# Striving for Gains and Preventing Losses: Multi-Method Evidence on the Differences in Personal Goal Orientation in Early and Late Adulthood

Natalie C. Ebner

Dissertationsschrift zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Philosophie am Fachbereich Erziehungswissenschaft und Psychologie der Freien Universität Berlin

Berlin, 11. April 2005

Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Paul B. Baltes & Prof. Dr. Alexandra M. Freund Prof. Dr. Ralf Schwarzer

Betreuer: Prof. Dr. Paul B. Baltes Prof. Dr. Alexandra M. Freund

ABSTRACT	x11
INTRODUCTION	1
THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	4
Lifespan Development Is Characterized by Gains and Losses	5
The Ratio of Gains to Losses Changes Across the Lifespan: Subjective Beliefs About Developmental Change	
and Objective Developmental Change	6
Lifespan Trajectories of Cognitive and Physical Functioning	8
Integrating Lifespan Development and Action Perspectives: Development as Flexible and	
Adaptational Person–Environment Interaction	11
Normative Age-Graded, Normative History-Graded, and Non-Normative Interacting Developmental Forces	
and the Concept of Developmental Tasks	12
Active Life-Management—Resources, Goals, Actions, and Development	16
The Concept of Resources	16
The Concept of Personal Goals	18
The Interplay of Goal-Related Resources, Goals, Goal-Directed Actions, and Development	22
Excursus: Life-Management Encompasses Automatic, Unintentional, and Unconscious Processes	24
Balancing Gains and Losses: Adaptive Development Over the Lifespan	27
What is Adaptive Development and What Are Processes That Favor it?	27
Subjective Well-Being as One Possible Criterion of Adaptive Development	29
How Goals and Goal-Related Processes Impact on Adaptive Development	30
The Model of Selection, Optimization, and Compensation—A General, Meta-Theoretical Framework for	
Understanding Adaptive Development	31
Adaptive Development as Endorsement of Selection, Optimization, and Compensation	
Throughout Life	34
Two Additional Models of Successful Development	38
The Dual-Process Model of Assimilative and Accommodative Mode of Coping	38
Adaptive Development as Shifting From Assimilative to Accommodative Mode of Coping	
Throughout Life	40
The Model of Optimization in Primary and Secondary Control	41
Adaptive Development as Shifting From Primary to Secondary Control Strategies Throughout Life	42
Lifespan Developmental Psychology as Integrative Framework: Personal Goal Orientation From	
a Lifespan Perspective	44
Personal Goal Orientation as One Personal Goal Characteristic: Approach–Avoidance Motivation	45

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Historical Genesis of the Concept of Approach-Avoidance Motivation	46
Current Concepts of Approach–Avoidance Motivation: Theories, Individual Differences,	
Functional Impact, and Representation Levels	48
Achievement Motivation: Mastery, Performance–Approach, and Performance–Avoidance Goals	49
Self-Regulatory Focus: Promotion and Prevention Focus	53
Possible Selves: Hoped-For and Feared Selves	59
Approach—Avoidance Motivation Is Represented on Different Levels	62
Growth, Maintenance, Prevention of Loss: The Present Studies' Lifespan Developmental Conceptualization	
of Personal Goal Orientation	64
Does Personal Goal Orientation Shift Across the Lifespan and Why?	67
Mechanisms Underlying a Shift in Personal Goal Orientation and Its Age-Differential Functional Impact	68
Age-Graded Changes in Goal-Related Resources and Expected Resource Demands	68
Age-Graded Social Expectations and Subjective Theories About Developmental Change	74
The Role of Specific Goal Domains	76
Age-Related Differences in Personal Goal Orientation and Age-Differential Functional Impact	77
Summary of the Theoretical Background and Central Research Questions	81
EMPIRICAL FINDINGS	87
General Statistical Procedures	87
Statistical Software Package and General Data Handling	87
Missing Values, Outliers, Normality, Internal Consistency, and Temporal Stability	87
Additional Assumptions of ANOVA	89
Additional Assumptions of Multiple Regression Analysis	89
Significance Level and Alpha-Level Adjustment in Multiple Testing	89
Study 1: Self-Reported Personal Goal Orientation I	90
Study 1: Specific Research Hypotheses	91
Study 1: Methods	91
Procedure	91
Participants	92
Recruitment	92
Sociodemographic Characteristics	92
Cognitive and Physical Functioning	93
Measures	94
Generation of Personal Goals	95
Personal Goal Orientation in Self-Generated Goals	97
Personal Goal Orientation of Goals in Various Life Domains	98

Subjective Well-Being	98
Correlate and Control Variables	103
Study 1: Results	104
Description of Goal Orientation Toward Growth, Maintenance, and Prevention of Loss	105
Interrelatedness of Goal Orientation Toward Growth, Maintenance, and Prevention of Loss	105
Personal Goal Orientation in Younger and Older Adults	107
Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation of Self-Generated Goals	107
Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation of Goals in Various Life Domains	115
Age-Differential Associations Between Personal Goal Orientation and General	
Subjective Well-Being and Goal Satisfaction	119
Study 1: Summary and Short Discussion of the Findings	120
Study 2: Self-Reported Personal Goal Orientation II	125
Study 2: Specific Research Hypotheses	125
Study 2: Methods	126
Procedure	126
Participants	126
Recruitment	126
Sociodemographic Characteristics	126
Cognitive and Physical Functioning	127
Measures	128
Generation of Personal Goals	128
Personal Goal Orientation in Self-Generated Goals	129
Correlate and Control Variables	130
Study 2: Results	131
Description of Goal Orientation Toward Growth and Maintenance-Prevention of Loss	131
Interrelatedness of Goal Orientation Toward Growth and Maintenance–Prevention of Loss	131
Personal Goal Orientation in Younger and Older Adults	132
Replication of Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation of Self-Generated Goals	132
Study 2: Summary and Short Discussion of the Findings	135
Studies 3a and 3b: Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation	138
Studies 3a and 3b: Specific Research Hypotheses	138
Study 3a: Methods	139
Procedure	139
Participants	139
Recruitment	139

Sociodemographic Characteristics	140
Cognitive Functioning	141
Measures	141
Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation (Computer Task)	141
Correlate Variables	143
Study 3a: Results	144
Personal Goal Orientation in Younger and Older Adults and Role of Expected	
Resource Demands	144
Age-Group Differences in Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation and Expected Resource	
Demands of Goals as Underlying Factor	144
Study 3b: Methods	146
Procedure	146
Participants	146
Recruitment	146
Sociodemographic Characteristics	147
Cognitive Functioning	147
Measures	148
Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation (Computer Task)	148
Correlate Variables	149
Study 3b: Results	150
Personal Goal Orientation in Younger and Older Adults and Role of Expected	
Resource Demands	150
Age-Group Differences in Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation and Expected Resource	
Demands of Goals as Underlying Factor	150
Studies 3a and 3b: Summary and Short Discussion of the Findings	152
GENERAL DISCUSSION	155
From a Primary Orientation Toward Growth in Young Adulthood to an Increase in	
Orientation Toward Maintenance and Prevention of Loss in Old Age	157
The Shift in Personal Goal Orientation Reflects Age-Graded Changes in Goal-Related Resources	
and Expected Resource Demands	159
Critical Life Events May Change Personal Goal Orientation	
Subjective Theories About Developmental Trajectories: Reduced Awareness of Potentials for	
Developmental Growth and Lowered Perceptions of Personal Control Over Development	164
Age-Graded Changes in Future Time Perspective Play a Role in Explaining Age-Related Differences in	
Personal Goal Orientation	166

Growth Goal Orientation Remains Important Until Late in Life	168
Shift in Personal Goal Orientation From Early to Late Adulthood as Mechanism of	
Adaptive Developmental Regulation	170
Loss-Based Selection, Flexible Goal Adjustment, and Regulatory Fit as Underlying Mechanisms	172
Motivational Shift as Successful Mastery of a Meta-Developmental Task	174
Goals in Development—Development in Goals: Linking Lifespan Developmental and	
Action Perspectives in Research on Personal Goal Orientation	175
Strengths and Limitations of the Multi-Method Approach	177
Extension of the Multi-Method Approach in Future Research	178
Assessment of Personal Goal Orientation on an Implicit Level	178
Assessment of Differences in Personal Goal Orientation in Varying Samples	180
Exploration of Practical and Intervention Implications of the Shift in	
Personal Goal Orientation	182
Conclusion	182
REFERENCES	183
APPENDICES	220
Appendix A: Study 1	220
Additional Information on Instructions of the Central Measurement Instruments	220
Additional Descriptive and Psychometrical Information on the Central Variables	222
Additional Descriptive and Psychometrical Information on the Correlate and Control Variables	230
Relations of Personal Goal Orientation to Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, and	
Additional Goal Characteristics	239
Additional Analyses on Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation of Self-Generated Goals at T2 .	240
Additional Analyses on Personal Goal Orientation and General Subjective Well-Being	241
Appendix B: Study 2	242
Additional Information on Instructions of the Central Measurement Instruments	242
Additional Descriptive and Psychometrical Information on the Central Variables	243
Additional Descriptive and Psychometrical Information on the Correlate and Control Variables	244
Relations of Personal Goal Orientation to Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, and	
Additional Goal Characteristics	251
Appendix C: Studies 3a and 3b	252
Study 3a: Additional Information on Instructions of the Central Measurement Instruments	252
Study 3a: Additional Descriptive and Psychometrical Information on the Central Variables	253
Study 3a: Additional Descriptive and Psychometrical Information on the Correlate Variables	255

Study 3a: Relations of Personal C	Goal Orientation to Concepts of Goal Resources and	
Additional Goal Characteristics .		
Study 3b: Additional Information	n on Instructions of the Central Measurement Instruments	
Study 3b: Additional Descriptive	and Psychometrical Information on the Central Variables	
Study 3b: Additional Descriptive	and Psychometrical Information on the Correlate Variables	
Study 3b: Relations of Personal C	Goal Orientation to Concepts of Goal Resources and	
Additional Goal Characteristics .		
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG		

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Proposed average course of gain-loss ratios across the lifespan (after P. B. Baltes, 1987)	7
Distinct relations of various variables to the promotion focus and the prevention focus:	
Main propositions of Regulatory Focus Theory (after Higgins, 1997)	54
Hypothesized shift in personal goal orientation from early to late adulthood	84
Study 1: Percentages of goal domains generated by younger and older adults	97
Study 1: Between-age-group differences in personal goal orientation across all	
self-generated goals (6 goals; T1)	109
Study 1: Between-age-group differences in personal goal orientation across two	
self-generated domains (2 goals; T1)	109
Study 1: Between-age-group differences in personal goal orientation across cognitive	
domain (2 goals; T1)	110
Study 1: Between-age-group differences in personal goal orientation across physical	
domain (2 goals; T1)	110
Study 2: Between-age-group differences in personal goal orientation across all	
self-generated goals (4 goals)	133
. Study 3a: Age-group differences in behavioral preference for personal goal orientation	
and the role of expected resource demands (cognitive functioning)	145
. Study 3b: Age-group differences in behavioral preference for personal goal orientation	
and the role of expected resource demands (physical functioning)	150
. Age-graded social expectations with respect to personal goal orientation	165
	Distinct relations of various variables to the promotion focus and the prevention focus: Main propositions of <i>Regulatory Focus Theory</i> (after Higgins, 1997)

#### LIST OF BOXES AND TABLES

Box 1. Study 1: Examples of Self-Generated Goals and Respective Keywords in Parentheses	96
Box 2. 2 x 2 Conceptualization of Personal Goal Orientation	121
Table 1. Overview of the Multi-Method Research Design	86
Table 2. Study 1: Overview of Major Aims and Specific Research Hypotheses	
Table 3. Study 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Younger and Older Adults	
Table 4. Study 1: Cognitive and Physical Functioning in Younger and Older Adults: Means, Standard Deviations,	
Ranges, and Tests of Significant Age-Group Differences	
Table 5. Study 1: Instruments Assessing Facets of Well-Being: Overview and Psychometrical Information	
Table 6. Study 1: Instruments Assessing the Central Variables: Overview and Psychometrical Information	
Table 7. Study 1: Bivariate Pearson Correlations (at T1) and Two-Week Test–Retest Stabilities (Diagonal)	
of Goal Orientation Toward Growth, Maintenance, and Prevention of Loss	106
Table 8. Study 1: Between-Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation of Self-Generated Goals (T1):	
Multi- and Univariate Follow-Up Analyses	111
Table 9. Study 1: Within-Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation of Self-Generated Goals (T1):	
Paired-Sample t-Test Follow-Up Analyses	113
Table 10. Study 1: Bivariate Associations Between Personal Goal Orientation (T1; Across All	
Self-Generated Goals) and Several Person Control Variables	114
Table 11. Study 1: Hierarchical Multiple Regression of Alternative Predictors (Sociodemographic and Person	
Control Variables) and Chronological Age on Personal Goal Orientation (T1; Across All	
Self-Generated Goals)	115
Table 12. Study 1: Between-Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation of Goals in Various	
Life Domains (T1): Multi- and Univariate Follow-Up Analyses	116
Table 13. Study 1: Associations Between Personal Goal Orientation (T1; Across All Self-Generated Goals)	
and General Subjective Well-Being (T2) and Goal Satisfaction (T2): Bivariate Pearson Correlations	
and Multiple Correlations	119
Table 14. Study 1: Overview of Specific Research Hypotheses and Main Findings	124
Table 15. Study 2: Overview of Major Aims and Specific Research Hypotheses	125
Table 16. Study 2: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Younger and Older Adults	127
Table 17. Study 2: Cognitive and Physical Functioning of Younger and Older Adults: Means, Standard Deviations,	,
Ranges, and Tests of Significant Age-Group Differences	128
Table 18. Study 2: Instruments Assessing the Central Variables: Overview and Psychometrical Information	129
Table 19. Study 2: Between-Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation Across All Self-Generated Goals.	;
Univariate Follow-Up Analyses	133
Table 20. Study 2: Bivariate Associations Between Personal Goal Orientation Across All Self-Generated Goals	
and Several Person Control Variables	134

Table 21. Study 2: Hierarchical Multiple Regression of Alternative Predictors (Sociodemographic and Person Contro	rol
Variables) and Chronological Age on Personal Goal Orientation Across All Self-Generated Goals	135
Table 22. Study 2: Overview of Specific Research Hypotheses and Main Findings	137
Table 23. Studies 3a and 3b: Overview of Major Aims and Specific Research Hypotheses	138
Table 24. Study 3a: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Younger and Older Adults	140
Table 25. Study 3a: Cognitive Functioning of Younger and Older Adults: Means, Standard Deviations,	
Ranges, and Tests of Significant Age-Group Differences	141
Table 26. Study 3a: Instruments Assessing Central Variables: Overview and Psychometrical Information	142
Table 27. Study 3a: Design of the Computer Task on Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation	142
Table 28. Study 3a: Within-Age-Group Differences in Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation:	
One-Sample t-Test Analyses	145
Table 29. Study 3b: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Younger and Older Adults	147
Table 30. Study 3b: Cognitive Functioning of Younger and Older Adults: Means, Standard Deviations,	
Ranges, and Tests of Significant Age-Group Differences	148
Table 31. Study 3b: Design of the Computer Task on Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation	149
Table 32. Study 3b: Within-Age-Group Differences in Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation:	
One-Sample t-Test Analyses	151
Table 33. Studies 3a and 3b: Overview of Specific Research Hypotheses and Main Findings	154

## LIST OF BOXES AND TABLES IN APPENDICES

## Appendix A: Study 1

Box A1. S	tudy 1: Instruction on the Generation of Personal Goals (T1)	220
Box A2. <i>S</i>	tudy 1: Instruction on Personal Goal Orientation of Goals in Various Life Domains (T1)	221
Table A1.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on the Three Dimensions of Personal Goal Orientation in the Total	
	Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2; Across All Self-Generated Goals;	
	6 Goals)	222
Table A2.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on the Three Dimensions of Personal Goal Orientation in the Total	
	Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2; Across Self-Generated Domains;	
	2 Goals)	223
Table A3.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on the Three Dimensions of Personal Goal Orientation in the Total	
	Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2; Across Cognitive Domain;	
	2 Goals)	224
Table A4.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on the Three Dimensions of Personal Goal Orientation in the Total	
	Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2; Across Physical Domain;	
	2 Goals)	225

Table A5.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on the Three Dimensions of Personal Goal Orientation in the Total	
	Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2; Across Goals in Various	
	Life Domains; 13 Domains)	.226
Table A6.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on General Subjective Well-Being, the Five Facets of	
	Subjective Well-Being, and Goal Satisfaction in the Total Sample and in the Younger and	
	Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2)	.227
Table A7.	Study 1: Bivariate Pearson Correlations Among the Facets of Subjective Well-Being and Goal	
	Satisfaction at T1 (Above Diagonal) and T2 (Below Diagonal)	.229
Table A8.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on the Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, and Additional	
	Goal Characteristics in the Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2)	.230
Table A9.	Study 1: Descriptive Information on the Person Control Variables in the Total Sample	
	and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (T1 and T2)	.233
Table A10	. Study 1: Instruments Assessing Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, and Additional	
	Goal Characteristics: Overview and Psychometrical Information	.234
Table A11	. Study 1: Instruments Assessing Person Control Variables: Overview and Psychometrical Information	.238
Table A12	. Study 1: Differences in Bivariate Pearson Correlations Between Personal Goal Orientation	
	(T1; Across All Self-Generated Goals) and Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, and	
	Additional Goal Characteristics	.239
Table A13	. Study 1: Between-Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation Across All Self-Generated	
	Goals (T2; 6 Goals): Multi- and Univariate Follow-Up Analyses	.240
Table A14	. Study 1: Within-Age-Group Differences in Personal Goal Orientation Across All Self-Generated	
	Goals (T2; 6 Goals): Paired-Sample t-Tests Follow-Up Analyses	.240
Table A15	. Study 1: Associations Between Personal Goal Orientation (T1; Across All Self-Generated Goals) and	
	Each Facet of Subjective Well-Being (T2): Bivariate Pearson Correlations and Multiple Correlations	.241

#### Appendix B: Study 2

Box 1	B1. Study .	2:1	nstruction on th	e G	Generation of	Personal	C	Foal.	s2	242	2
-------	-------------	-----	------------------	-----	---------------	----------	---	-------	----	-----	---

- Table B3. Study 2: Descriptive Information on the Two Dimensions of Personal Goal Orientation in the Total

   Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (Across Physical Domain; 2 Goals)

   243
- Table B4. Study 2: Descriptive Information on the Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, Additional Goal

   Characteristics, and Goal Satisfaction in the Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples ..... 244

Table B6. Study 2: Instruments Assessing Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, and Additional Goal	
Characteristics: Overview and Psychometrical Information	248
Table B7 Study 2: Instruments Assessing Person Control Variables: Overview and Psychometrical Information	250
Table B8. Study 2: Differences in Bivariate Pearson Correlations Between Personal Goal Orientation	
(Across All Self-Generated Goals) and Concepts of Self-Regulation, Goal Resources, and Additional Goal	,
Characteristics	251

Appendix C: Studies 3a and 3b

Box C1. Study 3a: Sample Instruction on the Behavioral Preference	252 Task
Box C2. Study 3b: Sample Instruction on the Behavioral Preference	

Table C1. Study 3a: Descriptive Information on the Dimensions of Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal	
Orientation in the Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples	253
Table C2. Study 3a: Item Characteristics of Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation in the	
Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (Equal Expected Resource Demands)	253
Table C3. Study 3a: Item Characteristics of Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation in the	
Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (Unequal Expected Resource Demands)	254
Table C4. Studies 3a: Composite Score Characteristics of Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation Acro	155
the Five Tasks for the Four Conditions in the Total Sample and the Younger and Older Sub-Samples	254
Table C5. Study 3a: Descriptive Information on Goal Resources and Additional Goal Characteristics in the Total	
Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples	255
Table C6. Studies 3a: Instruments Assessing Goal Resources and Additional Goal Characteristics: Overview and	
Psychometrical Information	256
Table C7. Study 3a: Bivariate Pearson Correlations Between Behavioral Preference for Growth Goal Orientation	
and Goal Resources and Additional Goal Characteristics	257
Table C8. Study 3b: Descriptive Information on the Dimensions of Behavioral Personal Goal Orientation in the	
Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples	259
Table C9. Study 3b: Item Characteristics of Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation in the	
Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (Equal Expected Resource Demands)	259
Table C10. Study 3b: Item Characteristics of Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation in the	
Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples (Unequal Expected Resource Demands)	260
Table C11. Studies 3b: Composite Score Characteristics of Behavioral Preference for Personal Goal Orientation	
Across the Five Tasks for the Four Conditions in the Total Sample and the Younger and	
Older Sub-Samples	260
Table C12. Study 3b: Descriptive Information on Goal Resources and Additional Goal Characteristics in the	
Total Sample and in the Younger and Older Sub-Samples	261
Table C13. Study 3b: Bivariate Pearson Correlations Between Behavioral Preference for Growth Goal Orientation	
and Goal Resources and Additional Goal Characteristics	262

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I conducted my dissertation studies within the project "Selection, Optimization, and Compensation: Goals and Preferences in Lifespan Development" (principal investigators: Prof. Alexandra M. Freund (until December 2002), Dr. Michaela Riediger (since January 2003), Prof. Paul B. Baltes, and Prof. U. Lindenberger) at the Center for Lifespan Psychology of the Max Planck Institute for Human Development in Berlin (MPIB). I was financially supported by a predoctoral position at the MPIB. While working on my dissertation, I participated in two doctoral training programs: The Graduate Program "Neuropsychiatry and Psychology of Aging", a joint initiative of the Free University of Berlin and the MPIB, and the International Max Planck Research School "The Life Course: Evolutionary and Ontogenetic Dynamics (LIFE)", a joint initiative of the Free University of Berlin, the Humboldt University Berlin, the University of Michigan Ann Arbor, and the MPIB.

First of all, I want to thank Prof. Paul B. Baltes for the great opportunity I had to work in the excellent academic environment of his Center for Lifespan Psychology. He constantly showed interest and generously shared his scientific expertise with me in supervising my studies. My deepest gratitude goes to my advisor Prof. Alexandra M. Freund. She devoted enormous amounts of time, thoughts, and motivation into my work. She read several versions of my proposal and this dissertation, supported me when I presented my studies, and provided me with invaluable information on people, conferences, meetings, and workshops. Discussing various issues of my dissertation with her was always challenging, at the same time highly enjoyable, and I learned a lot from her. During the last three years, she was not only a great mentor but my friend.

I thank all former and current members of the Center for Lifespan Psychology for fruitful debates on my studies. I appreciate having had the opportunity to participate in the two graduate programs and thank the Steering Committees and my fellows for their feedback and support. I am very grateful to the people who helped me in the long and manifold process of conducting my dissertation project: Many thanks go to Dulce Erdt, our technical research assistant, to our student research assistants Dipl.- Psych. Poldi Kuhl, Ulrike Altmann, Antje Rauers, and to our interns Karl Kapitza, Tobias Raabe, Sanja Hodjic, and Marie Hennecke for their assistance during all phases of my work. Working with all these people was a great pleasure to me. For their very helpful advice on theoretical, statistical, and practical matters, I feel enormously thankful to Dr. Albina Bondar, Dipl.-Psych. Susanne Scheibe, and Dr. Michaela Riediger, who also commented on an almost final version of my dissertation. Very special thanks also go to Dr. Julia Delius who proofread this manuscript and improved its English with an incredible professionality, thoroughness, and friendliness.

I want my parents to know that their interest in my work and their emotional support with respect to work and life outside work means very much to me. I deeply thank my friends Britta Burth, Albina Bondar, Sabine Schäfer, Susanne Scheibe, and Stefan Brauckmann for having always been there during these really good and really bad days. And Jörg, for all there was and is to come.