

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1 Sketch of different origins of light received by space-borne sensor	2
2.1 Absorption coefficient of pure seawater ($\lambda=380-709$ nm from Pope and Fry (1997), $\lambda > 709$ nm from Hale and Querry (1973))	13
2.2 Scattering coefficient of pure seawater, from Morel (1974)	13
2.3 Phase function of pure seawater, from Morel (1974)	14
2.4 Absorption coefficient of endogenous particles for $CHL=1$ mg m ⁻³ , based on (2.18)	15
2.5 Absorption coefficient of exogenous particles for $SPM=3$ g m ⁻³ , based on Eq. (2.22) and (2.23)	16
2.6 Measured by <i>Petzold</i> (1972) volume scattering function from three natural waters and derived by <i>Mobley et al.</i> (1993) particles phase function, all at $\lambda=514$ nm	17
2.7 Absorption coefficient of CDOM, for $a_g(440)=1.0m^{-1}$ and $s_y=0.017$	18
2.8 Architecture of the Multi-Layer-Perceptron used in this thesis	21
3.1 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN for the synthetic training data set. The target pigment concentration designates the pigment concentration used as input to the RT simulations. The dashed lines indicate the factor 2 error margin	31
3.2 Comparison of ANN-derived pigment concentration to Corresponding <i>in-situ</i> measurements for the SeaBAM (A) and COASTLOOC (B) data sets. The dashed lines indicate the factor 2 error margin	32
3.3 Performance of the ANN-based pigment algorithm presented in this study as compared to selected empirical algorithms from <i>O'Reilly et al.</i> [1998], using square of correlation coefficient r^2 (A) and root mean square error RMSE (B) of derived vs. actually measured pigment concentration as measure of success. The algorithms were applied to the SeaBAM (x) and COASTLOOC (\diamond) data sets	34

3.4 Performance against noise of the ANN-based algorithm presented in this study and selected empirical algorithms, using square of correlation coefficient r^2 (A) and root mean square error RMSE (B) of derived vs. measured pigment concentrations as measure of success	36
4.1 The locations of stations visited during COASTLOOC cruises, adapted from Babin (2000)	39
4.2 Comparison between the simulated hemispherical reflectances just below the sea surface and the corresponding COASTLOOC data. The simulations have been performed assuming a constant back scattering probability of 1.81%	44
4.3 The model for the back scattering probability of marine particles in Case II waters as function of the ratio of POM to SPM and wavelength.....	45
4.4 The relationship between $a_y(443)+a_{ph}(443)$ and the concentration of particulate organic matter	46
4.5 The model for the back scattering probability of marine particles in Case II waters as function of the ratio of $a_y(443)+a_{ph}(443)$ to SPM and wavelength	46
4.6 Comparisons between the simulated hemispherical reflectances and the corresponding COASTLOOC data. The simulations have been performed with the new model for the back scattering probability of marine particles proposed in this study	47
4.7 Comparison of statistical parameters between the simulations with the new model and 1.81% back scattering probability	48
4.8 Comparison between the new model proposed in this study and the Mie calculations	50
5.1 Scatter plots of SPM against CHL (top), CDOM against CHL (middle), and CDOM against SPM (bottom). Data set collected during COASTLOOC cruises	54
5.2 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based pigment	

retrieval algorithm for the synthetic training data set (A), validation data set (B), and test data (C). The dashed lines indicate the factor 2 error margin	65
5.3 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based SPM retrieval algorithm for the synthetic training data set (A), validation data set (B), and test data (C). The dashed lines indicate the factor 2 error margin	66
5.4 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based CDOM retrieval algorithm for the synthetic training data set (A), validation data set (B), and test data (C). The dashed lines indicate the factor 2 error margin	67
6.1 Phase functions of the aerosol models used in this study	73
6.2 Normalised attenuation coefficients of the aerosol models used in this study	73
6.3 Single scattering albedo of the aerosol models used in this study	74
6.4 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based algorithm for the Rayleigh scattering correction. (A), (C) and (E) for the training data set at three wavelengths: 412 nm, 560 nm and 885 nm, respectively. (B), (D) and (F) for test data set at the same wavelengths	78
6.5 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based algorithm for pigment retrieval: training data set (top left panel, TRAIN), test data sets for seven different atmospheres (TEST1~TEST7). The target pigment concentration designates the pigment concentration used as input to the RT simulations. The dashed lines indicate the factor 3 error margin	80
6.6 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based algorithm for SPM retrieval: training data set (top left panel, TRAIN), test data sets for seven different atmospheres (TEST1~TEST7). The target SPM concentration designates the SPM concentration used as input to the RT simulations. The dashed lines indicate the factor 3 error margin	81
6.7 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based algorithm for CDOM retrieval: training data set (top left panel, TRAIN), test data sets for seven different atmospheres (TEST1~TEST7). The	

target CDOM absorption coefficient designates the CDOM absorption coefficients used as input to the RT simulations. The dashed lines indicate the factor 3 error margin	82
6.8 Scatter plot showing the performance of the ANN-based algorithm for aerosol optical thickness retrieval: training data set (top left panel, TRAIN), test data sets for seven different atmospheres (TEST1~TEST7). The target aerosol optical thickness designates the aerosol optical thickness used as input to the RT simulations. The dashed lines indicate the factor 2 error margin	83
6.9 RGB image of MERIS over the North Sea (22 March, 2003). The black line indicates the transect used for further analysis	86
6.10 Pigment distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the North Sea (22 March, 2003)	86
6.11 SPM distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the North Sea (22 March, 2003)	87
6.12 CDOM distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the North Sea (22 March, 2003)	87
6.13 Aerosol distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the North Sea (22 March, 2003)	88
6.14 Distributions of pigment, SPM, CDOM and aerosol derived from MERIS imagery for the North Sea along the specific transect (22 March, 2003)	88
6.15 RGB image of MERIS over the China Seas (16 April, 2003). The black line indicates the transect used for further analysis	89
6.16 Pigment distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the China Seas (16 April, 2003)	89
6.17 SPM distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the China Seas (16 April, 2003)	90
6.18 CDOM distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the China Seas (16 April, 2003)	90

6.19 Aerosol distribution derived from MERIS imagery for the China Seas (16 April, 2003)	91
6.20 Distributions of pigment, SPM, CDOM and aerosol derived from MERIS imagery for the China Seas along the specific transect (16 April, 2003)	91