

7 References

- Abrams, R. L., & Greenwald, A. G. (2000). Parts and wholes in unconscious priming. Parts outweigh the whole (word) in unconscious analysis of meaning. *Psychological Science, 11*(2), 118-124.
- Abrams, R. L., Klinger, M. R., & Greenwald, A. G. (2002). Subliminal words activate semantic categories (not automated motor responses). *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 9*(1), 100-107.
- Adobe Systems Incorporated. (1997). Adobe Photoshop (Version 4.0) [Computer software]. San Jose, CA.
- Adolphs, R. (2001). The neurobiology of social cognition. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 11*, 231-239.
- Aguirre, G. K., Singh, R., & D'Esposito, M. (1999). Stimulus inversion and the responses of face and object-sensitive cortical areas. *NeuroReport, 18*, 189-194.
- Ahlberg, J. (1999). *A system for face localization and facial feature extraction* (Report No. LiTH-ISY-R-2172). Linköping/Sweden: Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Linköping University.
- Altmann, R. (1999). Farbenlehre: Was ist eigentlich Helligkeit? *Publishing Praxis, 9*, 48-49.
- Anderson, J. R. (1983). A spreading activation theory of memory. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 22*, 261-295.
- Bachmann, T. (1987). Different trends in perceptual pattern microgenesis as a function of the spatial range of local brightness averaging. *Psychological Research, 49*, 107-111.
- Bachmann, T. (1989). Microgenesis as traced by the transient paired-forms paradigm. *Acta Psychologica, 70*, 3-17.
- Bachmann, T. (1991). Identification of spatially quantised tachistoscopic images of faces: How many pixels does it take to carry identity? *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology, 3*(1), 87-103.
- Baddeley, A. D. (1998). *Human Memory. Theory and Practice* (Revised ed. ed.). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bahrick, H. P., Bahrick, P. O., & Wittlinger, R. P. (1975). Fifty years of memory for names and faces: a cross-sectional approach. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 104*, 54-75.
- Ballard, D. H., Hinton, G. E., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1983). Parallel visual computation. *Nature (London), 306*, 21-26.
- Baron, R. (1981). Mechanisms of human facial recognition. *International Journal of Man Machine Studies, 15*, 137-178.
- Bartels, A., & Zeki, S. (1998). The theory of multistage integration in the visual brain. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, 265*, 2327-2332.
- Bartlett, J. C., Hurry, S., & Thorley, W. (1984). Typicality and familiarity of faces. *Memory & Cognition, 12*(3), 219-228.
- Bartlett, J. C., & Searcy, J. (1993). Inversion and configuration of faces. *Cognitive Psychology, 25*, 281-316.
- Bartlett, J. C., Searcy, J. H., & Abdi, H. (in press). What are the routes to face recognition? In M. Peterson & G. Rhodes (Eds.), *Analytic and holistic processes in the perception of faces, object, and scenes*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bartrip, J., Morton, J., & De Schonen, S. (2001). Responses to mother's face in 3-week to 5-month-old infants. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 19*(2), 219-232.
- Basri, R. (1996). Recognition by prototypes. *International Journal of Computer Vision, 19*(2), 147-168.
- Bates, B., & Cleese, J. (2001). *The human face*. London: British Broadcasting Corporation.
- Bauer, R. M. (1986). The cognitive psychophysiology of prosopagnosia. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 253-267). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Bäumel, K.-H. (1994). Upright versus upside-down faces: how interface attractiveness varies with orientation. *Perception & Psychophysics, 56*(2), 163-172.
- Baylis, G. C., & Cale, E. M. (2001). The figure has a shape, but the ground does not: evidence from a priming paradigm. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 27*(3), 633-643.
- Bednar, J. A., & Miikkulainen, R. (2000). *Self-organization of innate face preferences: could genetics be expressed through learning?* Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Seventeenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-2000).

- Bednar, J. A., & Miikkulainen, R. (2001). *Learning innate face preferences* (Report No. AI-01-291). Austin, TX: University of Texas at Austin.
- Benson, P. J., & Perrett, D. I. (1991). Perception and recognition of photographic quality facial caricatures: implications for the recognition of natural images. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 3(1), 105-135.
- Benson, P. J., & Perrett, D. I. (1994). Visual processing of facial distinctiveness. *Perception*, 23, 75-93.
- Bentin, S., Allison, T., Puce, A., Perez, E., & McCarthy, G. (1996). Electrophysiological studies of face perception in humans. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 8(6), 551-565.
- Bentin, S., Deouell, L. Y., & Soroker, N. (1999). Selective visual streaming in face recognition: evidence from developmental prosopagnosia. *NeuroReport*, 10, 823-827.
- Bentin, S., & Moscovitch, M. (1988). The time course of repetition effects for words and unfamiliar faces. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 117(2), 148-160.
- Beymer, D., & Poggio, T. (1996). Image representations for visual learning. *Science*, 272, 1905-1909.
- Biederman, I. (1981). On the semantics of a glance at a scene. In J. R. Kubovy & J. R. Pomerantz (Eds.), *Perceptual organization*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Biederman, I. (1987). Recognition-by-components: a theory of human image understanding. *Psychological Review*, 94, 115-147.
- Biederman, I., & Cooper, E. E. (1991). Evidence for complete translational and reflectional invariance in visual object priming. *Perception*, 20, 585-593.
- Biederman, I., & Gerhardstein, P. C. (1993). Recognizing depth-rotated objects: evidence and conditions for three-dimensional viewpoint invariance. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 19, 1162-1182.
- Biederman, I., & Kalocsai, P. (1998). Neural and psychophysical analysis of object and face recognition. In H. Wechsler, P. J. Phillips, V. Bruce, F. Fogelman Soulie & T. Huang (Eds.), *Face recognition: from theory to applications*. Berlin: Springer.
- Biederman, I., Subramaniam, S., Bar, M., Kalocsai, P., & Fiser, J. (1999). Subordinate-level object classification reexamined. *Psychological Research*, 62, 131-153.
- Bland, J. M., & Altman, D. G. (1995). Multiple significance tests: the Bonferroni method. *British Medical Journal*, 310, 170.
- Blanz, V., & Vetter, T. (1999). A morphable model for the synthesis of 3D faces. *ACM SIGGRAPH'99 Conference Proceedings*, 187-194.
- Bodamer, J. (1947). Die Prosop-Agnosia. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten*, 179, 6-53.
- Borg, I. (2000). *Explorative Multidimensionale Skalierung* (Report No. ZUMA How-to-Reihe, Nr.1). Mannheim: ZUMA.
- Borg, I., & Staufenbiel, T. (1997). *Theorien und Methoden der Skalierung*. Bern: Huber.
- Bothwell, R. K., Brigham, J. C., & Malpass, R. S. (1989). Cross-racial identification. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 15, 19-25.
- Boucart, M., Humphreys, G. W., & Lorenceau, J. (1995). Automatic access to object identity: Attention to global information, not to particular physical dimensions, is important. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21(3), 584-601.
- Bower, G. H. (1970). Mental imagery and associative learning. In L. W. Gregg (Ed.), *Cognition in learning and memory*. New York: Wiley.
- Bradshaw, J. L., & Wallace, G. (1971). Models for the processing and identification of faces. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 9(5), 443-448.
- Bredart, S., & Bruyer, R. (1994). The cognitive approach to familiar face processing in human subjects. *Behavioral Processes*, 33, 212-232.
- Breen, N., Caine, D., & Coltheart, M. (2000). Models of face recognition and delusional misidentification: a critical review. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 17, 55-72.
- Breitmeyer, B. G. (1984). *Visual masking: an integrative approach*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Breitmeyer, B. G., & Ganz, L. (1976). Implications of sustained and transient channels for theories of visual pattern masking, saccadic suppression and information processing. *Psychological Review*, 83, 1-36.
- Brooks, J. L., Don, L., Lewis, J., & Ragan, J. (1997). *The role of configural and featural information in the recognition of faces*. Unpublished manuscript, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Brophy, A. L. (1986). Alternatives to a table of criterion values in signal detection theory. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 18(3), 285-286.
- Brown, R. (1958). How shall a thing be called? *Psychological Review*, 65, 14-21.
- Bruce, C., Desimone, R., & Gross, C. (1981). Visual properties of neurons in a polysensory area in the superior temporal sulcus of the macaque. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 46, 369-384.
- Bruce, V. (1982). Changing faces: visual and non-visual coding processes in face recognition. *British Journal of Psychology*, 73, 105-116.
- Bruce, V. (1988). *Recognising faces*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Bruce, V. (1994). Stability from variation: the case of face recognition. The M.D. Vernon memorial lecture. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 47A(1), 5-28.
- Bruce, V., Burton, A. M., Carson, D., Hanna, E., & Mason, O. (1994). Repetition priming of face recognition. In C. Umiltà & M. Moscovitch (Eds.), *Attention and Performance XV* (pp. 179-210). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

- Bruce, V., Burton, A. M., & Craw, I. (1992). Modelling face recognition. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 335(1273), 121-128.
- Bruce, V., Burton, A. M., & Dench, N. (1994). What's distinctive about a distinctive face? *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 47A(1), 119-141.
- Bruce, V., Dench, N., & Burton, M. (1993). Effects of distinctiveness, repetition and semantic priming on the recognition of face familiarity. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 47(1), 38-60.
- Bruce, V., Doyle, T., Dench, N., & Burton, M. (1991). Remembering facial configurations. *Cognition*, 109-144.
- Bruce, V., Green, P. R., & Georgeson, M. A. (1996). *Visual perception. Physiology, psychology, and ecology* (3rd ed.). Hove: Psychology Press.
- Bruce, V., & Humphreys, G. (1994). Recognizing objects and faces. *Visual Cognition*, 1(2/3), 141-180.
- Bruce, V., & Langton, S. (1994). The use of pigmentation and shading information on recognising the sex and identities of faces. *Perception*, 23, 803-822.
- Bruce, V., Valentine, T., & Baddeley, A. D. (1987). The basis of the 3/4 view advantage in face recognition. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 1, 109-120.
- Bruce, V., & Young, A. (1986). Understanding face recognition. *British Journal of Psychology*, 77, 305-327.
- Bruce, V., & Young, A. (1998). *In the eye of the beholder: The science of face perception*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Brunas, J., Young, A. W., & Ellis, A. W. (1990). Repetition priming from incomplete faces: evidence for part to whole completion. *British Journal of Psychology*, 81, 43-56.
- Brunelli, R., & Poggio, T. (1993). Face recognition: features versus templates. *IEEE Transactions on PAMI*, 1042-1052.
- Buonomano, D. V., & Merzenich, M. M. (1998). Cortical plasticity: from synapses to maps. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 21, 149-187.
- Burkell, J. A., & Pylyshyn, Z. W. (1997). Searching through subsets: A test of the visual indexing hypothesis. *Spatial Vision*, 11(2), 225-258.
- Burt, D. M., & Perrett, D. I. (1997). Perceptual asymmetries in judgments of facial attractiveness, age, gender, speech and expression. *Neuropsychologia*, 35, 685-693.
- Burton, A. M. (1994). Learning new faces in an interactive activation and competition model. *Visual Cognition*, 1, 313-348.
- Burton, A. M., Bruce, V., & Hancock, P. J. B. (1999). From pixels to people: a model of familiar face recognition. *Cognitive Science*, 23(1), 1-31.
- Burton, A. M., Bruce, V., & Johnston, R. A. (1990). Understanding face recognition with an interactive activation model. *British Journal of Psychology*, 81, 361-380.
- Busey, T. A. (1998). Physical and psychological representation of faces. evidence from morphing. *Psychological Science*, 9(6), 476-483.
- Busey, T. A., & Tunnicliff, J. (1999). Accounts of blending, typicality and distinctiveness in face recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*, 25, 1210-1235.
- Bushnell, I. W. R., Sai, F., & Mullin, J. T. (1989). Neonatal recognition of the mother's face. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 7, 3-15.
- Cabeza, R., Bruce, V., Kato, T., & Oda, M. (1999). The prototype effect in face recognition: extension and limits. *Memory & Cognition*, 27(1), 139-151.
- Cabeza, R., & Kato, T. (2000). Features are also important: contributions of featural and configural processing to face recognition. *Psychological Science*, 11, 429-433.
- Caelli, T. (1988). An adaptive computational model for texture segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 18, 425-427.
- Calder, A. J., Young, A. W., Keane, J., & Dean, M. (2000). Configural information in facial expression perception. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 26(2), 527-551.
- Calis, G. J., Sterenborg, J., & Maarse, F. (1984). Initial microgenetic steps in single-glance face recognition. *Acta Psychologica*, 55(3), 215-230.
- Camerer, C. F., & Johnson, E. J. (1991). The process-performance paradox in expert judgment: how can experts know so much and predict so badly? In K. A. Ericsson & J. Smith (Eds.), *Towards a general theory of expertise: prospects and limits* (pp. 195-217). New York: Cambridge Press.
- Campbell, C. S., & Massaro, D. W. (1997). Perception of visible speech: influence of spatial quantization. *Perception*, 26(5), 627-644.
- Campbell, R. (1992). The neuropsychology of lipreading. In V. Bruce, A. Cowey, A. W. Ellis & D. P. Perrett (Eds.), *Processing the facial image* (pp. 39-44). New York, NY: Clarendon Press.
- Carbon, C. C. (2001). *The DADA faces. A standardized face databank: faces with different affective states from different angles*. Unpublished manuscript, Berlin.
- Carbon, C. C., & Leder, H. (subm.). Changing stable representations within seconds: face memories as flexible and adaptive entities.
- Carey, S. (1992). Becoming a face expert. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 335, 95-103.
- Carey, S., & Diamond, R. (1977). From piecemeal to configural representation of faces. *Science*, 195, 312-314.
- Carey, S., & Diamond, R. (1994). Are faces perceived as configurations more by adults than by children? *Visual Cognition*, 1(2/3), 253-274.

- Carmel, D., & Bentin, S. (2002). Domain specificity versus expertise: factors influencing distinct processing of faces. *Cognition*, 83, 1-29.
- Chaby, L., Jemel, B., George, N., Renault, B., & Fiori, N. (2001). An ERP study of famous face incongruity detection in middle age. *Brain and Cognition*, 45(3), 357-377.
- Chase, W. G., & Ericsson, K. A. (1982). Skill and working memory. In G. H. Bower (Ed.), *The psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 16, pp. 1-58). New York: Academic Press.
- Chase, W. G., & Simon, H. A. (1973). Perception in chess. *Cognitive Psychology*, 4, 55-81.
- Chi, M. T. H., Feltovitch, P. J., & Glaser, R. (1981). Categorization and representation of physics problems by experts and novices. *Cognitive Science*, 5, 121-152.
- Chun, M. M., & Wolfe, J. M. (2001). Visual attention. In E. B. Goldstein (Ed.), *Blackwell's Handbook of Perception* (pp. 272-310). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.
- Churchland, P. S. (1986). *Neurophilosophy*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Churchland, P. S., & Sejnowski, T. S. (1992). *The computational brain*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Cohen, G. (1990). Why is it difficult to put names to faces? *British Journal of Psychology*, 81, 287-297.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd edition ed.). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Cohen, J. (2002). The grand grand illusion. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 9(5-6), 141-157.
- Cohen, J. D., MacWhinney, B., Flatt, M., & Provost, J. (1993). PsyScope: a new graphic interactive environment for designing psychology experiments. *Behavioral Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*, 25(2), 257-271.
- Coltheart, M. (1980). Iconic memory and visible persistence. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 27, 183-228.
- Cooper, E. E., & Biederman, I. (1993). Metric versus viewpoint-invariant shape differences in visual object recognition. *Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 34, 1080.
- Cooper, E. E., & Wojan, T. J. (2000). Differences in the coding of spatial relations in face identification and basic level object recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 26(2), 470-488.
- Cooper, L. A. (1976). Demonstration of a mental analog of an external rotation. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 19, 296-302.
- Cooper, L. A., & Shepard, R. N. (1973). Chronometric studies of the rotation of mental images. In W. G. Chase (Ed.), *Visual Information Processing* (pp. 75-176). New York: Academic Press.
- Corballis, M. C. (1988). Recognition of disoriented shapes. *Psychological Review*, 95, 115-123.
- Cortina, J. M. (1993). What is coefficient alpha? An examination of theory and applications. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(1), 98-104.
- Cottrell, G. W., Dailey, M. N., Padgett, C., & Adolphs, R. (2001). Is all face processing holistic? The view from UCSD. In M. J. Wenger & J. T. Townsend (Eds.), *Computational, geometric, and process perspectives on facial cognition: Contexts and challenges. Scientific psychology series* (pp. 347-395). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Craft, J. L., & Simon, J. R. (1970). Processing symbolic information from a visual display: interference from an irrelevant directional cue. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 83(3), 415-420.
- Craw, I. (1995). A manifold model of face and object recognition. In T. R. Valentine (Ed.), *Cognitive and Computational Aspects of Face Recognition* (pp. 183-203). London: Routledge.
- Crick, F., & Koch, C. (1998). Consciousness and neuroscience. *Cerebral Cortex*, 8, 97-107.
- Curby, K. M., & Gauthier, I. (subm.). Interference between car and face processing depends on car expertise.
- Dailey, M. N., Cottrell, G. W., & Busey, T. A. (1998). *Eigenfaces for familiarity*. Paper presented at the Twentieth Annual Cognitive Science Conference, Madison, WI.
- Damasio, R., Damasio, H., & Tranel, D. (1986). Prosopagnosia: Anatomic and physiologic aspects. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 268-273). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Darwin, C. (1872). *The Expression of the emotion in man and animals*. London: John Murray.
- Davies, G., Ellis, H., & Shepherd, J. (1977). Cue saliency in faces as assessed by the 'Photofit' technique. *Perception*, 6, 263-269.
- Davies, G. M. (1981). Face recall systems. In G. M. Davies, H. D. Ellis & J. W. Shepherd (Eds.), *Perceiving and remembering faces*. London: Academic Press.
- De Groot, A. D. (1965). *Thought and choice in chess*. Den Haag: Mouton & Co.
- De Valois, R., & De Valois, K. (1980). Spatial vision. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 31, 117-153.
- Deffenbacher, K. A. (1986). On the memorability of the human face. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 61-70). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Dehon, H., & Brédart, S. (2001). An 'other-race' effect in age estimation from faces. *Perception*, 30(9), 1107-1113.
- Delorme, A., Gautrais, J., VanRullen, R., & Thorpe, S. J. (1999). SpikeNET: a simulator for modeling large networks of integrate and fire neurons. *Neurocomputing*, 26-27, 989-996.
- Delorme, A., Richard, G., & Fabre-Thorpe, M. (1999). Rapid processing of complex natural scenes: a role for the magnocellular pathway. *Neurocomputing*, 26-27, 663-670.
- Delorme, A., Richard, G., & Fabre-Thorpe, M. (2000a). Rapid Categorisation of natural scenes is colour blind: a study in monkeys and humans. *Vision Research*, 40(16), 2187-2200.
- Delorme, A., Richard, G., & Fabre-Thorpe, M. (2000b). Ultra-rapid categorisation of natural scenes does not rely on colour cues: a study in monkeys and humans. *Vision Research*, 40, 2187-2200.
- Delorme, A., & Thorpe, S. J. (2001). Face identification using one spike per neuron: resistance to image. *Neural Network*, 6-7, 795-803.

- DeRenzi, E. (1986). Current issues on prosopagnosia. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 243-252). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Desimone, R. (1991). Face-selective cells in the temporal cortex of monkeys. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 3, 1-8.
- Deubel, H., & Schneider, W. X. (1996). Saccade target selection and object recognition: evidence for a common attentional mechanism. *Vision Research*, 36(12), 1827-1837.
- Diamond, R., & Carey, S. (1977). Developmental changes in the representation of faces. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 23, 1-22.
- Diamond, R., & Carey, S. (1986). Why faces are and are not special: an effect of expertise. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 115, 107-117.
- Dodge, R. (1907). An experimental study of visual fixation. *Psychological Review Monograph Supplement*, 8(35).
- Donaldson, W. (1992). Measuring recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 121(3), 275-277.
- Donders, F. C. (1969). On the speed of mental processes (reprint). *Acta Psychologica*, 30, 412-431.
- Donk, M., & Theeuwes, J. (2001). Visual marking beside the mark: prioritizing selection by abrupt onsets. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 63(5), 891-900.
- Donnelly, N., & Davidoff, J. (1999). The mental representations of faces and houses: issues concerning parts and wholes. *Visual Cognition*, 6, 319-343.
- Donnelly, N., Humphreys, G. W., & Sawyer, J. (1994). Stimulus factors affecting the categorisation of faces and scrambled faces. *Acta Psychologica*, 85, 219-234.
- Dubois, S., Rossion, B., Schiltz, C., Bodart, J. M., Michel, C., Bruyer, R., et al. (1999). Effect of familiarity on the processing of human faces. *NeuroImage*, 9, 278-289.
- Eckstein, M. P., Beutter, B. R., Bartroff, L., & Stone, L. S. (1999). Guided search vs. signal detection theory in target localization tasks. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science (Suppl.)*, 40, 1836.
- Edelman, S., & Intrator, N. (2002). Visual processing of object structure. In M. A. Arbib (Ed.), *The handbook of brain theory and neural networks* (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Eimer, M. (1998). Does the face-specific N170 component reflect the activity of a specialized eye detector? *NeuroReport*, 9, 2945-2948.
- Eimer, M. (2000). The face-specific N170 component reflects late stages in the structural encoding of faces. *NeuroReport*, 11, 2319-2324.
- Eimer, M., & McCarthy, R. A. (1999). Prosopagnosia and structural encoding of faces: evidence from event-related potentials. *NeuroReport*, 10, 255-259.
- Ekman, P., Friesen, W. V., & Ellsworth, P. C. (1972). *Emotion in the human face: guidelines for research and an integration of findings*. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Elliott, M. A., & Müller, H. J. (1998). Synchronous information presented in 40-Hz flicker enhances visual feature binding. *Psychological Science*, 9, 277-283.
- Ellis, A. W., Young, A. W., & Flude, B. M. (1990). Repetition priming and face processing: priming occurs within the system that responds to the identity of a face. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 42A, 495-512.
- Ellis, H. (1975). Recognizing faces. *British Journal of Psychology*, 66, 409-426.
- Ellis, H., Rhodes, G., Tan, S., Brake, S., Taylor, K., Chen, M.-J., et al. (1989). *Cognition in individual and social contexts*. Paper presented at the 24th International Congress of Psychology of the International Union of Psychological Science, College of Cardiff, School of Psychology, Cardiff, Wales.
- Ellis, H. D. (1983). The role of the right hemisphere. In A. W. Young (Ed.), *Functions of the right cerebral hemisphere*. London: Academic Press.
- Ellis, H. D., Derogowski, J. B., & Shepherd, J. W. (1975). Descriptions of white and black faces by white and black subjects. *International Journal of Psychology*, 10, 119-123.
- Ellis, H. D., Shepherd, J. W., & Davies, G. M. (1979). Identification of familiar and unfamiliar faces from internal and external features: some implications for theories of face recognition. *Perception*, 8, 431-439.
- Encyclopædia Britannica. (1999). *Encycloaedia Britannica CD 99 Multimedia Edition*
- Engel, A. K., Konig, P., Kreiter, A. D., Schillen, T. B., & Singer, W. (1992). Temporal coding in the visual cortex: new vistas on integration in the nervous system. *Trends in Neuroscience*, 15, 218-228.
- Enns, J. T., & Di Lollo, V. (2001). An object substitution theory of visual masking. In T. Shipley & P. Kellman (Eds.), *From fragments to objects: Segmentation and grouping in vision* (pp. 121-143). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Erdfelder, E., Faul, F., & Buchner, A. (1996). G*Power: a general power analysis program. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 28, 1-11.
- Ericsson, K. A., & Kintsch, W. (1995). Long-term working memory. *Psychological Review*, 102, 211-245.
- Eriksen, C. W. (1980). The use of a visual mask may seriously confound your experiment. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 28(1), 89-92.
- Fabre-Thorpe, M., Delorme, A., Marlot, C., & Thorpe, S. J. (2000). A limit to the speed of processing in ultra-rapid visual categorization of novel natural scenes. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 13(2), 171-180.
- Farah, M. J., Tanaka, J. W., & Drain, H. M. (1995). What causes the face inversion effect? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21(3), 628-634.
- Farah, M. J., Wilson, K. D., Drain, H. M., & Tanaka, J. R. (1995). The inverted face inversion effect in prosopagnosia: evidence for mandatory, face-specific perceptual mechanisms. *Vision Research*, 35, 2089-2093.

- Farah, M. J., Wilson, K. D., Drain, H. M., & Tanaka, J. R. (1998). What is "special" about face perception? *Psychological Review*, *105*, 482-498.
- Faulkner, T. F., Rhodes, G., Palermo, R., Pellicano, E., & Ferguson, D. (2002). Recognizing the un-real McCoy: priming and the modularity of face recognition. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *9*(2), 327-334.
- Fechner, G. T. (1860). *Elemente der Psychophysik* (H. Adler, New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, Trans.). Leipzig, Germany: Breitkopf und Hürtel.
- Feenan, K., & Snodgrass, J. G. (1990). The effect of context on discrimination and bias in recognition memory for pictures and words. *Memory & Cognition*, *18*(5), 515-527.
- Feise, R. J. (2002). Do multiple outcome measures require p-value adjustment? *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, *2*(8).
- Field, T. M., Cohen, D., Garcia, R., & Greenberg, R. (1984). Mother-stranger face discrimination by the newborn. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *7*, 19-25.
- Flavell, J. H., & Draguns, J. D. (1957). A microgenetic approach to perception and thought. *Psychological Bulletin*, *54*, 197-217.
- Flin, R. H. (1985). Development of face recognition: an encoding switch? *British Journal of Psychology*, *16*, 123-134.
- Fodor, J. A. (1983). *The modularity of mind. An essay on Faculty Psychology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Fodor, J. A., & Pylyshyn, Z. W. (1988). Connectionism and cognitive architecture. *28*, *28*, 3-71.
- Folk, C. L., Remington, R. W., & Johnston, J. C. (1992). Involuntary covert orienting is contingent on attentional control settings. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *18*, 1030-1044.
- Folk, M. D., & Luce, R. D. (1987). Effects of stimulus complexity on mental rotation rate of polygons. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, *13*, 395-404.
- Fraser, I. H., Craig, G. L., & Parker, D. M. (1990). Reaction time measures of feature saliency in schematic faces. *Perception*, *19*, 661-673.
- Fraser, I. H., & Parker, D. M. (1986). Reaction time measures of feature saliency in a perceptual integration task. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 45-58). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Fraser, I. H., & Parker, D. M. (1988). Visual parsing and priority effects in temporal order judgements of line drawn patterns. *Perception*, *17*(4), 437-459.
- Freire, A., Lee, K., & Symons, L. A. (2000). The face-inversion effect as a deficit in the encoding of configural information: direct evidence. *Perception*, *29*(2), 159-170.
- Frijda, N. H. (1986). Facial expression processing. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 319-325). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Fromherz, T., Stucki, P., & Bichsel, M. (1997). *A survey of face recognition* (Technical Report No. 97.01). Zürich: Dept. of Computer Science, University of Zürich.
- Fulton, J. T. (2000, 01/08/2000). *Processes in animal vision*. Retrieved 08/29/2002, 2002, from www.4colorvision.com.
- Galilei, G. (1983). *Discoveries and opinions of Galileo: including the Starry Messenger (1610), Letter to the Grand Duchess Christina (1615), and Excerpts from Letters on Sunspots (1613), the Assayer (1623)* (S. Drake, Trans.). New York: Doubleday & Company.
- Galis, G., & Mens, L. (1986). Primary stages in single-glance face recognition: expression and identity. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 356-362). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Galper, R. E. (1970). Recognition of faces in photographic faces. *Psychonomic Science*, *19*, 207-208.
- Galper, R. E., & Hochberg, J. (1971). Recognition memory for photographs of faces. *American Journal of Psychology*, *84*, 351-354.
- Galton, F. (1879). Composite portraits made by combining those of many different persons into a single resultant figure. *Nature*, *18*, 97-100.
- Gauthier, I. (2000). What constrains the organization of ventral temporal cortex? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *4*, 1-2.
- Gauthier, I., & Logothetis, N. K. (2000). Is face recognition not so unique after all? *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, *17*(1/2/3), 125-142.
- Gauthier, I., & Nelson, C. A. (2001). The development of face expertise. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *11*, 219-224.
- Gauthier, I., Skudlarski, P., Gore, J. C., & Anderson, A. W. (2000). Expertise for cars and birds recruits brain areas involved in face recognition. *Nature Neuroscience*, *3*(2), 191-197.
- Gauthier, I., & Tarr, M. J. (1997). Becoming a 'Greeble' expert: exploring mechanisms for face recognition. *Vision Research*, *37*(12), 1673-1682.
- Gauthier, I., & Tarr, M. J. (2002). Unraveling mechanisms for expert object recognition: bridging brain activity and behavior. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Psychophysics*, *28*(2), 431-446.
- Gauthier, I., Tarr, M. J., Anderson, A. W., Skudlarski, P., & Gore, J. C. (1995). *Behavioral and neural changes following expertise training*. Paper presented at the 36th Annual Meeting of the Psychonomic Society, Philadelphia, PA.
- Gauthier, I., Tarr, M. J., Anderson, A. W., Skudlarski, P., & Gore, J. C. (1999). Activation of the middle fusiform 'face area' increases with expertise in recognizing novel objects. *Nature Neuroscience*, *2*(6), 568-573.
- Gauthier, I., Tarr, M. J., Moylan, J., Anderson, A. W., Skudlarski, P., & Gore, J. C. (2000). Does subordinate-level categorization engage the functionally-defined face area? *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, *17*(1/2/3), 143-163.
- Gauthier, I., Williams, P., Tarr, M. J., & Tanaka, J. (1997). Training 'Greeble' experts: a framework for studying expert object recognition processes. *Vision Research*, *38*(Special issue on 'Models of Recognition'), 2401-2428.

- Gibson, B. S., & Egeth, H. (1994). Inhibition of return to object-based and environment-based locations. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 55, 323-329.
- Gibson, J. J. (1950). *The perception of the visual world*. Cambridge, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Gibson, J. J. (1964). *The senses considered as perceptual systems*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Gibson, J. J. (1979). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.
- Gilbert, C., & Bakan, P. (1973). Visual asymmetry in perception of faces. *Neuropsychologia*, 11(3), 355-362.
- Gobet, F. (1998). Chess players' thinking revisited. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, 57, 18-32.
- Going, M., & Read, J. D. (1974). Effects of uniqueness, sex of subject, and sex of photograph on facial recognition. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 39, 109-110.
- Goldstein, A. G. (1965). Learning of inverted and normally oriented faces in children and adults. *Psychonomic Science*, 3, 447-448.
- Goldstein, A. G., & Chance, J. E. (1980). Memory for faces and schema theory. *Journal of Psychology*, 105, 47-59.
- Goldstein, A. G., & Mackenberg, E. J. (1966). Recognition of human faces from isolated facial features: a developmental study. *Psychonomic Science*, 6(4), 149-150.
- Goren, C. C., Sarty, M., & Wu, P. Y. (1975). Visual following and pattern discrimination of face-like stimuli by newborn infants. *Pediatrics*, 56(4), 544-549.
- Graham, N. (1981). Psychophysics of spatial-frequency channels. In M. Kubovy & J. R. Pomerantz (Eds.), *Perceptual Organization*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Erlbaum.
- Gray, C. M., König, P., Engel, A. K., & Singer, W. (1989). Oscillatory responses in cat visual cortex exhibit inter-columnar synchronization which reflects global stimulus properties. *Nature*, 338, 334-337.
- Gross, C. G., Rocha-Miranda, C. E., & Bender, D. B. (1972). Visual properties of neurons in inferotemporal cortex of the macaque. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 35, 96-111.
- Grossberg, S., Mingolla, E., & Ross, W. D. (1994). A neural theory of attentive visual search: interactions of boundary, surface, and object representations. *Psychological Review*, 101, 470-489.
- Guttman, L. (1968). A general non-metric technique for finding the smallest coordinate space for a configuration of points. *Psychometrika*, 36, 329-347.
- Guttman, L. (1971). Measurement as structural theory. *Psychometrika*, 36, 329-347.
- Haber, R. N. (1969). Introduction. In R. N. Haber (Ed.), *Information processing approaches to visual perception*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Haber, R. N., & Hershenson, M. (1973). *The psychology of visual perception*. London: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Haggbloom, S. J., & Warnick, J. E. (subm.). The effects of practice on recognition of inverted faces. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*.
- Haig, N. D. (1984). The effect of feature displacement on face recognition. *Perception*, 15, 235-247.
- Haig, N. D. (1986). Exploring recognition with interchanged facial features. *Perception*, 15, 235-247.
- Halsted, C. P. (1993). Brightness, luminance, and confusion. *Information Display*, March.
- Hancock, P. J. B. (2000). Evolving faces from principal components. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments and Computers*, 32(2), 327-333.
- Hancock, P. J. B., Burton, A. M., & Bruce, V. (1996). Face processing: human perception and principal components analysis. *Memory & Cognition*, 24(1), 26-40.
- Hänggi, Y. (in prep.). *Die Auswirkung von Stress auf die Erkennungsleistung von Emotionen im Gesichtsausdruck: ein WWW-Experiment mit Stressinduktion*. Unpublished manuscript.
- Harmon, L. D. (1973). The recognition of faces. *Scientific American*, 229(5), 71-82.
- Harner, A., & Gaudio, P. (1997). *A neural model of attentive visual search*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Vision, Recognition, Action: Neural Models of Mind and Machine, Boston, MA.
- Heinke, D., & Gross, H.-M. (1994). *A neural network architecture for self-organization of object understanding*. Paper presented at the 39th International Scientific Coll., Ilmenau.
- Henss, R. (1994). Dimensions of similarity of faces: a cross-validation study. *Zeitschrift für Experimentelle und Angewandte Psychologie*, 41, 398-414.
- Hess, T. M., & Slaughter, S. J. (1986). Specific exemplar retention and prototype abstraction in young and old adults. *Psychology and Aging*, 1, 202-207.
- Hibi, Y., Takeda, Y., & Yagi, A. (2002). Global interference: the effect of exposure duration that is substituted for spatial frequency. *Perception*, 31(3), 341-348.
- Hill, H., & Bruce, V. (1996). Effects of lighting on matching facial surfaces. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 22(4), 986-1004.
- Hill, H., Bruce, V., & Akamatsu, S. (1995). Perceiving sex and race of faces: the role of shape and colour. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B*, 261, 367-373.
- Hill, H., Schyns, P., & Shigeru, A. (1997). Information and viewpoint dependence in face recognition. *Cognition*, 62, 201-222.
- Hirschberg, N., Jones, L. E., & Haggerty, M. (1978). What's in a face: individual differences in face perception. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 12, 488-499.
- Hobson, R. P., Ouston, J., & Lee, A. (1988). What's in a face? The case of autism. *British Journal of Psychology*, 79, 441-453.

- Hochberg, J., & Galper, R. E. (1967). Recognition of faces. An explanatory study. *Psychonomic Science*, 9, 619-620.
- Hoffman, D. D., & Singh, M. (1997). Saliency of visual parts. *Cognition*, 63, 29-78.
- Hoffman, J. E., & Nelson, B. (1981). Spatial selectivity in visual search. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 30, 283-290.
- Hogarth, R. M. (1987). *Judgment and choice. The psychology of decision* (2nd edition ed.). Chichester: John Wiley and Sons.
- Hojo, H. (1988). Analysis of saliency of facial features through psychophysical scaling. *Japanese Psychological Research*, 30, 42-46.
- Hole, G. J. (1994). Configurational factors in the perception of unfamiliar faces. *Perception*, 23(1), 65-74.
- Hollis, J., & Valentine, T. (2001). Proper name processing: are proper names pure referencing expressions? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory & Cognition*, 27, 99-116.
- Homa, D., Sterling, S., & Trepel, L. (1981). Limitations of exemplar-based generalization and the abstraction of categorical information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, 7, 418-439.
- Hommel, B., & Schneider, W. X. (in press). Visual attention and the selection of manual responses. *Visual Cognition*.
- Hönekopp, J. (under review). Once more: is beauty in the eye of the beholder?
- Horowitz, T. S., & Wolfe, J. M. (1998). Visual search has no memory. *Nature*, 357, 575-577.
- Horowitz, T. S., & Wolfe, J. M. (2001). Search for multiple targets: remember the targets, forget the search. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 63(2), 272-285.
- Hosie, J. A., Ellis, H. D., & Haig, N. D. (1988). The effect of feature displacement on the perception of well-known faces. *Perception*, 17, 461-474.
- Huang, J., Liu, C., & Wechsler, H. (1998). Eye detection and face recognition using evolutionary computation. In H. Wechsler, J. P. Phillips, V. Bruce, F. Fogelman-Soulie & T. Huang (Eds.), *Proceeding of NATO-ASI on Face Recognition: From Theory to Applications* (pp. 348-377). New York: Springer.
- Hubel, D., & Wiesel, T. (1962). Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. *Journal of Physiology*, 160, 106-154.
- Hucka, M., & Kaplan, S. (1996, April 1996). *Texture-based processing in early vision and a proposed role for coarse-scale segmentation*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 1996 Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Conference, Indiana University, Bloomington.
- Hughes, H. C., Layton, M., Baird, J. C., & Lester, L. S. (1984). Global precedence in visual pattern recognition. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 35, 361-371.
- Humphreys, G. W., & Müller, H. J. (1993). SEarch via Recursive Rejection (SERR): a connectionist model of visual search. *Cognitive Psychology*, 25, 43-110.
- Humphreys, G. W., & Riddoch, M. J. (1987). *To see but not to see. A case study of visual agnosia*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Humphreys, G. W., Riddoch, M. J., & Quinlan, P. T. (1988). Cascade processes in picture identification. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, 5(1), 67-103.
- Inn, D., Walden, K. J., & Solso, R. L. (1993). Facial prototype formation in children. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, 31(3), 197-200.
- Intrator, N., Reisfeld, D., & Yeshurun, Y. (1995). *Extraction of facial features for recognition using neural networks*. Paper presented at the International Workshop on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition.
- Intraub, H. (1997). The representation of visual scenes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1, 217-221.
- Itti, L., & Koch, C. (2001). Computational modelling of visual attention. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(3), 194-203.
- James, W. (1905). *The principles of psychology* (Vol. 1). New York: Henry Holt and Company.
- Jastrow, J. A. (1888). A critique of psychophysic methods. *American Journal of Psychology*, 1, 271-309.
- Johnson, M. H. (2001). Functional brain development in humans. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2, 475-483.
- Johnson, M. H., & Morton, J. (1991). *Biology and cognitive development: the case of face recognition*. New York: Blackwell.
- Johnston, A., Hill, H., & Carman, N. (1992). Recognising faces: effects of lighting direction, inversion and brightness reversal. *Perception*, 21, 365-375.
- Johnston, R. A. (1997). Exploring the structure of multidimensional face-space: the effects of age and gender. *Visual Cognition*, 4(1), 39-57.
- Johnston, R. A., Milne, A. B., Williams, C., & Hosie, J. A. (1997). Do distinctive faces come from outer space? An investigation of the status of a multi-dimensional face-space. *Visual Cognition*, 4, 59-67.
- Jolicoeur, P. (1985). The time to name disoriented natural objects. *Memory and Cognition*, 13(4), 289-303.
- Jolicoeur, P., Gluck, M. A., & Kosslyn, S. M. (1984). Pictures and names: making the connection. *Cognitive Psychology*, 16, 243-275.
- Jordan, T. R., & Bevan, K. (1997). Seeing and hearing rotated faces: influences of facial orientation on visual and audiovisual speech recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 23(2), 388-403.
- Judd, C. M., & McClelland, G. H. (1989). *Data analysis: a model comparison approach*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Julesz, B. (1981a). Figure and ground perception in briefly presented isodipole textures. In M. Kubovy & J. R. Pomerantz (Eds.), *Perceptual organization*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Erlbaum.
- Julesz, B. (1981b). Textons, the elements of texture perception and their interactions. *Nature*, 290, 91-97.

- Kahneman, D., & Treisman, A. (1992). The reviewing of object files: object-specific integration of information. *Cognitive Psychology*, 24(2), 175-219.
- Kant, I. (1968). *Kant's critique of pure reason* (N. Kemp Smith, Trans.). New York: St.Martin's.
- Kanwisher, N., McDermott, J., & Chun, M. M. (1997). The fusiform face area: a module in human extrastriate cortex specialized for face perception. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 17, 4302-4311.
- Kanwisher, N., Tong, F., & Nakayama, K. (1998). The effect of face inversion on the human fusiform face area. *Cognition*, 68, B1-B11.
- Kappen, H. J. (1997). Stimulus dependent correlations in stochastic networks. *Physical Review E*, 55, 5849--5858.
- Kemp, R., McManus, C., & Pigott, T. (1990). Sensitivity to the displacement of facial displacement of facial features in negative and inverted images. *Perception*, 19, 531-543.
- Kemp, R., Pike, G., White, P., & Musselman, A. (1996). Perception and recognition of normal negative faces: the role of shape from shading and pigmentation cues. *Perception*, 25, 37-52.
- Kerzel, D., Hommel, B., & Bekkering, H. (2001). A Simon effect induced by induced motion: evidence for a direct linkage between cognitive and motor maps. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 63, 862-874.
- Kim, M.-S., & Vase, K. R. (1994). A neural network model for visual selection. *Psychonomic Society*. St.Louis.
- Kimchi, R. (1998). Dominance of configural properties in visual form perception. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 5, 135-139.
- Kimchi, R. (2000). The perceptual organization of visual objects: a microgenetic analysis. *Vision Research*, 40, 1333-1347.
- Kinchla, R. A. (1974). Detecting target elements in multi-element arrays: a confusability model. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 15, 149-158.
- Kirby, M., & Sirovich, L. (1990). Application of the Karhunen-Loeve procedure for the characterization of human faces. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 12(1), 103-108.
- Kirk, R. E. (1982). *Experimental design: procedures for the behavioural sciences* (2nd edition ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks-Cole/Wadsworth.
- Kitcher, P. (1990). *Kant's Transcendental Psychology*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Klatzky, R. (1980). *Human memory: structures and processes* (2nd edition ed.). San Francisco: Freeman.
- Klatzky, R. L., & Forrest, F. H. (1984). Recognizing familiar and unfamiliar faces. *Memory & Cognition*, 12(1), 60-70.
- Kleiner, K., & Banks, M. (1987). Stimulus energy does not account for 2-month-old infants' face preferences. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*, 13, 594-600.
- Knappmeyer, B., Cheng, Y., & Bühlhoff, I. (2002). *Recognizing faces across different views: does caricaturing help?* Paper presented at the 5.TWK, Tübingen.
- Knight, B., & Johnston, A. (1996). The role of movement in face recognition. *Visual Cognition*, 4, 265-273.
- Knoblich, U., & Riesenhuber, M. (2002). *Stimulus simplification and object representation: a modeling study* (AI Memo No. 2002-004, CBCL Paper 215). Boston, MA: Artificial Intelligence Lab and Center for Biological & Computational Learning, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Koch, C., & Ullman, S. (1985). Shifts in selective visual attention: towards the underlying neural circuitry. *Human neurobiology*, 4, 219-227.
- Köhler, W. (1935). *Gestalt psychology*. New York: Horace Liveright.
- Köhler, W. (1940). *Dynamics in psychology*. New York: Liveright.
- Konorski, J. (1967). *Integrative Activity of the Brain*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kosslyn, S. M. (1973). Scanning visual images: some structural implications. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 14(1), 90-94.
- Kosslyn, S. M. (1994). *Image and brain: the resolution of the imagery debate*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Krantz, D. H. (1969). Threshold theories of signal detection. *Psychological Review*, 76(3), 308-324.
- Krebs, T. (1998). *Inversions-Effekt bei Gesichtern*. Unpublished Unveröffentlichte Diplomarbeit, Universität Fribourg, Fribourg/Schweiz.
- Kruskal, J. B. (1964). Nonmetric multidimensional scaling: a numerical method. *Psychometrika*, 29, 115-130.
- Kruskal, J. B., & Wish, M. (1978). *Multidimensional scaling*. Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Lacroce, M. S., Brosgole, L., & Stanford, R. G. (1993). Discriminability of different parts of faces. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, 31(4), 329-331.
- Lades, M., Vorbrüggen, J. C., Buhmann, J., Lange, J., von der Malsburg, C., Würtz, R. P., et al. (1993). Distortion Invariant Object Recognition in the Dynamic Link Architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 42(3), 300-310.
- Lakshminarayanan, V., Bhatia, S. K., Samal, A., & Welland, G. V. (1997). Reaction times for recognition of degraded facial images. In V. Lakshminarayanan (Ed.), *Basic and clinical applications of vision science* (pp. 287-294). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Lambert, A., & Hockey, R. (1991). Peripheral visual changes and spatial attention. *Acta Psychologica*, 76, 149-163.
- Lamberts, K. (1998). The time course of categorization. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24, 695-711.
- Lamberts, K., & Freeman, R. P. J. (1999a). Building object representations from parts: tests of a stochastic sampling model. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human, Perception and Performance*, 25(4), 904-926.
- Lamberts, K., & Freeman, R. P. J. (1999b). Classification of briefly presented objects. *Psychological Research*, 62(2-3), 107-117.

- Lander, K., & Bruce, V. (2001). Recognizing famous faces: exploring the benefits of facial motion. *Ecological Psychology*, 12(4), 259-272.
- Lander, K., Christie, F., & Bruce, V. (1999). The role of movement in the recognition of famous faces. *Memory & Cognition*, 27(6), 974-985.
- Langlois, J. H., Kalakanis, L., Rubinstein, A. J., Larson, A., Hallam, M., & Smoot, M. (2000). Maxims or myths of beauty? A meta-analytic and theoretical review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 126(3), 390-423.
- Langlois, J. H., & Roggman, L. A. (1990). Attractive faces are only average. *Psychological Science*, 1, 115-121.
- Langlois, J. H., Roggmann, L. A., & Musselmann, L. (1994). What is average and what is not average about attractive faces? *Psychological Science*, 5(4), 214-220.
- Langton, S. R. H., & Bruce, V. (2000). You *must* see the point: automatic processing of cues to the direction of social attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 26(2), 747-757.
- Langton, S. R. H., Watt, R. J., & Bruce, V. (2000). Do the eyes have it? Cues to the direction of social attention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4(2), 50-59.
- Laughery, K. R. (1970). Recognition of human faces: effects of target exposure time, target position, pose position, and type of photograph. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 55(5), 477-483.
- Lawson, R., & Jolicoeur, P. (1999). The effect of prior experience on recognition thresholds for plane-disoriented pictures of familiar objects. *Memory & Cognition*, 27(4), 751-758.
- Le Grand, R., Mondloch, C. J., Maurer, D., & Brent, H. P. (2001). Early visual experience and face processing. *Nature*, 410, 890.
- Leder, H. (1996). Line drawings of faces reduce configural processing. *Perception*, 25, 355-366.
- Leder, H. (1999). Matching person identity from facial line drawings. *Perception*, 28(9), 1171-1175.
- Leder, H. (2001). Wenn Gesichter Kopf stehen: Was der Inversions-Effekt für die Gesichtserkennung bedeutet. *Psychologische Rundschau*, 52(2), 75-84.
- Leder, H., & Bruce, V. (1998). Local and relational aspects of face distinctiveness. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 51A, 449-473.
- Leder, H., & Bruce, V. (2000a). Inverting line drawings of faces. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, 59(3), 170-182.
- Leder, H., & Bruce, V. (2000b). When inverted faces are recognized: the role of configural information in face recognition. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 53A(2), 513-536.
- Leder, H., Candrian, G., Huber, O., & Bruce, V. (2001). Configural features in the context of upright and inverted faces. *Perception*, 30, 73-83.
- Leder, H., & Carbon, C. C. (in prep.). When is a nose a configural? Inversion effects for component features in face and object processing.
- Leder, H., & Carbon, C. C. (subm.-a). The nature of configural information in face recognition: effects of inversion, presentation time and learn-test-compatibility.
- Leder, H., & Carbon, C. C. (subm.-b). When a face is a head! Viewpoint dependence, part and whole processing in recognizing configural and component face information.
- Leder, H., & Carbon, C. C. (subm.-c). When a part is a whole! Whole-to-part relations in face recognition.
- Leder, H., & Carbon, C.-C. (subm.-d). When context hinders! Effects of context in face recognition.
- Lee, D., & Chun, M. M. (2001). What are the units of visual short-term memory, objects or spatial locations? *Perception & Psychophysics*, 63(2), 253-257.
- Lee, J. K., & Perrett, D. (1997). Presentation-time measures of the effects of manipulations in color space on discrimination of famous faces. *Perception*, 26, 733-752.
- Lee, K., Byatt, G., & Rhodes, G. (2000). Caricature effects, distinctiveness, and identification: testing the face-space framework. *Psychological Science*, 11(5), 379-385.
- Lennie, P., Trevarthen, C., Van Essen, D., & Wässle, H. (1990). Parallel processing of visual information. In S. Lothar & J. S. Werner (Eds.), *Visual perception. The neurophysiological foundations*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Leopold, D. A., O'Toole, A. J., Vetter, T., & Blanz, V. (2001). Prototype-referenced shape encoding revealed by high-level aftereffects. *Nature Neuroscience*, 4(1), 89-94.
- Levin, D. T. (1996). Classifying faces by race: the structure of face categories. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and Cognition*, 22, 1364-1382.
- Levin, D. T., & Simons, D. J. (1997). Failure to detect changes to attended objects in motion pictures. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 4, 501-506.
- Lewis, M. B., & Johnston, R. A. (1997). The Thatcher illusion as a test of configural disruption. *Perception*, 26, 225-227.
- Lewis, M. E., Mishkin, M., Bragin, E., Brown, R. M., Pert, C. B., & Pert, A. (1981). Opiate receptor gradients in monkey cerebral cortex: correspondence with sensory processing hierarchies. *Science*, 211, 1166-1168.
- Li, F. F., VanRullen, R., Koch, C., & Perona, P. (subm.). Rapid natural scene categorization in the near absence of attention.
- Light, L. L., Kayra-Stuart, F., & Hollander, S. (1979). Recognition memory for typical and unusual faces. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, 5(3), 212-228.
- Likert, R. (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of Psychology*, 140, 5-53.
- Liu, C. H., & Chaudhuri, A. (1998). Are there qualitative differences between face processing in photographic positive or negative? *Perception*, 27, 1107-1122.
- Livingstone, M. S. (1988). Art, illusion, and the visual system. *Scientific American*, 258(1), 78-85.

- Locher, P., Unger, R., Sociedade, P., & Wahl, J. (1993). At first glance: accessibility of the physical attractiveness stereotype. *Sex Roles, 28*(11/12), 729-743.
- Lockhart, R. S., & Craik, F. I. M. (1990). Levels of processing: a retrospective commentary on a framework for memory research. *Canadian Journal of Psychology, 44*, 87-122.
- Loftus, G. R. (1985). Picture perception: effects of luminance level on available information and information-extraction rate. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 114*, 342-356.
- Loftus, G. R. (1989). On the tyranny of hypothesis testing in the social sciences. In G. Gigerenzer, Z. Swijink, T. Porter, D. Lorraine, J. Beatty & L. Krüger (Eds.), *The empire of chance: how probability changed science and everyday life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Loftus, G. R. (1995). Data analysis as insight. Reply to Morrison and Weaver. *Behavior Research Methods, Instrumentation and Computers, 27*, 57-59.
- Loftus, G. R. (1996). Psychology will be a much better science when we change the way we analyze data. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 161*-171.
- Loftus, G. R., Duncan, J., & Gehrig, P. (1992). On the time course of perceptual information that results from a brief visual presentation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Performance and Perception, 18*, 530-549.
- Loftus, G. R., Johnson, C. A., & Shimamura, A. P. (1985). How much is an icon worth? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Performance and Perception, 11*, 1-13.
- Loftus, G. R., & Kallmann, H. J. (1979). Encoding and use of detail information in picture recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory, 5*, 197-211.
- Loftus, G. R., & Loftus, E. F. (1987). *Essence of statistics* (2nd Edition ed.). New York: Random House.
- Loftus, G. R., & Masson, M. E. J. (1994). Using confidence intervals in within-subject designs. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 1*, 476-490.
- Loftus, G. R., & Ruthruff, E. (1994). A theory of visual information acquisition and visual memory with special application to intensity-duration tradeoffs. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 20*, 33-50.
- Logan, G. D. (1992). Attention and preattention in theories of automaticity. *American Journal of Psychology, 105*, 317-339.
- Logothetis, N. K., Pauls, J., & Poggio, T. (1995). Shape representation in the inferior temporal cortex of monkeys. *Current Biology, 5*, 552-563.
- Lowe, D. G. (1985). *Perceptual organization and visual recognition*. Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Lu, C.-H., & Proctor, R. W. (1995). The influence of irrelevant location information on performance: a review of the Simon and spatial Stroop effects. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 2*, 174-207.
- Luce, D. (1986). *Response time: Their role in inferring elementary mental organizations*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Luce, R. D. (1963). A threshold theory for simple detection experiments. *Psychological Review, 70*, 61-79.
- Lupianez, J., Milliken, B., Solano, C., Weaver, B., & Tipper, S. P. (2001). On the strategic modulation of the time course of facilitation and inhibition of return. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 54A*, 753-773.
- Macho, S., & Leder, H. (1998). Your eyes only? A test of interactive influence in the processing of facial features. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 24*(5), 1486-1500.
- Mack, A., & Rock, I. (1998). *Inattention blindness*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Marcel, A. J. (1983). Conscious and unconscious perception: experiments on visual masking and word recognition. *Cognitive Psychology, 15*, 197-237.
- Marr, D. (1982). *Vision: a computational investigation into human representation and processing of visual information*. San Francisco, CA: Freeman.
- Martin, A., & Chao, L. L. (2001). Semantic memory and the brain: structure and processes. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 11*, 194-201.
- Massaro, D. W. (1989). *Experimental psychology: an information processing approach*. San Diego: Harcourt.
- Matthews, M. L. (1978). Discrimination of Identikit construction of faces: evidence for a dual processing strategy. *Perception & Psychophysics, 23*, 153-161.
- Mauro, R., & Kubovy, M. (1992). Caricature and face recognition. *Memory & Cognition, 20*(4), 433-440.
- McClelland, J. L., Rumelhart, D. E., & The PDP Research Group. (1986). *Parallel distributed processing* (Vol. 1&2). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- McGurk, H., & MacDonald, J. (1976). Hearing lips and seeing voices. *Nature, 264*, 746-748.
- McKelvie, S. J. (1973). The meaningfulness and meaning of schematic faces. *Perception and Psychophysics, 14*, 343-348.
- McKelvie, S. J. (1976). The role of eyes and mouth in the memory of a face. *American Journal of Psychology, 89*(2), 311-323.
- McKenzie, C. R. M., Wixted, J. T., Noelle, D. C., & Gjurjyan, G. (2001). Relation between confidence in yes-no and forced-choice tasks. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 130*(1), 140-155.
- McKone, E., Martini, P., & Nakayama, K. (2001). Categorical perception of face identity in noise isolates configural processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 27*(3), 573-599.
- McNeill, D. (1998). *The face. A natural history*. London: Little Brown & Company.
- Mel, B. W., & Fiser, J. (2000). Minimizing binding errors using learned conjunctive features. *Neural Computation, 12*, 247-278.
- Meltzoff, A. N., & Moore, M. K. (1977). Imitation of facial and manual gestures by human neonates. *Science, 198*, 75-78.

- Miller, G. A. (1956). The magical number seven, plus or minus two: some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review*, 63, 81-97.
- Minsky, M. (1975). A framework for representing knowledge. In P. H. Winston (Ed.), *The psychology of computer vision* (pp. 211-277). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Minut, S., Mahadevan, S., Henderson, J. M., & Dyer, F. C. (2000). Face recognition using foveal vision. In S.-W. Lee, H. H. Bülthoff & T. Poggio (Eds.), *Biologically motivated computer vision*. Berlin: Springer.
- Mondloch, C. J., Le Grand, R., & Maurer, D. (2002). Configural face processing develops more slowly than featural face processing. *Perception*, 31(5), 553-566.
- Mondloch, C. J., Lewis, T. L., Budreau, D. R., Maurer, D., Dannemiller, J. L., Stephens, B. R., et al. (1999). Face perception during early infancy. *Psychological Science*, 10, 419-422.
- Morton, J., & Johnson, M. H. (1991). CONSPEC and CONLEARN: a two-process theory of infant face recognition. *Psychological Review*, 98(2), 164-181.
- Morton, J., Johnson, M. H., & Maurer, D. (1990). On the reasons for newborns' responses to faces. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 13, 99-103.
- Moscovitch, M., Scullion, D., & Christie, D. (1976). Early versus late stages of processing and their relation to functional hemispheric asymmetries in face recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 2(3), 401-416.
- Müller, H. J., & von Mühlhausen, A. (2000). Probing distractor inhibition in visual search: inhibition of return. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 26(5), 1591-1605.
- Murray, J. E., Yong, E., & Rhodes, G. (2000). Revisiting the perception of upside-down faces. *Psychological Science*, 11, 498-502.
- Nachson, I. (1995). On the modularity of face recognition: the riddle of domain specificity. *Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology*, 17, 256-275.
- Navon, D. (1977). Forest before trees: the precedence of global features in visual perception. *Cognitive Psychology*, 9, 353-383.
- Neath, I. (1998). *Human memory: an introduction to research, data and theory*. Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Neisser, U. (1967). *Cognitive psychology*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Neisser, U. (1976). *Cognition and reality*. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman.
- Newell, A., & Simon, H. (1972). *Human problem solving*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Newell, F. N., Chiroro, P., & Valentine, T. (1999). Recognizing unfamiliar faces: the effects of distinctiveness and view. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 524(2), 509-534.
- Nisbett, R. E., & Wilson, T. D. C. (1977). Telling more than we can know: verbal reports on mental processes. *Psychological Review*, 84, 231-259.
- Noë, A. (2002). Is the visual world a grand illusion? *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 9(5-6).
- Norman, D. A. (1964). A comparison of data obtained with different false-alarm rates. *Psychological Review*, 71, 243-246.
- Ogawa, T., & Suzuki, N. (1999). Response differentiation to facial expression of emotion as increasing exposure duration. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 89, 557-563.
- Oliva, A., & Schyns, P., G. (1997). Coarse blobs or fine edges? Evidence that the information diagnosticity changes the perception of complex visual stimuli. *Cognitive Psychology*, 34, 72-107.
- Oram, M. W., & Földiák, P. (1996). Learning generalisation and localisation: competition for stimulus type and receptive field. *Neurocomputing*, 11(2-4), 297-321.
- O'Regan, J. K. (1992). Solving the 'real' mysteries of visual perception: the world as an outside memory. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 46, 461-488.
- O'Regan, J. K. (1999). Thoughts on change blindness. In L. R. Harris & M. Jenkin (Eds.), *Vision and attention*. New York: Springer.
- O'Regan, J. K. (2001). Change blindness. In R. A. Wilson & F. C. Keil (Eds.), *MIT encyclopedia of cognitive sciences*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- O'Toole, A. J., Abdi, H., Deffenbacher, K. A., & Valentin, D. (1993). Low dimensional representation of faces in high dimensions of the space. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A*, 10, 405-410.
- O'Toole, A. J., Bülthoff, H. H., & Walker, C. L. (1995). *Face recognition across viewpoint* (Technical Report No. 21). Tübingen: Max-Planck-Institut für biologische Kybernetik.
- O'Toole, A. J., Deffenbacher, K. A., Valentin, D., McKee, K., Huff, D., & Abdi, H. (1998). The perception of face gender: the role of stimulus structure in recognition and classification. *Memory & Cognition*, 26, 146-160.
- O'Toole, A. J., Deffenbacher, K. A., Valentin, D., & Abdi, H. (1994). Structural aspects of face recognition and the other-race effect. *Memory & Cognition*, 22(2), 208-224.
- O'Toole, A. J., Vetter, T., Volz, H., & Salter, E. M. (1997). *As we get older, do we get more distinct?* (Technical Report No. 49). Tübingen: Max-Planck-Institut für biologische Kybernetik.
- Packard, V. (1957). *The hidden persuaders: what makes us buy, believe - and even vote - the way we do?* Montreal: Pocket Books of Canada.
- Paivio, A. (1969). Mental imagery in associative learning and memory. *Psychological Review*, 76, 241-263.
- Pallbo, R. (1999). Mind as evolution and evolution as such. In F. Heylighen, J. Bollen & A. Riegler (Eds.), *The evolution of complexity* (pp. 201-219). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.

- Paller, K. A., Gonsalves, B., Grabowecky, M., Bozic, V. S., & Yamada, S. (2000). Electrophysiological correlates of recollecting faces of known and unknown individuals. *NeuroImage*, *11*, 98-110.
- Paller, K. A., Parsons, M. W., Grabowecky, M., Mayer, A. R., & Rao, S. M. (under review). The two sides of face perception: asymmetric cerebral activation associated with featural and configural processing. *NeuroImage*.
- Palmer, S. E. (1975). Visual perception and world knowledge: notes on a model of sensory-cognitive interaction. In D. A. Norman & D. E. Rumelhart (Eds.), *Explorations in cognition* (pp. 279-307). San Francisco: Freeman.
- Paquet, L., & Merikle, P. M. (1984). Global precedence: the effect of exposure duration. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, *38*(1), 45-53.
- Parker, D. M., & Dutch, S. (1987). Perceptual latency and spatial frequency. *Vision Research*, *27*(8), 1279-1283.
- Parkin, A. J., & Goodwin, E. (1983). The influence of different processing strategies on the recognition of transformed and untransformed faces. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, *37*(2), 272-277.
- Parks, T. E. (1983). Letter to the editor. *Perception*, *12*, 88.
- Parks, T. E., Coss, R. G., & Coss, C. S. (1985). Thatcher and the Chesire cat: context and the processing of facial features. *Perception*, *14*, 747-754.
- Pashler, H. (1995). Attention and visual perception: analyzing divided attention. In S. M. Kosslyn & D. N. Osherson (Eds.), *Visual cognition: an invitation to cognitive science* (2nd ed., pp. 71-100). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pashler, H. (2000). Task switching and multitask performance. In S. Monsell & J. Driver (Eds.), *Attention and performance XVIII: Control of mental processes*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Peirce, J. W., Leigh, A. E., DaCosta, A. P. C., & Kendrick, K. M. (2001). Human face recognition in sheep: lack of configural coding and right hemisphere advantage. *Behavioural Processes*, *55*(1), 13-26.
- Penev, P. S., & Atick, J. J. (1996). Local feature analysis: a general statistical theory for object representation. *Network: computation in neural systems*, *7*(3), 477-500.
- Perneger, T. V. (1998). What is wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. *British Medical Journal*, *136*, 1236-1238.
- Perrett, D. I., May, K. A., & Yoshikawa, S. (1994). Facial shape and judgements of female attractiveness. *Nature*, *368*(6468), 239-242.
- Perrett, D. I., Mistlin, A. J., & Chitty, A. J. (1987). Visual cells responsive to faces. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *10*, 358-364.
- Perrett, D. I., Oram, M. W., & Ashbridge, E. (1998). Evidence accumulation in cell populations responsive to faces: an account of generalisation of recognition without mental transformations. *Cognition*, *67*, 111-145.
- Perrett, D. I., Rolls, E. T., & Caan, W. (1982). Visual neurons responsive to faces in the monkey temporal cortex. *Experimental Brain Research*, *47*, 329-342.
- Phillips, R. J. (1972). Why are faces hard to recognize in photographic negative? *Perception & Psychophysics*, *12*(5), 425-426.
- Phillips, R. J. (1979). Some exploratory experiments on memory for photographs of faces. *Acta Psychologica*, *43*, 39-56.
- Phillips, R. J., & Rawles, R. E. (1979). Recognition of upright and inverted faces: a correlational study. *Perception*, *8*, 577-583.
- Pierce, C. S., & Jastrow, J. (1884). On small differences in sensation. *Memoirs of the National Academy of Sciences*, *3*, 75-83.
- Pollard, J., Shepherd, J., & Shepherd, J. (1999). Average Faces Are Average Faces. *Current Psychology*, *18*(1), 98-103.
- Pomerantz, R. P., & Pristach, E. A. (1989). Emergent features, attention, and perceptual glue in visual form perception. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *15*(4), 635-649.
- Posner, M. I. (1969). Abstraction and the process of recognition. In G. H. Bower & J. T. Spence (Eds.), *The psychology of learning and motivation* (Vol. 3, pp. 43-100). New York: Academic Press.
- Posner, M. I. (1980). Orienting of attention. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *32*, 3-25.
- Posner, M. I., & Cohen, Y. (1984). Components of visual orienting. In H. Bouma & D. G. Bouwhuis (Eds.), *Attention and performance* (pp. 531-554). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum and Associates.
- Posner, M. I., Rafal, R. D., Choate, L. S., & Vaughan, J. (1985). Inhibition of return: Neural basis and function. *Cognitive Neuropsychology*, *2*, 211-228.
- Posner, M. I., Snyder, C. R. R., & Davidson, B. J. (1980). Attention and the detection of signals. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *109*, 160-174.
- Potter, M. C. (1976). Short-term conceptual memory for pictures. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Learning and Memory*, *2*(5), 509-522.
- Potter, M. C., & Levy, E. I. (1969). Recognition memory for a rapid sequence of pictures. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, *81*(1), 10-15.
- Purcell, D. G., & Stewart, A. L. (1988). The face-detection effect: configuration enhances detection. *Perception & Psychophysics*, *43*(4), 355-366.
- Pylyshyn, Z. W. (1989). The role of location indexes in spatial perception: a sketch of the FINST spatial-index model. *Cognition*, *32*, 65-97.
- Pylyshyn, Z. W. (1999). Is vision continuous with cognition? The case for cognitive impenetrability of visual perception. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *22*(3), 341-423.
- Pylyshyn, Z. W. (2001). Visual indexes, preconceptual objects, and situated vision. *Cognition*, *80*, 127-158.
- Pylyshyn, Z. W. (in prep.). *Seeing and visualizing: it's not what you think*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Pylyshyn, Z. W. (in press). Mental imagery: in search of a theory. *Behavior and Brain Sciences*.

- Pylyshyn, Z. W., Burkell, J. A., Fisher, B., Sears, C., Schmidt, W., & Trick, L. (1994). Multiple parallel access in visual attention. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 48(2), 260-283.
- Rabbitt, P. M. A. (1966). Errors and error-correction in choice-response tasks. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 71, 264-272.
- Rae, G. (1976). Table of A'. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 42, 98.
- Rakover, S. S. (1998). Can mechanistic explanatory concepts be applied to part-whole perception? Commentary on Latimer & Stevens on part-whole-perception. *Psychology*, 9(2).
- Rakover, S. S. (1999). Thompson's Margaret Thatcher illusion: when inversion fails. *Perception*, 28(10), 1227-1230.
- Rakover, S. S., & Cahlon, B. (1989). To catch a thief with a recognition test: the model and some empirical results. *Cognitive Psychology*, 21, 423-468.
- Rakover, S. S., & Cahlon, B. (1998). The Catch model: a solution to the problem of saliency in facial features. *Spatial Vision*, 12(1), 73-82.
- Rakover, S. S., & Teucher, B. (1997). Facial inversion effects: parts and whole relationship. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 59, 752-761.
- Rao, R. P. N., & Ballard, D. H. (1995). *Natural basis functions and topographic memory for face recognition*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI), Montreal.
- Ratcliff, R. (1978). A theory of memory retrieval. *Psychological Review*, 85, 59-108.
- Rayner, K. (1983). *Eye movements in reading: perceptual and language processes*. New York: Academic Press.
- Read, J. D., Vokey, J. R., & Hammersley, R. (1990). Changing photos of faces: effects of exposure duration and photo similarity on recognition and the accuracy-confidence relationship. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 16(5), 870-882.
- Reber, A. S., & Reber, E. S. (2002). *The Penguin dictionary of psychology*. Harmondsworth: Penguin USA.
- Rensink, R. A., O'Regan, J. K., & Clark, J. J. (1997). To see or not to see: the need for attention to perceive changes in scenes. *Psychological Science*, 8, 368-373.
- Rentschler, I., Hübner, M., & Caelli, T. (1988). On the discrimination of compound Gabor signals and textures. *Vision Research*, 28, 279-291.
- Reynolds, J. K., & Pezdek, K. (1992). Face recognition memory: the effects of exposure duration and encoding instructions. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 6, 279-292.
- Reynolds, R. (1981). Perception of an illusory contour as a function of processing time. *Perception*, 10, 107-115.
- Rhodes, G. (1985). Lateralized processes in face recognition. *British Journal of Psychology*, 76(2), 249-271.
- Rhodes, G. (1988). Looking at faces: first-order and second-order features as determinants of facial appearance. *Perception*, 17, 43-63.
- Rhodes, G. (1993). Configural coding, expertise, and the right hemisphere advantage for face recognition. *Brain and Cognition*, 22, 19-41.
- Rhodes, G. (1995). Face recognition and configural coding. In T. Valentine (Ed.), *Cognitive and computational aspects of face recognition: explorations in face space* (pp. 47-68). London: Routledge.
- Rhodes, G., Brake, S., & Atkinson, A. (1993). What's lost in inverted faces? *Cognition*, 47, 25-57.
- Rhodes, G., Byatt, G., Tremewan, T., & Kennedy, A. (1996). Facial distinctiveness and the power of caricatures. *Perception*, 25, 207-223.
- Rhodes, G., Tan, S., Brake, S., & Taylor, K. (1989). Expertise and configural coding in face recognition. *British Journal of Psychology*, 80, 313-331.
- Rhodes, G., & Tremewan, T. (1993). The Simon and the Garfunkel effect: semantic priming, sensitivity, and the modularity of face recognition. *Cognitive Psychology*, 25, 147-187.
- Riddoch, M. J., & Humphreys, G. W. (1987). A case of integrative visual agnosia. *Brain*, 110 (Pt 6), 1431-1462.
- Riesenhuber, M., & Poggio, T. (1999a). Are cortical models really bound by the 'binding problem'. *Neuron*, 24, 87-93.
- Riesenhuber, M., & Poggio, T. (1999b). Hierarchical models of object recognition in cortex. *Nature Neuroscience*, 2(11), 1019-1025.
- Riffert, F. (1999). Wahrnehmung aus der Perspektive der Prozessphilosophie. *Salzburger Theologische Zeitschrift (SaThZ)*, 3(2), 169-192.
- Ro, T., Russell, C., & Lavie, N. (2001). Changing faces: a detection advantage in the Flicker paradigm. *Psychological Science*, 12(1), 94-99.
- Rock, I. (1973). *Orientation and form*. New York: Academic Press.
- Rock, I. (1974). The perception of disoriented figures. *Scientific American*, 230, 78-85.
- Roder, B. J., Bates, C., Crowell, S., Schilling, T., & Bushnell, E. W. (1992). The perception of identity by 6 1/2-month-old infants. *Journal of Child Experimental Psychology*, 54, 57-73.
- Rodman, H. R. (1994). Development of inferior temporal cortex in the monkey. *Cerebral Cortex*, 4(5), 484-498.
- Rohrer, D., & Pashler, H. E. (in press). Concurrent task effects on memory retrieval: a cumulative latency analysis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*.
- Rosch, E., & Mervis, C. B. (1975). Family resemblances: studies in the internal structure of categories. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7, 573-605.
- Rosch, E., Mervis, C. B., Gray, W. D., Johnson, D. M., & Boyes-Braem, P. (1976). Basic objects in natural categories. *Cognitive Psychology*, 8(3), 382-439.

- Rosch, E., Simpson, C., & Miller, R. S. (1976). Structural bases of typicality effects. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 2, 491-502.
- Rossion, B., Curran, T., & Gauthier, I. (2002). A defense of the subordinate level-expertise account for the N170 component. *Cognition*, 85, 189-196.
- Rossion, B., De Gelder, B., Dricot, L., Zoontjes, R., Devolder, A., Bodart, J.-M., et al. (2000). Hemispheric asymmetries for whole-based and part-based face processing in the human fusiform gyrus. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 12(5), 793-802.
- Rossion, B., & Gauthier, I. (2002). How does the brain process upright and inverted faces? *Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience Reviews*, 1, 63-75.
- Rossion, B., Gauthier, I., Goffaux, V., Tarr, M. J., & Crommelinck, M. (2002). Expertise training with novel objects leads to left lateralized facelike electrophysiological responses. *Psychological Science*, 13(3), 250-257.
- Rossion, B., Gauthier, I., Tarr, M. J., Despland, P., Bruyer, R., Linotte, S., et al. (in press). The N170 occipito-temporal component is delayed and enhanced to inverted faces but not to inverted objects: an electrophysiological account of face-specific processes in the human brain. *NeuroReport*.
- Ruthruff, E., Pashler, H. E., & Klaassen, A. (2001). Processing bottlenecks in dual-task performance: structural limitations or voluntary postponement? *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 8, 73-80.
- Sander, F. (1932). Funktionale Struktur, Erlebnis Ganzheit und Gestalt. *Archiv für die gesamte Psychologie*, 85, 237-260.
- Sanocki, T. (1993). Time course of object identification: evidence for a global-to-local contingency. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 19(4), 878-898.
- Sanocki, T. (2001). Interaction of scale and time during object recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 27(2), 290-302.
- Scalaidhe, S. P. O., Wilson, F. A. W., & Goldman-Rakic, P. S. (1997). Areal segregation of face-processing neurons in prefrontal cortex. *Science*, 278, 1135-1138.
- Scapinello, F. F., & Yarmey, A. D. (1970). The role of familiarity and orientation in immediate and delayed recognition of pictorial stimuli. *Psychonomic Science*, 21, 329-331.
- Scassellati, B. (1998). *Eye finding via face detection for a foveated active vision system*. Paper presented at the AAAI/IAAI (Fifteenth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Tenth Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference), Madison, WI.
- Schacter, D. L., Verfaellie, M., & Anes, M. D. (1997). Illusory memories in amnesic patients: conceptual and perceptual false recognition. *Neuropsychology*, 11, 331-342.
- Schmuckler, M. A. (2001). What is ecological validity? A dimensional analysis. *Infancy*, 2, 419-436.
- Schneider, W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1977). Controlled and automatic human information processing. Detection, search, and attention. *Psychological Review*, 84, 1-66.
- Schultz, R. T., Gauthier, I., Klin, A., Fulbright, R. K., Anderson, A. W., Volkmar, F., et al. (2000). Abnormal ventral temporal cortical activity during face discrimination among individuals with Autism and Asperger syndrome. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 57, 331-340.
- Schwaninger, A., Carbon, C.-C., & Leder, H. (in press). Expert face processing: specialisation and constraints. In G. Schwarzer & H. Leder (Eds.), *The development of face processing*.
- Schwarzer, G. (2000). Development of face processing: the effect of face inversion. *Child Development*, 71, 391-401.
- Schwarzer, G., Huber, S., Korell, M., & Zauner, N. (2002). *Entwicklung der Gesichtsverarbeitung: Altersspezifische Bedeutung einzelner Merkmale*. Paper presented at the Tübinger Wahrnehmungskonferenz (TWK), Tübingen.
- Schwarzer, G., & Massaro, D. W. (2001). Modeling face identification processing in children and adults. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 79(2), 139-161.
- Schweinberger, S. R., & Soukup, G. R. (1998). Asymmetric relationships among perceptions of facial identity, emotion, and facial speech. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 24(6), 1748-1765.
- Schyns, P. G., Goldstone, R. L., & Thibaut, J.-P. (1998). The development of features in object concepts. *Behavior and Brain Sciences*, 21, 1-54.
- Schyns, P. G., & Oliva, A. (1994). From blobs to boundary edges: Evidence for time- and spatial scale dependent scene recognition. *Psychological Science*, 5, 195-200.
- Schyns, P. G., & Oliva, A. (1999). Dr Angry and Mr Smile: when categorization flexibly modifies the perception of faces in rapid visual presentations. *Cognition*, 69, 243-265.
- Searcy, J. H., & Bartlett, J. C. (1996). Inversion and processing of component and spatial-relational information of faces. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 22(4), 904-915.
- Searle, J. (1984). *Minds, brains and science*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sears, C. R., & Pylyshyn, Z. W. (2000). Multiple object tracking and attentional processing. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 54(1), 1-14.
- Seeck, M., & Grüsser, O.-J. (1992). Category-related components in visual evoked potentials: photographs of faces, persons, flowers and tools as stimuli. *Experimental Brain Research*, 92, 338-349.
- Sekuler, A. B., & Palmer, S. E. (1992). Visual completion of partly occluded objects: a microgenetic analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 121, 95-111.
- Sergent, J. (1982). About face: left hemisphere involvement in processing physiognomics. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 8(1), 1-14.

- Sergent, J. (1984a). Configural processing of faces in the left and the right cerebral hemispheres. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 10(4), 554-572.
- Sergent, J. (1984b). An investigation into component and configural processes underlying face perception. *British Journal of Psychology*, 75, 221-242.
- Sergent, J. (1986a). Methodological constraints on neuropsychological studies of face perception in normals. In R. Bruyer (Ed.), *The neuropsychology of face perception and facial expression* (pp. 91-124). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Sergent, J. (1986b). Microgenesis of face perception. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 17-31). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Sergent, J. (1989). Structural processing of faces. In A. W. Young & H. D. Ellis (Eds.), *Handbook of research on face processing*. North-Holland: Elsevier Science Publishers.
- Shaffer, W. O., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1972). Rehearsal and storage of visual information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 92, 292-295.
- Shapiro, P. N., & Penrod, S. (1986). Meta-analysis of facial identification studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 100, 139-156.
- Shapley, R., Caelli, T., Grossberg, S., Morgan, M., & Rentschler, I. (1990). Computational theories of visual perception. In S. Lothar & J. S. Werner (Eds.), *Visual perception. The neurophysiological foundations*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Shepard, R. N., & Metzler, J. (1971). Mental rotation of three-dimensional objects. *Science*, 171, 701-703.
- Shepherd, J., Davies, G., & Ellis, H. (1981). Studies of cue saliency. In G. Davies, H. Ellis & J. Shepherd (Eds.), *Perceiving and remembering faces*. London: Academic Press.
- Shepherd, J. W. (1986). An interactive computer system for retrieving faces. In H. D. Ellis, M. A. Jeeves, F. Newcombe & A. Young (Eds.), *Aspects of face processing* (pp. 398-409). Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Shepherd, J. W., & Deregowski, J. B. (1981). Races and faces: a comparison of the responses of Africans and Europeans to faces of the same and different races. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 20, 125-133.
- Siegler, R. S., & Crowley, K. (1991). The microgenetic method: a direct means for studying cognitive development. *American Psychologist*, 46(6), 606-620.
- Simion, F., Valenza, E., Umiltà, C., & Dalla Barba, B. (1998). Preferential orienting to faces in newborns: a temporal-nasal asymmetry. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 24, 1399-1405.
- Simon, H. A., & Chase, W. G. (1973). Skill in chess. *American Scientist*, 61, 393-403.
- Simon, H. A., & Gilmarin, K. (1973). A simulation of memory for chess positions. *Cognitive Psychology*, 5, 29-46.
- Simons, D., & Levin, D. (1997). Change blindness. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1, 261-267.
- Simpson, A. J., & Fitter, M. J. (1973). What is the best index of detectability. *Psychological Bulletin*, 80(6), 481-488.
- Singer, W., & Gray, C. M. (1995). Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 18, 555-586.
- Smedslund, J. (1979). Between the analytic and the arbitrary: a case study of psychological research. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 20, 129-140.
- Smith, E. E., & Medin, D. L. (1981). *Categories and concepts*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Smith, E. E., & Nielsen, G. D. (1970). Representations and retrieval processes in short-term memory: recognition and recall of faces. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 85, 397-405.
- Snodgrass, J. G., & Corwin, J. (1988). Pragmatics of measuring recognition memory: applications to dementia and amnesia. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 117, 34-50.
- Snodgrass, J. G., Levy-Berger, G., & Haydon, M. (1985). *Human experimental psychology*. New York: Oxford.
- Solso, R. L., & McCarthy, J. E. (1981). Prototype formation of faces: A case of pseudo-memory. *British Journal of Psychology*, 72, 499-503.
- Sommer, W., Heinz, A., Leuthold, H., Matt, J., & Schweinberger, S. R. (1995). Metamemory, distinctiveness, and event-related potentials in recognition memory for faces. *Memory & Cognition*, 23(1), 1-11.
- Sperling, G. (1960). The information available in brief visual presentations. *Psychological Monographs*, 74, 1-29.
- Sperling, G. (1963). A model of visual memory tasks. *Human Factors*, 5, 19-31.
- SPSS Inc. (2001). SPSS for Windows (Version 11.0.1) [Computer software]. Chicago.
- Standing, L. (1973). Learning 10,000 pictures. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 25(2), 207-222.
- Sternberg, S. (1966). High-speed scanning in human memory. *Science*, 153, 652-654.
- Sternberg, S. (1969). The discovery of processing stages: extensions of Donders' method. *Acta Psychologica*, 30, 276-315.
- Steyvers, M., & Busey, T. (2000). Predicting similarity ratings to faces using physical descriptions. In M. Wenger & J. Townsend (Eds.), *Computational, geometric, and process perspectives on facial cognition: contexts and challenges*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Stoet, G., & Hommel, B. (2002). Interaction between feature binding in perception and action. In W. Prinz & B. Hommel (Eds.), *Common mechanisms in perception and action: attention & performance XIX* (pp. 538-552). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Stürzel, F., & Spillmann, L. (2000). Thatcher illusion: dependence on angle of rotation. *Perception*, 29, 937-942.
- Subramaniam, S., Biederman, I., & Madigan, S. (2000). Accurate identification but no priming and chance recognition memory for pictures in RSVP sequences. *Visual Cognition*, 7, 511-535.
- Sugase, S., Yamane, S., Ueno, S., & Kawano, K. (1999). Global and fine information coded by single neurons in the temporal visual cortex. *Nature*, 400, 869-873.

- Suzuki, S., & Cavanagh, P. (1995). Facial organisation blocks access to low-level features: an object inferiority effect. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21(4), 901-913.
- Swets, J. A. (1961). Is there a sensory threshold? *Science*, 134, 168-177.
- SYSTAT, I. (1992). SYSTAT: Statistics (Version 5.2) [Statistical Program]. Evanston, IL: SYSTAT, Inc.
- Takamura, M. (1996). Prosopagnosia: a look at the laterality and specificity issues using evidence from neuropsychology and neurophysiology. *The Harvard Brain, Spring*, 9-13.
- Takane, Y., & Sergent, J. (1983). Multidimensional models for reaction times and same-different judgments. *Psychometrika*, 48, 393-423.
- Takane, Y., Young, F., & De Leeuw, J. (1976). Non metric individual differences multidimensional scaling: an alternating least squares method with optimal scaling features. *Psychometrika*, 42, 7-67.
- Tanaka, J., & Gauthier, I. (1997). Expertise in object and face recognition. In R. L. Goldstone, P. G. Schyns & D. L. Medin (Eds.), *Psychology of learning and motivation, mechanisms of perceptual learning* (Vol. 36, pp. 83-125). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Tanaka, J. W. (2001). The entry point of face recognition: evidence for face expertise. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 130(3), 534-543.
- Tanaka, J. W., & Curran, T. (2001). A neural basis for expert object recognition. *Psychological Science*, 12(1), 43-47.
- Tanaka, J. W., & Farah, M. J. (1993). Parts and wholes in face recognition. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 46A(2), 225-245.
- Tanaka, J. W., & Sengco, J. A. (1997). Features and their configuration in face recognition. *Memory and Cognition*, 25, 583-592.
- Tanaka, J. W., & Taylor, M. (1991). Object categories and expertise: is the basic level in the eye of the beholder? *Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 457-482.
- Tanaka, K. (1996). Inferotemporal cortex and object vision. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 19, 109-139.
- Tanner, W. P., Jr., & Swets, J. A. (1954). A decision-making theory of visual detection. *Psychological Review*, 61(6), 401-409.
- Tarr, M. J., & Bülthoff, H. H. (1995). Is human object recognition better described by Geon structural descriptions or by multiple views? Comment on Biederman and Gerhardstein (1993). *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Perception and Performance*, 21(6), 1494-1505.
- Tarr, M. J., & Gauthier, I. (2000). FFA: A flexible fusiform area for subordinate-level visual processing automatized by expertise. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3(8), 764-769.
- Tarr, M. J., Williams, P., Hayward, W. G., & Gauthier, I. (1998). Three-dimensional object recognition is viewpoint dependent. *Nature Neuroscience*, 1(4), 275-277.
- Taylor, M. J., Edmonds, G. E., McCarthy, G., & Allison, T. (2001). Eyes first! Eye processing develops before face processing in children. *Neuroreport*, 12(8), 1671-1676.
- Teh, Y. W., & Hinton, G. E. (2000). *Rate-coded restricted Boltzmann machines for face recognition*. Paper presented at the NIPS.
- Theeuwes, J., Kramer, A. F., Hahn, S., & Irwin, D. E. (1998). Our eyes do not always go where we want them to go: capture of the eyes by new objects. *Psychological Science*, 9(5), 379-385.
- Thomas, D. C., Siemiatycki, J., Dewar, R., Robins, J., Goldberg, M., Armstrong, B. G., et al. (1985). The problem of multiple inference in studies designed to generate hypotheses. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 122(6), 1080-1095.
- Thompson, P. (1980). Margaret Thatcher - A new illusion. *Perception*, 9, 483-484.
- Thorpe, S., Fize, F., & Marlot, C. (1996). Speed of processing in the human visual system. *Nature*, 381, 520-522.
- Thorpe, S., Gegenfurtner, K. R., Fabre-Thorpe, M., & Bülthoff, H. H. (2001). Detection of animals in natural images using far peripheral vision. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 14, 869-876.
- Thorpe, S., J. (2001, 10-15 September 2001). *Ultra-rapid visual categorisation using one spike per neuron*. Paper presented at the 4th Neural Coding Workshop, Plymouth, UK.
- Thorpe, S. J., & Fabre-Thorpe, M. (2001). Seeking categories in the brain. *Science*, 12, 260-263.
- Tipper, S. P., Driver, J., & Weaver, B. (1991). Object-centred inhibition of return of visual attention. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 43A, 289-298.
- Treisman, A. (1982). Perceptual grouping and attention in visual search for features and for objects. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 8, 194-214.
- Treisman, A. (1993). The perception of features and objects. In A. Baddeley & L. Weiskrantz (Eds.), *Attention: selection, awareness, and control* (pp. 5-35). Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Treisman, A., Cavanagh, P., Fischer, B., Ramachandran, V. S., & Von der Heydt, R. (1990). Form perception and attention. Striate cortex and beyond. In S. Lothar & J. S. Werner (Eds.), *Visual perception. The neurophysiological foundations*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Treisman, A., & Gelade, G. (1980). A feature integration theory of attention. *Cognitive Psychology*, 12, 97-136.
- Treisman, A., & Gormican, S. (1988). Feature analysis in early vision: evidence from search asymmetries. *Psychological Review*, 95, 15-48.
- Treisman, A., & Sato, S. (1990). Conjunction search revisited. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 16(3), 459-478.
- Treisman, A., Vieira, A., & Hayes, A. (1992). Automatic and preattentive processing. *American Journal of Psychology*, 105, 341-362.

- Treisman, A. M. (1996). The binding problem. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 6, 171-178.
- Trick, L. M., & Pylyshyn, Z. W. (1993). What enumeration studies can show us about spatial attention: evidence for limited capacity preattentive processing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 19(2), 331-351.
- Troje, N. F., & Bülthoff, H. H. (1996). Face recognition under varying poses: the role of texture and shape. *Vision Research*, 36, 1761-1771.
- Troje, N. F., & Bülthoff, H. H. (1998). How is bilateral symmetry of human faces used for recognition of novel views? *Vision Research*, 38, 79-89.
- Tsotsos, J. K. (1990). Analyzing vision at the complexity level. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 13, 423-445.
- Tsotsos, J. K. (1995). Toward a computational model of visual attention. In T. V. Pappas, C. Chubb, A. Gorea & E. Kowler (Eds.), *Early vision and beyond* (pp. 207-226). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tulving, E., & Schacter, D. L. (1990). Primary and human memory systems. *Science*, 247, 301-306.
- Turvey, M. T. (1973). On peripheral and central processes in episodic memory. *Psychological Review*, 80, 1-52.
- Tversky, A., & Krantz, D. H. (1969). Similarity of schematic faces: a test of inter-dimensional additivity. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 5, 124-128.
- Tversky, B. (1985). Memory for faces: are caricatures better than photographs? *Memory & Cognition*, 13(1), 45-49.
- Tversky, B., & Hemenway, K. (1984). Objects, parts, and categories. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 113, 169-193.
- Ullman, S. (1984). Visual routines. *Cognition*, 18, 97-159.
- Uttal, W. R. (1981). *A taxonomy of visual processes*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Uttal, W. R. (2001). Are reductive (explanatory) theories of face identification possible? Some speculations and some findings. In M. J. Wenger & J. T. Townsend (Eds.), *Computational, geometric, and process perspectives on facial cognition: contexts and challenges* (pp. 467-501). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Valentin, D., Abdi, H., & O'Toole, A. J. (1998). Principal component and neural network analyses of face images: explorations into the nature of information available for classifying faces by sex. In C. Dowling, F. Roberts & P. Theuns (Eds.), *Recent progress in mathematical psychology*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Valentine, T. (1988). Upside-down faces: a review of the effect of inversion upon face recognition. *British Journal of Psychology*, 79, 471-491.
- Valentine, T. (1991). A unified account of the effects of distinctiveness, inversion, and race in face recognition. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 43A(2), 161-204.
- Valentine, T. (1999). Face-space models of face recognition. In M. J. Wenger & J. T. Townsend (Eds.), *Computational, geometric, and process perspectives on facial cognition: contexts and challenges*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Valentine, T., & Bruce, V. (1985). What's up? The Margaret Thatcher illusion revisited. *Perception*, 14, 515-516.
- Valentine, T., & Bruce, V. (1986a). The effect of distinctiveness in recognising and classifying faces. *Perception*, 15, 525-535.
- Valentine, T., & Bruce, V. (1986b). The effect of race, inversion and encoding activity upon face recognition. *Acta Psychologica*, 61, 259-273.
- Valentine, T., & Bruce, V. (1986c). Recognizing familiar faces: the role of distinctiveness and familiarity. *Canadian Journal of Psychology*, 40, 300-305.
- Valentine, T., & Bruce, V. (1988). Mental rotation of faces. *Memory & Cognition*, 16(6), 556-566.
- Valentine, T., Chiroro, P., & Dixon, R. (1995). An account of the own-race bias and the contact hypothesis in terms of a face space model of face recognition. In T. Valentine (Ed.), *Cognitive and computational aspects of face recognition: explorations in face space*. London: Routledge.
- Valentine, T., & Endo, M. (1992). Towards an exemplar model of face processing: the effects of race and distinctiveness. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 44A, 671-703.
- Valentine, T., Harris, N., Colom Piera, A., & Darling, S. (in press). Are police video identifications fair to African-Caribbean suspects? *Applied Cognitive Psychology*.
- Valentine, T., & Heaton, P. (1999). An evaluation of the fairness of police line-ups and video identifications. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 13, 59-72.
- Valenza, E., Simion, F., Cassia, V. M., & Umiltà, C. (1996). Face preference at birth. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 22, 892-903.
- VanRullen, R. (in press). Visual saliency and spike timing in the ventral visual pathway. *Journal of Physiology (Paris)*.
- VanRullen, R., Gautrais, J., Delorme, A., & Thorpe, S. (1998). Face processing using one spike per neuron. *BioSystems*, 48, 229-239.
- VanRullen, R., & Thorpe, S. J. (2001). The time course of visual processing: from early perception to decision-making. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 13(4), 454-461.
- Vessel, E. A., & Biederman, I. (2001). Why do we prefer looking at some scenes rather than others?, *OPAM*.
- Vickers, D., Nettelbeck, T., & Willson, R. J. (1972). Perceptual indices of performance: the measurement of 'inspection time' and 'noise' in the visual system. *Perception*, 1, 263-295.
- Vickers, D., & Smith, P. L. (1986). The rationale for the Inspection Time Index. *Personal Individual Differences*, 7(5), 609-623.

- Vokey, J. R., & Read, J. D. (1992). Familiarity, memorability, and the effect of typicality on the recognition of faces. *Memory & Cognition*, 20(3), 291-302.
- Volkman, F. C. (1986). Human visual suppression. *Vision Research*, 26, 1401-1416.
- von der Malsburg, C. (1981). The correlation theory of brain function. *Technical Report 81-2. Department of Neurophysiology, Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen, Germany.*
- Walker-Smith, G. J. (1978). The effects of delay and exposure duration in a face recognition task. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 24(1), 63-70.
- Walker-Smith, G. J., Gale, A. G., & Findlay, J. M. (1977). Eye-movement strategies involved in face perception. *Perception*, 6, 313-326.
- Walton, G. E., Armstrong, E. S., & Bower, T. G. R. (1997). Faces as forms in the world of the newborn. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 20(4), 537-543.
- Walton, G. E., & Bower, T. G. (1993). Newborns from "prototypes" in less than 1 minute. *Psychological Science*, 4(3), 203-205.
- Waltz, D. L. (1975). Understanding line drawings of scenes with shadows. In P. Winston (Ed.), *Psychology of computer vision*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Ward, R., & McClelland, J. L. (1989). Conjunctive search for one and two identical targets. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 15(4), 664-672.
- Warrington, E. K., & James, M. (1967). An experimental investigation of facial recognition in patients with unilateral cerebral lesions. *Cortex*, 3, 317-326.
- Watson, D. G., & Humphreys, G. W. (1997). Visual marking: prioritizing selection for new objects by top-down attentional inhibition of old objects. *Psychological Review*, 104(1), 90-122.
- Watt, R. (1988). *Visual processing: computational, psychophysical and cognitive research*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Ltd.
- Weber, A. (1993). *Autobiographische Erinnerung und Persönlichkeit*. Frankfurt am Main: Lang.
- Wenger, M. J., & Townsend, J. T. (1999). Faces as gestalts: process characteristics. In M. J. Wenger & J. T. Townsend (Eds.), *Computational, geometric, and process perspectives on facial cognition: contexts and challenges*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Werner, H. (1956). Microgenesis and aphasia. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 52, 347-353.
- Wertheimer, M. (1938). *Source book of Gestalt psychology (Orig.: Über Gestalttheorie)* (W. D. Ellis, Trans.). New York: Harcourt, Brace and Co.
- Whitehead, A. N. (1985). *Symbolism, its meaning and effect*. New York: Macmillan.
- Williams, P., Gauthier, I., & Tarr, M. J. (1998). Feature learning during the acquisition of perceptual expertise [Commentary on Schyns, Goldstone & Thibault: The development of features in object concepts]. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 21(1), 40-41.
- Winer, B. J. (1971). *Statistical principles In experimental design* (2nd edition ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Wiskott, L., Fellous, J. M., Krüger, N., & von der Malsburg, C. (1999). Face recognition by elastic bunch graph matching. In L. Jain, U. Halici, I. Hayashi, S. B. Lee & S. Tsutsui (Eds.), *Intelligent biometric techniques in fingerprint and face recognition* (pp. 355-396). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Wolber, M., & Wascher, E. (2002, 25.3.02-27.3.02). *Aufmerksamkeitsprozesse in Abhängigkeit der Salienz eines Targets in der visuellen Suche*. Paper presented at the Teap, Chemnitz/Germany.
- Wolfe, J. M. (1994). Guided Search 2.0: a revised model of visual search. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 1(2), 202-238.
- Wolfe, J. M. (1996). Visual search: a review. In H. Pashler (Ed.), *Attention*. London, UK: University College London Press.
- Wolfe, J. M. (2001). Guided Search 4.0: a guided search model that does not require memory for rejected distractors [Abstract]. *Journal of Vision*, 1(3), 439.
- Wolfe, J. M., Alvarez, G. A., & Horowitz, T. S. (2000). Attention is fast but volition is slow. *Nature*, 406, 691.
- Wolfe, J. M., Cave, K. R., & Franzel, S. (1989). Guided Search: an alternative to the feature integration model for visual search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*, 15, 419-433.
- Wolfe, J. M., & Gancarz, G. (1999). *Guided Search 3.0: a model of visual search catches up with Jay Enoch 40 years later*, 2001
- Yamamoto, S., & Kashikura, K. (1999). Speed of face recognition in humans: an event-related potentials study. *NeuroReport*, 10, 3531-3534.
- Yantis, S., & Johnson, D. N. (1990). Mechanisms of attentional priority. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 16, 812-825.
- Yantis, S., & Jonides, J. (1984). Abrupt visual onsets and selective attention: evidence from visual search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception & Performance*, 10, 601-621.
- Yarmey, A. D. (1971). Recognition memory for familiar 'public' faces: effects of orientation and delay. *Psychonomic Science*, 24, 286-288.
- Yin, R. K. (1969). Looking at upside-down faces. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 81(1), 141-145.
- Yin, R. K. (1970). Face recognition by brain-injured patients: a dissociable ability? *Neuropsychologica*, 8, 395-402.
- Young, A. W. (1992). Face recognition impairments. In V. Bruce, A. Cowey, A. W. Ellis & D. P. Perrett (Eds.), *Processing the facial image* (pp. 47-54). New York, NY: Clarendon Press.

- Young, A. W., & De Haan, E. H. F. (1995). Face recognition and awareness after brain injury. In A. Milner & M. Rugg (Eds.), *The neuropsychology of consciousness*. New York: Academic Press.
- Young, A. W., Hay, D. C., McWeeny, K. H., Flude, B. M., & Ellis, A. W. (1985). Matching familiar and unfamiliar faces on internal and external features. *Perception, 14*, 737-746.
- Young, A. W., Hellowell, D., & Hay, D. (1987). Configural information in face perception. *Perception, 10*, 747-759.
- Young, A. W., McWeeny, K. H., Hay, D. C., & Ellis, A. W. (1986). Matching familiar and unfamiliar faces on identity and expression. *Psychological Research, 48*, 63-68.
- Young, F. W., & Lewicky, R. (1980). *ALSCAL's users guide*. Chapel Hill, NC: Institute for Research in the Social Sciences, Univ. of North Carolina.
- Zebrowitz, L. A., Montepare, J. M., & Lee, H. K. (1993). They don't all look alike: individuated impressions of other racial groups. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 65*, 85-101.
- Zhao, W., Chellappa, R., Rosenfeld, A., & Phillips, J. (2000). *Face recognition: a literature survey* (Technical Report No. CFAR-TR00-948): University of Maryland.