

Adaptation and Resilience in Middle-Aged,
Young Old, and Old Cataract Patients

Nina Rieckmann

Dissertationsschrift zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der
Philosophie am Fachbereich Erziehungswissenschaft und Psychologie
der Freien Universität Berlin

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr. Ralf Schwarzer

Prof. Dr. Frieder R. Lang

Disputation am 20. Juni 2003

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	XIII
Abstract	XIV
Zusammenfassung	XV
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	8
2.1. The Resilience Paradigm	8
2.1.1. Defining Resilience	9
<i>The “Invulnerable” Individual: Trait Conceptions</i>	10
<i>Resilience as a Relational Construct</i>	11
<i>Adaptation and Resilience: Process or Outcome</i>	12
<i>Risk Factors</i>	13
<i>Criteria for Successful Adaptation</i>	14
2.1.2. Vulnerability and Protection: Opposite Poles of one Dimension?.....	15
2.1.3. Resilience as a Life-Span Concept.....	16
2.1.4. Analyzing Correlates of Resilience: Two Empirical Approaches.....	17
2.2. Models of Development in Midlife and Old Age	19
2.2.1. Age Boundaries of Midlife and Old Age	20
2.2.2. Challenges and Developmental Tasks in Midlife.....	21
<i>Midlife from the Perspective of Stage Models of Development</i>	21
<i>Midlife from the Perspective of Life-Span Developmental</i> <i>Psychology</i>	23
<i>Common Life Events in Midlife</i>	27
2.2.3. Challenges and Developmental Tasks in Old Age	30
<i>Old Age from the Perspective of Stage Models of Development</i>	30
<i>Old Age from the Perspective of Life-Span Developmental</i> <i>Psychology</i>	31
<i>The “Fourth Age”</i>	31
<i>Disengagement vs. Activity</i>	33
2.2.4. Summary	34
2.3. Non-Normative Events in the Life Course	38
2.4. Multimorbidity and Vision Impairment as Threats and Challenges to Adaptation and Resilience in Midlife and Old Age	40
2.4.1. Health Changes and Vision Impairment in Midlife	40
<i>Cataract in Midlife: A Marker for Biological Aging</i>	41
2.4.2. Health Changes and Vision Impairment in Old Age.....	42
2.4.3. Consequences of Multimorbidity and Vision Impairment in Midlife and Old Age.....	44
<i>Vision Impairment and Cognitive-Emotional / Functional</i> <i>Adaptation</i>	44
<i>Multimorbidity and Cognitive-Emotional / Functional Adaptation</i>	46
<i>Functional Status as a Mediator Between Health Problems and</i> <i>Well-Being / Depressive Symptoms</i>	47
<i>A Note on the Role of Depressive Symptoms in Disease Processes</i> <i>and Functional Adaptation</i>	48

	<i>Do Older Adults Experience Greater Levels of Stress when Facing Health Problems than Middle-Aged Adults?</i>	49
2.4.4.	Summary and Conclusions for the Present Research	50
2.5.	Correlates of Adaptation and Resilience in Midlife and Old Age I: The Resource Perspective.....	51
2.5.1.	Generalized Expectations	52
	<i>Age Differences in Self-Efficacy, Sense of Control, and Dispositional Optimism</i>	53
	<i>Adaptivity of Self-Efficacy, Sense of Control, and Dispositional Optimism in Midlife and Old Age</i>	54
	<i>Summary</i>	57
2.5.2.	Social Support and Partnership	58
	<i>Age Differences in Social Support</i>	59
	<i>Adaptivity of Perceived Social Support in Midlife and Old Age</i>	60
	<i>Partner Status in Midlife and Old Age</i>	61
	<i>Summary</i>	62
2.5.3.	Resource Loss and Resource Gain	63
2.6.	Correlates of Adaptation and Resilience in Midlife and Old Age II: The Process Perspective.....	64
2.6.1.	Selectivity in Goal Regulation	64
	<i>The SOC Model</i>	65
	<i>Selective Life Investment</i>	66
	<i>Age Differences and Adaptivity of Selective Life Investment in Midlife and Old Age</i>	66
2.6.2.	Accommodative and Assimilative Developmental Regulation.....	67
	<i>Age Differences of Accommodative and Assimilative Coping Styles and their Adaptivity in Midlife and Old Age</i>	68
	<i>Summary</i>	69
2.6.3.	Coping Strategies	70
	<i>The Transactional Model of Coping</i>	71
	<i>Age Differences in the Use of Coping Strategies and their Adaptivity in Midlife and Old Age</i>	71
2.7.	Selection of the Present Study Setting	74
2.7.1.	The Cataract: Symptoms, Progression, and Treatment	75
2.7.2.	Outcomes of Cataract Surgery	76
2.7.3.	Why Cataract Patients?	76
2.7.4.	Defining Resilience in the Present Context.....	77
2.7.5.	Rationale for the Comparison of Middle-Aged, Young Old, and Old Patients.....	78
3	RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND HYPOTHESES	80
3.1.	Health, Vision, and Criteria of Adaptation in the Present Study Setting.....	81
3.2.	Pre- and Post-Surgical Age-Group Differences in Multimorbidity and Visual Acuity	82
3.3.	Age-Group Differences in Personal and Social Resources and Self-Regulation Strategies.....	82
3.4.	Pre- and Post-Surgical Age-Group Differences in Cognitive-Emotional and Functional Adaptation, and Changes in these Criteria	83
3.5.	Correlates of Cognitive-Emotional and Functional Adaptation	84
3.6.	Moderators in the Adaptational Process prior to Surgery	85

3.6.1.	Age	85
3.6.2.	Severity of Health Constraints: Buffering Effects of Resources and Self-Regulation Strategies	85
3.6.3.	Duration of Vision Problems.....	86
3.7.	ADL/IADL Difficulty as a Mediator in the Relation between Objective Health and Vision and Well-Being.....	87
3.8.	Correlates of Change in the Criteria of Adaptation	88
3.8.1.	Post-Surgical Change in Visual Acuity: A Resource Gain with Consequences for Well-Being and Depressive Symptoms?.....	88
3.8.2.	Pre-Surgical Resources and Goal-Regulation Strategies and Post-Surgical Adaptation	88
3.9.	Moderators in the Process of Post-Surgical Adaptation	89
3.9.1.	Age	89
3.9.2.	Multimorbidity	90
4	METHOD.....	92
4.1.	Selection Criteria for the Study Sample	92
4.2.	Data Collection and Recruitment of Patients.....	92
4.3.	Design.....	93
4.4.	Measures	95
4.4.1.	Generalized Expectations	97
	<i>General Self-Efficacy</i>	99
	<i>Dispositional Optimism</i>	100
	<i>Belief in Powerful Others</i>	100
4.4.2.	Social Support	100
	<i>Functional Aspects of Social Support</i>	100
	<i>Structural Aspects of Social Support</i>	101
4.4.3.	Personal Life Investment.....	102
4.4.4.	Coping	102
	<i>Assimilative and Accommodative Coping Styles</i>	102
	<i>Coping Strategies in Dealing with Cataract Surgery</i>	103
4.4.5.	Vision	104
	<i>Visual Acuity</i>	106
	<i>Subjective Impairment through Vision Problems</i>	108
	<i>Duration of Vision Problems</i>	108
4.4.6.	Health	109
	<i>Multimorbidity</i>	109
	<i>Subjective Health Strain</i>	110
4.4.7.	Indicators of Adaptation I: Well-Being.....	110
	<i>General Well-Being</i>	110
	<i>Depressive Symptoms</i>	111
4.4.8.	Indicators of Adaptation II: Functional Status in Everyday Life	112
	<i>Perceived Difficulties with Activities</i>	112
	<i>Activity Range</i>	113
4.4.9.	Other Variables	113
	<i>Positive and Negative Affect</i>	113
4.5.	Sample	114
4.5.1.	Sample Description	116
4.6.	General Statistical Procedures	118

4.6.1.	Missing Values, Deviations from Normality and Outliers.....	118
4.6.2.	Multiple Group Comparisons.....	120
4.6.3.	Regression Analyses	120
4.6.4.	Structural Equation Modelling	121
5	RESULTS.....	122
PART I AGE-GROUP DIFFERENCES, STATUS AND CHANGE IN THE CENTRAL VARIABLES AND THEIR INTERRELATIONS		122
5.1.	Vision and Health	122
5.1.1.	Visual Status and Age-Group Differences in Pre- and Post-Surgery Visual Acuity.....	123
5.1.2.	Change in Vision.....	124
	<i>Stability across Measurement Occasions</i>	<i>124</i>
	<i>Mean Level Changes.....</i>	<i>124</i>
	<i>Age-Group Differences</i>	<i>125</i>
	<i>Direction of Change.....</i>	<i>125</i>
5.1.3.	Subjective Impairment through Vision Problems	126
	<i>Age-Group Differences</i>	<i>126</i>
	<i>Gender Differences.....</i>	<i>127</i>
5.1.4.	Associations between Visual Acuity and Subjective Impairment.....	129
5.1.5.	Duration of Vision Problems.....	129
5.1.6.	Health Indicators	130
	<i>Multimorbidity</i>	<i>130</i>
	<i>Subjective Health Strain</i>	<i>131</i>
5.1.7.	Age Differences in the Associations between Subjective and Objective Indicators of Health and Vision	132
5.1.8.	Bivariate Associations between Subjective and Objective Indicators of Health and Vision	133
5.1.9.	Summary of Findings.....	134
5.2.	Generalized Expectancies: Self-Efficacy, Dispositional Optimism and Control Beliefs	135
5.3.	Social Resources.....	135
5.3.1.	Interrelations of Functional Support Aspects and Partner Status	136
5.4.	Personal Life Investment	137
5.5.	Coping	139
5.5.1.	Did Cataract Surgery Represent a Stressful Event?	139
5.5.2.	Rank Order and Frequency of Endorsement of the Surgery-Related Coping Strategies	140
5.5.3.	Dispositional Coping Styles.....	141
5.5.4.	Interrelations of Surgery-Related Coping Strategies and Dispositional Coping Styles	141
5.5.5.	Age Differences in Coping.....	142
5.5.6.	Summary	143
5.6.	Criteria of Adaptation I: Subjective Well-Being	144
5.6.1.	The Positive Side: Well-Being.....	144
	<i>Age-Group Differences</i>	<i>144</i>
5.6.2.	The Negative Side: Depressive Symptoms	145
	<i>Age-Group Differences</i>	<i>146</i>
5.7.	Criteria of Adaptation II: Range of Activities and Perceived Difficulties with Activities	147
5.7.1.	Perceived Difficulty with Activities.....	147

	<i>Average Difficulty with ADL / IADL</i>	148
	<i>Average Difficulty with Other Activities</i>	148
	<i>Age-Group Differences</i>	149
5.7.2.	Range of Activities.....	150
	<i>Age-Group Differences</i>	151
5.7.3.	Intercorrelations between Difficulty with and Range of Activities.....	152
5.7.4.	Why did Middle-Aged Patients Experience More Difficulties? Some Alternative Explanations.....	153
5.7.5.	Summary	154
5.8.	Bivariate Associations Between the Criteria of Adaptation	155
5.9.	Bivariate Associations between the Predictor Variables	155
5.9.1.	Were Indicators of Health and Vision Related to Self-Regulation and Level of Psychosocial Resources?.....	156
5.9.2.	Were Health, Vision, Resources and Self-Regulation associated with the Duration of Vision Problems?.....	158
PART II ADAPTATION TO VISION PROBLEMS AND HEALTH PROBLEMS PRIOR TO SURGERY: CROSS-SECTIONAL RESULTS..... 158		
5.10.	Correlates of Adaptation prior to Surgery: Bivariate Associations	158
5.11.	Correlates of Adaptation prior to Surgery: Multiple Regression Analyses	162
5.11.1.	Health and Vision and Criteria of Adaptation.....	162
5.11.2.	Beyond Vision and Health: The Contribution of Social and Personal Resources, Dispositional Coping and Life Investment.....	165
5.12.	Cumulation of Stress Through both Vision and Health Problems.....	168
5.13.	Activity Indicators as Mediators in the Association between Health and Well-Being.....	110
5.14.	Moderators in the Adaptational Process	172
5.14.1.	Age as a Moderator for Health and Vision?.....	173
5.14.2.	Age as a Moderator for Social Resources?	173
5.14.3.	Age as a Moderator for Flexibility in Goal Adjustment?.....	174
5.14.4.	Duration of Vision Problems as a Moderator for Personal and Social Resources?	174
5.14.5.	Duration of Vision Problems as a Moderator for Health and Vision?	177
5.14.6.	Resilience in the Face of Health Constraints: Do Resources and Selectivity <i>Buffer</i> the Negative Impact of Poor Health and Vision?.....	178
	<i>Were Buffering Effects Dependent on the Duration of Vision Problems?</i>	181
	<i>Buffering Effects of Resources in Face of Cumulative Stress through both Multimorbidity and Poor Vision</i>	181
PART III RESILIENCE IN THE FACE OF HEALTH CONSTRAINTS: JUST "ORDINARY MAGIC"? 185		
5.15.	Identification of Resiliency.....	186
5.16.	Group Comparisons of Changes in Outcomes Across Occasions	186
5.17.	Group Comparisons of Level of Resources and Coping	187
5.18.	Regulation of Life Investment around Surgery	189
5.19.	Summary.....	190
PART IV POST-SURGICAL ADAPTATION..... 191		

5.20. Correlates of Post-Surgical Adaptation: Bivariate Associations	192
5.21. Correlates of Post-Surgical Adaptation: Multiple Regression Analyses	195
5.21.1. Health and Vision and Criteria of Adaptation One and Six Weeks After Surgery	196
<i>Predicting Post-Surgical Adaptation One and Six Weeks After</i>	
<i>Surgery.....</i>	<i>196</i>
<i>Predicting Changes in Adaptation.....</i>	<i>197</i>
5.21.2. Beyond Vision and Health: Were Personal and Social Resources, Dispositional	
Coping and Life-Investment Associated with Post-Surgical Adaptation?	198
<i>Predicting Post-Surgical Adaptation One and Six Weeks After</i>	
<i>Surgery.....</i>	<i>198</i>
<i>Predicting Changes in Adaptation.....</i>	<i>200</i>
5.22. Activity Indicators as Mediators in the Associations between Health and Vision and	
General Well-Being	202
5.23. Moderators in the Process of Post-Surgical Adaptation	205
5.23.1. Multimorbidity as a Moderator for Differential Benefit from Change in Visual	
Acuity	206
5.23.2. Age as a Moderator in the Adaptational Process	207
6 DISCUSSION.....	211
6.1. Evaluation of the Study Setting	212
6.1.1. Multimorbidity and Vision Impairment in the Present Sample.....	212
6.1.2. Validity of the Stressors	214
6.1.3. Cumulative Stress Effects of Multimorbidity and Vision Problems	215
6.1.4. Generalizability	216
6.2. Age-Group Differences in Resources and Self-Regulation Strategies	217
6.3. Adapting to Cataract-Related Vision Impairment and Multimorbidity: The Role of	
Normativity of the Stressors and Functional Reserve Capacities.....	221
6.3.1. Were Objective Vision and Health Indicators more Closely Related to Cognitive-	
emotional and Functional Adaptation in the Middle-Aged?	223
6.3.2. Greater Risk of not Having a Partner in Midlife than in Young Old and Old Age?	224
6.3.3. Flexible Goal Adjustment: An Important Self-Regulatory Disposition in Face of	
Non-Normative Events?	224
6.3.4. Was Low Change in Visual Acuity Related to Greater Post-Surgical Problems in	
Middle-Aged Adults?.....	225
6.3.5. Was Multimorbidity associated with a Post-Surgical Increase in Activity	
Difficulties in the Oldest Adults?.....	225
6.4. Correlates of Cognitive-Emotional and Functional Adaptation	225
6.4.1. Predictors of Cognitive-Emotional Adaptation.....	226
6.4.2. Predictors of Functional Adaptation.....	227
6.4.3. Predictors of Change in Adaptational Criteria	228
6.4.4. Did the Duration of Vision Problems Moderate the Associations between Vision,	
Health, and Resources and the Criteria of Adaptation?	229
6.5. Activity Indicators as Mediators in the Relationship between Health Stressors and Well-	
Being.....	230
6.6. Gain in Visual Acuity Following Cataract Surgery	231
6.7. Buffering Effects of Resources and Self-Regulation Strategies in Face of More Severe	
Health Stressors?	232

6.8. Person-Centered Analyses: Was Resilience associated with Shifts in Self-Regulation Strategies?.....	235
6.9. Conclusions, Limitations of the Present Study, and Outlook	237
7 REFERENCES	241
8 APPENDICES.....	263
8.1. Appendix A: Descriptives of Central Constructs	264
8.2. Appendix B: Bivariate Correlations	280
8.3. Appendix C: Results of Multiple Regressions.....	284
8.4. Appendix D: Descriptives of Health, Vision and Criteria of Adaptation in Four Risk Groups	295
8.5. Appendix E: Complete Structural Equation Models	296
8.6. Appendix F: Comparison of Four Groups Defined by Risk Status and Outcome Level.....	300
8.7. Appendix G: Comparison of Patients with and without Partner.....	304
8.8. Appendix H: Additional Information on Measures (German Items used in the Study)	307

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Unique characteristics, challenges and life tasks in midlife and old age	36
Table 2.2	Rationale for the comparison between middle-aged, young old and old cataract patients	79
Table 4.1	Coping, self-regulation, and personal and social resources	96
Table 4.2	Vision and health variables	97
Table 4.3	Indicators of adaptation and other variable	98
Table 4.4	Intercorrelations between the items assessing perceived availability of support	101
Table 4.5	Intercorrelations between dispositional coping styles	103
Table 4.6	Coping strategies in dealing with surgery: Intercorrelations of each pair of the Brief-Cope items belonging to the same coping dimension	105
Table 4.7	Intercorrelations between the surgery-related coping strategies	106
Table 4.8	List of diseases	109
Table 4.9	Activity list	112
Table 4.10	Reasons for drop out or post hoc exclusion of participants	115
Table 4.11	Comparison of participants excluded from analyses with study sample	115
Table 4.12	Age distribution in the total sample and in male and female participants	116
Table 4.13	Socio-economic characteristics of the total sample and differences between male and female participants	117
Table 4.14	Socio-economic characteristics of the three groups	118
Table 5.1	Degree of impairment in the better eye	123
Table 5.2	Changes and age trends in vision indicators	125
Table 5.3	Degree of subjective impairment by vision problems	126
Table 5.4	Stability and age trends in subjective impairment through vision problems, and cross-sectional correlations with objective indicators of vision	129
Table 5.5	Intercorrelations between indicators of health and age trends	131
Table 5.6	Bivariate correlations between indicators of health and vision	133
Table 5.7	Bivariate correlations between the generalized expectancies and age	135
Table 5.8	Bivariate correlations between the functional support indicators and age	136
Table 5.9	Age trends and cross-sectional intercorrelations between average life investment, investment selectivity and variability within the three measurement occasions	137
Table 5.10	Descriptives and frequency of endorsement of the surgery-related coping strategies (ranked in descending order)	141
Table 5.11	Bivariate correlations between coping strategies, dispositional coping styles and age	142
Table 5.12	Stability in well-being and depressive symptoms across the measurement occasions	145
Table 5.13	Stability of perceived difficulty with activities across measurement occasions	148
Table 5.14	Bivariate correlations between activity indicators within the measurement occasions	152
Table 5.15	Bivariate correlations between well-being and activity indicators across the measurement occasions	155
Table 5.16	Bivariate correlations between social support and coping and vision and health indicators	157
Table 5.17	Cross-sectional correlations between life investment and vision and health indicators at the three measurement occasions	157
Table 5.18	Bivariate correlations of the vision and health indicators with the criteria prior to surgery	159

Table 5.19	Bivariate correlations of the resource and self-regulation variables with the criteria of adaptation.....	161
Table 5.20	Hierarchical multiple regression of well-being, depressive symptoms, activity difficulty and activity range prior to surgery on vision and health indicators	164
Table 5.21	Hierarchical multiple regression of the criteria of adaptation prior to surgery on resources and life investment.....	165
Table 5.22	Results of hierarchical regression analyses testing the moderator status of duration of vision problems in the relation between resources and well-being / depressive symptoms prior to surgery	175
Table 5.23	Associations between FGA-N, self-efficacy and well-being in patients with long duration of vision problems (>one year; N = 39) and patients with short duration of vision problems (<one year; N = 88).....	177
Table 5.24	Results of hierarchical regression analyses testing the moderator status of duration of vision problems in the relation between multimorbidity / visual acuity and activity difficulty prior to surgery	178
Table 5.25	Results of hierarchical regression analyses testing the buffering effects of selectivity in investment and activity range	179
Table 5.26	Results of hierarchical multiple regression analyses testing the buffering effects of resources on high risk status in the prediction of well-being and activity difficulty.....	182
Table 5.27	Bivariate correlations of the vision and health indicators with the criteria variables one (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery.....	193
Table 5.28	Bivariate correlations of the resource and self-regulation variables with the criteria variables one (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery.....	194
Table 5.29	Hierarchical regressions of the criteria of adaptation one (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery on resources, dispositional coping and life investment.....	199

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Illustration of two approaches to the study of resilience in the context of chronic health stressors: (1) Variable-Centered Approach (left) and (2) Person-Centered Approach (right).....	18
Figure 4.1	Design.....	94
Figure 5.1	Vision indicators in the three age groups prior to (T1) and one and six weeks after surgery (T3 and T4): (a) operative eye visual acuity, (b) better eye visual acuity and (c) subjective impairment experienced by vision problems	128
Figure 5.2	Duration of subjective visual impairment.....	130
Figure 5.3	Distribution of number of additional diagnoses in the three age groups.....	131
Figure 5.4	Average Life Investment (left) and investment selectivity (number of domains with low or very low investment (right) in the three age groups prior to (T1) and one and six weeks after surgery (T3 and T4).....	138
Figure 5.5	Positive and negative affect in the three age groups prior to (T1) and two days (T2) after surgery	140
Figure 5.6	Use of coping strategies in the three age groups.....	143
Figure 5.7	Age trends in well-being prior to (T1) and one and six weeks after surgery (T3 and T4)	146
Figure 5.8	Age trends in depressive symptoms prior to (T1) and one and six weeks after surgery (T3 and T4).....	146
Figure 5.9	Average difficulty with activities other than ADL / IADL in the three age groups prior to surgery	149

Figure 5.10	Average difficulty with ADL / IADL and other activities in the three age groups prior to (T1) and one and six weeks after surgery (T3 and T4)	150
Figure 5.11	Activity range in the three age groups	151
Figure 5.12	Prediction of the criteria of adaptation prior to surgery: unique variance components of the social and personal resources (including life investment) above and beyond the amount of variance explained by objective and subjective vision and health indicators (age and sex partialled)	167
Figure 5.13	Criteria of adaptation in four risk groups.....	169
Figure 5.14	Structural model of cross-sectional relations between age, multimorbidity, activity difficulty and well-being.....	171
Figure 5.15	Not having a partner was associated with well-being only in middle-aged, but not young old and old patients.....	174
Figure 5.16	Duration of vision problems moderates the relation between flexible goal adjustment by means of orientation towards new things and well-being prior to surgery	176
Figure 5.17	Prior to surgery, the associations between self-efficacy and well-being, and tenacious goal pursuit (TGP) and well-being were conditional on risk status	183
Figure 5.18	Prior to surgery, the associations between activity difficulty and self-efficacy, flexible goal adjustment by means of orientation towards new things (FGA-N), optimism and belief in powerful others, were conditional on risk status.....	184
Figure 5.19	Comparison of outcome changes in the resilient, the normal and the non-resilient group	187
Figure 5.20	Comparison of dispositional coping, generalized expectancies and social support in the resilient, the normal, and the non-resilient group	188
Figure 5.21	Comparison of surgery-related coping strategies in the resilient, the normal, and the non-resilient group	188
Figure 5.22	Comparison of investment variability in the resilient, the normal and the non-resilient group.....	189
Figure 5.23	Comparison of domain-specific investment (T1) in the resilient, the normal and the non-resilient group	190
Figure 5.24	Prediction of the criteria of adaptation prior to (T1) and one (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery: unique variance components of the social and personal resources (including life investment) above and beyond the amount of variance explained by objective and subjective vision and health indicators (age and sex partialled out)	201
Figure 5.25	Prediction of residualized criteria of adaptation one (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery: unique variance components of vision and health status at baseline, change in visual acuity and the social and personal resources (including life investment; all effects are age and sex partialled)	201
Figure 5.26	Structural model of the relationship between age, objective vision and health indicators, activity difficulty and well-being one week after surgery (T3)	204
Figure 5.27	Structural model of the relationship between age, objective vision and health indicators, activity difficulty and well-being six weeks after surgery (T4)	205
Figure 5.28	Patients with no or low multimorbidity (0-2 diagnosis) and patients with high multimorbidity (> 2 diagnosis) differentially benefit from changes in visual acuity in the operative eye.....	206
Figure 5.29	Age-group differential changes in well-being and ADL / IADL difficulty as functions of change in visual acuity (left side) and multimorbidity (right side)	209

List of Tables and Figures in Appendices

Table A 1	Basic descriptors of vision indicators in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants.....	262
Table A 2	Basic descriptors of indicators of duration of visual problems in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants.....	264
Table A 3	Basic descriptors of health indicators in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants.....	265
Table A 4	Basic descriptors of the generalized expectations and social support in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants	266
Table A 5	Basic descriptors of personal life-investment in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants	267
Table A 6	Basic descriptors of positive and negative affect in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants	268
Table A 7	Basic descriptors of the coping strategies in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants	269
Table A 8	Basic descriptors of the dispositional coping styles in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants	270
Table A 9	Basic descriptors of indicators of subjective well-being and depressive symptoms in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants	271
Table A 10	Basic descriptors of activity-related indicators of adaptation in middle-aged (38-65 years; $N = 32$), young old (66-75 years; $N = 51$) and old (>75 years; $N = 53$) participants.....	274
Figure A 1	Patterns of life investment in ten domains in patients with low variability (left) and patients with high variability across domains	275
Figure A 2	Frequency of diseases in the three age groups	276
Figure A 3	Subjective health strain experienced by each disease in the three age groups.....	276
Figure A 4	Changes in CES-D subscales across occasions in the three age groups	277
Table B 1	Intercorrelations of the composite score and the subscale scores of the PGCMS within the three measurement occasions.....	278
Table B 2	Intercorrelations of the composite score and the subscale scores of the CES-D within the three measurement occasions.....	278
Table B 3	Intercorrelations between objective indicators of vision.....	279
Table B 4	Bivariate correlations of the resource and self-regulation variables	280
Table C 1	Hierarchical regressions of well-being prior to surgery on resources and self-regulation	282
Table C 2	Hierarchical regressions difficulties with activities prior to surgery on resources and self-regulation	282
Table C 3	Hierarchical regressions of range of activities prior to surgery on resources and self-regulation	283
Table C 4	Hierarchical multiple regression of well-being on vision and health indicators one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery.....	285
Table C 5	Hierarchical multiple regression of activity difficulties on vision and health indicators one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery	286
Table C 6	Hierarchical multiple regression of residualized well-being on vision and health indicators one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery.....	287
Table C 7	Hierarchical multiple regression of residualized activity difficulties on vision and health indicators one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery	288

Table C 8	Hierarchical regressions of well-being on resources and self-regulation one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery	289
Table C 9	Hierarchical regressions of activity difficulties on resources and self-regulation one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery.....	290
Table C 10	Hierarchical regressions of residualized well-being on resources and self-regulation one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery	291
Table C 11	Hierarchical regressions of residualized activity difficulties on resources and self-regulation one week (T3) and six weeks (T4) after surgery.....	292
Figure C 1	Activity range buffers the negative impact of poorer visual acuity on well-being (left) and activity difficulty (right) prior to surgery.....	284
Table D 1	Comparison of age, vision and health status and adaptational criteria across four risk groups ..	293
Figure E 1	Activity difficulty mediates the association between multimorbidity and well-being.....	294
Figure E 2	Activity difficulty mediates the association between multimorbidity and well-being one week after surgery.....	295
Figure E 3	Activity difficulty mediates the association between multimorbidity and change in well-being one week after surgery	296
Figure E 4	Activity difficulty mediates the association between multimorbidity and well-being six weeks after surgery	297
Table F 1	Age, gender and family status distribution in four groups defined by risk status and outcome level	298
Table F 2	Comparison of vision and health status and adaptational criteria across four groups defined by risk status and outcome level	299
Table F 3	Comparison of resources and life investment across four groups defined by risk status and outcome level.....	300
Table F 4	Comparison of coping strategies across four groups defined by risk status and outcome level	301
Table G 1	Comparison of vision and health status and adaptational criteria in patients with and without partner.....	302
Table G 2	Comparison of resources and life investment in patients with and without partner.....	303
Table G 3	Comparison of coping strategies in patients with and without partner	304