

## 6. List of Tables

	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 01	Definitions of leadership (Yukl, 2002, p. 3)	04
Table 02	Trends in leadership theory and research (Bryman, 1992, p.1)	06
Table 03	Leadership approaches according to Yukl (2002)	07
Table 04	Relationship of consideration and initiating structure to leadership criteria (Judge, 2004, p. 40)	16
Table 05	Summary of the different MLQ versions	45
Table 06	Correlations of the MLQ scales reported by Lowe et al. (1996, p. 421)	47
Table 07	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Bycio et al. (1995, p. 472)	49
Table 08	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Garman et al. (2003, p. 808)	50
Table 09	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Bass and Avolio (1995, p. 25)	51
Table 10	MLQ factorial models tested using CFA by Avolio et al. (1999)	52
Table 11	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Avolio et al. (1999, p. 451)	53
Table 12	Reliabilities and correlations of the scales of the six-factor model (Avolio et al., 1999, p. 453)	54
Table 13	Fit-indices of the MLQ models examined by Tejada et al. {Tejada, 2001 #80}, p. 44), reduced set of items	56
Table 14	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Antonakis et al. (2003, p. 287)	58
Table 15	Fit-indices for the nine-factor model in several contextual conditions (Antonakis et al., 2003)	59

Table 16	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Tepper and Percy (1994, p. 739), 24 item version	60
Table 17	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Yammarino et al. (1998, p. 38)	62
Table 18	Means and standard deviations for the American and German leaders (Kuchinke, 1999, S.145)	73
Table 19	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Felfe (in press)	76
Table 20	Fit-indices of the MLQ factorial models examined by Rowold and Grabbe ( in review)	78
Table 21	Correlations of the nine MLQ scales reported by Rowold and Grabbe (in review)	79
Table 22	Overall meta-analysis of the MLQ scales reported by Lowe et al. (1996, p. 404)	82
Table 23	Meta-analysis results reported by Dumdum et al. (2002, pp. 42/43 and 46/47)	83
Table 24	Estimated true score correlations of the MLQ scales to leadership criteria as reported by Judge and Piccolo (2004, pp. 759/760)	85
Table 25	$\beta$ -weights for regression analyses provided by Judge and Piccolo (2004, p. 763)	87
Table 26	Age and gender distribution (percent values) for Study 1, Study 2, and Study 3	101
Table 27	Means, internal consistencies and scale intercorrelations for the MLQ scales	102
Table 28	Tested MLQ models – scale allocation	103
Table 29	Factor loadings of the MLQ items on nine latent variables using CFA (completely standardized solution, 36 items, $N_I = 1311$ )	105
Table 30	CFA of several factorial models of the MLQ ( $N_I = 1311$ )	106

Table 31	Factor intercorrelations of the nine-factor and the six-factor model ( $N_I = 1311$ )	107
Table 32	CFA of the nine factor model in homogenous contexts (Study 1)	108
Table 33	CFA for the MLQ data - higher order models ( $N_I = 1311$ )	109
Table 34	Pattern Matrix for the principle axis analysis with 36 items, factor loadings of the selected items (13) and factor loadings of the three latent variables on CFA (completely standardized solution)	111
Table 35	Means and standard deviations of the MLQ laissez-faire scales ( $N_4 = 404$ )	114
Table 36	Factor loadings of the MLQ laissez-faire items ( $N_4 = 404$ )	115
Table 37	Factor loadings of the MLQ transformational and laissez-faire items ( $N_4 = 404$ )	115
Table 38	CFA for the laissez-faire items ( $N_4 = 404$ )	117
Table 39	Means, <i>SD</i> , $\alpha$ , and correlations for the TLI scales (Podsakoff et al. 1996, p. 270)	122
Table 40	Correlations between TLI scales and external criteria (Podsakoff et al., 1990, p. 126; Podsakoff et al. 1996, p. 270)	124
Table 41	Factor loadings and factor intercorrelations for the TLI scales, completely standardized solution ( $N_4 = 404$ )	126
Table 42	CFA of the TLI ( $N_4 = 404$ )	128
Table 43	Means, internal consistencies and scale intercorrelations for the TLI scales ( $N_4 = 404$ )	128
Table 44	Scale intercorrelations of the MLQ and TLI scales ( $N_4 = 404$ )	129
Table 45	Factor loadings of the MLQ and TLI items ( $N_4 = 404$ ), PAA, varimax-rotation	130
Table 46	Scale intercorrelations of the three-factor model of the MLQ and the TLI scales ( $N_4 = 404$ )	134
Table 47	CFA for the LBDQ data (Study 1)	138

Table 48	Factor loadings of the LBDQ items on their resp. factors ( $N_I = 1311$ ), completely standardized solution	138
Table 49	Scale intercorrelations for MLQ and LBDQ scales ( $N_I = 1311$ )	139
Table 50	Factor loadings of the MLQ individual consideration and LBDQ consideration items ( $N_I = 1311$ ), PAA, eigenvalue $> 1$	140
Table 51	Factor loadings of the MLQ individual consideration and LBDQ consideration items ( $N_I = 1311$ ), PAA, two-factor solution, varimax rotation	141
Table 52	CFA for the MLQ individual consideration and LBDQ consideration items ( $N_I = 1311$ )	141
Table 53	Factor loadings of the MLQ and LBDQ items ( $N_I = 1311$ ), PAA, varimax rotation	142
Table 54	Consideration and initiating structure means for high and low transformational leadership	144
Table 55	Scale intercorrelations of the three-factor model of the MLQ and the LBDQ scales ( $N_I = 1311$ )	145
Table 56	Means, standard deviations, and internal consistencies of the external criteria	153
Table 57	Scale intercorrelations of the MLQ and external criteria ( $N_I = 1311$ ; $N_4 = 404$ )	155
Table 58	Job satisfaction and affective commitment means for high and low transformational leadership	156
Table 59	Hierarchical regression analysis for the MLQ scales on COM-emo and SAT-job	157
Table 60	Regression weights for the MLQ scales	158
Table 61	Hierarchical regression analysis for the MLQ and TLI scales on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_4 = 404$ )	159
Table 62	Hierarchical regression analysis of the MLQ and TLI transformational scales on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_4 = 404$ )	160

Table 63	Hierarchical regression analysis of the transactional MLQ and LBDQ scales on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_I = 1311$ )	161
Table 64	Hierarchical regression analysis of the transformational scales of the MLQ and the LBDQ scales on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_I = 1311$ )	161
Table 65	Scale intercorrelations of the MLQ transformational scales and external criteria for three groups of salary (Study 1)	162
Table 66	Job satisfaction and affective commitment means for high and low transformational leadership in three salary groups (Study 1)	163
Table 67	Scale intercorrelations of the three-factor model of the MLQ with COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_I = 1311$ )	164
Table 68	Regression analysis for the nine- and the three-factorial model of the MLQ on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_1 = 1311, N_4 = 404$ )	165
Table 69	Hierarchical regression analysis for the transformational scales of the MLQ and the three-factorial model on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_1 = 1311, N_4 = 404$ )	166
Table 70	Hierarchical regression analysis for the transformational scales of the TLI and the three-factorial model on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_4 = 404$ )	166
Table 71	Hierarchical regression analysis of the LBDQ and the three-factorial model of the MLQ on COM-emo and SAT-job ( $N_I = 1311$ )	167