Stress and Strain modelling of the Central European Basin System

Diplom-Physiker

Mauro Cacace

vom Fachbereich Geowissenschaften
der Freien Universität Berlin
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades eines

Dr. rer. nat genehmigte Dissertation

Berlin, 2008

Gutacher:

Prof. Dr. U. Bayer

Prof. Dr. D. Gajewski

Tag der Disputation: 4. Juli 2008

Part 1: Classical numerical models of basin formation and evolution with application to the Central European Basin System (CEBS)

Kinen	natic models for basin formation and evolution	1
1.1.1	Purely thermal models	1
1.1.2	McKenzie's kinematic model	2
1.1.3	Limitations of McKenzie's model and corresponding implementation	6
1.1.4	Non-uniform stretching models: discontinuous and continuous stretching	with
	depth	- 10
1.1.5	Simple shear model of Wernicke	- 13
1.1.6	Asymmetrical stretching of the crust	- 15
Flexu	ral models for basin formation and evolution	- 17
1.2.1	Lithospheric flexure due to sediment loading	- 17
1.2.2	Finite strength of the lithosphere: the depth of necking	20
1.2.3	The role of intra-plate stresses: uplift and basin formation in compression	- 22
Rheological models for basin formation and evolution 29		
1.3.1	The role of rheology for the modes of continental deformation: limitation	ns of
	a kinematic approach to continental deformation	29
1.3.2	Dynamic models for basin formation and evolution	32
Sumn	nary	- 38
raints	from numerical modelling	
 .		
2.2.4	Model results	- 66
]	1.1.1 1.1.2 1.1.3 1.1.4 1.1.5 1.1.6 Flexus 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 Rheol 1.3.1 1.3.2 Summ 2: Traints The Contector Stress 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3	1.1.2 McKenzie's kinematic model 1.1.3 Limitations of McKenzie's model and corresponding implementation 1.1.4 Non-uniform stretching models: discontinuous and continuous stretching depth 1.1.5 Simple shear model of Wernicke 1.1.6 Asymmetrical stretching of the crust 1.1.6 Asymmetrical stretching of the crust 1.2.1 Lithospheric flexure due to sediment loading 1.2.2 Finite strength of the lithosphere: the depth of necking 1.2.3 The role of intra-plate stresses: uplift and basin formation in compression Rheological models for basin formation and evolution 1.3.1 The role of rheology for the modes of continental deformation: limitation a kinematic approach to continental deformation 1.3.2 Dynamic models for basin formation and evolution 1.3.3 Dynamic models for basin formation and evolution 2. Tectonic evolution of the Central European Basin System arints from numerical modelling The Central European Basin System (CEBS): main geological structures and tectonic evolution Stress and strain modelling of present day tectonics in the CEBS 2.2.1 Set up of the integral model: relevant equations and boundary conditions 2.2.2 Rheological model 2.2.3 Thermal model

2.4	Summary	- 142
Final	l discussions and conclusions	-144
Refe	rences	149

List of figures Pages
Figure 11
Cartoon illustrating the thermal driven subsidence as proposed by Sleep (1971). Doming due to thermal perturbation causes uplift. Erosion and subsequent subsidence creates a basin.
Figure 2
Thermal evolution as a function of time as predicted by McKenzie's (1978a) stretching model.
A: Steady-state geotherm before stretching.
B : Steady-state geotherm after stretching.
C: Final steady-state thermal structure of the lithosphere. After instantaneous increase in heat flow, the temperature decreases exponentially with time. The total temperature is made up of an unsteady and a steady component. Black dashed and dotted curves indicate the transient temperature as a function of time.
Figure 35
Principal features of the McKenzie's (1978a) subsidence model.
$\bf A$: Initial conditions. A thermally equilibrated continental lithosphere of total thickness t_L consisting of a crust (thickness= t_c) and a lithospheric mantle (thickness= t_m =(t_L - t_c)) overlies a partially molten asthenosphere.
B : Uniform instantaneous stretching (β). At the time t=0, uniform instantaneous mechanical extension of the lithosphere by a factor β occurs causing vertical thinning of both the crust (thickness=t _c / β) and the mantle lithosphere (thickness=t _m / β =(t _L -t _c)/ β). Since the temperature of the material remains unchanged during the extension, isostatic compensation causes upwelling of hot asthenosphere. The resulting gradual decaying of the thermal perturbation produces an initial instantaneous subsidence (S _i).
${f C}$: Post-rift evolution. The cooling of the lithosphere following rifting causes a second phase of relative slower time-dependent thermal subsidence $(S_T(t))$.
Figure 4
Figure 5
Effects of finite rifting rates on the thermal (post-rift) subsidence. The continuous black curve represents the end-member subsidence curve for the case of instantaneous (δt_6 =0) uniform stretching as developed by McKenzie (1978a). The continuous grey curve is the (opposite) end-member subsidence curve for the case of infinitely slow rifting rate (δt_1 - ∞). In this situation the mantle lithosphere will remain cool and almost no thermal (post-rift) subsidence will occur after the rifting has ceased. The dashed and dotted grey curves (δt_i , i=2,,5) are subsidence curves for different finite rates of rifting. As a consequence of considering a finite duration of extension, an increase in the syn-rift (initial) subsidence occurs at the expense of the post-rift (thermal) subsidence. This feature is more important the longer the rifting event is considered and it is reflected by a progressively flattening of the post-rift subsidence curves.
Figure 610
Differences between (1) uniform, (2) discontinuous with depth, and (3) continuous with depth stretching models. (1) Uniform stretching model: the crust (initial thickness= t_c) and the mantle lithosphere (initial thickness= t_m) are stretched by an identical amount (β). (2) Discontinuous stretching with depth: the crust is stretched by a different amount (δ) than the mantle lithosphere (β , with β > δ). The difference in the amount of crustal and sub-crustal extension requires a decoupling between the two layers. Both crustal and sub-crustal extensions are considered independent but uniform throughout their respective layers. (3) Continuous stretching with depth: in the crust the stretching is the same as in the above described situation (2), whereas in the sub-crustal layer the stretching is a continuous function of depth.

Figure 712
Classical stretching model ("Conventional stretching") and alternative ("delayed infill") model as proposed by Van Wees et al. (2000) for the Permo-Triassic evolution of the Southern Permian Basin. Sres depicts the evolution of the relative magnitude of accommodation space as a function of time for both models (grey dotted line refers to the conventional stretching model, and continuous black line to the alternative delayed infill model).
Figure 814
Normal simple shear model of the entire lithosphere on a low-angle ductile shear zone, after Wernicke (1985).
Zone A: region of no extension in both the crust and the mantle lithosphere ($\beta_{crust} = \beta_{mantle} = 1$).
Zone B: region of thin-skinned, fault-controlled tectonic ($\beta_{crust} > \beta_{mantle} = 1$).
Zone C: region where the shear zone enters the mantle lithosphere (β_{crust} or $\beta_{mantle} > 1$).
Zone D: 'discrepant zone' ($\beta_{mantle} > \beta_{crust} = 1$).
Zone E: boundary for the discrepant zone, (β_{crust} or $\beta_{mantle}=1$).
Figure 916
Model for heterogeneous thinning of the lithosphere after Coward (1986).
Figure 10
Main features of necking of the lithosphere, modified after Braun & Beaumont (1989). Level of necking (\mathbf{z}_{neck}) creating a surface depression deeper than compensated depth (\mathbf{CD} =locally compensated basin depth) produce an upward load acting on the lithosphere and a corresponding upward flexural state, upper figure. On the contrary, shallow necking levels result a downward load acting on the lithosphere and a consequently downward state of flexure, lower figure.
Figure 11
Interpreted structure of the Donbas area along the deep reflection line DOBREflection, Maystrenko et al. (2003a,b). The dash white line indicates the top of the high velocity lower crust modified after DOBREFRACTION'99 Working Group (2003).
Figure 12
Effects of intra-plate stresses on the development of basin stratigraphy, modified after Cloetingh & Kooi (1992).
A: Stress-induced differential deflection for tension (black curve) and compression (grey curve).
B : Flexural deflection of an elastic lithosphere due to the loading effect of the sedimentary cover superimposed on a compressional stress regime. Superimposed compressional stresses cause relative uplift of the basin flanks and induced higher amounts of subsidence at the basin centre with respect to those predicted by conventional 'sediment loading models'.
Figure 13
Deep Seismic Reflection Profile BASIN9601, illustrated by a line drawing of main reflections superimposed onto the depth converted seismic section, modified after Marotta et al. (2000). Main crustal entities are illustrated. Abbreviations: NHF =Northern Harz Boundary Fault; THZ =Thrust Zone; MH =Moho; HDLC =High Density Lower Crust; NEGB =North East German Basin; Z =base Zechstein reflector.
Figure 14
Two-dimensional flexure of a thin elastic beam (upper panel), and universal flexural profile general solution (lower panel).

Figure 1527
Predictions (thick grey dashed lines) from the flexural study made by Marotta et al. (2000) compared to the interpreted Moho (\mathbf{M}) and the Top Cretaceous reflector (\mathbf{TC}). Abbreviations: \mathbf{TP} =Top Palaeozoic reflector; $\mathbf{V_0}$ =vertical line load; $\mathbf{M_0}$ =bending moment. The post-Zechstein fill is also shown (light grey colours).
Figure 1636
Finite element grid and net boundary conditions adopted for the tectonic predictions in the modelling study of Marotta et al. (2004). Black thick arrows along the western and northern plate boundaries represent push forces from the Middle and North Atlantic. The counter-clockwise rotation of the African plate with respect the Eurasian plate is reflected by the thin black arrows along the south-western boundary. Thin white arrows along the south-eastern border represent the horizontal components of the Aegean trench subduction forces (McClusky et al., 2000). The southern border between the model domain and the Arabian region is held fixed (light grey triangles), whereas the eastern boundary is assumed shear stress free (grey circles). The grid finally distinguished three iso-viscous domains: (1) East European Platform, (2) European platform, and (3) Mediterranean domain. Different colours indicate different values of lithospheric strength in accordance with the colour legend at the bottom of the figure.
Figure 1740
Location of the Central European Basin System and main geological structures, modified after Ziegler (1990), Pharaoh (1999), Bayer et al. (2002), Scheck-Wenderoth et al. (2003), Maystrenko et al. (2006). Abbreviations: STZ=Sorgenfrei Tornquist Zone; TTZ=Teisseyre Tornquist Zone; EFS=Elbe Fault System; EL=Elbe Line.
Figure 1847
Difference (Δ) between a flat and a curvilinear surface.
Figure 19
Spherical coordinates and their geometrical relation with the Cartesian coordinates.
Figure 2052
Finite 2-D integral mesh. Thick continuous black lines enlighten those elements whose disposition has been manually adjusted to match the major observed geological structures. Abbreviations: EFS =Elbe Fault System; EL =Elbe Line; RFH =Ringkøbing Fyn High; STZ =Sorgenfrei-Tornquist Zone; TTZ =Teisseyre-Tornquist Zone.
Figure 2153
(A) Various finite element specifications. Black dots indicate points at which the geometrical coordinates of the elements are specified, white squares indicate points at which the function integral parameters are specified. (i) Isoparameteric, (ii) superparametric, and (iii) subparametric element configuration. (B) Numerical integration formulas for triangular finite elements, after Zenkiewicz (1977). The positions of the (seven) integration points
(a, b, c, d, e, f, g) together with their weight coefficients (ω_i , $i = 1,2,3$) for the numerical integration are shown. Grey dots indicate the nodal connections used to describe the geometry of the element.
Figure 2255
Base map (km) for the Moho discontinuity. Digitized adapting to the study area and to the nodal points of the 2-D dimensional mesh (Figure 20) the European Moho base map published by Ziegler & Dezes (2005).
Figure 2356
Final base map (km) adopted in the model calculation to represent the crust-to-mantle discontinuity.
Figure 24. 58
Modelled boundary forces acting along the boundaries of the European plate to derive the horizontal deformation velocities along the borders of the area under investigation, black dashed frame. See text for explanation.

Figure 25
Changes with depths and deformation regime (compression and extension) in the mechanical strength of a typical two layered lithosphere (crust plus mantle). The straight black lines represent brittle failure. Following Byerlee's law they show increasing rock strength with increasing depth as described by (2.3). The dashed and dotted grey lines stand for ductile deformation. The strength described by these curves decreases exponentially with depth due to the fact that the temperature increases almost linearly with depth and viscosity for any given mineral as described by (2.4).
Figure 2664
3-D integral mesh used for the thermal model (left panel) and corresponding finite element in local coordinates (ξ, ζ, η) (right panel).
Figure 27
Triangular strain rate eigenvectors derived from the ITRF2000 database in Central Europe (blue indicate extension, and red compression). Thinner light blue and red coloured lines in the left angle indicate non significant strain rates, modified after Marotta (2005).
Figure 2868
Map showing the direction of the largest horizontal stress for the area under investigation, Reinecker et al (2005): 'The release 2005 of the World Stress Map'.
Figure 29
Thickness (km) of the Cenozoic (a), Upper Cretaceous (b), Upper Permian to Lower Cretaceous (c), Rotliegend (d), and Permo-Carboniferous (e) sedimentary layers used in the model calculations. Adapted to the study area from the study of Scheck-Wenderoth & Lamarche (2005).
Figure 30
Depth of the base (km) of the Cenozoic (a), Upper Cretaceous (b), Upper Permian to Lower Cretaceous (c) Rotliegend (d), and Permo-Carboniferous (e) sedimentary layers used in the model calculations. Adapted to the study area from Scheck-Wenderoth & Lamarche (2005).
Figure 31
Depth surface maps for the different structural layers (sediments+crust) as integrated in the thermal model fo the Case I. A : Cenozoic strata; B : Cretaceous; C : Rotliegend; D : Zechstein; E : Permo-Carboniferous volcanics F : Moho.
Figure 32
Temperature variations at different depths as derived from three dimensional modelling, Case I.
Figure 33
Location of the six strength profiles of Figure 35 (grey stars), and of the two thermal cross sections of Figure 34 (solid lines).
Figure 34
2-D thermal cross sections for Case I. See Figure 33 for the locations of the respective profiles.
Figure 35
Examples of strength profiles obtained by the rheological modelling at several locations (see Figure 33) with different sedimentary and crustal thickness.
Figure 3680 Integrated lithospheric (crustal+mantle contributions) strength map for the CEBS.
Figure 3781

Variations of the effective viscosity $\overline{\eta}$. Contour colours refer to a logarithmic scale.

Figure 38
Horizontal deformation velocity vectors as derived from the numerical integral model.
Figure 39
Horizontal strain rate eigenvalues (background colour map) and eigenvectors (hyphens) derived from the velocity field of Figure 38. Variations in the sediments thickness and in the Moho topography provide a general agreement with geodetic observations.
Figure 4084
$S_{\mbox{\scriptsize Hmax}}$ orientation as predicted from the numerical study Case I.
Figure 4186
Map showing the lithosphere-asthenosphere isothermal boundary used in the thermal and rheological calculations, Case II. Constrained from the TOR seismic results (e.g. Gregersen & Voss, 2002; Shomali et al., 2006).
Figure 4286
Structural layer generated to integrate the asthenosphere-lithosphere isothermal boundary in the thermal models.
Figure 43
Location of the strength profiles of Figure 45 (grey stars), and of the cross section of Figure 44 (solid line).
Figure 44
Two-dimensional cross-section for the temperature distribution resulting from a variable asthenosphere-lithosphere boundary, see Figure 43 for its location.
Figure 4590
Examples of strength profiles at different locations for the study Case II. See Figure 43 for the respective locations.
Figure 4691
Integrated lithospheric strength map under compression for the CEBS. Due to the topography of the asthenosphere-lithosphere boundary, two regions in terms of lithospheric strength are delineated.
Figure 4792
Lateral variations in the effective viscosity $(\overline{\eta})$ for the CEBS obtained modelling lateral variations in the lithosphere thickness.
Figure 4893 Horizontal deformation velocity field as obtained for Case II.
Figure 4994
Horizontal strain rate eigenvalues (background colour map) and eigenvectors (hyphens) obtained modelling a variable asthenosphere-lithosphere boundary.
Figure 5096
S_{Hmax} direction obtained from the strain rate eigenvalues as shown in Figure 49.
Figure 51
Final 2-D mesh used in the numerical model to investigate the role of inherited structural contrasts under the CEBS. The lateral (sub)domains with different rheological properties in the lower crust are shown. Adopted rheological parameters are given in Table 2.2. Abbreviations: EFS =Elbe Fault System; EEC =East European Craton. Black dots indicate the locations of the strength profiles shown in Figure 52.

Figure 5299
Example of strength profiles at different locations for the Case III.
Figure 53100
Horizontal strain rate eigenvalues (background colour map) and eigenvectors (hyphens) obtained from modelling the observed lateral rheological lower crustal heterogeneities as shown in Figure 51.
Figure 54102
S_{Hmax} direction obtained from the strain rate eigenvalues of Figure 53.
Figure 55
Adopted boundary conditions (thin grey arrows) to model the Permo-Carboniferous evolutionary phase of the CEBS. The adopted boundary conditions are chosen to impose dextral transtensional movements along the elements of the Tornquist Fan (i.e. Teisseyre-Tornquist Zone=TZ and the Ringkøbing Fyn High=RFH) as depicted by the thick black arrows.
Figure 56105
Horizontal deformation velocity field obtained for the Early-to-Late Permian evolutionary phase of the CEBS.
Figure 57106
Horizontal strain rate eigenvectors (hyphens) and eigenvalues (background colour map) as obtained from the velocity results of Figure 56. Green colours refer to horizontal compression and red colours to horizontal extension.
Figure 58
S_{Hmax} orientation (arrows direction) and associated stress regime (arrows colour). Red coloured arrows indicate an extensional stress regime while purple coloured arrows indicate a transtensional stress regime.
Figure 59
Observed subsidence pattern for the Permo-Carboniferous evolutionary phase of the CEBS, modified after Evans et al. (2003); Lokhorst (1998). Light yellow colours frame the areas which underwent regional subsidence. Grey areas enlighten the main depocentres of subsidence. Abbreviations: BFB =Broad Fourteen Basin; LSB =Lower Saxony Basin; WNB =West Netherlands Basin.
Figure 60
Effective strain rate (=crustal thinning/thickening rates) results for the Early-to-Late Permian evolution of the CEBS. Blue colours-negative values indicate subsidence. Thick red isolines border the region of no vertical deformation.
Figure 61110
Adopted boundary conditions for the Triassic-Early Jurassic evolutionary phase of the CEBS. See text for explanation.
Figure 62111
Horizontal deformation velocity field as obtained from the boundary conditions illustrated in Figure 61.
Figure 63
Horizontal strain rate eigenvectors (coloured hyphens) and eigenvalues (background colour map) calculated from the velocity results of Figure 62. Red colours indicate extension and green colours compression.
Figure 64112
S_{Hmax} orientation and associated stress regime as derived from the velocity vectors of Figure 62. Red coloured arrows indicate thrust fault regime, and purple coloured arrows strike-slip (transtensional) regime.

Figure 65113
Thickness map of the Triassic deposits in the CEBS, modified after Maystrenko et al. (2006). Increased thickness is found mainly under N-S-oriented grabens and troughs as well as under the NW-SE-trending axes of the Polish Trough. Abbreviations: EFS =Elbe Fault System; TTZ =Teisseyre-Tornquist Zone; STZ =Sorgenfrei-Tornquist Zone.
Figure 66114
Effective strain rate results for the Early Triassic-to-Early Jurassic stage. Blue colours-negative values indicate crustal thinning (subsidence).
Figure 67117
Thickness map (m) for the Middle Jurassic-Early Cretaceous deposits. Modified after Ziegler (1990); Baldschuhn et al. (2001); Maystrenko et al. (2006). Light grey areas border the extension of the documented (thermal) dome in the Mid North Sea domain. Abbreviations: CG =Central Graben; LSB =Lower Saxony Basin; WNB =West Netherlands Basin; BFB =Broad Fourteens Basin; SPB =Sole Pit Basin.
Figure 68118
Adopted boundary conditions for the Middle-to-Late Jurassic.
Figure 69118
Horizontal strain rate eigenvectors (hyphens) and eigenvalues (background colour map) calculated modelling the Middle Jurassic evolutionary phase of the CEBS. Green colours indicate compressional deformation and red colours extensional deformation.
Figure 70119
Effective strain rate (=rate of crustal thinning/thickening) results fort he Middle-to-Late Jurassic times. Negative values-blue colours (positive values-red colours) stand for subsidence (uplift)
Figure 71
Adopted boundary conditions to model the ,Sub-Hercynian' phase of inversion. Velocity vectors (black arrows) along the northern and western boundaries reflect early extension tectonics across the Mid Atlantic while the black arrows along the southeastern boundary resemble the Alpine collision Front.
Figure 72
Horizontal strain rate eigenvectors (arrows) and eigenvalues (background colour map) for the 'Subhercynian' inversion phase. Green colours indicate compression, and red colours extension.
Figure 73124
Structural map of Alpine deformation pattern for the ,Sub-Hercynian' inversion phase, modified after Ziegler (1986). Abbreviations: VG=Viking Graben; CG=Central Graben; OG=Oslo Graben; HG=Horn Graben; GG=Glückstadt Graben; SPT=Sole Pit Basin; WNB=West Netherlands Basin; LSB=Lower Saxony Basin.
Figure 74126
Obtained results for the first phase of compressional deformation ('Sub-Hercynian'). (a) Effective strain rate (=rate of crustal thinning/thickening): positive values-red colours (negative values-blue colours) stand for subsidence (uplift). Thick red isolines indicate no vertical deformation. (b) Maximum horizontal stress component (S_{Hmax}) orientation (arrows) and associated stress regime (colours): different coloured arrows are used to represent the stress regime as calculated from direct comparison of the three components of principal stresses ($\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$). Green coloured arrows indicate thrust faulting, and blue coloured arrows strike-slip (transpressive) fault regime.
Figure 75129
Adopted boundary conditions to model the ,Laramide' inversion phase. Velocity vectors along the western and northern model boundaries resemble extensional tectonic activity across the future Atlantic Ridge System. Black arrows along the southern domain reflect the collisional intraplate forces released by the Alpine-

shown. Light grey and black dots indicate the centres of their respective centres, μ_1 and μ_2 .	
Figure 76	130
Scheme representing the procedure followed to generate the boundary condition resembling the Alpine for both the 'Laramide' and the 'Eocene-Oligocene' inversion phases.	Fron
Figure 77	131
Structural map of Alpine foreland deformation for the ,Laramide' inversion phase, modified after Z (1986). See Figure 74 for abbreviations.	ieglei
Figure 78	132
Horizontal strain rate eigenvectors (hyphens) and eigenvalues (background colour map) for the 'Larar phase of inversion.	mide ³
Figure 79	133
crustal thinning/thickening): positive values-red colours (negative values-blue colours) stand for (subsidence). Thick red isolines indicate the boundary of the areas of no vertical deformation. (b) orientation (arrows) and stress regime (colours): Different coloured arrows are used to represent the difference stress regimes obtained: green colours indicate thrust faulting, and blue colours strike-slip (transpressive) regime. Figure 80.	S _{Hma} , feren
Velocity boundary conditions for the last phase of inversion.	150
•	137
Structural map of Alpine foreland deformation for the last phase of compressional deformation during Eocene to Early-to-Middle Oligocene, modified after Ziegler (1986). See Figure 73 for abbreviations.	
Figure 82	138
Horizontal strain rate eigenvectors and eigenvalues for the last phase of inversion. Red colours in extensional horizontal deformation, and green colours compressional horizontal deformation.	dicate
Figure 83	141
Modelling results for the last phase of compressional deformation. (a) Effective strain rate (=rate of c thinning/thickening): positive values-red colours (negative values-blue colours) stand for uplift (subside (b) S _{Hmax} orientation (arrows) and associated stress regime (colours). Green colours refer to thrust faulting blue to strike-slip (transpressive) faulting.	ence)

Carpathians thrust front. On the left bottom side of the figure the two starting normal distributions are also

Acknowledgments

The present study was done at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Potsdam Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum - GFZ in Section 4.3.

The author would like to acknowledge the financial support from the German Research Council provided within the DFG-SPP1135 "Dynamics of sedimentary systems under varying stress conditions by example of the Central European Basin System".

During the preparation of the present work I was helped and encouraged by many people to whom I'm really grateful and whom I'd love to thank.

First of all, I'd like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. Ulf Bayer (FU, Berlin) for his constant support throughout this project. I'm much in debt with him for sharing with me his wide experience in the field of science and for all the time dedicated to discuss the results.

Special thanks to professor Anna Maria Marotta (University of Milan) for offering me the opportunity to do this Ph.D. and for providing me with the basic numerical codes.

I thank also Dr. Magdalena Scheck-Wenderoth for providing geological data and for having helped me during the project.

I'm really grateful to Dr. Yurij Maystrenko for providing me with additional geological data and for the tolerance shown everytime. I greatly benefited from his extensive collaboration throughout the project.

A special thank to Dr. Fabien Magri for his constant help and for being a real friend. Grazie!

My gratitude to Björn Lewerenz for his computer assistance.

I wish to thank Prof. Brian Horsfield and all colleagues at the GFZ for offering a friendly work environment.

I thank Katja Hirsch and Judith Sippel for the nice time sharing the office while writing this dissertation.

Special thanks to my family for their love and support during my entire life.

Finally, there's one person more I really love to thank for her smiling presence and patience even during the more obscure periods of our living together: THANK YOU Margherita!

Abstract

A basin may be called "complex" if its temporal evolution was complicated by several tectonic or thermal events like stretching and inversion or if it is composed of a variety of regionally distributed sub-basins with differing histories. In this regard, the Central European Basin System (CEBS) provides an exceptional example for a complex sedimentary basin. It can be regarded a complex basin in terms of (1) having suffered stretching and inversion phases, (2) being located above a highly heterogeneous crust and even mantle, derived form the accretion of different terrains during the Palaeozoic, (3) having a complex upper crust due to repeated activation of salt tectonics, and (4) consisting of a complex set of sub-basins. Geophysical data have provided insight into a rather heterogeneous lithosphere structure between the different regional units at deeper crustal and mantle level. Accordingly, the observed differential deformation patterns may be attributed to interactions between regional or local variations in rheology beneath the different sub-areas while only minor changes occurred at the stress boundaries.

In addition, the CEBS may be regarded an outstanding "natural laboratory" for testing different modelling techniques. Almost all currently available models have been applied sometimes to the CEBS. Part 1 provides an overview concerning different models with practical applications to the Central European Basin, thereby the main problems encountered in modelling complex sedimentary basins are elucidated. Also all the models described in Part 1 have been applied to the basin system with some success in order to highlight some special aspects of its tectonic evolution, these models have not been able to capture the complex processes causing the evolution of sub-basins and localized inversion zones in details. By example, the relative simple classical kinematic models for continental deformation (e.g. McKenzie's or Wernicke's models) focus only at local subsidence without regarding the horizontally active stress and strain system. On the other hand, more complex stress and strain models (e.g. thin sheet or thin plate models) only considered the recent state of the basin system or alternately focused at relatively small domains preventing a unifying description of the basin.

Aware of these aspects, Part 2 describes the results obtained from a two dimensional modelling technique concerning the regional tectonic evolution of the CEBS. The modelling approach is the thin-sheet model, however, in spherical coordinates, allowing to include large scale spatial deformation patterns. The approach provides information concerning the state of stress within the lithosphere and it allows deriving stress-to-strain relations due to lateral heterogeneities as well as changing boundary forces.

The results for present day and past (post Palaeozoic) development of the basin system are presented and discussed. Thereby the relevance of inherited large-scale lithospheric structures is analysed with regard to the kinematics and dynamics of the study area. The model finally provides insight into the evolution of major subsidence centres and uplift areas through time in combination with the variable stress boundary conditions as defined by large-scale (palaeo)tectonic plate reconstructions.

A satisfactory agreement for the recent stress and strain field has been found between model results and geodetic observations concerning both regional and more local features. Following these results, the presence of different structural domains at both shallow and/or deeper levels within the lithosphere is necessary and sufficient to explain processes like strain localization and major bending in the principal stress orientation. Rheological/structural contrasts within the continental lithosphere can localize deformation under mechanically weak areas thus inducing the formation of major fault zones. In this

regard, fault formation is most likely the natural result of structural heterogeneities within the lithosphere. Consequently, artificial model devices (e.g. shear zone or deep penetrating faults) are not necessary to model the observed asymmetry in lithospheric deformation. In contrary the observed asymmetry in the patterns of continental deformation structurally reflects the asymmetric configuration and composition of continental lithosphere. Moreover, the presence of contrasting crustal and mantle structures together with varying stress boundary conditions controls and even determines the evolution of the different sub-basins including inversion through time.

In the final part of the study I summarize the main conclusions derived within the frame of the obtained modelling results concerning the formation and evolution of the basin system.