

References

- Aaron, E., & Spivey, M. (1998). Frequency vs. probability formats: Framing the three doors problem. In M. A. Gernsbacher & S. J. Derry (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Twentieth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 13–18). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Bakan, D. (1966). The test of significance in psychological research. *Psychological Bulletin*, *66*, 423-437.
- Bar-Hillel, M. (1980). The base-rate fallacy in probability judgments. *Acta psychologica*, *44*, 211-233.
- Barth, F. & Haller, R. (1996). *Stochastik Leistungskurs*. Ehrenwirth.
- Beck-Bornholdt, H.P. & Dubben, H.H. (1998). *Der Hund, der Eier legt. Erkennen von Fehlinformationen durch Querdenken*. Rowohlt Taschenbuch Verlag Reinbek bei Hamburg.
- Berlyne, D.E. (1965). Curiosity and Education. In: J.D. Krumboltz (Ed.), *Learning and the Educational Process*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Brunswik, E. (1957). Scope and aspects of the cognitive problem. In H. Gruber, R. Jessor, & K. R. Hammond (Eds.), *Cognition: The Colorado Symposium* (pp. 5-40). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Carver, R.P. (1993). The case against statistical significance testing, revisited, *Journal of Experimental Education*, *61*, 287-292.
- Cheng, P.W. (1997). From covariation to causation: A causal power theory. *Psychological Review*, *104*, 367-405.
- Cohen, J. (1994). The earth is round ($p < .05$). *American Psychologist*, *49*, 997-1003.
- Cosmides, L., & Tooby, J. (1996). Are humans good intuitive statisticians after all? Rethinking some conclusions from the literature on judgment under uncertainty. *Cognition*, *58*, 1-73.
- Dar, R., Serlin, D., & Omer, H. (1994). Misuse of statistical tests in three decades of psychotherapy research. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *62*, 75-82.
- Daston, L. (1988). *Classical Probability in The Enlightenment*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Dewdney, A.K. (1993). *200% of Nothing*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, USA.
- Eddy, D. M. (1982). Probabilistic reasoning in clinical medicine: Problems and opportunities. In D. Kahneman, P. Slovic, & A. Tversky (Eds.), *Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases* (pp. 249-267). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

- Edwards, W., Lindman, H., & Savage, L.J. (1963). Bayesian statistical inference for psychological research. *Psychological Review*, 70, 193-242.
- Edwards, W. (1968). Conservatism in human information processing. In: B. Kleinmuntz (Ed.), *Formal representation of human judgment* (pp. 17-52). New York: Wiley.
- Evans, J.S.B.T., Handley, S.J., Perham, N., Over, D.E., & Thompson, V.A. (2000). Frequency versus probability formats in statistical word problems. *Cognition*, 77, 197-213.
- Falk, R. (1992). A closer look at the probabilities of the notorious three prisoners, *Cognition*, 43, 197–223.
- Falk, R., & Greenbaum, W. (1995). Significance tests die hard. *Theory & Psychology*, 5, 75-98.
- Fiedler, K., Brinkmann, B., Betsch, T., & Wild, B. (2000). A sampling approach to biases in conditional probability judgments: Beyond base rate neglect and statistical format. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 129, 399-418.
- Fisher, R.A. (1935). *The design of experiments*. Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
- Fisher, R.A. (1956). *Statistical methods and scientific inference*. Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd.
- Frazier, K. (1992). “Three door” problem provokes letters, controversy, *Skeptical Inquirer*, 16, 192–199.
- Friedman, D. (1998). Monty Hall’s three doors: Construction and deconstruction of a choice Anomaly, *American Economic Review*, 88, 933–946.
- Gallistel, C. R. (1990). *The organization of learning*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gigerenzer, G. (1993a). The Superego, the ego, and the id in statistical reasoning. In G. Keren & C. Lewis (Eds.), *A handbook for data analysis in the behavioral sciences: Methodological issues* (pp. 310-339). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gigerenzer, G. (1993b). Über den mechanischen Umgang mit statistischen Methoden. In E. Roth (Ed.), *Sozialwissenschaftliche Methoden*. (pp. 607-618). München: R. Oldenbourg Verlag.
- Gigerenzer, G. (1998). We need statistical thinking, not statistical rituals. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 21, 199-200.
- Gigerenzer, G., Hoffrage, U. & Ebert, A. (1998). AIDS counselling for low risk clients. *AIDS CARE*, 10, 197-211.

- Gigerenzer, G. & Goldstein, D.G. (1999). Betting on One Good Reason. The Take The Best Heuristic. In G. Gigerenzer, P.M. Todd, & the ABC Research Group, *Simple heuristics that make us smart*. (pp. 75-95). New York: Oxford
- Gigerenzer, G., & Hoffrage, U. (1995). How to improve Bayesian reasoning without instruction: Frequency formats. *Psychological Review*, *102*, 684-704.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Hoffrage, U. (1999). Helping people overcome difficulties in Bayesian reasoning: A reply to Lewis & Keren and Mellers & McGraw. *Psychological Review*, *106*, 425-430.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Hug, K. (1992). Domain-specific reasoning: Social contracts, cheating and perspective change. *Cognition*, *43*, 127-171.
- Gigerenzer, G. & Krauss, S. (2001). Statistisches Denken oder statistische Rituale? Was sollte man unterrichten? In: Borovcnik, M., Engel, J. & Wickmann, D. (Hrsg.), *Anregungen zum Stochastikunterricht: Die NCTM-Standards 2000, Klassische und Bayessche Sichtweise im Vergleich*. (pp. 53-62). Franzbecker: Hildesheim.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Selten, R. (2001). *Bounded rationality: The adaptive toolbox*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gigerenzer, G., Swijtink, Z., Porter, T., Daston, L., Beatty, J., & Krüger, L. (1989). *The empire of chance: how probability changed science and everyday life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gigerenzer, G., Todd, P. M., & the ABC Research Group (1999). *Simple heuristics that make us smart*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Giroto, V. & Gonzalez, M. (2000). Strategies, models, and statistical reasoning. In W. Schaeken, G. De Vooght, A. Vandierendonck, & G. d'Ydewalle (Eds.). *Strategies in deductive reasoning*, Mahwah, N.J., Erlbaum.
- Giroto, V., & Gonzalez, M. (in press). Solving probabilistic and statistical problems: A matter of information structure and question form. *Cognition*.
- Goldstein, D. G., & Gigerenzer, G. (1999). The recognition heuristic: How ignorance makes us smart. In G. Gigerenzer, P. M. Todd, & the ABC Research Group, *Simple heuristics that make us smart* (pp. 37-58). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Granberg, D., & Brown, T. A. (1995). The Monty Hall dilemma, *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *21*, 711-723.
- Granberg, D. & Dorr, N. (1998). Further exploration of two stage decision making in the Monty Hall dilemma, *American Journal of Psychology*, *111*, 561-579.
- Hager, W. (2000). About some misconceptions and the discontent with statistical tests in psychology. *Methods of Psychological Research Online*, *5*, 1-31.

- Hasher, L. & Zacks, R.T. (1984). Automatic processing of fundamental information: The case of frequency of occurrence. *American Psychologist*, 39, 1372-1388.
- Hays, W. L. (1963). *Statistics for Psychologists*. New Nork: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Hell, W. & Heinrichs, C. (2000). Das Monty Hall Dilemma (Ziegenproblem) mit 30 Türen. [The Monty Hall Dilemma with 30 doors]. In: D. Vorberg, A. Fuchs, T. Futterer, A. Heinecke, U. Heinrichs, U. Mattler, S. Töllner (Hrsg.): *TeaP 2000 - Experimentelle Psychologie*. (p. 92). Pabst Science Publishers.
- Hertwig, R., Hoffrage, U., & Martignon, L. (1999). Quick estimation: Letting the environment do the work. In G. Gigerenzer, P. M. Todd, & the ABC Research Group. *Simple heuristics that make us smart* (pp. 209-234). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hoffrage, U., Lindsey, S., Hertwig, R., & Gigerenzer, G. (2000). Communicating Statistical Information. *Science*, 290, 2261-2262.
- Howson, C. & Urbach, P. (1989). *Scientific Reasoning. The Bayesian Approach*. Open Court Publishing Company.
- Ichikawa, S. (1989). The role of isomorphic schematic representation in the comprehension of counterintuitive Bayesian problems. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 8, 269–281.
- Ichikawa, S. & Takeychi, H. (1990). Erreuous Beliefs in Estimating Posterior Probability, *Behaviormetrika*, 27, 59-73.
- Iseler, A. (1997). Signifikanztests: Ritual, guter Brauch und gute Gründe. Diskussion von Sedlmeier, 1996. *Methods of Psychological Research Online*, pp. 1-4.
- Jahresbericht Johannes-Scharrer-Gymnasium Nürnberg (2001). J. Appl: *Intuition im Stochastikunterricht?*, 101-102.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1983). *Mental Models: Towards a cognitive science of language, inference and consciousness*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Johnson-Laird, P.N., Legrenzi, P., Girotto, V., Legrenzi, M.S., & Caverni, J.P. (1999). Naive probability: A mental model theory of extensional reasoning. *Psychological Review*, 106, 62-88.
- Kahneman, D, Slovic P., & Tversky, A. (Eds.). (1982). *Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1972). Subjective probability: A judgement of representativeness. *Cognitive Psychology*, 3, 430-454.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1973). On the psychology of prediction. *Psychological Review*, 80, 237-251.

- Krämer, W. (1991). *So lügt man mit Statistik*. Campus Verlag Frankfurt/Main.
- Krauss, S., Martignon, L., & Hoffrage, U. (1999). Simplifying Bayesian inference: The general case. In: L. Magnani, N. Nersessian & P. Thagard (Eds.), *Model-based reasoning in scientific discovery* (pp. 165-179). New York: Plenum Press.
- Krauss, S. & Hertwig, R. (2000). Muss DNA-Evidenz schwer verständlich sein? Der Ausweg aus einem Kommunikationsproblem, *Monatsschrift für Kriminologie und Strafrechtsreform*, 3, 155-162.
- Kurzenhäuser, S., & Hoffrage, U. (2001). Teaching Bayesian reasoning: Evaluation of a classroom tutorial. (manuscript submitted).
- Kurzenhäuser, S., & Krauss, S. (2001). Statistical formats and sampling strategies in Bayesian inference tasks: A comment on Fiedler, K., Brinkmann, B., Betsch, T. & Wild, B. (unpublished manuscript).
- Laplace, P.S. de (1951). *A philosophical essay on probabilities* (F.W. Truscott & F.L. Emory, Trans.). New York: Dover. (Original work published 1814)
- Lewis, C., & Keren, G. (1999). On the difficulties underlying Bayesian reasoning: Comment on Gigerenzer and Hoffrage. *Psychological Review*, 106, 411-416.
- Loftus, G.R. (1991). On the tyranny of hypothesis testing in the social sciences. *Contemporary psychology*, 36, 102-105.
- Loftus, G.R. (1993): A picture is more worth than thousand p-values: On the irrelevance of hypothesis testing in the computer age. *Behavior Research Methods, Instrumentation and Computers*, 25, 250-256.
- Loftus, G.R. (1994). *Why psychology will never be a real science until we change the way we analyze data*. Paper presented at Psychonomic Society meetings, Washington DC.
- Macchi, L. (1995). Pragmatic aspects of the base-rate fallacy. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 48A, 188-207.
- Macchi, L. (2000). Partitive formulation of information in probabilistic problems: Beyond heuristics and frequency format explanations. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 82, 217-236.
- Macchi, L., & Mosconi, G. (1998). Computational features vs frequentist phrasing in the base-rate fallacy. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, 57, 79-85.
- Martignon, L. & Krauss, S. (2001). Can l'homme éclairé be fast and frugal? Reconciling Bayesianism and Bounded Rationality. In: Schneider, S. & Shanteau, J. (Eds.), *Emerging Perspectives on Decision Making* (in press).
- Martignon, L., & Hoffrage, U. (1999). Why does one-reason decision making work? A case study in ecological rationality. In G. Gigerenzer, P. M. Todd, & the ABC Research

- Group, *Simple heuristics that make us smart* (pp. 119-140). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Martignon, L. & Laskey, K.B. (2001). Fast, frugal and enlightened. In L. Macchi & H. Hardmann (Eds.), *Handbook for decision making*. New York: Wiley. (in press)
- Massaro, D. (1998). *Perceiving talking faces*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Meehl, P.E. (1978). Theoretical risks and tabular asteriks: Sir Karl, Sir Ronald and the slow progress of soft psychology. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 46, 806-834.
- Mellers, B. A., & McGraw, A. P. (1999). How to improve Bayesian reasoning without instruction: Comment on Gigerenzer and Hoffrage. *Psychological Review*, 106, 417-424.
- Melton, A.W. (1962). Editorial. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 64, 553-557.
- Moore, D.S. (1997). Bayes for Beginners? – Some Reasons to Hesitate. *The American Statistician*, 51 (3), 254-261.
- Mueser, P. R. & Granberg, D. (1999). The Monty Hall Dilemma revisited: Understanding the Interaction of Problem Definition and Decision Making. [Online]. Available: <http://econwpa.wustl.edu:8089/eps/exp/papers/9906/9906001.html>
- NCTM - National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000). *Principles and Standards for school mathematics*. Reston, Va.: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- Nickerson, R.S. (1996). Ambiguities and Unstated Assumptions in Probabilistic Reasoning. *Psychological Bulletin*, 120, 410-433.
- Nickerson, R.S. (2000). Null hypothesis significance testing: A review of an old and continuing controversy, *Psychological Methods*, 5, 241-301.
- Nunally, J.C. (1975). *Introduction to statistics for psychology and education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Oakes, M. (1986). *Statistical inference: A commentary for the social and behavioral sciences*, Chichester: Wiley.
- Over, D.E. (2000a). Ecological rationality and its heuristics, Book Review. *Thinking and Reasoning*, 6, 182-192.
- Over, D.E. (2000b). *Probability, cognition and evolution*. Paper presented at the Hang Seng Conference on The Cognitive Basis of Science, University of Sheffield, 28th June-1th July.
- Piattelli-Palmarini, M. (1991). Probability blindness. Neither rational nor capricious. *Bostonia*, March/April, 28-35.

- Piattelli-Palmarini, M. (1994). *Inevitable illusions: How mistakes of reason rule our minds* (M. Piattelli-Palmarini & K. Botsford, Trans.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Posner, G. (1991). Nation's mathematicians guilty of "innumeracy". *Skeptical Inquirer*, 15, 342-345.
- Real, L. A. (1991). Animal choice behavior and the evolution of cognitive architecture. *Science*, 253, 980-986.
- Rosnow, R., & Rosenthal, R. (1989). Statistical procedures and the justification of knowledge in psychological science. *American Psychologist*, 44, 1276-1284.
- Sedlmeier, P., & Gigerenzer, G. (1989). Do studies of statistical power have an effect on the power of studies? *Psychological Bulletin*, 105, 309-316.
- Sedlmeier, P. (1996). Jenseits des Signifikanztest-Rituals: Ergänzungen und Alternativen. *Methods of Psychological Research Online*, 1, 41-63.
- Sedlmeier, P. (1998). Was sind die guten Gründe für Signifikanztests? *Methods of Psychological Research Online*, 3, 39-42.
- Sedlmeier, P., & Gigerenzer, G. (in press). Teaching Bayesian reasoning in less than two hours. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*.
- Sedlmeier, P. & Köhlers, D. (2001). *Wahrscheinlichkeiten im Alltag. Statistik ohne Formeln*. Braunschweig: Westermann.
- Shimojo, S., & Ichikawa, S. (1989). Intuitive reasoning about probability: Theoretical and experimental analyses of the "problem of three prisoners", *Cognition*, 32, 1-24.
- Slavin, R.E. (1994). *Educational Psychology. Theory and Practice*. Allyn and Bacon: Boston.
- Sloman, S.A., & Slovic, L. (2001). Why frequency and other frames reduce base-rate neglect (unpublished manuscript).
- Süddeutsche Zeitung Magazin, 53, 31.12.1998.
- Tierney, J. (1991, July 21). Behind Monty Hall's doors: Puzzle, debate and answer? *New York Times*, pp. 1, 20.
- von Randow, G. (1993). *Das Ziegenproblem* [The goat problem], science sachbuch rororo, Rowohlt Verlag.
- von Randow, G. (1991, July 19). Eine überzeugende Logik [A convincing logic]. *Die Zeit*, 34, 58.
- vos Savant, M. (1997). *The power of logical thinking*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Wang, X. T. (1996). Evolutionary hypotheses of risk-sensitive choice: Age differences and perspective change. *Ethology and Sociobiology*, 17, 115.