

Institut für Hygiene der Freien Universität Berlin
(Direktor: Professor Henning Rüden)
Universitätsklinikum Benjamin Franklin
Fachbereich Humanmedizin
Freie Universität Berlin

**Evaluation of Methods for Detecting Endotoxin on Filters of
Heating-, Ventilation- and Air-Conditioning Systems**

Inaugural-Dissertation
zur
Erlangung der Doktorwürde
des Fachbereichs
Humanmedizin
der Freien Universität Berlin

Vorgelegt von: Rolf Schomburg
Aus: Kiel

Referent: Professor Henning Rüden
Korreferent: Professor Donald K. Milton, MD, DrPH

Gedruckt mit der Genehmigung des Fachbereichs Humanmedizin
der Freien Universität Berlin

Promoviert am: 12. Dezember 2003

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	9
1.1	ENDOTOXIN	9
1.1.1	Endotoxin in Clinical Medicine.....	10
1.1.2	Endotoxin in the Environment.....	10
1.1.3	Endotoxin and Sick Building Syndrome	10
1.2	MEASUREMENT OF ENDOTOXIN.....	10
1.2.1	Rabbit Test.....	11
1.2.2	GCMS	11
1.2.3	The Limulus Amebocyte Lysate Test.....	11
1.2.3.1	Gel-Clot Method	11
1.2.3.2	Chromogenic Test	12
1.2.3.2.1	Chromogenic Endpoint Test.....	13
1.2.3.2.2	Kinetic Chromogenic Test	13
1.2.3.3	Enhancement and Inhibition of the Test	13
1.2.3.3.1	Determination of Influencing Factors	13
1.2.3.3.1.1	Spiking	14
1.2.3.3.1.2	Serial dilution method	14
1.2.3.3.2	Overcoming the Dilution Dependent Inhibition or Enhancement	14
1.2.3.3.3	Overcome Dilution Independent Inhibition or Enhancement	14
1.3	STUDY AIMS	14
2	Material and Methods	15
2.1	MAIN INVESTIGATIONS	15
2.1.1	Determination of Endotoxin	15
2.1.1.1	Reagents of the Assay Kit	15
2.1.1.1.1	Kinetic-QCL Reagent.....	15
2.1.1.1.2	LPS (<i>Escherichia coli</i> 055:B5 Endotoxin)	15
2.1.1.1.3	LAL Reagent Water	15
2.1.1.2	Assay Procedure	15
2.1.1.2.1	Standard Curve	16
2.1.1.2.2	Detection of Inhibition or Enhancement	16
2.1.1.2.3	Microplate	17
2.1.2	Filter Material	18
2.1.3	Solutions	18
2.1.3.1	Water	18
2.1.3.2	Solutions Containing Endotoxin for Contamination of HVAC Filters	19
2.1.3.2.1	LPS Solution	19
2.1.3.2.2	Suspension Containing <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	19
2.1.3.2.3	Suspension Containing <i>Escherichia coli</i>	19
2.1.3.2.4	Suspension Containing Dust	20
2.1.3.3	Extraction Media	20
2.1.3.3.1	TAP Buffer	20
2.1.3.3.2	Tween 20 Solution	20
2.1.4	Physical Contamination and Extraction Procedure	21
2.1.5	Handling of Extraction	22
2.1.5.1	Timetable of Main Investigations	23
2.1.5.2	Quality Control.....	26

2.1.6	Depyrogenization.....	26
2.1.7	Other needed Materials.....	27
2.1.7.1	Disposable Materials	27
2.1.7.2	Non-disposable Materials.....	27
2.1.8	Statistical Analysis	28
2.1.8.1	Positive Test Tubes	28
2.1.8.2	Values Used for Statistical Analysis	29
2.2	PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS	29
2.2.1	Investigations Done on Standard Curves.....	29
2.2.2	Improvement of Spiking	30
2.2.3	Tween 20 Concentration Yielding Highest LAL Activity	30
2.2.4	Depyrogenization.....	30
2.2.4.1	Dry Heat	30
2.2.4.2	Sterilization with γ -Radiation.....	31
2.2.4.3	Depyrogenization With Dry Heat	31
2.2.4.4	Depyrogenization With γ -Radiation.....	31
3	Results	32
3.1	MAIN INVESTIGATIONS.....	32
3.1.1	Measured Activity on Filter Pieces	32
3.1.2	Descriptive Statistical Analysis	33
3.1.2.1	Classified by Single Criterion	33
3.1.2.1.1	Selected Data Excluding Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	34
3.1.2.1.2	Exclusive Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Contaminated Filters	34
3.1.2.2	Classified by Combined Criteria	35
3.1.2.2.1.1	Data Obtained for Cellulose Fiber Filter	35
3.1.2.2.1.2	Glass Fiber Filter	36
3.1.2.2.1.3	Synthetic Fiber Filter	37
3.1.2.2.2	Data Exclusive for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Contaminated Filters	38
3.1.3	Statistical Analysis with “Generalized Linear Models” (GLM).....	39
3.1.3.1	Statistical Analysis Excluding Data of <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	39
3.1.3.2	Statistical Analysis with Regard to the Data of <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	42
3.2	PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS	44
3.2.1	Depyrogenisation	44
3.2.1.1	Dry Heat	44
3.2.1.1.1	Depyrogenization by Heating Challenge Vials	44
3.2.1.1.2	Depyrogenization by Heating Filter Material	45
3.2.1.2	Radiation	45
3.2.1.3	Depyrogenization of Challenge Vials with 60Cobalt Radiation	45
3.2.1.4	Depyrogenization of Filter Material with 60Cobalt Radiation	46
3.2.2	Basic Endotoxin Contamination of Filter Material	47
3.2.3	Extraction Media	48
3.2.3.1	Tween 20	48
3.2.4	Spiking.....	49
3.2.5	Modification of the Standard Curve	50
3.2.6	Observations	50
4	Discussion.....	51
4.1	MATERIAL UNDER INVESTIGATION	51
4.1.1	Depyrogenization with Dry Heat.....	51
4.1.2	Depyrogenization with Radiation	51

4.1.3	Determination of basic contamination.....	52
4.2	SUSPENSION FOR INOCULATION OF THE FILTERS	52
4.2.1	Dust Containing Liquid	52
4.2.2	Liquid with Bacterial Content for Inoculation	52
4.2.3	Liquid Containing LPS	53
4.3	EXTRACTION PROCEDURE	53
4.3.1	Tween 20 as Extraction Fluid for Amphiphilic LPS	56
4.3.2	TAP as Extraction Fluid	56
4.3.3	Shaking the Samples.....	56
4.3.4	Sonication of Samples	56
4.4	ASSAY WORK.....	56
4.4.1	Standard curve	57
4.4.2	Blinding	57
4.4.3	Lot of LAL Tests	57
4.4.4	Test for Interfering Substances.....	57
4.4.4.1	Spike Method	57
4.4.4.2	Serial Dilution Method.....	58
4.4.5	Interval of Measuring	58
4.5	LOOKING AT RAW DATA	59
4.6	ANALYSIS OF DATA	61
4.6.1	Specification of Modis	62
4.6.1.1	Determination of Recovery Rate.....	62
4.6.1.2	Definition of 100% Recovery	62
4.6.1.3	Determining the Mathematical Expression that Corresponds Closest to the study Aim	63
4.6.2	Descriptive Statistics	64
4.6.3	Generalized Linear Model	65
4.6.3.1	Univariate Analysis Excluding Data for <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> Contamination	65
4.6.3.2	Multivariate Analysis Excluding Data for <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> Contamination	66
4.6.3.3	Uni- and Multivariate Analysis for Filter Contamination for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	66
4.7	ENDOTOXIN LIMITS	66
4.8	COSTS	67
4.9	CONCLUSIONS.....	67
4.9.1	Biasing Factors of the Investigation	67
4.9.2	Recommendations for Endotoxin Challenge Trials.....	68
4.9.2.1	General Recommendations	68
4.9.2.2	Special Recommendations for Filter Extraction	68
5	Summary.....	69
6	Appendix	72
6.1	TABLES.....	72
6.2	FIGURES.....	73
7	References	85
8	Acknowledgements	91
9	Curriculum Vitae	92

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 Different Assays with its Distinction	11
Table 2-1 Generating the Standard curve.....	16
Table 2-2 Used Endotoxin.....	19
Table 2-3 Used Solvents	20
Table 2-4 Extraction Method	21
Table 2-5 Setting of Main Investigation	22
Table 2-6 Original Plan for Numbering the Tubes on Day No. 2	23
Table 2-7 Original Protocol for Day No. 2	24
Table 2-8 Results of Day No. 2.....	25
Table 2-9 Disposable Materials.....	27
Table 2-10 Non-disposable Materials	27
Table 2-11 Values used in Table 3-2 till Table 3-24.....	29
Table 3-1 General Setting of Main Investigation.....	32
Table 3-2 Classified by Contamination.....	34
Table 3-3 Classified by Kind of Filter Excluding Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	34
Table 3-4 Classified by Extraction Method Excluding Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> ...	34
Table 3-5 Classified by Extraction Fluid Excluding Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	34
Table 3-6 Exclusive Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Classified by type of Filter	35
Table 3-7 Exclusive Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Classified by Extraction Method....	35
Table 3-8 Exclusive Data for <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Classified by Extraction Fluid.....	35
Table 3-9 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : CF With Tween and Sonication.....	35
Table 3-10 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : CF With TAP and Sonication	36
Table 3-11 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : CF With Tween and Shaking	36
Table 3-12 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : CF With TAP and Shaking	36
Table 3-13 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : GF With Tween and Sonication.....	36
Table 3-14 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : GF With TAP and Sonication	36
Table 3-15 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : GF With Tween and Shaking.....	37
Table 3-16 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : GF With TAP and Shaking	37
Table 3-17 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : SF With Tween and Sonication	37
Table 3-18 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : SF With TAP and Sonication.....	37
Table 3-19 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : SF With Tween and Shaking	37
Table 3-20 Data Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> : SF With TAP and Shaking.....	38
Table 3-21 <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Contaminated Filters Sonicated in Tween	38
Table 3-22 <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Contaminated Filters Sonicated in TAP.....	38
Table 3-23 <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Contaminated Filters Shaken in Tween	38
Table 3-24 <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> Contaminated Filters Shaken in TAP	38
Table 3-25 Univariate Analysis for Single Factors of Data Excluding Contamination with <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	39
Table 3-26 Multivariate Analysis of Data Excluding Contamination with <i>Pseudomonas</i> <i>Aeruginosa</i> for the Combination of Type of Contamination and Solvent	40
Table 3-27 Multivariate Analysis of Data for Combination of Type of Filter and Type of Contamination (Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>)	40
Table 3-28 Multivariate Analysis of Data for Combination of Type of Contamination (Excluding <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>) and Extraction Method	41
Table 3-29 Multivariate Analysis of Data Excluding Contamination with <i>Pseudomonas</i> <i>Aeruginosa</i> for the Combination of Solution and Extraction Method	41

Table 3-30 Multivariate Analysis of Data Excluding Contamination with <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> for the Combination of Filter type and Extraction Method	42
Table 3-31 Multivariate Analysis of Data Excluding Contamination with <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> for the Combination of Filter type and Solution Media.....	42
Table 3-32 displays the results for single factors. Tween showed a slightly better but not significant result for mean. Synthetic fiber filter shows the lowest mean followed by cellulose- and glass fiber. Shaken presents a lower mean than sonication.	42
Table 3-33 Univariate Analysis for Single Factors Exclusive for Data of <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i>	43
Table 3-34 Multivariate Analysis for Exclusive Data of <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> for Combination: Filter type and Solution Media.....	43
Table 3-35 Multivariate Analysis for Exclusive Data of <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> for Combination: Filter type and Extraction Method	44
Table 3-36 Multivariate Analysis for Exclusive Data of <i>Pseudomonas Aeruginosa</i> for Combination: Solution Media and Extraction Method	44
Table 3-37 Dry Heat Depyrogenisation	45
Table 3-38 Depyrogenization of Challenge Vials with γ -Radiation	46
Table 3-39 Different Types of Filter Treated with γ -Radiation	47
Table 3-40 Basic Contamination of Filters	47
Table 3-41 Statistical Analysis of Basic Contamination.....	48
Table 3-42 Endotoxin Activity of CSE + <i>Escherichia coli</i> Bacteria in Tween Relative to CSE in Water.....	48
Table 3-43 Endotoxin Activity of CSE in Different Tween 20 Concentrations Relative to CSE in Water.....	49
Table 3-44 Comparison of Standard Curves Made with Water or Tween 20	50
Table 3-45 Comparison of Standard Curves Made with Water or TAP	50
Table 4-1 Medline Research for Detoxification of Endotoxin by γ -Radiation	52
Table 4-2 Methods for Extracting Filter Material Used for Environmental Air-Sampling in Airborne Endotoxin Determination Studies	54
Table 4-3 Dependency of Endotoxin Recovery of Identical Samples (4.5 EU/ml) From the Time Needed TO Exceed the Threshold of 0.2 OD	59
Table 4-4 Endotoxin Activity in PTT's for Different Extraction Methods Forming TA 1	63
Table 4-5 Correlation of Pearson and Kendall-Tau-B In-between TA and Means Recovered on filter pieces	63
Table 4-6 Opportunities for Analyzing the Data.....	64
Table 4-7 Analyzing the Extraction Methods for Different Filter Types	65
Table 6-1 Endotoxin Activity on Co60- / Not Radiated Filter Pieces	72
Table 6-2 Endotoxin Activity in PTTs with Different Contaminations and Extraction Methods	73

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Lipopolysaccharide in Cell wall modified from Rietschel [4] et al	9
Figure 1-2 <i>Limulus Polyphemus</i>	11
Figure 1-3 The Limulus Amebocyte Lysate Enzyme Cascade	12
Figure 1-4 Reaction Sequence of the Gel-Clot Method.....	12
Figure 1-5 Reaction Sequence of the Chromogenic Method	13
Figure 2-1 Plotting of a Standard Curve	16
Figure 2-2 The microplate setting	18
Figure 2-3 Inoculation of Filter Pieces and PTT	21
Figure 3-1 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Glass Fiber Filter for Shaking it in Tween	33
Figure 3-2 Box Plot Diagram for Radiated and Non Radiated Filters	46
Figure 3-3 Deviation of Spike Recovery from 4.5 EU for Spiked 5 EU/ml and 0.5 EU/ml Solutions.....	49
Figure 4-1 All PTT's of Contamination with LPS 2,000 EU for Different Extraction Methods. Mean and Median are Shown . Error Bars Indicate Allowed Variation of 25%.....	60
Figure 4-2 All PTT's of Contamination with LPS 200 EU for Different Extraction Methods. Mean and Median are Shown . Error Bars Indicate Allowed Variation of 25%.....	61
Figure 4-3 Quantity of PTTs per Extraction Manner	62
Figure 5-1 Setting of the Investigation for Contaminating 3 Different Types of Filters with 5 Different Types of Endotoxin and the Endotoxin Retrieval with 2 Extraction Methods and 2 Solution Media. Dark Background Indicates Highest Deviation from “True Value”, Bright Background Indicates Smallest Deviation for Glassfiber Filters.....	69
Figure 6-1 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Glass Fiber Filter with Sonication and Tween	74
Figure 6-2 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Glass Fiber Filter with Shaking and TAP.....	75
Figure 6-3 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Glass Fiber Filter with Sonication and TAP.....	76
Figure 6-4 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Cellulose Fiber Filter with Shaking and Tween	77
Figure 6-5 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Cellulose Fiber Filter with Sonication and Tween	78
Figure 6-6 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Cellulose Fiber Filter with Shaking and TAP	79
Figure 6-7 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Cellulose Fiber Filter with Sonication and TAP	80
Figure 6-8 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Synthetic Fiber Filter with Shaking and Tween	81
Figure 6-9 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Synthetic Fiber Filter with Sonication and Tween	82
Figure 6-10 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Synthetic Fiber Filter with Shaking and TAP	83
Figure 6-11 Activity Measured on $\frac{1}{2}$ Synthetic Fiber Filter with Sonication and TAP	84

8 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

An dieser Stelle möchte ich Prof. Dr. med. H. Rüden (Direktor des Institutes für Hygiene der Freien Universität Berlin, Universitätsklinikum Benjamin Franklin) für die Überlassung des Themas und die großartige Unterstützung danken. Dank gilt auch Prof. Don Milton, MD, DrPH Associate Professor, „Occupational and Environmental Health Department of Environmental Health“ an der „Harvard School of Public Health“ in Boston, USA, für die angeregte Diskussion.

Meinem wissenschaftlichen Betreuer, Herrn Dr. med. K. Weist (Institutes für Hygiene der Freien Universität Berlin, Universitätsklinikum Benjamin Franklin), gilt mein besonderer Dank für sein großes Interesse und die unentwegte Hilfestellung im Rahmen der Experimente und des Schreibens der Arbeit.

Herzlich bedanken möchte ich mich bei allen, die zur Durchführung der Versuche und zum Gelingen der Arbeit beigetragen haben, so bei den technischen Mitarbeitern des Institutes, insbesondere Frau Giesela Stahmann und den interdisziplinären wissenschaftlichen Mitarbeitern. Herrn Dr. E. Dietz danke ich für die Unterstützung bei der statistischen Auswertung der Daten.

Meinen Eltern gilt mein herzlichster Dank für die großartige Unterstützung in jeder erdenklichen Hinsicht und das Vertrauen in meine Fähigkeiten.