

6.3 Literaturverzeichnis

- [1] Hench LL, Splinter RJ, Allen WC, Greenlee TK. Bonding mechanism at the interface of the ceramic prosthetic materials. *Biomed Mater Res Symp*; 2, 117-141, 1972.
- [2] Gross UM, Kinne R, Schmitz HJ, Strunz V. The response of bone to surface-active glasses/glass ceramics. In: Williams DF, editor. *CRC Critical Reviews in Biocompatibility*, Vol. 4: p. 155-179. CRC-Press, 1988.
- [3] Schepers EJG, Ducheyne P. Bioactive glass particles of narrow size range for the treatment of oral bone defects: a 1-24 month experiment with several materials and particle sizes and size ranges. *J Oral Rehab*; 24: 171-181, 1997.
- [4] MacNeill SR, Cobb CM, Rapley JW, Glaros AG, Spencer P. In vivo comparison of synthetic osseous graft materials. *J Clin Periodontol*; 26: 239-245, 1999.
- [5] Oonishi H, Kushitani S, Yasukawa E, Iwaki H, Hench LL, Wilson J, Tsuji E, Sugihara T. Particulate bioglass compared with hydroxyapatite as a bone graft substitute. *Clin Orth Rel Res*; 334: 316-325, 1997.
- [6] Wilson J, Low SB. Bioactive Ceramics for Periodontal Treatment: Comparative Studies in the Patus Monkey. *J Appl Biomater*; 3: 123-129, 1992.
- [7] Gatti AM, Zaffe D. Short-term behaviour of two similar active glasses used as granules in the repair of bone defects. *Biomaterials*; 12: 497-504, 1991.
- [8] Hench LL, Andersson Ö. Bioactive Glasses. In: Hench LL, Wilson J, editors. *Advanced Series in Ceramics*, Vol. 1: p. 41-62. World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [9] Boyde A, Jones SJ. Bone modelling in the implantation bed. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 19: 199-224, 1985.
- [10] Wheeler DL, Stokes KE, Hoellrich RG, Chamberland DL, McLoughlin SW. Effect of bioactive glass particle size on osseous regeneration of cancellous defects. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 41: 527-533, 1998.
- [11] Hall EE, Meffert RM, Hermann JS, Mellonig JT, Cochran DL. Comparison of bioactive glass to demineralized freeze-dried bone allograft in the treatment of intrabony defects around implants in the canine mandible. *J Periodontol*; 70: 526-535, 1999.
- [12] Neo M, Voigt CF, Herbst H, Gross UM. Analysis of osteoblast activity at biomaterial-bone interfaces by in-situ hybridization. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 30: 485-492, 1996.
- [13] Hollinger JO, Kleinschmidt JC. The critical size defect as an experimental model to test bone repair materials. *J Craniofac Surg*; 1: 60-68, 1990.

6.3 Literaturverzeichnis

- [14] Müller-Mai CM, Voigt C, Gross UM. Incorporation and degradation of hydroxyapatite implants of different surface roughness and surface structure in bone. *Scanning Microsc*; 4: 613-624, 1990.
- [15] Müller-Mai CM, Schmitz HJ, Strunz V, Fuhrmann G, Fritz TH, Gross UM. Tissues at the surface of the new composite material titanium/glass-ceramic for replacement of bone and teeth. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 23: 1149-1168, 1989.
- [16] Committee F04 on medical and surgical materials and devices. Standard practice for characterization of particles. In: Editorial board of the ASTM, editors. *Annual Book of ASTM standards*, p. 1410-1421. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, 1999.
- [17] Gross UM. Hard Tissue Histology. In: Von Recum AF, editor. *Handbook of Biomaterials Evaluation*, 2nd edition, p. 689-719. Taylor and Francis, Philadelphia, 1999.
- [18] Gross UM, Strunz V. Surface staining of sawed sections of undecalcified bone containing alloplastic implants. *Stain Technol*; 52: 217-219, 1977.
- [19] Gross UM: Quantitative morphology of the implant-bone interface. In: Von Recum AF, editor. *Handbook of Biomaterials Evaluation*, 2nd edition, p. 739-742. Taylor and Francis, Philadelphia, 1999.
- [20] Li P, Nakanishi K, Kokubo T, de Groot K. Induction and morphology of hydroxyapatite, precipitated from metastable simulated body fluids on sol-gel prepared silica. *Biomaterials*; 14: 963-968, 1993.
- [21] Ogino M, Ohuchi F, Hench LL. Compositional dependence of the formation of calcium phosphate films on bioglass. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 14:55-64, 1980.
- [22] Filgueiras MR, La Torre G, Hench LL. Solution effects on the surface reactions of a bioactive glass. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 27: 445-453, 1993.
- [23] Bakki B. Partikuläre Calciumphosphat-Keramiken zur gerichteten Regeneration des Knochens. Berlin, Freie Universität Berlin, Fachbereich Humanmedizin, Diss., 2000.
- [24] Schmitt JM, Buck DC, Joh SP, Lynch SE, Hollinger JO. Comparison of porous bone mineral and biologically active glass in critical-sized defects. *J Periodontol*; 68: 1043-1053, 1997.
- [25] Wheeler DL, Stokes KE, Hoellrich RG, Chamberland DL, McLoughlin SW. Effect of bioactive glass particle size on osseous regeneration of cancellous defects. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 41: 527-533, 1998.

6.3 Literaturverzeichnis

[26] Hall EE, Meffert RM, Hermann JS, Mellonig JT, Cochran DL. Comparison of bioactive glass to demineralized freeze-dried bone allograft in the treatment of intrabony defects around implants in the canine mandible. *J Periodontol*; 70: 526-535, 1999.

[27] Greenspan DC, Zhong JP, La Torre GP. The evaluation of surface structure of bioactive glasses in-vitro. In: Wilson, Hench, Greenspan, editors. *Bioceramics* 8, p. 89-91. Pergamon Press, 1995.

[28] Peaker AJS, Hing KA, Gibson IR, Di-Silvio L, Best SM, Hench LL, Bonfield W. Activity of human osteoblast-like cells on Bioglass[®], hydroxyapatite and fluoride-substituted hydroxyapatite. In: LeGeros RZ, LeGeros JP, editors. *Bioceramics* 11, p. 285-288. World Scientific Publishing, 1998.

[29] Heikkila JT, Aho HJ, Yli-Urpo A, Happonen RP, Aho AJ. Bone formation in rabbit cancellous bone defects filled with bioactive glass granules. *Acta Orthop Scand*; 66: 463-467, 1995.

[30] Gatti AM, Zaffi D. Long-term behaviour of active glasses in sheep mandibular bone. *Biomaterials*; 12: 345-350, 1990.

[31] Gross UM, Müller-Mai CM, Voigt C. The interface of calcium-phosphate and glass ceramic in bone, a structural analysis. *Biomaterials*; 11: 83-85, 1990.

[32] Schepers E, De Clerq M, Ducheyne P, Kempeneers R. Bioactive glass particulate material as a filler for bone lesions. *J Oral Rehab*; 18: 439-452, 1991.

[33] Athanasou NA, Quinn J. Immunophenotypic differences between osteoclasts and macrophage polykaryons: immunological distinction and implications for osteoclast ontogeny and function. *J Clin Pathol*; 43: 997-1003, 1990.

[34] Hench LL, Wilson J. Bioactive glasses: present and future. In: LeGeros RZ, LeGeros JP, editors. *Bioceramics* 11, p. 31-36. World Scientific Publishing, 1998.

[35] Ducheyne P, Bianco P, Radin S, Schepers E. Bioactive materials: mechanisms and bioengineering considerations. In: Ducheyne P, Kokubo T, van Blitterswijk CA, editors. *Bone Bonding*. Reed Healthcare Publications, Sutton, 1992.

[36] Gatti AM, Valdrè G, Andersson ÖH. Analysis of the in vivo reactions of a bioactive glass in soft and hard tissue. *Biomaterials*; 15: 208-212, 1994.

[37] Heymann D, Pradal G, Benahmed M. Cellular mechanisms of calcium phosphate ceramic degradation. *Histol Histopathol*; 14: 871-877, 1999.

6.3 Literaturverzeichnis

[38] Harada Y, Wang JT, Doppalapudi VA, Willis AA, Jasty M, Harris WH, Nagase M, Goldring SR. Differential effects of different forms of hydroxyapatite/tricalcium phosphate particulates on human monocyte/macrophages in vitro. *J Biomed Mater Res*; 31: 19-26, 1996.

[39] Bosetti M, Ottani V, Kozel D, Raspanti M, De Pasquale V, Ruggeri A, Cannas M. Structural and functional macrophages alterations by ceramics of different composition. *Biomaterials*; 20: 363-370, 1999.

[40] Bosetti M, Hench LL, Wilson J, Cannas M. Effects of bioactive glass on macrophages activation. In: LeGeros RZ, LeGeros JP, editors. *Bioceramics 11*, p. 319-322. World Scientific Publishing, 1998.

[41] Laczka-Osyczka A, Turyna B, Dubin A, Laczka M. Comparison of gel-derived bioactive ceramics in macrophage culture conditions. *Biomaterials*; 18: 1243-1250, 1997.