## 9 Supplemental material

### 9.1 Experimental protocols

### 9.1.1 Array CGH

For array CGH a 36k BAC sub-megabase resolution array was used, comprising the 1 Mb Sanger set (clones kindly provided by Nigel Carter, Wellcome Trust Sanger Centre)(Fiegler et al., 2003) a set of 390 subtelomeric clones (assembled by members of the COST B10 initiative: Molecular Cytogenetics of solid tumours) and the human 32k Re-Array set, http://bacpac.chori.org/pHumanMinSet.htm; DNA kindly provided by Pieter de Jong) (Ishkanian et al., 2004; Krzywinski et al., 2004; Osoegawa et al., 2001).

The general overview of the process of array CGH experiment is shown in Figure 25. The following sections describe each step in detail.


Figure 25: Procedure of array CGH (Courtesy of Dr. Erdogan). Up right part: the DNA from BAC clone inserts is isolated and amplified. The amplified products are spotted on the glass slides. Up left part: the DNA from test sample and reference sample are differentially labelled. The 2 differentially labelled DNA samples and excess unlabeled Cot-1 DNA, which can suppress the repetitive sequence, are then hybridised on the glass slides. Lower part: After hybridisation, the slides are washed and scanned.

### 9.1.1.1 Array production

### 9.1.1.1.1 BAC Insert isolation

BAC inserts were isolated in a 96 well format. First, BACs were treated by alkaline lyses according to the standard protocol. Then the DNA was treated with the exonuclease treatments to remove the remaining Ecoli DNA.

### 9.1.1.1.2 Amplification of BAC DNA by Linker adapter PCR

The isolated BAC DNA was amplified by linker adapter PCR. Linker adapter PCR consists of three steps: the target DNA is first digested with an appropriate restriction enzyme. And then each end is ligated to an adaptor. Finally, the known adaptor sequences are used to uniformly amplify each of the many DNA fragments representing the original samples. The following sections describe the three steps in detail.

### 9.1.1.1.2.1 Restriction Enzyme Digest of BAC DNA

Restriction enzyme digestion was carried out in a $7.5 \mu 1$ reaction volume containing: $0.75 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 x NEB1-buffer (New England Biolabs), $0,075 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $100 x$ BSA, $0,012 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of MseI $(50 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}), 0,15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of BfaI ( $5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and $1,513 \mathrm{ml}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of exonuclease digested DNA. The reaction was placed in a PCR machine for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After incubation, the reaction was inactivated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . The digests were then run on a conventional $1 \%$ agarose gel to check fragment length. Restriction sizes should range from 100 bp to 1500 bp .

### 9.1.1.1.2.2 Ligation of Specific Primers to BAC DNA

The ligation reaction was first set up in a $8 \mu 1$ reaction volume containing: $0,5 \mu 1$ $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primer-21 (5`-AGTGGGATTCCGCATGCTAGT-3') and \(0,5 \mu \mathrm{l} 100 \mu \mathrm{M}\) primer-12 (5`-TAACATGCATGC-3`), 0,8 10x ligase buffer (Roche), and $5,2 \mu 1$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ and $1 \mu 1$ digested BAC DNA (see above). In a thermocycler with heated lid an, the reaction was carried out at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the first 2 min to make the two oligos single stranded, and then the temperature was shifted down to $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with a ramp of $1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, to allow annealing of the two oligos. At $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0,2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ligase buffer, $0,2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ T4-DNA-Ligase ( $5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$; Roche), and $1,6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added and the
reaction was placed in the thermocycler for an overnight incubation at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(18-$ 20h).

### 9.1.1.1.2.3 Ligation Mediated PCR

The ligation mediated PCR was carried out in a reaction volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ containing: $1 \mu 1$ ligation product, $5 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{x}$ PE buffer, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dNTPs ( 1 mM each), $0,5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ primer-21 $(100 \mu \mathrm{M}), 32,5 \mu \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Overlaying the sample with $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ mineral oil to avoid evaporation at high temperature, the PCR program started at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 min to remove the $\mathrm{MseI} /$ BfaI-Lig12-Primer and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ( 10 units) of DNA polymerase was added and a 4 min . incubation for the fill-in reaction. After 3 $\min$. at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ denaturation step, the PCR cycled at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $40 \mathrm{sec}, 59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 $\mathrm{sec}, 90 \mathrm{sec}(+2 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{cycle})$ for 35 cycles. A 7 min extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ completed the protocol. Some of PCR products were run on a conventional $1 \%$ agarose gel to check fragment length. Size of the PCR product should range from 70 to 1500 bp , with the highest concentration of product around 200 to 800 bp .

### 9.1.1.1.2.4 Re-PCR of Ligation Mediated PCR

The ligation mediated PCR is used as a template in a Re-PCR reaction to generate DNA for spotting. $1 \mu 1$ of the primary PCR product was amplified under the following condition. After 3 min . at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ denaturation step, the PCR cycled at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $40 \mathrm{sec}, 59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{sec}, 90 \mathrm{sec}(+2 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{cycle})$ for 35 cycles. A 7 min extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ completed the protocol. Again some of the PCR products were run on a conventional $1 \%$ agarose gel to check fragment length. Size of the PCR product should range from 200 to 1500bp.

### 9.1.1.1.3 Preparation of Spotting Solutions from Re-PCR used for array CGH

The Re-PCR products were precipitated by adding $150 \mu l$ pre-chilled $100 \%$ ethanol and sodium acetate ( pH 5.2 ). Then the dried DNA pellet was dissolved in 3xSSC/1,5 M Betaine.

### 9.1.1.1.4 Production of array

The products were robotically spotted onto epoxy coated glass slides (Nunc, Wiesbaden, Germany) using an in-house modified Qarray (originally from

Genetix, new Milton, U.K.) and Pointech (Gibbon, MN) Tungston PTL 2500 slit pins. Here, the microspotting technique was applied, where a spotting roboter disposed the PCR products directly on the slides. Epoxy slides were chosen due to several reasons. First, epoxy slides are especially suitable for covalent immobilization of oligonucleotides ( 10 to 80 bases), PCR products as well as cDNA molecules. Second, additional amino-modifications of the nucleic acids are not required. Third, their hydrophobic surface allows small spot diameters (100 to $130 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, depending on the type of pins and spotting buffer) to create high-density arrays. Finally their surface chemistry is very stable and remains active even during very long spotting runs.

### 9.1.1.2 DNA labelling and hybridisation

### 9.1.1.2.1 Random Primed Labelling of genomic DNA for array CGH analysis

Genomic DNA samples were sonicated to generate fragments $200-2,000 \mathrm{bp}$ in size. Test and reference DNA was labelled by random prime labelling (BioPrime DNA Labeling System, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California) with fluorolink Cy3dUTP and Cy5-dUTP (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). Briefly $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of DNA were mixed with 2.5 x random primer solution, incubated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . and then immediately cooled on ice for 5 min . Consequently, $5 \mu \mathrm{dNTP}$ mix ( 2 mM dATP, 2 mM dCTP, 2 mM dGTP, and 1 mM dTTP, in TE buffer), $3 \mu 1 \mathrm{mM}$ Cy3-dUTP or Cy5-dUTP and $1 \mu 1$ Klenow fragment was added to the reaction mix and incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The labelling reaction was stopped by adding $5 \mu 1$ stop solution. Probes were then purified by Qiaquick purification kit to remove the unincorporated nucleotides according to manufacture instructions. Two reactions as described above were pooled for each channel.

### 9.1.1.2.2 Slide Processing

Slides was prehybridised at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in the blocking solution $(200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ heringsperm DNA, $0,1 \%$ SDS, $4 x$ SSC, $0,5 \%$ BSA). Afterwards, the Slides were immediately rinsed 5 times with Millipore water and air-dried by centrifugation for 5 min . at 150 g .

### 9.1.1.2.3 Hybridisation of labeled genomic DNA

The labelled test genomic DNA and the labelled reference genomic DNA from two purified random priming reactions were pooled with $500 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of human Cot-1 DNA (Invitogen, Roche). Pooled DNA was then precipitated by adding 2.5 volumes of ice-cold $100 \%$ ethanol and 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate $(\mathrm{pH}$ 5.2). The precipitated DNA was dissolved in $6,8 \mu 110 \%$ SDS, $3,4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ yeast tRNA ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, Invitrogen), and $24 \mu \mathrm{l}$ master hybridisation mix ( $70 \%$ formamide, $2,8 \mathrm{x}$ SSC, $8 \%$ dextran sulphate), and denatured at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . After denaturation, the hybridisation mix was incubated at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h to allow the $\operatorname{Cot} 1$ DNA to anneal to repetitive sequences on both the sample and reference DNA. The labelled probes were then placed on the slide under a coverslip. The arrays were incubated for 24 hours under humidified conditions using a slide booster from Implen (Munich, Germany). After hybridisation the slides were washed with $50 \%$ formamide $2 x$ xSC, $0,1 \%$ SDS for 15 min . at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a 10 minute wash in PN buffer ( $0,2 \mathrm{M}$ sodium phosphate with $0,001 \% \mathrm{NP} 40$ ) at room temperature. The slides were then incubated for a 30 seconds in 1xPBS and 2-3sec in millipore water. Finally the slides were dried by centrifugation at 150 g for 5 min .

### 9.1.1.3 Scanning

Following hybridisation, slides were scanned at $532 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{Cy} 3)$ and $635 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{Cy} 5)$ using a GenePix 4000B laser scanner (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA) in order to read out the fluorescence signal intensities in each channel. The resulting 16 bit TIFF images were analysed employing Genepix Pro 5.0 software (Axon Instruments).

### 9.1.2 Mapping balanced translocation breakpoint by chromosome sorting and array painting

### 9.1.2 . Cell culture and preparation

A $t(1 ; 13)(p 31.2 ; q 22.1)$-containing cell line was established by Epstein-Barr virus transformation of peripheral blood lymphocytes and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal calf serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, and antibiotics at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}$. Cells in log
phase were treated for 16 h with colcemid $(0.05 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ final concentration) to arrest cells in metaphase.

### 9.1.2.2 Flow karyotyping and sorting

Chromosomes were stained with chromomycin-A3 (CA3) and Hoechst 33258 (Ho) and analysed on a dual-laser beam flow cytometer (FACSVantage ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ SE; Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). CA3 was excited with an argon ion laser tuned at 458 nm at 100 mW , and CA3 fluorescence was measured through a 550 nm longpass filter. Ho was excited with an argon ion laser tuned into the UV range ( 351 and 364 nm ) at 125 mW laser power, and Ho fluorescence was measured through two KV 408 filters. The system was triggered on the CA3 fluorescence signal. In total, 6000 to 12000 chromosomes were sorted from each cluster. DNA obtained in this way was amplified by GenomePlex.

### 9.1.2.3 DNA amplification using GenomePlex

For the amplification of flow-sorted chromosomes we used the GenomePlex Whole Genome Amplification (WGA) Kit (Rubicon Genomics). GenomePlex WGA is based upon random chemical fragmentation and conversion of genomic DNA into a library of DNA molecules flanked by universal priming sites. DNA Fragments are amplified by standard PCR using universal oligonucleotide primers.

### 9.1.2.4 Array painting using 36K BAC array

Labeling and hybridization of DNA amplified by GenomePlex on 36K BAC array were performed as described in the Section 8.1.1.2.

### 9.1.2.5 Fluorescence in situ hybridisation

To confirm the breakpoint regions determined by array-CGH, we employed fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) experiments. A permanent lymphoblastoid cell line of patient 2 was established by EBV transformation according to standard protocols after informed consent. FISH was performed using three BAC clones at each breakpoint region. For the breakpoint on
chromosome 1, BAC clones RP11-55O04, RP11-764P11 and RP11-746B05 were employed. BAC clones RP11-490G20, RP11-339I10 and RP11-702F16 were used for the breakpoint on chromosome 13. DNA samples were prepared according to standard protocols and were labeled by nick translation with either biotin-16-dUTP or digoxigenin-11-dUTP. Immunocytochemical detection of probes was performed as described elsewhere (Wirth et al., 1999). Chromosomes were counterstained with 4'-6-diamino-2-phenyl-indole (DAPI). Metaphases were analysed with a Zeiss epifluorescence microscope.

### 9.1.2.6 PCR fragment subarray

The genomic sequence of specific breakpoint spanning BAC clones for chromosome 1 (RP11-764P11) and chromosome 13 (RP11-339110) were chosen as targets for the design of a PCR amplicon subarray.

A customized Perl script incorporated within CGHPRO was used to design the primers for the amplicons. The script first divided the BAC clones into evenly distributed intervals of 2 kb . Then, by using Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000), within each interval, it designed primers for generating PCR fragments ranging from 500 to 800 bp in size. To facilitate the subsequent amplifications using the same condition, primers were selected to have the same annealing temperature. Finally, to confirm that the amplicons are specific for the target region, the script searched the whole human genome for the presence of the amplicon sequences by using BLAST with the default parameter. Amplicons with more than one matches in the genome were excluded from PCR amplification and spotting. The primers designed for RP11-764P11 and RP11-339110 are listed in the Table 6 and Table 7, respectively.

Table 6: List of primer pairs designed for RP11-764P11 (chromosome 1)

| NO. | FORWARD PRIMER | REVERSE PRIMER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TCCAGCTTCATTCATAGGGC | CTCAAAGCGCTCTTACCCAC |
| 2 | ATTCTGGCTAGGTGTGGTGG | TTAAGCACCTGTGACCTCCC |
| 3 | TTGAGGAACTGGGGACATTC | CAGTCTCTGCTTTTGAGGGG |
| 4 | GCTGAGCAGAGAGGGATTTG | TGGCCTTAAAACTGGACCAC |
| 5 | CTCAGCTACAGGAACCCCAG | TGGGTAAAATGTCCCTCCTG |
| 6 | TAACTGGATCTTCCGCATCC | CCCACCTGACCAATATGGAG |
| 7 | CAGCACACTGATGGGTCTTG | ACGTGAAGAATGCAGAAGCC |
| 8 | GGAGCTGGTTTTTCAAAAGG | CACGTGCCTGTAAGCCTAGC |
| 9 | CTTCAGGCAAAAGGTTGAGC | TGAACCCAGGAGAAGTCCAG |
| 10 | GCCACAGAGTCTAACGAGGC | TTCTCTGCATTCCTCACACG |
| 11 | TGGCCTCGTGTCTGTAGTTG | CTGGATTCAGGCCCTAAGTG |
| 12 | TCTGTGGTGTTTGCTGCTTC | TACCTCTGATGATGGGGAGC |
| 13 | GAGCCAGGCGTTCTGTTTAG | CTGCAATTGACCCACAAATG |
| 14 | TAGCAGGTCACCCAGAGTCC | CCCTCGGAGCCTCTATTTTC |
| 15 | ATCACCAGTGAAAAGGACGG | GAAGAGTCTGGCCTCCAGTG |
| 16 | ATCTGGGACAACAGAGCTGG | CTGACAGAAGGCTCCAGACC |
| 17 | CTTTGGAAGACTGAGGCAGG | TAGTTTGGCTGTGTTTCCCC |
| 18 | GGAAGAGCTTTTTCATGCCAG | TGCATAAGCTTTTGTGCCAG |
| 19 | CCAGTCCTCTCTTGCCTGAC | ACTCATGGCCTATGACCCAG |
| 20 | TCAGAAGAATGGCCCCATAG | TTATGTCCAGCCCCCAGTAG |
| 21 | CTCTAGCCTCATCACCCAGC | TTTTGCACTCTGTCACCCAG |
| 22 | GATGCCTGCTTTCTTCCAAC | TCACCTCACAGCGAAGTCAC |
| 23 | AAATCACATCAAGGAACCGC | TGCCAAGTGTAGTGTCTGCC |
| 24 | TGTGTCAGAGCCACAGAAGG | AACATCGTGCGTTTACCTCC |
| 25 | ATTCCCTTGGCTGTCAAATG | CTCAGCCCTTGGAGAAACAG |
| 26 | CACCTCCATGATCCCAATTC | ACTCATGGGAACAGGAAACG |
| 27 | AATTCCAGCACTCCGTGTTC | ACAGTGGACAGGTTTGAGGC |
| 28 | ATGGGCATGAAGATAGGCAC | TTCTACAGAGGGCACATCCC |
| 29 | TACCAGATGTGCAGAGCCAC | GGGCACAGTGGTATTATGGG |
| 30 | CTCAGCACACAGTAGGCCAG | GAGGCAGCCATCATTCTCTC |
| 31 | TAAATTCCCTGCCATTCTGG | GGTTGCTTGCTTGTAATCCC |
| 32 | ACAGCCATCTTTCAACCCTG | CACTAACAGGCCCTCTCTGC |
| 33 | CATACCTGGGTTGCTTCCAC | CTCATGGGCTTTAGCAGCTC |
| 34 | TTCTGCCCCTGTTTTCATTC | TTTTGGCTCTTTTTGGTTCC |
| 35 | TTGGAGACTCAGAAATGGGG | TTCCACATTTTCTTCCAGCC |
| 36 | CAGTGTCCCCAAAGAGGAAG | TTTAATCAGGGCTGGAGTGG |
| 37 | GTGAACTGGGACTAGCCAGC | ATGAGGATAAGGACCCCCAC |
| 38 | GAGCTCTGACTTCTGGGTGG | TCACCTCTTTCCTGGGATTG |
| 39 | GCCTGAAATGCTCTCTACCG | AATGCTCATCCAGCCAAATC |
| 40 | GATCTTCAGGGAAGGAAGGG | CTCCTCTGGATAAGGGGCTC |
| 41 | CTGCCTTGAGTGAAAGGAGG | GTTTCCTCTTCATGCCTTGC |
| 42 | GAAGGGTTGCTTCAGACTGG | ATGCAAGAAAGCACATGCAG |
| 43 | AGGCAGGTGGATCATTTGAG | AAAATGGCATTACTGGGCTG |
| 44 | TGAGATTTGGGGTGTGATTG | ATCCACCCTCTTTGGTCTCC |
| 45 | ATTAGGAGTGCAGTGGCACC | GCTAAGGCTGATGAAGTGGC |


| 46 | CACAATCTGCATGCTGTTCC | TTTCAAGCATAGGTCCTGGG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | CTGCTCAGTCCTTCAGGGTC | GGCAAGATGAAGAGTCCTGC |
| 48 | TGACTTCCCCTCATGACTCC | CCCTTCCATCTTCTTCCCTC |
| 49 | TGACAGGGAAGGAAATGAGG | GCAGGAAATCTCTGAGGCTG |
| 50 | TGTGCAGTCCACTCAAAAGC | AAGATCCACACATTCCTGCC |
| 51 | ACGTCCACTCACCCTTTGTC | TCGTCTGGGTCTCAAATTCC |
| 52 | TTGGTGAGGAAGACCGAATC | GAGAGCGGAAATGGAAGTTG |
| 53 | TGCAGTTCAGGCAAATGAAG | TAAAAGAAAATTGGTGCGGG |
| 54 | ACATGGCAAGTCTCCCTCAC | TGGTCCTCATGTTCAAGCTG |
| 55 | ATGTGACCAAAGGATCTCCG | AGCCCAGTACCTGGATGTTG |
| 56 | CCAGGCAGTTCCAAGAAGAG | AGTCAATGGGGTGACTTTGC |
| 57 | TTGTCAAGGAGGGGAGAATG | AACACAAGAGTGGGCAAACC |
| 58 | GAAGGCAGGGAGATCACTTG | TCCCCAAACAGAGGACAATC |
| 59 | GAGCCTGTCTCTCAAGCACC | GAGCAAGTGAAGGGATCAGC |
| 60 | TCAAGGTTCTTACGGGCATC | AATGTTGATGAGGAGCACCC |
| 61 | CTTTAAATGTGCTCCTCGGC | TGGAAGGAGAGAACCACCAG |
| 62 | CTTTGTGGTTTTGGGTCCAC | TGATGTTTGAGGCCTTTTCC |
| 63 | TTTGATCTTAGACAGCCGCC | TGATCCAGCACATGCTTCTC |
| 64 | AGCATATCCTGGGCATGAAG | TGTGCTGGGTGCTCTGTTAG |
| 65 | CCCACAAATGTGACTGCAAG | CCCAAAGTGAGGTTGTTTCC |
| 66 | ACCATGGGAGCATGTTAAGC | GGGTCTGTAATGGCTTCCTG |
| 67 | AAGTGGTATTTGCTGGGTGC | TGGGACAAAGTCCAGGCTAC |
| 68 | AATTGGTTGGTTGGCTTCTG | TAGTTGCTTTTCCCCACCAC |
| 69 | ATGCTCCGTGCTAAGCTCTC | TGTCCATCCTTCCTTCCTTG |
| 70 | TGCCACATACTGAAAGCACC | CTGACTGGACTTCCTGGTCC |
| 71 | AAAATAAGGTCTGGTGGGGG | TGCATATTGGTCACAATGGG |
| 72 | CCTAAAATAGGGAGGACGGC | TTGCGGAAGCAACATACAAG |
| 73 | CAAAAGCAGAGGGAGACCAG | TCTCTGTCGGTGCGTATCAG |
| 74 | TACTTCCAGTCATGAGGCCC | AGCCTGAGTCATCATTTGGC |
| 75 | CATCCCTGAATACAAACGGG | CACCTTTCGGTCTTCTGCTC |
| 76 | GCACTCTCGGGACTTCTCAC | AGATCCACAATCAGGCAACC |
| 77 | ACAGAAACTGATGCCAAGGG | GCAGCTGCTAGCAATATCCC |
| 78 | TGGAACGAGCACAGTAGCAG | GTGGAAAGGAGGAAGCAATG |
| 79 | TGAAGGGCATTTACTCCAGG | CTAAGCCCCAGTCTGAGTCG |
| 80 | CTAGCTGGGAGGCTTGTTTG | CCCAATGAGCTCCTAAATGC |
| 81 | TGTACAATGACTTGGGGTGG | ACCCAGGCAGATTGTACAGC |
| 82 | CAATGGGAGAACACATGCAC | GGCAAGCCTAAAGCAGTCAC |
| 83 | AACTCACCCCTACCCAATCC | CCCTTTCCCTTCCTTCACTC |
| 84 | AGTCATGAAATCATGCCGTG | GCCCTTAGCCTTGTGTCATC |
| 85 | ACTCAGCATCATTGAAGCCC | AGGAACATGCCAGGAGTGAC |
| 86 | CTAGCACAGCCCAGATAGCC | TGCTTCCAGGATTTTTCCAG |
| 87 | AGGTGGACAGTAGGTGTGCC | GTAAGTGCTGGTCTGGGAGC |
| 88 | TAGGCAACCTCACCCTGAAC | TGAGCTGGCAAGTGTGAATC |

Table 7: List of primer pairs designed for RP11-339I10 (chromosome 13)

| NO. | FORWARD PRIMER | REVERSE PRIMER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | GAATGTATGGGCTGTTTGCC | TTTTTAATGCCTTTGCCCTG |
| 2 | GGAAGCAGCAGGAGACAAAC | GCTCCCTCTCATCTCCACAC |
| 3 | ACCAGATTTCTCCCATGTGC | CTTGTGTGGGTGGTTCAGTG |
| 4 | GAGAAACGGTCATTTTTGCC | CTTTTCCTTCAAGGTGCTGC |
| 5 | GAGTCCACTCTTGCCTCCAG | TTTTGGGACTTGCATACCTTG |
| 6 | GCCGTCTGCTAGCTTTTG | AAGACCCATCAGTGTGCTG |
| 7 | TCATCGCGTAGTTCTTGTGC | CACCATTGCAGAGGATTGAG |
| 8 | TGTCCGTGTTTTTCAAATGG | CCGTGTTAACCAGGATGGTC |
| 9 | ATGGATCATGAAGGACCCTG | ACGTCTCACCCTAATGTGGC |
| 10 | TGCTGAATGCAGTTTTCCTG | CCTAACCCCCTTGTCTCTCC |
| 11 | AGCAGAGTTGTATGGGTGCC | TTGGCTTAGGTTTACTGGGG |
| 12 | TAATTCCCTTGTGGAGCTGG | AAATTGGGATCCGAGAAACC |
| 13 | GGGATTGGCTATTCCTCCTC | TGTGGTCCATTGTTTGTTGG |
| 14 | TCCAAAATGAGAAAATGCCC | CGCTGAGCCTTGGTTTCTAC |
| 15 | GGTGCCTCTCAAGGTACAGG | TCTCTGCCCAAGCTGACTTAG |
| 16 | TCAAAGCACAATTGAGGGTG | TCAACTTGTCCCTCAGAGCC |
| 17 | AATTCAACCTTTTGCCTCCC | CCCTAATGAATGGGATGTGG |
| 18 | CCTTCCTTGAGGGAGGAAAC | AAATCCAGTGATGGTAGGCG |
| 19 | ACAAGGCAAAGGGTCACTTG | GTGAGAGAGCCTGACATCCC |
| 20 | CTTGAGGTCAAGCCAGAAGG | TTCCCAAAGGCTTATGATGG |
| 21 | GGGCTAACCAATCAAAATGC | AATGCCCTTCTATGTGTGTGG |
| 22 | AACAACCGTGGATTCTCAGG | CTTGCAAACTCTCCTTTGCC |
| 23 | ATCACCTTTTCTGGCCACTG | GGGAACCAGAAGATGCAAAG |
| 24 | CTCCATTGTTCCATGGCTTC | TGGAAGGAAATTCCAAGCAC |
| 25 | AATTTTGGATCTTCCCCCAG | AGTTCACCTTGGACCCACTG |
| 26 | ATGGCCACAGTATGTCCTCC | GAGTTGTTTCTGGCCTCACC |
| 27 | TGAGTGAGCACGGACAAGAC | GGCTGGTGTCCTGAGACTTC |
| 28 | AGGCACTATGCTATGGCCTG | AGCCACCAGCTTTGTCTCTC |
| 29 | ACCTGCAAAGCAATTCCAAC | CTTTCACTCAGGCCAGGAAC |
| 30 | CACAATCATAGGCATGTCGC | CAGAGACAGAGCAATGCGAG |
| 31 | TGGCAATTGTCTCCACTTTG | GCAAAGCAGGAGTAAGGCAC |
| 32 | GCATAGGTAGGTGCCTCTCG | ACTGGGCAAGTCATCGAATC |
| 33 | AATTTTAAAAGTTGCCCCCTC | TGCCAGATGGAGAGATTGC |
| 34 | CCCCAGAAGTCCTCTGTTTC | AAATGTTGATATCCTGGCCG |
| 35 | CATGGGATTTTTGTACAGGGC | AGTTACCAACCCCTGATCCC |
| 36 | CTCGGTTGGAACAAAAGAGG | AAACCATTGCCAAATCCAAG |
| 37 | CGCAAAAGCAGGAAGTATGG | GATATCGCTCCCATTTCTGG |
| 38 | ATGCCTTGAAAAAGAGGCAC | AGCAGGAAATGGTGATGAGG |
| 39 | TGTGTGAGTGCTTGGTAGCC | ACAAGGGTGTTCTGACCTGC |
| 40 | ACACAGGAAAAGCCCTTGTG | TCTTTCCCCATTCCTAACCC |
| 41 | AAAGACCGCATATGCCAAAC | CAAAGATGCTGTCCTTTCCC |
| 42 | AGGACTGCTCTGCCTACGAG | TAAGGTGGGAGGATTGCTTG |
| 43 | TGAGATAAAGCCAGGGATGG | GAAGGAACAAAGCAAGCAGG |
| 44 | AAATTCCTGGAGCATTGTGC | CCAGTGTTCCTCCTCTCTGC |
| 45 | AGGGGATGCCCAAAAATATC | GACTTTTGGCTGTTTCTCCG |


| 46 | TTGGATGGGGGAGTGTTATC | TACCCTCTAATGCGGCAATC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | CTCAGAGGCAGATAGCCCAC | AGATGGGCAATGAGATCCAG |
| 48 | ATTTCCCTCCTTTGTGGGAC | TATTGCAGGGAGATTTTGGC |
| 49 | TGCTGAGGATTCATCCCTTC | GCTGTTTGCAACATTCATCC |
| 50 | TGGCAGATTTATGAGCTTTGC | TTCTTGGCTCCACCCTTATG |
| 51 | TTCCTCAGGCCTTCTTTCAG | CACCCCGTGTCCAAGTATTC |
| 52 | AGATGTTTGGAAGGCAATGG | TGAGATGAGGACTGGCTGTG |
| 53 | TGGCAATTTCCTGTGAATCC | GCCAGTGAGGAAGAGTCAGC |
| 54 | AATTTCTCCATCATCTGGGG | TCTCGTTTGGGAATCTCTCG |
| 55 | CGAATTTTCACCAGTCCCTG | TGGGAATGAGAAAGACAGGC |
| 56 | GACTTTGCCAACTTTGGAGC | CTTTGCTCTGTCTTTTCGCC |
| 57 | TTGAAGAGACTGCCCTTTCC | AAAAATGCCTTATGAAAGCCC |
| 58 | AACGCAGTTCAAGTCCAGTG | CTTCTTTCTGTGAGCTGCCC |
| 59 | ATATGGGCAGGATAAAGGGC | TCACACTTGGAAATGCCTTG |
| 60 | CTTGAGCCCAGAGACCACTC | AGGGCCCATTTTCATTTTTC |
| 61 | AAAGTGGGTGGAACACAAGG | CACATGACCACAAGGTGAGG |
| 62 | GGTTGAGCCAGCTCTCTTTG | AATTTCTGTGGTGTGCTCCC |
| 63 | GCAAGGGGTATAGCAGATGG | AACAACCACAAGAAGGCTGG |
| 64 | ACTGCTTCAAGCTCAGGCTC | GAATGATACGGTGGGGAATG |
| 65 | TAGACCCCAGATTGCTGTCC | TGCAGTTTAAACCTGGAGGC |
| 66 | ATCTTGGACTGTGTGGGCTC | TGCGTTTCTCCTGTGTATGC |
| 67 | ATGAAAAGTCCCTGTGGCTG | GCATTGTGACCAAGCATGAG |
| 68 | TTTGAGGAGTTGGGATCCAG | TGCAATGAAAGCCACAGAAG |
| 69 | TACCCCTGAAAATGACACGG | GGCAGATTGCTCTCGAACTC |
| 70 | GAGCTGGTGGACGAGTTAGG | ACCAAAACCAGCAAAGGTTG |
| 71 | GTCATGCCTTTGGAGGTTTC | TCCTGAGGAGCTGGGAGTAG |
| 72 | ATCCTCATTCATCCACTGCC | GGGTTGCAATGATTTTGAGC |
| 73 | AGGAAATGAAATCCCCCATC | GCTTTTCCTTGAATTGCAGC |
| 74 | TCTTACATTCACCCGCTTCC | TTGCAATTGCTTCCTGTGTC |
| 75 | GCCAGTAAGTGGGAAAGCTG | CTGGAGGAAAAAGCACAAGG |
| 76 | CAGCTCTGCCTTTGGAGAAC | AAAATTCCTTCTGTGCCTGC |
| 77 | AGAACTGGGAGTGTCCATGC | TCTTCAGCAACTCTGCCAAG |
| 78 | TTTGAGGCCCTGAGTTATGG | AGTAACTGTGCCTTGTGGGG |
| 79 | TGCCGTTTTAATCTGGTTCC | TCTTCTTTTGGACACCTGGC |
| 80 | AAACCTGGCAAGCACAGTTC | TTGCTTATCTAGGCATGGGC |
| 81 | TGGCTGACTGAATAAAGGGC | ATTGGACTGCTGGCCACTAC |
| 82 | GAGGGAGGGAGGGAAAGAC | CTCCCTCAGTTACCCTGCTG |
| 83 | TTGCAGTGCAGAGCAAAATC | CTCCGGTTACAGGTTTGAGC |
| 84 | TTTAGTGGTGGTTGCAGTGG | AGTGGTAATGTTCATGGGGC |
| 85 | AGGGCACGTGAGATACAGAC | GGCTTTTAAACACCCCTTGG |
| 86 | GGTGAAATTTCCACAATGGG | AGGGCCCTTATCTCTCTTGC |
| 87 | TAGGGACTGCCAAAAACTCC | TTTAGTTCCAGCATTTGGGC |

HPLC-purified oligonucleotides were obtained from MWG Biotech AG (Ebersberg, Germany). Lyophilized primer were dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to a final concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and stored frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All PCR products were amplified in a thermal cycler (TC9700, Perkin Elmer) under the conditions described below. Reaction mixtures of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ contained $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each dNTP (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany), 20 pmol of each primer, 100 ng of a genomic DNA preparation, $1 \times$ PCR buffer and 2U AmpliTaq (Perkin Elmer). Since the primers were selected to have the same annealing temperature, PCR products were amplified under the same conditions as following: initial denaturation ( 5 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) followed by 35 cycles of denaturation ( 1 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), annealing ( $40 \sec$ at $59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and extension ( 2 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), a final extension step was carried out for 5 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR products were ethanol precipitated, dissolved in 3xSSC/1.5M betaine and spotted on epoxy-coated slides (NUNC). Labeling and hybridisation of DNA from sorted chromosomes on the PCR amplicon subarray were performed as described in the Section 8.1.1.2.

### 9.1.2.7 Long-range PCR and sequencing

Long-range PCR amplification of breakpoint-spanning fragments was performed using specific primer pairs with one primer mapping to chromosome 1 , and a corresponding primer mapping to chromosome 13 (Table 8). The ExpandTM Long Template PCR system (Roche Applied Science) was employed.

Table 8: Primer pairs designed to amplify the breakpoint-spanning fragments

| NO. | FORWARD PRIMER | REVERSE PRIMER |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ACAGCCATCTTTCAACCCTG | AGATGGGCAATGAGATCCAG |
| 2 | CACTAACAGGCCCTCTCTGC | CTCAGAGGCAGATAGCCCAC |
| 3 | ACAGCCATCTTTCAACCCTG | CTCAGAGGCAGATAGCCCAC |
| 4 | ACAGCCATCTTTCAACCCTG | AGATGGGCAATGAGATCCAG |
| 5 | CATACCTGGGTTGCTTCCAC | TATTGCAGGGAGATTTTGGC |
| 6 | CTCATGGGCTTTAGCAGCTC | ATTTCCCTCCTTTGTGGGAC |
| 7 | CATACCTGGGTTGCTTCCAC | ATTTCCCTCCTTTGTGGGAC |
| 8 | CTCATGGGCTTTAGCAGCTC | TATTGCAGGGAGATTTTGGC |

Amplifications were performed in a thermal cycler (TC9700, Perkin Elmer) in $20 \mu 1$ reactions containing 50 ng of DNA, 30 pmol of each primer, $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of FailSafeMix ( 0.4 mM of each dNTP, $1 \times$ PCR buffer) and 3.5 U of polymerase mix, with the following cycling parameters: after initial denaturation at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , 10 cycles of denaturation 30 sec at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, annealing 30 sec at $58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extension 5 min at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 20 cycles [ 30 sec at $92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{sec}$ at $58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 5 min at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $+20 \mathrm{sec} /$ cycle $\left.)\right]$ and a final extension step at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 min .

The three PCR products (No. 3, 4, 8) were used as templates for sequencing in both directions by use of BigDye Terminator chemistry (PE Biosystems). Separation and visualisation was performed on an Applied Biosystem 3730xl DNA Analyzer.

### 9.1.2.8 Agarose gel electrophoresis

DNA fragments were separated and visualized by agarose gel electrophoresis. Gels of $1 \%$ agarose (Invitrogen) in TBE buffer ( 0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M boric acid, 2 mM EDTA) were supplemented with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ethidium bromide. At least 0.2 volumes of gel loading buffer ( $0.25 \%$ bromophenol blue, $0.25 \%$ xylene cyanol FF, and $30 \%$ glycerol) was added to the nucleic acid solutions before loading into the wells. DNA size markers HyperLadder I 100 Lanes (Bioline) were also loaded. Gels were run at 100 V for $30-45 \mathrm{~min}$. Nucleic acids were visualized and pictures were taken using the E.A.S.Y Win32 gel documentation system (Herolab, Wiesloch, Germany).

### 9.2 Supplementary tables

Table S1: Segmental duplication content and DNA copy number polymorphisms in 25 patients with unbalanced aberrations (200kb)*.

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { case } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | LCR content** upper breakpoint | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNPs*** } \\ \text { upper } \\ \text { breakpoint } \end{gathered}$ | LCR content** lower breakpoint | CNPs*** lower breakpoint | size of homologous sequence(kb) | sequence identity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.464 | - | 5.796 | - | 146 | 0.995 |
| 2 | 7.625 | - | 8.512 | - | 265 | 0.983 |
| 3 | 1.156 | + | 3.031 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 2.591 | + | 4.161 | + | 43 | 0.941 |
| 6 | 1.808 | + | 2.787 | - | 38 | 0.987 |
| 7 | 4.992 | + | 5.082 | + | 190 | 0.982 |
| 8 | 3.67 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0.009 | - | 0.079 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.01 | - | 0.016 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 2.755 | + | 2.182 | + | 6 | 0.906 |
| 16 | 1.14 | + | 2.973 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.144 | - | 0.052 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 1.533 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 2.532 | - | 1.987 | + | 123 | 0.929 |


| 21 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |

*Three patients with previously known genomic disorders are shown in bold. The 25 cases are sorted by aberration size. Calculation is based on a 200 kb interval centered around the breakpoint.
**LCR (Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications) content is calculated using the formula ( $\sum$ Length of Duplication * Copy Number)/ Length of Clone *** CNP: DNA Copy Number Polymorphism

Table S2: Segmental duplication content and DNA copy number polymorphisms in 41 mentally retarded patients with balanced translocation (200kb)*.

| case <br> No. <br> content** | LCR <br> creakoint $\mathbf{1}$ | CNP*** <br> breakpoint $\mathbf{1}$ | LCR <br> content** <br> breakpoint 2 | CNPs*** <br> breakpoint 2 | size of <br> homologous <br> sequence(kb) | sequence <br> identity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.006 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | - | 0.021 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.639 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |


| 12 | 0 | $+$ | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 0 | - | 0.497 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | - | 0.02 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | - | 0.272 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 3.007 | - | 2.676 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0.057 | - | 1.307 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | - | 0.008 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0.016 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | + | 0.023 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0.032 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | - | 0.175 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 11.858 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | - | 0.007 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 | - | 0.008 | - | 0 | 0 |


| 38 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | - | 0.517 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0.208 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |

*Calculation is based on a 200 kb interval centered around the breakpoint.
**LCR (Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications) content is calculated using the formula ( $\sum$ Length of Duplication * Copy Number)/ Length of Clone *** CNP: DNA Copy Number Polymorphism

Table S3: Segmental duplication content and DNA copy number polymorphisms in patients with unbalanced aberrations (deVries et al., 2005) (200kb interval)*.

| patient | LCR <br> content** <br> upper <br> breakpoint | CNPs*** <br> upper <br> breakpoint | LCR <br> content** <br> lower <br> breakpoint | CNPs*** <br> lower <br> breakpoint | Size of <br> homologous <br> sequence(kb) | Sequence <br> identity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.016 | - | 0.01 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | - | 0.02 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.027 | - | 2.032 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.075 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.023 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | + | 0.008 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | + | 1.14 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1.795 | - | 0.116 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 5.32 | + | 3.321 | + | 250 | 0.989 |


| 11 | 0.834 | - | 6.779 | - | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 0.021 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0.108 | - | 5.826 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 2.083 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 1.8 | + | 2.962 | - | 52 | 0.934 |

*Calculation is based on a 200 kb interval centered around the breakpoint. **LCR (Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications) content is calculated using the formula ( $\sum$ Length of Duplication * Copy Number)/ Length of Clone *** CNP: DNA Copy Number Polymorphism

Table S4: Segmental duplications at breakpoints of balanced and unbalanced aberrations in patients with mental retardation ( 200 kb interval)*

|  | Unbalanced <br> aberrations** | Balanced <br> translocations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total No. of aberrations | 22 | 41 |
| Aberrations with no LCRs***flanking the <br> breakpoints | $11(50 \%)$ | $23(56 \%)$ |
| Aberrations with LCRs*** flanking one <br> breakpoint | $2(9 \%)$ | $16(39 \%)$ |
| Aberrations with LCRs*** flanking both <br> breakpoints, but without homology | $4(18 \%)$ | $2(5 \%)$ |
| Aberrations with homologous LCRs*** flanking <br> both breakpoints | $5(23 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |
| *Data are based on a 200 kb interval centered around the breakpoint. |  |  |
| $* *$ Three cases with previous known genomic disorders (7, 15 and 16) have been |  |  |
| excluded |  |  |
| $* *$ LCRs: Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications |  |  |

Table S5: DNA copy number polymorphisms at breakpoints of balanced and unbalanced aberrations with mental retardation (200kb interval)*

|  | Unbalanced <br> aberrations** | Balanced translocation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total No. of Aberrations | 22 | 41 |
| No. of Aberration without CNPs*** <br> at the breakpoints | $11(50 \%)$ | $32(78 \%)$ |
| No. of Aberration with CNPs*** at <br> one breakpoint | $9(41 \%)$ | $8(20 \%)$ |
| No. of Aberration with CNPs*** at <br> both breakpoints | $2(9 \%)$ | $1(2 \%)$ |

*Data are based on a 200 kb interval centered around the breakpoint.
** Three cases with previously known genomic disorders (7, 15 and 16) have been excluded
**CNPs: copy number polymorphisms

Table S6: Segmental duplication content and DNA copy number polymorphisms in 25 patients with unbalanced aberrations ( $1 \mathbf{M b}$ )*

| Case <br> No. | LCR <br> content*** <br> upper <br> breakpoint | CNPs*** <br> upper <br> ureakpoint <br> content** <br> lower <br> breakpoint | CNP*** <br> breakpoint <br> lower | Size of <br> homologous <br> sequence (kb) | Sequence <br> identity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.298 | - | 1.185 | - | 218 | 0.988 |
| 2 | 3.051 | + | 1.873 | + | 549 | 0.979 |
| 3 | 1.319 | + | 0.925 | + | 138 | 0.983 |
| 4 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1.332 | + | 1.38 | + | 433 | 0.975 |
| 6 | 0.695 | + | 0.712 | - | 70 | 0.987 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 1 8}$ | + | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7 7}$ | + | $\mathbf{3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 7 9}$ |
| 8 | 0.737 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0.002 | - | 0.017 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | - | 0.003 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0.008 | - | 0.016 | + | 0 | 0 |


| 12 | 0.055 | - | 0.002 | - | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 0.001 | - | 0.01 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0.022 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{+}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{+}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{+}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 8 6}$ |
| 17 | 0.003 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.03 | - | 2.291 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0.981 | + | 0.002 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 2.035 | - | 1.856 | + | 362 | 0.951 |
| 21 | 0 | - | 0.065 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0.008 | - | 0.715 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0.004 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | + | 0.004 | - | 0 | 0 |

*Three patients with previouly known genomic disorders are shown in bold. The 25 cases are sorted based on the aberration size. Calculation is based on a 1 Mb interval centered around the breakpoint.
**LCR (Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications) content is
calculated using the formula ( $\sum$ Length of Duplication * Copy Number)/ Length of Clone
*** CNP: DNA Copy Number Polymorphism

Table S7: Segmental duplication content and DNA copy number polymorphisms in 41 mentally retarded patients with balanced translocations ( $\mathbf{1 M b}$ interval)*.

| case <br> No. | LCR <br> content** <br> breakpoint $\mathbf{1}$ | CNPs*** <br> breakpoint 1 | LCR <br> content** <br> breakpoint 2 | CNPs*** <br> breakpoint 2 | size of <br> homologous <br> sequence(kb) | sequence <br> identity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.006 | + | 0.003 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |


| 3 | 0 | + | 0.005 | + | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.061 | $+$ | 0.007 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.003 | - | 0.03 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.009 | - | 0.035 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.421 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | - | 0.001 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0.003 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0.001 | $+$ | 0.009 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0.003 | - | 1.82 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0.126 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | - | 0.006 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0.001 | - | 0.326 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0.001 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.006 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | - | 0.003 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0.019 | $+$ | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0.999 | - | 1.858 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0.053 | + | 0.87 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0.031 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0.001 | - | 0.004 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0.007 | + | 0.002 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0.005 | $+$ | 0.01 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | $+$ | 0.005 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0.002 | + | 0.018 | - | 0 | 0 |


| 29 | 0.006 | + | 0.019 | - | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 30 | 0.019 | - | 0.035 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 2.828 | + | 0.002 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 0.003 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 0.905 | + | 0.006 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | - | 0.013 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 0.007 | + | 0.019 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 | - | 0.008 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 | - | 0.004 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 0 | - | 0.003 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 0.005 | + | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0.003 | - | 1.819 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0.968 | + | 0.919 | + | 0 | 0 |

*Calculation is based on a 1 Mb interval centered around the breakpoint.
**LCR (Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications) content is calculated using the formula ( LLength of Duplication * Copy Number)/ Length of Clone *** CNP: DNA Copy Number Polymorphism

Table S8: Segmental duplication content and DNA copy number polymorphisms in pateints with unbalanced aberrations (deVries et al., 2005) ( 1 Mb interval)*

| patient <br>  <br>  <br> LCR <br> content** <br> upper <br> breakpointCNPs*** <br> upper <br> breakpoint | LCR <br> content** <br> lower <br> breakpoint | CNPs*** <br> lower <br> breakpoint | size of <br> homologous <br> sequence (kb) | sequence <br> identity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.033 | - | 0.013 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.019 | - | 0.008 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.008 | + | 0.418 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0.03 | - | 0.004 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.005 | - | 0.002 | - | 0 | 0 |


| 6 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 0.002 | + | 0.002 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | - | 0.002 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0.019 | + | 0.0090 | + | 4 | 0.934 |
| 9 | 0.004 | + | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | + | 0.471 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1.145 | + | 0.972 | - | 264 | 0.992 |
| 10 | 1.321 | + | 1.617 | + | 385 | 0.979 |
| 11 | 2.395 | + | 4.556 | + | 353 | 0.916 |
| 12 | 0.004 | - | 0 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 2.857 | + | 2.518 | + | 282 | 0.967 |
| 14 | 0.751 | + | 0.751 | + | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0.362 | + | 0.919 | - | 113 | 0.934 |

*Calculation is based on a 1 Mb interval centered around the breakpoint.
**LCR (Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications) content is calculated using the formula ( $\sum$ Length of Duplication * Copy Number)/ Length of Clone
*** CNP: DNA Copy Number Polymorphism

Table S9: Segmental duplications at breakpoints of balanced and unbalanced aberrations in patients with mental retardation ( 1 Mb interval)*

|  | Unbalanced <br> aberrations** | Balanced <br> translocations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total No. of Aberrations | 41 |  |
| No. of Aberration with no LCRs*** <br> flanking the breakpoints | $2(9 \%)$ | $3(7 \%)$ |
| No. of Aberration with LCRs*** flanking <br> one breakpoint | $7(32 \%)$ | $18(44 \%)$ |
| No. of Aberration with LCRs*** flanking <br> both breakpoints, but without homology | $7(32 \%)$ | $20(49 \%)$ |
| No. of Aberration with homologous <br> LCRs*** flanking both breakpoints | $6(27 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ |

*Data are based on a 1 Mb interval centered around the breakpoint.
** Three cases with known genomic disorders ( 7,15 and 16 ) have been excluded
**LCRs: Low Copy Repeats, same as segmental duplications

Table S10: DNA copy number polymorphisms at breakpoints of balanced and unbalanced aberrations in patients with mental retardation ( $1 \mathbf{M b}$ interval)

|  | Unbalanced <br> aberrations** | Balanced <br> translocation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total No. of Aberrations | 22 | 41 |
| No. of Aberration without CNPs*** at the <br> breakpoints | $6(27 \%)$ | $19(46 \%)$ |
| No. of Aberration with CNPs*** at one <br> breakpoint | $13(59 \%)$ | $14(34 \%)$ |
| No. of Aberration with CNPs*** at both <br> breakpoints | $3(14 \%)$ | $8(20 \%)$ |

*Data are based on a 1 Mb interval centered around the breakpoint.
** Three cases with known genomic disorders ( 7,15 and 16) have been excluded
**CNPs: copy number polymorphisms

