

4 The monotonicity formula

An important tool to control solutions of evolution equations in general are monotone quantities. Such a monotonicity formula also exists for the flow (2.5), which we will show for closed M in this section. Although there is the monotone entropy E given by (2.1), it will turn out that this is not sufficient for all our purposes.

We want to replace the entropy E by a scaling invariant integral and to this end introduce explicitly a scale parameter τ into the formula as it is done in [Per02, §3]. One should think of τ as backwards time, measured back from some fixed time. M will be a closed Riemannian manifold for the rest of this section.

Definition 4.1 *Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ be a positive real number. Then the entropy W of a configuration*

$$(g, u, f, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}(M) \times C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \times \mathbb{R}^+$$

is defined to be

$$W(g, u, f, \tau) := \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n](4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f} dV . \quad (4.1)$$

From this definition we see that W is scaling invariant in the following sense:

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\alpha > 0$ be a constant and φ be a diffeomorphism of M . Then the entropy W is invariant under simultaneous scaling of g and τ by α in the sense that*

$$W(\alpha g, u, f, \alpha\tau) = W(g, u, f, \tau)$$

and invariant under diffeomorphisms

$$W(\varphi^*g, \varphi^*u, \varphi^*f, \tau) = W(g, u, f, \tau) .$$

Proof:

This is a short computation:

$$\begin{aligned} & W(\alpha g, u, f, \alpha\tau) \\ &= \int_M [\alpha\tau(R(\alpha g) - 2(\alpha g)^{ij}\partial_i u \partial_j u + (\alpha g)^{ij}\partial_i f \partial_j f) + f - n](4\pi\alpha\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f} \sqrt{\det(\alpha g)} dx \\ &= \int_M [\alpha\tau(\alpha^{-1}R - 2\alpha^{-1}|du|^2 + \alpha^{-1}|df|^2) + f - n]\alpha^{-\frac{n}{2}}(4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f} \alpha^{\frac{n}{2}} dV \\ &= W(g, u, f, \tau) . \end{aligned}$$

The invariance under diffeomorphisms is clear since we are dealing with geometric quantities. One can use coordinates induced by φ for a proof. □

We choose a variation vector (v, w, h, σ) as we did for E and compute the first variation of W , abbreviating $(4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-f}dV$ by dm in the following. The first component gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta W[g, u, f, \tau](v, 0, 0, 0) &= \int_M (\tau[\delta R(g)](v) - 2\tau v^{ij}\partial_i u \partial_j u + \tau v^{ij}\partial_i f \partial_j f) dm \\
&\quad + \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n](4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-f}[\delta dV(g)](v) \\
&= \int_M \tau \cdot (-\Delta(\text{tr } v) + \nabla_i \nabla_j v_{ij} - R_{ij}v_{ij} + 2v_{ij}\partial_i u \partial_j u - v_{ij}\partial_i f \partial_j f) dm \\
&\quad + \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n] \cdot \frac{\text{tr } v}{2} dm \\
&= \int_M v_{ij} \cdot \{-\tau S_{ij} - \tau \nabla_i \nabla_j f\} + \frac{\text{tr } v}{2} \cdot \{2\tau \Delta f - 2\tau |df|^2\} dm \\
&\quad + \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n] \cdot \frac{\text{tr } v}{2} dm
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that by partial integration

$$\int_M (-\Delta(\text{tr } v) + \nabla_i \nabla_j v_{ij}) e^{-f} dV = \int_M [\text{tr } v \cdot (\Delta f - |df|^2) + v_{ij}(\partial_i f \partial_j f - \nabla_i \nabla_j f)] e^{-f} dV$$

holds. The variation of u is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta W[g, u, f, \tau](0, w, 0, 0) &= \int_M -2\tau[\delta |du|^2](w) dm = \int_M (-2\tau \cdot 2\partial_i w \partial_i u) dm \\
&= \int_M 4\tau w \cdot (\Delta u - \langle du, df \rangle) dm = \int_M 8w \cdot \left\{ \frac{\tau}{2} \Delta u - \frac{\tau}{2} \langle du, df \rangle \right\} dm,
\end{aligned}$$

and for f we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta W[g, u, f, \tau](0, 0, h, 0) &= \int_M (\tau[\delta |df|^2](h) + h) dm + \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n](4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}}[\delta e^{-f}](h) dV \\
&= \int_M (\tau \cdot 2\partial_i h \partial_i f + h) dm + \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n](-h) dm \\
&= \int_M (h \cdot \{-2\tau \Delta f + 2\tau |df|^2\} + h) dm + \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n](-h) dm.
\end{aligned}$$

Varying τ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta W[g, u, f, \tau](0, 0, 0, \sigma) &= \int_M \sigma(S + |df|^2) dm - \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) - f - n][\delta(4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}}](\sigma) e^{-f} dV \\
&= \int_M \sigma \cdot \{S + |df|^2\} dm + \int_M [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n] \cdot \left(-\frac{n\sigma}{2\tau}\right) dm.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting this together gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta W[g, u, f, \tau](v, w, h, \sigma) &= \int_M v_{ij} \cdot \{-\tau S_{ij} - \tau \nabla_i \nabla_j f\} + 8w \cdot \left\{ \frac{\tau}{2} \Delta u - \frac{\tau}{2} \langle du, df \rangle \right\} dm \\
&\quad + \int_M \left[\left(\frac{\text{tr } v}{2} - h \right) \cdot \{2\tau \Delta f - 2\tau |df|^2\} + h + \sigma \cdot \{S + |df|^2\} \right] dm \\
&\quad + \int_M \left(\frac{\text{tr } v}{2} - h - \frac{n\sigma}{2\tau} \right) [\tau(S + |df|^2) + f - n] dm.
\end{aligned}$$

We will think of τ as a backward time and therefore set the variation of τ to be $\sigma \equiv -1$. In the same way as for E , we can choose the variation of f such that the measure is kept fixed:

$$h := \frac{\text{tr } v}{2} + \frac{n}{2\tau} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\text{tr } v}{2} - h + \frac{n}{2\tau} = 0 .$$

Fix f and choose h as above. In the same way fix τ and choose σ as above. Considering W as a functional of g and u alone, we finally get:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W[g, u, f, \tau](v, w) &= \int_M v_{ij} \cdot \{-\tau S_{ij} - \tau \nabla_i \nabla_j f\} + w \cdot 4\tau \{\Delta u - \langle du, df \rangle\} dm \\ &+ \int_M \left[\tau \cdot \frac{n}{2\tau} \underbrace{(2|df|^2 - 2\Delta f)}_{=0} + h - S - \underbrace{|df|^2}_{=\Delta f} \right] dm . \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Since on closed M the following identity for the Laplacian and the norm squared of df is true

$$0 = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M \Delta e^{-f} dV = \int_M (|df|^2 - \Delta f) (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f} dV, \quad (4.3)$$

we can cancel one term in (4.2) and replace $|df|^2$ by Δf in the other. If we vary W along the variation given by the following evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} v &:= \partial_t g := -2Sy - 2\nabla^2 f \\ w &:= \partial_t u := \Delta u - \langle du, df \rangle \\ h &:= \partial_t f := \frac{\text{tr } v}{2} + \frac{n}{2\tau} = -\Delta f - S + \frac{n}{2\tau} \\ \sigma &:= \partial_t \tau := -1 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

we calculate that

$$\partial_t W(g, u, f, \tau)(t) = \int_M [2\tau |Sy + \nabla^2 f|^2 + 4\tau |\Delta u - \langle du, df \rangle|^2 - 2\Delta f - 2S + \frac{n}{2\tau}] dm .$$

Since

$$2\tau |Sy + \nabla^2 f|^2 - \frac{1}{2\tau} |g|^2 = 2\tau |Sy + \nabla^2 f|^2 + 2\tau \left(\frac{n}{4\tau^2} - \frac{1}{\tau} S - \frac{1}{\tau} \Delta f \right) ,$$

we finally conclude

$$\partial_t W(g, u, f, \tau)(t) = \int_M 2\tau |Sy + \nabla^2 f|^2 - \frac{1}{2\tau} |g|^2 + 4\tau |\Delta u - \langle du, df \rangle|^2 dm .$$

Remark 4.3 *Note that the following theorem is still true for a complete noncompact manifold Σ as long as the integrations by parts can be performed. This is possible for example by imposing falloff conditions on (g, u, f) .*

So everything comes together to the following result:

Theorem 4.4 *Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold and assume that g , u , f and τ satisfy on $[0, T) \times M$ the evolution equations*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t g &= -2Sy \\ \partial_t u &= \Delta u \\ \partial_t f &= -\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - S + \frac{n}{2\tau} \\ \partial_t \tau &= -1 .\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Then the following monotonicity formula holds:

$$\partial_t W(t) = \int_M \left[2\tau |Sy + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{2\tau} g|^2 + 4\tau |\Delta u - du(\nabla f)|^2 \right] dm \geq 0 .\tag{4.6}$$

In particular, the entropy W is nondecreasing and equality holds if and only if the solution is a homothetic shrinking gradient soliton. In this case $(g, u, f, \tau)(t)$ satisfies at every $t \in [0, T)$:

$$Sy + \nabla^2 f - \frac{1}{2\tau} g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u - du(\nabla f) = 0 .$$

Proof:

We can apply the diffeomorphisms generated by $\nabla f(t)$ to the system (4.4) in the same way as we did for (2.3). Then the result follows from the preceding calculations, considering that W is invariant under diffeomorphisms of M . Solitons have been introduced in Definition 2.2. □

Applications for the monotonicity formula are the proofs of nonexistence of periodic shrinking solutions and of the noncollapse of solutions to (2.5) at finite times.