# Chapter 5 Diffusion and bistability

Abstract In the previous chapter, diffusion under reaction conditions was studied at steady state and above 440 K, where the experiments do not show kinetic bistability. Strong variations of the local reactant fluxes at the surface were found, which induce strong variation of the local  $x_{\rm CO}$  over the surface. For  $x_{\rm CO} = 0.45$ , for example, the local values are typically between 0.3 on the side of the particle facing the O<sub>2</sub> beam and 0.8 on the shaded side. That is, the initial local reaction conditions at the particle surface vary between O-rich and CO-rich (without diffusion). Therefore, the local reactant coverages established at transient or steady state are expected to be critically dependent on  $F_{\rm CO}$ , which governs  $\Theta_{\rm CO}$ , and  $L_{\rm O}$  and  $\tau_{\rm O}$ , which govern the local  $\Theta_{\rm O}$ .

The bistability of the reaction depends on the reaction conditions (i.e. T and  $x_{CO}$ ). The morphology of the particles as well as diffusion are therefore expected to play a role in the bistable behavior. In this chapter, we propose to study the interplay between diffusion processes and bistability.

## 5.1 Global reaction rates at steady state

Figure 5.1a displays CO<sub>2</sub> production rates at steady state simulated by RD. The results of RD quantitatively reproduce the experimental data both as a function of  $x_{\rm CO}$  and T (from 400 to 490 K) as well as the width and temperature region of the bistability. All deviations in  $x_{\rm CO}$  are within the limits of experimental error.

Another model, based on RD is elaborated, which cancels diffusion.  $F_{\rm CO}$  and  $F_{\rm O_2}$  onto the particle surface are averaged to  $\overline{F_{\rm CO}}$  and  $\overline{F_{\rm O_2}}$ . These averaged fluxes are then used in RD. Since there are no differences in the local fluxes anymore, the gradient in  $\Theta_{\rm O}$ disappears as well. Diffusion is suppressed but the morphology of the particle is kept. In the following, we call this homogeneous flux model (HF).

Figure 5.1 shows comparisons between both models. Despite the strong  $\Theta_0$  gradient under CO-rich conditions for RD, the differences in global rate are very small under all conditions of T and  $x_{CO}$  (Figure 5.1a and b). The shift of the bistability region is also minor (Figure 5.1c). Indeed, the differences between the two models are typically within experimental accuracy. These results show that for the present type of model catalyst, effects arising from the inhomogeneous distribution of reactants do not lead to significant





Figure 5.1. Global CO oxidation rates simulated obtained from two different models: (a) RD and (b) HF. (c) The bistable regime is investigated for T = 400 K with RD,  $E_{\rm diff} = 45$  and 55 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, and HF.

changes of activity. Therefore, effects related to the local variations of the reaction rates cannot be identified via AI measurements.

- **O-rich conditions** The residence times of  $O_{ad}$  and  $CO_{ad}$  at the timescale of the reaction are long. Both species can therefore diffuse to the shaded side of the particles. As a result, we expect equilibration of the coverages and RD and HF should yield very similar results.
- **CO-rich conditions** First considering  $O_{ad}$ ,  $\Theta_O$  is small and shows a pronounced gradient over the particle. The low  $O_{ad}$  coverage implies a reduced residence time at the timescale of the experiments. Then, results expected from RD and HF should differ, which is not the case. Under CO-rich conditions, the RDS is the dissociative adsorption of  $O_2$ . The inhibitor effect of  $CO_{ad}$ , however, implies that the  $O_2$  adsorption probability is primarily determined by  $\Theta_{CO}$ . But  $CO_{ad}$  diffuses fast at the timescale of the reaction and  $\Theta_{CO}$  is equilibrated over the particle regardless of the  $\Theta_O$  gradient (there is no  $O_{ad}$  poisoning). The RDS of the reaction thus depends on



**Figure 5.2.** Local CO oxidation rates (experiments) measured at  $\phi = 70^{\circ}$  and  $-70^{\circ}$ , T = 465 K by the AR QMS; inset: experimental setup.

 $\Theta_{\rm CO}$  and not on  $\Theta_{\rm O}$ . Since  $\Theta_{\rm CO}$  is averaged by diffusion in RD and by design in HF, both models yield similar results.

**Bistability window** We observe a well-defined transition between the two regimes upon leaving the bistability window. This indicates that fast diffusion of  $CO_{ad}$  over the particle likely leads to synchronization of the switching behavior over the whole particle.

## 5.2 Local reaction rates at steady state

## 5.2.1 Experiments

AI mass spectrometry does not provide information on local variations of the reaction rate. We therefore propose measurements of the local reaction rates by AR mass spectrometry. For this experiment, detection angles of  $\phi = -70^{\circ}$  and  $\phi = 70^{\circ}$  are chosen where the reaction rate is measured versus  $x_{\rm CO}$ . That is, on the side facing the O<sub>2</sub> beam and on the shaded side. The reaction rates are displayed in Figure 5.2, normalized to their maximum value. For both detection angles, the maximum rate indicates the transition between O-rich and CO-rich. The position of this maximum is slightly shifted between the two detection angles. It occurs at  $x_{\rm CO} = 0.53 \pm 0.02$  for  $\phi = -70^{\circ}$  and  $x_{\rm CO} = 0.58 \pm 0.02$  for  $\phi = 70^{\circ}$ .

The change in the transition point is small compared to the drastic variations of  $x_{\rm CO}$  at the surface of the particle (cf. Section 4.2.1). This indicates that the transition between the reaction regimes occurs in a largely synchronized fashion over the entire particle surface. As mentioned above, the synchronization is attributed to fast diffusion of  $\rm CO_{ad}$  over the surface.

#### 5.2.2 Simulations

In order to farther explore the switching behavior between the reaction regimes, we perform simulations of the local reaction rates as a function of  $x_{CO}$ , both below the critical



**Figure 5.3.** Simulations (RD and HF) of the local reaction rate along the  $O_2$  beam direction are plotted on contour plots for  $0 < x_{CO} < 1$  [(a)–(b) and (e)–(f)]. The global (integrated) reaction rate is also presented [(c)–(d)].

temperature, i.e. in the presence of a bistability window, and above the critical temperature, i.e. in absence of kinetic bistability.

- T = 465 K, above the bistable regime. The reaction rates obtained from HF are plotted in Figure 5.3b. They are independent of the position on the particle and increase linearly with increasing  $x_{\rm CO}$  until  $x_{\rm CO} = 0.6$ . Then, the transition to the CO-rich regime is reached and the reaction rates drop rapidly. The reaction rates obtained from RD are plotted on Figure 5.3a. There are nearly no gradients in the local reaction rates in the limit of small  $x_{\rm CO}$ . For  $x_{\rm CO} > 0.3$ , however, the gradients become successively larger. In the vicinity of the transition point, the rates on the shaded side of the particle are only slightly dependent on  $x_{\rm CO}$ . Whereas they increase strongly on the side facing the O<sub>2</sub> beam. This effect is related to a pronounced decrease in  $\Theta_{\rm O}$ . And it leads to a strong decrease in  $L_{\rm O}$ . As a result, the maximum reaction rates appear at slightly different  $x_{\rm CO}$  values on both sides of the particle. This observation is in full agreement with the experimental results.
- T = 400 K, in the bistable regime (see Figure 5.3d-f). The behavior upon switching between the regimes is very similar to the situation at higher temperatures. Close to the transition point, the gradient in the reaction rate increases rapidly as a result of decreasing  $\tau_{\rm O}$ . This gives rise to a maximum in the rate appearing at slightly different  $x_{\rm CO}$ . The actual transition to CO-rich, however, occurs at a well-defined  $x_{\rm CO}$  in a synchronous fashion over the complete particle surface. The same applies for the transition from CO-rich to O-rich.

In order to compare directly with the experiments, the effective reactant flux for the two detection angles is calculated Figure 5.3c and d. It is found that the shift of the maximum rate as a function of the detection angle is very well reproduced by RD. This again supports the previous suggestion that the transition between the two reactive states is mainly triggered and synchronized by  $\Theta_{\rm CO}$  and fast CO<sub>ad</sub> diffusion over the particle.

## 5.3 Global reaction rates under transient conditions

### 5.3.1 Experiments

Figure 5.4 displays representative experimental results for the transient-kinetics reaction rates. The data are obtained using a modulated  $O_2$  beam and a continuous CO beam (i.e. steady state, with both beams operating, is approached starting from a CO-precovered surface) and using a modulated CO beam and a continuous  $O_2$  beam (i.e. steady state is approached from an O-precovered surface).

**CO-precovered** Figure 5.4a shows two characteristic types of transients. In the O-rich regime, the reaction rate has a steep maximum after admission of the  $O_2$  beam before reaching the steady state. And the  $CO_2$  production at steady state increases linearly with  $x_{CO}$ . As  $x_{CO}$  approaches the transition to CO-rich, the time after which the transient maximum is observed increases. Simultaneously, the height of the



**Figure 5.4.**  $CO_2$  transient measured at T = 400 K on (a) a CO-precovered surface (b) a O-precovered surface.

maximum decreases linearly with  $x_{\rm CO}$ . Upon switching to CO-rich, a steep decrease in the rate occurs and the transient maximum in CO<sub>2</sub> production disappears. In the CO-rich regime, the steady state is reached monotonously. Then, the CO<sub>2</sub> production at steady state decreases, as well as the timescale on which it is reached.

**O-precovered** Figure 5.4b shows different transient behaviors. Both under O-rich and CO-rich conditions, the reaction rate instantaneously increases upon admission of CO (typically in the order of 50 ms). Under O-rich conditions, this maximum is also the steady state. Under CO-rich conditions, however, the maximum is followed by a slower transient and finally, a smaller steady state is reached. A second maximum appears after termination of the beam (discussed in [86,92,101]). In the vicinity of the bistability region, the timescale at which the steady states are reached diverges (Figure 5.4b). For example, at  $0.49 \ge x_{\rm CO} \ge 0.46$ , transient times in the order of  $10^3$  to  $10^4$  s are measured.

## 5.3.2 Simulations

The transients are simulated by the microkinetic models RD and HF. Figure 5.5 compares RD and HF for a CO-precovered surface (Figure 5.5a and b) and an O-precovered surface (Figure 5.5c) below the critical temperature for five reaction regimes:

**O-rich regime** ( $x_{\rm CO} \leq 0.3$ ) The CO-precovered case exhibits a single transient maximum. From the coverages plotted in Figure 5.5, we see that the maximum CO<sub>2</sub> production occurs when  $\Theta_{\rm CO}$  decreases and  $\Theta_{\rm O}$  increases rapidly. The product of both quantities determines the reaction rate in the models and passes through a



**Figure 5.5.** Time evolution of the CO<sub>2</sub> production (first row); mean coverages (second row) and local reaction rates (third row, RD) for  $x_{CO} = 0.2$  (first column), 2% before the bifurcation (second column,  $x_{CO} = 0.35$ , RD;  $x_{CO} = 0.353$ , HF); in the bistable region,  $x_{CO} = 0.4$  (third column); 2% after the bifurcation (4th column,  $x_{CO} = 0.477$ , RD;  $x_{CO} = 0.487$ , HF) and  $x_{CO} = 0.6$  (5th column). The local reaction rates for RD are shown as a grayscale, decoding the reaction rate on a logarithmic scale. Again, the rate is shown for a cross-section of the particle containing the *xz*-plane.

maximum. Thereafter, the decrease in  $\Theta_{\rm CO}$  dominates over the increase in  $\Theta_{\rm O}$ , leading to a decrease in the global reaction rate. For the O-precovered case, the coverages rapidly and monotonously reach their steady-state values.  $\Theta_{\rm O}$  remains high, whereas  $\Theta_{\rm CO}$  remains low. Hence a fast and monotonous transient.

- **O-rich regime, near the transition** The models reproduce well the divergence observed experimentally for the CO-precovered case. And the time required to establish the steady state increases as the transition approaches. The evolution of the coverages (Figure 5.5) shows that the first state reached from a CO-precovered surface is actually CO-rich (high  $\Theta_{CO}$ , low  $\Theta_O$ ). The system then remains in that state for a certain time, which can exceed 100 s (steady state is reached in about 10 s in the CO-rich regime). But this state is unstable and the system slowly drifts toward lower  $\Theta_{CO}$  and higher  $\Theta_O$  until a rapid transition to O-rich occurs. For the O-precovered case, the first state reached is O-rich (high  $\Theta_O$ , low  $\Theta_{CO}$ ). This state is stable and therefore constitutes the steady state.
- Within the bistability region ( $x_{CO} = 0.4$ ) As expected, both models predict two stable states: CO-rich is reached from a CO-precovered surface and O-rich from an O-precovered surface. Both states are kinetically stable, i.e., there is no change in the coverages after establishment of the regime. Both states are therefore steady.
- **CO-rich regime, near the transition** The situation is the reverse of the second point. From a CO-precovered surface, the first regime encountered is CO-rich (high  $\Theta_{CO}$ , low  $\Theta_O$ ), which is stable. However, the first regime encountered from an O-precovered surface is O-rich (high  $\Theta_O$ , low  $\Theta_{CO}$ ). The O-rich regime, however, remains a relatively long time during which the coverages drift to lower  $\Theta_{CO}$  and higher  $\Theta_O$ . For certain coverage values, a rapid transition to the stable CO-rich regime occurs and the steady state is reached.
- **CO-rich regime**  $(x_{CO} \ge 0.5)$  The situation is the reverse from the O-rich regime. For the CO-precovered case, a steady CO-rich regime is reached fast and monotonously. For the O-precovered case, a maximum in production rate appears when  $\Theta_{CO}$  increases and  $\Theta_O$  decreases rapidly. Then, farther decrease in  $\Theta_O$  reduces the reaction rate until the steady state is reached. Similarly to the O-rich regime, the transient response becomes faster with increasing distance from the bistability region.

#### Remarks

The situation under CO-rich conditions is not exactly the reverse of O-rich (esp. compare O-rich-CO-precoverage and CO-rich-O-precoverage). The transient maximum is reached immediately for the O-precovered situation. Whereas for the CO-precovered situation, it occurs after a delay (typically in the order of 10 s) upon admission of the complementary beam. Taking into account the discussion in Section 3.3, this results from the differences between the sticking coefficients of CO and O<sub>2</sub>. Indeed, the sticking coefficient of CO is rather independent of Θ<sub>O</sub> and it is high, even on an O-precovered surface (no O<sub>ad</sub> poisoning). However, the sticking

67

coefficient of  $O_2$  depends strongly on  $\Theta_{CO}$ . Therefore, when CO adsorption is the RDS, i.e., under O-rich conditions, the precoverage of the surface does not inhibit the reaction rate. On the contrary, CO adsorbs fast on an O-precovered surface and the reaction probability is then very high. Hence  $CO_2$  production is the highest immediately after admission of the CO beam, when  $\Theta_O$  is the highest. Conversely, when  $O_2$  adsorption is the RDS, i.e., under CO-rich conditions, CO-precoverage inhibits  $O_2$  adsorption. And therefore the production rate is low in the beginning. Then, reaction slowly removes CO from the surface, which facilitates  $O_2$  adsorption. As the RDS is accelerated, the reaction rate increases. And the transient maximum occurs for optimal values of  $\Theta_{CO}$  and  $\Theta_O$ .

• Here again, RD and HF do not yield significant differences. The reason for this behavior of the transient is the same as at steady state (cf. Section 5.1 and 5.2). Briefly, for the O-precovered case,  $CO_{ad}$  and  $O_{ad}$  diffuse rapidly at the timescale of their surface residence time. This leads to an equilibration of the coverages and the gradient in  $\Theta_O$  vanishes. However, for the CO-precovered situation, the initial  $\Theta_O$  gradient is large, indicating a drastically reduced  $\tau_O$  and  $L_O$ . The gradient decreases as  $\Theta_O$  increases. But still, the behavior of the global transient and of the average coverages develops similarly for both models. This indicates that fast diffusion of  $CO_{ad}$  results in a coupling of the transient response over the complete particle surface. Synchronization of the switching over the particle surface is also attributed to a coupling of the surface elements by the fast diffusion of  $CO_{ad}$  for RD. This results in a similar global transient response for both RD and HF.

Concerning the comparison to the experiments Section 5.3.1, all characteristic features of the transient experiments are well reproduced by the simulations. This holds not only for the general type of transient behavior but also for the details discussed above. In general, it can be concluded that for the present type of model catalyst the global transient kinetics are well described by both models. Whereas an accurate description of the local reaction rates on the particle surface requires an explicit description of diffusion processes by RD simulations.

# 5.4 Summary

We have investigated the local and global kinetics of CO oxidation on Sample C  $[Pd/SiO_2/Si(100)]$  prepared by EBL, 500 nm diameter, 450 nm height]. Employing molecular beam methods and angle-integrated and angle-resolved mass spectrometry, the local and global reaction kinetics were probed under steady state and transient conditions. Both, monostable and bistable regimes were investigated. The experimental results were analyzed in terms of two types of microkinetic models. A microkinetic mean field model assuming an homogeneous surface and an homogeneous reactant flux distribution (HF) and a detailed reaction–diffusion model (RD). Both models take into account the morphology of the catalyst, the geometry of the molecular-beam experiment as well as scattering form the support.

- Global steady-state kinetics In spite of strong local variations of the reactant fluxes over the particle surface and limited oxygen mobility, it is found that the global reaction rates show temperature and flux dependences similar to single crystal studies. Also in analogy to single crystals, a well-defined bistability window is observed. The results are quantitatively reproduced by both RD and HF. The existence of the bistability is attributed to coupling of the surface coverages and reaction rates over the particle surface via fast  $CO_{ad}$  diffusion. As a result, the switching between the regimes occurs over the full surface of the particle at a well-defined ratio of reactant fluxes.
- Local steady-state kinetics Local reaction rate measurements via AR mass spectrometry show a nearly synchronous switching behavior between O-rich and CO-rich over the full particle surface. On the basis of microkinetic simulations it is shown that the transition between the kinetic regimes is largely driven by surface mobility of  $CO_{ad}$ . In spite of the synchronous kinetic phase transition, pronounced gradients of oxygen coverage and reaction rate persist over the particle surface under CO-rich conditions. This effect, which is the result of the limited oxygen diffusion length, can only be quantitatively described by RD.
- **Global transient kinetics** Different types of transient behaviors are observed in various kinetic regimes, i.e. under CO-rich, in the bistable regime and under O-rich conditions, depending on the initial coverage of the surface (either O- or COprecovered). All characteristic types of global transient behavior are well described by HF, whereas a description of the local rate distribution over the particle surface requires a reaction-diffusion model.