

# **Remote Reference versus Signal-Noise Separation: A least-square based comparison between magnetotelluric processing techniques**

Dissertation  
zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades  
vorgelegt von  
Anne Neska

Fachbereich Geowissenschaften  
Fachrichtung Geophysik  
Freie Universität Berlin

April 2006

Erster Gutachter: Prof. Dr. Volker Haak  
Zweiter Gutachter: PD Dr. Oliver Ritter

Tag der Prüfung: 09. Mai 2006

# Contents

## Introduction:

On comparableness of magnetotelluric processing methods	5
---------------------------------------------------------	---

## 1 Fundamentals:

The single site method	9
------------------------	---

1.1 The impedance equation and its solution . . . . .	9
-------------------------------------------------------	---

1.2 On cascade decimation, Fourier coefficients, spectra, and instruments' responses . . . . .	14
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

1.3 Noise . . . . .	21
---------------------	----

1.3.1 Statistic noise in output channels . . . . .	21
----------------------------------------------------	----

1.3.2 Statistic noise in input channels . . . . .	26
---------------------------------------------------	----

1.3.3 Correlated noise . . . . .	27
----------------------------------	----

## 2 Evading noise:

The Remote Reference technique	31
--------------------------------	----

2.1 New transfer functions due to a second site . . . . .	31
-----------------------------------------------------------	----

2.2 Application to data in need . . . . .	34
-------------------------------------------	----

2.3 Requirements to a remote site . . . . .	40
---------------------------------------------	----

## 3 Inspecting the evil:

Larsen's Signal-Noise Separation	50
----------------------------------	----

3.1 Description of the method . . . . .	51
-----------------------------------------	----

3.1.1	Discerning signal and noise . . . . .	51
3.1.2	A transfer function for correlated noise . . . . .	54
3.1.3	Some general consequences . . . . .	57
3.2	Comparison with Remote Reference . . . . .	59
3.2.1	The debate and open questions . . . . .	60
3.2.2	Answers from a consistent least-square point of view . . . . .	61
<b>4</b>	<b>Rescuing the Separation approach: Oettinger's extension</b>	<b>71</b>
4.1	A remote reference approach stabilizes the Separation tensor . . . . .	71
4.2	Supporting independency of input variables . . . . .	78
4.3	Information about correlated-noise sources . . . . .	90
<b>Conclusions</b>		<b>97</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>		<b>99</b>
<b>References</b>		<b>101</b>