Fachbereich Erziehungswissenschaften und Psychologie
der Freien Universität Berlin

Aging and Emotional Memory: Evidence from a Heterogeneity-Homogeneity List Paradigm using Emotionally-Toned Words

Dissertation
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades
Doktor der Philosophie
(Dr. phil.)

vorgelegt von
Diplom-Psychologe
Daniel Grühn

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr. Jacqui Smith, Max-Planck-Institut für Bildungsforschung, Berlin

Prof. Dr. Arthur M. Jacobs, Freie Universität, Berlin

Betreuer:

Prof. Dr. Paul B. Baltes, Max-Planck-Institut für Bildungsforschung, Berlin

Prof. Dr. Jacqui Smith, Max-Planck-Institut für Bildungsforschung, Berlin

DISPUTATION: BERLIN, 11. DEZEMBER 2006

Selection is the very keel on which our mental ship is built. And in the case of memory its utility is obvious. If we remembered everything, we should on most occasions be as ill off as if we remembered nothing.

William James, Principles of Psychology, 1890

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES ..... **V**
LIST OF FIGURES ..... **IX**
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... **X**
ABSTRACT ..... **XI**
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG ..... **XIV**

1. INTRODUCTION **1**
1.1 LIFESPAN PERSPECTIVES ON THE AGING MIND 2
1.1.1 The Bio-cultural Orchestration of the Aging Mind 2
1.1.2 Lifespan Perspectives on Memory 4
1.1.3 Social-cognitive Perspectives on Aging, Memory, and Emotion 5
1.2 MEMORY FOR EMOTIONALLY-TONED MATERIAL 8
1.2.1 Naturalistic Approaches to the Investigation of Emotional Memory 9
1.2.2 Experimental Approaches to the Investigation of Emotional Memory 11
1.3 MEMORY FOR POSITIVELY- AND NEGATIVELY-TONED MATERIAL 16
1.3.1 Differential Processing of Positive and Negative Information 17
1.3.2 Memory Differences for Positive and Negative Information? 20

2. THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS **22**
2.1 AGE-RELATED DIFFERENCES IN EMOTIONAL MEMORY: EMPIRICAL FINDINGS FROM NATURALISTIC APPROACHES 22
2.1.1 Memory for Neutral versus Emotional Events 22
2.1.2 Memory for Positive versus Negative Events 25
2.1.3 Summary of Findings 26
2.2 AGE-RELATED DIFFERENCES IN EMOTIONAL MEMORY: EMPIRICAL FINDINGS FROM EXPERIMENTAL APPROACHES 27
2.2.1 Neutral versus Emotional Material 29
2.2.2 Positive versus Negative Material 31
2.2.3 Summary of Findings 43
2.2.4 Potential Reasons for Inconsistent Findings about the Positive-Negative Disparity 47
2.3 PROPOSALS FOR AGE-RELATED DIFFERENCES IN THE POSITIVE-NEGATIVE DISPARITY OF EMOTIONAL MEMORY 53
2.3.1 Attention and Processing Priority 54
2.3.2 Arousal 57
2.3.3 Emotion Regulation 58
2.3.4 Long-Term Consolidation 61
2.3.5 Mood-Congruent Memory and Mood-Dependent Retrieval 64
2.3.6 Summary 65

3. OVERVIEW OF THE PRESENT STUDIES **67**
3.1 RATIONALE 68
3.2 HYPOTHESES 74
3.3 RESEARCH DESIGN 77
3.3.1 Preparatory Word Rating Study 77
3.3.2 Central Study: A Homogeneous-Heterogeneous List Paradigm 78

3.4 DATA ANALYSES 78

4. PREPARATORY WORD RATING STUDY 80

4.1 METHOD 81
4.1.1 Participants 81
4.1.1.1 *Sample Size and Composition* 81
4.1.1.2 *Sociodemographic Characteristics* 81
4.1.1.3 *Intellectual Functioning and Self-Reported Well-Being* 81
4.1.2 Word Stimuli 84
4.1.2.1 *Database of Adjectives* 84
4.1.2.2 *Selection Process: Eight Control Criteria* 84
4.1.3 Rating Dimensions 86
4.1.4 Procedure 87
4.1.5 Data Analyses 87
4.2 RESULTS 88
4.2.1 Word Characteristics 88
4.2.1.1 *Marker Adjectives for Six Rating Dimensions* 88
4.2.1.2 *Correlations between Rating Dimensions* 90
4.2.1.3 *Correlations to Ratings of Previous Studies* 92
4.2.2 Age-Related Differences in Word Ratings 94
4.2.2.1 *Scatter Plots comparing Ratings of Young and Older Adults* 94
4.2.2.2 *Analyses of Variance for Word Ratings of Young and Older Adults* 96
4.2.3 Selection of an Item Pool for the Experiment 98
4.2.3.1 *Selection Procedure* 98
4.2.3.2 *Comparison of Negative, Neutral, and Positive Words* 101
4.2.3.3 *Age-Related Differences in the Final Item Pool* 103
4.3 DISCUSSION 105
4.3.1 The Generalizability of the Rating Data in the Word Rating Study 106
4.3.2 Age-Related Differences in the Evaluation of Emotionally-TonedWords 107
4.3.3 The Selection Process for the Final Item Pool 108

5. CENTRAL STUDY: A HOMOGENEOUS-HETEROGENEOUS LIST PARADIGM 112

5.1 METHOD 112
5.1.1 Design of the Experiment 112
5.1.2 Material 114
5.1.2.1 *Computer and Software Program* 114
5.1.2.2 *List Compositions* 114
5.1.3 Word Covariates 117
5.1.4 Person Covariates 118
5.1.5 Participants 119
5.1.5.1 *Sample Size and Composition* 119
5.1.5.2 *Socio-Demographic Characteristics* 120
5.1.5.3 *Person Characteristics* 121
5.1.6 Procedure 124
5.1.6.1 *Procedure in Session I* 124
5.1.6.2 *Procedure in Session II* 125
5.1.7 Data Analyses 126

5.1.7.1 *Data Cleaning and Preparation* 126
5.1.7.2 *Statistical Analyses* 127
5.2. RESULTS 128
5.2.1 Recall Performance in the Learning Phase 128
5.2.1.1 Heterogeneous List Condition 130
5.2.1.2 Homogeneous List Condition 133
5.2.1.3 Summary 134
5.2.2 Recall Performance in the Retention Phase 135
5.2.2.1 Heterogeneous List Condition 137
5.2.2.2 Homogeneous List Condition 139
5.2.2.3 Summary 141
5.2.3 Follow-up Analyses on Person Characteristics 142
5.2.3.1 Correlations between Person Characteristics and Overall Recall 143
5.2.3.2 Person Characteristics as Covariates 144
5.2.3.3 Summary 146
5.2.4 Follow-up Analyses on Output Order of Recall 147
5.2.4.1 Analyses for the First Three Words Recalled 148
5.2.4.2 Summary 149
5.2.5 Follow-up Analyses on Subjective Valence Categorization 149
5.2.5.1 Subjective Valence Categories in the Learning Phase 151
5.2.5.2 Subjective Valence Categories in the Retention Phase 152
5.2.5.3 Summary 154
5.2.6 Analyses on Word Sets across Conditions 154
5.2.6.1 Word Sets in the Learning Phase 155
5.2.6.2 Word Sets in the Retention Phase 156
5.2.6.3 Summary 157
5.2.7 Follow-up Analyses on Recallability 158
5.2.7.1 Inter-Correlations for Ratings from the Word Rating Study and the Experiment 159
5.2.7.2 Recallability and Correlations to Word Characteristics 160
5.2.7.3 Differences between Age Groups and List Conditions in Recallability 162
5.2.7.4 Word Characteristics as Covariates 164
5.2.7.5 Summary 165

6. GENERAL DISCUSSION 168

6.1 MAJOR FINDINGS 168
6.1.1 Advantages of the Heterogeneity-Homogeneity List Paradigm 173
6.1.2 Advantages of the Multi-Trial Paradigm 174
6.1.3 Evidence for a Positivity Effect in Older Adults' Memory? 175
6.1.4 Evidence for Emotion-Based Processing Prioritization 176
6.1.5 Age-Related Differences in Differential Processing Prioritization 178
6.1.6 No Evidence for Differential Learning and Retention Effects for Positive, Negative, and Neutral Words 180
6.1.7 Implications of the Present Findings 181
6.2 METHODOLOGICAL CAVEATS 182
6.2.1 The To-Be-Remembered Material 182
6.2.2 Different Presentation Times for Young and Older Adults 184
6.3 DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH 185
6.3.1 Variation of the Type of To-be-remembered Material 185
6.3.2 Variation of the Emotional-tone of the To-be-remembered Material 186

6.3.3 Variation of the Memory Task 187
6.3.4 Emotional Memory across the Lifespan 188
6.4 CONCLUSIONS 189

7. REFERENCES 190

8. APPENDICES A-1
8.1 APPENDIX A: Design Features Of The Word Rating Study A-1
8.2 APPENDIX B: Instructions for Rating Dimensions A-7
8.2.1 Valence – Angenehmheit A-7
8.2.1.1 Main Instruction A-7
8.2.1.2 Rating Instruction A-8
8.2.2 Arousal – Entspannung-Anspannung A-8
8.2.2.1 Main Instruction A-8
8.2.2.2 Rating Instruction A-8
8.2.3 Control – Kontrolle A-8
8.2.3.1 Main Instruction A-8
8.2.3.2 Rating Instruction A-9
8.2.4 Imagery – Bildhaftigkeit A-9
8.2.4.1 Main Instruction A-9
8.2.4.2 Rating Instruction A-9
8.2.5 Self-Relevance – Im Allgemeinen bin ich... A-9
8.2.5.1 Main Instruction A-9
8.2.5.2 Rating Instruction A-10
8.2.6 Age-Relevance – Für welche Altersgruppe ist diese Eigenschaft typisch? A-10
8.2.6.1 Main Instruction A-10
8.2.6.2 Rating Instruction A-10
8.2.7 Young-Stereotype – Was ist typisch für junge Erwachsene? A-10
8.2.7.1 Main Instruction A-10
8.2.7.2 Rating Instruction A-11
8.2.8 Old-Stereotype – Was ist typisch für ältere Erwachsene? A-11
8.2.8.1 Main Instruction A-11
8.2.8.2 Rating Instruction A-11
8.3 APPENDIX C: Word Characteristics in the Word Rating Study A-12
8.4 APPENDIX D: Pilot Study A-50
8.4.1 Overview A-50
8.4.2 Method A-50
8.4.2.1 Participants A-50
8.4.2.2 Word Lists A-50
8.4.2.3 Procedure A-51
8.4.3 Results A-52
8.5 APPENDIX E: Design Features of the Experiment A-53
8.6 APPENDIX F: Additional Results in the Experiment A-58
8.7 APPENDIX G: Word Characteristics in the Experiment A-70

CURRICULUM VITAE
ERKLÄRUNG

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 *Summary of Findings for Age Differences in Remembering Emotionally-toned Material* 28

Table 2 *Sample Characteristics of Experimental Studies* 45

Table 3 *Overview of Research Predictions* 75

Table 4 *Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Total Sample and for Subsamples of Young and Older Adults* 82

Table 5 *Sample Characteristics for Subsamples of Young and Older Adults in the Word Rating Study* 83

Table 6 *Adjectives at the Bipolar Ends of each Dimension* 89

Table 7 *Correlations between Word Characteristics in the Word Rating Study for all Words and for the Final Item Pool* 90

Table 8 *Correlations between Ratings from the Word Rating Study and from Previous Studies* 93

Table 9 *Final Selection of Words for the Experiment* 100

Table 10 *Word Ratings of the Final Item Pool (90 Words) by Valence Category* 102

Table 11 *Analyses of Variance for the Final Item Pool by Valence Category* 102

Table 12 *Word Ratings of the Final Item Pool (90 Words) by Age Group and Valence* 104

Table 13 *Analyses of Variance for the Final Item Pool (90 Words) by Age Group and Valence* 104

Table 14 *Sample Design of the Experiment* 113

Table 15 Characteristics of the Six Word List Compositions 115

Table 16 *Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Total Sample and for Subsamples of Young and Older Adults* 121

Table 17 *Person Characteristics for Subsamples of Young and Older Adults in the Experiment* 123

Table 18 *Overview of Main Phases in the Procedure of the Experiment* 126

Table 19 *Overall Recall Performance for Young and Older Adults in the Learning Phase* 129

Table 20 *Recall Performance in the Learning Phase by List Condition (Heterogeneous and Homogeneous), Age Group, Valence Category (Negative, Positive, Neutral), and Trial* 131

Table 21 *Overall Recall Performance for Young and Older Adults in the Retention Phase* 137

Table 22 *Mean Recall Performance in the Retention Phase by List Condition, Age Group, and Valence* 138

Table 23 *Correlations between Person Characteristics and Recall Performance in the Learning Phase (Trials 1-5) and Retention Phase (1-Hour and 1-Week Recall) for Young and Older Adults* 144

Table 24 *Changes in Effect Sizes by Entering Covariates into the Main Analyses of the Learning Phase* 146

Table 25 *Mean Recall of Negative (N), Positive (P), and Neutral Words (O) in the First Three Recalled Items of Young and Older Adults in the Emotion-Heterogeneous Condition* 149

Table 26 *Agreement between the A Priori Valence Categorization and the Subjective Valence Categorization in the Emotion-Heterogeneous List Condition* 151

Table 27 *Percent of Recalled Words within Subjectively Generated Valence Categories in the Heterogeneous List Condition for Young and Older Adults* 152

Table 28 *Correlations between Ratings from the Word Ratings Study and from the Experiment* 160

Table 29 *Correlations between Recallability Scores and Other Word Characteristics* 162

Table 30 *Recallability in the Learning Phase by List Condition, Age Group, and Valence Category* 163

Table 31 *Effect Sizes by Entering Word Covariates in the Analyses of Word Recallability in the Learning Phase* 166

Table 32 *Overview of Central Research Predictions and Main Findings* 172

APPENDIX A

Table A1 *Previous Studies that Reported Rating Data for Adjectives* A-2

Table A2 *Sample Characteristics in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-3

Table A3 *Analyses of Variance for Sample Characteristics in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-3

Table A4 *Adjectives in the Word Rating Study* A-4

Table A5 *Sample Design in the Word Rating Study* A-6

APPENDIX C

Table C1 *Overall Means (SD) in the Word Rating Study* A-13

Table C2 *Ratings of Valence in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-18

Table C3 *Ratings of Arousal in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-23

Table C4 *Ratings of Control in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-28

Table C5 *Ratings of Imagery in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-33

Table C6 *Ratings of Self-Relevance in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-38

Table C7 *Ratings of Age-Relevance in the Word Rating Study by Age Group and Sex* A-43

APPENDIX E

Table E1 *Word Characteristics by Word Sets* A-54

Table E2 *Psychometric Properties of Affect, Well-Being, and Personality Scales* A-54

Table E3 *Person Characteristics in the Experiment by Age Group and Sex* A-55

Table E4 *Analyses of Variance for Person Characteristics in the Experiment* A-56

APPENDIX F

Table F1 *Descriptive Statistics for Overall Recall Performance of Young and Older Adults* A-60

Table F2 *Intercorrelation Matrix in Recall Performance in Young and Older Adults* A-60

Table F3 *Analyses of Variance for Overall Recall Performance in the Learning Phase* A-61

Table F4 *Multivariate Tests of Homogeneity (Box's M) in the Learning Phase* A-61

Table F5 *Univariate Tests of Homogeneity (Levene's Test) in the Learning Phase* A-61

Table F6 *Analyses of Variance for the Heterogeneous and Homogeneous List Condition in the Learning Phase* A-62

Table F7 *Follow-up Comparisons between Valence Categories for the Heterogeneous and Homogeneous List Condition in the Learning Phase* A-62

Table F8 *Analyses of Variance for Overall Recall Performance in the Retention Interval* A-63

Table F9 *Mean Recall Performance for each Trial in the Retention Phase by List Condition, Age Group, and Valence* A-63

Table F10 *Analyses of Variance for Mean Recall Performance in the Heterogeneous and Homogeneous List Condition within the Retention Phase* A-64

Table F11 *Follow-up Comparisons for Mean Recall Performance between Valence Categories in the Heterogeneous and Homogeneous List Condition within the Retention Phase* A-64

Table F12 Correlation Matrix for Person Characteristics used in the Analyses of Covariance A-65

Table F13 *Analyses of Variance for Mean Recall of the First Three and First Five Items Recalled in the Emotion-Heterogeneous List Condition* A-65

Table F14 *Follow-up Comparisons between Valence Categories in the Mean Recall of the First Three Items Recalled in the Emotion-Heterogeneous List Condition* A-66

Table F15 *Analyses of Variance for Percent of Recalled Words within Subjective Valence Categories (SV)* A-66

Table F16 *Follow-up Comparisons for Percent of Recalled Words within Subjective Valence Categories (SV) in the Learning and Retention Phase* A-66

Table F17 *Means for 10-Word Sets by Trial, List Condition, and Age Group in the Learning Phase* A-67

Table F18 *Means for 10-Word Sets by Trial, List Condition, and Age Group in the Retention Phase* A-67

Table F19 *Word Ratings by List Condition, Age Group, and Valence* A-68

Table F20 *Analyses of Variance for Word Ratings in the Heterogeneous and Homogeneous List Context (L) by Age Group (A) and Valence (V)* A-68

Table F21 *Analyses of Variance for Recallability of Positive, Negative, and Neutral Words in the Learning Phase, the 1-Hour and 1-Week Recall* A-68

Table F22 *Follow-up Comparisons for Recallability of Positive, Negative, and Neutral Words in the Learning Phase, the 1-Hour and 1-Week Recall* A-69

Table F23 *Mean Recallability by List Conditions, Age Group, Valence Category, and Retention Interval* A-69

APPENDIX G

Table G1 *Overall Means (SD) in the Experiment* A-71

Table G2 *Ratings of Valence in the Experiment by Age Group and Condition* A-73

Table G3 *Ratings of Arousal in the Experiment by Age Group and Condition* A-75

Table G4 *Ratings of Young-Stereotype in the Experiment by Age Group and Condition* A-77

Table G5 *Ratings of Old-Stereotype in the Experiment by Age Group and Condition* A-79

Table G6 *Ratings of Self-Relevance in the Experiment by Age Group and Condition* A-81

Table G7 *Recallability of Negative Words by Age Group and List Condition* A-83

Table G8 *Recallability of Neutral Words by Age Group and List Condition* A-84

Table G9 *Recallability of Positive Words by Age Group and List Condition* A-85

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 *Scatter Plots between Young and Older Adults for Each Rating Dimension* 95

Figure 2 *Design for the List Presentation and the Free Recall Trials in the Learning Phase and in the Retention Phase* 112

Figure 3 *List Composition in the Heterogeneous and Homogeneous List Conditions* 116

Figure 4 *Mean recall as a function of list condition, age group, and learning trial (1-5)* 130

Figure 5 *Valence-specific recall as a function of list condition* 131

Figure 6 *Mean recall for the emotion-heterogeneous list condition for young and older adults in the retention phase as a function of valence.* 137

Figure 7 *Mean recall for the emotion-homogeneous list condition for young and older adults in the retention phase as a function of valence.* 139

Figure 8 *Mean recall percentages for a priori valence categories (left part) and subjective valence categories (right part) as a function of age group and retention interval* 152

Figure 9 *Recall discrepancy scores for young and older adults for the same 10-item sets of negative, positive, and neutral words presented in the homogeneous and heterogeneous list contexts* 155

Figure 10 *Recall discrepancy scores for young and older adults in the learning phase and in both retention intervals* 156

APPENDICES

Figure C1 *Scatter Matrix between Dimensions for all Words in the Word Rating Study* A-48

Figure C2 *Distribution of Word Frequency Classes* A-49

Figure C3 *Distribution of Word Lengths* A-49

Figure E1 List Sequences A-57