ALTERNATIVE POLYMERS AND PROCESSES FOR COATING

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades des Doktors der Naturwissenschaften

im Fachbereich Biologie, Chemie, Pharmazie der Freien Universität Berlin

vorgelegt von

Terebesi Ildikó

Aus Kronstadt

Berlin, Mai 2006

1. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. R. Bodmeier

2. Gutachter: Prof. Dr. J. Siepmann

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 30.Juni 2006

Mut ist nicht die Abwesenheit von Angst, sondern die Erkenntnis, dass andere Dinge wichtiger sind.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, it is my special wish to thank Prof. Dr. Roland Bodmeier for the opportunity to be a member of his research group and to prepare the present work. I deeply appreciate his help and advice in all concerns and I am especially grateful for his professional and scientific guidance. Moreover, I am very thankful to him for the special opportunity of the scientific internship at the Universidad National de Rosario, Argentina.

Furthermore, I thank Prof. Dr. Jürgen Siepmann for evaluating my thesis, but also for his ongoing encouragement. Thanks are extended to Prof. Dr. Ronald Gust, Prof. Dr. Hans-Herbert Borchert and Dr. Lothar Schwabe for serving as members of my thesis advisor committee.

Dr. Stark and his colleagues from the Federal Institute of Material Research and Testing (Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und –Testung, BAM) I thank for the valuable help with the DMA-experiments and the interesting discussions, which enriched my knowledge about polymer sciences.

Mrs Christine Curbach, Mr Burkhard Dickenhorst, Mrs Katrin Möbus, Mrs Joana Mota, Mrs Araya Raiwa, Dr. Abid Ahmed Riaz, Mr Soravoot Rujivipat, Mr Martin Schulz and Mrs Katrin Steiner I thank for the correction of the drafts of the papers and thesis.

Moreover I thank Dr. Florence Siepmann, Mrs Araya Raiwa and Mr Soravoot Rujivipat for the pleasant lab-atmosphere as well as many thanks to Dr. Mesut Ciper, Mrs Christine Curbach, Mrs Victoria Dashevska, Dr. Andrei Dashevsky, Mr Burkhard Dickenhorst, Dr. Wenyu Dong, Mr Martin Körber, Mrs Katrin Möbus, Mrs Joana Mota, Dr. Abid Ahmed Riaz, Mr Martin Schulz, Mrs Dunagratana Shuwisitkul, Mrs Katrin Steiner, Mr Dirk Sticha and all other members of the Bodmeier-research group for the enjoyable working atmosphere and cooperation.

Mrs Angelika Schwarz I thank for the help to struggle with bureaucracy, Mrs Eva Ewest for her foresighted help in the preparation of the students' practical training and Mr Andreas Krause for his help to deal with technical problems. In particular I thank Dr. Ruth Dillmann-Marschner, who succeeded as first to rise and develop my interest for pharmaceutical technology; without her I would have never arrived to this focus.

Many thanks are owing to Dr. Vivian Voigt, Mrs Norma Hernandez, Dr. Anne Saupe and Mrs Christine Curbach for their encouragement and friendship throughout the years.

Special thanks to Dr. Nantharat Pearnchob for her help and advice, which often changed my view.

Most importantly I thank my family: my sister Sophia for her critical attitude, which helped me to keep my feet on the ground, my mum and my father for giving me the freedom and support to follow and realise my dreams, and to all three of them for being there for me, when I needed someone to listen.

More than special thanks to Bhaskar for being always at my side, despite the distance in time and space.

TABLE OF CONTENT

LIST OF FIG	URES	5
LIST OF TAB	LES	13
LIST OF ABR	EVIATIONS	15
1. INT	RODUCTION	17
1.1.	Coating of solid dosage forms	18
1.1.1.	Protective coatings	19
1.1.2.	Functional coatings	
	a. Enteric coatings	19
	b. Extended release coatings	20
1.2.	Zein	22
1.2.1.	Protein composition and properties	22
1.2.2.	Solvents and stability in solution	
1.2.3.	Preparation processing	24
1.2.4.	Applications of zein	25
1.2.5.	Zein for controlled release	25
1.3.	Shellac	27
1.3.1.	General overview	27
1.3.2.	Applications of shellac	27
1.4.	Ethylcellulose	29
1.4.1.	General overview	29
1.4.2.	Applications of ethylcellulose	30
1.5.	Coating processes and formulation parameters	31
1.5.1.	Organic coating	
1.5.2.	Aqueous coating	32
	a. Solutions	32
	b. Dispersions	32
	c. Redispersible powders	34
1.5.3.	Dry coating	35
	a. Compression coating	35
	b. Coating with micronized polymer powders	36
1.5.4.	Theory of coalescence and film formation	39
1.5.5.	Formulation and process parameters	47
	a. Plasticisers	47
	b. Mechanical properties	48
	c. Curing	49

	1.6.	Research objectives	50
	1.6.1.	Natural polymers for extended release or protective coatings	50
		a. Pure zein coatings	
		b. Zein-shellac combinations	
	1.6.2.	Dry powder coating: critical parameters for film formation	51
2.	Мат	TERIALS AND METHODS	53
2	2.1.	Materials	54
:	2.2.	Methods	56
	2.2.1.	Plasticiser evaluation	
	2.2.2.	Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	56
	2.2.3.	Zein-shellac compatibility	
	2.2.4.	Preparation of aqueous dispersions of zein	
	2.2.5.	Particle size measurements	
	2.2.6.	Surface tension measurements	
	2.2.7.	Zeta-potential measurements	58
	2.2.8.	Stabilisers for aqueous zein dispersions	59
	2.2.9.	Centrifugation	59
	2.2.10.	Lyophilisation	59
	2.2.11.	Spray-drying	59
	2.2.12.	Redispersion	60
	2.2.13.	Micronised polymer powders	60
	2.2.14.	Drug layering of pellets	61
	2.2.15.	Coating of solid dosage forms	61
		a. Pure zein and zein-shellac mixed coatings	61
		b. Shellac topcoated pellets	62
		c. Dry powder coating	62
	2.2.16.	Drug release from coated pellets	63
	2.2.17.	Swelling of isolated zein films and coated pellets	63
	2.2.18.	Viscosity measurements	64
	2.2.19.	Mechanical properties of films	65
	2.2.20.	Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA)	66
	2.2.21.	Dynamic vapour sorption (DVS) measurements	66
	2.2.22.	Optical and environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM)	67
3.	RESU	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	69
3	3.1.	Zein coatings from ethanolic solutions	70
	3.1.1.	Plasticiser for zein	70
	3.1.2.	Water as a plasticiser for zein	72
	3.1.3.	Drug release from coated pellets	74

	3.1.4.	Effect of zein batch-to-batch variability	76
	3.1.5.	Effect of proteolytic enzymes	79
	3.1.6.	Drug solubility and osmotic pressure	80
	3.1.7.	Stability on storage	81
	3.1.8.	Moisture protection	82
	3.1.9.	Taste masking	86
3	.2.	Aqueous zein dispersions	88
	3.2.1.	Preparation methods for aqueous zein dispersions	89
	3.2.2.	Approaches to increase the polymer concentration	91
		a. Parameters during preparation	91
		b. Stabilisation of the dispersion after preparation	98
	3.2.3.	Theoretical considerations	99
	3.2.4.	Redispersible powder formulations	101
	3.2.5.	Plasticiser for aqueous zein dispersions	106
	3.2.6.	Drug release from coated pellets and stability on storage	108
3	.3.	Zein - Shellac mixed coatings	111
	3.3.1.	Compatibility of zein and shellac in mixed films	111
	3.3.2.	Drug release from zein-shellac mixed coatings	111
	3.3.3.	Effect of swelling on the mechanical properties and drug release	114
	3.3.4.	Influence of the drug solubility	118
	3.3.5.	Stability on storage	119
3	.4.	Shellac topcoats	124
	3.4.1.	Pure shellac topcoats	124
	3.4.2.	Shellac topcoats of higher permeability	128
		a. Shellac-HPMC topcoats	128
		b. Shellac-organic acid topcoats	136
3	.5.	Dry powder coating	142
	3.5.1.	The key role of plasticisers	142
	3.5.2.	Mechanism of film formation	157
		a. Role of water for film formation	157
		b. Formulation and process parameters	160
4.	Sumi	MARY	169
4		MART	
-	.6.		
	4.6.1.	Pure zein coatings	170
	4.6.1.	Pure zein coatings Zein from ethanolic solution	1 70
4	4.6.1. 4.6.2.	Pure zein coatings Zein from ethanolic solution Aqueous zein dispersions	170 170 170
4	4.6.1. 4.6.2.	Pure zein coatings Zein from ethanolic solution Aqueous zein dispersions Zein-shellac combinations	170170170170
4	4.6.1. 4.6.2.	Pure zein coatings Zein from ethanolic solution Aqueous zein dispersions	170170170172

4.8.	Dry Powder Coating	173
5. Z US	SAMMENFASSUNG	175
5.1.	Reine Zein Überzüge	176
5.1.1.	Zein aus ethanolischen Lösungen	176
5.1.2.	Wäßrige Zein Dispersionen	176
5.2.	Zein-Schellack Kombinationen	178
5.2.1.	Zein-Schellack Mischüberzüge	178
5.2.2.	Schellack Deck-Überzüge auf Zein Grund-Überzügen	179
5.3.	Trocken-Überzugs-Verfahren (Dry Powder Coating)	180
6. Rei	FERENCES	183
7. Pui	BLICATIONS	197
8. C UI	RRICULUM VITAE	201

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Setup for dry powder coating in a fluidized bed ball coater37
Figure 2:	Process of film formation from aqueous colloidal dispersions39
Figure 3:	Shear stress favouring the coalescence of two polymeric particles40
Figure 4:	Capillary forces acting on polymeric particles during the evaporation
	of water42
Figure 5:	Polymer powder deposition and film formation from dry polymer powders45
Figure 6:	Evaluation of efficient plasticisers for zein: A: glass transition temperature (Tg) and B: mechanical properties of plasticised zein films in dependence of the plasticiser content
Figure 7:	Effect of %- water content on the mechanical properties of zein films72
Figure 8:	Measured vs. predicted Tg values by the Gordon-Taylor equation on dependence of the %-water content (w/w) of zein films
Figure 9:	Theophylline release from zein coated pellets (coating level: 20%)74
Figure 10:	Effect of medium type on the weight increase of zein films A: 100 vs. 400 mosmol/kg pH 1.2, chloride buffer); B: pH 1.2 vs. pH 6.8 (chloride buffer) 100 mosmol/kg); C: effect of ion type and pH (400 mosmol/kg)
Figure 11:	Comparison of drug release of pellets coated with different zein batches (closed symbols: pH 1.2, open symbols: pH 6.8; coating level: 20 %)77
Figure 12:	Effect of a purification step on the drug release of pellets coated with different zein (closed symbols: pH 1.2, open symbols: pH 6.8; coating level: 20 %)78
Figure 13:	Comparison of drug release of pellets coated with white vs. yellow zein (closed
	symbols: pH 1.2, open symbols: pH 6.8; coating level: 20 %)78
Figure 14:	Influence of proteolytic enzymes on theophylline release from zein coated pellets (closed symbols: pH1.2; open symbols: pH6.8; coating level: 20%)79

Figure 15:	Influence of the drug solubility on the drug release from zein coated pellets: CPM vs. theophylline (closed symbols: pH 1.2; open symbols: pH 6.8; coating level: 20%)
Figure 16:	Influence of the core type on the drug release from zein coated pellets: osmotically active vs. non-active cores (closed symbols: pH 1.2; open symbols: pH 6.8; coating level: 20%)
Figure 17:	Stability on storage of the drug release from zein coated pellets (storage conditions: 40°C/75% r.h.; model drug: theophylline; coating level: 20%)82
Figure 18:	Effect of coating level on the drug release from tablets coated for moisture protection: A: zein and B: HPMC (model drug: ASS)83
Figure 19:	Effect of storage condition and the coating level on the salicylic acid content of zein coated ASS-tablets
Figure 20:	Effect of storage condition and the coating level on the moisture uptake of ASS-tablets coated for moisture protection
Figure 21:	Effect of the coating level on the drug release of tablets coated for taste masking with: A: zein and B: HPMC (model drug: paracetamol)87
Figure 22:	Particle size distribution of aqueous zein dispersions depending on the preparation method as measured by laser light scattering90
Figure 23:	Particle size distribution of the particle size of aqueous zein dispersions after
	preparation with acetone, ethanol and methanol as the organic solvent94
Figure 24:	Effect of the polymer concentration of the organic solution on the particle size distribution of aqueous zein dispersions (preparation method 1; solvent: isopropanol 80 % v/v; phase ratio water: organic polymer solution: 2:1)95
Figure 25:	UV-visible spectrum of yellow zein dissolved in ethanol 80 % (v/v)97
Figure 26:	Zeta-potential of aqueous zein dispersions in dependence of the pH of the aqueous phase98

Figure 27:	Volume fraction of the polymer in dependence of the polymer concentration and linear correlation
Figure 28:	Particle size of zein powders prepared by lyophylisation or spray-drying from aqueous dispersions (AD) or ethanolic solutions (ES) of zein before and after redispersion in water
Figure 29:	Effect of freezing on the particle size in dependence of the polymer concentration of aqueous zein dispersions: A: after preparation (no freezing); B: 2.4 %; C: 3.4 % and D: 9 % w/v polymer content
Figure 30:	Stabilisation of the particle size with propylene glycol during freezing of aqueous zein dispersions at different stabiliser content: A: 5 %; B: 10 %; C: 20 % and D: 30 % based on the polymer (polymer content: 3.4 % w/v)103
Figure 31:	Stabilisation of the particle size during freezing with tartaric acid of aqueous zein dispersions at different stabiliser content: A: 5 %; B: 10 %; C: 20 % and D: 30 % based on the polymer (polymer content: 3.4 % w/v)
Figure 32:	Particle size distribution of spray-dried aqueous zein dispersions as dry powder and after redispersion in comparison to the original dispersion (polymer concentration after redispersion: 10 % w/v)
Figure 33:	Particle size of zein powders prepared by milling before and after redispersion in water
Figure 34:	Drug release from pellets coated with an aqueous zein dispersion A: effect of the coating level and release medium, B: comparison to the release of pellets coated with ethanolic zein solution and C: effect of curing at 60 °C/24 h (coating level: 20 %)
Figure 35:	Stability on storage of the drug release of coated pellets (coating level: 20%, storage time: 18 months at ambient conditions)
Figure 36:	Drug release from coated pellets with pure zein vs. mixed films at different zein-shellac ratios: A: in pH 1.2, B: in pH 1.2+pepsin (model drug:
	theophylline; coating level: 20 %)112

Figure 37:	Drug release from coated pellets with pure zein vs. mixed films at different zein-shellac ratios: A: in pH 6.8, B: in pH 7.4 (model drug: theophylline; coating level: 20 %)
Figure 38:	Drug release from zein-shellac mixed coated pellets with or without medium change (model drug: theophylline; zein-shellac ratio: 2:1; coating level: 20 %]
Figure 39:	Macroscopic pictures of coated theophylline pellets after 6 h swelling in A pH 1.2, pure zein; B: pH 1.2, zein-shellac 2:1; C: pH 6.8, pure zein and D: pH6.8, zein-shellac 2:1 (coating level: 20 %)
Figure 40:	Effect of zein-shellac ratio on the swelling and mechanical properties: A: diameter increase of coated theophylline pellets, B: swelling of films in pH 1.2 and C: elongation of films after 2 h incubation in pH 1.2
Figure 41:	Correlation of the swelling of coated theophylline pellets to: A: elongation of isolated films after incubation and B: drug release after 1 h at different zeinshellac ratios (coating level: 20 %; medium: pH 1.2)
Figure 42:	CPM release from coated pellets with pure vs. mixed coatings at different zein-shellac ratios in: A: pH 1.2; B: pH 6.8 (coating level: 20 %)
Figure 43:	Drug release from zein-shellac mixed coated pellets after 12 months storage at the ratios: A: 9:1; B: 4:1; C: 1:1 (model drug: theophylline; coating level 20 %; release medium: pH 1.2; storage at ambient conditions)
Figure 44:	Drug release from zein-shellac mixed coated pellets after 12 months storage at the ratios: A: 9:1; B: 4:1; C: 1:1 (model drug: theophylline; coating level 20 %; release medium: pH 7.4; storage at ambient conditions)
Figure 45:	Drug release from zein-shellac mixed coated pellets after storage with or without medium change (model drug: theophylline; zein-shellac ratio: 2:1, coating level: 20 %; storage: 12 months at ambient conditions)
Figure 46:	Effect of curing at 60 °C or 80 °C vs. storage at room conditions (r.c.) on the drug release from zein-shellac mixed coated pellets (model drug: theophylline, coating level: 20 %; release medium: pH 1.2)

Figure 47:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with a shellac topcoat in pH 1.2, pH 6.8 and pH 7.4 (model drug: theophylline; zein coating level: 10 %; topcoat level: 0-10 %)
Figure 48:	Microscopic pictures of zein coated pellets with 0 %, 1 % and 5 % shellad topcoat after incubation (zein coating level: 20 %; medium: pH 1.2; incubation time: 6 h)
Figure 49:	Effect of a shellac topcoat on the increase in diameter after swelling of zein coated pellets in pH 1.2 (zein coating level: 10 %; topcoat level: 0-5 %)127
Figure 50:	Mechanical properties of thin isolated films of zein and shellac in the dry and wet state: A: puncture strength; B: elongation (wet state: 2 h incubation in pH 1.2)
Figure 51:	Medium uptake over time of thin films of zein and shellac (medium: pH 1.2)
Figure 52:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with a shellac topcoat containing 25 % HPMC in pH 1.2, pH 6.8 and 7.4 (zein coating level: 10 %; topcoat level: 0-10 %)
Figure 53:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with a shellac topcoat containing 50 % HPMC in pH 1.2, pH 6.8 and pH 7.4 (zein coating level: 10 %; topcoat level: 0-15 %)
Figure 54:	Comparison of the drug release in pH 1.2 vs. pH 6.8 from zein-coated pellets with a shellac topcoat containing 50 % HPMC (zein coating level: 10 %; topcoat level: 0-15 %)
Figure 55:	Macroscopic pictures of zein-coated pellets with a shellac topcoat containing 50 % HPMC in pH 6.8 and pH 7.4 after 30 min incubation at 37 °C (zein coating level:10 %; topcoat level: 10 %)
Figure 56:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with pure shellac topcoats in pH 1.2 and pH 6.8 (zein coating level: 20%; topcoat level: 0-5%; model drug: CPM)134

Figure 57:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with shellac-HPMC topcoats of different ratio vs. pure shellac (1:0) in pH 1.2 (zein coating level: 20 %; topcoat level: 15 %; model drug: CPM)
Figure 58:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with a shellac-HPMC 1:1 topcoat at different coating level in pH 1.2 (zein coating level: 20 %; topcoat level: 0-15 %; model drug: theophylline, osmotically active cores)
Figure 59:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with shellac topcoats containing 10 % sorbic acid in pH 1.2, pH 6.8 and pH 7.4 (zein coating level: 10 %; topcoat level: 0-10 %; model drug: theophylline)
Figure 60:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with a topcoat of shellac-aspartic acid 1:1 in pH 1.2, pH 6.8 and pH 7.4 (zein coating level: 20 %; topcoat level: 0- 15%; model drug: theophylline)
Figure 61:	Drug release from zein-coated pellets with a topcoat of shellac:aspartic acid 1:4 in pH 1.2 (zein coating level: 20 %; topcoat level: 0-15%; model drug: theophylline)
Figure 62:	Influence of alginate topcoats on the drug release of zein coated pellets (zein coating level: 20 %; alginate coating level: 10 %; model drug: theophylline)
Figure 63:	The effect of type and amount of plasticiser on the Tg of ethylcellulose films (plasticiser content: % w/w, based on the formulation; EC 10 cp, cast from 10 % w/w chloroform solution)
Figure 64:	Polymer-plasticiser interaction quantitated by the viscosity increase of ethylcellulose dissolved in different plasticisers (polymer concentration: 0-2.5 % (w/w))
Figure 65:	The effect of plasticiser concentration on the puncture strength, elongation and modulus at puncture of EC-films (plasticiser content: w/w, based on the formulation; EC 10 cp, cast from 10 % w/w chloroform solution)
Figure 66:	Effect of plasticiser type on the modulus of elasticity (E') and tan δ of plasticised ethylcellulose films (plasticiser content: 20 % (w/w) based on the formulation; film thickness: 100-150 µm)

Figure 67:	Effect of plasticiser concentration on the modulus of elasticity (E´) and tan δ of plasticised ethylcellulose films (plasticiser: TBC; film thickness: 100-150 μ m)
Figure 68:	Moisture uptake measured by DVS of EC-films with and without 50 % TBC w/w, based on the polymer amount
Figure 69:	Effect of plasticiser type and curing condition on the drug release from DPC-coated pellets with ethylcellulose (coating level: 15 %; plasticiser: 50 % w/w, based on the polymer)
Figure 70:	Effect of plasticiser concentration (w/w, based in the polymer) on the drug release from DPC-coated pellets with ethylcellulose (coating level: 15 %; plasticiser: DBS)
Figure 71:	Mechanism proposed for the film formation by dry powder coating of solid dosage forms
Figure 72:	Effect of the preparation method of pre-plasticisation of ethylcellulose and curing conditions on the drug release of DPC-coated pellets with ethylcellulose (coating level: 15 %; plasticiser: MCT; total plasticiser content: 50 % w/w, based on the polymer)
Figure 73:	Optical microscopy of micronised pure EC (A), spray-dried Surelease powder (B), spray-dried Aquacoat powder containing 20 % w/w MCT (C), HME-EC after milling (D) and the appearance of DPC-coated pellets by ESEM with micronised EC (E) or micronised HME-EC (F)
Figure 74:	Effect of water on film formation and drug release from DPC-coated pellets (coating level: 15 %; curing: EC: 80 °C/24 h; Eudragit [®] RS: 60 °C/2 h; release medium: pH 6.8)
Figure 75:	Modulus of elasticity (E´) of ethylcellulose with or without plasticiser vs. Eudragit $^{\text{®}}$ RS-films (plasticiser: 20 % TBC w/w, based on the formulation; film thickness: 100-150 µm)
Figure 76:	Effect of drug solubility on the drug release from DPC-pellets with ethylcellulose (coating level: 15 %; plasticiser: 50 % TBC w/w, based on the polymer)

Figure 77:	ESEM-pictures of DPC- pellets with ethylcellulose: A: cross-section;			
	B: magnification of the coat (coating level: 15 %; plasticiser: 50 % TBC w/w,			
	based on the polymer)162			
Figure 78:	Drug release from DPC- pellets with ethylcellulose in dependence of the			
	coating level (plasticiser: 50 % TBC, based on the polymer; curing:			
	80 °C/24 h)163			
Figure 79:	Effect of the viscosity of the polymer on the drug release from uncured and			
	cured DPC-pellets (plasticiser: 50 % w/w, based on the polymer; curing time:			
	24 h)164			
Figure 80:	Influence of HPMC as pore former on the drug release of DPC-pellets with			
	ethylcellulose (coating level: 15 %; plasticiser: 50 % TBC w/w, based on the			
	polymer)			

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Amino acid composition of zein				
Table 2:	Process parameters for dry powder coating with micronised polymer powders				
	63				
Table 3:	Plasticiser evaluation for zein films from ethanolic solution70				
Table 4:	Weight fraction of absorbed water of zein films conditioned at different r.h. 7				
Table 5:	Properties of different zein batches at organoleptic inspection				
Table 6:	Time until the perception of bitter taste of the model drug paracetamol dependence of the polymer and coating level				
Table 7:	Methods investigated for the preparation of aqueous zein dispersion89				
Table 8:	Effect of additives in the aqueous phase during preparation on the part size of the resulting zein dispersion				
Table 9:	Critical peptisation temperature (CPT) of zein in different solvents / solvents mixtures and solubility parameters for the polar component (δ_p) of the solvents				
Table 10:	Volume of water necessary for phase inversion of organic zein solutions (polymer concentration: 5 % w/v; volume of the organic polymer solution: 25 ml)				
Table 11:	Effect of the solvent type on the appearance of the zein dispersion used for the organic phase (water: organic polymer solution ratio 1:1)93				
Table 12:	Batch-to-batch variation of zein at organoleptic inspection and particle size the aqueous dispersion prepared by method no. 1 from the zein batches9				
Table 13:	Absorbance of zein solutions in 80 % (v/v) ethanol (λ =445nm) and Tg of the zein powders				
Table 14:	Influence of additives on the highest polymer concentration of aqueous zein dispersions with unchanged particle size distribution99				

Table 15:	Effect of the plasticiser concentration on the physical properties, film				
	appearance, flexibility, liftage and stickiness of zein films cast from aqueous				
	dispersions (plasticiser: tartaric acid, drying at 40 °C)106				
Table 16:	Physical properties, film appearance, flexibility, liftage and stickiness of zein				
	films cast from aqueous dispersions and drying at different conditions				
	(plasticiser content: 40 % w/w, based on the polymer)107				
Table 17:	Compatibility of zein-shellac mixed films cast from ethanolic solution111				
Table 18:	Physical properties and solubility of organic acids136				
Table 19:	Appearance and physical properties of shellac films containing organic acids				
Table 20:	Effect of plasticiser type on the Tg of ethylcellulose (plasticiser concentration:				
	20 % w/w based on the formulation142				
Table 21:	Mechanical properties of plasticised ethylcellulose films characterised by				
	puncture strength, elongation an modulus at puncture (plasticiser content:				
	40 % (w/w) based on the formulation; EC 10 cp, cast from 10 % w/w				
	chloroform solution)145				
Table 22:	Surface tension of water or water/plasticiser emulsions measure with a ring-				
	tensiometer (plasticiser concentration: 50 % w/w, based on the formulation)				
	157				
Table 23:	Contact angle of water or water/plasticiser emulsions on ethylcellulose films				
	(placticises concentration: 50.0% w/w based on the formulation) 158				

LIST OF ABREVIATIONS

CAP: cellulose acetate phthalate

CPM: chlorpheniramine maleate

DBS: dibutylsebacate

DMA: dynamic mechanical analysis

DSC: differential scanning calorimetry

DS: degree of substitution

DVS: dynamic vapour sorption

EC: ethylcellulose

GIT: gastrointestinal tract

GRAS: generally recognized as safe

HPMC: hydroxypropyl methylcellulose

HPMCP: hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate

MCT: medium chain triglycerides

MFT: minimum film-formation temperature

MW: molecular weight

PEG: polyethylene glycol

PVA: polyvinyl acetate

PVAP: polyvinyl acetate phthalate

PVP: polyvinyl pyrrolidone

r.h.: relative humidity

(E)SEM: (environmental) scanning electron microscopy

Tg: glass transition temperature