea ${ }^{[56]}$ scaffolds 1c and 1d which are depicted in figure 1.7. For the latter two, polar H -bonding networks were included in the structure that form a similar geometric projection of substituents as in $\alpha$-helices. These scaffolds have also the advantage of better synthetic accessibility.
A terphtalimide-based PPI-inhibitor has also been successfully used in a cell-based assay for inhibition of the $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{Bak}$ interaction. ${ }^{[53]}$ Also, a diphenylindane scaffold was developed to mimick additional side chain residues as compared to the terphenyl scaffold. ${ }^{[57]}$
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Figure 1.7: Backbone scaffolds that were proposed as potential mimetics of the peptidic $\alpha$ helix. The scaffolds depicted in this scheme were developed by Hamilton et al. ${ }^{[52 ; 53 ; 56]}$

Further research resulted in the identification or suggestion of other types of aromatic oligmers and hetero-oligomers (i.e., containing different aryls as central scaffold) as $\alpha$-helix mimetics, some of which are depicted in figure 1.8.
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Figure 1.8: Backbone scaffolds that were proposed as potential mimetics of the peptidic $\alpha$-helix with lower hydrophobicity as compared to the terphenyl scaffold. These types of compounds were developed by Hamilton et al. (1d, 19), ${ }^{[58 ; 59]}$ Rebek et al. (1f) ${ }^{[60]}$ and König et al. (1e). ${ }^{[34]}$

For example, several hetero-oligomeric structures were developed with lower hydrophobicity and easier synthesis as compared to the terphenyl scaffold. Rebek et al. developed pyridazine-based amphiphilic scaffolds $1 f$ with an hydrophobic face for protein binding and a hydrophilic face for interaction with solvent ("wet-edge") to increase solubility and decrease entropic penalty upon binding. ${ }^{[60 ; 61]}$ Some derivatives showed inhibitory potency for the $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{L}} / \mathrm{Bak}$ interaction, although in a weaker range as found for the terphenyl-based compounds. The Hamilton group reported 5-6-5 imidazole-phenyl-thiazole and biphenyl-4,4'-dicarboxamide scaffolds 1d and $\mathbf{1 g}$ with the latter being useful to mimic extended helices (residues $i, i+3, i+7$ and $i+11) .{ }^{[58 ; 59 ; 62]}$

In addition, based on structural analysis, several backbone scaffolds were suggested by the groups of Koenig, Rebek and Marshall which have so far not been further evaluated against PPI targets. In addition to improved synthetic accessibility and hydrophobic profile as compared to the terphenyl scaffold, stereocenters can be introduced into the piperazine-containing scaffolds $\mathbf{1 e}$ and 1 f which could lead to better or more specific ligands later on since PPI targets are inherently chiral. ${ }^{[34 ; 63]}$


Figure 1.9: Backbone scaffolds that were proposed as potential mimetics of the peptidic $\alpha$-helix with proposed better synthetic accessibility as compared to the terphenyl scaffold.

Pyrrolopyrimidine-based scaffold 1h was used in a solid phase approach with which a library of 900 compounds was synthesized to target p53-hDM2; some derivatives were found with activity in vitro and in a cell-based assay. ${ }^{[64]}$ Oligoarylamides 1 i were also reported by several groups as useful scaffolds with good synthetic accessibility yielding active compounds against different PPI targets. ${ }^{[65-68]}$ In addition, a solid phase synthesis approach for library design has been developed for structurally related N -alkylated aromatic oligoamides $\mathbf{1 k}$. ${ }^{[69]}$ Ko et al. expanded this approach and suggested the design of generic libraries of peptidomimetic structures for HTS by developing oxazoline- and bis-triazole-based peptidomimetics for amino acid pairs. ${ }^{[70]}$

## 2 Aim of the thesis

The aim of this work was to employ structure-based approaches as starting points for the development of selective PKA-AKAP interaction inhibitors.
The interaction between AKAPs and PKA is mediated by a PKA binding domain called RII-binding domain because AKAPs preferentially interact with regulatory RII subunits of PKA. RII binding domains are structurally conserved amphipathic $\alpha$ helices which dock into the dimerization and docking domain of RII subunit dimers. The first aim of the thesis was to determine the minimal length of the amino acid sequence of the high-affinity peptide AKAP18ס-L314E required for specific binding to the D/D domain of Rlla as a basis for peptidomimetics and therapeutic exploitation.

An alternative approach towards competitive disruptors of AKAP-PKA interaction could be the development of drug-like, non-peptidic mimics of RIIBDs using aromatic oligomers as backbone scaffolds. With an aromatic backbone scaffold all influences on binding related to the backbone of the peptide is lost, for example any influence of the helix dipole to the interaction. ${ }^{[39]}$ However, in the case of the interaction between D/D domain with AKAPs (or AKAP-derived peptides) it has been proposed that binding is mediated mostly through side chain interactions. ${ }^{[8 ; 9]}$ Therefore this approach appears reasonable for the development of drug-like mimics of the RIIBD of AKAP18ס.


Figure 2.1: Proposed mimic of the RIIBD of AKAP18.
For this purpose, selected basic scaffolds ${ }^{[52 ; 53 ; 56]}$ were virtually docked using MOE software (Molecular Operating Environment; Chemical Computing Group) into the proposed binding site of the peptide AKAP188-L314E within the D/D domain of RIla;
they were functionalized on the basis of the peptide AKAP18ס-L314E and ranked for binding potential.
The pyridine-based oligomer $\mathbf{2 a}$ is based on work from Hamilton et al. ${ }^{[52]}$ and was designed in silico by Christian Schillinger (AG Krause, FMP Berlin). The second aim of this thesis was the development of a synthetic strategy for target scaffold $\mathbf{2 a}$ depicted in figure 2.1.

The synthesis of the highly functionalized quaterpyridine 2a was expected to be difficult and probably different synthetic strategies have to be evaluated before finishing the synthesis.
Therefore the principal goal of this thesis was to synthesize a small library of intermediate bipyridine and terpyridine derivatives that can be subjected to biological testing. The results of which can then be used to assess potential of pyridine oligomer 2a or intermediates as a lead structure for the development of drug-like molecules targeting the AKAP-PKA interaction site.

Accordingly, the third aim of the thesis was an initial examination of the ability of bipyridine and terpyridine intermediates of $\mathbf{2 a}$ to bind specifically to the Rlla D/D domain. The initial round of testing can be performed by NMR-based techniques that have been already established as a means to determine binding of substances to full length RIIa or to the D/D domain of RIIa. ${ }^{[27]}$

## 3 Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Truncation of AKAP18סL314E

It has been shown that peptides derived from RII-binding domain (RIIBD) of AKAP18ס bind with subnanomolar affinity (KD = 0.4 nM ; SPR-measurements) to RII subunits of PKA. Thereby these peptides inhibit interaction of PKA with AKAPs ${ }^{[21 ; 22]}$. The peptide AKAP18סL314E (PEDAELVRLSKRLVENAVEKAVQQY) inhibits AKAP18a-PKA interaction with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the low nanomolar range.
One aim of this thesis was to evaluate whether truncated peptides based on AKAP18סL314E retain ability to bind specifically to RIla as a basis for peptidomimetics and therapeutic exploitation.

### 3.1.1 Identification of truncated peptides which retain ability to bind PKA

The minimal binding motif of an AKAP for binding to the Rlla subunit is an amphipatic $\alpha$-helix of usually 14 to 18 amino acids in length. ${ }^{[1]}$ In order to determine the minimal amino acid sequence of AKAP18ס-derived peptides required for specific binding to Rlla, peptides of 14 amino acids in length were tested for binding to the D/D domain. The amino acid sequence of AKAP18סL314E which is 25 amino acids in length was used as starting point for the truncated peptides. A total of 12 14-mer peptides derived from AKAP188L314E were spot-synthesized by the Beyermann group (FMP Berlin) on a cellulose membrane.
The membrane containing the peptide sequences was then subjected to an RII overlay assay: The membrane was probed with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$-labelled Rll $\alpha$ subunits. Binding of Rlla to the amino acid sequences was detected by autoradiography. This type of approach (spot-synthesis of peptides in combination with RII overlay) has been successfully used to identify RII-binding peptides and to optimize amino acid sequences for binding by performing peptide substitution arrays. ${ }^{[8 ; 21 ; 41 ; 71]}$ Results of autoradiography experiments with AKAP18ס-derived 14-mer peptides are depicted in figure 3.1. It was determined by LC-MS analysis that synthesis of the peptide sequence termed 1 did not work, therefore, results for that peptide are not shown.


2 EDAELVRLSKRLVE 8 RLSKRLVENAVEKA
3 DAELVRLSKRLVEN 9 LSKRLVENAVEKAV
4 AELVRLSKRLVENA 10 SKRLVENAVEKAVQ
5 ELVRLSKRLVENAV 11 KRLVENAVEKAVQQ
6 LVRLSKRLVENAVE 12 RLVENAVEKAVQQY
7 VRLSKRLVENAVEK

Figure 3.1: Results of autoradiography of 14 -mer peptides after incubation with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$-labeled RIla. The indicated peptides were SPOT-synthesized on a cellulose membrane and probed with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ labelled Rlla subunits. Signals were detected by audio radiography. A representative experiment is shown.

As can be seen, binding of Rlla to 8 out of 11 peptides is detected as judging from this assay. One problem that was encountered with this assay was the choice of appropriate positive and negative controls of binding to Rlla. As negative control peptide sequences containing helix-distorting Pro residues were synthesized on the membrane (not depicted). Based on signal intensity of these sequences, peptides 4 to 9 were considered as Rlla-binding. Peptides 4 to 9 contain each at least two of the four pairs of short, aliphatic residues that are proposed to make the hydrophobic interactions of AKAP18ס with RIla.


Figure 3.2: Results of autoradiography of 10 -mer peptides after incubation with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$-labeled Rlla. The indicated peptides were SPOT-synthesized on a cellulose membrane and probed with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$ labelled Rlla subunits. Signals were detected by audio radiography. A representative experiment is shown.

Since 14-mer peptides tested by RII overlay appeared to bind RIla, further truncated peptides of 10 amino acids in length were also subjected to an RII overlay assay. The results of these experiments are depicted in figure 3.2. Only amino acids 3 to 22 from AKAP18סL314E were tested. Similarly to the 14 -mer peptides, Pro-containing - 19 -
peptides were synthesized on the membrane as negative control (not depicted). Based on signal intensity of these sequences, peptides 1 to 3 were considered as potential binders of Rlla.
However, since no appropriate positive control was available, HSQC-NMR measurements were performed to confirm specific binding of the peptides to the D/D domain of Rlla.

### 3.1.2 Characterization and Validation of identified peptides

In order to determine the specificity of binding and to rule out unspecific hydrophobic interactions between the short peptide sequences and the spacious Rlla subunits, the truncated peptides were characterized by CD-spectroscopy and binding was validated in an NMR-based assay.
For this purpose, the 14-mer peptides 4 to 9 depicted in figure 3.1 as well as a Promutant of 14 -mer 5 as negative control and the 10 -mer peptides $1-3$ and 9 (as negative control) depicted in figure 3.2 were chosen for further characterization. The designation and amino acid sequences of the chosen peptides are depicted in table 3.1. The peptides were synthesized by the Beyermann group (FMP Berlin) using standard SPPS chemistry. In addition to the 10-mer and 14-mer peptides, three longer AKAP18סL314E-derived peptides of 16, 18 and 22 amino acids in length were synthesized that contain all proposed pairs of amino acids mediating the hydrophobic interaction between AKAP18סL314E and the AKAP-binding site of RIla (table 3.1).

| Designation | Sequence | Designation | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AKAP188L314E_4-13 | AELVRLSKRL | AKAP188L314E_6-19 | LVRLSKRLVENAVE |
| AKAP188L314E_5-14 | ELVRLSKRLV | AKAP188L314E_7-20 | VRLSKRLVENAVEK |
| AKAP188L314E_6-15 | LVRLSKRLVE | AKAP188L314E_8-21 | RLSKRLVENAVEKA |
| AKAP188L314E_12-21 | RLVENAVEKA | AKAP188L314E_9-22 | LSKRLVENAVEKAV |
| AKAP188L314E_4-17 | AELVRLSKRLVENA | AKAP188L314E_6-21 | LVRLSKRLVENAVEKA |
| AKAP188L314E_5-18 | ELVRLSKRLVENAV | AKAP188L314E_5-23 | ELVRLSKRLVENAVEKAQ |
| AKAP188L314E_5-18-PP | ELVRLSPPLVENAV | AKAP188L314E_3-25 | DAELVRLSKRLVENAVEKAQQY |

Table 3.1: Designation and amino acid sequences of AKAP18סL314-derived peptides.

RIIBDs of AKAPs have $\alpha$-helical secondary structure; therefore specific RIIBDderived peptides must be able to form $\alpha$-helices as well.

In order to test whether helicity can be induced in the peptides depicted in table 3.1, CD-measurements in presence of the helix-stabilizing agent trifluoroethanol were carried out. This method is a means for estimating the induceability of $\alpha$-helicity in short peptides. ${ }^{[21 ; 72]}$


Figure 3.3: Depiction of circular dichroism spectra of selected AKAP18ठL314E-derived peptides in CD buffer/TFE (1:1, v/v). The Peptide concentration in each sample was $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

From the CD-spectrum of a given peptide or protein in the far UV-region (190-250nm) one can deduce the overall secondary structure found in the sample. Different types of secondary structures in a given sample give rise to characteristic CD-spectra.
In CD-measurements of the peptides in the presence of $50 \%$ trifluoroethanol the spectra of all peptides tested showed characteristic features of an $\alpha$-helical structure: double negative maxima around 208 and 222 nm and a positive maximum near 191 nm . CD-spectra of selected peptides in $50 \%$ trifluoroethanol are depicted in figure 3.3. ${ }^{[73]}$

The validation of specific binding to Rlla was performed by two-dimensional ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ heteronuclear correlation (HSQC) experiments which is a target-based NMR technique. ${ }^{[37]}$ This means that binding of a ligand to a target protein is detected through a change of chemical shifts of protein signals upon titration of the ligand to the protein sample. ${ }^{[74 ; 75]}$ In this example, shifting of signals from the amide groups of the protein backbone and also of NH -containing side chains is detected. In order to determine whether differences in chemical shifts occur, two sets of HSQC-spectra are
recorded, one of the protein in buffer alone (the reference spectrum) and one spectrum of the protein in the presence of the ligand. Superimposing the processed spectra allows recognition of chemical shift changes which in turn indicate binding of the tested ligand. ${ }^{[75]}$

The HSQC experiments were performed in cooperation with Brigitte Schlegel (FMP Berlin) and Dr. Peter Schmieder (FMP Berlin). For the HSQC experiments with the AKAP-derived peptides, ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain was used as target protein. As discussed in chapter 1, the D/D domain contains the AKAP binding site of Rlla. Therefore, binding of the truncated peptides to the D/D domain is a suitable indicator for an AKAP-like, specific interaction of these peptides with Rlla. In addition, the D/D domain can be readily expressed and purified ${ }^{[8 ; 9 ; 17 ; 18]}$ and is, due to its smaller size, more suitable for HSQC experiments than full length RIla. ${ }^{[74]}$
The results from HSQC experiments are summarized in table 3.2. With none of the tested 10-mer peptides shifting of peaks was detected. This suggested that binding observed in the RII overlay was the result of an unspecific hydrophobic interaction between peptide and protein.

| Designation | Activity in HSQC |
| :--- | :--- |
| AKAP188L314E_4-13 | - |
| AKAP188L314E_5-14 | - |
| AKAP188L314E_6-15 | - |
| AKAP188L314E_12-21 | - |
| AKAP188L314E_4-17 | not tested |
| AKAP188L314E_5-18 | + |
| AKAP188L314E_5-18-PP | - |
| AKAP188L314E_6-19 | + |
| AKAP188L314E_7-20 | - |
| AKAP188L314E_8-21 | - |
| AKAP188L314E_9-22 | + |
| AKAP188L314E_6-21 | + |
| AKAP188L314E_5-23 | + |
| AKAP188L314E_3-25 | not tested |

Table 3.2: Results of HSQC-NMR measurements of indicated peptides with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain of Rlla. +: shifts of peaks in presence of the peptide as compared to original spectrum. -: no shifts in presence of the peptide as compared to original spectrum.

Six 14-mer peptides were tested for binding in the HSQC experiment including AKAP18סL314E_5-18-PP which was designed as a negative control. As can be seen in table 3.2, for three peptides peak shifting was observed and with three peptides including the negative control no binding to the D/D domain was observed. With peptides covering amino acids 5-18, 6-19 and 9-22 binding was detected, while peptides covering amino acids 7-20 and 8-21 did not appear to bind the D/D domain. This suggested that unspecific binding was observed for these two peptides in the RII overlay. To confirm these results further in vitro assays should be performed.

The loss/gain of binding upon shifting the basic peptide sequence by one amino acid could be caused by either the loss/gain of an amino acid critical for binding to the D/D domain or by a significant change of stability of $\alpha$-helical secondary structure.
For further analysis, experiments in a second assay need to be performed to confirm the results from the HSQC experiments. For example, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$-values or $\mathrm{k}_{\text {on }} / \mathrm{k}_{\text {off }}$ rates of binding have not been determined.

When comparing the spectra of the 14-mer peptides (AKAP188L314E_6-19 and AKAP18סL314E_9-22, figure 3.4 and 3.5 ) with the ones from the longer peptides (16mer and 18 -mer, figure 3.5 ) one can see that the differences in chemical shift upon addition of the peptide were greater with the longer peptides.


Figure 3.4: HSQC spectra of D/D domain. Black: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain of RIla. Violet: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain of Rlla incubated with $800 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of AKAP18סL314E_6-19.


Figure 3.5: HSQC spectra of D/D domain. Black: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain of Rilla. Violet: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain of Rill incubated with $800 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of peptide. Top: 14-mer AKAP188L314E_6-19. Middle: 16-mer AKAP188L314E_6-21. Bottom: 18-mer AKAP18סL314E_5-23.

This indicated that through binding of the longer peptides the whole protein is affected. The exact extend of conformational/chemical change upon binding cannot
be determined from this experiment. Therefore, the results of this experiment cannot be compared with the structural information from x-ray crystallography in which no apparent conformational change of the D/D domain itself upon binding of an AKAP peptide was observed. ${ }^{[8]}$ It also suggested that the longer peptides bind tighter and/or with higher affinity to the D/D domain. In order to quantify the difference in binding, further experiments need to be performed; for example titration HSQC experiments with different concentrations of active ligands or ITC experiments to determine $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}} /$ binding affinity and to calculate entropic and enthalpic contributions to binding.

In conclusion, truncated peptides derived from AKAP188L314E were identified that retained the ability to bind to the D/D domain of Rlla. Peptides shorter than 14 amino acids do not appear to specifically bind to the D/D domain. Whether the diminished binding of shorter peptides is only dependent on loss of critical interaction partners or due to lower stabilization of the required helical secondary structure is not clear yet. Further experiments are required to distinguish between influences from side chains and helicity to binding. In order to increase $\alpha$-helical content a stabilization of the helical structure by introducing conformational restraints to the non-binding peptides could be tried.
So far, the ability of these shorter peptides to inhibit the interaction of AKAP18ס or other AKAPs and PKA has not been determined. This would be the next step in the evaluation process and is ongoing.

### 3.2 Synthesis of the quaterpyridine scaffold

The second aim of this thesis was the development of a synthetic strategy for ligand 2a which was designed in silico by AG Krause (FMP Berlin) as a potential nonpeptidic mimic of the RIIBD of AKAP18ס. Modeling was performed with MOE software (Molecular Operating Environment; Chemical Computing Group). The general design of $\mathbf{2 a}$ was based on work from Hamilton et al. in which the peptidic backbone is replaced by a chain of aromatic rings. ${ }^{[22 ; 53 ; 56]}$ Three backbone scaffolds which were designed by the Hamilton group, terpyridine-, terphtalimide- and benzoylurea-based oligomers, were chosen for an in silico evaluation as backbone scaffolds mimicking AKAP188L314E when docked to the AKAP-binding site of PKA.


Figure 3.6: View of ligand 2a docked into the D/D domain of the Rlla-subunit of PKA (depicted in green).

The best overlap between AKAP18סL314E and a potential mimic was found with a 2,3'-linked quaterpyridine as backbone scaffold, as can be seen in figures 3.6 and 3.7. In coorperation with AG Krause (FMP Berlin), some modifications to quaterpyridine 2a were introduced in order to simplify the synthesis, resulting in target structure $\mathbf{2 b}$ which is depicted in figure 3.8.


Figure 3.7: Schematic view of the proposed interaction of ligand 2a and its binding site within the D/D domain of the Rlla-subunit of PKA. The depicted numbered amino acids correspond to the residues of the natural ligand that are being mimicked by the ligand.

The hydrophilic residue 9 that was originally introduced to increase water solubility of the final product was removed from the structure. No major solubility issues were anticipated for the final ligand due to the hydrophilic nature of the pyridine backbone.


2a


2b

Figure 3.8: Quaterpyridine ligands $\mathbf{2 a}$ and derivative 2b.

In addition, residue 2 from figure 3.7 was replaced by a fused cyclopentylgroup attached to C-3 and C-4 of the pyridine in order to simplify the synthesis and allow for easy modifications at this position later on.
Formation of atropisomers of the target compound $\mathbf{2 b}$ cannot be excluded. For formation of atropiomers usually tri- or tetra-substitution with spacious functionalities
is required at the ortho positions around the chiral biaryl axis in order to block free rotation around the axial single bond sufficiently. ${ }^{[76]}$ If a formation of atroisomers is observed, racemic resolution of the racemic mixture would be required or an asymmetric synthesis would have to be developed in order to determine the biolgical activity of the different atropisomers.
Another subject with this scaffold that has to be investigated is the basicity of the pyridine N -atoms of the target ligand. Protonation at the pyridine- N -atom could occur at one or several positions. The corresponding pyridinium ions might have a different binding ability as compared to the molecule with unprotonated pyridine N -atoms. Since pH values in the performed biological assays (e.g. HSQC-NMR, STD-NMR) were basic ( pH 7.5 ) it would expected that only a subset of the pyridine- N -atoms is protonated under these conditions. In order to calculate this percentage, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{A}}$-values of the corresponding pyridinium ions have to be determined in order to estimate if pyrdinium-ion formation occurs in buffer, and if so, at which positions of the target compound. Basicity of pyridine derivatives in general depends on their substitution pattern. For example picolines and lutidines are more basic than pyridine (pKAs: pyridine: 5.25, picolines: between 5.63-5.96, lutidines: between 6.15-6.99).

### 3.2.1 Synthesis of terpyridines and quaterpyridines

IUPAC/Hantzsch-Widman nomenclature of heterocycles is used for pyridine and dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine derivatives as depicted in figure 3.9 for clarification. ${ }^{[77]}$





Figure 3.9: Nomenclature of pyridine and cyclopenta[c]pyridine derivatives. According to Hantzsch-Widman rules (top) and according to positioning of pyridine carbon atoms with respect to the pyridine nitrogen (bottom).

Pyridines are a class of heteroaromatic compounds that are ubiquitously found for example as important building blocks in many pharmaceuticals or in natural products like niacin (vitamin $B_{3}$ ) and pyridoxine (vitamin $B_{6}$ ) or in the alkaloid nicotine. ${ }^{[78 ; 79]}$ Pyridine derivatives serve as catalysts in chemical synthesis, e.g. DMAP (acylation) or in biological systems, e.g. NADP ${ }^{+} /$NADPH (involved in different redox processes) and have many other functions in chemical synthesis and in other applications. ${ }^{[79 ; 80]}$ Pyridine is an electron-deficient $6 \pi$-heteroaromatic compound due to presence of the electronegative nitrogen atom. Pyridines have an unequal distribution of electron density at the different carbon atoms of the aromatic system: ${ }^{[78]}$ The $\alpha$ - and $\gamma$ positions have the lowest electron density, therefore nucleophilic attacks occur preferentially at these positions, while the less electron-deficient carbon atoms in $\beta$ position preferentially undergo reactions with electrophiles. ${ }^{[78]}$ The pyridine nitrogen atom has the highest electron density in the aromatic ring and undergoes reactions with electrophiles or reacts as base via its electron lone pair, both of which result in formation of N -pyridinium salts. Basicity of pyridine derivative depends on their substitution pattern, with electron-donating substituents increasing and electronwithdrawing ones decreasing basicity as compared to pyridine $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}^{+}=$ 5.2). ${ }^{[78]}$ There are a plethora of reactions for de novo synthesis of pyridine derivatives available, for example cyclocondensations (e.g. Hantzsch pyridine synthesis for the
synthesis of symmetrical 2,4,6-substituted pyridines) ${ }^{[78]}$ or cycloaddition reactions like transition-metal catalyzed [2+2+2] cycloadditions of alkynes and nitriles. ${ }^{[79 ; 81]}$

Pyridine oligomers are an important compound class themselves. 2,2'-bipyridines and 2,2':6',2"-terpyridines can stably coordinate metal ions and are frequently employed ligands in metal complexes of e.g. $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II}){ }^{[82]}$ The metal complexes are used for example in catalysis, for asymmetric transformations, for applications in supramolecular chemistry, as potential therapeutics or biological tools and in others fields. ${ }^{[82-84]} 2,3^{\prime}$-linked oligopyridine cores are e.g. found in liquid crystals ${ }^{[84]}$ or in natural products, for example in nemertines which are a class of neurotoxines. One example from this compound class that has been recently synthesized is nemertelline ( $3,2^{\prime}: 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}: 4^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime \prime}$-quaterpyridine). ${ }^{[85 ; 86]}$
Regarding the synthesis of terpyridines and quaterpyridines different strategies have been developed for the synthesis of symmetric and non-symmetric derivatives. For example, $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition reactions have been successfully used to synthesize symmetric C-2-linked 2,2'-bipyridines as well as corresponding terpyridines and quaterpyridines from diynes and cyanoalkynes or from tetraynes and nitriles. ${ }^{[87]}$ Symmetric 2,2'-oligopyridines are also accessible by several other reactions, e.g. metal-mediated homo-coupling like the Ullmann reaction. ${ }^{[83]}$
Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have become an important tool for the synthesis of non-symmetric 2,2'-bipyridines and corresponding ter- and quaterpyridines. ${ }^{[83 ; 88 ; 89]}$ Similarly, non-symmetric 2,3 '-bipyridines have been successfully synthesized by Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling using Suzuki, ${ }^{[90-93]}$ Stille, ${ }^{[84]}$ or Negishi ${ }^{[83]}$ conditions. Another strategy towards 2,3'-bipyridines that has been reported is a catalyzed $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition of pyridyl-diynes and nitriles; the development of a strategy employing this type of reaction for the synthesis of the target compound is further analyzed and discussed in chapter 3.2.4.3. ${ }^{[94]}$
A synthetic strategy towards symmetric or non-symmetric $2,3^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridines has been reported from the Hamilton group who developed this scaffold as a-helix mimic. ${ }^{[52]}$ For their synthesis, the pyridine rings were synthesized de novo by Bohlmann-Rahtz heteroannulation from a ketoalkyne, ammonium acetate and a $\beta$ ketoester; they developed a procedure in which the terpyridine scaffold is built up by sequential Bohlmann-Rahtz reactions. ${ }^{[52]}$


Figure 3.10: Schematic overview of sequential Bohlmann-Rahtz heteroannulation reaction towards oligopyridines.

As depicted in figure 3.10, this synthesis has a limited pattern of substituents and functionalities on the pyridines that can be incorporated into the final compound. For example, no substituents can be placed on the remaining $\beta$-carbon atoms of the newly formed pyridine ring, as required for substituents 2, 3 ,6 and 7 of quaterpyridine $\mathbf{2 b}$ (figure 3.7 and 3.8). It was therefore not applicable for the synthesis of the higher functionalized quaterpyridine $\mathbf{2 b}$.

Iterative Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions appeared as the most straightforward way for assembling the non-symmetric quaterpyridine $\mathbf{2 b}$ from functionalized single pyridine building blocks.

### 3.2.1.1 Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings in the synthesis of oligopyridines

Recently, synthesis of symmetric and non-symmetric 2, $3^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridines and the un-functionalized quaterpyridine nemertelline was achieved by sequential Pd catalyzed cross-coupling with Suzuki-Miyaura conditions of corresponding (di)halopyridines and (halo)pyridyl boronic acids. ${ }^{[85 ; 86 ; 90 ; 95]}$ In principle, using an all-Suzuki-Miyaura coupling sequence would also be desirable for the synthesis of quaterpyridine $\mathbf{2 b}$ due to the low toxicity associated with this procedure as compared to e.g., Stille conditions. Stille cross-coupling conditions have been successfully employed for the synthesis of 2,3'-bipyridines and terpyridines. ${ }^{[84]}$ Negishi coupling or other types of Pd-catalyzed coupling reactions were not applied and evaluated during the work on this thesis; but they could be valuable alternatives for assembling pyridine-based oligomers. ${ }^{\text {[83;96] }}$

The catalytic cycle is similar for all types of Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings and is depicted in figure 3.11. The catalytic cycle consists of three major steps: Oxidative addition of the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species into a $C-X$ bond of the first coupling partner $\operatorname{Ar}^{1}-\mathrm{X} . \mathrm{X}$ is usually a halide or pseudohalide with the general scheme of reactivity being $\mathrm{l}>\mathrm{OTf}>\mathrm{Br} \gg \mathrm{Cl}$, according to the relative stability of the corresponding C-X bond. ${ }^{[96-98]}$


Figure 3.11: Scheme of the general catalytic cycle of Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings of aryls.

The resulting $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})-\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{1}\right)(\mathrm{Br})$ complex undergoes transmetalation with an organometalate $A r^{2}-\mathrm{M}$ which yields a $\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})-\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{2}\right)$ complex. Upon isomerization to the corresponding cis-complex, the catalytically active $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species is regenerated by reductive elimination releasing the coupling product $\mathrm{Ar}^{1}-\mathrm{Ar}^{2}$. ${ }^{\text {[96-98] }}$

$\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ alkyl, alkynyl, aryl, vinyl
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ alkyl, alkynyl, aryl, benzyl, vinyl
X $=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{OTf}$, OTs

Stille coupling
$\mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{SnR}_{3}+\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{X} \xrightarrow{\text { cat. }\left[\mathrm{Pd}^{0} \mathrm{~L}_{n}\right]} \mathrm{R}^{1}-\mathrm{R}^{2}$
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ alkyl, alkynyl, aryl, vinyl
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ acyl, alkynyl, allyl, aryl, benzyl, vinyl
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{OTf}, \mathrm{OAc}$

Figure 3.12: Commonly utilized Pd-catalysed cross-coupling reactions for oligopyridine synthesis. The figure was adapted from Nicolaou et al. ${ }^{[83 ; 99]}$.

The main difference between different types of Pd-coupling is the nature of the organometalate used as nucleophilic component of the given cross-coupling as depicted in figure 3.12. In Stille couplings stannanes are employed as transmetalation
group. ${ }^{[83 ; 89 ; 100]}$ For Suzuki couplings, organoboron compounds are used as transmetalation group. For Suzuki reactions presence of a base in the reaction mixture is required. The base probably forms a borate-complex with the organoboron compound rendering it nucleophilic enough for the transmetalation reaction with $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})-\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{1}\right)(\mathrm{X}) .{ }^{[98]}$

For synthesis of 2,3'-pyridine-based oligomers an important aspect is regioselectivity of Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings of dihalopyridine building blocks. It has been reported that for example 2,5-dibromopyridine undergoes Pd-coupling regioselectively at the $\alpha-/ C-2$ position; the resulting coupling product can than undergo a second coupling to a different coupling partner. ${ }^{[85 ; 90 ; 95 ; 97]}$ The reason is that $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ inserts faster into the more polarized $\alpha-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond by oxidative addition. This regioselectivity can be overcome by introducing a more reactive halide to the $\beta$ position, in this case for example iodine. ${ }^{[85 ; 90 ; 95]}$ This is a general trend in Pd-crosscouplings of arylhalides: electron-poor aryl halides react more readily in Pd-couplings as compared to more electron rich aryl halides. ${ }^{[101]}$

Pyridine and also other types of electron-poor heteroaryls are considered challenging substrates when used as nucleophilic component in Pd-catalyzed couplings, especially in Suzuki-Miyaura couplings: They are less nucleophilic and undergo transmetalation slower than more electron-rich aryl metalates. ${ }^{[93 ; 96]}$
Stability of pyridyl metalates depends on the type of metal used and its position with regard to the pyridine nitrogen atom. ${ }^{[96]}$ Pyridyl boronic acids and esters are prone to undergo competing protodeboronation in cross-couplings. ${ }^{[102]}$ 2-Pyridyl metalates often have a reduced stability as compared to 3 - or 4-pyridyl metalates. ${ }^{[96]}$ Especially for boronic acids protodeboronation is probably facilitated by the neighboring pyridine nitrogen atom. ${ }^{[83 ; 102]}$ Syntheses of stable 2-pyridylstannanes and more recently of certain types of 2-pyridyl boronic acid esters and other organoboron coupling partners have been reported. ${ }^{[83 ; 96 ; 103 ; 104]}$ For the assembly of the target ligand from the single pyridine building blocks it was therefore decided to preferably follow a strategy in which Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings are performed between 3pyridylmetalates and 2-pyridyl halides.

### 3.2.2 Retrosynthetic analysis of the target scaffold

As can be seen in figure 3.13 , the retrosynthesis of target ligand $\mathbf{2 b}$ can be roughly divided in two parts:


Figure 3.13: Schematic overview of the retrosynthesis of quaterpyridine $\mathbf{2 b}$.

1. Assembly of the single pyridine moieties to form the target compound by Pd catalyzed cross-coupling.
2. Synthesis of single pyridine building blocks from depicted commercially available precursors.

As depicted in figure 3.13, the hydroxy- and amino- functionalities as well as the both carboxylic acids of quaterpyridine $\mathbf{2 b}$ were protected during the synthesis in order to avoid side reactions. Side reactions from all or some of the functional groups were expected during Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions or during earlier steps in the synthesis. The protection strategy is discussed in appropriate detail in the
corresponding chapters describing the synthesis of the single pyridine building blocks.

A convergent strategy which should maximize the final yield for the assembly of the target quaterpyridine from single pyridine building blocks is depicted in figure 3.14 : The pyridines $6 / 7$ and $8 / 9$ are coupled to form bipyridines 12 and 13 which could then be coupled to give quaterpyridine $\mathbf{2 b}$.


Figure 3.14: Retrosynthetic analysis of the quaterpyridine 2b: Proposed assembly of the single pyridine building blocks by Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling with a convergent strategy.

Potential difficulties regarding regioselectivity could arise during the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling between pyridines 8 and 9 with homocoupling of dihalide 8 as a potential side reaction. Therefore, non-identical halide substituents on pyridine 8 and pyridine 9 would be required allowing oxidative addition of $L_{n} \operatorname{Pd}(0)$ into the $\beta-C-X$ bond of pyridine 9 over addition into the $\alpha-C-X$ bond of pyridine 8 . There would be different combinations possible as discussed during synthesis of building block 8.

A non-convergent and therefore less favourable synthetic pathway is depicted in figure 3.15. Regarding regioselectivity, there were no problems expected, since
halogen substitutions are found in the right order to avoid homocoupling side reactions.


Figure 3.15: Retrosynthetic analysis of the quaterpyridine 2b: Proposed assembly of single pyridine building blocks by Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling with a non-convergent strategy.

Additional non-convergent strategies are conceivable which were, however, not further considered at this point because they did not offer any synthetic advantage but rather more potential difficulties were expected with regard to regioselectivity of the coupling reactions.

In the next chapters, the synthetic strategies for the single pyridine buidling blocks are analyzed and evaluated. After a short summary of the results, the synthetic strategy for the assembly of the target ligand and of relevant intermediate structures based on the results from the first chapters is discussed and then evaluated. Finally, some initial results from in vitro-tests obtained from synthetic intermediates of the target compound are presented and discussed.

### 3.2.3 Synthesis of functionalized pyridine building blocks

### 3.2.3.1 Synthesis of building block 6

## Retrosynthetic analysis and synthetic strategy

As described in chapter 3.2.2 and depicted in figure 3.16, in the target compound pyridine building block 6 is a 2-pyridyl carboxylic acid carrying a propionate moiety at the C-5 position. The carboxylic acid group needed to be protected before the introduction of the reactive moiety for the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling. Since both protecting groups should be easily removable in one step by basic hydrolysis, ${ }^{[105 ; 106]}$ we chose to protect the propionic acid group as ethyl ester and to mask the carboxylic acid as nitrile. A stannane or a boronic acid group required for the Pdcatalyzed C-C bond-formation had to be introduced in the ortho-position of the carboxylic acid.


Figure 3.16: Scheme of the retrosynthetic analysis of building block 6.

Commercially available 5-bromo-2-cyanopyridine 6a was used as a starting material because introduction of the ethyl-3-propionate functionality could be performed in a one-step Heck-type reaction with acrolein diethyl acetal. This procedure has been successfully used on a variety of aryl bromides and does tolerate nitrile functions. ${ }^{[107]}$ The key step in the proposed reaction sequence was the regioselective introduction of the required stannane or boronic acid functionality to the C-5 position of pyridine 6b via ortho-directed hydrogen-lithium exchange reaction.
Directed-ortho metalations (DoM) have been successfully used for heteroaromatic compounds employing a variety of lithiating agents including lithium diisopropylamide (LDA), lithium 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidide (LiTMP) or the superbase n-butyl lithium/lithium dimethylaminoethoxide ( $n \mathrm{BuLi} / \mathrm{LiDMAE}$ ). In this type of reaction, a so-
called donor-metalation group (DMG) activates carbon atoms in neighboring orthopositions by increasing acidity of its proton through inductive effect and/or by formation of a chelate complex with the lithiating reagent, thereby directing it to the neighboring carbon as depicted in figure 3.17. ${ }^{[108]}$


Figure 3.17: General scheme of ortho-directed metalation of pyridine derivatives.

A number of functional groups have been used as ortho-directing groups for the metalation of pyridine derivatives, e.g. carboxamides, ${ }^{[109]}$ carboxylic acid ${ }^{[110 ; 111]}$, halides ${ }^{[108]}$ and methoxy groups ${ }^{[112]}$.
The resulting organolithium compounds can then be functionalized in situ by electrophilic substitution with a number of electrophiles including tributylstannyl chloride and triisopropylborate as depicted in figure 3.18, giving the corresponding stannanes ${ }^{[113]}$ or boronic acids ${ }^{[114]}$ after hydrolysis.


Figure 3.18: General scheme of the transmetalation of pyridyl lithium derivatives.

For the functionalization of $\mathbf{6 b}$, a procedure reported by Cailly et al. ${ }^{[114 ; 115]}$ for the regioselective metalation of the C-3 position of 2-cyanopyridine 15 using LiTMP as lithiating agent could be used. Here, the nitrile functionality at the C-2-position serves as ortho-directing group.
Since 2 equivalents of lithiating reagent were required for efficient functionalization of the starting material, the authors proposed that LiTMP adds reversibly to the nitrile function generating intermediate 16 depicted in figure 3.19 , while the other equivalent is required for lithiation of the ortho-position. ${ }^{[115]}$


Figure 3.19: Scheme of the synthesis of pyridyl boronic acid and esters from 2cyanopyridine. ${ }^{[114 ; 115]}$

This DoM reaction had not been applied to pyridines substituted with benzylic groups or aliphatic esters, both of which can undergo side reactions with LiTMP. One side reaction that was expected is lithium enolate formation of the ester as depicted in figure 3.20. It could not be excluded that the formed ester enolate will undergo side reactions, such as intermolecular condensations. Unchanged ester groups could undergo condensations with the ester enolate or the pyridyl lithium species. Transmetalation of the lithium ester enolate by the Lewis-acidic electrophiles (stannyl chlorides or borates) could also not be ruled out as a potential side reaction (transmetalation of Li-enolates with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ had been reported with aldehydes ${ }^{[116]}$ ), the resulting Lewis acid complexes were, however, expected to be formed reversibly and to undergo hydrolysis during acidic work-up. ${ }^{[117]}$


18

Figure 3.20: Proposed intermediate 18 during the functionalization of 6 b.

Since the resulting anion is not easily stabilized due to presence of the neighboring enolate, deprotonation at the benzylic position of C-3 of $\mathbf{6 b}$ as potential side reaction was not expected. In addition to this, LiTMP has been reported to not react with $\beta$ benzylic position in 3 -picoline, it only deprotonates more acidic $\alpha$ - and $\gamma$-benzylic positions in the corresponding picolines. ${ }^{[118 ; 119]}$

## Synthesis of building block 6

Ethyl propionate was coupled to the C-5 position of commercially available 5-bromo-2-cyanopyridine 6a using the procedure described by Battistuzzi et al. (figure 3.21). ${ }^{[107]}$


Figure 3.21: Scheme of the synthesis of 6 b.

Pyridine 6b was obtained in very good yield of $88 \%$. In the next step, the C-5 carbon of pyridine 6b was functionalized via metal-hydrogen exchange reaction as depicted in figure 3.22. ${ }^{[115]}$.


Figure 3.22: Scheme of the functionalization of 6 b.

Reaction conditions were adapted from Cailly et al., in our case 3-4 equivalents of LiTMP were employed in order to ensure complete lithiation of the nitrile functionality, lithium enolate formation and lithiation of pyridine in position C-5.
Pyridyl stannanes have been widely used as coupling partners in Stille couplings with aryl halides and they are generally considered being more stable and robust than pyridyl boronic acids. ${ }^{[96]}$ Therefore it was decided to establish this procedure for the synthesis of 3-pyridyl stannanes first.


6c


6d

Figure 3.23: Pyridyl stannanes 6c and 6d.

Trimethylstannnane 6c and tributylstannane 6d depicted in figure 3.23 were synthesized using the respective chlorides as electrophiles. As shown in table 3.3, 6c was initially synthesized using 3 equivalent of LiTMP. Since after purification about $30 \%$ of the starting material was recovered, the amount of LiTMP employed was increased. This gave the products in moderate yield of $39 \%$ or $38 \%$ (entry 1 vs. entry 2/3, table 3.3).

| entry | solvent | Base | T | t | $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | THF | 3.0eq LiTMP | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | 4 eq SnMe 3 Cl | $18 \%^{\left({ }^{(a)}\right.}$ |
| 2 | THF | 4.0eq LiTMP | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | 4 eq SnBu 3 Cl | $39 \%^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 3 | THF | 4.0eq LiTMP | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 min | 5 eq SnMe 3 Cl | $38 \%^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |

Table 3.3: Reaction conditions and results for the stannylation of 6b. ${ }^{(a)}$ Preliminary isolated yield after column chromatography from one experiment.

No formation of side condensation products was observed under the reaction conditions employed. According to LC-MS analysis, the only compounds detected in the reaction mixture were starting materials and product as can be also seen in figure 3.24.


Figure 3.24: LC chromatogram of crude 6c (254nm). 6.25: 6b, 9.59: 6c. 9.10: $\mathrm{HSnMe}_{3}$.

1D-NMR and 2D-NMR measurements were performed to confirm structure of stannanes $\mathbf{6 c}$ and $\mathbf{6 d}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{6 c}$ depicted in figure 3.25 and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (not depicted) confirm addition of the stannane functionalities to one of the pyridine C atoms and show the intact ester group. Spin-spin coupling between $\mathrm{Sn}^{[120]}$ and neighboring protons including $\mathrm{H}-4\left({ }^{3} J\left[{ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}\right]: 38.8 \mathrm{~Hz} ;{ }^{3} J\left[{ }^{117} \mathrm{Sn}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}\right]: 34.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ is observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectrum, suggesting regioselective addition of the stannyl group to C-5. This was also confirmed by HMQC and HMBC measurements. Some of the results are highlighted in figure 3.26.


Figure 3.25: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of trimethylstannane $\mathbf{6 c}$ in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO. Top: Magnified view of the H 4 signal in which ${ }^{4}$-spin-spin coupling with H -2 (major peak) and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$-spin-spin coupling with tin isotopes ${ }^{119} \mathrm{Sn}$ and ${ }^{117} \mathrm{Sn}$ is detected.


Figure 3.26: Magnfied view of sections of the 2D-spectra of $\mathbf{6 c}$. Right: Detail of the HMBC spectrum depicting the correlation of aliphatic protons with C-3, C-5 and C-4. Left: Detail of the HMQC spectrum depicting the correlation between $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$ as well as between $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{C}-4$.

It was also attempted to synthesize the boronic acid derivative $6 \mathbf{e}$ using triisopropyl borate as electrophile. When the reaction mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / E t O A c 60$ minutes after addition of the electrophile and samples were taken at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, LC-MS analysis showed formation of the desired product (entry 1, table 3.4). ${ }^{[102]}$

The product, however, appeared to undergo protodeboronation upon warming up the crude mixture to room temperature according to LC-MS analysis. Trying to quench the reaction at room temperature and shortening the metalation time did not change the outcome of the reaction (entry 2 and 3 , table 3.4).

| entry | solvent | LiTMP | T | t | $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | THF | 3.0 eq | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ | product formation at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(a)}$ |
| 2 | THF | 3.0 eq | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 45 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ | no product formation ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 3 | Et O | 3.0 eq | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ | no product formation $^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 4 | THF | 4.0 eq | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 min | $5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3} /$ | used as crude product $^{3}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.1 eq pinacol |

Table 3.4: Reaction conditions used for the borylation of 6b. ${ }^{(a)}$ according to LC-MS analysis.

This was surprising since successful synthesis of (2-cyano)pyrid-3-yl boronic acid in good yield using similar conditions has been reported ${ }^{[114]}$. It was later found that the isolated boronic acid - obtained after HPLC purification of the corresponding pinacol ester - is sufficiently stable at room temperature for 1D- and 2D-NMR measurements. Therefore, the lability of the boronic acid must be facilitated by the reaction conditions, e.g. the higher amount of base/electrophile employed as compared to the reported conditions or the presence of the reactive lithium ester enolate.
It was then decided to trap the boronic acid in situ as boronic acid pinacol ester. In general boronic esters are considered to be more stable than boronic acids. ${ }^{[14]}$ Recently, Alessi et al. described the one-pot synthesis of pyridyl boronic acid pinacol esters from boronates (obtained from the corresponding lithium intermediates using LDA as lithiating agent) by simple addition of pinacol to the reaction mixture. ${ }^{[121]}$ Adapting these reaction conditions, pinacol boronate $6 f$ was synthesized using the one-pot sequence also depicted in figure 3.27: Regioselective lithiation of pyridine $\mathbf{6 b}$ was followed by transmetalation and in situ esterification by addition of pinacol to the reaction mixture at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequent warming to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 3.27: Scheme of the synthesis of pinacol pyridyl boronic acid ester 6 .

The reaction mixture was then subjected to an acidic work-up in order to hydrolyze metalated intermediates and to remove excess of base and electrophile from the reaction mixture. Unfortunately, deboronation of the pinacolester was observed during purification by crystallization or column chromatography. Therefore, crude pinacol boronate 6 f was used in the next reaction step.


Figure 3.28: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of crude 6 f in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ after Iyophilization in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy of crude boronic acid ester $\mathbf{6 f}$ confirms functionalization similar as for stannane 6c as depicted in figure 3.28. As can be seen in the LCchromatogram of crude $\mathbf{6 f}$ depicted in figure 3.29 most of the starting material $\mathbf{6 b}$ was converted to pinacol boronate $\mathbf{6 f}$.


Figure 3.29: LC chromatogram of crude $6 \mathbf{f ( 2 5 4 n m}) .5 .84: 6 f .6 .25: 6$ b.

Good conversion of starting material 6b was also confirmed by Suzuki-coupling of crude pinacol boronate 6 f with 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine 7a which gave the corresponding coupling product bipyridine 12b in $74 \%$ yield (calculated back to $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) under optimized conditions as discussed in more detail in chapter 3.2.4.2.

### 3.2.3.2 Synthesis of building block 7

## Analysis and Synthetic Strategy

As described in chapter 3.2.2 and depicted in figure 3.30, the pyridine building block 7 is a 3-methyl-4-propylpyridine derivative. As discussed in chapter 3.2.2, two functional groups were required for regioselective, sequential coupling between the C-2-position of pyridine 7 to building block 6, followed by coupling of the C-5-position of the resulting bipyridine 12 to building block 8 . The corresponding 2,5-dibromo derivative depicted in figure 3.30 would allow the desired regioselective coupling of carbon $\mathrm{C}-2^{[97]}$ that could be followed by metalation of the remaining bromide at the C -5-position for generation of the nucleophilic component of the second Pd-catalyzed coupling. ${ }^{[96]}$


Figure 3.30: Retrosynthetic analysis of building block 7.

For the proposed reaction sequence, commercially available 2,5-dibromo-3methylypridine 7a was used as starting material because it contains all but one of the required functionalities. For introduction of the propyl functionality into the 4-position of pyridine 7a it was attempted to convert the compound to its $N$-pyridinium salt which should be susceptible to nucleophilic addition of Grignard reagents. It has been reported that with spacious reagents for the quaternization of the pyridine nitrogen, C-2 and C-6 positions can be shielded from nucleophilic attack. ${ }^{[122 ; 123]}$ Different reagents have been used for quaternization of the pyridine nitrogen, including ethyl chloroformate or $t$-butyldimethylsilyl triflate. ${ }^{[124]}$ In this case, the synthesis of the corresponding 1-ethoxycarbonylpyridinium chloride was attempted followed by selective alkylation of the C-4 position with propyl cuprate. Propyl cuprate should be synthesizable in situ from the corresponding Grignard reagent and a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ salt. The resulting 1,4-dihydropyridine could than be oxidized yielding 4-alkylpyridine 7b. ${ }^{[122]}$

## Synthesis of 4-alkylpyridine 7b

Initially formation of the required pyridinium salt 20 depicted in figure 3.31 was attempted by addition of ethyl chloroformate to the starting material at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ applying reported conditions. ${ }^{[122]}$ However, no product formation was observed by LC-MS and TLC analysis. It was then tested, whether use of different temperatures would result in pyridinium salt formation, but again only unreacted starting material was found. In order to confirm this result, the nucleophile propyl cuprate - which was formed in situ before the addition from propylmagnesium chloride and copper(I) iodide - was added to the mixture at different temperatures according to literature procedures. Again, only unreacted 7a was found in LC-MS and TLC analysis.


Figure 3.31: Scheme of the proposed synthesis of pyridinium salt 20.

Control reactions performed with pyridine under the same reaction conditions showed formation of the 1-ethoxycarbonylpyridinium ion at every temperature tested in LC-MS analysis. This indicates an inherent problem of the reaction when using 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine for this reaction. Whether addition of ethylchloroformate is hindered sterically by the spacious bromo-atom at C-2 or the pyridine- N -atom is too electron deficient for a successful electrophilic attack due to the eletron-withdrawing bromo-substituents or a mixture of both factors was not further examined.

## Alternative synthetic strategy for building block 7

Another way to functionalize C-4 of 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine 7a would be by directed ortho-metalation followed by electrophilic substitution, as already described in chapter 3.2.3.1. Regioselective lithiations of $\mathrm{C}-4$ of 3-bromopyridine using LDA in THF as lithiating reagent have been described. ${ }^{[125 ; 126]}$ Metalations of heteroaromatic compounds occur at the most acidic site, therefore presence of the acidic methyl
group at C-3 might result in lithiation at the methyl group similar as found when reacting 3-picoline with LDA. ${ }^{[127]}$ There are, however, also reports on successful metalation of the pyridine ring by LDA for the 3-picoline derivatives 2 -chloro-3-fluoro-5,6-dimethylpyridine and 2-fluoro-5-methylpyridine. ${ }^{[108]}$ In these cases, increase of acidity of C-4 or C-3 in the latter case by the neighboring fluorine substituent was high enough for selective deprotonation of the indicated positions in the presence of the acidic methyl substituents. It was therefore decided to try this procedure on 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine 7a expecting that presence of the bromine functions might increase the acidity of the C-4 proton via inductive effects. ${ }^{[128]}$ Due to the lower electronegativity of bromine as compared to fluorine, activation of acidity at C-4 was expected to be significantly less pronounced in this system as compared to the reported examples.
If selective C-4 lithiation could be accomplished, one synthetic route to 4propylpyridine 7b could be trapping of the pyridyl lithium with iodine followed by Suzuki-coupling for introduction of the propyl functionality.


Figure 3.32: Scheme of the proposed synthesis of pyridyl iodide 7c.

Initially, reaction conditions as reported by Baxter et al. for the functionalization of 3bromopyridine were applied. ${ }^{[125]}$ LC-MS analysis showed formation of a product with the expected product mass as well as a number of other products, including the unreacted starting material. It was then tried to scale-up the reaction and isolate the compounds found in the crude reaction mixture. Purification was, however, difficult and it was not possible to isolate clean compounds after column chromatography or kugelrohr distillation.

| entry | solvent | Baes | T | t | $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | THF | 1 eq LDA | $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | 1 eq I |  |
| 2 | conversion |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | THF | 1 eq LDA | $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | 1 eq I | conversion |

Table 3.5: Reaction conditions for the lithiation of 2,5-dibromopyridine 7a.

Further purification by preparative HPLC also did not give pure product required for characterization of the compound. However, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (figure 3.33 ) of the product purified by preparative HPLC indicated that iodination took place at the pyridine ring and not at the methyl group.


Figure 3.33: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of 7 c after preparative HPLC in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.

It was then tried to introduce the propyl functionality using Suzuki coupling. However, no product formation was observed by LC-MS analysis. Hypothesizing that the compound is the one expected, Suzuki coupling at C-4 might still be difficult due to severe steric hindrance by the methyl moiety and the spacious bromine atom in neighboring ortho-positions.

At this point it seemed reasonable to use a different approach for the synthesis of building block 7. Synthesis of the required 2,5-dihalo-3-methyl-4-propylpyridine moiety is expected to be tedious with any synthetic strategy. The main goal at this point was to determine the potential of target compound $\mathbf{2 b}$ or appropriate synthetic intermediates as a lead structure for RIIBD peptidomimetics. Therefore simplifying the building block was considered as a more reasonable approach for the time being than development of a new synthesis. In coorperation with AG Krause (FMP Berlin), one additional modification was introduced onto the target compound; the 4-propyl functionality of building block 7 was replaced with a methyl group.
It was decided to use 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine 7a again as starting material and to introduce the C-4 methyl group by DoM with the same conditions as earlier using in this case methyl iodide as electrophile as depicted in figure 3.34.


Figure 3.34: Scheme of the synthesis of 2,5-dibromo-3,4-dimethylpyridine 7d.

Initially reaction conditions were adapted from the synthesis of 7c (entry 1 in table 3.5). Under these conditions a mixture of products was obtained, containing starting material, $10 \%$ of product 7 d as well as several side products. The only identifiable side product was 2,5 -dibromo-3-ethylpyridine, which was obtained in $5 \%$ yield. This by-product was probably formed from lithiationand subsequent methylation of the acidic methyl group. Initially, purification was performed by column chromatography. Since the three identified compounds are all very similar in polarity further purification by preparative HPLC was required for characterization of the compounds. It was later found that purification by kugelrohr distillation gave a better separation of the crude product.


Figure 3.35: Enlarged sections of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine 7a (top) and product 7d (bottom) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure 3.36: Enlarged sections of the HMBC spectra of 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine (left) and product 7 d (right). The correlation of the methyl protons with pyridine carbon atoms is shown. Left: H atoms show correlation with $\mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$. Right: H -atoms show correlation with $\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-4$. The protons of methyl groups of 2,5 -dibromo-3,4-dimethylpyridine are detected as one singlet with the expected intensity. HMQC analysis confirms correlation of the singlet with both aliphatic signals detected in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum.

As can be seen in figure 3.35, 1D-NMR measurements confirm insertion of the methyl group into the pyridine as examplified in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-spectra of pyridine $\mathbf{7 d}$ in comparison to the starting material. Some results of 2D-NMR measurements are depicted in figure 3.36, they confirm that the methyl group is in the C-4 position: When comparing HMBC spectra of the methyl protons with pyridine C -atoms of the starting material with 7d, peaks are detected for both compounds with C-2, C-3 and $\mathrm{C}-4$. As expected the product shows an additional interaction with $\mathrm{C}-5$ that is not observed for the starting material.
It was then tried to optimize the reaction conditions on analytical scale by LC-MS monitoring in order to increase the yield. For this purpose, the influence of several factors with regard to product formation was checked. Initially, higher amounts of base were tested in order to reduce the amount of unreacted starting material found in the reaction mixture (entry 2 , table 3.6).
The main product found under these conditions was a compound where double methylation occured, e.g. at C-4 and the benzylic position, that was however not further validated. Formation of double-methylated product could be due to formation of a bi-anionic species. Another possibility is that, after addition of methyl iodide, residual LDA deprotonated already formed 3,4-dimethylpyridine 7d and the resulting pyridyl lithium reacted again with methyl iodide.
In order to increase metalation efficiency, deprotonation was performed at higher temperatures, but for shorter periods (entry 4 and 5, table 3.6).

| entry | solvent | LDA | T | t | $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | product yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THF | 1.0 eq | $-65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | 1 eq Mel | 10\% ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 2 | THF | 2.0 eq | $-65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | 2 eq Mel | mixture of produc ts |
| 3 | THF | 1.0 eq | -500' | 15 min | $1 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Mel}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | mixture of products |
| 4 | THF | 1.0 eq | $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 min | $2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Mel}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | mixture of products |
| 5 | THF | 1.0 eq | -450 | 5 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Mel}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | mixture of products |
| 6 | THF | 1.1 eq | -45 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Mel}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 20\% ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ |
| 7 | THF | 1.2eq | -450 | 5 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Mel}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 13\% ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ |

Table 3.6: Optimization of reaction conditions for the synthesis of 7d. ${ }^{(a)}$ isolated yield after column chromatography of one experiment. ${ }^{(b)}$ addition at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ isolated yield after kugelrohr distillation of two experiments.

In addition, the amount of electrophile used was increased in order to drive methylation of the starting material to completion and to quench remaining LDA in the mixture (entry 4-6, table 3.6).
According to LC-MS analysis of the crude mixtures under all conditions tested mixtures of different products were obtained. During the up-scaling of the reaction, shorter deprotonation time and higher temperatures (entry 6 and 7, table 3.6) were applied and the product was synthesized with the highest yield of $20 \%$.
These results show that acidity of $\mathrm{C}-4$ is increased by the bromine on the $\mathrm{C}-5$ position thereby activating the position for deprotonation as compared to 3picoline. ${ }^{[119 ; 127]}$ However, acidity is not increased sufficiently to significantly favour deprotonation at C-4 over deprotonation of the benzylic position. Instead, both lithium intermediates appear to be present in equilibrium. In analytical test reactions after prolonged reaction times ( $>90$ minutes, $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) only formation of 2,5 -dibromo-3ethylpyridine was detected in LC-MS analysis, suggesting that formation of the benzylic lithium intermediate is thermodynamically favored. It has not been examined so far, how pronounced solvent effects are on reaction outcome. By switching to less polar or non-coordinating solvents like DEE or hexane one could for example study if there is an influence of the aggregative state of LDA on its selectivity with the studied compound. ${ }^{[129]}$

Nonetheless, it was decided to continue the synthesis with this low-yielding reaction step. Until the use of this scaffold as RIIBD mimetic is supported by experimental data, it seemed more reasonable not to spend more effort on developing another synthetic strategy. Instead, the synthesis of the target scaffold was established with
commercially available 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine 7a as building block 7. The optimized reaction sequence should then be easily applicable to dimethyl-derivative 7d, thereby reducing the required amount of this compound.

### 3.2.3.3 Synthesis of building block 8

## Retrosynthetic analysis and synthetic strategy

As described in chapter 3.2.2 and depicted in figure 3.37, for the synthesis of the target compound a 6,7-dihydro- 5 H -cyclopenta[c]pyridine derivative was required as building block. The cyclopenta[c]pyridine is functionalized with a 3-propylamine at the $\mathrm{C}-3$ position which needed to be protected as $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzylamine or N trifluoroacetamide during the synthesis. In addition, at the C-1 and C-4 position halide functions had to be introduced that could undergo Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions with building block 9 and bipyridine building block 12 .


Figure 3.37: Scheme depicting the retrosynthetic analysis of building block 8.

Two different synthetic routes were investigated that differ in order of addition of the halides. For route A it was planned to attempt introduction of both halides via lithiumhydrogen exchange in the order depicted in figure 3.37. For route $B$, it was attempted to introduce the halide at $\mathrm{C}-4$ by electrophilic substitution, and at $\mathrm{C}-1$ by lithiumhydrogen exchange. Both synthetic strategies will be discussed in more detail in the corresponding chapters.

In both synthetic routes the amine $\mathbf{8 b}$ was used as precursor. It was planned to synthesize 8b in a two-step procedure. In the first step it was attempted to synthesize dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine $\mathbf{8 a}$ de novo applying a Ru-catalyzed [2+2+2]cycloaddition procedure developed recently ${ }^{[80 ; 130]}$. The authors described the use of $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}$ as a new catalyst ${ }^{[131]}$ for the synthesis of this type of scaffold from
different dialkynes and nitriles carrying electron-withdrawing groups in the $\alpha$ position. ${ }^{[132]}$ Also, several nitriles with EWGs in $\beta$ - or $\gamma$-position were successfully employed including succinonitrile which was one of the starting materials used for the synthesis of nitrile 8a. ${ }^{[130]}$ Ru-catalyzed [2+2+2]-cycloaddition reactions of this type will be discussed in more detail in chapter 3.2.4.3. After reduction of the nitrile function with standard literature procedures, the corresponding amine $\mathbf{8 b}$ could then be used as precursor for synthetic routes $A$ and $B$.

## Synthesis of amine 8b

For the synthesis of building block 8, the pyridine moiety was synthesized de novo by [2+2+2]-cycloaddition from 1,6-heptadiyne 11 and succinonitrile 10 with a Ru-based catalyst using conditions reported by Yamamoto et al. ${ }^{[130]}$


Figure 3.38: Scheme of the synthesis of 8a.

The desired nitrile 8a depicted in figure 3.38 was synthesized in good yields of up to $79 \%$. The reaction was successfully up-scaled to multigram scale without reduction of yield, as shown in table 3.7.

| mmol diyne | cat | yield $^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 66 | $1.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| 271 | $1.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| 175 | $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $59 \%$ |

Table 3.7: Reaction scales for the synthesis of nitrile 8a. ${ }^{(a)}$ isolated yields from at least 2 different experiments.

Lowering loading of the expensive Ru(II)-catalyst resulted in a significant drop in yield. 8a was then reduced to give amine $\mathbf{8 b}$ as depicted in figure 3.39 using $\mathrm{BH}_{3}{ }^{*} \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ as reducing agent adapting reported conditions ${ }^{[133]}$ which gave the crude product in good yield of $86 \%$.


Figure 3.39: Scheme of the synthesis of amine 8b.

This reaction step was successfully scaled-up to multigram scale (max. 10 g starting material, $\sim 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) without loss in yield.

## Retrosynthetic analysis of synthetic route A

As already mentioned, functionalization of C-1 and C-4 was attempted by lithiumhydrogen exchange reaction. Protection of the amine $\mathbf{8 b}$ was required under the strongly basic conditions used for the regioselective metalations, in this case the amine can be protected as $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzylamine. Since pyridines are more acidic due to their lower m-electron density as compared to benzenes ${ }^{[78 ; 134]}$, the benzyl group was expected to be inert to the metalation conditions. The protecting group should be removable under mild conditions by hydrogenolysis. ${ }^{[106 ; 135]}$


Figure 3.40: Scheme of the retrosynthetic analysis of building block 8.

The next reaction step was regioselective deprotonation of C -1 of dibenzylamine $\mathbf{8 c}$. As can be seen in figure 3.40, no substituent is present on 8c that could facilitate regioselective lithiation by DoM. The electron-donating alkyl groups attached to $\alpha-, \beta$ and $\gamma$-positions were expected on the one hand to deactivate the pyridine core for deprotonation ${ }^{[128]}$ and on the other hand potentially undergo lithiation at one of benzylic positions (lateral lithiation) with common lithiating agents LDA, LiTMP and $n$ BuLi. ${ }^{[136]}$ In addition, it has been reported that $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ can react by nucleophlic addition to the azomethine bond of pyridines. ${ }^{[137]}$ Whether lateral lithiation or
nucleophilic addition takes place depends on the reaction conditions employed when reacting $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ with alkylpyridines. ${ }^{[138]}$ Therefore, regioselective lithiation of C-1 of pyridine 8c was attempted using the unimetal superbase $n$ BuLi/LiDMAE depicted in figure 3.41. This superbase consists of a $1: 1$ mixture of $n$-butyllithium and lithium$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaminoethoxide. When used in non-coordinating solvents like hexane or toluene, an aggregated mixture of not-yet defined composition is formed that has been successfully used for pyridine ring functionalization of non-activated pyridine derivatives. ${ }^{[139]}$


Figure 3.41: Simplified view of the composition of nBuLi/LiDMAE aggregates and potential activation of the aggregate with an acidic reactant R-H. ${ }^{[140]}$

For example, regioselective deprotonation of the C-2 position of pyridine, ${ }^{[140]} 3$ picoline, ${ }^{[137 ; 138]}$ 4-picoline, ${ }^{[138]}$ 3,5-lutidine ${ }^{[141]}$ and 3,4 -lutidine ${ }^{[138]}$ have been described. None or only little lateral lithiation was observed as side reaction.

As already mentioned, the exact composition and aggregative state of the active reagent is not completely clear. This is also true for other Li-bases, since the amount of aggregation is dependent on a number of factors, including temperature, solvent, presence of additives, and the nature of the reactant. ${ }^{[129 ; 142]}$ For the superbase $n B u L i / L i D M A E$, it is assumed that the formation of sterically hindered aggregates inhibits nucleophilicity of $n$ BuLi while basicity is increased by complexation, thereby preventing nucleophilic additon of the reagent to pyridine. ${ }^{[136]}$ Regioselectivity likely originates from chelation of the base with the pyridine nitrogen as depicted in figure 3.42. ${ }^{[109]}$


Figure 3.42: Scheme of the proposed mechanism of C-2 lithiation of pyridine by chelate formation. ${ }^{[109]}$

There is some evidence suggesting that for 3-alkylpyridines lithiation takes place initially at the acidic side chain followed by lithium migration to $\mathrm{C}-6$ which is facilitated by the complex between base and pyridine-N-atom. ${ }^{[113]}$ This has not been studied for other, more complex systems.
Following lithiation of $\mathrm{C}-1$, the required pyridyl halide should be accessible by electrophilic quenching with $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ yielding the corresponding bromide 8d or chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$.

The final step of synthetic route A was regioselective lithiation of C-4 of building block 8. This reaction was attempted with the chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$, since the corresponding bromide was expected to be more prone to undergo halogen-lithium exchange under metalation conditions. ${ }^{[134]}$ Again, presence of the acidic alkyl functions might lead to lateral lithiation only. The superbase $n$ BuLi/LiDMAE has not been used for lithiation of non-activated $\beta$-positions of pyridine derivatives as found in this example. Some activation of acidity of C-4 was expected from the C-1 chloro- function, ${ }^{[128]}$ therefore several lithiating agents were screend for their potential in $\mathrm{C}-4$ lithiation.

## Evaluation of synthetic route $A$

The protection of amine $\mathbf{8 b}$ as $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzylamine 8c was the first step. Benzyl bromide was used as alkylating agent for the synthesis of $\mathbf{8 c}$. NaH was initially used as a base, but only about $5 \%$ of product was isolated.

| entry | solvent | Base | T | $t$ | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DMF | 2.2 eq NaH | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt | o/n | $5 \%{ }^{(a)}$ |
| 2 | ACN | 2.2eq $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | reflux | 24h | no product formation |
| 3 | ACN | 2.0eq K2CO3 | rt | 3h | $5 \%{ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 4 | ACN | 2.0eq K $\mathrm{COO}_{3}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3h | completed ${ }^{(b)}$ |
| 5 | ACN | $2.0 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | rt | 1.5h | $5 \%{ }^{(a)}$ |
| 6 | ACN | $2.0 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{NEt}{ }_{3}$ | rt | 24h | 51\% ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ |
| 7 | ACN | $2.0 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{NEt}{ }_{3}$ | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3h | 48\% ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ |

Table 3.8: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 8c. ${ }^{(a)}$ isolated yield from one experiment. ${ }^{(b)}$ analytical test reaction. ${ }^{(c)}$ isolated yield from at least four experiments.

It was then decided to use weaker bases. No product formation was observed with sodium carbonate, ${ }^{[143]}$ and only low product yields were obtained when using
potassium carbonate (entry 3-5 in table 3.8) as base. When switching to a procedure with less basic triethylamine, 8c was obtained in modest yield of 48-51\% (entry 6-7, table 3.8). ${ }^{[144]}$ This reaction step was successfully scaled-up to multigram scale (max. 10 g starting material, $\sim 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) without loss in yield.

The next reaction step was regioselective functionalization of $\mathbf{8 c}$ in position $\mathrm{C}-1$ as depicted in figure 3.43.


Figure 3.43: Scheme of the synthesis of halides 8 d and 8 e .

Initially reaction conditions for C-2 lithiation of pyridine were employed (entry 1, table 3.9), ${ }^{[139]}$ no conversion of the starting material was observed by LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture. An increase in the amount of base to 4 equivalents (entry 2 , table 3.9) gave the same result. The problem when performing the reaction in hexane is probably poor solubility of the starting material in this solvent. When performing the reaction in THF, unlike predicted from the literature, some product formation was observed (entry 3). Maybe aggregate formation of the base in hexane is so strong that lithiation of the starting material is prevented by steric hindrance at $\mathrm{C}-1$ from the alkyl group at C-7'. This is supported by the finding that 3-picoline is deprotonated preferably by $n \mathrm{BuLi} / L i D M A E$ at C-6. ${ }^{[137]}$ It was, however, not further examined whether solubility or aggregate formation was the critical factor by e.g. performing the same reaction in the more polar, but also non-coordinating solvent toluene ${ }^{[140]}$ for which better solubility of the starting material can be expected.
In order to optimize the observed partial functionalization of $\mathrm{C}-1$, it was decided to try to perform the reaction in mixtures of hexane and $10 \%$ THF (entry 4, table 3.9). In an initial test reaction on analytical scale, consumption of starting material and product formation was observed (entry 4, table 3.9). However, formation of a precipitate during addition of the electrophile was visible, clogging up the reaction mixture. This resulted in incomplete conversion of the starting material and only about $10 \%$ yield when up-scaling the reaction (entry 6 , table 3.9).

| entry | solvent | BuLi/LiDMAE | T | t | $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hexane | 2eq | $-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 60 min | $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ | no conversion |
| 2 | hexane | 4eq | -750 | 30 min | $7 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr}{ }_{4}$ | no conversion |
| 3 | THF | 2 eq | -75 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 60 min | $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ | some conversion |
| 4 | hexane/THF | 4 eq | -750 | 30 min | $7 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr}{ }_{4}$ | complete conversion |
| 5 | hexane/THF | 4eq | -750 | 30 min | 7 eq CBr 4 | conversion |
| 6 | hexane/THF | 4eq | -750 | 30 min | 7 eq CBr 4 | <10\%, not completed. |
| 7 | hexane/Et $\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 eq | -750 | 30 min | $7 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr}{ }_{4}$ | 28\% ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 8 | hexane/Et $\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4 eq | -65 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 30 min | 5eq $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ | $36 \%{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ |
| 9 | hexane/Et $\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4eq | -65 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 30 min | 5eq $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ | 59\% ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ |

Table 3.9: Reaction conditions for the halogenation of pyridine 8c. ${ }^{(a)}$ preliminary yield from one experiment. ${ }^{(b)}$ isolated yields from at least three different experiments.

When performing the reaction in hexane with $25 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ instead of THF, complete consumption of the starting material was observed. The reaction mixture did not clog up despite formation of precipitate and the product was isolated in low yield (entry 7, table 3.9). Further optimization of the reaction gave bromide 8d in $36 \%$ yield and chloride 8 e in $59 \%$ yield when performing the deprotonation at $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reducing the amount of electrophile employed. The respective halides $\mathbf{8 d}$ and $\mathbf{8 e}$ were successfully obtained by regioselective lithiation/halogenation from 8c after optimization of the reaction conditions. Regioselective addition of the halide functions was confirmed by 1D- and 2D-NMR measurements, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$ is depicted in figure 3.44 .


Figure 3.44: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of chloride 8 e in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.

It was shown that $n B u L i / L i D M A E$ not only tolerates acidic alkyl groups at pyridine $\beta$ and $\gamma$ - but also in $\alpha$-positions. ${ }^{[136]}$ As expected from the literature, no formation of nucleophilic addition product or of a product from lateral lithiation was observed. It was found that the reaction does not proceed in hexane alone and that addition of more polar and coordinating etheral solvents THF or $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was required. Whether this is necessary for sufficient solvation of the starting material or for formation of less tight or less spacious aggregates has not been further examined.

It was then tried to also functionalize C-5 of chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$ using metal-hydrogen exchange. As already mentioned, it was not clear whether the chloro-substituent would sufficiently activate the C-4 position for metalation. There were no similar successful types of metalations found in the literature. Nonetheless, several bases were tried out in analytical test reactions under conditions depicted in table 3.10 and analyzed by LC-MS. Initially, the reaction was attempted using nBuLi/LiDMAE as lithiating agent with different temperatures and with the electrophiles $\mathrm{SnM}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$, $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{3}$ (entry 1-2, table 3.10).

| entry | solvent | Bases | T | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hexane/Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4eq BuLi/LiDMAE 1:1 | $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | no conversion |
| 2 | hexane/Et $\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4eq BuLi/LiDMAE 1:1 | -600 | some $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{Br}$ exchange, no product formation |
| 3 | THF | 1.2eq LDA | -750 | no conversion |
| 4 | THF | LiTMP | -75 ${ }^{\circ}$ | no conversion |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2eq BuLi/KOtBu 1:1 | -750 | $33 \%$ isolated yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Table 3.10: Reaction conditions for the functionalization of $8 \mathrm{e} .{ }^{(a)}$ isolated yield after column chromatography from two experiments.

However, no conversion of the starting material was observed. In general, nBuLi/LiDMAE was reported to tolerate $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds in pyridine substrates in $\alpha-, \beta$ and $\gamma$-positions. ${ }^{[113]}$ This seemed to be highly temperature-dependent at least for chlorides in $\alpha$-position: When performing deprotonation at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a nd using $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ as electrophile, formation of bromide $\mathbf{8 d}$ was observed (but not further quantified). This was probably due to chloride/lithium exchange which suggests at this temperature CCl bonds become reactive towards $n \mathrm{BuLi} / \mathrm{LiDMAE}$. Switching to lithium amide bases LDA and LiTMP and conditions depicted in entry 3 and 4 of table 3.10 did not result in any conversion of the starting material. Formation of a compound with the expected
mass was observed when using the superbase LiCKOR as base in DEE (entry 8). LiCKOR is made up of $1: 1$ mixture of $n \mathrm{BuLi}$ and KOtBu. The compound was isolated in 33\% yield, but 1D- and 2D-NMR spectroscopy show that halogenation occurred at the C-3/a-benzylic position, as depicted in figure 3.45 and 3.46 .


Figure 3.45: Compound 81.


Figure 3.46: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of 81 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

In conclusion, no selective lithiation of ring carbon atoms of the pyridine $8 \mathbf{e}$ was accomplished. Nonetheless the successfully synthesized halides $\mathbf{8 e}$ and $\mathbf{8 d}$ are valuable compounds: They can be coupled to bipyridine 12b giving rise to an intermediate structure of the target compound. These bipyridyldihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridines can be deprotected and evaluated for their use as RIIBD mimetics (chapter 3.2.4). The chloride $\mathbf{8 I}$ can be coupled to bipyridine 12b and evaluated as RIIBD mimetic.

## Retrosynthetic analysis of synthetic route B

In an alternative approach to synthesize building block 8, the halogen at position C-4 was supposed to be introduced by electrophilic substitution. It was decided to attempt the synthesis as bromide $\mathbf{8 g}$. The reason was on the one hand that the required reagent was already available in the lab and on the other hand that it seemed the best choice for the following Pd coupling steps: The bromide in the $\mathrm{C}-4 / \beta$-position can be expected to undergo Pd-catalyzed borylation more rapidly than a chloride function in the $\mathrm{C}-1 / \alpha$-position. As depicted in figure 3.47 , for the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction with pyridyl bromide 9 h , selective coupling of pyridine 8 k to bromide 9 h can be expected while coupling of $\mathbf{8 k}$ with itself should be negligible. ${ }^{[97]}$


Figure 3.47: Scheme of a potential one-pot borylation-Suzuki coupling procedure with $\mathbf{8 k}$ (see also chapter 3.2.4). $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ : bis(pinacolato)diboron, $\mathrm{BPin}: 4,4,5,5$-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane.

In general, electrophilic substitutions of pyridines require harsh reaction conditions. The reason is the low m-electron density of pyridine due to the electron-withdrawing nitrogen atom. ${ }^{[78]}$ In this case the reaction was attempted with conditions reported for the bromination of 2,4,6-trimethylpyridine. ${ }^{[145]} 2,4,6$-Trimethylpyridine was expected to have a comparable $\pi$-electron density as the present scaffold since they have the same number of alkyl substituents.
Unfortunately, strategy B required the use of a different, more exhausting protection strategy for the amine group. Protecting the amine as $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzylamine under electrophilic substitution conditions would most likely result in halogenation of the benzyl groups which have a higher m-electron density as compared to pyridines or quaternization of the amine functionality. ${ }^{[78]}$ It was decided to protect the amine as N trifluoroacetamide, which should be cleavable under basic conditions ${ }^{[146]}$ and was
expected to be stable under the strongly acidic conditions used for electrophilic substitution. ${ }^{[106]}$



Figure 3.48: Scheme of the retrosynthetic analysis of dihalo-pyridine $\mathbf{8 k}$.

Following electrophilic substitution to obtain bromide $\mathbf{8 g}$, it was attempted to reprotect the amine functionality as $N, N$-dibenzylamine using conditions established for the synthesis of $\mathbf{8 c}$. This re-protection was required in order to avoid side reactions during the lithium-hydrogen exchange reaction that was to be employed for introduction of the halide function to the C-1 position. This last reaction step was attempted using the reaction conditions already established for the synthesis of halides $8 \mathbf{d}$ and $8 \mathbf{e}$. This reaction step could be complicated by the presence of the bromide at C-4 which might react with the lithium base by lithium-bromide exchange thereby preventing efficient functionalization of C-1. ${ }^{[134]}$

## Evaluation of synthetic route B



Figure 3.49: Scheme of the synthesis of 8 g .

Compound $\mathbf{8 f}$ was synthesized using standard conditions in good yield of $75 \%$ as depicted in figure 3.49. ${ }^{[147]}$ Electrophilic substitution of pyridine $8 f$ did not work very well and only low product yields of around $24 \%$ were obtained under the conditions depicted in table 3.11. ${ }^{[145]}$ Prolonging the reaction time or the amount of electrophile did not alter product yield (table 3.11). The only other isolated compound from the mixture was the starting material.

| entry | solvent | electrophile | T | UV | t | yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} 3: 4$ | 1.1eq NBS | rt | no UV | $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$ | $27 \%^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} 3: 4$ | 1.3eq NBS | rt | no UV | 24 h | $24 \%^{(\mathrm{b})}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} 3: 4$ | 1.3eq NBS | rt | no UV | 36 h | $21 \%^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} 3: 4$ | 1.6eq NBS | rt | no UV | 24 h | $23 \%^{(\mathrm{b})}$ |

Table 3.11: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of bromide $\mathbf{8 g}$. ${ }^{(a)}$ isolated yield from one experiment. ${ }^{(b)}$ isolated yield from at least two experiments.

It was not tested, whether higher or lower reaction temperatures increase product formation. The influence of the concentration of the reactants on the equilibrium has also not been checked, nonetheless changes in both factors could improve reaction outcome.

After bromination of 8f, the trifluoroacetamide group was removed under basic conditions to give amine $\mathbf{8 h}$ using conditions adapted from Bergeron et al. with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base in a mixture of methanol/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{[146]} 8 \mathrm{~h}$ was then protected as $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dibenzylamine $8 \mathbf{i}$ with the conditions established for synthesis of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzylamine 8c as depicted in figure 3.50. Both reaction steps gave the corresponding product in modest yields of $57 \%$ or $54 \%$ respectively.


Figure 3.50: Scheme of the synthesis of 8 i .

For chlorination of the $\mathrm{C}-1$ position of bromide $\mathbf{8 i}$, initially reaction conditions from the synthesis of 2-pyridyl chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$ were investigated. However, no conversion of the starting material was observed.


Figure 3.51: Scheme of the synthesis of 8 k .

When using a higher temperature for metalation of pyridine $\mathbf{8 i}$, the desired product was obtained in $13 \%$ yield as depicted in table 3.12. This was not reproducible, suggesting that tight control of reaction conditions is necessary for successful lithiation of pyridine $\mathbf{8 i}$ and slight changes in composition of the reaction mixture and/or temperature prevent product formation completely.


Figure $3.52{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of pyridine 8 k recorded in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.

Regioselectivity of lithiation/halogenation was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. As can be seen in figure 3.52 , no pyridine proton signals are detected in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum. In addition, aliphatic proton signal show the expected intensities and spin-spin couplings. Therefore, lateral lithiation can be excluded.
The side products found were a mixture of starting material $\mathbf{8 i}$ (minor) and the corresponding chloride (major). This finding explains the low yield of the reaction quite well: The major side product is formed upon electrophilic substitution from the lithium species obtained after bromide/lithium exchange. It is not unexpected that the reactive $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond preferably reacts with the lithiating agent, especially since quite high temperatures are required $\left(-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in order to observe any product formation at all.

| entry | solvent | Base | T | t | $\mathrm{rt}^{\text {(a) } / \text { isolated yield }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | hexane/Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4eq BuLi/LiDMAE 1:1 | $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $6.3 \mathrm{~min} / 13 \%$ |

Table 3.12: Reaction conditions for the chlorination of 8i. ${ }^{(a)} \mathrm{rt}=$ retention time in LC corresponding to expected product mass and isolated yield from one experiment.

In spite of being successfully synthesized, the low yield of this reaction sequence and especially of the last step did not produce the desired building block 8 in sufficient amount for use in the synthesis of target compound $\mathbf{2 b}$. An alternative approach for this reaction sequence could be electrophilic chlorination of pyridine $\mathbf{8 h}$ instead of bromination. The generated chloride at C-4 position would be expected to be significantly more stable under the lithiating conditions ${ }^{[113 ; 148]}$ than the respective bromide.

### 3.2.3.4 Synthesis of building block 9

## Retrosynthetic analysis and synthetic strategy

Retrosynthetic analysis of the target compounds showed that for building block 9 a pyridine ring should carry a halide function in the C-2 position for Pd-catalyzed crosscoupling, as well as a propylfunction at the C-5 position and a hydroxyfunction at C-3. The hydroxyfunction had to be protected as an alkoxyether in order to avoid side reactions during the coupling reactions and to serve as DMG for the introduction of the halide by DoM.


Figure 3.53: Retrosynthesic analysis of building block 9.

For introduction of the propyl group several procedures have been successfully applied with 3-bromopyridines. Therefore, commercially available 3-hydroxy-5bromopyridine 9a or 3-methoxy-5-bromopyridine 9b could be used as starting materials. The 3-hydroxy derivative could be protected as benzylether 9c using standard conditions. The propyl functionality can be introduced by an $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed oxidative coupling procedure between pyridines $\mathbf{9 c}$ or $\mathbf{9 b}$ and a propylmagnesium halide. ${ }^{[149]}$ Another approach could be the introduction of the propylfunction by Suzuki cross-coupling of propylboronic acid with pyridine $9 \mathbf{c}$ or $9 \mathrm{~b} .{ }^{[150]}$

The key step in the proposed reaction sequence was the selective functionalization of a 3-alkoxypyridine to a 2-halide-3-alkoxypyridine by DoM. Alkoxypyridines have been used widely for DoM with different lithiating agents including mesityllithium, ${ }^{[151]}$ LDA, ${ }^{[152]}$ and $n B u L i / T M E D A . ~{ }^{[153]}$ A potential complication was the presence of the acidic alkyl group, therefore it was decided to employ $n B u L i / L i D M A E ~ a s ~ b a s e ~(s e e ~$ also chapter 3.2.3.3). nBuLi/LiDMAE has been successfully used for $\mathrm{C}-2$ lithiation of

3-methoxypyridine ${ }^{[140]}$ and as already described does not react with benzylic positions of alkyl substituents. Regioselectivity in this case is probably accomplished by cooperative complexation from the methoxy group and the pyridine nitrogen. ${ }^{[140]}$ It was decided to attempt regioselective lithiation not only with methoxypyridine $9 \mathbf{9}$ but also with the benzyloxypyridine 9d for several reasons. The benzyl protecting group should be removable under mild conditions by hydrogenolysis. Also, the amine function of building block 8 was protected as $N, N$-dibenzylamine which means that both functional groups could be deprotected in one reaction step. Finally, successful lithiation of benzyloxypyridines has been reported with $n$ BuLi/TMEDA inTHF. ${ }^{[153]}$ To the author's knowledge, selective deprotonations of nBuLi/LiDMAE with benzyloxy groups as DMG have not been described in the literature. Therefore, it was decided to compare both methoxypyridine $\mathbf{9 e}$ and benzyloxypyridine $9 \mathbf{d}$ as precursors in DoM-reactions with nBuLi/LiDMAE.

## Synthesis of 3-(benzyloxy)-2-bromo-5-propylpyridine and 3-(benzyloxy)-2-chloro-5-propylpyridine



Figure 3.54: Scheme of the synthesis of benzyloxyether 9c.

For the synthesis of pyridine 9c depicted in figure 3.54, commercially available 3-bromo-5-hydroxypyridine 9a was protected as benzyloxyether using standard conditions which gave the product in good yield of $85 \%$. ${ }^{[154]}$ Introduction of the propyl functionality was tried using the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed procedure from Bell et al. ${ }^{[149]}$

| entry | solvent | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ salt | T | t | PrMgCl | results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | THF | 4 eq CuCN | -78 C | 150 min, to rt o/n | 8 eq | $20 \%$ y ield ${ }^{(2)}$ |

Table 3.13: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of pyridine 9d. ${ }^{(a)}$ isolated yield after column chromatography of two experiments.

Initially, a procedure was applied using copper(I) cyanide as $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ source which gave the desired product in $20 \%$ yield after column chromatography (entry 1 , table 3.13).

In addition $40 \%$ of starting material and about $20 \%$ of reduced starting material were recovered from the reaction mixture. It was tried to optimize the product yield, e.g. by using copper(I) iodide as $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-source but this did not improve reaction outcome. Therefore it was decided to attempt introduction of the propyl moiety by Suzuki crosscoupling of pyridine $9 \mathbf{c}$ with propylboronic acid as depicted in figure 3.55.


Figure 3.55: Scheme of the synthesis of pyridine 9d.

Propyl boronic acid was either bought or synthesized using standard reaction conditions giving the product in excellent yield of $91 \%$. ${ }^{[155]}$

| entry | solvent | base | T | t | catalyst | results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | xylene | 2eq $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 h | $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | $61 \%$ yield $^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 2 | xylene $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 h | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | $83 \%$ yield $^{(\mathrm{b})}$ |

Table 3.14: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 9d. The reactions were performed with 2 eq of propyl boronic acid. ${ }^{(a)}$ isolated yield after column chromatography of at least three experiments. ${ }^{(b)}$ isolated yield after column chromatography of one experiment.

The propyl group was successfully coupled to 9c using Suzuki coupling conditions from Kondolff et al., with the exception that a standard $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ catalyst system was used for the reaction. ${ }^{[150]}$ This gave the product in $61 \%$ yield and therefore the reported catalyst system which employs the so-called "tedicyp"-phosphine ligand was not tested. An initial experiment suggested that the yield of the reaction can be increased further to $83 \%$ when employing optimized reaction conditions from the synthesis of pyridine 9 e (discussed in the next chapter) when water is used as cosolvent and higher amounts of catalyst are used (entry 2, table 3.14).

The final step in this reaction sequence was the selective metalation of the C-2 position as shown in figure 3.56. The lithiated species was trapped with different halide electrophiles as depicted in table 3.15. Iodine is not well soluble in hexane and was therefore not used as electrophile in reactions at larger scale. $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ are very well miscible with hexane.


Figure 3.56: Scheme of the synthesis of bromide 9 f and chloride 9 g .

Initially, reactions were performed on analytical scale and analyzed by LC-MS and TLC. With conditions taken from lithiation of 3-methoxypyridine formation of product mixtures was observed. ${ }^{[140]}$ The amount of base was then increased (entry 2 and 3, table 3.15) in order to reduce the amount of unreacted starting material found, however no significant differences were observed.

| entry | solvent | BuLi/LiDMAE <br> $1: 1$ | T | $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{dep})$ | $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | hexane | 3 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ | no product formation |
| 2 | hexane | 3 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ | product formation |
| 3 | hexane | 4 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $6 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ | product formation |
| 4 | hexane | 3 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ | $9 \%^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 5 | hexane | 4 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $6 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $9 \%^{(\mathrm{b})}$ |
| 6 | hexane | 4 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $6 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}{ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $10 \%^{(\mathrm{b})}$ |
| 7 | hexane | 2 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $6 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}{ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \%^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |

Table 3.15: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 9 and 9 g . ${ }^{(2)}$ isolated yield from one experiment. ${ }^{(b)}$ isolated yield from at least two experiments.

Up-scaling of the reaction gave the product $9 f$ in very low yield of 9-10\% (entry 5-6). Reducing deprotonation time or using lower amount of base (entry 4 and 7, table 3.15) did not improve final yield. There was no significant difference in final yields between chlorinated ( $4.7 \%$ after three steps) and brominated ( $5.2 \%$ after three steps) products.
1D- and 2D-NMR measurements confirmed regioselective functionalization of C-2 of halides $9 \mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{9 g}$. As can be seen in figure 3.57 , the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum confirms halogenation of the pyridine ring and not the benzylic positions.


Figure 3.57: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of chloride 9 g in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.


Figure 3.58: Zoom into the HMBC-spectrum of aromatic carbon atoms of chloride 9 g . Left: Cross-peaks of aromatic signals of benzyl group, $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-6$ with aromatic carbon signals from the benzyl group and the pyridine core are depicted. Right: Cross-peaks of aliphatic signals of the propylfunction with aromatic carbon signals from the benzyl group and the pyridine core are depicted. Proton signals detected at $1.2 \mathrm{ppm}, 2.7 \mathrm{ppm}, 2.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ are residual solvent peaks.

In figure 3.58 some results of 2D-NMR measurements are shown. In the depicted zoom into the HMBC spectrum of chloride $\mathbf{9 g}$, the peaks of the aliphatic proton signals of the propyl-function allow exact assignment of the correlated ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-peaks of $\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-6$ and $\mathrm{C}-4$. In addition, the expected correlation of $\mathrm{H}-4$ with $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$ is detected. H-6 shows cross peaks corresponding to $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-4$ but not $\mathrm{C}-3$, confirming that halogenation occurred at C-2.

The low product yields obtained suggest that regiochemical control with the benzyloxyether did not work sufficiently to activate the C-2 position for selective lithiation. The reason is probably steric hindrance from the benzyl group blocking tight
chelate formation between base and the alkoxy-oxygen. Although it was tried to optimize reaction conditions by trying different $n$ BuLi/DMAE ratios and different deprotonation times, product formation was not improved.
In conclusion, isolated yields of final products were too low for further synthesis. Therefore it was decided to continue with the synthesis of the corresponding methoxypyridines $\mathbf{9 h}$ and $\mathbf{9 i}$.

## Synthesis of 2-bromo-3-methoxy-5-propylpyridine and 2-chloro-3-methoxy-5-propylpyridine



Figure 3.59: Scheme of the synthesis of 9 e .

The reaction sequence was started from commercially available 3-methoxy-5bromopyridine $9 \mathbf{b}$ as depicted in figure 3.59. The propyl functionality was coupled to the C-5 position of the starting material by Suzuki coupling using the conditions established for pyridine 9d (in the previous chapter), however, only a low yield of $26 \%$ was obtained (entry 1 , table 3.16).

| entry | solvent | propyl-source | T | t | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | xylene | $2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{PrB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | o/n | 0.01eq | 26\% ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 2 | DMF | $2 e q \operatorname{PrB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20h | 0.05 eq | completed ${ }^{(b)}$ |
| 3 | DMF | $2 e q \operatorname{PrB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20h | $0.05 \mathrm{eq}^{\text {(c) }}$ | 19\%, 44\% red. ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 4 | xylene $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 e q \operatorname{PrB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | max. 40h | 0.05 eq | not completed ${ }^{(b)}$ |
| 5 | dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 e q \operatorname{PrB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | max. 40h | 0.05 eq | not completed ${ }^{(b)}$ |
| 6 | xylene/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 e q \operatorname{PrB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 40h | $0.05 \mathrm{eq}^{\text {(c) }}$ | $57 \%, 11 \%$ s.m. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 7 | dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{PrB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 980 | 40h | $0.05 \mathrm{eq}{ }^{\text {(c) }}$ | 48\%, 30\% s.m. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |

Table 3.16: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 9e. Conditions: 2eq $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ or $3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (entry 6 and 8 ) were employed as base. Amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ if used as co-solvent: $10 \%$ in indicated solvent. s.m.: starting material. ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ isolated yield after column chromatography of one experiment. ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ test reaction on analytical scale. ${ }^{(c)}$ generated in situ from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. ${ }^{(d)}$ isolated yield after column chromatography of at least two experiments.

Therefore, reaction conditions were further optimized. For that purpose a reaction was run on analytical scale first in order to monitor progress of the reaction by LC-MS
and then scaled up for yield determination. At first it was decided to increase catalyst loading in all further reactions to $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$. Then the influence of the solvent on reaction outcome was tested, starting with the polar solvent DMF. In DMF, the reaction was completed after 20 hours of reaction time and no starting material was isolated from the reaction mixture (entry 2 and 3, table 3.16). Product yield in DMF was low, only a yield of $20 \%$ was obtained.The main side product was reduced starting material which was recovered in more than $40 \%$ yield (entry 3 , table 3.16). LC-MS data of analytical and up-scaled conditions corresponded well to each other, as can be seen in figure 3.60.


Figure 3.60: LC-spectrum of crude 9 e at 280 nm . Absorbance intensity is depicted as mAU. Retention time: 1.1 min : reduced starting material. 2.6 min : product. Left: LC-spectrum entry 3, table 3.16. Peak ratio: $1.1 \mathrm{~min} / 2.6 \mathrm{~min}=1.3: 1$ Right: LC-spectrum of entry 2 , table 3.16 . Peak ratio: $1.1 \mathrm{~min} / 2.6 \mathrm{~min}=1.5: 1$.

It was then tested whether the less polar solvents dioxane and xylene were beneficial for the reaction outcome. In these solvents, only minor amounts of reduced starting material were detected in LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture and none was isolated after column chromatography. Analytical test reactions indicated that significantly longer reaction times of 40 hours were required as compared to DMF. Longer reaction times than 40 hours were not tested. In addition, 10\% of water was added as co-solvent to the reaction mixture. This has been found to be beneficial in Suzuki couplings in some cases during the work on this thesis.
Up-scaling of the reaction gave the product $9 \mathbf{e}$ in dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in a reasonable yield of $48 \%$ and $30 \%$ of recovered starting material. With xylene $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the product was reproducibly obtained in $57 \%$ yield with $11 \%$ of unreacted starting material being recovered.

These results suggested that in less polar solvents the oxidative addition of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ into the pyridine-bromide bond was slower, since longer reaction times were required and unreacted starting material was recovered from the reaction mixture. The formation of reduced starting material which was observed when performing the reaction in DMF
was caused by hydrodebromination. This probably takes place by formation of $\sigma$ pyridine $-\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{H}$-complex (from the oxidative addition product: a $\sigma$-pyridine$\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ - Br -complex) which can eliminate the reduced starting material. ${ }^{[97]}$ The reason for this can be inefficient transmetalation, ${ }^{[97]}$ in this case of propylboronic acid to the pyridine- $\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-halide complex. Another explanation could be that propylboronic acid is unstable in DMF, e.g. undergoing $\beta$-hydride elimination, ${ }^{[156]}$ thereby reducing the amount of nucleophilic component present in the reaction mixture. In the case of dioxane and xylene, transmetalation appears to work better, no or only minor elimination of reduced starting material from the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complex was observed. Again, better stability of propylboronic acid in less polar solvents could add to the observed results.
Another rationalization could be a higher stability of the pyridine $-\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-halide complex in less polar solvents which increased probability for a productive interaction of this complex with the active alkyl boron-ate complex in the transmetalation process. An increased stability of this complex would also be an explanation for the observed suppression of dehydrobromination of the starting material in dioxane and xylene.


Figure 3.61: Scheme of the synthesis of bromide 9h and chloride 9i.

Selective deprotonation of 3-methoxy-5-propylpyridine 9 e worked significantly better than with the 3-benzyloxy derivative 9d. With 4 eq of $n$ BuLi/LiDMAE $41 \%$ to $57 \%$ yield of the corresponding halides were obtained (entry 3 and 5 , table 3.17).

| entry | solvent | BuLi/LiDMAE | T | t (dep) | $\mathrm{E}^{+}$ | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | hexane | 3 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 min | $4 \mathrm{eqCBr} 4^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | test run |
| 2 | hexane | 2 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{CBr} 4^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | n.d. ${ }^{(b)}$ |
| 3 | hexane | 4 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $6 \mathrm{eqCBr}{ }_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $41 \%^{(c)}$ |
| 4 | hexane | 2 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $4 \mathrm{eqC} 2_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}{ }^{\text {a) }}$ | $20 \%{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ |
| 5 | hexane | 4 eq | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 min | $6 \mathrm{eqC} 2_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $57 \%{ }^{(c)}$ |

Table 3.17: Reaction conditions for the halogenation of 9 e . ${ }^{(2)} \mathrm{E}_{+}$added at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{(5)}$ not clean, contaminated with $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$, therefore no yield was determined. ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ isolated yield after column chromatography from at least two experiments. ${ }^{(d)}$ isolated yield after one experiment.

When using less $n$ BuLi/LiDMAE in order to save reagent, in the case of chloride $9 \mathbf{i}$ the yield dropped (entry 4, table 3.17) confirming literature reports that high amounts of the superbase were required for selective metalation. ${ }^{[136]}$ Only one product with the expected mass spectrum was found by LC-MS analysis of the crude product. Regioselectivity of lithiation/halogenation was confirmed in 1D- and 2D-NMR measurements.
As can be seen in figure 3.62 , chlorination of 9 e occurred at the pyridine ring and not at the benzylic positions. Regioselective chlorination was confirmed by COSY- and HMQC-measurements of halides $\mathbf{9 h}$ and $\mathbf{9 i}$.


Figure 3.62: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of chloride 9 i in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.

### 3.2.4 Assembly of pyridine-based oligomers

### 3.2.4.1 Synthetic strategy

Having established the syntheses of the four single pyridine building blocks, the next part of the synthesis was the assembly of building blocks 6 to 9 to obtain the target scaffold 2.



$8 \mathrm{~d} X=\mathrm{Br}$
7a $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}$ 6 R 7d R ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{Me}$


Figure 3.63: Scheme of successfully synthesized single pyridine building blocks.

Unfortunately, it was not possible to produce building block $\mathbf{8 k}$ in sufficient amounts in order to construct target scaffold 2 by the initially proposed synthesis with iterative Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (route A or B, chapter 3.2.2).

| compound | numer <br> steps | of | final yield | compound | numer of <br> steps |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 c}$ | 2 | $33.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 e}$ | 4 | final yield |
| 6d | 2 | $34.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 k}$ | 7 | $20.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 f}$ | 2 | n.d. | $\mathbf{9 h}$ | 2 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 7d | 1 | $20.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 i}$ | 2 | $23.4 \%$ |
| 8d | 4 | $12.5 \%$ |  | $32.5 \%$ |  |

Table 3.18: Final yields of functionalized building blocks 6-9.

At this point, it was decided to synthesize the intermediate building blocks 14a and 14b depicted in figure 3.64. These 1-(2,3'-bipyridin-5-yl)dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridines are important precursors of quaterpyridine 2c and
establishing the synthesis and evaluating the biological activity of this scaffold and derivatives thereof will be highly useful for a further development of synthetic strategies.


14a

14b

Figure 3.64: Terpyridine derivatives 14a and 14b.

As already mentioned, 2,5-dibromo-3,4-dimethylpyridine 7d can only be produced in low milligram scale. Therefore we decided to establish the synthesis of the 1-(2,3'-bipyridin-5-yl)-dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine scaffold 14 with commercially available 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine 7a first (14b) and synthesize the dimethyl-derivative with the optimized reaction conditions later on (14a, figure 3.64). Both scaffolds and their synthetic precursors will be tested for biological activity.

The synthesis of protected terpyridines 22a and 22b was planned as a three step reaction sequence depicted in figure 3.65. After Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of building block 6 and pyridine 7a or 7d, the resulting 2,3'-bipyridines 12a-b would be borylated using a mild Pd-catalyzed procedure to form pinacol boronates 21a-b thereby avoiding side reactions of base-labile ester and nitrile groups. There are two main methods that can be used, the one reported in this work using bis(pinacolato)diboron as nucleophile ${ }^{[157 ; 158]}$ and the alternative using pinacolborane as nucleophile. ${ }^{[159 ; 160]}$ Since 2-pyridyl boronic acids and often also the esters are known for their instability and ready degradation, ${ }^{[96]}$ it was decided to use 5 -pyridyl pinacol boronates 21a or 21b as nucleophilic components for the coupling of bipyridine building block with halides $8 \mathrm{~d} / 8 \mathrm{e}$.
We needed to establish conditions for the coupling of pinacol boronate esters 21a-b to bromide 8d. It was decided to also optimize a coupling reaction between bipyridines 12a-b and pyridyl chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$. Pyridyl chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$ was synthesized with
almost twice as much yield as bromide 8d. In addition, an established coupling procedure for 2-pyridyl chloride would be useful in case that pyridine $\mathbf{8 k} / b i p y r i d y l$ chloride 13a become available at a later time.


Figure 3.65: Overview of proposed synthesis of terpyridines 22a and 22b.

The synthetic strategy is discussed in more detail in the corresponding chapters. The final steps in this reaction sequence were the deprotection of the carboxylic acid functionalities by basic hydrolysis ${ }^{[105]}$ followed by removal of the benzyl protection group from the amine by hydrogenolysis. ${ }^{[106]}$


Figure 3.66: General scheme of proposed synthesis of terpyridines via [2+2+2] cycloaddition.

In addition, it was decided to develop a modified synthetic route to terpyridine building block 24a in which the difficult synthesis of building block 8 was avoided. Instead, building block 8 was attached to bipyridine 12b via [2+2+2] cycloaddition as discussed in detail in chapter 3.2.4.3 (figure 3.66).

### 3.2.4.2 Synthesis of 3-(5-(3-(3-aminopropyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyri-din-1-yl)-2'-carbamoyl-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)-propanoic acid

## Coupling of building blocks 6 and 7

Both Stille ${ }^{[88 ; 89]}$ and Suzuki ${ }^{[90 ; 102]}$ coupling conditions have been successfully used for construction of bipyridines or terpyridines. Employing either coupling reaction is associated with certain advantages. Stannyl pyridines in Stille couplings were expected to be more stable than organoboron pyridines. In addition, Stille reactions as a whole are considered as a reliable and robust method for difficult couplings; [83;96] the main disadvantage with this reaction is the high toxicity associated with use of equimolar amounts of tin reagents. ${ }^{[161]}$ Suzuki coupling conditions have the main advantage of employing thermally-, air- and moisture-stable boronic acids or esters, ${ }^{[98]}$ that are generally non-toxic. In addition, removal of boron-containing byproducts is straightforward. ${ }^{[162]}$
Therefore, it was tested empirically which conditions work better for this system. Coupling with Stille or Suzuki conditions of pyridine metalates $\mathbf{6 c}$-d or 6 f was expected to take place regioselectively at the C-2-position of $\mathbf{7 a}$ or $\mathbf{7 d}$ by selective oxidative addition of the catalytically active $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species into the more electrophilic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond at the 2-position. ${ }^{[97 ; 163]}$


Figure 3.67: Scheme of proposed Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of building blocks 6 and 7.

Successful synthesis of bipyridines by Stille coupling has been reported using trimethylstannylpyridine and tributylstannylpyridine, therefore both 6c and 6d were used as nucleophilic component in test reactions. Reaction conditions for the Stille coupling were adapted from Heller et al. ${ }^{[89]}$ (coupling of 2-tributylstannylpyridine with 2,6-dibromopyridine, entry 1, table 3.19) and Yamamoto et al. ${ }^{[164]}$ (coupling of 3-
trimethylstannylpyridine and 2-bromopyridine, entry 2-5, table 3.19), both of which employ a standard $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ catalyst. Coupling of both stannylpyridines was tested with both 2,5-dibromo derivatives 7a and 7d.

| entry | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | solvent | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | T | t | 12a or b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | toluene | $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 168 h | - |
| 2 | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | xylene | $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 144 h | $-{ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | xylene | $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 168 h | + |
| 4 | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | xylene | $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 168 h | - |
| 5 | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | xylene | $1.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 68 h | + |

Table 3.19: Reaction conditions of Stille coupling. Reaction mixtures were analyzed by LC-MS. Samples were taken throughout the course of the reaction in order to monitor reaction progress. + : product formation detected. -: no product formation detected. ${ }^{(a)}$ the starting materials appear to decompose over course of reaction.

As shown in table 3.19, product formation was only observed in test reactions with trimethylstannane 6c as coupling partner. Under the conditions tested, only partial coupling of bromides 7a or 7d and stannane 6c was observed even after prolonged reaction times. The best ratio of 12b/7a observed in LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture was $0.1: 1$ (determined from area of product peaks at 280 nm , from entry 5) indicating only partial coupling of stannane 6c and bromide 7a took place. With these results at hand, it was decided to perform further test reactions with Suzuki coupling prior to up-scaling of the reaction.

For Suzuki coupling of boronate ester 6f and bromide 7d depicted in figure 3.68 conditions were adapted from the literature ${ }^{[90 ; 102]}$ for couplings of 3 -pyridyl boronic acids with aryl and heteroaryl bromides (including 2,5-dibromopyridine, entry 1, table 3.20).


Figure 3.68: Scheme of the synthesis of bipyridine 12a by Suzuki-Miyaura coupling.

Although the coupling partner in this case is pyridyl boronate ester $\mathbf{6 f}$, it is assumed that the boronate ester undergoes full or partial hydrolysis under typical Suzuki conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ as co-solvent, heat). ${ }^{[165]}$ However, when using the described conditions, no product formation was observed. When switching from dioxane/sodium carbonate to the more polar solvent DMF/potassium phosphate some product formation was observed. LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture showed a ratio of $\mathbf{1 2 a} / \mathbf{7 d}$ of $0.15: 1$ (determined from area of product peaks at 280 nm ) which is in a similar range as found under the Stille conditions employed earlier (entry 2, table 3.20).

| entry | solvent | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | Base | T | t | 12a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5mol\% | $5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 24h | ${ }^{(a)}$ |
| 2 | DMF | 5mol\% | 3eq $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3h | + ${ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ |
| 3 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5mol\% | $3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19h | $+{ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 4 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 6mol\% ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 3eq $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4h | 56\% ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ |
| 5 | DMF | $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$ | 3eq $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19h | $+{ }^{\text {(a) }}$ |
| 6 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{PCy}_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ | $1.7 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K} 3_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $100{ }^{\circ}$ | 19h | - ${ }^{\text {a) }}$ |
| 7 | $n \mathrm{BuOH}$ | $\mathrm{Pd} /$ XPhos $^{(e)}$ | $2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 19h | - (a) |

Table 3.20: Conditions for the synthesis of 12a. ${ }^{(a)}$ performed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere. ${ }^{(b)}$ formed in situ
 ${ }^{(e)} 1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} / 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ XPhos. +: product formation detected by LC-MS. -: no product formation detected by LC-MS.

Switching back to the less polar dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solvent system and keeping potassium phosphate as base improved product ratio as judged from LC-MS analysis to 12a/7d 1.7:1 after 4 h of reaction time (determined from area of product peaks at 280 nm , (entry 3 , table 3.20). The product ratio did not change after longer reaction time (up to 20h). After up-scaling, the product was isolated in $56 \%$ yield (entry 4 , table 3.20).
It was also tried to increase the yield of the reaction with other catalyst systems reported for Suzuki couplings of aryls ${ }^{[157]}$ or specifically for couplings of 3-pyridyl boronic acids and esters ${ }^{[91 ; 93]}$ (entry 5-7), however no improvement of 12a/7d ratio was observed with the tested conditions in LC-MS analysis.

The optimized conditions for the synthesis of bipyridine 12a were then applied to the synthesis of bipyridine 12b as depicted in figure 3.69 . 12b was synthesized with lower yield of $43 \%$ (entry 1, table 3.21). Further optimization of reaction conditions was desired. It was found that addition of boronic ester $\mathbf{6 f}$ to the reaction mixture over
the course of the reaction was beneficial for reaction outcome ( $66 \%$ yield, entry 2 , table 3.21), probably by reducing deboronation of $\mathbf{6 f}$ in the reaction mixture.


Figure 3.69: Scheme of the synthesis of bipyridine 12b by Suzuki-Miyaura coupling.

Increasing reaction time from 3 h to 4 h further improved reaction outcome, giving product 12b in good yield of $74 \%$ (entry 3, table 3.21). Reduction of catalyst loading from $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ to $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ did not significantly change product yield (entry 4 and 5, table 3.21). The reaction was successfully adapted to multigram-scale using $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ or 2 mol\% of catalyst loading without affecting product yield.

| entry | solvent | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | Base | T | t | 12b* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(a)}$ | $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%^{\text {(b) }}$ | 3eq $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3h | 43\% |
| 2 | dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(a)}$ | $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | 3eq $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $80^{\circ}$ | 3h | 66\% ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ |
| 3 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%^{\text {(b) }}$ | $3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4h | $74 \%{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ |
| 4 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%^{(b)}$ | $3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4h | $74 \%{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ |
| 5 | dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%^{(b)}$ | $3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4h | $72 \%{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ |

Table 3.21: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 12b. *depicted are isolated yields after column chromatography of at least two experiments. (a) dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : 12:1. (b) formed in situ from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, performed at $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-line ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})} 6 \mathrm{f}$ added over course of 90 min at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction mixt ure was then stirred for 90 more minutes at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{(d)} 6 f$ added over course of 90 min at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction mixt ure was then stirred for 150 more minutes at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cool ed down to rt and stirred $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$.

Re-applying these optimized conditions to the synthesis of bipyridine 12a did not increase product yield of that reaction. This finding was a little surprising since both compounds are similar in structure and no differences in steric accessibility of the reaction center were present. The electron-donating methylgroup at the C-4 position of $\mathbf{7 d}$ might slightly deactivate the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond for oxidative addition as compared to 7a. This was, however, not further examined by e.g. competition experiments. The expected regioselectivity of the Pd-catalyzed coupling of $\mathbf{6 f}$ to bromides 7a or 7d was confirmed in 1D- and 2D-NMR measurements with bipyridines 12a and 12b.

Due to the very low product formation and long reaction times observed with Stille conditions compared to the optimized Suzuki conditions, Suzuki coupling conditions were employed for all following coupling procedures. Also, the required trimethylstannlypyridine 6c was only obtained in modest yield. The boron-based reactants used in Suzuki couplings offer the advantage of lower toxicity and easier purification of products from metal-organic species. This is of special importance since the compounds are to be tested in biological systems.

## Development of two-step one-pot borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura crosscoupling procedure

Due to the base-labile ester and nitrile functions, the 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2dioxaborolane functionality was introduced to C-5 with a mild Pd-catalyzed borylation (figure 3.70) and not by a lithiation/transmetalation procedure as used for the synthesis of boronate ester $6 \mathbf{f}$. ${ }^{[158 ; 166]}$ Pd-catalyzed borylations of aryl and heteroaryl halides are thought to proceed through the general catalytical cycle reported for other types of Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings as well: Oxidative addition of the catalytically active $L_{n} \mathrm{Pd}(0)$ species into the carbon-halide bond followed by transmetalation of an $\mathrm{L}_{n} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-aryl complex by bis(pinacolato)diborane; reductive elimination regenerates the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-species and releases a pinacol areneboronate. ${ }^{[166]}$


Figure 3.70: Schemes of the catalytic cycles that are proposed to be involved in Pd-catalyzed borylation of aryl halides. ${ }^{[98]}$ Left: Proposed general catalytic cycle of borylation. Right: Proposed catalytic cycle of borylation via $\overline{\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})}$-Ar-OAc complex. Adapted from ${ }^{[98 ; 158 ; 166]}$

Potassium acetate is usually employed as base in borylation reactions. It has been found empirically that use of stronger bases like potassium phosphate often results in
cross-coupling of the boronate ester with remaining halide. ${ }^{[166 ; 167]}$ The reason for efficiency of potassium acetate in borylations is probably not restricted to its lower basicity but rather more mechanistically: the acetate ion seems to replace the halide from the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-complex formed after oxidative addition. ${ }^{[98 ; 166]}$ This may facilitate transmetalation by interaction of the acetate-oxygen and the oxophilic boron-atom.
In general, borylations have been performed using similar catalyst systems as for Suzuki couplings, ${ }^{[92 ; 167]}$ it has been reported that for aryl bromides and iodides $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$ is more efficient than $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{[166]}$
Borylation of bipyridines 12a and 12b was initially attempted using $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ as catalyst. Under these conditions complete conversion of the starting material was observed in LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture. Up-scaling of the reaction gave the products in low yield of $19 \%$ after column chromatography. The low yield of the reaction was explained by instability of the boronate ester. During column chromatography, significant deboronation of the product was observed. This was surprising since it had been reported that boronic acid pinacol esters can be purified by flash chromatography. ${ }^{[98]}$


Figure 3.71; Scheme of the synthesis of boronate esters 21a and 21b.

When re-examining purification procedures of pyridine pinacol esters in the literature, it was found that other purification methods (re-crystallization, kugelrohr distillation) were used; Leblanc et al. reported similar stability problems during column chromatography with pinacol pyridyl boronates. ${ }^{[92 ; 168 ; 169]}$ The reaction and purification conditions for boronate ester 21a and 21b were not further optimized. Instead further work focused on development of a two-step one-pot coupling procedure in which in situ formed boronate esters 21b or 21a are coupled directly to 2-pyridyl bromide 8d, thereby avoiding the isolation of the boronates. ${ }^{[92 ; 157]}$

Successful one pot couplings of two halides via Pd-catalyzed in situ conversion of one coupling partner to a pinacol boronate ester as nucleophile have been reported. The procedures were used with (hetero)aryl bromides or iodides using $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (dppf) or $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ as catalyst. ${ }^{[157 ; 170 ; 171]}$ The corresponding biaryls were obtained in modest to good yields. In addition, Billingsley et al. recently reported that a Pd-catalyst based on dialkylbiaryl phosphine ligand SPhos has been successfully used for the synthesis of unsymmetrical biaryls in a one pot borylation/Suzuki coupling procedure from deactivated aryl and heteroaryl chlorides. ${ }^{[92]}$ To the author's knowledge, until earlier this year ${ }^{[172]}$ no successful synthesis of a bipyridine or terpyridine applying a Pdcatalyzed borylation/Suzuki one pot protocol had been reported. This was attributed to the general problems associated with Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of two electronpoor heteroaromatic compounds that have been described in chapter 3.2.1.1. ${ }^{[96 ; 173]}$

A prerequisite for a successful one-pot coupling of bromide 12b with bromide 8d as depicted in figure 3.72 was that conditions could be found that allow both steps, borylation of 12b and subsequent Suzuki coupling to 8d, to take place with good efficiency. Prior to establishing reaction conditions for a one-pot coupling procedure of bipyridine 12b and bromide 8d, it was decided to use the already isolated boronate ester 21b to find suitable conditions for the coupling to bromide 8d. The results of these tests were then applied to the development of the one-pot procedure.


Figure 3.72: Schematic representation of the synthesis of terpyridine 22b from boronate ester 21b and bromide 8d..

Pinacol boronate 21b was used as nucleophilic component of the Suzuki coupling with bromide 8d. Reaction mixtures of test reactions were evaluated by LC-MS analysis and are depicted in table 3.22. Initially, optimized reaction conditions established for the coupling of pinacol pyridyl boronate $\mathbf{6 f}$ and 2,5-dibromopyridines

7a or d (entry 1, table 3.22) were used. However, no product formation was observed.

| entry | solvent | cat | base | T | t | 22b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(a)}$ | $6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{(0)}$ | 3eq K ${ }_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | o/n |  |
| 2 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {a }}$ | $6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | $3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{COO}_{3}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | o/n | + |
| 3 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {a }}$ | $6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | 3eq $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $100^{\circ}$ | 24 h | + |
| 4 | DMF/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {a }}$ | $6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | 3eq $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $100^{\circ}$ | 3h | $5 \%{ }^{(d)}$ |

Table 3.22: Conditions for the synthesis of 22b. Reactions were performed with $1.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{12b}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ solvent $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 20:1. ${ }^{(b)}$ from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, reaction performed at $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-line. (c) from $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} / 0.1$ eq SPhos , reaction performed at $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-line. ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$ purified by gradient cc starting from EtOAc/hexane $1+10$ containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, product elutes at $40 \%$ to $45 \%$ EtOAc in hexane.

When switching to DMF as more polar solvent and to potassium carbonate as base, product formation was observed after 1 hour of reaction time with a ratio of $\mathbf{8 d} / \mathbf{2 2 b}$ of 1:0.5 (determined from peak area of product peaks at 254 and 280 nm , entry 2, table 3.22, figure 3.73). Increasing the reaction temperature to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ increased the observed ratio of $\mathbf{8 d} / \mathbf{2 2 b}$ to $1: 2$ (determined from area of product peaks at $254 / 280 \mathrm{~nm}$, entry 3 , table 3.22 , figure 3.73 ) which did not change significantly after extending the reaction time to more then 3 hours.


Figure 3.73: LC-chromatogram of crude 22b. Left: entry 2, reaction performed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Right: entry 3 , reaction performed at $100^{\circ}$ C. $7.45 / / .61$ : starting material. 8.06/8.15: 22b. 11.08: unidentified impurity from LC-MS.

Up-scaling of the reaction conditions (entry 4, table 3.22) gave the product in $5 \%$ yield after column chromatography. The low yield was explained by inefficient coupling in this particular example of the experiment, as $40 \%$ of the starting material 8d were recovered and general difficulties with column chromatography of this type of basic scaffold. This was also observed later on during purification of this and derivatives of this compound. It was assumed that due to their four basic nitrogen functions, the compounds probably bind tightly to the silica gel and elute only partially from the column especially when using relatively unpolar eluent mixtures, as was the case in this example which was the first time that this type of terpyridine was purified.

As described later, optimization of purification conditions with more polar eluent mixtures and higher amount of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ as co-eluent improved outcome.

For the development of the 'one-pot' procedure, the conditions from the SuzukiMiyaura coupling of boronate ester 21b and bromide 8d were applied as depicted in figure 3.74.


Figure 3.74: Scheme of the two-step one-pot procedure for the synthesis of terpyridine 22b.

It was decided to employ microwave-synthesis for this procedure. In microwaveassisted synthesis, dielectric heating is used for heating up reaction mixtures. ${ }^{[174 ; 175]}$ Microwaves designed for chemical synthesis permit tight control of temperature and pressure in the reaction vessel. Reactions can be performed at higher pressure (in this case up to 20 bar) allowing superheating of mixtures to higher temperatures than under conventional conditions. This way, reaction rates can be significantly enhanced and in some cases improved reaction yields have been reported. ${ }^{[175 ; 176]}$ Microwaveassisted synthesis has been successfully applied to Suzuki-Miyaura and other types of Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings with or without ligand support. ${ }^{[174]}$ The reaction time of the Pd-catalyzed borylation of aryl chlorides with bis(pinacolato)diboron using an $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{NHC}$ carbene complex was successfully reduced when applying microwave heating resulting in similar yields as found under conventional heating conditions. ${ }^{[177]}$ This suggested that a microwave procedure could be applied to the borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura coupling sequence and reduce reaction time significantly.

As already mentioned, for the coupling of boronate 21b and bromide 8d, DMF/potassium carbonate was established as a suitable system for the SuzukiMiyaura coupling.This was very convenient for establishing a microwave-assisted
procedure since DMF is considered a very suitable microwave solvent due its polarity: Reaction mixtures containing DMF can usually be efficiently heated up by microwave irradiation in a short time to high temperatures. ${ }^{[176]}$
In test reactions (entry 1 and entry 2, table 3.23, performed on analytical scale), progress of borylation (step 1) and afterwards of the coupling reaction (step 2) were monitored by LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture. Borylation of bipyridine $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ proceeded smoothly with DMF as solvent and was completed after 7 minutes at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the microwave. After addition of bromide 8 d and 1.5 eq or 2.1 eq of aqueous potassium carbonate, product formation was observed after 3 minutes $/ 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the microwave. Up-scaling of the reaction gave the product in $14 \%$ yield, which was increased to $20 \%$ after the reaction time for the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling was increased to 3.5 minutes (entry 4, table 3.23). Under thermal conditions, the product was obtained in similar low yield of $15 \%$ (entry 5 , table 3.23 ).

| entry | solvent | cat | base | T | t | 22b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | 5mol\% $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{KOAc/} \\ & 2.1 \mathrm{eqK}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(b)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{~min} / \\ & 3 \mathrm{~min} \end{aligned}$ | + |
| 2 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | 5mol\% $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{KOAc/} \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(b)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mathrm{~min} / \\ & 3 \mathrm{~min} \end{aligned}$ | + |
| 3 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3eq KOAc/ <br> $1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 7 min 3 min | $14 \%{ }^{(d)}$ |
| 4 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{KOAc/} \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(b)} \end{aligned}$ | $7 \mathrm{~min} /$ <br> 3.5 min | 20\% ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$ |
| 5 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {(c) }}$ | 3eq KOAc/ <br> $1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $75 \mathrm{~min} /$ <br> 3h | $15 \%{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ |
| 6 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | 3eq KOAc/ <br> $1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 7 min/ <br> 40 min | 48\% ${ }^{(f)}$ |

Table 3.23: Reaction conditions for the two-step one-pot synthesis of 22b. All reactions were carried out with 1.2 eq of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ and 0.7 eq of 8 d . + : product formation detected in LC-MS analysis. ${ }^{(a)}$ step 1 in indicated solvent, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is added before the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the one pot procedure. ${ }^{(b)}$ both reaction steps were performed in the microwave. ${ }^{(c)}$ from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, reaction performed at $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-line. ${ }^{(d)}$ gradient CC. ${ }^{(e)}$ in oil bath. ${ }^{(f)}$ isocratic CC, EtOAc/hexane $1+1$ containing $2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$.

As already mentioned, purification of terpyridine 22b and derivatives by column chromatography was difficult. It appeared that significant amounts of product stick to the stationary phase of the column, as judged from differences between crude material loaded on the column and pure material obtained from the column when using gradient column chromatography: only $55 \%$ of material was recovered. It was, however, possible to increase product yield significantly when purifying the


#### Abstract

compound with a polar, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$-containing eluent as depicted in table 3.23. With this more polar and more basic eluent, the product probably eluted quicker from silica gel without being given time to associate with it. ${ }^{[172]}$ Similar problems with a 2,2'-6',2"terpyridine scaffold were recently reported when trying to purify the compounds by preparative TLC. ${ }^{[172]}$ Alternative work-up strategies, like pH -dependent liquid-liquid extraction as well as kugelrohr distillation did not work for the purification of 22b. After polar, isocratic column chromatography, 22b was obtained in 48\% yield (entry 6, table 3.23 ), when the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the one-pot protocol was carried out at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 minutes in the microwave.


## Development of one-pot coupling procedure for chloride coupling

Having successfully established a one-pot protocol for the coupling of bipyridine 12b to the 1-bromo-dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine derivative 8d, the next step was to expand this approach to the coupling of bipyridine $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ with chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$ as depicted in figure 3.75.


Figure 3.75: Scheme of the two-step one-pot protocol for the synthesis of terpyridine 22 b with chloride 8 e as coupling partner.

Aryl and heteroaryl chlorides are in general considered to be poor substrates for Pdcatalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura couplings due to low reactivity in these reactions under standard conditions. This has been attributed to the strength of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond which is thought to impede oxidative addition of the catalytically active $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. ${ }^{[101]}$ On the other hand, 2-pyridyl chlorides like 8 e are usually activated for oxidative addition due to the polarity of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond placed adjacent to the
electron withdrawing pyridine N -atom. Therefore, successful Suzuki-Miyaura couplings of 2-pyridyl chlorides and other electron-poor aryls using standard catalysts have been reported. ${ }^{[101]}$ Avitia et al. reported earlier this year a very similar one-pot borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of 3-pyridyl bromide and 2,6-dichloropyridine using another standard catalyst $-\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$ - giving the desired product in modest yield. ${ }^{[172]}$ Therefore, before testing other phosphine-based catalysts, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ was evaluated as catalyst for the sequential borylation/Suzuki coupling of bipyridine 12b and chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$.

| entry | solvent | cat | base | T | t | 22b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | 5mol\% Pd(PPh $)_{4}$ | 3eq KOAc/ | $130{ }^{\circ} /$ | $7 \mathrm{~min} /$ | - |
|  |  |  | $1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $160{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(b)}$ | 3 min |  |
| 2 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 3eq KOAc/ | $130{ }^{\circ}$ / | $7 \mathrm{~min} /$ | - |
|  |  |  | $1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(b)}$ | 21 min |  |
| 3 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(a)}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | 3 q KOAc/ | $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ | $32 \mathrm{~min} /$ | $19 \%{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ |
|  |  |  | 1.5eq $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 25 min |  |

Table 3.24: Reaction conditions for the two-step one-pot procedure with $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$. All reactions were carried out with 1.2 eq of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ and 0.8 eq 8 e . -: no product formation detected in LC-MS analysis. ${ }^{(a)}$ step1 in indicated solvent, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is added before the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the one pot procedure. ${ }^{(b)}$ both reaction steps were performed in the microwave. ${ }^{(c)} \operatorname{Pd}(0)$ was generated in situ from $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. ${ }^{(d)}$ isolated yield after isocratic column chromatography, average from two experiments.

As shown in table 3.24, no product formation was observed when using optimized conditions from the coupling of boronate ester 6f with 2-pyridyl bromide 7a, whether the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the one-pot procedure was performed at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes (entry 1) or at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 21 minutes (entry 2). When switching bases from potassium carbonate to potassium phosphate and to the less polar solvent system dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for the Suzuki coupling, product formation was observed, and the product was isolated in a low yield of $19 \%$. Since complete conversion of the starting materials was confirmed by LC-MS analysis, no new catalyst was added for the second step of the procedure. This could be partially due to the short reaction time used in microwave-assisted synthesis, but also corresponds to a report by Miura et al. with conventional heating in which addition of new catalyst was also not required. ${ }^{[170]}$ The low yield was explained with the fact that $\mathbf{8 e}$ is more electron-rich and therefore less activated for oxidative addition than unsubstituted 2-pyridyl chlorides as used by Avitia et al.
In recent years, several new ligands have been developed successfully for Pdcatalyzed cross-couplings for e.g. Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of activated and nonactivated aryl and heteroaryl chlorides and also for sterically hindered substrates.

These ligands are usually phosphine- or CHN carbene-based. ${ }^{[177 ; 178]}$ For this work, screening for ligands was restricted to phosphine-based ligands.
For this purpose, four ligands depicted in figure 3.76 were chosen for this screening. All of these ligands were commercially available and they can be divided in two classes: L1 (tricyclohexylphosphine) and L2 (tri- $t$-butylphosphine) are trialkylphosphines and were reported as efficient catalysts for Suzuki couplings with (hetero)aryl chlorides recently. ${ }^{[91 ; 167 ; 179]}$ The dialkyl-biarylphosphines L3 and L4 were also reported recently and employed successfully for the same purpose. ${ }^{[165 ; 173]}$
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Figure 3.76: Phosphine ligands L1-L4. L1: tricyclohexylphosphine. L2: tri-t-butylphosphine. L3: SPhos. L4: XPhos.

The efficiency of these phosphine ligands in Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings with unactivated halides is thought to be caused by two main effects: As compared to triphenylphosphine, these ligands are more electron-rich by means of their alkylsubstituents. This facilitates oxidative addition into carbon-halides bonds. ${ }^{[101 ; 101 ; 162 ; 180]}$ In addition, it is thought that the bulkiness of these ligands (in the case of L2: from the sterically demanding tBu-groups, L3, L4: from the substituted biarylgroup) facilitates formation of mono-ligated $\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{Pd}$-complexes in the catalytic cycle. Mono-ligated Pd-complexes are considered to be more reactive and undergo oxidative addition as well as transmetalation and reductive elimination faster than $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$-species. ${ }^{[162 ; 181]}$
All four ligands have been successfully employed in Suzuki-Miyaura couplings of aryl chlorides with boronic acids. ${ }^{[162 ; 182]} \mathbf{L 3}, \mathbf{L 4}$ and $\mathbf{L 1}$ have been successfully employed for Pd-catalyzed borylation of heteroaryl chlorides including 3-pyridylchlorides giving
the corresponding pinacol boronate esters in modest to good yield. ${ }^{[92 ; 167]}$ The four ligands were therefore expected to function well when employed for Pd-catalyzed borylation of the more reactive 5-bipyridyl bromide 12b. Moreover, L3 has been successfully employed in one-pot borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura couplings for the synthesis of asymmetric (hetero)biaryls from their respective chlorides. ${ }^{[92]}$ This approach has not been reported for a pyridine-pyridine coupling which employs an in situ-formed pyridyl boronate ester prone to undergo competing protodeboronation during the reaction.
In order to shorten reaction time microwave-assisted conditions were used for the evaluation of L1-L4 in one-pot coupling procedures. Gratifyingly, similar reaction conditions regarding solvents and bases used were reported in the literature for L1, L3 and L4 for either borylation of heteroaryls (L4) or both - borylation and SuzukiMiyaura coupling - (L1, L3): dioxane/KOAc for borylation; dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ for Suzuki-Miyaura coupling. ${ }^{[91 ; 92 ; 167]}$ Therefore, the reaction conditions applied were taken from the literature and combined with results from the already performed microwave reactions and not further optimized for the individual catalyst systems.

The evaluation of L1-L4 was performed in two steps: 1. Determination of their potential for Pd-catalyzed borylation of the 5-bipyridyl bromide 12b. 2. Determination of efficiency for Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of the boronate and chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$.

| entry | solvent | cat | base | T | consumption* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | dioxane | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | KOAc | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(0)}$ | 1h |
| 2 | dioxane | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | KOAc | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 30min |
| 3 | dioxane | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L3}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(b)}$ | >20h |
| 4 | dioxane | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L3}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3h |
| 5 | dioxane | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L4}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(b)}$ | 1h |
| 6 | dioxane | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L4}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 30 min |
| 7 | DMF | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L4}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 7 min |
| 8 | DMF | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L4}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(b)}$ | 1h |
| 9 | dioxane | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L1}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2h |
| 10 | dioxane | $0.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{L2}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | KOAc | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2h |

Table 3.25:Reaction conditions for the borylation of 12b. All reactions were carried out with 1.2eq of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ and 3eq of KOAc. *consumption of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ is completed after indicated amount of time according to LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture. ${ }^{(a)} \mathrm{Pd}(0)$ was generated from $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. ${ }^{(b)}$ in oil bath. ${ }^{(c)}$ reaction was carried out in the microwave. ${ }^{(d)} \mathrm{Pd}(0)$ was generated from $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$.

Results of the borylation with the different catalysts are depicted in table 3.25. All ligands tested catalyzed the borylation of the starting material in microwave-assisted reaction conditions and under thermal conditions: Borylation was completed fastest when using L4 or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as ligand (entry 1, 2, 4-8, table 3.25) under thermal or microwave heating, using DMF or dioxane as solvent. With L1, L2 or L3 as ligands, completion of the borylation required significantly longer reaction time, 2-3 hours in the microwave, for L3 abut 24 hours with conventional heating.

Evaluation of the catalyst systems for the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of boronate ester 21b with chloride 8e confirmed L4 as a suitable ligand in a one-pot procedure: Upscaling of the reaction using the conditions depicted in table 3.26 gave the product in $54 \%$ yield which was more than twice as much as obtained with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as ligand (entry 1, table 3.26).

| entry | solvent | cat | base | T | t | yield* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(a)}$ | Pd-L4 ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{KOAc} / \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 32 min/ 30 min | 54\% |
| 2 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pd-L4 ${ }^{(b)}$ | 3eq KOAc/ <br> 1.5eq $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 60 min/ 3h | 46\%** |
| 3 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pd-L4 ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 3eq KOAc/ $1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(\mathrm{b})} \end{aligned}$ | 7 min/ 21 min | 25\%** |
| 4 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pd-L4 ${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { eq KOAc/ } \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}{ }_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} .$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(d)} \end{aligned}$ | $60 \mathrm{~min} /$ <br> 3h | 39\%** |
| 5 | dioxane/ $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pd- L3 ${ }^{(b)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { eq KOAc/ } \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{~K} \end{aligned}{ }_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(b)} \end{aligned}$ | 195min/ <br> 21 min | 31\%** |
| 6 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pd- L1 ${ }^{(b)}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{KOAc/} \\ & 1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \\ & 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(b)} \end{aligned}$ | $110 \mathrm{~min} /$ <br> 30 min | 26\% |

Table 3.26: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 22b. All reactions were carried out with 1.2eg $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ and 0.8 eq 8 e . *isolated yield after isocratic column chromatography. ${ }^{* *}$ preliminary yield. (a) step1 in indicated solvent, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is added before the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the one pot procedure. ${ }^{(b)}$ both reaction steps were performed in the microwave. ${ }^{(c)} \mathrm{Pd}(0)$ was generated from $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ and 0.2 eq of the indicated ligand. ${ }^{\text {(d) }}$ heated in oil bath.

This confirmed that the use of a more electron-rich and bulkier ligand was beneficial for the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of the deactivated cyclopenta[c]pyridyl chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$ and allowed terpyridine synthesis in a convenient one-pot procedure. In addition, the microwave-assisted one-pot procedure was finished after about 1 h of reaction time only.

Then control reactions using L1-L3 as ligands were performed in order to estimate whether with L1-L3 better results than with L4 can be obtained for the SuzukiMiyaura coupling step. This was not the case as judging from isolated product yields and additional LC-MS analysis of test reactions. With L2, less than $10 \%$ conversion of chloride $8 \mathbf{e}$ was observed in LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture, therefore this ligand was not further evaluated. With L1, the product was obtained with an average yield of $26 \%$ and in order for the reaction to proceed, additional $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ and ligand had to be added before the second reaction step of the one-pot procedure. With L3, product was isolated in $31 \%$ yield in one run. This could not be reproduced, and in another run the product was obtained in less than $10 \%$ yield.
These results supported the choice of $\mathbf{L 4}$ as the most suitable ligand for this coupling procedure. Performing the reaction with L4 under thermal heating gave the product in similar yield range as the microwave-assisted procedure, although this has not been reproduced yet (entry 2 , table 3.26 ).

Of special interest was the solvent-dependence of the reaction outcome with L4 in microwave-assisted synthesis: Dioxane is a less favourable solvent for microwave synthesis than DMF. It does not have a permanent dipole and was therefore not efficiently heated up by the microwave irradiation in the borylation step. ${ }^{[175]}$ This was the main cause for the longer reaction times required for completion of the borylation reaction in dioxane as compared to DMF (table 3.24, table 3.26). When using dioxane as solvent, it took between 15 to 20 minutes to heat the sample to the required temperature, whereas with DMF, it only took 1 to 2 minutes. It would be advantageous, if the reaction could be performed in DMF instead of dioxane, because the reaction time could then be reduced even further. However, the use of DMF as solvent reduced isolated product yield (entry 3 and 4, table 3.26).

## Synthesis of terpyridines 22a and 22c

Having successfully established the synthesis of the model system 22b, the optimized conditions were applied first to the synthesis of 3,4-dimethyl derivative 22a as depicted in figure 3.77. 22a was synthesized in $40 \%$ yield with L4 as ligand (entry 3, table 3.27) from bipyridyl bromide 12a and chloride 8 e using the established microwave assisted one-pot protocol.


Figure 3.77: Scheme of the synthesis of terpyridine 22a.

Interestingly, when using $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ as catalyst, no product formation was observed under the conditions adapted from coupling of bipyridine 12b and chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$ (entry 1 and 2, table 3.27).

| entry | solvent | cat | base | T | t | 22a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(a)}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}^{\text {(b) }}$ | 3eq KOAc/ | $130{ }^{\circ} /$ | $30 \mathrm{~min} /$ | - |
|  |  |  | $1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {(c) }}$ | 21 min |  |
| 2 | DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 3eq KOAc/ | $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ | $7 \mathrm{~min} /$ | - |
|  |  |  | $1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {(c) }}$ | 21 min |  |
| 3 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | Pd-L4 ${ }^{(b)}$ | 3eq KOAc/ | $130{ }^{\circ}$ / | $32 \mathrm{~min} /$ | 40\%* |
|  |  |  | $1.5 \mathrm{eqK} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(c)}$ | 30 min |  |

Table 3.27: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of terpyridine 22a. All reactions were carried out with $1.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ and 0.8 eq 8 e . -: no formation of product detected in LC-MS analysis. *isolated yield after isocratic column chromatography. ${ }^{(a)}$ step1 in indicated solvent, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is added before the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the one pot procedure. ${ }^{(b)} \mathrm{Pd}(0)$ was generated from $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and 0.2 eq of the indicated ligand. ${ }^{(c)}$ both reaction steps were performed in the microwave.

1D-NMR and 2D-NMR measurements were performed to confirm identity of the product. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 2 a}$ is depicted in figure 3.78 .


Figure 3.78: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of 22a recorded in MeOD.

The reaction conditions were then also used for the synthesis of terpyridine 22c from bipyridyl bromide 12b and the di-chloropyridine derivative $\mathbf{8 I}$ as depicted in figure 3.79 and table 3.28.


Figure 3.79: Scheme of the synthesis of terpyridine 22c.

| entry | solvent | cat | base | T | t | 22a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{(a)}$ | $\mathrm{Pd-} \mathrm{L4}^{(0)}$ | $3 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{KOAc} /$ | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ | $30 \mathrm{~min} /$ | $22 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $1.5 \mathrm{eqK}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{(c)}$ | 60 min |  |

Table 3.28: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of terpyridine 22c. All reactions were carried out with $1.2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{Pin}_{2}$ and 0.8 eq 8 e . *isolated yield after gradient column chromatography. ${ }^{(a)}$ step 1 in indicated solvent, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is added before the $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the one pot procedure. ${ }^{(b)} \mathrm{Pd}(0)$ was generated from $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ and 0.2 eq of XPhos. ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ both reaction steps were performed in the microwave

For the synthesis of terpyridine 22c it was found to be beneficial to perform the reaction at maximal $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the microwave or under thermal conditions. The lower yield for this reaction was explained with problems during purification since column chromatography was performed with a gradient eluent system.

When comparing the results obtained with L1-L4, it was shown that trialkylphosphines L1 and L2 appear to be less suitable ligands for the coupling: low product yields were obtained and fresh amount of catalyst had to be added for the second step of the one-pot reaction. One reason for this could be the higher susceptibility of L1 and L2 towards oxidation found for electron-rich phosphines as compared to e.g. triphenylphosphine. ${ }^{[182 ; 183]}$ This could render the catalyst inactive over the course of the reaction. Whether this would be also the case when the reaction is performed in a presumably better sealed Schlenk apparatus and not in a microwave vessel was not determined. Nonetheless, L1 has been successfully used for bipyridyl synthesis from boronate esters and chloropyridines using very similar
conditions, ${ }^{[91]}$ therefore the low yield that was obtained was still disappointing. One explanation could be that L1 did not tolerate the higher temperature used in the microwave-assisted protocol.
Dialkylbiarylphosphines L3 and L4 appeared to be better suited for a one-pot protocol confirming results reported by Billingsley et al. ${ }^{[92]}$ L3 and L4 tolerated the higher temperatures used in microwave-assisted synthesis and no addition of fresh catalyst was needed, confirming their reported stability towards high temperatures and towards oxidation. ${ }^{[162 ; 183]}$ For their one-pot procedure for the synthesis of asymmetric biaryls from corresponding chlorides, Billingsley et al. reported L3 as the most suitable ligand. They did, however, not systematically apply this procedure to systems in which both coupling partners are electron-poor heteroaryls. ${ }^{[92 ; 172]}$ The results obtained for the bipyridine-pyridine coupling between bromide 22b and chloride 8e suggested that L4 might be better suited for one-pot procedures of this type. This was supported by other reports from Buchwald et al. in which L4 has been shown to be a more suitable phosphine ligand as compared to L3 for Suzuki-Miyaura couplings of 3 - and 4-pyridyl boronic acids with heteroaryl chlorides. ${ }^{[93 ; 173]}$ This has been attributed to the bulkier biarylgroup of L4 as compared to L3 which could increase the concentration of the reactive $L_{1} P d$-species in the reaction mixture. ${ }^{[173]}$ Obviously, in order to confirm this hypothesis more systematic studies with L3 and L4 and diverse sets of coupling partners should be performed. Unfortunately, the authors did not disclose in what way L3 was more suitable than L4 for one-pot borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura couplings in their study therefore further comparison and discussion of the observed results is difficult.
The lower yield obtained for terpyridine 22a as compared to terpyridine 22b might be accidental because the reaction for the synthesis of 22a has only been performed twice so far. It could also be due to additional steric hindrance imposed on the reaction center by the additional C-4 methylgroup. This could also explain that no product formation was observed when using $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ as catalyst for coupling.

In conclusion, a one pot coupling protocol was successfully applied for the coupling of bipyridyl bromides $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ or 12a with bromide $\mathbf{8 d}$ or chloride $\mathbf{8 e}$. Similar yields were obtained when coupling bipyridyl 12b to pyridyl bromide 8d or to pyridyl chloride 8e which allowed use of the cheaper chloride 8 e as coupling partner - as already done in the synthesis of terpyridine 22a. No isolation of pinacol boronate esters 21b or 21a
was required. By applying microwave-assisted synthesis the reaction time was successfully reduced as compared to thermal conditions without appearing to affect product yield.

## Deprotection of terpyridines 22a and 22b

The first step in this reaction sequence was the deprotection of the carboxylic acid functions as depicted in figure 3.80. The ester and nitrile function of terpyridines 22a and 22b were supposed to be hydrolyzed under basic conditions giving the corresponding di-carboxylic acids. Hydrolysis of the aliphatic ester gave the desired carboxylic acid. It was confirmed by HR-MS and IR-analysis that the nitrile function underwent only partial hydrolysis giving the corresponding amide derivatives 25a and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ as depicted in figure 3.80 . This was unexpected since the nitrile functionality was expected to undergo complete hydrolysis as under these conditions, the amide was considered to be more prone towards hydrolysis than the starting nitrile. The products 25a and 25b were obtained in $73 \%$ yield (after HPLC purification) or $80 \%$ yield (after aqueous work-up) respectively. ${ }^{[105]}$


Figure 3.80: Scheme of the synthesis of terpyridines 25 a and 25 b.

After HPLC purification of the crude product, the products $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ eluted as trifluoracetate salt, protonated at the dibenzylamine function as can be deduced from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ depicted in figure 3.81 .
An initial characterization of the amide 25b suggested specific binding of the compound to the D/D domain of RIla in STD-NMR and HSQC-NMR measurements as described in more detail in chapter 3.2.5. In order to estimate whether binding of this scaffold would be improved in presence of the free amine functionality as
predicted from the modeling study, we established the removal of the dibenzyl protecting group with this amide derivative 25b. The synthesis of the corresponding carboxylic acid derivatives is still ongoing.


Figure 3.81: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of terpyridine 25b in MeOD.

The amine functionality was deprotected by hydrogenation as depicted in figure 3.82.


Figure 3.82: Scheme of the synthesis of amine 26 b and N -benzylamine $\mathbf{2 6 c}$.

Hydrogenation was performed using $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$ as hydrogen source; the conditions that were tested are depicted in table 3.29. ${ }^{[135 ; 184]}$ There were two problems when establishing the procedure: Finding hydrogenation conditions for reproducible reaction outcome with good yields and establishing an HPLC-based purification protocol. It was not possible to establish reliable hydrogenation with good yields as shown in in table 3.29. In addition to the product, N -benzylated byproduct was always obtained from the reaction in low yield of $22 \%$. Establishing a purification protocol was difficult but successful. An overview of tested HPLC-conditions is depicted in table 3.30.

3 Results and Discussion

| entry | solvent | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-source | T | t | 26b |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | MeOH | $10 \%$ | 8 eq HCO | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3 h |
| 2 | $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH} 4: 1$ | $10 \%$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | product in NMR |  |  |
| 3 | MeOH | $30 \%$ | $20 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$ | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$ | $7 \%$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH} \mathrm{2:1}$ | $30 \%$ | $20 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$ | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$ | $6 \%$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH} 2: 1$ | $30 \%$ | $20 e q \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$ | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$ | $6 \%$ |

Table 3.29: Reaction conditions for the hydrogenation of 25b. Product yields refer to isolated yields after semipreparative HPLC purification.

Initially, semipreparative HPLC purification was performed with standard acetonitrile $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient eluent systems. The pH of the eluent system was varied using the described additives. The pH for the aqueous eluent was set to the indicated pH value prior to HPLC runs. In addition, the $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gradient used in each run was varied.

| Column | Solvents A/B | additives | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | gradient <br> \% B in A | Isolatable from mixture |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1811 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 0.1\% Tfa | 1 | 5 to 99 | starting material |
| C181 ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 0.1\% Tfa | 1 | 20 to 99 | starting material, partially: monobenzylamine |
| C181 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | - | 5.25 | 5 to 99 | starting material |
| C181 ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | - | 5.25 | 20 to 99 | starting material, partially: monobenzylamine |
| C181 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 10 mM AcOH | 4.0 | 5 to 99 | starting material |
| C181 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 10 mM AcOH | 4.0 | 20 to 99 | starting material, monobenzylamine |
| C181 ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 10 mM AcOH | 4.0 | 25 to 99 | starting material,: monobenzylamine |
| C181 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 10 mM AcOH | 4.0 | 0 to 99 | starting material |
| C181 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 7.1 | 5 to 99 | partially: starting material |
| C181 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 7.1 | 10 to 99 | partially: starting material |
| C181 ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 10 mM NH | 10 | 5 to 99 | partially: starting material |
| C181 ${ }^{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 10 mM NH 3 | 10 | 10 to 99 | partially: starting material |
| C18 ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ | - | 5.25 | 0 to 99 | - |
| C18 ${ }^{1,2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ | - | 5.25 | 20 to 99 | product, starting material, monobenzylamine |
| C18 ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ | - | 5.25 | 35 to 99 | - |
| Sphin ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | - | 5.25 | 0 to 99 | - |
| Sphin ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 0.1\% Tfa | 1 | 0 to 99 | - |
| Sphin ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 0.1\% Tfa | 1 | 20 to 99 | - |
| Sphin ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ | 10 mM AcOH | 4.0 | 0 to 99 | - |

1:Macherey\&Nagel; Nucleodur C18
2:Macherey\&Nagel; Nucleodur C18
3:Macherey\&Nagel; EC $50 / 2$ Nucleodur Sphinx RP, $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Table 3.30: Purification of amine 26b by preparative HPLC.

However, terpyridine 26b was not purifiable using any of the $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ systems tested. The product eluted at the same time as the injection peak, indicating that terpyridine 26b under the described conditions was not retained on the column and
eluted directly with other polar components of the reaction mixture. This was verified by LC-MS analysis and in selected cases with NMR-measurements which confirmed that the product was obtained with impurities from the HPLC.
It was then tried to establish a purification protocol for terpyridine 26b with the socalled Sphinx column. Sphinx columns are designed for separation of polar compounds and are available for analytical and semi-preparative scale. Since analytical test runs with $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ eluent systems at different pH values did not show any improvement of the purification, no semi-preparative runs were performed with that column. When switching to a $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ eluent system without additives, the product was isolated when using a gradient run starting from $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the product eluted with a retention time of 24 minutes (run: 50 minutes, $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ to $99 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The free amine 26b was obtained in low yield of $6 \%$ and N benzylamine 26c was obtained in $22 \%$ yield after semipreparative HPLC and lyophilization. 1D- and 2D-NMR-measurements were performed to confirm the structure of the compounds. $1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of $\mathbf{2 6 b} \mathbf{2 6 c}$ are depicted in figures 3.83 and 3.84 .


Figure 3.83: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of 26 b recorded in MeOD.


Figure 3.84: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 26 c recorded in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.

### 3.2.4.3 Synthesis of terpyridines via [2+2+2] cycloaddition

## Analysis of synthetic strategy

In an alternative approach it was attempted to synthesize the terpyridine scaffold differently and to avoid the tedious and ultimately low-yielding synthesis of single pyridine building block 8. Instead it was envisioned that the depicted scaffold could be synthesized from bipyridine 12b in two steps: Introduction of 1,6-heptadiyne to 12b by Sonogashira coupling followed by [2+2+2] cycloaddition giving the desired scaffold 24a (figure 3.85).


Figure 3.85: Scheme of the retrosynthesis of terpyridines $24 a$ and 24b via $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition/Sonogashira couling.

Since it was not clear, if and how well a cycloadditon with the sterically demanding bipyridyl group would work, a test reaction sequence was carried out using bipyridine 12b as a model system for Sonogashira coupling and subsequent cycloaddition reaction. The resulting bipyridyl-dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine derivative 24a would not be suitable for further synthesis du to the presence of two nitrile functions. These nitrile functions masked for different functional groups, a carboxylic acid and an amine and differential functionalization of them was not expected to be easily performed.
After this proof of principle, it was planned to transform 12b into the di-ester 12c using standard conditions, ${ }^{[105 ; 106]}$ both derivatives could then be tested as precursors for the following reaction steps.

Sonogashira coupling is a Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction for coupling of acetylides and suitable halide reaction partners requiring the presence of an amine base and additional $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalysis as depicted in figure 3.86. ${ }^{[185]}$ Similar to other Pdcatalyzed couplings, the Pd-catalytic cycle proceeds with the common mechanism: oxidative addition of catalytically active $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species into the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond, followed by transmetalation that probably involves a copper acetylide and finally reductive elimination of the coupling product and regeneration of the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species ${ }^{[186]}$. The exact mechanism of the reaction is not completely understood, it is however thought that the copper acetylide is formed in a parallel catalytic cycle that possibly involves coordination of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ with the alkyne function, followed by deprotonation of the alkyne by the amine base giving the copper acetylide species. ${ }^{[186]}$


Figure 3.86: General scheme of the Sonogashira reaction. Adapted from ${ }^{[99]}$.

Sonogashira coupling of bromide 12b or its derivatives with 1,6-heptadiyne was expected to take place smoothly. $\beta$-bromopyridines have been shown to be suitable substrates for Sonogashira couplings with terminal alkynes in several cases. ${ }^{[126 ; 187]}$ It was expected that double-functionalization of diynes could be avoided by choosing appropriate reaction conditions e.g. dilution, especially since the mono-functionalized diyne was not expected to be more reactive than the starting material. In addition, successful mono-functionalization of diynes by Sonogashira coupling has been reported using aryls or 2-pyridyl halides. ${ }^{[188 ; 189]}$
[2+2+2] cycloaddition reactions or cyclotrimerizations allow the construction of substituted pyridines or more general of (hetero)aryls in one reaction step by forming several C-C and/or C-heteroatom bonds simultaneously. Since only one transition metal catalyst is required, this can be a very nice convergent and atom-economical approach for the de novo synthesis of pyridines. ${ }^{[80 ; 81 ; 94]}$ Chemoselectivity regarding pyridine formation vs. competing cyclotrimerization of alkynes could be a problem.

However, it has been found that catalysts using e.g., Ru, Rh or Co, as metal center favour pyridine formation and only minor amounts of competing benzene formation or other side reactions have been observed. ${ }^{[79 ; 80]}$ Nitriles have been reported to trimerize less readily then alkynes in the presence of metal catalysts. ${ }^{[81]}$
Another issue with transition-metal catalyzed cyclotrimerizations has often been the regioselectivity of the reaction, especially when using monomeric alkynes and nitriles as reaction partners. In those cases often mixtures of differently substituted pyridines have been obtained. ${ }^{[79]}$ It has been reported that regioselectivity can be controlled in certain cases, for example, the tethered 1,6-diynes used in this work allowed synthesis of single cycloaddition products. ${ }^{[80]}$ Different transition metal catalysts have been successfully employed for $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition of annelated pyridine derivatives from 1,6-diynes and nitriles.

It has been reported that ruthenium(II)-based catalysts like the already mentioned $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Ru}$ (cod) $\mathrm{Cl}^{[190]}$ (chapter 3.2.2.) and the cationic complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{[191]}$ require electron-deficient nitriles as reaction partners for efficient catalysis in cycloadditions. Especially dicyanides have been successfully employed for the synthesis of annelated pyridines with one of the cyanogroups staying intact through the reaction. ${ }^{[190]}$ Although a reduction in product yield as compared to malononitrile was observed, dicyanides having the second cyanide function in $\beta$ - or $\gamma$-positions have been successfully used as one of the starting materials in cycloaddition reactions. This was also confirmed in this work, with the successful synthesis of 8a from succinonitrile which gave the product in good yield under mild conditions.
These findings indicated that the electron-withdrawing character is not the major role of the second cyanofunction during the reaction. For example, based on DFT calculations it has been proposed that dicyanides or cyanides with a suitable coordinating group (e.g. $\alpha$-halonitriles) play a role during the formation of the active catalyst species. ${ }^{[80 ; 191]}$
Complementary to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-catalysts, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$-catalysts have been shown to efficiently catalyze $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition reactions of 1,6-diynes with electron-rich or electronneutral nitriles giving cyclopenta[c]pyridines. ${ }^{[192]}$ Several $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ precatalysts have been reported for this purpose, for example $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{cod})$. In addition, catalysts with subsituted cyclopentadienyl rings have been developed that allow reactions in polar aqueous solvents ${ }^{[81]}$ or asymmetric synthesis of atropisomeric
biaryls. ${ }^{[94]}$ With $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ as catalyst, cycloalka[b]pyridines have also been synthesized from $\alpha, \omega$-alkynenitriles and alkynes. Symmetrical 2,2'-bipyridines have been synthesized from two molecules of $\alpha, \omega$-alkynenitrile with a conjugated 1,3diyne.
In general, different transition metal catalysts are considered to have common characteristics regarding their catalytic mechanism: In the first step of the cycloaddition cycle, the catalytically active metal species is probably formed via coordination to two alkyne functions. After oxidative coupling, it is believed that a metalacyclopentadiene or in the case of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-catalysis an aromatic ruthencyclopentatriene are formed. ${ }^{[130]}$



Figure 3.87: Proposed mechanism of Co-catalysed (left) and Ru-catalysed pyrdines synthesis by $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition. Left: Catalytic cycle exemplified for synthesis of substituted cyclopenta[c]pyridines from substituted nitrile and 1,6-heptadiyne. Right: Ru-catalysis exemplified for the synthesis of nitrile $\mathbf{8 a}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$. R indicates proposed regiochemistry for diynes substitued at one terminal alkyne function. Adapted from ${ }^{[80 ; 193]}$.

Upon coordination of the nitrile to the complex, probably an incorporation of the nitrile by a catalyst-depending mechanism (Ru: formally a [2+2] coupling; Co: either [4+2] cycloaddition of via insertion) takes place. ${ }^{[130]}$ The final step is a reductive elimination from the metal center giving the final product and regenerating the active catalyst. The depicted mechanisms are based on experimental evidence as well as computational calculations; however there is still discussion about the nature of some
intermediates and exact mechanisms (see also figure 3.87, Co-catalytic cycle). ${ }^{[80 ; 81]}$ The proposed catalytic cylces for $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ catalysis are also depicted in figure 3.87.

As already mentioned, the proposed synthesis of the terpyridine derivatives by [ $2+2+2$ ] cycloaddition reaction as depicted schematically in figure 3.88 would avoid the synthesis of pyridine 8 as a single building block. The [2+2+2] cycloaddition reaction that was performed for the synthesis of nitrile $\mathbf{8 a}$ as depicted in figure 3.88 gave the desired product with complete regiocontrol. In contrast to that reaction, the introduction of the bipyridylmoiety to one of the terminal alkyne functions raised the question of regiocontrol in this type cycloaddition reaction:


Figure 3.88: Scheme of the synthesis of nitrile 8 a and proposed synthesis of terpyridine derivatives by $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition.

With $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{cod})$ as catalyst, successful synthesis of 2,3,4,6-substituted pyridines over $2,3,4,5$-substitued pyridines from diynes substituted on one of the alkyne termini has been reported. That means that the substituted alkyne carbon atom is placed preferentially in the $\alpha$-position of the pyridine. ${ }^{[190]}$ Based on DFT-calculations, this was explained by access of the nitrile into the ruthenacycle intermediate from the sterically less hindered site as indicated in figure 3.87. ${ }^{[193]}$ However, a reduction in yield was observed. This seems to be size-dependent, as a phenyl-substituted diyne gave a lower product yield than a methyl-substituted one. ${ }^{[190]}$
With CpCo-based catalysts the substituted terminal alkyne carbon atom has also been reported to be placed in the $\alpha$-position of the final product. ${ }^{[94]}$ In addition, spacious substituents including 3 -substituted pyridines and phenyls have been successfully employed - in combination with arylnitriles - giving products in modest to good yield.
Based on these reports, formation of the desired product placing the bipyridyl moiety in the $\alpha$-position of the cyclopenta[c]pyridine was expected when using $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Ru}(I I) \mathrm{Cl}$ -
or $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{L}_{2}$-catalysis. Both catalysts were reported to not require strictly anaerobic conditions during the reaction which made their handling convenient. ${ }^{[79 ; 194]}$ However, based on literature reports there were potential difficulties expected with both types of metal catalysts:
It was not clear how well the cycloaddition could proceed using $\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{RuCl}^{\mathrm{Ru}}$ as catalyst due to steric hindrance from the spacious bipyridylgroup. ${ }^{[190]}$ Alternatively, $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ could be investigated as catalyst for the cycloaddition reaction, ${ }^{[191]}$ however, with this catalyst not much was known about regioselectivity. With CpCo-based catalysts problems could arise from using the dicyanide succinonitrile as reaction partner, since CpCo-based catalysts have been reported to form dipyridines from dicyanides by cocyclization with alkynes. ${ }^{[81]}$ However, presence of the spacious terpyridine in close proximity to the second nitrile function could impose sufficient steric hindrance preventing or at least delaying a reaction at this position.

There are several other potential catalysts that were not considered when starting to explore this new synthetic strategy. Nonetheless, they could be valuable alternatives or extensions when continuing to work with [2+2+2] cycloaddition reactions for the synthesis of terpyridines:
Recently, a (dppe)/( $\left.\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} * 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) / \mathrm{Zn}$-catalyst system has been reported that tolerates pyridine-substitution on the diyne as well as electron-rich nitriles and dinitriles. ${ }^{[87 ; 195]}$ Similarly, a $\left[\operatorname{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4} / \mathrm{BINAP}$ catalyst was employed for the synthesis of annelated pyridines and even fully intramolecular [2+2+2] reactions were performed giving fused, tricyclic pyridines. ${ }^{[196-198]}$ Regiocontrol with this catalyst and unsymmetric diynes needs to be further examined in order to establish a potential use for the synthesis of the required type of terpyridine scaffolds.

## Synthesis of terpyridine 24a

1,6-heptadiyne was introduced to C-5 of bipyridine 12b by Sonogashira reaction as depicted in figure 3.89. Initial trials adapted from literature procedures carried out at room temperature or at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded only low amoun ts of product formation, as determined by LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture, even after prolonged reaction time (entry 1-4, table 3.31). ${ }^{[126 ; 187]}$


Figure 3.89: Scheme of the synthesis of diyne 23a.

This suggested that bromide 12b was deactivated for oxidative addition as compared to the reported examples, probably resulting from the electron-donating methyl group. When increasing the reaction temperature to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the same temperature used for the Pd-catalyzed borylation of bromide 12b at this position, conversion of bromide 12b to diyne 23a was observed with a ratio of 12b/23a of 1:82 (at 254nm in LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture).
The use of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ or a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ and DMF appeared to be equally suitable for the reaction (entry 5 and 6 , table 3.31). As depicted in table 3.31, the product was obtained in $19 \%$ yield after 40 minutes of reaction time. After reducing the reaction time to 20 minutes, the product was obtained in significantly better yield of 61\% (entry 7, table 3.31). This was explained with the instability of diynes at high temperatures.

| entry | solvent | cat | Cul | T | t | 23a* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 5mol\% $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 5mol\% | rt | 40h | product |
| 2 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{(a)}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 5mol\% | rt | 40h | product |
| 3 | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 5mol\% | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 24h | product |
| 4 | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 5mol\% | rt | 24h | product |
| 5 | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 20mol\% | $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1h | product |
| 6 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{(b)}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 20mol\% | $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1h | product |
| 7 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{(b)}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ( | 20mol\% | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | 40min | 19\% |
| 8 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10mol\% | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | 20min | 61\% |
| 9 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 10mol\% | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(f)}$ | 195sec | 67\% |

Table 3.31: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 23a. Test reactions 1-6, 10, 11 were performed using 1.2 eq of 1,6 -heptadiyne; entry $7-9$ were performed with 1.5 eq of 1,6 -heptadiyne.* product formation detected by LC-MS analysis or isolated yields after column chromatography. ${ }^{(a)} 1.5 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{NEt}_{3} .{ }^{(\mathrm{b})} \mathrm{DMF}^{\mathrm{NEt}} \mathrm{NE}_{3} 1: 1 .{ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ formed in situ from $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{(d)}$ heated in oil bath. ${ }^{(e)} \mathrm{DMF}: \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ 1.3:1. ${ }^{\left({ }^{(5)} \text { in microwave. }\right.}$

The reaction was easily adapted to microwave-assisted conditions (entry 8, table 3.31). 23a was obtained after about 3 minutes reaction time in slightly higher yield
then observed under thermal heating. This was attributed to the shorter reaction time required that might reduce formation of side reactions that are frequently observed with terminal alkynes under Sonogashira conditions at high temperatures. ${ }^{[186 ; 199]}$ Gratifyingly, no double-bipyridinylated diyne was obtained when using the depicted conditions.
The next step was the [2+2+2] cycloaddition of diyne 23a and succinonitrile as depicted in figure 3.90.


Figure 3.90: Scheme of the synthesis of terpyridine 24a.

Evaluation of two catalyst systems using different reaction conditions was done by LC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture after different reaction times. Initial test reactions with $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Ru}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}$ as catalyst were performed with the reaction conditions used for the synthesis of nitrile $\mathbf{8 a}$, however no product formation was observed neither at room temperature nor at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 1 an d 2 , table 3.32).

| entry | solvent | cat | T | t | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1,2-DCE | 1.3mol\%Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | rt | 20h | no product |
| 2 | 1,2-DCE | 1.3mol\%Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20h | no product |
| 3 | 80eq SCN | 20mol\%Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4.5h | product ${ }^{(a)}$ |
| 4 | 80eq SCN | 20mol\%Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 6h | reaction com pleted $^{(b)}$ |
| 5 | 80 eq SCN | $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 17h | product formation |
| 6 | 80 eq SCN | $20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ | $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 64h | product formation |
| 7 | 80eq SCN | 15mol\%Cp*Ru(cod)CI | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5.5h | $4 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 8 | 80eq SCN | 15mol\%Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5.5h | $13 \%{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ |
| 9 | 40eq SCN | 10mol\%Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2h | not complete d |

Table 3.32: Reaction conditions for the synthesis of 24a. Test reactions 1-6, were performed using 1eq succinonitrile(SCN)/0.66eq of diyne. ${ }^{(a)}$ after 4.5 h at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was coole d down to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{n}$ at $100^{\circ}$. ${ }^{(b)}$ purified by isocratic CC, EtOAc:hexane $1+1$.

When switching from 1,2-DCE to succinonitrile as solvent and increasing the temperature to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the starting material was consumed after 5.5 hours and product formation was observed. The finding that higher temperature and higher catalyst loadings were required for product formation was not surprising since it had been reported by Yamamoto et al. that increased temperatures as well as higher catalyst loading were required when using a sterically demanding substituent on the diyne. ${ }^{[190 ; 200]}$ The obtained yield of the isolated product was very low, with a maximum of $13 \%$ after column chromatography. It was assumed that chromatographic purification of the product lead to some loss of the product. The purification of terpyridine 24 a was not further optimized since this reaction sequence was performed as a general proof of principle only.
Expected regioselectivity of the [2+2+2] cycloaddition was confirmed by 1D- and 2DNMR measurements of the isolated product. As depicted in figure 3.91, regioselectivity of the cycloaddition was confirmed since in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum the proton signal from the newly formed pyridine is in the normal range for a proton of a pyridine $\beta$-carbon atom (singlet at 7.41 ppm in figure 3.91 ). An $\alpha$-proton signal would be expected further downfield (8.50-9.00 ppm was the range in which the $\alpha$-proton peaks from the bipyridine-moiety of the molecule were detected for example). ${ }^{[78]}$


Figure 3.91: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of terpyridine 24a (obtained as pyrdinium salt after HPLC purification) in $\mathbf{d}_{6}$-DMSO. The peak detected at 7.41 ppm results from proton signal of the $\beta$-carbon atom of the dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine-moiety of the terpyridine. This signal is shifted downfield due to protonation at the corresponding dihydrocyclopenta[c]pyridine- N -atom; this proton was detected at 7.33 ppm in the neutral molecule. The pyridinium-proton is detected at 5.78 ppm (broad singlet).

When investigating $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ as catalyst, conversion of starting material in low amount was observed, however, the starting material was not consumed within the time frame applied. Further trials with longer reaction times have not yet been performed.

## Re-functionalization of 12b

The ester and nitrile functionalities of bipyridine 12b were hydrolyzed under basic conditions in very good yield of 86\% adapting standard conditions for the hydrolysis of nitriles (figure 3.92). ${ }^{[105]}$


Figure 3.92: Scheme of the synthesis of 12c-e.

Since it was initially thought that the corresponding di-carboxylic acid had been obtained as judged from NMR-analysis, the synthesis of the di-methyl and di-ethyl esters of 12d was performed with acidic catalysis (table 3.33 entry 1 and 2) employing standard conditions for the esterification of carboxylic acids. ${ }^{[105]}$ Gratifyingly, under these conditions the desired di-esters were obtained from the amide 12d.

| entry | solvent | reactants | T | t | isolated yield |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | EtOH | $0.4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4 h | $80 \%$ |
| 2 | MeOH. | $0.4 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 h | $94 \%$ |

Table 3.33: Conditions for the esterification of di-esters 12d (entry 1) and 12e (entry 2).

Presumably, the amide functionality underwent acidic hydrolysis giving the carboxylic acid that subsequently reacted to the corresponding ester via esterification. For the synthesis of the di-methyl ester $\mathbf{1 2 e}$, longer reaction times were required as compared to di-ethyl ester 12c in order to complete the reaction which was probably due to the lower refluxing temperatures reached in methanol. The ethyl ester 12c was synthesized in good yield of $80 \%$ and the methyl ester 12e was obtained in excellent yield of $94 \%$.

## Sonogashira coupling of biypridyl bromides 12c and 12e



Figure 3.93: Scheme of the synthesis of diynes 23b and 23c.

The coupling of 1,6-heptadiyne to the C-5 position of the bromides 12c and 12e was performed using conditions already established for the synthesis of diyne 23a (figure 3.93, table 3.34).

| entry | solvent | cat | Cul | T | t | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {(a) }}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 10mol\% | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4.5h | product |
| 2 | DMF/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 10mol\% | $110{ }^{\circ}$ | 4.5h | no product |
| 3 | DMF/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}(0)-$ Xphos ${ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ | 10mol\% | $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4.5h | product |
| 4 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ | 10mol\% | $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 150 min | product |
| 5 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{(\mathrm{a})}$ | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 10mol\% | $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30min | product |

Table 3.34: Conditions for Sonogashira for coupling of 12e. heptadiyne: entry 1-3: 1.2eq. entry 45: 2eq. entry 6: 1.3eq. ${ }^{\text {(a) }} \mathrm{DMF}: \mathrm{NEt}_{3} 1.3: 1 .^{(\mathrm{b})}$ formed in situ from $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. ${ }^{\text {(c) }}$ catalyst loading: $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} / 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ XPhos.

When performing the reaction with the methylester 12e only minor amounts of product formation were observed (entry 1-3, table 3.34) when using XPhos or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as ligand for Pd (ration of starting material to product 1:0.02 as determined from absorption peaks at 254 nm ). XPhos was tested because it had been successfully employed for the Pd-catalyzed borylation of $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ and it was assumed that it might also work in a Sonogashira coupling. In order to exclude that residual carboxylic acid in the crude product interfered with the reaction, more test reactions were performed with chromatographically purified methyl ester 12e, however, the results did not improved (entry 4 and 5, table 3.34).

The ethyl ester 12c was tested in a Sonogashira coupling reaction with 1,6heptadiyne. When employing microwave-assisted reaction conditions from the synthesis of diyne 23a, diyne 23b was synthesized in low yield of about $10 \%$. The diyne 23b was obtained after HPLC purification as pyridinium salt. In addition, starting material and the double-functionalized heptadiyne were obtained also as pyridinium salts. In order to optimize the product yield, the reaction was performed with a greater dilution under thermal conditions (entry 2, table 3.35) to suppress formation of the di-bipyridinylated diyne.

| entry | solvent | cat | Cul | [c]* | T | t | yield* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {(0) }}$ | 10mol\% | 0.113M | $130{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {(c) }}$ | 195sec | 22\% |
| 2 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 10mol\% | 0.048M | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 min | 18\% |
| 3 | DMF/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{\text {(a) }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {(b) }}$ | 10mol\% | 0.026M | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\text {(d) }}$ | 60 min | product |
| 4 | DMF/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10mol\% | 0.111 M | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ | 30 min | 49\% |
| 5 | DMF/ $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 10mol\% | 0.157 M | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(f)}$ | 35 min | 64\% |
| 6 | DMF/NEt ${ }_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ) | 10mol\% | 0.159M | $100{ }^{\circ}{ }^{(f)}$ | 40min | 69\% |

Table 3.35: Conditions for Sonogashira coupling of 12c. Entry 1-4 were performed using 1.5eq of 1,6-heptadiyne; entry $5-6$ were performed with 1.8 eq of 1,6 -heptadiyne.*product formation detected by LC-MS analysis or isolated yields after preparative HPLC (entry 1) or column chromatography of one experiment (entry 2,4) or at least two experiments (entry 5-6). ${ }^{((\mathrm{a})} \mathrm{DMF}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ 1.3:1. ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ formed in situ from $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPh}_{3} .{ }^{(\mathrm{c})}$ in the microwave. ${ }^{(\mathrm{d})}$ in oil bath. ${ }^{(\mathrm{e})}$ reaction vessel charged with heptadiyne, the reaction mixture is heated up to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{c}$ (in DMF) is added dropwise over 20 minutes, the reaction mixture is stirred for 10 more minutes at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{(f)} 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ of heptadiyne precharged, the reaction mixture is heated up to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$ of 12 c are added dropwise over 15 minutes, then 0.6 eq heptadiyne and 0.5 eq of 12 c are added dropwise to the reaction mixture over 15 minutes, then the mixture is stirred for $5-10$ more minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The product was isolated in $18 \%$ yield. LC-MS analysis showed that the amount of diarylated side product was reduced; the low yield of the reaction was caused by the low amount of conversion of the starting material. When performing the reaction with more dilution, the product:starting material ratio was even worse which hinted to a significant concentration-dependence of the efficiency of the reaction (entry 3, table 3.35).

Therefore, it was decided to improve the reaction by performing it in a more concentrated solution and to suppress di-functionalization of the diyne by adding the bromide 12c over the course of the reaction (entry 4, table 3.35). The product was obtained in $49 \%$ yield using this procedure; formation of di-bipyridinylated side product was marginal. Even better product yield was obtained (entry 5 and 6, table 3.35 ) when increasing the amount of 1,6-heptadiyne used in the reaction, and adding
part of the excess 1,6-heptadiyne together with the bromide 23b over the course of the reaction.

When comparing the syntheses of the diynes 23a and 23b, it was interesting to notice that with nitrile 12b no formation of di-substituted diyne was observed while with di-ethylester 12c this was a major byproduct (figure 3.94 illustrates this finding). Moreover, the reaction conditions to reach full conversion of the starting material while suppressing formation of disubstitution had to be carefully adjusted using diethylester 12c. It became apparent that the reaction proceeded with very different efficiency for the two coupling partners. These differences were not easy to explain considering that both bromides were structurally very similar.
There was no obvious difference in steric accessibility of the reaction site between the derivatives. Electronic factors within the molecules explaining differences in reactivity could not be ruled out: The compounds vary in substitution of that pyridine ring of the molecules that was not involved in the Sonogashira reaction.


Figure 3.94: LC spectra of crude diyne 23a (left) and crude diyne 23b (right) at 280nm, absorption is depicted in mAU. The reactions were performed under similar conditions. Left: 8.48: 23a. 7.51: starting material. 10.56: $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3} .5 .37$ impurity from starting material. Right: 8.22 Product. 9.15: disubstituted byproduct. 7.36: starting material. Isolated yields: 23a: 67\% (after column chromatography). 23b: 22\% (after HPLC purification).


Figure 3.95: LC spectrum of the reaction mixture from the synthesis of methylester-diyne 23c; at 280 nm , absorption is depicted in mAU. 6.28: starting material bromide 12e. Starting material was subjected to column chromatography prior to the reaction in order to remove residual carboxylic acid 12d.

Therefore, a change in electrophilicity of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds between the derivatives which might account for changes in the rate of oxidative addition would be possible. Both ester and nitrile functionalities are electron-withdrawing substituents, although to
different extends; with the nitrile being generally considered as more electronwithdrawing then esters. Based on these considerations one could assume that bromide 12b is slightly more activated for oxidative addition than bromide 12c. However, this did not readily explain that no coupling of the dimethylester 12e was observed under conditions used for the synthesis of diyne 23a and initially for the synthesis diyne 23b. A significant difference in electron-withdrawing strength seemed unlikely and no literature was found that would explain the observed difference in this regard. This also did not explain the significant amount of di-substituted heptadiyne found when performing the Sonogashira coupling reaction with bromide 12c. In order to illustrate this, in figure 3.94 and 3.95 the LC-spectra of crude 23a, crude 23b and the reaction mixture from methyester $\mathbf{1 2 e}$ obtained under similar reaction conditions are depicted.
Another explanation of the observed results could be complexation or any other type of interaction of the diesters 12c or 12e with one of the catalytic Co- or Pd-species rendering the catalysts more inactive as compared to nitrile 12b, or vice versa.
Accordingly, presence of the less sterically hindered dimethyl-ester 12e might lead to a stronger inactivation as compared to di-ethylester 12c resulting in almost complete deactivation of a Pd-catalytic species or a $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$-catalytic species. Also the combined presence of two ester functionalities or the presence of the 2-pyridyl carboxylic acid ester moiety gave rise to a bidentate ligand that allowed a particular tight capturing of one of the catalytic intermediates. In addition, the (desired) formation of heteroaryls or heterobiaryls itself during catalyzed reactions could be a reason for inefficient catalysis in heteroaryl-synthesis: Deactivation of transition metal catalysts through coordination of the metal from the formed product or the starting materials could lead to inactivation of the catalyst over the course of the reaction. ${ }^{[80 ; 83 ; 89 ; 91]}$
In order to clarify the results observed with the performed Sonogashira reactions with methylester 12e, additional test reactions using the optimized conditions from the synthesis of 23b could be performed. This could clarify, whether more pronounced conversion of this bromide would possible when carefully adding them over the course of the reaction.
In addition, further experiments of the Sonogashira reaction with 1,6-heptadiyne and other derivatives containing different substiuents at the C-2 position position might give valuable information about the cause of the observed results. One could try electron-donating groups with and without heteroatoms present that could form metal
complexes, e.g protected amines or alkoxides vs. alkyl substituents. In order to determine how significant differences between nitrile-, ethylester- and methylestersubstituents are on electron density of the pyridine ring, one could determine basicity of the different pyridines. Basicity of pyridines is correlated to the effects of substiuents on pyridine electron density. Effects of the different bromides 12b-e onto the catalytic species would be harder to study because not all intermediate structures and their exact role for catalysis are known in Sonogashira or other types of Pdcatalyzed reactions. ${ }^{[186]}$

## [2+2+2]-cycloaddition with 23b and succinonitrile



Figure 3.96: Scheme of the synthesis of terpyridine 24b.

Using reaction conditions from the synthesis of terpyridine 24a, terpyridine 24b was synthesized in $23 \%$ yield as depicted in figure 3.96. The reaction was completed after 1 hour at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating that the reaction proce eded faster than for terpyridine 23a. Different product purification procedures were tried, however product yields remained in the same range, independent from the purification procedure tried (entry $1-4$, table 3.36).

| entry | solvent | cat | T | t | results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 40eq SCN | 15mol\% Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.5h | completed, 24\% |
| 2 | 40eq SCN | 10mol\% Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110{ }^{\circ}$ | 1.7h | completed, 23\% |
| 3 | 15eq SCN/DCE | 10mol\% Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2.0h | compl eted, $22 \%$ |
| 4 | 15eq SCN/DCE | 10mol\% Cp*Ru(cod)Cl | $110{ }^{\circ}$ | 1h | complet ed, 24\% |

[^0]It was then investigated whether purification procedures that avoid column chromatography can be established. With pH-dependent aqueous work-up, it was not possible to remove the succinonitrile completely from the crude product. It was also tried to remove the succinonitrile by kugelrohr distillation, however, some of the product was distilled with the succinonitrile from the crude product.
1D- and 2D-NMR-measurements were performed to confirm regioselectivity of the cycloaddition similarly as for terpyridine 24a: the proton signal from the newly formed pyridine was detected in the normal range for a proton of a pyridine $\beta$-carbon atom (7.30 ppm, figure 3.97).


Figure 3.97: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of terpyridine 24 b recorded in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO.

The product yield that was obtained was low, however the amount of succinonitrile employed was successfully reduced, thereby simplifying the purification procedure. A faster conversion of the starting material was observed as compared to the synthesis of terpyridine 24a. This was attributed to the presence of the additional nitrile function on diyne 23a which might impede the reaction rate by an additional interaction with the catalyst.

The low yield was disappointing and suggested that $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}$ was not a suitable catalyst for cycloadditions of bipyridyl-substituted 1,6-diynes. Bulkiness of the substituent probably interferes sterically with the reaction by e.g. blocking access of the catalyst to the alkyne. This corresponded to results from Yamamoto et al. who reported lower yields when performing the reaction with bulkier substituents on the diyne and the need for higher reaction temperatures as well higher catalyst loadings for good catalysis in those cases. ${ }^{[200]}$

Therefore, the use of other catalysts should be further explored. Although $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ as catalyst appeared not as a good choice according to the initial experiments, further testing with $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I})$-catalysis should be performed: In the initial test reaction the catalyst was activated by the use of high temperatures of $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{[189]}$ However, this catalyst could alternatively be activated under milder conditions by an energy supply in form of visible light (300-350 nm) to the reaction mixture. ${ }^{[192]}$ This alone might be beneficial for the reaction because the thermo-sensitive diyne would be expected to be more stable, especially when long reaction times are required for a complete conversion of the starting materials. ${ }^{[94]}$ As already mentioned, $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{I})$ based catalysts do not usually tolerate dicyanides (although no di-functionalization of succinonitrile was observed) which was why they appeared less suitable for this cycloaddition reaction than Ru-catalysts.
When attempting the selective reduction of the nitrile function of terpyridine $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ in order to obtain the required amine in the presence of the two ester functions, no product was isolatable or identifiable using different literature procedures. ${ }^{[201 ; 202]}$ Therefore, switching to a different nitrile as reaction partner could be an appealing new strategy for the synthesis of the terpyridine scaffold.
According to the literature, $\mathrm{CpCo}(\mathrm{I})$ catalysts appear to have a broader substrate scope with regard to the nitrile used (with the exception of electron-deficient ones) ${ }^{[80 ; 81]}$ as well as with regard to the diynes used. In addition, with the already mentioned Rh and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{Zn}$ catalyst systems promising alternative routes to the desired scaffold and derivatives thereof would be available. ${ }^{[87 ; 196]}$

One could also envision the use of di-substituted diynes in a cycloaddition reaction with nitriles thereby introducing a functional group to the $\beta$-carbon atom of the resulting cyclopenta[c]pyridine. For example, $[2+2+2]$ cycloadditions have been performed with iodo-substituted diynes in the synthesis of annelated benezene derivatives. ${ }^{[203]}$ The resulting phenyl iodides were successfully used for further functionalizations. Also, boronate esters were used as substitutents. ${ }^{[204]}$ One question would be, if and how well the required diynes could be synthesized. In addition, regio-control and catalyst activity with these diynes need to be examined.

Finally, an initial functionalization of terpyridine 24b can be reported. This was performed to obtain an amide derivative of the nitrile 24b. The primary amide 26 could be used for attempting a bromination of the $\beta$-carbon atom of the newly formed
cyclopenta[c]pyridine by electrophilic substitution as already performed for the synthesis for bromide 8f. Under the strong acidic conditions used for that reaction, the nitrile function of $\mathbf{2 4} \mathbf{b}$ would probably be hydrolyzed, therefore the nitirile was refunctionalized to a primary amide as depicted in figure 3.98 that would be expected to be stable under these conditions.

The nitrile function of $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ was partially hydrolyzed in a $4: 1$ mixture of TFA/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ at room temperature to form amide 27. In order to check that the nitrile was only partially hydrolyzed under these conditions two test reactions were performed with nitrile $\mathbf{8 a}$. In the first reaction, the nitrile $\mathbf{8 a}$ was also hydrolyzed under the same conditions giving the corresponding amide. In the second reaction, nitrile 8a was fully hydrolyzed under basic conditions. LC-MS, NMR and TLC analysis confirmed that two different products were formed under the different conditions.


Figure 3.98: Scheme of the synthesis of amide 27.

In conclusion, initial functionalization of the nitrile 24b to the amide 27 was successful. Amide 27 could be tested for biological activity giving additional information about the structure-activity relationship between the terpyridine scaffold and the D/D domain of RIla (chapter 3.2.5).In addition, with this scaffold, bromination by electrophilic aromatic substitution could be attempted.

### 3.2.5 Characterization of compounds

The first step of characterizing biologic activity and future potential of this type of scaffold as an RIIBD mimetic was to test binding to Rlla. For this purpose, six compounds depicted in table 3.37 were chosen for the first round of testing. In addition to the fully protected (22a) and partially deprotected terpyridine intermediates (26b-c, 25a-b) of the target quaterpyridine, the bipyridine $\mathbf{2 8}$ was also tested.


Table 3.37: Compounds chosen for testing in STD- and HSQC-experiments.

The compounds were tested first in a saturation transfer difference (STD) NMR experiment which is a so-called ligand-based NMR technique. In STD experiments, binding between protein and ligand is detected by determining whether saturation is transferred from the protein onto the ligand. For this purpose, a so-called offresonance ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum is recorded (sample irradiated at a frequnency outside of protein and compound signals) from a ligand-protein-buffer mixture in which normal peaks from protein (broad) and free ligand (sharp peaks since its moving free in solution) are detected. In a second experiment another, so-called on-resonance
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-spectrum is recorded in which the protein is selectively irradiated to saturation at a frequency that does not irradiate the ligand. ${ }^{[75]}$ If the ligand binds to the protein (within a certain affinity and binding kinetics range), saturation is transferred from the protein to the ligand and thereby the ligand signals in the on-resonance spectrum are attenuated as compared to the off-resonance spectrum. This is made visible in the STD spectrum by subtracting the off-resonance spectrum from the on-resonance spectrum. ${ }^{[75]}$ If peaks remain, it is assumed that saturation was transferred from the protein to the ligand which in turn would indicate that the ligand is binding to the protein. Since ligand-NMR signals are used for the determination of an interaction, STD measurements can be performed with relatively low protein amounts as compared to e.g. HSQC experiments and no expensive isotopically enriched protein is required. Usually, an excess of ligand over protein would be used in the measurement. ${ }^{[205]}$

The NMR measurements were performed in collaboration with Dr. Peter Schmieder (FMP Berlin) and Brigitte Schlegel (FMP Berlin). For the STD experiments with the compounds depicted in table 3.38 full length Rlla-His was used as the target protein. Prior to measurements of STD spectra in the presence of the target protein, the same set of experiments was performed in the absence of protein in order to confirm that no saturation of the compound signals takes place when irradiating the sample at the frequency chosen for protein irradiation. The results of the STD measurements are depicted in table 3.39.

| compound | activity in STD | activity in HSQC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 a | not determined* | not tested |
| 25 a | + | not tested |
| 25 b | + | + |
| 26 b | - | - |
| 26 c | - | - |
| 28 | - | - |

Table 3.38: Qualitative results from STD and HSQC experiments with the indicated compounds. *compound precipitated from solution. STD measurements were performed with $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Rlla-fl-His and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compound in NMR buffer with $2 \% \mathrm{~d}_{6}$-DMSO. HSQC experiments were performed with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ D/D domain and $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound in NMR buffer with $5 \% \mathrm{~d}_{6}$-DMSO.

With the partially deprotected terpyridines $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ a reduction in ligand peak intensity was observed, indicating binding of the compounds to Rlla. No binding was detected in STD experiments with the deprotected terpyridine 26b, partially deprotected terpyridine 26c and bipyridine 28 which was obtained as by-product in the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 2 b}$. In figure 3.99, the STD-spectra of a binding compound (25b) and a non-binding compound (26b) are depicted. Fully protected terpyridine 22a was tested in an STD experiment, however the compound crystallized from the solution and no ligand peaks were measurable by NMR.


Figure 3.99: 1H-NMR spectra from STD measuremts of Rllalf-His with indicated compound. Top: off-resonance spectrum. Bottom: STD spectrum Left: 25b. Right: 26b.

For an estimate of binding specificity, the compounds were tested in a second NMR assay for binding to the D/D domain of Rlla. As discussed in chapter 1, the D/D domain contains the AKAP binding site of Rlla. Therefore, suitable small molecule mimetics of the RIIBD of AKAP18 must bind to this domain of the full length protein. In other words, binding to the D/D domain would be a good initial test for an potentially AKAP-like, specific interaction of the compounds with Rlla.
For this purpose HSQC-experiments with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain as already discussed and performed in chapter 3.1 were employed with the compounds depicted in table 3.38. The results obtained correspond to the results from the STD experiments. A change in chemical shift of D/D domain signals was observed upon addition of terpyridine $\mathbf{2 5 b}$, while with the other compound tested so far - terpyridines 26b, 26c and bipyridine 28 - no perturbation of chemical shifts was seen. In figure
3.100, HSQC-spectra of the D/D domain in presence and absence of 25b are depicted.

A direct comparison between perturbance of chemical shift upon addition of AKAP18derived peptides and the terpyridine 25b is not easy since the longer peptides (16 amino acids and more) perturb almost all of the amino acid peaks (figure 3.101).


Figure 3.100: HSQC spectra of D/D domain. Black: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{D}$ domain of RIla. Violet: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain of Rlla incubated with $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{2 5 b}$.


Figure 3.101: HSQC spectra of D/D domain. Black: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{D}$ domain of Rilla. Violet: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled D/D domain of Rlla incubated with $800 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of peptide. Left: 16-mer AKAP188L314E_6-21. Right:14-mer AKAP188L314E_9-22.

When comparing for example 14-mer peptide AKAP188L314E_9-22 and terpyridine 25b, one can see that some peaks are perturbed by both compound and peptide suggesting binding to the same area (peaks 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 in figure 3.100 and 3.101), but some shifts perturbed by 25b (peak 3 and 7 in figure 3.100) are not perturbed by the 14-mer AKAP18סL314E_9-22 and vice versa. This could hint to a partially common binding site of the compounds as compared to the 14-mer peptide.
This outcome suggested that either presence of the $N, N$-dibenzylamine function is required for binding or that presence of a primary amine (26b) or secondary amine functionality ( $\mathbf{2 6} \mathbf{6}$ ) in this position is detrimental for binding. Based on the nature of the binding site, which is described as a shallow hydrophobic grove, one could argue that presence of the spacious, hydrophobic benzyl groups is important for mediating binding of the terpyridines to the D/D domain. Of course, the compounds could also bind to a different binding site on the D/D domain, this has to be further investigated. It will be interesting to see whether the 3,4-dimethyl analogue 25 also shows binding specifically to the D/D domain of RIla in an HSQC experiment.
The next step in evaluating the terpyridines as potential lead structures should be quantification of binding of the active compounds as well as testing of other bipyridines and terpyridines that have been already synthesized. In addition, the synthesis of the corresponding carboxylic acid derivatives of $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ is currently ongoing on order to determine, whether binding to the D/D domain can also be achieved in presence of the carboxylic acid functionality as suggested from modeling. The exact binding sites of terpyridines 25a and 25b have to be mapped. For this purpose, AG Krause is currently performing computational studies to see if a potential binding site for these scaffolds can be identified.

## 4 Summary and Outlook

Protein kinase A (PKA) is a cAMP-dependent Ser/Thr kinase that plays a central role in cAMP-dependent signal transduction pathways. PKA consists of a dimer of regulatory (R) subunits, each of which binds one catalytic (C) subunit. The cAMP/PKA pathway controls a plethora of physiological processes. Therefore, a tight spatiotemporal control of PKA-activity is essential. One mechanism involved in the tight control of PKA activity is compartmentalization of PKA to distinct cellular sites by A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs). AKAPs are a family of scaffolding proteins with around 50 members. The defining characteristic of an AKAP is an amphipatic $\alpha$-helix of 14 to 18 amino acids that is called $R$ binding domain (RBD) because it interacts with the $R$ subunit dimer of PKA. More specifically, the RBDs of AKAPs bind to a domain of $R$ subunits that is termed Dimerization and Docking domain (D/D domain). Most AKAPs preferentially interact with PKA RII type subunits.

## Identification of Peptides that retain ability to bind PKA

Several peptides derived from the RII-binding domains of AKAPs bind with high affinity to the regulatory subunits of PKA. A 25-mer peptide derived from the AKAP18ס, AKAP188L314E, binds RII subunits with subnanomolar affinity and inhibits the interaction between PKA and AKAPs in vitro and in cell-based assays if coupled to stearate. The first aim of the thesis was to determine the minimal length of the amino acid sequence of the peptide AKAP188L314E required for specific binding to the D/D domain of Rlla as a basis for peptidomimetics that can be exploited as pharmacological tools.
Initial evaluation of the truncated peptides suggested that 10-mer peptides were too short for specific binding to the RIla D/D domain and that a length of 14 amino acids was a minimum requirement. It is not clear yet, whether amino acids important for the interaction were missing in the truncated peptides or whether the truncated peptides were not able form stable $\alpha$-helices. Either factor or a combination of both could be the reason for the inability of the $10-\mathrm{mer}$ and some of the 14-mer peptides to bind specifically to the D/D domain of Rlla.

Based on the results from HSQC-experiments it seemed that binding of the 16-mer peptide AKAP188L314E_6-19 is more pronounced than of the 14-mer peptides
tested. Thus, AKAP18סL314E_6-19 appears as the most promising starting point for further development of peptidomimetics. They could be generated e.g. by introduction of conformational restraints to strengthen helical structure and thereby potentially increasing stability and affinity. ${ }^{[45 ; 46]}$
No quantification of binding affinity or determination of inhibitory potential has been performed and experiments for these purposes are ongoing.

## Synthesis of pyridine-based mimics of AKAP188L314E

The second part of the work was to develop a synthesis for the quaterpyridines 2c, 2d or intermediate terpyridine derivatives thereof. The ligand was designed as a potential $\alpha$-helical mimic of the RBD of AKAP18ס. The synthesis was performed in two stages: First the synthesis and functionalization of the single pyridine building blocks followed by Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of the building blocks to construct bipyridines and terpyridines.


2c


2d

Figure 4.1: Quaterpyridines 2c and 2d.

Syntheses have been successfully established for the four single pyridine building blocks.

## Synthesis of intermediate terpyridines and determination of their binding

Several bipyridine and terpyridine derivatives of the target scaffold were successfully synthesized. The assembly of compounds 22a-b depicted in figure 4.2 was achieved in 9 to 10 reaction steps by sequential Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of single pyridine building blocks. This all-Suzuki approach allowed use of boron-containing nucleophiles that are in general considered to be non-toxic.

One key step in the synthesis of the terpyridine derivatives was the Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of bipyridyl bromides and a dihydocyclopenta[c]pyridyl bromide or chloride. For that purpose a two-step, one-pot borylation/Suzuki-Miyaura coupling procedure was developed successfully based on work from Billingsley et al. ${ }^{[92 ; 93]}$ and applied for the synthesis of terpyridines 22a, 22b (figure 4.2) and 22c (not depicted) using a Pd-XPhos-based catalyst system.


Figure 4.2: Scheme of the one-pot borylation/Suzuki coupling procedure.

No isolation of intermediate pinacol boronate esters used as the nucleophilic component in the Suzuki-Miyaura step of the procedure was required. The use of microwave-assisted synthesis reduced the reaction time to less then 70 minutes. The amount of catalyst used was quite high ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), a reduction of catalyst loading has not been attempted but should be investigated in order to reduce cost of the reaction, especially for larger scale reactions.
In addition, an alternative approach towards the desired functionalized terpyridine derivatives was established. Herein, a [2+2+2] cycloaddition reaction was employed to introduce the cyclopenta[c]pyridine moiety to bipyridine building block 12 directly. With this strategy the synthesis of building block 8 was avoided and the corresponding terpyridine 24a was synthesized with only 5 reaction steps in $8.5 \%$ overall yield, terpyridine 24b was synthesized in only 7 steps in $4.9 \%$ overall yield.
Although the final yields of terpyridines obtained from the $[2+2+2]$ cycloadditionstrategy using Ru(II) catalysis were not superior to the yields obtained with the allSuzuki strategy, the lower number of reaction steps required for terpyridine synthesis is one advantage.


Figure 4.3: Scheme of the $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition reaction for the synthesis of terpyridines.

If the yield of the cycloaddition step can be increased and the reaction can be extended to other nitriles this will become an interesting complementary strategy for terpyridine synthesis. Application of a $[2+2+2]$ cycloaddition synthesis would have the advantage of avoiding the strong basic reaction conditions required for introduction of the halide-function to building block 8; this could be especially useful for the synthesis of terpyridine derivatives with base-labile substituents on building block 8. For this purpose, other catalyst systems employed in the de novo synthesis of pyridines from alkynes and nitriles, for example $\mathrm{CpCo}(I I)$-based catalysts, could be investigated.

As shown in figure 4.1, in the in silico-designed target ligand, a carboxylic acid was suggested as suitable functionality in the C-2 position of building block 6. However, when attempting the synthesis of the di-carboxylic acid derivatives, the amide derivatives depicted in figure 4.4 were obtained instead since unexpectedly only partial hydrolysis of the nitrile functionality took place. The synthesis for the corresponding carboxylic acids is currently being established.

The characterization of the binding ability of selected terpyridines and bipyridine derivatives to Rlla was started by NMR-based techniques. Qualitative measurements suggested that the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzylamine-containing terpyridines 25a (11 steps, 3.3\% yield) and 25b ( 10 steps, $8.9 \%$ yield) bind to Rlla. For terpyridine 25b binding to the D/D domain of RIla was confirmed also in a second assay using HSQC-NMR.





Figure 4.4: Compounds 25a and 25b: binding to RII $\alpha$ is detected in STD-NMR (25a and 25b) and HSQC-NMR measurements (25b; 25a not yet tested). Compounds 26b and 26c: no binding is detected in STD-NMR and HSQC-NMR measurements.

These results could be a first hint towards specific, potentially AKAP-like binding of the terpyridines. On the other hand, for terpyridine 26b which contains the free amine functionality predicted as an interacting group from the modeling study, no binding to Rlla or the D/D domain of RIla was detected. Also, with the $N$-benzylamine 26c no binding was detected. It appeared therefore, that the $N, N$-dibenzylamine functionality that was originally introduced merely as a protecting group of the amine functionality is required for binding.

In order to confirm these initial results and to further assess the scope of this terpyridine scaffold as a structural basis for AKAP-PKA interaction inhibitors an additional characterization of the two hit compounds is required:
A quantification of binding affinity should be the next step followed by determination of inhibitory potential towards distinct AKAP-PKA interactions. Also, there several terpyrdine derivatives left that have already been synthesized but not yet tested. Evaluation of their biological activity should give additional information about the structure-activity relationship (SAR) between the terpyridine scaffold and the D/D domain of Rlla. For example, the characterization of derivative 22b could give information whether the free carboxylate functions in terpyridines 25a and 25b are required for binding. In addition, binding of the carboxylic derivatives of the amides 25a and 25b should be determined.

In general, the specificity of the terpyridines with regard to other proteins should be examined. ${ }^{[26]}$ A very important step would be the identification of the exact binding pocket for structure-based library design and to estimate the potential of this terpyridine scaffold as a lead structure. For precise structural data and the exact binding mode, high resolution NMR- or x-ray-structures of ligand-protein complexes could be determined. ${ }^{[37]}$ In between, docking studies with accessible data have been started by AG Krause (FMP Berlin) based on the already obtained information, but more detailed information about the binding affinity of the compounds and data on the behaviour of other terpyridine derivatives similar to $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ might be required for reliable predictions.

## Synthesis of quaterpyridines

The synthesis of quaterpyridine derivatives 2c or 2d depicted in figure 4.1 was not finished during this work.


Figure 4.5: Scheme of the synthesis of di-halo building block $\mathbf{8 k}$.

One reason for this was that the dihalo-building block $\mathbf{8 k}$ depicted in figure 4.5 was synthesized in very low yield (final yield: $0.5 \%, 7$ steps) which was not sufficient for further use in the synthesis. Therefore, a modified synthesis for this building block 8 would have to be developed in order to construct target scaffold 2 by iterative Pdcatalyzed cross couplings. One problem in the synthesis of $\mathbf{8 k}$ was that the last reaction step gave the product in only $13 \%$ yield. The synthesis of the di-chloro derivative of $\mathbf{8 k}$ could be an alternative approach because the chloro-derivative of $\mathbf{8 i}$ is expected to be more stable under similar reaction conditions.
However, as long as it has not been determined if the terpyridines 25a and 25b bind to the binding site proposed from the initial modeling study, focusing on the synthesis of the quaterpyridine scaffold was not the preferred strategy: The fourth pyridine building block might not be required for an increase in binding affinity and other
substituents that can be easier introduced to the target scaffold might be more suitable for binding. Therefore, it appears most reasonable to focus further synthetic efforts on the synthesis of derivatives of the terpyridine scaffold to obtain more SAR data and to try to solve the structure of the best binding compound in complex with the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{D}$ domain of RIla.

## 5 Experimental

### 5.1 Instruments

### 5.1.1 Nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR)

1D- and 2D-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 300 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 300 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 75$ MHz ). Chemical shifts $\delta$ are depicted in ppm and coupling constants $J$ are depicted in Hz . The spectrometer was normalized to TMS. As internal standard, the measured NMR-spectra were normalized to the solvent peak used for recording the spectrum: $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 2.50 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 39.51 \mathrm{ppm}$, MeOD: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 4.87 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 49.15 \mathrm{ppm}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 7.26 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 77.23 \mathrm{ppm}$.

### 5.1.2 Liquid chromatography-mass spectometry (LC-MS)

All mass spectra were recorded on a 4000QTrap (Applied Biosystems) connected to a Shimadzu UFLC system. Ionization was done by electrospray (ESI) of a approximately $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of the sample in pure $\mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ or $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 / 1)$. All values are depicted as atomic mass units $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$.
The system is equipped with an Shimadzu LC-20 system (degaser Degasys DG2410, Autosampler SIL-20A, Controller CBM-20A) with a DAD-UV-detector (SPDM20A). LC-MS runs were performed on an analytical Nucleodur column (100-5 C18 ec, $100 \AA \AA, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 250 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$, Macherey-Nagel). The flow rate was set to $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ and column temperature was set to 40 Cg . Injection volumina were set between $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and $20 \mu$ with an approximate sample concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
Analytical LC-MS runs were performed with the following gradient: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.1 \%$ TFA ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) (solvent A ) and $\mathrm{ACN} / 0.1 \%$ TFA ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) (solvent B ), $5 \%$ B to $95 \% \mathrm{~B}$ in 11 minutes. Stated retention times $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ of synthesized compounds refer to this gradient.

### 5.1.3 High resolution mass spectometry (HRMS)

High resolution mass spectra were recorded on Ionspec QFT-7 (Varian) with a Z-spray-ESI-source (Micromass).

### 5.1.4 Infrared spectroscopy (FT-ATR-IR)

FT-ATR-IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vector 22/Harrick. This machine is equipped with a SplitPea ${ }^{\circledR}$-ATR-unit from Harrick. The compounds were pressed on Zincselenide and measured. IR spectra were recorded in a range of $500-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with 32 scans. Background and ATR corrections were performed automatically. Carbon dioxide bands were removed from the spectra for clarity.

### 5.1.5 Melting point determination

Melting points of solid compounds were determined using an SMP3 machine from Bibby Sterilin Ltd.

### 5.1.6 Microwave-assisted synthesis

Microwave-assisted synthesis was performed with a Initiator ${ }^{T M}$ (Biotage). Reactions were performed in pressure-stable microwave vials with $0.2 \mathrm{ml}-20 \mathrm{ml}$ reaction volume. Microwave vials were sealed with a septum. A magnetron with 15 to 300 W power generates microwave radiation with a frequency of 2.45 GHz . The temperature range of the machine can be varied from $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ wit h a heating rate of $2-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{sec}$. The maximal acceptable pressure within the reaction vessel is 20 bar. During the reaction, the temperature within the vessel is kept constant by short microwave pulses. Fast cooling of the microwave vials is achieved with compressed air.

### 5.2 Chromatographic methods

### 5.2.1 Thin layer chromatography (TLC)

TLC plates were made off aluminium foil coated with silica gel 60 (with flourescence indiccator $F_{254}$ ) or aluminum foil coated aluminium oxide with flourescence indiccator $F_{254}$ ). For detection, a UV kabinett from Lamag was used (wavelengths: 254 nm and 365 nm).

### 5.2.2 Column chromatography (CC)

Preparative CC was performed in glass columns with silica gel (pore size $60 \AA$, particle size 30-60 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) from J.T. Baker as flash-chromatography with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ overpressure. Composition of solvents used for CC is shown in volume fractions.

### 5.2.3 High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

The preparative HPLC was made up of a Shimadzu LC-20 system (degaser Degasys DG-2410, Autosampler SIL-20A HT, Controller CBM-20A) with a DAD-UV-detector (SPD-M20A). Analytical HPLC runs were performed on one of two columns, a Nucleodur column (100-5 C18 ec, $100 \AA$ A , $250 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Macherey-Nagel) or a Nucleodur Sphinx column ( $15 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm} 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Macherey-Nagel). In both cases the flow rate was set to $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Injection volumina were set between $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ and 100 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ with an approximate sample concentration of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
Preparative separations were performed on a Nucleodur C-18 RP column (100 Å, 10 $\mu \mathrm{m} 300 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$, Macherey-Nagel) with the flow rate set to $9.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.
Semipreparative separations were performed on a Nucleodur C-18 column (100 A, $10 \mu \mathrm{~m} 300 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$, Macherey-Nagel) with the flow rate set to $3-4 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

### 5.3 Synthesis

Starting materials and solvents for synthesis were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Fluka, Merck, J. T. Baker, Acros, and ALFA Aesar in p.a. grade. For HPLC- and LCMS measurements, acetonitrile and methanol (HPLC grade) from J. T. Baker and purified water (Milli-Q-Plus from Millipore) were used. The anhydrous solvents DMF, THF, hexane, diethyl ether, 1,2-dichloroethane, methanol, toluene, xylene and dioxane were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Acros Organics. Deuterated solvents for NMR spectroscopy were purchased from Deutero GmbH.
Compound-containing extracts in organic solvents were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed with temperature of the water bath of the rotary evaporator set to 40 C . Solvent residues were removed if necessary in high vacuum ( $p \leq 10^{-3} \mathrm{mbar}$ ). Compounds in aqueous solutions were freeze-dried by lyophilization with an A/pha 1-2 lyophilizer (Christ). Reactions involving moisture- or air-sensitive compounds were performed in oven-dried glass flasks under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-atmosphere. Anhydrous solvents were stored over molecular sieve (Fluka UOP type 3A, 3 Å).

### 5.3.1 Ethyl 3-(6-cyanopyridin-3-yl)propanoate (6b)



A 250 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar and condenser was charged with 5-bromo-2-cyanopyridine ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acrolein diethylacetal ( 5.08 ml , $33 \mathrm{mmol})$, $n$-tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $3.05 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tributylamine $(5.25 \mathrm{ml}$, 22 mmol ) and palladium(II) acetate ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After addition of DMF (100 ml ), the reaction mixture was heated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 90 minutes. After the mixture was cooled down to room temperature, it was diluted with 100 ml of 2 N hydrochloric acid. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate, the combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography gave the crude product in $88 \%$ yield $(1.98 \mathrm{~g})$ as yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 1.12-1.16\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.72-2.74\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 2.93-2.98 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 4.00-4.07 (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1$, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.94-7.95 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 8.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 14.01\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.37\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$, $33.70\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 59.98\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 117.60(-\mathrm{CN}), 128.58(\mathrm{C}-5), 130.34(\mathrm{C}-6), 137.40(\mathrm{C}-4), 141.07$ (C-3), 151.51 (C-2), $171.72\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.

HRMS: cal. 205.0972; exp. $205.0965\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 205.0972; exp. 205.0 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2984,2233,1729,1565,847$.
m.p. $\quad 36.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}($ TLC $) \quad 0.32$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 5.37 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.2 Ethyl-3-(6-cyano-5-(trimethylstannyl)pyridin-3-yl)propanoate (6c)



Into an oven-dried two-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet and rubber septum was placed 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine ( $1.04 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 20 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ}$, then 2.5 M n -butyllithium in hexane ( $2.4 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~b}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF was added dropwise with a syringe. The reaction mixture turned orange-brown and darkened further while being stirred for 1 hour at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 1 M trimethylstannane c hloride in THF ( $5 \mathrm{ml}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added quickly to the reaction mixture, which was then warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction was quenched with 20 ml of water. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with diethyl ether. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:4) gave the product as colorless oil in $38 \%$ yield ( 209 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta$ 0.32-0.52 ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.12-1.17\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.67-2.72 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 2.89-2.94 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 4.01-4.08 (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.89-7.90 (d, $\left.{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right) 8.54-$ $8.54\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta-8.61\left(-\mathrm{Sn}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $14.03\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.47\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}{ }^{\prime}\right), 33.93\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 59.95\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 118.92(-\mathrm{CN}), 136.66(\mathrm{C}-6), 139.75(\mathrm{C}-3)$, 143.49 (C-5), 144.43 (C-4), 150.68 (C-2), $171.82\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.

HRMS: cal. 369.0622, exp. $369.0617\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 369.0622, exp. 369.1 ( $\left.[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2983,2932,2227,1728,1575,778$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.68$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ HPLC $) \quad 9.62 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.3 Ethyl-3-(6-cyano-5-(tributylstannyl)pyridin-3-yl)propanoate (6d)



Into an oven-dried two-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet and rubber septum was placed 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine ( $1.04 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 20 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ}$, then 2.5 M n -butyllithium ( $2.4 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexane was added dropwise into the flask via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathbf{6 b}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture turned orange-brown and darkened further while being stirred for 1 hour at $-75^{\circ}$. Ne at tributylstannane chloride $(1.07 \mathrm{ml}$, 4 mmol ) was added quickly to the reaction mixture, which was then warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction was quenched with 20 ml of water. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with diethyl ether. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (10\% EtOAc in hexane) gave the product as colorless oil in $39 \%$ yield ( 289 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 0.82-0.87\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,9 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{Sn}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right), 1.10-1.15\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1\right.$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.20-1.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{Sn}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right), 1.47-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{Sn}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right)$, 2.67-2.72 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 2.90-2.95 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 3.99-4.06 (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.1,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.87-7.88 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ) 8.53-8.54 ( $\mathrm{s},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta 9.64\left(-\mathrm{Sn}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right), 13.41\left(-\mathrm{Sn}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right), 14.00\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.49\left(-\mathrm{Sn}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right), 27.43\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 28.36\left(-\mathrm{Sn}(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3}\right), 33.90\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 59.90(-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 119.05 (-CN), 136.93 (C-6), 139.80 (C-3), 142.55 (C-5), 144.80 (C-4), 150.80 (C2), $171.75\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.

HRMS: cal. 495.2032 , exp. $495.2049\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) \quad$ ESI-MS: cal. 495.2032 , exp. $495.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2925,2226,1736,1633,1534,764$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.82$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) 12.41 min

### 5.3.4 Ethyl-3-[6-cyano-5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)pyridin-3yl]propanoate (6f)



Into an oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum and bubbler was placed 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine ( $0.99 \mathrm{ml}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 2.5 M n-butyllithium in hexane ( 2.35 $\mathrm{ml}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise into the flask via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at $0^{\circ}$. After cooling do wn to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~b}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF was added dropwise via syringe. The reaction mixture turned deep brown and was stirred for 15 minutes at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Neat triisopro pylborate ( $1.7 \mathrm{ml}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added quickly to the reaction mixture, which was then stirred for 1 hour at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, pinacol ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 ml ) was added quickly to the reaction mixture. The solution was warmed up in a water bath $\left(T=40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and stirred for 2 hours. The reaction was quenched with water. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 4 with 1M hydrochloric acid and extracted three times with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product hydrolyzed to the free boronic acid under conditions used in HPLC and LC-MS runs. Analytical data represent results for the boronic acid.
The product was used without further purification in the next reaction step.

HRMS: cal. 249.1043, $\exp 249.1032\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 249.1043, exp. $249.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 4.18 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.5 2,5-Dibromo-3,4-dimethylpyridine (7d)



Into an oven-dried 50 ml two-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet and rubber septum was placed lithiumdiisoproplyamide ( $1.62 \mathrm{ml}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 15 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then 2,5-dibromo-3-methylpyridine (7a) ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 2.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF was added to the reaction mixture dropwise via syringe and the deep brown solution was stirred for 5 minutes at $-45^{\circ}$. After cooling down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, methyl iodide ( $0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 1 ml ) was added quickly to the reaction mixture which was warmed up to room temperature after 2 minutes and stirred for 2 more hours. The reaction was quenched with water and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by Kugelrohr distillation as yellow oil in $20 \%$ yield ( 158 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta$ 2. $2.45-2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (75,5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 20.04\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.87\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 123.44$ (C5), 135.40 (C-3), 143.69 (C-2), 147.89 (C-4), 148.49 (C-6).

HRMS: cal. 265.8998, exp. $265.8987\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 265.8998,, exp. $265.9\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}($ TLC $) \quad 0.79$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ HPLC $) \quad 8.08 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.6 3-(6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-3-yl)propanenitrile (8a)



An oven-dried 500 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet and bubbler was charged under nitrogen atmosphere with succinonitrile ( 10 g , $125 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cp} \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{Ru}}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 300 ml ). The reaction mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1,6 -heptadiyne ( $7.6 \mathrm{~g}, 82.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 50 ml ) was added slowly via dropping funnel over 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 20 hours, and then the mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Column chromatography of the residue (EtOAc:hexane $2+1$ ) gave the product as white solid in $79 \%$ yield ( 11.2 g ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta 2.06-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 2.80-2.85\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}\right)$, 2.89-2.94 (m, 4H, H-5, H-7), 3.05-3.10 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}$ ), $7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 8.38$ (s, 1H, H-1)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 17.32\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}\right), 25.24(\mathrm{C}-6), 30.14,32.84(\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-7), 33.44$ $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}\right), 119.64$ (C-4), 119.74 (-CN), 139.12 (C-7'), 145.27 (C-1), 154.71, 155.35 (C$3, \mathrm{C}-4$ ).
HRMS: cal. 173.1073, exp. $173.1070\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 173.1073, exp. $173.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2937,2245,1607,896,883$.
m.p. $\quad 68.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.29\left(\right.$ EtOAc:hexane 2+1) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 1.13 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.7 3-(6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-3-yl)propan-1-amine (8b)



An oven-dried 500 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, condenser with bubbler and dropping funnel was charged with 8a (5 g, 29 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 200 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. Neat $\mathrm{BH}_{3}{ }^{*} \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ (12.6 $\mathrm{g}, 165.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion to the solution via dropping funnel. The reaction mixture was heated up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature over night. The solution was cooled down to room temperature, and then nitrogen inlet and dropping funnel were removed from the apparatus. 10 M hydrochloric acid was added very carefully (gas development!) until the white precipitate was completely dissolved. The reaction mixture was then heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the aqueous phase was washed three times with diethyl ether. The aqueous phase was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with 10 M sodium hydroxide solution to $\mathrm{pH}>11$. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product as light brown oil in $86 \%$ yield $(4.4 \mathrm{~g})$. The product was used without further purification in the next reaction step.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 1.65-1.75\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.95-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6)$, 2.51-2.56 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 2.66-2.71 ( $\left.\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.80-$ 2.85 (m, 4H, H-5, H-7), 7.11 (s, 1H, H-4), 8.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 25.27,30.10\left(\mathrm{C}-6,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 31.35,32.83(\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-7)$, $35.64\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 41.26\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 119.40(\mathrm{C}-4), 138.05\left(\mathrm{C}-7{ }^{\prime}\right), 144.70$ (C-1), 155.12, 158.54 (C-3, C-4').
HRMS: cal. 177.1386, exp. $177.1380\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 177.1386, exp. $177.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{HPLC}) \quad 1.04 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.8 N,N-dibenzyl-3-(6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-3-yl)propan-1amine (8c)



Into an one-necked 100 ml flask was placed $\mathbf{8 b}$ ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide (1.9 $\mathrm{ml}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $2.23 \mathrm{ml}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 75 ml ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or for 24 h ours at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between brine and chloroform. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$; the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:2 containing $2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) gave the product as yellow oil in $51 \%$ yield ( 1.45 g ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 1.80-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 1.93-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6)$, 2.35-2.40 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.58-2.63 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.5,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right)$, 2.752.83 (m, 4H, H-5, H-7), 3.52 (s, 4H, Bn-H), 6.92 (s, 1H, H-4), 7.22-7.32 (m, 10H, Bn-H ), 8.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 24.62(\mathrm{C}-6), 26.51\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 29.25(\mathrm{C}-7), 31.92$ (C-5), $34.94\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 52.12\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 57.48(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 118.52(\mathrm{C}-4), 126.69$ (Bn-C), 128.09 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.46 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 136.79 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 139.50 ( $\mathrm{C}-7{ }^{\prime}$ ), 144.36 (C-1), 153.35 (C4'), 158.72 (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 357.2325 exp. $357.2329\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 357.2325, exp. 357.2 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2941,2793,1631,1607,742,691$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.53\left(\right.$ EtOAc:hexane $=1: 2$ with $\left.2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 2.86 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.9 N,N-dibenzyl-3-(1-bromo-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3-yl)propan-1-amine (8d)



An oven-dried 500 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, bubbler and rubber septum was charged with 2-dimetyhlaminoethanol ( 2.3 ml , 22.5 mmol ) in anhydrous hexane under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2.5 M nBuLi in hexane ( $17.9 \mathrm{ml}, 44.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution by syringe. The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then cooled down to $-65^{\circ}$ C. 8c ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether was added to the reaction mixture by syringe. The solution turned deep red and was stirred at $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The mixture was cooled down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and tetrabromomethane ( $11.1 \mathrm{~g}, 42$ mmol ) in hexane ( 15 ml ) was added quickly via dropping funnel. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes and quenched with water. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane containing 1\% $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ : gradient starting with $0 \%$ EtOAc, product eluted at $10 \%$ EtOAc) gave the product as yellow oil in $36 \%$ yield ( 878 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 1.83-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 1.96-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6)$, 2.34-2.39 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.9,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.58-2.62 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.77$2.82\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7\right), 2.87-2.92\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5\right), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 4), 7.22-7.32 (m, 10H, Bn-H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 23.11$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-6\right), 26.11\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 32.11(\mathrm{C}-7), 33.14$ (C-5), $34.22\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 51.77\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 57.48(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 118.66(\mathrm{C}-4), 126.70$ (Bn-C), 128.09 (Bn-C), 128.47 (Bn-C), 137.59 (C-7'), 138.25 (C-1), 139.43 (Bn-C), 156.49 (C4'), 160.79 (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 435.1430 , exp. $435.1428\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right) \quad$ ESI-MS: cal. 435.1430 , $\exp .435 .1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$ IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2944,2802,1597,1537,896,745,691$.
m.p. $\quad 63.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.58\left(\right.$ EtOAc:hexane $=1: 6$ with $\left.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 5.56 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.10 N,N-dibenzyl-3-(1-chloro-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3-yl)propan-1-amine (8e)



An oven-dried 500 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, bubbler and dropping funnel was charged with 2-dimetyhlaminoethanol ( 5.8 ml , 56 mmol ) in anhydrous hexane under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2.5 M nBuLi in hexane ( $44.8 \mathrm{ml}, 112 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution by syringe. The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then cooled down to $-65^{\circ}$ C. 8c ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether was added to reaction mixture by syringe. The solution turned deep red and was stirred at $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The mixture was cooled down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and hexachloroetha ne ( $16.5 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexane ( 45 ml ) was added in one shot by dropping funnel. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes and quenched with $w$ ater. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane containing 1\% $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ : gradient starting with $0 \%$ EtOAc, product eluted at $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) gave the product as white solid in $59 \%$ yield (3.2 g).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 1.79-1.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right)$, 1.98-2.08 (m, 2H, H-6), 2.34-2.39 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.57-2.62 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.802.90 (m, 4H, H-5, H-7), 3.52 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.21-7.30 (m, 10H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 23.46(\mathrm{C}-6), 26.08\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 30.38(\mathrm{C}-7), 32.86$ (C-5), $34.27\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 51.78\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 57.48(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 118.38(\mathrm{C}-4), 126.70$ ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.08 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.48 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 135.27 (C-7'), 139.43 (Bn-C), 145.46 (C-1), 157.24 (C4'), 160.34 (C-3).
HRMS: cal. $391.1936 \exp .391 .1930\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 391.1936, exp. $391.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2943,2802,1600,1545,745,692$.
m.p. $\quad 48.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.56\left(\right.$ EtOAc:hexane $=1: 6$ with $\left.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 7.34 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.11 (rac)-N,N-dibenzyl-3-chloro-3-(1-chloro-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3-yl)propan-1-amine (8I)



An oven-dried 500 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, bubbler and dropping funnel was charged with potassium $t$-butoxide ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 20.5$ mmol ) under nitrogen atmosphere. Anhydrous diethyl ether ( 250 ml ) was added, the solution was cooled down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2.5 M nBuLi in hexane ( $8.2 \mathrm{ml}, 20.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution by syringe. The mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .8 \mathrm{e}(4 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether was added to the reaction mixture by dropping funnel. The solution turned deep red and was stirred at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes. Hexachloroethane ( $12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 51.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in hexane ( 30 ml ) was added quickly by dropping funnel. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes and quenched with water. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane containing 1\% $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ : gradient starting with $0 \%$ EtOAc, product eluted at $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) gave the product as yellow oil in $33 \%$ yield ( 1.4 g ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : ठ 2.06-2.16 (m, 2H, H-6), 2.27-2.42 (m, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.55-2.59 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.5,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.90-2.95 (m, 4H, H-5, H-7), $3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 4.96-$ $5.01\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0,1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Cl})-\right.$ ), $7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.21-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 24.07(\mathrm{C}-6), 31.29,33.72(\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-7)$, $35.77\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right)$, $50.51\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 58.56$ ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 61.17 (-CH(CI)-), 117.45 (C-4), 127.04 ( $\left.\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}\right), 128.36$ (BnC), 129.08 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 138.51 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 139.54 (C-7'), 146.89 (C-1), 157.79 (C-3), 158.62 (C-4').

HRMS: cal. 425.1546 , exp. $425.1540\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 425.1546 , exp. $425.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2936,2827,1632,1599,1550,745,736,697$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.65\left(\mathrm{EtOAc}:\right.$ hexane $=1: 6$ with $\left.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) 6.67 min

### 5.3.12 2,2,2-Trifluoro-N-(3-(6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3-yl)propyl)acetamide (8f)



An oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, bubbler and rubber septum was charged with $\mathbf{8 b}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous methanol ( 50 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ethyl trifluoroacetate ( $1.12 \mathrm{ml}, 9.4 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{ol}$ ) was added to the mixture via syringe. The reaction mixture was warmed up in the cooling bath over 4 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $2: 1$ containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ). The product was obtained as white solid in $75 \%$ yield ( 1.7 g ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): \delta 1.93-2.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right)$, 2.10-2.20(m,2H, H-6), 2.78-2.84 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-$ ), 2.94-2.99 (m, 4H, H-5, H-7), 3.33-3.37 (m, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD})$ : $\delta 26.30(\mathrm{C}-6), 30.37\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right)$, 30.89, 33.68, 35.77 (C-5, $\left.\mathrm{C}-7,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right), 40.48\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right), 115.82-119.62\left(-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 120.83(\mathrm{C}-4), 139.88\left(\mathrm{C}-7{ }^{\prime}\right)$, 145.14 (C-1), 157.43 (C-4'), 158.86-159.35 (-C(O)-CF ${ }_{3}$ ), 159.62 (C-3).

HRMS: cal. 273.1209 , $\exp .273 .1214\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 273.1209, exp. 273.1 ([M+H] $\left.{ }^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2965,1702,1564,1140,720,692$.
m.p. $\quad 84.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{T L C}) \quad 0.29\left(\right.$ EtOAc:hexane 2:1 with $\left.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 1.12 \& 2.66 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.13 2,2,2-Trifluoro-N-(3-(4-bromo-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3$\mathrm{yl})$ propyl)- acetamide (8g)



A 100 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with $\mathbf{8 f}$ ( 1.59 $\mathrm{g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was cooled with a water bath and trifluoroacetic acid ( 12 ml ) was added slowly into the flask. Sulfuric acid ( 15.8 ml ) and, after removal of the water bath, $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was stirred at room temperature and under exclusion of light for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was then poured into ice and alkalized to pH 9 using 10M NaOH . The aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate and once with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1+1) gave the product as light yellow solid in $24 \%$ yield ( 489 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) ; \delta 1.94-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right), 2.15-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 3.00-$ 3.14 (m, 6H, H-5, H-7, - $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-$ ), 3.38-3.42 (m, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-$ ), 8.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): \delta 25.27$ (C-6), $29.01\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right)$, 32.21, 34.99, 36.08 (C-5, $\left.\mathrm{C}-7,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\right), 40.49$ ( $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-$ ), 129.88 (C-4), 141.52 (C-7'), 143.74 (C-1), 157.64 (C-4'), 157.71 (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 351.0314, exp. $351.0313\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 351.0314, $\exp 351.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2936,1706,1632,1535,1177,1151,721,683$.
m.p. $\quad 75.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}($ TLC $) \quad 0.58($ EtOAc:hexane $=2: 1) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{HPLC}) \quad 5.58 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.14 3-(4-bromo-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3-yl)propan-1-amine

 (8h)

A 100 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar and condenser was charged with 8 g ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. After addition of methanol $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.75 \mathrm{ml})$, the reaction mixture was refluxed for 150 minutes. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted in water and extracted with chloroform and $n$-butanol. The aqueous phase was alkalized to pH 14 and extracted again with chloroform and butanol. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was obtained in $57 \%$ yield ( 83 mg ) and used in the next reaction step without further purification.

HRMS: cal. 255.0591; $\exp 255.0479\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 255.0591, exp. 255.1 ([M+H] $\left.{ }^{+}\right)$
$\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) 2.44

### 5.3.15 N,N-dibenzyl-3-(4-bromo-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3-yl)propan-1-amine (8i)



A 100 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar and condenser was charged with 8 h ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a $1: 1$ solution of acetonitrile and DMF. Benzyl bromide ( $0.13 \mathrm{ml}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.15 \mathrm{ml}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with water and extracted three times with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $1+20$ containing $0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) gave the product as yellow oil in $54 \%$ yield ( 120 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 1.83-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right)$, 1.99-2.11 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ), 2.40-2.45 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.9,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.79-2.90 (m, 4H, H-7, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right)$, 2.94$2.99\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-5\right), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-1)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 23.61(\mathrm{C}-6), 25.00\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 30.58,33.88,34.37$ (C-5, C-7, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 51.94\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 57.83(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 117.98(\mathrm{C}-4), 126.67(\mathrm{Bn}-$ C), 128.07 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.41 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 138.93, 139.38 (C-7', Bn-C), 142.90 (C-1), 154.16 (C-4'), 156.30 (C-3).

HRMS: cal. 435.1430, exp. $435.1427\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 435.1430 , exp. $435.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2939,2829,1677,1637,1024,700$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.31\left(\right.$ EtOAc:hexane $=1: 6$ with $\left.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{HPLC}) \quad 5.50 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.16 N,N-dibenzyl-3-(4-bromo-1-chloro-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-3-yl)propan-1-amine (8k)



An oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum an bubbler was charged with anhydrous hexane ( 10 ml ) and 2dimethylaminoethanol ( $0.1 \mathrm{ml}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then 2.5 M BuLi in hexa ne ( $0.72 \mathrm{ml}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe. After stirring for 30 minutes at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled down to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathbf{8 i}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous diethyl ether ( 3 ml ) were added dropwise. The solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and h exachloroethane $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15$ mmol ) in anhydrous diethyl ether was added quickly to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the n quenched with ammonium chloride solution. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with chloroform. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc:hexane containing 1\% $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, gradient starting from 0\% EtOAc, product eluted at $2.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) gave the product as colorless oil in $13 \%$ yield ( 14 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta$ 1.81-1.90 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.04-2.15 (m, 2H, H-6), 2.39-2.44 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.8,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.76-2.81 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-7$ ), 2.93-2.98 (m, 4H, H-5, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 3.51 ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.18-7.32 (m, 10H, Bn-H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 22.51$ (C-6), $24.59\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right)$, 31.84, 33.28, 35.43 (C-5, C-7, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), $51.58\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 57.42(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 117.01(\mathrm{C}-4), 126.67$ (BnC), 128.05 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.41 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 137.05, 139.33 (C-7, Bn-C), 143.97 (C-1), 157.43 (C-4'), 157.70 (C-3).

HRMS: cal. 469.1041, 471.1020 exp. 469.1022, 471.1004 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
ESI-MS: cal. 469.1, exp. $469.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.8$ (EtOAc:hexane $=1: 6$ with $1 \%$ Net $\left._{3}\right) \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}($ HPLC $) \quad 6.27$ min

### 5.3.17 3-Bromo-5-benzyloxypyridine (9c)



IA 250 ml one-necked flask was charged with 3-bromo-5-hydroxypyridine ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, benzyl bromide ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After addition of DMF ( 150 ml ), the reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred over night. After the mixture was cooled down to room temperature the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was partitioned between 1 N HCl and ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate. The organic phases were combined, washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:1) gave the product as brown solid in $85 \%$ yield $(2.3 \mathrm{~g})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO); $\delta 5.21$ (s, 2H, Bn-H), 7.35-7.48 (m, 5H, Bn-H), 7.79-7.81 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 8.29-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2), 8.36-8.37$ (m, 1H, 6-H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 70.01$ ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 119.97 (C-3), 124.08 (C-4) 127.96 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.18 (Bn-C), 128.51 (Bn-C), 135.96 (Bn-C), 137.16 (C-6), 142.25 (C-2), 155.08 (C-5).

HRMS: cal. 264.0019, exp. $264.0008\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 264.0019, exp. $264.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2933,2872,1571,1549,694$.
m.p. $\quad 61.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.59$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{HPLC}) \quad 7.96$ min

### 5.3.18 1-Propylboronic acid

$\sim \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$

A 500 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, dropping funnel and bubbler was charged with trimethylborate ( $10.7 \mathrm{ml}, 96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 200 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and 2 M propylmagnesium chloride ( $48.5 \mathrm{ml}, 97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether was added via dropping funnel over 45 minutes. The white, clouded reaction mixture was stirred for 2 more hours at $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was war med up to room temperature and hydrolyzed with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid until the white solid was dissolved. The biphasic solution was stirred for 15 minutes. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with diethyl ether. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was recrystallized from diethyl ether in $91 \%$ yield $(7.7 \mathrm{~g})$ as colorless solid. Analytical NMR data correspond to literature data.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta$. $0.54-0.59\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.7,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.82-0.87$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.27-1.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.35$ (bs, $\left.\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (75,5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta 17.11\left((\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.55\left((\mathrm{OH})_{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
m.p. $\quad 87.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

### 5.3.19 3-Benzyloxy-5-propylpyridine (9d)



A 250 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, septum and condenser with bubbler was charged with $9 \mathrm{c}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, propylboronic acid ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $3.15 \mathrm{~g}, 22.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium(II) acetate ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Xylene ( 108 $\mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{ml})$ were added under nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture was refluxed at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 hours. After the mixtu re was cooled down to room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was partitioned in $14 \%$ ammonium hydroxide solution/ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate; the combined organic phases were washed with brine, and then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography of the crude product (EtOAc/hexane, 4:1) gave the product as colorless oil in $83 \%$ yield $(2.2 \mathrm{~g})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$; $\delta 0.92-0.96\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.58-1.70(m, $2 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.55-2.60 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 4), 7.34-7.44 (m, 5H, Bn-H), 8.08 (s, 1H, H-6), 8.22 (s, 1H, H-2).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 13.86\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $24.32\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $35.08\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 70.58 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), $122.38(\mathrm{C}-4), 127.76(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 128.48(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 128.91(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 135.26$ (C-2), 136.46 (Bn-C), 138.90 (C-5), 142.70 (C-6), 155.09 (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 228.1383, exp. $228.1377\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 228.1383, exp. $228.10\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2958,2931,2870,1586,1432,735,695$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.67$ (EtOAc:hexane 4:1) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 5.46 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.20 2-Bromo-3-benzyloxy-5-propylpyridine (9f)



Into an oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum and bubbler was placed 2-dimethylaminoethanol (1.8 $\mathrm{ml}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous hexane ( 30 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2.5 M BuLi in hexane ( $14.1 \mathrm{ml}, 35.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise via syringe. After stirring for 30 minutes at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was warmed up to $-2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 9 d ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous hexane ( 5 ml ) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the n tetrabromomethane ( 8.6 g , 26.4 mmol ) in hexane were added quickly. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and quenched with ammonium chloride solution. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$; the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc:hexane containing $2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, gradient starting from $0 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$, product eluted at $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) gave the product as orange oil in $9 \%$ yield ( 121 mg ).
For analytical purposes, the product was further purified by preparative HPLC (ACN, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) on a preparative column with the following gradient: $50 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $99 \%$ ACN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 45 minutes. 9f eluted with $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=35.9$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilization as white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) ; ~ \delta 0.84-0.89\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.53-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.53-2.57 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.82-7.83 (d, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}): \delta 13.36\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.53\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $33.38\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 70.11 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 121.67 ( $\mathrm{C}-4$ ), 127.51 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.02 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.48 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 129.10 (C-2), 136.05 (Bn-C), 138.73 (C-5), 141.08 (C-6), 151.02 (C-3).

HRMS: cal. 306.0488, exp. $306.0478\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 306.0488, exp. $306.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2954,2930,2871,1577,1418,730$.
m.p. $\quad 61.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.42$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:10) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 9.24$ min

### 5.3.21 2-Chloro-3-benyzoyloxy-5-propylpyridine (9g)



Into an oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum and bubbler was placed 2-dimethylaminoethanol (0.9 $\mathrm{ml}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 20 ml of anhydrous hexane under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then 2.5 M BuLi in hexa ne ( $7.0 \mathrm{ml}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe. After stirring for 30 minutes at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was warmed up to -2 C and $9 \mathrm{~d}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3 ml anhydrous hexane were added dropwise. The solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and hexachloroethane $(3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2$ mmol ) in hexane was added quickly. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with ammonium chloride solution. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc:hexane gradient starting from 0\% EtOAc, product eluted at 20\% EtOAc) gave the product as yellow solid in $10 \%$ yield ( 58 mg ).
For analytical purposes, the product was further purified by preparative HPLC (ACN, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) on a preparative column with the following gradient: $60 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $99 \%$ ACN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 45 minutes. $9 \mathbf{g}$ eluted with $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=27.2$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilization as white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}): \delta 0.84-0.89\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.53-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.53-2.58 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.5,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.54\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right), 7.82-7.83\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO): $\delta 13.35\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $23.57\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $33.42\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $70.07(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 122.10(\mathrm{C}-4), 127.64(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 128.07(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 128.49(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 136.02$ (Bn-C), 136.88 (C-2), 138.47 (C-5), 140.12 (C-6), 149.76 (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 262.0993, exp. $262.0994\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 262.0993, exp. 262.1 ([M+H] $\left.{ }^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2954,2938,2870,1580,1420,724$.
m.p. $\quad 43.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.43$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:10) $\quad \mathbf{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 9.01 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.22 3-Methoxy-5-propylpyridine (9e)



A 250 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, septum and condenser with bubbler was charged with 3-bromo-5-methoxypyridine ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 21.3$ mmol ), propylboronic acid ( $4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 42.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $11.02,63.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, palladium(II) acetate $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine $(0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1$ mmol ) and then evacuated and purged with nitrogen for three times. Xylene ( 200 ml ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(16 \mathrm{ml})$ were added under nitrogen atmosphere and the reaction mixture was refluxed at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 hours. After the mixtu re was cooled down to room temperature, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was partitioned between $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid/ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with ethyl acetate; the combined organic phases were washed with brine, and then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography of the crude product (EtOAc/hexane, 1:10) gave the product as colorless oil in $64 \%$ yield $(2.1 \mathrm{~g})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta$. 0.87-0.92 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.54-1.66 (m, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.52-2.57 (t, ${ }^{3} J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.20-7.21$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 8.01-8.02 (m, 1H, H-6), 8.10-8.11 (m, 1H, H-2).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta 13.49\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.71\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $33.98(-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.33\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 120.22(\mathrm{C}-4), 134.76(\mathrm{C}-2), 138.20(\mathrm{C}-5), 141.72(\mathrm{C}-6), 155.26$ (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 152.1070, exp. $152.1068\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 152.1070, exp. 152.1 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2959,2933,2871,1587,1460,1425,1268,859$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.31$ (EtOAc:hexane 2:1) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 2.59 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.23 2-Bromo-3-methoxy-5-propylpyridine (9h)



Into an oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum and bubbler was placed 2-dimethylaminoethanol (0.8 $\mathrm{ml}, 7.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous hexane ( 5 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then 2.5 M BuLi in hexa ne ( $6.3 \mathrm{ml}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe. After stirring for 30 minutes at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was warmed up to $-2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $9 \mathrm{e}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous hexane ( 1 ml ) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and tetr abromomethane ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9$ mmol ) in hexane was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with ammonium chloride solution. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc:hexane gradient starting from $0 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$, product eluted at $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) gave the product as yellow oil in $41 \%$ yield ( 187 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta$ 0.87-0.92 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.55-1.68 (m, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.53-2.58 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.37\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (75,5 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): ס $13.44\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.61\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 33.44 (-$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 56.26\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 120.15(\mathrm{C}-4), 128.55(\mathrm{C}-2), 138.90(\mathrm{C}-5), 140.68(\mathrm{C}-6), 152.04$ (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 230.0175, exp. $230.0175\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 230.0175, exp. 230.1 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2937,2830,1633,1403,1024,669$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.30$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:10) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 7.28 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.24 2-Chloro-3-methoxy-5-propylpyridine (9i)



Into an oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum and bubbler was placed 2-dimethylaminoethanol (0.4 $\mathrm{ml}, 3.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous hexane ( 5 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled down to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then 2.5 M BuLi in hexa ne ( $3.20 \mathrm{ml}, 7.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe. After stirring for 30 minutes at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was warmed up to $-2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $9 \mathbf{e}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous hexane ( 1 ml ) were added dropwise. The solution was stirred at this temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled down to $-75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and hexa chloroethane ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 5.96$ mmol ) in hexane was added quickly. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with ammonium chloride solution. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$; the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc:hexane gradient starting from $0 \%$ EtOAc, product eluted at $10 \%$ EtOAc) gave the product as colorless oil in $57 \%$ yield ( 105 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta$ 0.87-0.92 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.55-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.54-2.60 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.43-7.44\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4), 7.81\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (75,5 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): ס $13.44\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.65\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 33.49 (-$\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $56.13\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 120.57(\mathrm{C}-4), 136.41(\mathrm{C}-2), 138.63(\mathrm{C}-5), 139.71(\mathrm{C}-6), 150.77$ (C-3).
HRMS: cal. 186.0680, exp. $186.0671\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 186.0680, exp. $186.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2933,2870,1633,1403,1076,684$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.31$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:10) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ HPLC $) \quad 7.10 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.25 Ethyl 3-(5-bromo-2'-cyano-3,4-dimethyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoate (12a)



An oven-dried 250 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, condenser with bubbler, nitrogen inlet and dropping funnel was charged with $6 \mathrm{f}(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 12.7$ mmol ), 7 d ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium (II) acetate ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After evacuation and subsequent purging with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for three times, dioxane ( 120 ml ) and 1 M potassium phosphate ( 5.7 ml ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added by dropping funnel to the solution. The reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 4 hours at this temperature. A fter cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with brine and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:4 containing $1 \% \mathrm{NE}_{3}$ ) gave the product in $56 \%$ yield as yellow viscous liquid ( 1.6 g ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 1.11-1.16\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.74-2.79\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$ ), 3.00-3.05 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ )), 4.00-4.07 (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.98-7.99 (d, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 8.69 (s, 1H, H-11), 8.74-8.74 (d, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ )
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 14.02\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.81\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.35\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.25$ (-$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 33.56\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$ ), $60.00\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 116.60(-\mathrm{CN}), 123.79$ (C-10), 129.61 (C-6) 133.18 (C-8), 137.91 (C-4), 139.76 (C-5), 140.56 (C-3), 146.71 (C-9), 148.09 (C-11), 150.92 (C-2), 151.65 (C-7), 171.74 ( $-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ).
HRMS: : cal. 388.0655, 390.0637; exp. 388.0638, 390,0620 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
ESI-MS: cal. 388.0655; exp. 388.2 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2984,2236,1716,13691156,946,778$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.24$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ HPLC $) \quad 9.07 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.26 Ethyl 3-(6-cyano-5-(5-(3-(3-(dibenzylamino)propyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-1-yl)-3,4-dimethylpyridin-2-yl)pyridine-3yl)propanoate (22a)



An oven-dried 5 ml microwave-flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with 12a $(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium acetate $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$, bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tris(dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium(0) ( $0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and XPhos ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was sealed with a microwave septum. The flask was evacuated and flushed with nitrogen. After addition of dioxane ( 2 ml ), the reaction mixture was heated in the microwave to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 15 minutes. After cooling down to room temperature, 8e $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(0.8 \mathrm{ml})$ and potassium phosphate $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{ml})$ were added to reaction mixture via syringe. The reaction mixture was heated in the microwave to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and st irred at this temperature for 30 minutes. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with brine and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $1: 1$ containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) gave the product in $40 \%$ yield ( 69 mg ) as orange oil.

The product was further purified by preparative HPLC (ACN/0.1\% TFA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.1 \%$ TFA) with the following gradient: $30 \%$ ACN to $99 \%$ ACN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 50 minutes. 22a eluted at $t_{R}=25.6$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilization as violet ammonium trifluoroacetate salt.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): 1.16-1.21\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.10-2.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{H}-15\right), 2.74-2.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 2.87-2.92\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\right.$ 16), 3.09-3.17 ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{H}-14$ ), 4.05-4.12 (quartet, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.36(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18)$, 7.95 (s, 1H, H-4), 8.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$ ), 8.71 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): \delta 14.66\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.52\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.35\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.64(\mathrm{C}-15)$, $26.04\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 29.01\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$, $31.63(\mathrm{C}-14)$, $33.42(\mathrm{C}-16), 34.70\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 35.42\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 52.32\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 58.56(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 61.93\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 117.49 ( CN ), 122.10 ( $\mathrm{C}-18$ ), $130.70(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 130.76(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 131.52(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 131.90(\mathrm{C}-6)$, 132.44 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 134.18 (C-8), 136.28 (C-10 or C-13), 139.62 (C-4), 141.61, 141.74 (C-5, C-10 or C-13), 142.74 (C-3), 147.53 (C-11), 148.62 (C-9), 149.86 (C-12), $152.60(\mathrm{C}-2), 155.08$ (C7), 157.84 (C-17), $163.16(\mathrm{C}-19), 173.88\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.

HRMS: cal. 664.3646, exp. $664.3643\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 664.3646, exp. 664.3 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2954,2233,1729,1671,1195,1127,700$.
m.p. $\quad 44.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.38$ (EtOAc:hexane $1: 1$ with $1 \%$ of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathrm{HPLC}) \quad 6.04$ min

### 5.3.27 3-(2'-carbamoyl-5-(3-(3-(dibenzylaminopropyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-

 cyclopenta[c]pyridin-1-yl)-3,4-dimethyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'yl)propanoic acid (25a)

A 50 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar and condenser was charged was charged with 22a ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and of sodium hydroxide ( 15 mg , $0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. After addition of ethanol ( 0.7 ml ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{ml})$, the reaction mixture was refluxed over night. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was purified by semipreparative HPLC (ACN/0.1\% TFA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.1 \%$ TFA) with the following gradient: $20 \%$ ACN to $99 \%$ ACN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 60 minutes. 25 a eluted at $t_{R}=14.9$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilisation as white ammonium trifluoroacetate salt in $73 \%$ yield (19 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}):$ 2.22-2.35 (m, 10H, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-15,-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.76-2.81\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $\left.=7.2,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.84-2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 3.13-3.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.41 (s, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.47-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.92$ (s, 1H, H-4), 8.49 (s, 1H, H-11), 8.78 (s, 1H, H-2).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}$, MeOD): $\delta 16.50\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.81\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.24(\mathrm{C}-15), 26.07\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 28.85\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 31.60$, $33.69\left(\mathrm{C}-16,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 34.67(\mathrm{C}-14)$, $35.36\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 52.38\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 58.57(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 122.23(\mathrm{H}-18), 130.68(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 130.74$ ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 131.49 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 132.46 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 134.31 (C-8), 135.12, (C-13), 140.92 (C-4), 141.15, 141.67, 141.85 (C-5, C-9, C-10), 142.60 (C-3), 143.90 (C-11), 145.58 (C-6), 151.10 (C-2), 151.91 (C-12), 155.55 (C-7), 157.98 (C-17), $162.39(\mathrm{C}-19), 167.20\left(-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 175.72(-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
HRMS: cal. 654.444, exp. $654.3390\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 655.3444 , exp. $654.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2941,1715,1669,1196,1127,701,696$.
m.p.
$68.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC)
4.22 min

### 5.3.28 Ethyl 3-(5-bromo-2'-cyano-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoate (12b)



An oven-dried 250 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, condenser with bubbler, nitrogen inlet and dropping funnel was charged with 2,5-dibromo-3dimethylpyridine ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium phosphate ( $5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), palladium (II) acetate ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After evacuation and subsequent purging with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for three times, dioxane ( 45 ml ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ were added via dropping funnel and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at room temperature. After heating the mixture to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, crude $\mathbf{6 f}(6.6 \mathrm{~g}$, about 8.8 mmol ) in dioxane ( 15 ml ) was added via dropping funnel over 90 minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred for 150 minutes at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cooled down to room temperature and stirred over night. The reaction mixture was diluted with brine and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:4) gave the product in $74 \%$ yield $(2.4 \mathrm{~g})$ as yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ; \delta 1.21-1.25\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.17,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.682.73 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 3.06-3.11\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 4.09-4.16$ (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.14,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right), 7.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.64$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{H}-11$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.37\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.14\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.19\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$, $34.75\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 61.15\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 116.47(-\mathrm{CN}), 121.53(\mathrm{C}-10), 131.31(\mathrm{C}-6), 134.11$ (C-8), 137.80 (C-4), 139.93 (C-5), 140.21 (C-3), 141.33 (C-9), 148.85 (C-11), 151.02 (C-2), 151.69 (C-7), 171.89 ( $-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ).

HRMS: cal. 374.0499; $\exp 374.0496\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 374.0499; exp. $374.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$

IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2978,2229,1714,1367,1107,946$.
m.p. $45.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.27$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ HPLC $) \quad 7.52 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.29 Ethyl 3-(2'-cyano-3-methyl-5-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-2,3'bipyridin-5-yl)propanoate (21b)



An oven-dried 250 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, condenser with bubbler, nitrogen inlet and rubber septum was charged with $\mathbf{1 2 b}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7$ mmol ), potassium acetate ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 20.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, palladium(II)acetate ( $0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triphenylphosphine ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3$ mmol ) under nitrogen atmosphere. After addition of dioxane ( 120 ml ) the reaction mixture was heated to $102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and refluxed for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and diluted with brine. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:2) gave the product in $19 \%$ yield ( 536 mg ) as yellow solid. The product hydrolyzed to the free boronic acid under conditions used in HPLC and LC-MS runs. Analytical data represent therefore data for the free boronic acid.
The product was used without further purification in the next reaction step.

HRMS: cal. $340.1466, \exp .340 .1455\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 340.1466, exp. $340.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 4.87 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.30 Ethyl 3-(2'-cyano-5-(3-(3-(dibenzylamino)propyl)-6,7-dihydro-5Hcyclopen ta[c]pyridine-1-yl)-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoate (22b)



## Procedure A: microwave

An oven-dried 20 ml microwave-flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with 12b $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium acetate $(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the indicated amount of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ source and phosphine ligand and was sealed with a microwave septum. The flask was evacuated and flushed with nitrogen. After addition of 12 ml of the indicated solvent, the reaction mixture was heated in the microwave to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 7 minutes (DMF) or 32 minutes (dioxane).
After cooling down to room temperature, dihydro- 5 H -cyclopenta[c]pyridine halide 8d ( 0.75 mmol ) or $8 \mathbf{e}(0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 3 ml of solvent and 1.6 mmol of base in 1 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added to reaction mixture via syringe. The reaction mixture was heated in the microwave to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 30-40 minutes. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with brine and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $1: 1$ containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) gave the product as orange oil.

|  | 8d | $8 \mathbf{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ source | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ |
| phosphine | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ | XPhos $(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ |
| solvent | DMF | dioxane |
| base | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ |
| yield | $48 \%(234 \mathrm{mg})$ | $54 \%(263 \mathrm{mg})$ |

## Procedure B: thermal

An oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, rubber septum and condenser with bubbler was charged with $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4$ mmol ), potassium acetate ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48$ $\mathrm{mmol})$,, tris(dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium(0) ( $0.01 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and XPhos ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was evacuated and flushed with nitrogen for at least three times. After addition of dioxane ( 3 ml ) the reaction mixture was heated to $102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 1 hour. Then, $8 \mathbf{e}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(3 \mathrm{ml})$ and potassium phosphate $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{ml})$ were added to reaction mixture via syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at $102^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 more hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with brine and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane $1: 1$ containing $1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) gave the product in $46 \%$ yield ( 84 mg ) as orange oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.22-1.26\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.97-2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}-15$ ), $2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.52-2.57\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right)$, 2.69-2.74 ( t , $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 2.80-2.85\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 2.89-2.94\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=\right.$ 7.4, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), 3.07-3.13 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ and $\mathrm{H}-14$ ), $3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.17$ (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18), 7.19-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{4} J=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right), 8.08-8.09\left(\mathrm{~s},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.5, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.63-8.64\left(\mathrm{~s},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right), 8.91-8.91\left(\mathrm{~s},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 14.39\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.34\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.70(\mathrm{C}-15), 27.53\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 28.23\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 32.32(\mathrm{C}-14), 33.02(\mathrm{C}-16), 34.82\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 35.95$ $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 53.15\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 58.61(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 61.12\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 116.73(-\mathrm{CN})$, 118.92 (C-18), 126.95 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.35 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 129.03 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 131.46 (C-6), 131.96 (C-8), 135.49 (C-13), 136.71 (C-10), 138.02 (C-4), 138.83 (C-9), 140.04 (C-3), 140.16 (Bn-C), 140.92 (C-5), 147.32 (C-11), 149.63 (C-12), 150.73 (C-2), 152.19 (C-7), 156.05 (C-17), 160.63 (C-19), 171.96 ( $\left.-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.

HRMS: cal. 650.3490, exp. $650.3491\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 650.3490, exp. 650.4 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.25$ (EtOAc:hexane $1: 1$ with $1 \%$ of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{HPLC}) \quad 6.11$ min

### 5.3.31 Ethyl3-(5-(4-chloro-3-(3-(dibenzylamino)propyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine-1-yl)-2'-cyano-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'yl)propanoate (22c)



An oven-dried 20 ml microwave-flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with 12b $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium acetate $(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tris(dibenzylideneacetone) dipalladium(0) $(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol})$ and XPhos $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was sealed with a microwave septum. The flask was evacuated and flushed with nitrogen. After addition of dioxane ( 12 ml ), the reaction mixture was heated in the microwave to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 32 minutes. After cooling down to room temperature, $8 \mathbf{l}(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(3 \mathrm{ml})$ and potassium phosphate $(0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{ml})$ were added to reaction mixture via syringe. The reaction mixture was heated in the microwave to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 55 minutes. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with brine and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:1) gave the product in $22 \%$ yield ( 180 mg ) as orange oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 1.22-1.26 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.11-2.34 (m, $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-15$, -
 $\mathrm{H}-16$ ), 3.08-3.17 (m, 4H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{H}-14$ ), $3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.18$ (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=$ 7.1, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 5.13-5.18 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.01 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Cl})-$ ), $7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18)$, 7.74-7.75 (d, $\left.{ }^{4} J=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right), 8.09-8.10\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.64-8.65\left(\mathrm{~s},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\right.$ 2), $8.93-8.93\left(\mathrm{~s},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.5,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 14.38\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.35\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.70(\mathrm{C}-15), 28.22\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 32.56(\mathrm{C}-14), 33.10(\mathrm{C}-16), 34.80\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 35.99\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 50.73$ $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 58.60(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 61.12\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 62.06(-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Cl})-), 116.71(-\mathrm{CN}), 118.06(\mathrm{C}-18)$, 127.06 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 128.37 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 129.05 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 131.43 ( $\mathrm{C}-6$ ), 131.97 (C-8), 136.05 ( $\mathrm{C}-10$ ), 137.69 (C-13), 137.99 (C-4), 138.89 (C-9), 139.62 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 140.07 (C-3), 140.80 (C-5), 147.29 (C-11), 149.49 (C-12), 150.78 (C-2), 152.46 (C-7), 156.92 (C-17), 158.40 (C-19), $171.96\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.
HRMS: cal. 684.3100, exp. $684.3067\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 684.3495, exp. $684.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2926,1730,1596,1185,746,698$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.38$ (EtOAc:hexane 4:6 with $\left.2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \quad t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{HPLC}) \quad 7.40 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.32 3-(2'carbamoyl-5-(3-(3-(dibenzylamino)propyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-1-yl)-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoic acid (25b)



A 50 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar and condenser was charged was charged with 22b ( $431 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium hydroxide ( 266 mg , $6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$. After addition of ethanol $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{ml})$, the reaction mixture was refluxed over night. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The aqueous phase was acidified to pH 1 with 1 M HCl and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was then alkalized to pH 9 with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted three times with $n$-butanol. The combined organic phases from pH 9 were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as white solid in $80 \%$ yield ( 338 mg ) and used without further purification in the next reaction step.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ): 2.00-2.07 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.13-2.19 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-15$ ), $2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.50-2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 2.80-2.84\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2,2 \mathrm{H},-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 2.95-3.00 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), 3.08-3.16 (m, 4H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 14), $3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18), 7.23-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.73\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\right.$ 4), 8.01 (s, 1H, H-9), 8.67 (s, 2H, H-2, H-11).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (75,5 MHz, MeOD): $\delta 19.54\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.35(\mathrm{C}-15), 28.30\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 30.72(-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 33.02 (C-14), 33.94 (C-16), $36.24\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 40.10\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 53.65\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}\right), 59.63(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}) 120.13(\mathrm{C}-18), 128.07(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 129.45(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C})$, 130.27 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 133.31 ( $\mathrm{C}-8$ ), 136.28 ( $\mathrm{C}-10$ ), 137.11 ( $\mathrm{C}-13$ ), 139.18 (C-9), 140.15 (C-4), 141.11 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 142.77 (C-3), 144.90 (C-5), 146.22 (C-11), 147.03 (C-6), 150.03 (C-2), 151.33 (C-12), 157.96 (C-17), 158.79 (C-7), $161.62(\mathrm{C}-19), 169.76\left(-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 171.77$ (-$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$.

The product was further purified by preparative HPLC (ACN/0.1\% TFA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.1 \%$ TFA) with the following gradient: $20 \%$ ACN to $99 \%$ ACN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 50 minutes. 25b eluted at $t_{R}=17.9$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilisation as white ammonium trifluoroacetate salt.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD})$ : $2.31-2.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-15,-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.77-2.82 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=$ 7.2, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.93-2.97 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2}$ ), 3.09-3.28(m, 8H, H-14, H-16, -$\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NBn}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18), 7.40-7.47$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.87-7.88 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 8.47-8.47 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 8.80-8.80 (d, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.8$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ or $\mathrm{H}-11$ ), 8.85-8.86 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.4,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ or $\mathrm{H}-11$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (75,5 MHz, MeOD): $\delta 19.16,24.31,26.58,28.81,32.71,34.13 .34 .26,35.49$, $52.28,58.58,121.82,130.65,130.76,131.47,132.40,135.65,136.14,139.57,140.20$, 141.77, 142.52, 142.99, 147.05, 148.27, 151.66, 156.72, 158.67, 161.67, 161.90, 168.68, 175.80.

HR-MS: cal. 640.3282, exp. $640.3276\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 640.3282, exp. $640.3\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2922,1722,1671,1197,1086,719,700$.
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { m.p. } & 49.3 \mathrm{C} \\ \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}(\text { HPLC }) & 4.30 \mathrm{~min}\end{array}$

### 5.3.33 3-(5-(3-(3-aminopropyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-1-yl)-2'carbamoyl-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoic acid (26b) and 3-(5-(3-(3-(benzylamino)propyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-1-yl)-2'carbamoyl-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoic acid (26c)

An oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, condenser with bubbler, nitrogen inlet and rubber septum was charged with $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06$ mmol ), ammonium formate ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was evacuated and flushed with nitrogen three times. After addition of methanol ( 3 ml ), the reaction mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred at this temperature over night. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered over celite. The filtrate was dried under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by semipreparative HPLC ( $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) with the following gradient: $20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ to $99 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 40 minutes.

26b eluted at $t_{R}=20.7$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilisation as white solid in $6 \%$ yield ( 2 mg ) as zwitterionic salt.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): 2.20-2.25$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-15$ ), 2.29 (s, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.83-2.88 (t, 2H, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.04-3.23 (m, 10H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), 7.45 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18$ ), 7.83 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 8.12 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 8.73-8.74 (d, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$ ), 8.75-8.76 (d, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): \delta 19.39\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.46(\mathrm{C}-15), 28.67\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), \quad 28.82$ $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 32.62$ (C-14), 33.96 (C-16), $34.92\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 36.10\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 40.34\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 120.64(\mathrm{C}-18), 133.59(\mathrm{C}-8), 135.51(\mathrm{C}-10), 136.47,138.45(\mathrm{C}-5$, $\mathrm{C}-13$ ), 139.31 ( $\mathrm{C}-9$ ), 140.24 (C-4), 141.36 (C-3), 146.01 (C-11), 147.21 (C-6), 150.13 (C-2), 150.98 (C-12), 158.14 (C-7), 159.56, 159.70 (C-17, C-19), $169.87\left(-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$.

HR-MS: 460.2344 , exp. $460.2343\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 460.2344 , exp. $460.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2929,1673,1598,1561,1199,1129,720$.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { m.p. } & 99.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & t_{R}(H P L C)\end{array} \quad 2.69 \mathrm{~min}$

26c eluted at $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}=25.7$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilisation as white solid in $22 \%$ yield ( 7 mg ).

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): 1.87-2.10 (m, $7 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}-15,-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.54-2.61 ( m , $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.78-2.97 (m, 8H, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 3.07-3.12(\mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16$ ), 3.42 (bs, NH), 3.71 (s, 2H, Bn-H), 7.16-7.31 (m, 7H, Bn-H, H-18, $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.65-7.66 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.95,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), $7.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9,-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 8.55-8.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $=1.92,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), 8.72-8.73 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 18.87\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $24.95(\mathrm{C}-15), 27.25\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $29.44\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 31.24(\mathrm{C}-14), 32.22(\mathrm{C}-16), 34.07\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 34.81\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 48.06\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 52.74(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 117.94(\mathrm{C}-18), 126.49(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}), 127.96(\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C})$, 128.02 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 130.38 ( $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{C}$ ), 133.80 (C-8), 134.66 (C-10), 135.35 (C-13), 136.14 (C-5), 138.71 (C-4), 144.41 (C-3), 145.24 (C-11), 147.20 (C-6), 149.51 (C-2), $153.40(\mathrm{C}-12)$, 155.47, 157.32, 159. 46 (C-7, C-17, C-19), 166.91 (-C(O)NH $)_{2}$, $173.57\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$.

HR-MS: : cal. 550.2813, exp. $550.2808\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 550.2813, exp. $550.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\vee\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2930,1720,1673,1561,1199,1130,719$.
m.p. $\quad 96.9 \mathrm{C} \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}($ HPLC $) \quad 3.41 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.34 Ethyl 3-(2'-cyano-5-(hepta-1,6-diynyl)-3-methyl-2,3'bipyridin-5-yl) propanoate (23a)



Procedure 1: thermal
An oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, condenser with bubbler and rubber septum was charged with copper iodide $(12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{1 2 b}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$, triphenylphosphine ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13$ mmol ) and palladium(II) acetate ( $7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After evacuating and purging the flask with nitrogen for at least three times, DMF ( 3 ml ) and triethylamine ( 3 ml ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 minutes. After heating the mixture to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1,6$-heptadiyne ( $0.1 \mathrm{ml}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 0.5 ml ) was added to the reaction mixture dropwise via syringe. The solution was stirred for 20 more minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, cooled down to room tempe rature and diluted with brine. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:4) gave the product in 61\% yield ( 158 mg ) as yellow oil.

## Procedure 2: microwave

An oven-dried 5 ml microwave-flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged
 phosphine ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and palladium(II) acetate ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and was sealed with a microwave septum. The flask was evacuated and flushed with nitrogen. After addition of DMF ( 6 ml ), triethylamine ( 4.5 ml ) and 1,6-heptadiyne ( $0.2 \mathrm{ml}, 1.6$ mmol ), the reaction mixture was heated in the microwave to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this
temperature for 195 seconds. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with brine. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 1:4) gave the product in $67 \%$ ( 346 mg ) yield as yellow oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.20-1.25\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.18,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.82-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-$ 15), 2.00-2.02 (m, $\left.{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.6,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18\right), 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.37-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16), 2.58-2.63$ (t, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 2.67-2.72\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 3.05-3.10\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 4.09-4.16 (quartet, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.13,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9), 7.70-7.71$ (d, $\left.{ }^{4} J=1.83,1 H, H-4\right), 8.56(s, 1 H, H-11), 8.62\left(d,{ }^{4} J=1.86,1 H, H-2\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 14.37\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.87(\mathrm{C}-16), 18.74(\mathrm{C}-14), 19.02\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.57(\mathrm{C}-15), 28.20\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 34.77\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 61.12\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 69.35(\mathrm{C}-12)$, 77.70 (C-17), 83.46 (C-18), 94.18 (C-13), 116.54 (-CN), 121.67 (C-10), 131.36 (C-6), 131.76 (C-8), 137.87 (C-4), 140.09, 140.30 (C-3, C-5), 141.37 (C-9), 150.00 (C-11), 150.86 (C-2), 151.39 (C-7), 171.92 ( $-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ).

HRMS: cal. $386.1863 ; \exp .386 .1848\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 386.1863; exp. $386.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2980,2939,2233,1731,1665,1369,1154,946,884$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{TLC}) \quad 0.1$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:4) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 8.46 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.35 Ethyl 3-(2'-cyano-5-(3-(2-cyanoethyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-

 cyclopenta[c]pyridin -1-yl)-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoate (24a)

A 50 ml two-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet and rubber septum was charged with 23a ( $0.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Cp*Ru(cod)Cl ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and succinonitrile ( $2.66 \mathrm{~g}, 31.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this tem perature for 5.5 hour. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was partitioned between saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with chloroform. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane startin at $2+1,2 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) the product was obtained as brown oil in $13 \%$ yield ( 48 mg ). The product was further purified by preparative HPLC (ACN/0.1\% TFA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.1 \%$ TFA) with the following gradient: $20 \%$ ACN to $99 \%$ ACN in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 60 minutes. 24a eluted at $t_{R}=28.5$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilisation as light blue pyridinium trifluoroacetate salt.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 1.12-1.17 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.05-2.15 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-15$ ), $2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.77-2.82\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 2.98-3.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}$ ), 3.13-3.19 (m, 4H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}-14$ ), 4.01-4.08 ( $\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,2 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 5.78 (bs, $\mathrm{NH}^{+}$), $7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18), 8.11-8.12\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right), 8.30-8.30\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 8.75-8.76 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), $9.01\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 14.03\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 15.86\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}\right), 18.64\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.92(\mathrm{C}-$ 15), $27.27\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 31.38(\mathrm{C}-14), 31.70(\mathrm{C}-16), 32.43\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}\right)$, 33.62 ( -$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 60.01\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 111.94-117.25\left(-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 116.82(-\mathrm{CN}), 119.29(\mathrm{C}-18), 120.47(-$ CN ), 129.71 ( $\mathrm{C}-6$ ), 131.71 (C-8), 134.45 (C-10), 136.82 (C-13), 137.84 (C-4), 138.27 (C-9), 139.70 (C-5), 140.51 (C-3), 146.47 (C-11), 148.29 (C-12), 150.91 (C-2), 152.37 (C-7), 155.51 (C-19), $157.44(\mathrm{C}-17), 158.00,158.49\left(-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 171.78\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.
HRMS: cal. 466.2238, exp. $466.2251\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 466.2238, exp. $466.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $\mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]=2958,2248,2234,1728,1594,1560,1184$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.29$ (EtOAc:hexane 2+1) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 7.54 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.36 3-(5-bromo-2'-carbamoyl-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridin-5'-yl)propanoic acid (12d)



Into a 50 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar and condenser was placed 12b ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium hydroxide ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $70 \%$ ethanol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 hours, washed with diethyl ether and acidified with glacial acetic acid to pH 4 . Part of the product precipitated; remaining product was extracted from the aqueous phase with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as yellow solid $86 \%$ yield (116 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 2.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.61-2.66\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.38,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ ), 2.91-2.96 (t, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.34,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 7.62-7.63 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=$ 2.0, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 7.93-7.93 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.1,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), $7.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 8.45-8.46 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.1,1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}-11$ ), 8.56-8.56 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (75,5 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 18.50\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.04\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$, $34.25\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), $118.24(\mathrm{C}-10), 133.57(\mathrm{C}-8), 134.51(\mathrm{C}-5), 138.33(\mathrm{C}-4), 138.63(\mathrm{C}-3), 138.92(\mathrm{C}-9)$, 146.14 (C-11), $146.83(\mathrm{C}-6), 148.04(\mathrm{C}-2), 156.98(\mathrm{C}-7), 166.63\left(-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 173.40\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$.
HR-MS: cal. 364.0291; $\exp 364.0284\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 364.0291; exp. $364.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=3437,1701,1646,1558,1390$.
m.p. $\quad 230.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (burned) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 4.17$ min

### 5.3.37 Ethyl 5-(2-(ethoxycarbonyl)ethyl)-3-(5-bromo-3-methyllpyridin-2-yl)pyridine-2-carboxylate (12c)



A 100 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with 12d $(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(5 \mathrm{ml})$. After addition of concentrated sulphuric acid ( 6 $\mu \mathrm{l}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the reaction mixture was warmed to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred at this temperature for four hours. After concentrating the reaction mixture under reduced pressure, the mixture was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAC/hexane 1:2) gave the product as white solid in $80 \%$ yield ( 115 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 0.94-0.99\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.11-1.16\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1\right.$, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.72-2.77 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$, 2.94-3.01 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 4.00-4.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.83-7.83\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right)$, 8.07-8.07 (d, $\left.{ }^{4} J=2.0,1 H, H-9\right), 8.53-8.53\left(d,{ }^{4} J=2.0,1 H, H-11\right), 8.61-8.61\left(d,{ }^{4} J=1.9,1 H\right.$, $\mathrm{H}-2$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 13.53\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.02\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.47\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.02$ $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 33.96\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 59.94\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 61.15\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 119.03(\mathrm{C}-10)$, 133.65 (C-8), 134.86 (C-5), 137.63 (C-4), 138.42 (C-3), 139.99 (C-9), $146.00(\mathrm{C}-6), 146.72$ (C-11), $148.98(\mathrm{C}-2), 155.03(\mathrm{C}-7), 165.01\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 171.83\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.
HRMS: cal. 421.0757 ; $\exp .421 .0731\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 421.0763 ; exp. $421.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2990,1718,1687,943$.
m.p. $\quad 39.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.15$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 7.35 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.38 Methyl 5-(2-(methoxycarbonyl)ethyl)-3-(5-bromo-3-methyllpyridin-2-yl)pyridine-2-carboxylate (12e)



A 100 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was charged with 12d ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous methanol ( 5 ml ). After addition of concentrated sulphuric acid ( $6 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the reaction mixture was warmed to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred at this temperature over night. After concentrating the reaction mixture under reduced pressure, the mixture was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatography (EtOAC/hexane 1:1, containing $1 \%$ of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) gave the product as white solid in $94 \%$ yield ( 125 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right): \delta 2.14$, $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.74-2.79\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.38,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.97-3.01 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.34,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ ), $3.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{O}^{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.84-7.84 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ), 8.07-8.07 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), 8.53-8.54 ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=$ $1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$ ), $8.60-8.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right.$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $18.55\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.01\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$, $33.74 \quad\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 51.41\left(-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 52.13\left(-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 119.09(\mathrm{C}-10), 133.62(\mathrm{C}-8), 134.99(\mathrm{C}-5), 137.78$ (C-4), 138.61 (C-3), 140.14 (C-9), 145.70 (C-6), 146.84 (C-11), 148.99 (C-2), 154.84 (C-7), $165.96\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right), 172.38\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$.
HRMS: cal. 393.0444; exp. $393.0447\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 393.0444; exp. $393.0\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$

| m.p. | $96.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathrm{TLC})$ | $0.13\left(\right.$ EtOAc:hexane $1: 1$ with $\left.1 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}($ HPLC $)$ | 6.26 min |

### 5.3.39 Ethyl 5-(2-(ethoxycarbonyl)ethyl)-3-(5-(hepta-1,6-diynyl)-3-methyllpyridin-2-yl)pyridine-2-carboxylate (23b)



An oven-dried 100 ml three-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet, condenser with bubbler and rubber septum was charged with palladium(II) acetate ( $36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper(I) iodide ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was evacuated and flushed with nitrogen for at least three times. After addition of 1,6-heptadiyne ( $0.46 \mathrm{ml}, 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF ( 9.0 ml ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(7.5 \mathrm{ml})$, the reaction mixture was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .12 \mathrm{c}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 3 ml ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over 35 minutes. After 15 minutes, 1,6-heptadiyne ( 0.23 ml , 2.00 mmol ) in $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to the reaction mixture over 25 minutes. The mixture was stirred for 5 more minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then cooled down to room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Column chromatorgraphy (EtOAc/hexane $1: 2$ containing $1.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) gave the product as yellow oil in 69\% yield ( 985 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): $\delta 0.91-0.96\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.11-1.15\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1\right.$, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.72-1.79 (m, 2H, H-15), 2.13 (s, 3H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.31-2.37 (m, 2H, H-16), 2.55$2.59\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 2.72-2.76\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 2.85-2.87\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=2.6,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}-18$ ), 2.95-3.00 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 3.97-4.06 (m, 4H, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.81-7.83$ (m, 2H, H-4, H-9), 8.41-8.42 (d, $\left.{ }^{4} J=1.7,1 H, H-11\right), 8.59-8.59\left(d,{ }^{4} J=1.91 H, H-2\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (75,5 MHz, d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO: $\delta 13.53\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.02\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 16.97(\mathrm{C}-16), 17.83$ (C-14), $18.42\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.02\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 27.12(\mathrm{C}-15), 34.00\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 59.93(-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 60.65\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 71.80(\mathrm{C}-18), 77.73(\mathrm{C}-12), 83.57(\mathrm{C}-17), 93.06(\mathrm{C}-13), 118.99$ (C-10), 130.95 (C-8), 135.22 (C-5), 137.60 (C-4), 138.23 (C-3), 139.99 (C-9), 146.29 (C-6), 148.13 (C-11), 148.80 (C-2), 154.91 (C-7), $165.47\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 171.83\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.

HRMS: cal. $433.2122 ; \exp .433 .2123\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 433.2122; exp. 433.2 ([M+H] ${ }^{+}$)
IR: $v\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]=2981,2937,1728,1668,1368,1154,949 .$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.10$ (EtOAc:hexane 1:2) with $1.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3} \quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 8.23 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.40 Ethyl 5-(3-(2-cyanoethyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-1-yl)-5'-(3-ethoxy-3-oxopropyl)-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridine-2'-carboxylate (24b)



A 50 ml two-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar, nitrogen inlet and rubber septum was charged with 23b ( $0.48 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Cp*Ru(cod)Cl ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ mmol ), succinonitrile ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,2-dichloroethane ( 1 ml ) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 1 hour. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. After column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane starting from $2+3$, product eluted from column at $4+1$ with $5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) the product was obtained as brown oil in $23 \%$ yield ( 130 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): 0.91-0.95 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.12-1.17 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1$, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.05-2.10 (m, 2H, H-15), $2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.74-2.79\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 2.93-3.03 (m, 6H, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}-16\right), 3.08-3.13\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}-14), 3.98-4.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18), 7.89-7.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right)$, 8.17-8.18 (d, ${ }^{4} J=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9$ ), $8.60-8.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2\right.$ ), $8.83-8.84\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-\right.$ 11).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR $\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}\right): ~ \delta 13.53\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.03\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 15.75\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CN}\right)$, $18.85\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.94(\mathrm{C}-15), 27.06\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 31.48(\mathrm{C}-14), 32.14,32.20\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{C}-16), 34.03\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 59.94\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 60.63\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 118.61(\mathrm{C}-18), 120.61$ (-CN), 130.61 (C-8), 134.26 (C-10), 135.54 (C-5), 135.87 (C-13), 137.28 (C-9), 137.72 (C-4), 138.16 (C-3), 145.70 (C-11), 146.58 (C-6), $148.70(\mathrm{C}-2), 149.32(\mathrm{C}-12), 155.43(\mathrm{C}-7)$, 155.76, $156.06(\mathrm{C}-17, \mathrm{C}-19)$, 165. $65\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$, $171.87\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$.

HRMS: cal. 513.2496, exp. $513.2472\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 513.2496,, exp. $513.2\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}$ (TLC) $\quad 0.21$ (EtOAc:hexane 2:1 with $1.5 \%$ of $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ) $\quad \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbf{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 6.85 \mathrm{~min}$

### 5.3.41 Ethyl 5-(3-(3-amino-3-oxopropyl)-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-1-yl)-5'-(3-ethoxy-3-oxopropyl)-3-methyl-2,3'-bipyridine-2'-carboxylate (27)



Into a 50 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was placed $\mathbf{2 4 b}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.2 mmol ) in trifluoroacetic acid ( 0.8 ml ). The reaction mixture was cooled in a water bath while sulphuric acid ( 2 ml ) was added dropwise. The water bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours until the reaction was finished. The reaction mixture was poured onto ice and alkalized to pH 9 with 10 M NaOH solution and extracted with chloroform and ethyl acetate for three times. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was then removed under reduced pressure. After column chromatography and lyophilisation (EtOAc/hexane gradient, product eluted in EtOAC/5\% AcOH) the product was obtained as yellow solid in $69 \%$ yield ( 73 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$-DMSO): 0.91-0.96 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.12-1.17 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1$, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.02-2.07 (m, 2H, H-15), $2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.50-2.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 2.74-2.79 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), 2.90-3.03 (m, 6H, H-16, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 3.07-3.11\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.4,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14\right), 3.98-4.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 6.78 (s, 1H, C(O) NH ${ }_{2}$ ), $7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18), 7.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.89-7.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, H-4), 8.12-8.13 (d, $\left.{ }^{4} J=1.85,1 H, H-9\right), 8.60-8.61\left(d,{ }^{4} J=1.9,1 H, H-2\right), 8.79-8.79\left(d,{ }^{4} J=1.83\right.$, 1H, H-11).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR (75,5 MHz, $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO): $\delta 13.61\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.08\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.90\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.26$ (C-15), $27.09\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 31.60(\mathrm{C}-14), 32.25(\mathrm{C}-16), 32.83\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $34.07\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 34.45\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 60.00\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 60.70\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 118.30(\mathrm{C}-$ 18), 130.62 (C-8), 134.52 (C-10), 135.08 (C-13), 135.61 (C-5), 137.30 (C-9), 137.80 (C-4), 138.21 (C-3), 145.69 (C-11), 146.61 (C-6), 148.70 (C-2), 149.13 (C-12), $155.30(\mathrm{C}-7), 155.63$ $(\mathrm{C}-17), 158.69(\mathrm{C}-19), 165.73\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 171.93\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 173.67\left(-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$.

For analytical purposes, the product was further purified by preparative HPLC (ACN/0.1\% TFA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.1 \%$ TFA) with the following gradient: $20 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ to $99 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 60 minutes. 26 eluted at $t_{R}=19.6$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilisation as pyridinuim trifluoroacetate salt.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}): 1.14-1.19\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.21-1.26\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.1,3 \mathrm{H},-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.27-2.37 (m,5H, H-15, - $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.79-2.83 (t, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$, 2.86-2.91 $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.5,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.13-3.18\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right), 3.23-3.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-16,-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.33-3.38 (m, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}-14$ ), 4.09-4.24 (m, 4H, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 7.81 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-18$ ), $7.89-7.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4\right), 8.25\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-9\right), 8.72-8.73\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=\right.$ $1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-2$ ), $8.83-8.83$ ( $\mathrm{d},{ }^{4} \mathrm{~J}=1.7,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-11$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (75,5 MHz, MeOD): $\delta 14.39,14.64,19.37,26.43,28.79,30.27,32.38,34.31,35.23$, $35.65,61.90,63.05,122.98,129.78$, 134.51, 137.37, 140.20, 141.84, 142.00, 146.08, $146.30,146.60,151.03,155.50,157.51,160.06,166.47,167.83,173.94,177.21$.
HRMS: cal. 531.2602, $\exp .531 .2602\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 531.2602, exp. $531.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) $\quad 4.50 \mathrm{~min}$ m.p. $117.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

### 5.3.42 3-(6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridi-3-yl)propanamide



Into a 50 ml one-necked flask equipped with magnetic stir bar was placed 8 a ( 0.1 g , $0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in trifluoroacetic acid $(0.8 \mathrm{ml})$. The reaction mixture was cooled in a water bath while sulphuric acid ( 0.2 ml ) was added dropwise. The water bath is removed and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature over night until the reaction was finished. The reaction mixture was poured onto ice and alkalized to pH 9 with 10 M NaOH solution and extracted with chloroform and ethyl acetate for three times. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was then removed under reduced pressure.

The product was purified by preparative HPLC (ACN/0.1\% TFA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 0.1 \%$ TFA) with the following gradient: $5 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ to $99 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 60 minutes. The product eluted at $t_{R}=7.0$ minutes and was obtained after lyophilisation as colorless viscous liquid in $73 \%$ yield $(129 \mathrm{mg})$ as trifluoroacetate salt.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.20-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), 2.83-2.87\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.8,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.04-3.14 (m, 4H, H-5, H-7), 3.26-3.31 ( $\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.8,2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.56 (s, 1H, H-4), 8.51 (s, 1H, H-1)
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(75,5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 25.14(\mathrm{C}-6), 28.56\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 30.22(\mathrm{C}-5$ or $\mathrm{C}-7)$, 33.16 (-CH2-C(O)NH ${ }_{2}$ ), 33.87 (C-5 or C-7), 122.71 (C-4), 136.71 (C-1), 142.08 (C-7'), 154.16 (C-3), 165.56, (C-4'),174.25 (-C(O) $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$.
HRMS: cal. 191.1179, $\exp 191.1176\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
ESI-MS: cal. 191.1179, exp. $191.1\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$
$t_{\mathrm{R}}$ (HPLC) 1.48 min

### 5.4 Material and experimental procedures for biological assays

### 5.4.1 Material

### 5.4.1.1 Buffers

Buffers were prepared as 10x stock solution and diluted with Aqua bidest. prior to use, if not stated otherwise.

## - Blocking buffer

$5 \%(w / v)$ skim milk powder ad 500 ml with TBS-T

- Tris-buffered saline with

Tween-20 (TBS-T, 10x)
6 g Tris ( 10 mM )
$44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}(150 \mathrm{mM})$
adjust to pH 7.4
5 ml Tween-20
ad 1 I with Aqua bidest.

- CD buffer
$3.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaF}(150 \mathrm{mM})$
$1.43 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(20 \mathrm{mM}) \mathrm{ad}$
0.41 with Aqua bidest. and
$0.68 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(20 \mathrm{mM}) \mathrm{ad}$
0.1 I with Aqua bidest.
were prepared separately and combined $4: 1$ to adjust pH 7.3
final volume doubled with Aqua bidest.
- Phosphate-buffered saline
(PBS, 10x)
$80 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}(274 \mathrm{mM})$
$2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl}(27 \mathrm{mM})$
$2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(15 \mathrm{mM})$
$11.5 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}\right)(81 \mathrm{mM})$
adjusted to pH 7.4 , ad 1 I with
Aqua bidest., autoclaved
- NMR phosphate buffer
$2.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}(100 \mathrm{mM})$
$2.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}(40 \mathrm{mM}) \mathrm{ad}$
0.41 with Aqua bidest. and
$1.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(40 \mathrm{mM}) \mathrm{ad}$
0.1 I with Aqua bidest.
were prepared separately and combined $4: 1$ to adjust pH 7.5 final volume doubled with Aqua bidest.


### 5.4.1.2 Peptides

| Designation | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| AKAP188L314E_4-13 | AELVRLSKRL |
| AKAP188L314E_5-14 | ELVRLSKRLV |
| AKAP188L314E_6-15 | LVRLSKRLVE |
| AKAP188L314E_9-18 | LSKRLVENAV |
| AKAP188L314E_12-21 | RLVENAVEKA |
| AKAP188L314E_8-19 | RLSKRLVENAVE |
| AKAP188L314E_4-17 | AELVRLSKRLVENA |
| AKAP188L314E_5-18 | ELVRLSKRLVENAV |
| AKAP188L314E_5-18-PP | ELVRLSPPLVENAV |
| AKAP188L314E_6-19 | LVRLSKRLVENAVE |
| AKAP188L314E_7-20 | VRLSKRLVENAVEK |
| AKAP188L314E_8-21 | RLSKRLVENAVEKA |
| AKAP188L314E_9-22 | LSKRLVENAVEKAV |
| AKAP188L314E_6-21 | LVRLSKRLVENAVEKA |
| AKAP188L314E_5-23 | ELVRLSKRLVENAVEKAQ |
| AKAP188L314E_3-25 | DAELVRLSKRLVENAVEKAQQY |
| AKAP188L314E | PEDAELVRLSKRLVENAVEKAQQY |
| AKAP188L314E-PP | PEDAELVRLSKRLPENAPEKAQQY |

All peptides and N-terminally stearate- or biotin-coupled versions of the peptides were synthesized by the group "peptide synthesis" (Dr. Michael Beyermann) at the FMP. Peptides were purified to $>90 \%$ (in the case of stearate-coupled peptides, lower purities were accepted), provided lyophililised and dissolved in DMSO as stock solution ( 10 mM or 50 mM ) or dissolved directly in buffer (for CD measurements). Further dilutions were prepared in buffer as indicated.

### 5.4.1.3 Proteins

Catalytic subunits of PKA were obtained in a concentration of $1.66 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ from Promega (Mannheim, Germany).
Bovine RII subunits ( $2.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Recombinant RIla subunits (PKA-RIla FL(1-404)-His) were constructed and purified by Dr. Frank Goetz.
${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-isotopically enriched recombinant RIla-D/D domain (RIla(1-44)) was purified by Dr. Frank Goetz.

### 5.4.1.4 Equipment

Phosphoimager Storm 830
Scintillation counter Wallac 1410
CD machine
EnSpire
NMR600 MHz

### 5.4.2 Experimental procedures

### 5.4.2.1 Peptides SPOT array synthesis

Peptide arrays were generated by Angelika Ehrlich (FMP) by automatic SPOTsynthesis on Whatman 50 cellulose membranes using standard Fmoc chemistry and the AutoSpot-Robot ASS 222 (Intavis Bioanalytical Instruments AG, Cologne, Germany) as described (Literatur-Referenzen einfügen). Control spots (approx. 50 nmol of peptide per spot) were excised from the cellulose membrane and analyzed by MALDI-TOF(matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight)-MS and HPLC.

### 5.4.2.2 RII overlay

The RII overlay technique was established by Lohmann et al. ${ }^{[206]}$ and was conducted in a modified way as described, ${ }^{[207 ; 208]}$ using ${ }^{32} P$-labelled bovine Rlla. Peptide SPOT membranes were briefly equilibrated in EtOH ( $99.9 \%$, RT), washed in PBS and blocked in blocking buffer for a minimum of 2 hours. Purified recombinant RII subunits $(7.5 \mu \mathrm{~g})$ were radiolabeled by incubation with $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of purified catalytic subunit of PKA ( $1.66 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, Promega) and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}[\mathrm{Y}]^{32}$ P-ATP ( $6000 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$, GE Healthcare) in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ buffer ( $25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{KO}_{4}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \mu \mathrm{McAMP}$ and 0.5 mM DTT). The final concentration of ATP was reached by adding 10 mM cold ATP after 10 minutes of incubation. After 50 minutes $70 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dextran blue ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added and the reaction was stopped by removal of cAMP and separatione of radiolabeled RII subunits using gel filtration (Sephadex G-50, medium, GE Healthcare). The dextrane blue fraction contains the RII subunits. The total activity of the dextrane blue fration
was measured and specific activity was calculated in cpm (counts per minute; Liquid scintillation counter Wallac 1410). The membranes were incubated over night with radiolabeled RII subunits in blocking buffer (specific activity of RII subunits=(1.4 $\pm$ $0.3) \times 10^{8} \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} . / \mu \mathrm{g}$ of protein per ml of hybridisation solution), washed with blocking buffer ( $4 \mathrm{x}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and twice with PBS. Signals were detected by autoradiography (Phosphoimager Storm 830) and analysed with ImageQuant software (GE healthcare).

### 5.4.2.3 Circular dichroism measurements

Circular dichroism (CD) measurements of peptides at the indicated concentrations were performed in CD buffer ( $10 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) or in a $1: 1(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ mixture of CD buffer/TFE (trifluoroethanol) in a 2 mm cell. Spectra were recorded between 195 and 260 nm on a J-720 spectrometer. The $\alpha$-helicity of the measured peptides was determined from the mean residue ellipticity ([O]m.r.w.) at 222 nm according to the equation: $\alpha(\%)=-\left([\Theta]_{\text {m.r.w }}+2340\right) \times 100 / 30300$ (Referenz).

### 5.4.2.4 Saturation transfer difference nuclear magnetic resonance (STD-NMR)

STD-NMR experiments (reference) were carried out in collaboration with Dr. Peter Schmieder (FMP) and Brigitte Schlegel (FMP) on a Bruker spectrometer equipped with a Z-axis-gradient 5-mm TXI Cryoprobe at 300K. NMR samples for STD-NMR contained 0.1 mM of the indicated compound in NMR buffer, with a final concentration of $d_{6}$-DMSO of $2 \%$. Samples were measured in presence or absence of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabelled PKA-RIla FL(1-404)-His.
STD-NMR experiments were recorded with the carrier frequency set to -1000 (around -1 ppm ) for on-resonance irradiation and about 330 ppm for off-resonance irradiation. A train of 50 Gaussian-shaped pulses at 40 ms was applied, each separated by a 1ms delay, for a total duration of 2.05 s , to achieve selective protein saturation. Spectra acquisition was done with 32 scans in absence of protein and 256 scans in presence of protein and a relaxation delay of 1.3 s . $\mathrm{A}_{1 \rho}$ spin lock pulse of 40 ms was used to suppress the background protein signals. The STD spectrum was obtained from the internal subtraction of the on-resonance from the off-resonance data by phase cycling.

### 5.4.2.5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC)-NMR)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-HSQC-NMR experiments were carried out in collaboration with Dr. Peter Schmieder (FMP), Brigitte Schlegel (FMP) and Dr. Carolyn Vargas (FMP Berlin, TU Kaiserslautern) on a Bruker 600 MHz spectrometer at 300 K .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-correlation experiments using with ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-isotopically enriched Rlla(1-44) were recorded using a standard HSQC pulse program or an HMQC-SOFAST pulse program, both employing WATERGATE for solvent suppression.
The protein concentration employed for binding studies was in the order of 0.1 mM . The concentration of compounds used as indicated in chapter $3(0.3 \mathrm{mM}$ for compounds; 0.8 mM for peptides). Experiments were performed in NMR buffer containing $5 \% d_{6}$-DMSO. Each step was followed by recording of a new spectrum. The acquisition time in the direct dimension was restricted to 150 ms . A total of 256 increments was recorded in the indirect dimension.
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## Attachment

6c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) and HRMS spectrum.



7d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and HRMS spectrum.



8e: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) and HRMS spectrum.




9i: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) and HRMS spectrum.




12b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum (in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and HRMS spectrum.





12a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) and HRMS spectrum.





22b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and HRMS spectrum.





22a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR spectrum (both in MeOD) and HRMS spectrum.




25b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both TFA-salt in MeOD) and HRMS spectrum.




26b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in MeOD) and HRMS spectrum.




26c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) and HRMS spectrum.


23a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ).


23a: HRMS spectrum.


24a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) and HRMS spectrum.




23b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO).




## 23b: HRMS spectrum



24b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum (both in $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-DMSO) and HRMS spectrum.



[^0]:    Table 3.36: Conditions for the synthesis of terpyrdine 24b. All reactions were performed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}-$ atmosphere.

