

List of Figures

2.1	Atmospheric transmission of a mid-latitude standard summer atmosphere around the $\rho\sigma\tau$ -water vapour absorption band. The blue and red boxes indicate the spectral location of the MERIS and MODIS channels used for the retrieval of atmospheric water vapour content and the appropriate channel numbers as given in Tables 2.1 and 2.2.	5
2.2	Optical depth scaling factors f determined from observed and calculated integrated intensities over 100 cm^{-1} wide spectral intervals for four different databases. The spectral range of the MERIS and MODIS water vapour absorption channels 14 and 19, respectively, are indicated.	12
2.3	Two dimensional histograms of the relative difference between calculated and observed optical depths for the a) HITRAN 2000, b) ESA-WVR, c) HITRAN 99 and d) HITRAN 96 database for a spectral interval around 940 nm (10638 cm^{-1}). The white crosses represent the mean values of the distribution at increments of 0.05 in optical depth. The dashed horizontal lines indicate the extremes resulting from the estimated $\pm 6\%$ uncertainty on the water vapour total column.	14
2.4	Sensitivity of the measured signal for varying columnar water vapour content above land. The signal is the logarithm of the radiance ratio of one absorption and one window channel. Value are calculated for nadir viewing direction and sun zenith angle of 35° .	16

2.5	Estimated relative error in columnar water vapour content due to sensor noise. Values are calculated for nadir viewing direction and sun zenith angle of 35°	17
2.6	Theoretical regression error for water vapour above land based on the inversion of simulated radiances. Results are shown as a function of sun and viewing geometry for MERIS (left panel) and MODIS (right panel). Inversions were performed for 20.000 randomly chosen simulations with sun zenith angles between 12° and 70° and viewing zenith angles of 0° and 50°	20
2.7	Theoretical regression error for water vapour above clouds based on the inversion of simulated radiances. Results are shown as a function of sun and viewing geometry for MERIS (left panel) and MODIS (right panel). Inversions were performed for 20.000 randomly chosen simulations with sun zenith angles between 12° and 70°, viewing zenith angles of 0° and 50° and surfaces reflectivities ≤ 0.3	21
2.8	True colour image created from MERIS full resolution measurements taken 12.08.2003.	22
2.9	Subset of the MERIS scene shown above (left), derived columnar water vapour (middle) and surface height from a digital elevation map (right)	22
2.10	MERIS reduced resolution scene taken the 3rd of August 2002 over Sicily. Shown are a true colour image (upper left), the derived integrated water vapour (upper right), the surface height (lower left) and a transection of surface height and water vapour along 15°E (lower right).	23
2.11	MERIS reduced resolution scene taken the 12th of December 2002 over eastern Germany and Poland. Shown are a true colour image (upper left), the derived integrated water vapour above clouds (upper right), the derived cloud top pressure (lower left) and a transection of cloud top pressure and water vapour along 18°E (lower right).	24

2.12	NCEP reanalysis of 850 hPa temperature for 12. 10. 2002, 00 UTC. Figure taken from http://www.wetterzentrale.de/topkarten	25
2.13	True colour image and retrieved water vapour from a MODIS scene taken the 6th of August 2003.	26
2.14	Columnar water vapour from radio soundings and MODIS for the radiosonde station indicated in figure 2.13.	26
3.1	Flowchart of the near-real-time processing system used at the <i>Institut für Weltraumwissenschaften</i> for the automated retrieval of atmospheric water vapour and cloud properties from MODIS data and the display of results on the Internet.	28
3.2	Time delay between begin of MODIS daytime overpasses and start of ftp-transfer of level 1b data for two satellite receiving stations. The dots show values for individual overpasses, the lines indicate monthly mean values. Overpasses with a time delay larger than 3 hours were omitted.	29
3.3	Histogram of the time-delays shown in figure 3.2.	30
3.4	Number of days per month for which no MODIS daytime overpasses were transmitted within 3 hours from the two receiving stations of Figure 3.2.	31
3.5	Mosaic of true colour images from four daytime MODIS overpasses on 6 th August, 2003	32
4.1	The Microwave Water at the ARM-SGP site. Image courtesy of the ARM program.	34

4.2	Upper panel: True colour image derived from a MODIS overpass over the ARM-SGP site / Oklahoma; USA, 14th August 2002. The left image shows a global overview, the right image is zoomed, the grey cross indicates the location of the ARM site. Lower panel: the left image shows the integrated water vapour over cloud free areas derived from the same MODIS scene, the right image shows the diurnal cycle of water vapour measurements from the MWR instrument. The red diamond indicates the MODIS measurements closest to the ARM site.	35
4.3	Scatter plots of integrated columnar water vapour from the Microwave Water Radiometer at the ARM-SGP site and from MERIS (left) and MODIS (right) measurements using the retrieval algorithms described in this work.	36
4.4	Integrated columnar water vapour from the Microwave water radiometer at the ARM-SGP site and from MODIS MOD05 (Version 3).	37
4.5	Scatter plots of integrated columnar water vapour from ground based GPS and from MERIS (left) and MODIS (right) measurements using the retrieval algorithms described in this work.	38
4.6	Scatter plots of integrated columnar water vapour from radio soundings and from MERIS (left) and MODIS (right) measurements using the retrieval algorithms described in this work.	40
4.7	Left: Scatter plot of integrated columnar water vapour above clouds from radio soundings and from MERIS; right: scatter plot of cloud top pressure from radio soundings and from MERIS.	42
4.8	Absolute and relative deviations of measurements of columnar water vapour above land from MERIS and MODIS compared to microwave radiometer measurements, ground-based GPS data and radio soundings. The dashed areas indicate the range given by the 5% and 95% percentiles.	45

5.1	Integrated water vapour derived from MODIS measurements and averaged over HIRLAM grid boxes for 01. 07. 2002, 06 UTC (left panel) and 12 UTC (right panel).	52
5.2	Frequency of occurrence of deviations between MODIS and MWR (left panel) and GPS (right panel) water vapour measurements. The red lines shows Gaussians around the mean differences.	53
5.3	Ground-based GPS stations used for the calculation of the spatial correlation of MODIS water vapour observation errors.	54
5.4	Spatial correlation of MODIS water vapour observation errors as a function of distance. The results are based on comparisons with ground-based GPS measurements. For details see text.	55
5.5	MODIS scene and HIRLAM forecast at 01. 07.2002, 06 UTC . . .	56
5.6	MODIS scene and HIRLAM forecast at 01. 07.2002, 06 UTC . . .	57
5.7	Difference histogram between MODIS observed integrated water vapour and the HIRLAM 6 hour forecast from the example showed in figures 5.5 and 5.6.	58
5.8	Mean precipitable water for the first two weeks in July:	60
5.9	Mean precipitable water calculated from radio soundings for the assimilation experiment period. The crosses indicate the location of the radiosonde stations.	61
5.10	HIRLAM Humidity profiles of two grid boxes from analyses for the 2 nd of July, 12 UTC. The analysis from the reference run is plotted in a solid black line, the analysis from the MODIS run is plotted in grey. The dashed line shows the difference between both. The left panel shows a MODIS observation larger, the right panel an observation smaller than the 6 hours forecast	63
5.11	Mean humidity profile and standard deviation of analysis increments for all assimilation cycles and all MODIS observations. . . .	63
5.12	Differences in integrated water vapour between the MODIS and the reference run, the individual rows are for the 02. to 05. 07, the left panel always shows the difference at 00 UTC, the right panel at 12 UTC.	65

5.13	Like figure 5.12, but for the area of MODIS observations.	66
5.14	Like figure 5.12, but for 09. to 12. 07.	67
5.15	Like figure 5.13, but for the 09. to 12. 07.	68
5.16	Total precipitation from 1 st to 15 th of July 2002	70
5.17	Total precipitation from 1 st to 15 th of July 2002.	71
5.18	Histograms of absolute differences of total precipitation and of F(dB). For details see text.	72