

Coping as a Personality Process: How Elderly Patients Deal with Cataract Surgery

Nina Knoll

Dissertationsschrift zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der
Philosophie am Fachbereich Erziehungswissenschaft und
Psychologie der Freien Universität Berlin

Berlin 2002

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr. Ralf Schwarzer

Priv.-Doz. Dr. Clemens Tesch-Römer

Disputation: 8. Mai 2002

To Hildegard Hauck and Charlotte Knoll

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	XV
Abstract.....	XVI
Zusammenfassung.....	XVII
1. Introduction	1
2. Theoretical Background	5
2.1 Personality.....	5
2.1.1 The Integrative Hierarchical Structure of Personality Traits.....	7
2.1.2 Assessment of the Big Five: The NEO Personality Inventory.....	10
2.1.3 Limitations of the Five-Factor Solutions.....	12
2.1.4 Stability of Interindividual Differences over the Life-Span.....	13
2.1.5 On the Coexistence of Stability and Change.....	15
2.2 Coping with Stress.....	17
2.2.1 The Psychodynamic Perspective on 'Coping'.....	17
2.2.2 The Stimulus-Based Perspective.....	19
2.2.3 The Transactional Perspective.....	20
2.2.4 Personality and Coping.....	23
2.2.5 Formal Classification of Coping.....	27
2.2.6 Content-Free Aspects of Coping.....	28
2.2.7 Coping in Old Age.....	31
2.2.8 Coping in Old Age - Empirical Results.....	34
2.3 Outcomes in Stress and Coping Research.....	35
2.3.1 Positive and Negative Affect.....	36
2.3.2 A Word on Interrelatedness: Personality and Affect.....	38
2.3.3 Health, Affect, and Old Age.....	40
2.3.4 Anxiety, Fear, Stress.....	42
2.3.5 Coping Satisfaction.....	44
2.4 Longer-Term Outcomes in Coping Research.....	46
2.4.1 Emotional Well-Being.....	46
2.4.2 Functional Adaptation.....	48
2.5 Selection of the Study Setting.....	50
2.5.1 Perioperative Stress.....	50
2.5.2 The Cataract.....	52
2.5.3 Cataract Surgery.....	52
3. Central Research Questions and Hypotheses	55
3.1 A Stressor Indeed?.....	55

3.1.1.	Expected Changes in State Affect Pre- to Post-Surgery.....	55
3.1.2.	Change in Longer-Term Outcome Measures: Well-Being.....	57
3.1.3.	Change in Longer-Term Outcome Measures: Functional.....	58
3.2.	Personality: Prediction of Outcomes During the Situation and Beyond?.....	59
3.2.1.	Personality Traits and Situation-Specific Outcomes.....	59
3.2.2.	Personality Predicts Longer-Term Outcomes.....	61
3.3.	Age and Situation-Specific Coping versus Dispositional Coping.....	63
3.4.	Coping: Prediction of Outcomes During the Situation and Beyond?.....	64
3.4.1.	Situation-Specific Coping Predicts Affect and Coping Satisfaction.....	64
3.4.2.	Dispositional Coping Predicts Long-Term Outcomes.....	67
3.5.	Differential Effects Regarding Personality and Coping.....	69
3.6.	Coping as a Personality Process?.....	70
3.7.	'Content-Free' Aspects of Coping: Selective Coping and Total Range of Coping	71
4.	Method	73
4.1.	Participants.....	73
4.1.1.	Recruitment Procedures.....	73
4.1.2.	Exclusion Criteria.....	74
4.1.3.	Sample Size and Rate of Participation.....	74
4.1.4.	Chronological Age and Gender.....	75
4.1.5.	Sociodemographic Variables.....	76
4.1.6.	General Health Status.....	77
4.1.7.	Ophthalmic Data.....	78
4.1.8.	Major Variables Under Study: Biases Due to Drop Out?.....	80
4.2.	Materials and Measures.....	82
4.2.1.	The Study Information Brochure.....	82
4.2.2.	Demographic Variables.....	83
4.2.3.	Control Variables.....	83
4.2.4.	Personality Traits -The German Adaptation of the NEO-FFI.....	84
4.2.5.	The 'Big Three' in the Present Study.....	85
4.2.6.	Coping.....	87
4.2.7.	Two Content-Free Aspects of Coping.....	94
4.2.8.	Situation-Specific Outcomes: Positive and Negative Affect.....	94
4.2.9.	Positive and Negative Affect in the Present Study.....	96
4.2.10.	Situation-Specific Outcomes: Coping Satisfaction.....	99
4.2.11.	Longer-Term Outcomes: Depressive Symptoms.....	100
4.2.12.	Longer-Term Outcomes: Life-Satisfaction.....	100
4.2.13.	Another Long-Term Outcome: Vision-Related Functional Status.....	101
4.3.	Data Assessment and Design.....	102
4.4.	Analyses.....	104

4.4.1.	Treatment of Missing Values and Outliers.....	104
4.4.2.	General Analytic Procedures.....	105
5.	Results	110
5.1.	Descriptive Results: Situation-Specific Outcomes.....	110
5.1.1.	Distribution of State Affect Measures.....	110
5.1.2.	Changes in State Affect Around Surgery.....	111
5.1.3.	Unique Time Effects: State Negative Affect.....	112
5.1.4.	Unique Time Effects: State Positive Affect.....	114
5.1.5.	Covariates of State Positive and Negative Affect.....	116
5.1.6.	Satisfaction with Coping Efforts.....	120
5.2.	Descriptive Results: Longer-Term Well-Being Outcomes.....	121
5.2.1.	Depressive Symptoms: Distribution and Correlates.....	122
5.2.2.	Life Satisfaction.....	124
5.3.	Descriptive Results: Longer-Term Vision-Related Functional Outcomes.....	125
5.4.	Descriptive Results: Major Predictors.....	128
5.4.1.	NEO-Personality Traits: Distribution and Correlates.....	128
5.4.2.	Coping: Distribution and Correlates.....	129
5.4.3.	Two Content-Free Aspects of Coping: Selective Coping versus Total Range of Coping.....	132
5.5.	Testing Mediation with Situation-Specific Measures.....	134
5.5.1.	Personality Variables Predict State Affect.....	134
5.5.2.	N, E, and O Predict State Positive Affect.....	135
5.5.3.	Neuroticism and Openness Predict State Negative Affect.....	137
5.5.4.	Situation-Specific Coping Predicts State Affect.....	139
5.5.5.	Situation-Specific Coping Predicts State Positive Affect.....	140
5.5.6.	Situation-Specific Coping Predicts State Negative Affect.....	141
5.5.7.	Personality Predicts Situation-Specific Coping.....	146
5.5.8.	Coping as a 'Personality Process'?.....	149
5.5.9.	Coping Mediates the Relationship Between Personality Traits and Negative Affect?.....	150
5.5.10.	Coping Mediates the Relationship Between Higher-Order Personality Traits and Positive Affect?.....	153
5.5.11.	Summary Thus Far: Coping as a Personality Process?.....	158
5.5.12.	Testing an Alternative Model: "Differential Effectiveness".....	158
5.5.13.	Another Situation-Specific Outcome: Satisfaction with Coping Predicted by Personality?.....	160
5.5.14.	Situation-Specific Coping Predicts Satisfaction with Coping Efforts?.....	161
5.5.15.	Does Coping Mediate between Personality and Coping Satisfaction?.....	162

5.5.16.	Testing Coping as a Moderator between Personality and Coping Satisfaction.....	163
5.6.	Testing the Mediator Hypothesis with Long-Term Measures.....	164
5.6.1.	Personality Predicts Depression and Life Satisfaction.....	165
5.6.2.	Dispositional Coping Predicts Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction.....	167
5.6.3.	Personality Predicts Dispositional Coping?.....	169
5.6.4.	Evidence for Mediation between Neuroticism and Depressive Symptoms?.....	170
5.6.5.	Differential Effectiveness: Testing Possible Moderation.....	171
5.6.6.	Visual Acuity Post-Surgery and Long-Term Well-Being: Personality and Coping as Moderators?.....	172
5.7.	Better Vision - More Action for Some?.....	176
5.7.1.	Neuroticism and Openness Predict Aspects of Functional Status Six Weeks Post-Surgery.....	176
5.7.2.	Openness: See Better, Complain Less?.....	178
5.7.3.	Habitual Coping Predicts Vision-Related Functional Status Post-Surgery..	179
5.7.4.	Adjusting to Better Vision on a Functional Level: Partly a Matter of Openness, Dispositional Coping, or Both?.....	182
5.7.5.	Would Dispositional Coping Moderate the Relationship Between Visual Acuity and Functional Status?.....	185
5.7.6.	Better Vision, More Action for Some: Summary So Far.....	185
5.8.	Selective Coping Versus Total Range of Coping.....	187
5.8.1.	More Content-Free Aspects of Coping Predict Sit.-Spec. Outcomes.....	187
5.8.2.	More Content-Free Measures Predict Affect Above and Beyond Content of Coping Efforts?.....	192
5.8.3.	Distal Well-Being Predicted by Dispositional Content-Free Coping Measures?.....	193
5.8.4.	More Content-Free Aspects of Dispositional Coping Predict Functional Status?.....	194
5.8.5.	Associations Between Higher-Order Personality Traits and More Content-Free Coping Measures.....	195
5.8.6.	Evidence for Content-Free Aspects as Mediators?.....	196
5.9.	General Summary.....	201
	6. Discussion	207
6.1.	A Stressor Indeed?.....	207
6.2.	Satisfaction with Coping Efforts as Another Situation-Specific Outcome.....	210
6.3.	Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction.....	211

6.4.	Long-Term Functional Outcomes.....	213
6.5.	Coping and Age.....	214
6.6.	Gender and Coping.....	217
6.7.	Unique Effects of Coping Predicting Situation-Specific Outcomes.....	218
6.8.	Unique Relations of Dispositional Coping with Long-Term Outcomes.....	223
6.9.	Dispositional Coping and Functional Limitations.....	225
6.10.	Unique Predictions of Coping Generally.....	227
6.11.	Mediation and Unique Effects of Personality: Coping as a Personality Process?	228
6.12.	Personality Predicts Coping Predicts Outcome?.....	231
6.12.1.	Emotionally Labile Versus Emotionally Stable Patients.....	231
6.12.2.	Extraverts Versus Introverts.....	234
6.12.3.	High versus Low Openness to Experience.....	236
6.12.4.	Neuroticism and Extraversion Lacking Explicit Affectivity Items.....	238
6.13.	Two Approaches to More Content-Free Aspects of Coping.....	238
6.13.1.	Selective Coping: The Better Way to Cope?.....	238
6.13.2.	Total Range of Coping: Troubled Souls Overreact and Make it Worse Instead of Better?.....	239
6.14.	Indirect Effects of NEO-Personality Traits on Outcomes via 'Content-Free' Coping.....	241
6.15.	Limitations of the Present Study and Perspectives for Future Research.....	242
6.16.	Conclusions.....	245
7. References		248
8. Appendices		264
8.1.	Appendix A: Comparison of Berlin and Mainz Patients.....	264
8.2.	Appendix B: Central Constructs.....	269
8.3.	Appendix C: Documentation of Analyses.....	274
8.3.1.	The Brief COPE: Inter-Item and Inter-Scale Correlations of the Original Two-Item Subscales.....	274
8.3.2.	The Brief COPE: Documentation on the Final CFA Models of the Situation-Specific and Dispositional Versions.....	276
8.3.3.	The PANAS: Additional Analyses on PA and NA Subfacets.....	277
8.3.4.	Additional Control Analyses for the Prediction of State Positive Affect....	278
8.3.5.	Additional Control Analyses for the Prediction of Coping by Higher- Order Personality Traits.....	280
8.3.6.	Additional Documentation of Analyses Concerning Coping Satisfaction....	281
8.3.7.	Content-Free Aspects of Coping Predict Affect Balance.....	282
8.3.8.	Content-Free Aspects of Coping Predicting Affect Above and Beyond Content Scales?.....	283

8.3.9.	Content-Free Aspects of Coping Predicting Long-Term Outcomes Above and Beyond Content Scales?.....	285
8.3.10.	Age and Multimorbidity.....	286
8.4.	Appendix D: Net of Affectivity Versions Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Additional Analyses	287
8.4.1.	Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Predict Positive Affect.....	287
8.4.2.	Neuroticism/S Predicts Negative Affect.....	289
8.4.3.	Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Predict Long-Term Outcomes.....	290
8.4.4.	Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Predict Coping.....	290
8.4.5.	Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Predict the Affects: Directly and Indirectly.....	292
8.4.6.	Neuroticism/S Predicts Longer-Term Outcomes: Directly and Indirectly...	295
8.4.7.	Neuroticism/S Predicts Positive Affect: Directly and Indirectly via Selective Coping.....	295
8.4.8.	Neuroticism/S Predicts Intensity of Limitations at t1: Directly and Indirectly via Total Range of Coping/D.....	296
8.5.	Appendix E: Additional Information on Materials and Tests.....	297
8.5.1.	Consent Form: Participation (German).....	297
8.5.2.	Consent Form: Participation (English).....	297
8.5.3.	Consent Form: Patient Confidentiality (German).....	298
8.5.4.	Consent Form: Patient Confidentiality (English).....	298
8.5.5.	The Brief COPE: German and English Versions (Carver, 1997).....	299
8.5.6.	The PANAS: German and English Versions (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988).....	302
8.5.7.	Assessment of Vision-Related Functional Status (after Javitt, et al., 1997).....	302

List of Figures

Figure 1	<i>Mediator model</i>	107
Figure 2	<i>Mean Negative Affect (+/- one standard error, range 1 to 4) at all measurement points in time</i>	112
Figure 3	<i>Means of Negative Affect subfacets at all measurement points in time</i>	113
Figure 4	<i>Mean Positive Affect (+/- one standard error, range 1 to 4) at all measurement points in time</i>	114
Figure 5	<i>Means of Positive Affect subfacets over all measurement points in time</i>	115
Figures 6/7	<i>Separate affect means for 1st Eye versus 2nd Eye patients over all assessments</i>	118
Figure 8	<i>Mean depressive symptom sumscores for men and women</i>	122
Figure 9	<i>Overall means (+/- one standard error) of intensity of limitations upon admission and six weeks post-surgery</i>	126
Figure 10	<i>Means of situation-specific and dispositional coping subscales</i>	130
Figure 11	<i>Means for situation-specific active coping in patients receiving invasive versus non-invasive forms of local anesthesia</i>	131
Figure 12	<i>Patients low (a) and high (b) in selective coping, situation-specific coping profiles</i>	133
Figure 13	<i>Mean Negative Affect pre-surgery for patients high versus low in Openness to Experience</i>	138
Figure 14	<i>Simple Positive Affect means across the two pre-surgical time points for different age-groups with different levels of Support Seeking (situation-specific)</i>	144
Figure 15	<i>Mean Negative Affect upon admission for men and women high and low in levels of Support Seeking (situation-specific)</i>	146
Figure 16	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, situation-specific coping, and Negative Affect at t1</i>	151
Figure 17	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, situation-specific coping, and Negative Affect at t2</i>	152
Figure 18	<i>Relationship among Openness, situation-specific coping, and change from Negative Affect at t1 to Negative Affect at t2</i>	153
Figure 19	<i>Relationship among Openness, situation-specific coping, and Positive Affect at t1</i>	154
Figure 20	<i>Relationship among Extraversion, situation-specific coping, and Positive Affect at t2</i>	154
Figure 21	<i>Relationship among Extraversion, situation-specific coping, and change of Positive Affect at t1 to Positive Affect at t2</i>	155

Figure 22	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, situation-specific coping, and Positive Affect at t3</i>	157
Figure 23	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, situation-specific coping, and change of Positive Affect at t2 to Positive Affect at t3</i>	157
Figure 24	<i>Mean Positive Affect pre-surgery for groups high versus low in Extraversion and Focus on Positive coping (situation-specific)</i>	160
Figure 25	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, situation-specific coping, and coping satisfaction</i>	163
Figure 26	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, dispositional coping, and depressive symptoms six weeks post-surgery</i>	171
Figure 27	<i>Mean sumscores of depressive symptoms six weeks post-surgery by levels of Neuroticism and post-surgical visual acuity in the eye operated on.</i>	174
Figure 28	<i>Simple means for participants high in change in visual acuity, comparing open individuals and their counterparts</i>	179
Figure 29	<i>Relationship among Openness, dispositional coping, and change in number of activities from t1 to t4</i>	182
Figure 30	<i>Simple means of number of activities (with glasses on) for low dispositional active copers with high and low levels of Openness over time</i>	184
Figure 31	<i>Relationship among Openness, selective coping (situation-specific), and Positive Affect at t1</i>	197
Figure 32	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, selective coping (situation-specific), and Positive Affect at t3</i>	198
Figure 33	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, selective coping (situation-specific), and change in Positive Affect from t2 to t3</i>	198
Figure 34	<i>Relationship among Neuroticism, total range of coping/D (dispositional), and vision-related intensity of limitation at t1</i>	200

List of Tables

Table 1	<i>Hierarchical Structure of Personality Traits</i>	9
Table 2	<i>Information on Number of Participants Throughout the Study</i>	75
Table 3	<i>Age and Gender Distributions for the Full Sample, Continuers, and Non-Continuers</i>	75

Table of Contents
IX

Table 4	<i>Socio-Demographic Characteristics for the Full Sample, Continuers, and Non-Continuers</i>	76
Table 5	<i>Information on General Health for the Full Sample, Continuers, and Non-Continuers</i>	78
Table 6	<i>Information about Subjective and Objective Visual Impairment for the Full Sample, Continuer, and Non-Continuer Sample</i>	79
Table 7	<i>Information about Ophthalmic History for the Full Sample, Continuer, and Non-Continuer Sample</i>	80
Table 8	<i>The Brief COPE: Subscales</i>	88
Table 9	<i>Internal Consistencies for Situation-Specific and Dispositional Coping Scales</i>	93
Table 10	<i>Situation-Specific and Dispositional Coping: Scale-Intercorrelations</i>	93
Table 11	<i>The PANAS: Positive and Negative Affect, Subfacettes</i>	97
Table 12	<i>Internal Consistencies (Cronbach's α) of PANAS Subscales and Facets</i>	98
Table 13	<i>Intercorrelations Between State PANAS-Scales</i>	99
Table 14	<i>Items After the Cataract TyPE Specification by Javitt and Colleagues (1997)</i>	101
Table 15	<i>Design of the Present Study</i>	103
Table 16	<i>Means and Standard Deviations of Positive Affect at t1 and t2</i>	119
Table 17	<i>Pearson Correlations Between General Coping Satisfaction and Positive and Negative Affect</i>	121
Table 18	<i>Pearson Correlations of Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction with the Affects</i>	123
Table 19	<i>Pearson Correlations Between Personality Traits and Outcome Variables Positive and Negative Affect</i>	135
Table 20	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Positive Affect at Different Points in Time</i>	136
Table 21	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Positive Affect at Different Points in Time</i>	137
Table 22	<i>Pearson Correlations Between Situation-Specific Coping and Outcome Variables State Positive and Negative Affect</i>	139
Table 23	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting State Positive Affect at Different Points in Time</i>	141
Table 24	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting State Negative Affect</i>	142
Table 25	<i>Pearson Correlations Between Personality Traits and Situation-Specific Coping</i>	147
Table 26	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Situation-Specific Coping Responses</i>	148

Table 27	<i>Pearson Correlations Between Personality Traits and Coping Satisfaction</i>	161
Table 28	<i>Pearson Correlations Between Situation-Specific Coping and Coping Satisfaction.....</i>	162
Table 29	<i>Pearson Correlations of Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction with NEO-Personality Traits.....</i>	165
Table 30	<i>Results of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction.....</i>	166
Table 31	<i>Pearson Correlations of Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction with Dispositional Coping.....</i>	167
Table 32	<i>Regression Analyses: Dispositional Coping Predicting Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction.....</i>	168
Table 33	<i>Pearson Correlations Between NEO-Personality Traits and Coping/D.....</i>	169
Table 34	<i>Results of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Dispositional Coping.....</i>	170
Table 35	<i>Results of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Depressive Symptoms (t4) by Introducing Two-Way Interaction Terms.....</i>	173
Table 36	<i>Results of Follow-Up Analyses Predicting Depressive Symptoms in Lower and Higher Visual Acuity Groups.....</i>	174
Table 37	<i>Results of Follow-Up Analyses Predicting Depressive Symptoms in Lower and Higher Visual Acuity Groups.....</i>	175
Table 38	<i>Correlations Between Higher-Order Personality Traits and Vision-Dependent Functional Status.....</i>	177
Table 39	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Number of Vision-Dependent Activities and Intensity of Limitation.....</i>	177
Table 40	<i>Results of Hierarchical Regression Analysis Predicting Change in Vision-Related Intensity of Limitation.....</i>	178
Table 41	<i>Pearson Correlations Between Dispositional Coping and Outcome Variables Number of Activities and Intensity of Limitation at Different Points in Time.....</i>	180
Table 42	<i>Results of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Number of Vision-Dependent Activities at Different Points in Time and Vision-Related Intensity of Limitations.....</i>	181
Table 43	<i>Testing Moderation: Results of Hierarchical Regression Analysis Predicting Change in Number of Activities from t1 to t4.....</i>	183
Table 44	<i>Pearson Correlations of Situation-Specific Total Range of Coping and Selective Coping with Positive and Negative Affect, and Coping Satisfaction.....</i>	187

Table 45	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: Situation-Specific Selective Coping and Total Range of Coping Predicting Positive Affect at Different Points in Time.....</i>	189
Table 46	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: Situation-Specific Selective Coping and Total Range of Coping Predicting Negative Affect at Different Points in Time.....</i>	190
Table 47	<i>Pearson Correlations of Dispositional Total Range of Coping and Selective Coping with Life Satisfaction and Depressive Symptoms</i>	193
Table 48	<i>Correlations Between Dispositional "Content-Free" Aspects of Coping and Vision-Related Functional Status.....</i>	194
Table 49	<i>Total Range of Coping/D Predicts Vision-Related Intensity of Limitation at t1 Without and With Control for Dispositional Coping Scales.....</i>	194
Table 50	<i>Pearson Correlations of Situation-Specific and Dispositional Total Range of Coping and Selective Coping with Neuroticism, Extraversion, and Openness to Experience.....</i>	195
Table 51	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses Predicting Positive Affect at Admission, Discharge and Change in Positive Affect from Day of Surgery to Discharge.....</i>	199
Table 52	<i>Summary of Hypotheses and Results.....</i>	202

List of Tables and Figures in Appendices

Appendix A: Comparison of Berlin and Mainz Patients

Table A1	<i>Comparison of Socio-Demographic Characteristics Berlin Versus Mainz..</i>	264
Table A2	<i>General Health Indicators: Berlin versus Mainz.....</i>	266
Table A3	<i>Comparison of Visual Impairment in Berlin and Mainz Patients.....</i>	266

Appendix B: Central Constructs

Table B1	<i>Major Variables Under Study: Descriptive Statistics.....</i>	269
----------	-----------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Appendix C: Documentation of Analyses

Table C1	<i>Inter-Item and Inter-Scale Correlations of the Brief COPE (Situation-Specific).....</i>	274
Table C2	<i>Inter-Item/Inter-Scale Correlations of the Brief COPE (Dispositional).....</i>	275

Table C3	<i>Final CFA Models of the Brief COPE-Situation-Specific and Dispositional with Significant Coefficients Presented in Completely Standardized Form.....</i>	276
Table C4	<i>Intercorrelations of the Latent Factors of the Final Modified CFA, Brief COPE Situation-Specific (Above Diagonal) and Dispositional (Below Diagonal).....</i>	277
Table C5	<i>Means and Contrasts of PA Facets Pre-Surgery.....</i>	277
Table C6	<i>Means and Contrasts of NA Facets Pre-Surgery.....</i>	277
Table C7	<i>Summary of Regression Analyses: NEO-Personality Traits Predicting State Positive Affect.....</i>	278
Table C8	<i>Summary of Regression Analyses Situation-Specific Coping Predicting State Positive Affect.....</i>	278
Table C9	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: NEO-Personality Traits Predicting Situation-Specific Coping.....</i>	280
Table C10	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: NEO-Personality Traits Predicting Dispositional Coping.....</i>	280
Table C11	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Neuroticism Predicting Coping Satisfaction.....</i>	281
Table C12	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Situation-Specific Coping Predicting Coping Satisfaction.....</i>	281
Table C13	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Situation-Specific Content-Free Aspects of Coping Predicting Affect Balance.....</i>	282
Table C14	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Situation-Specific Content-Free Aspects of Coping and Coping Content Scales Predicting Affect Balance.....</i>	282
Table C15	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: Content and Content-Free Coping Predicting Positive Affect at Different Points in Time.....</i>	283
Table C16	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: Content and Content-Free Coping Predicting Negative Affect at Different Points in Time.....</i>	284
Table C17	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: Dispositional Content and Content-Free Measures of Coping Predicting Life Satisfaction (t1)....</i>	285
Table C18	<i>Spearman Correlations of Different Diseases with Age.....</i>	286

Appendix D: Net of Affectivity Versions Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S

Additional Analyses

Table D1	<i>Pearson Correlations between Personality Traits and Outcome Variables State Positive and Negative Affect.....</i>	287
Table D2	<i>Hierarchical Regression Analysis: NEO/S Personality Traits Predicting Positive Affect t1.....</i>	287

Table of Contents
XIII

Table D3	<i>Hierarchical Regression Analysis: NEO/S Personality Traits Predicting Positive Affect t2</i>	287
Table D4	<i>Hierarchical Regression Analysis: NEO/S Personality Traits Predicting Positive Affect t3</i>	288
Table D5	<i>Hierarchical Regression Analysis: NEO/S Personality Traits Predicting Change in PA t1 to t2</i>	288
Table D6	<i>Hierarchical Regression Analysis: NEO/S Personality Traits Predicting Change in PA t2 to t3</i>	288
Table D7	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Neuroticism/S Predicting Negative Affect t1</i>	289
Table D8	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Neuroticism/S Predicting Negative Affect t2</i>	289
Table D9	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Neuroticism/S Predicting Negative Affect t3</i>	289
Table D10	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Predicting Depressive Symptoms and Life Satisfaction</i>	290
Table D11	<i>Correlations of Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S with Situation-Specific and Dispositional Coping</i>	290
Table D12	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Predicting Situation-Specific Coping</i>	291
Table D13	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses: Neuroticism/S and Extraversion/S Predicting Dispositional Coping</i>	291
Table D14	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Negative Affect t1</i>	292
Table D15	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Negative Affect t2</i>	292
Table D16	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Positive Affect t2</i>	293
Table D17	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Residualized Change of Positive Affect t1 to t2</i>	293
Table D18	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Positive Affect t3</i>	294
Table D19	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Residualized Change of Positive Affect t2 to t3</i>	294
Table D20	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Depressive Symptoms t4</i>	295
Table D21	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Positive Affect t3</i>	295

Table D22	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Positive Affect t3</i>	296
Table D23	<i>Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Intensity of Limitations t1</i>	296
Table D24	<i>Intensity of Limitation Predicted by Neuroticism/S, Dispositional Content Scales and Total Range of Coping</i>	296
Appendix E: Additional Information on Materials and Tests		
Table E1	<i>The PANAS: Positive and Negative Affect, Subfacettes</i>	302
Table E2	<i>Items after the Cataract TyPE Specification by Javitt and Colleagues (1997)</i>	303
Figure C1	<i>Age trajectory: Number of unweighted medical diagnoses, N=110</i>	286