

6. LITERATURLISTE

1. **Al-Jader NL, Parry-Langdon N, Smith RJ** (2000): Survey of attitudes of pregnant women towards Down Syndrome screening. *Prenat Diagn.* 20:23—29
2. **Allen MC, Donohue PK, Dusman AE** (1993): The limit of viability – neonatal outcome of infants born at 22 weeks to 25 weeks gestation. *N Engl J Med.* 329:1597—1601
3. **Anonym** (1999): Zucht und deutsche Ordnung. *Der Spiegel* 39:300—316
4. **Beaulieu A und Lippman A** (1995): „Everything You Need to Know“: How Women’s Magazines Structure Prenatal Diagnosis for Women over 35. *Women Health.* 23(2): 59—74
5. **Brüser E** (1993): Auf der Waagschale pränataler Diagnostik. Viele Eltern sind auf den Schwangerschaftsabbruch nicht vorbereitet. *Süddeutsche Zeitung* 82:f37
6. **Bubela TM und Caulfield TA** (2004): Do the print media “hype” genetic research? A comparison of newspaper stories and peer-reviewed research papers. *CMAJ* 170(9)1399—407
7. **Caccia N, Johnson JM, Robinson GE, Barna T** (1991): Impact of prenatal testing on maternal-fetal bonding: chorionic villus sampling versus amniocentesis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (165):1122—1125
8. **Cassels A, Hughes MA, Cole C, Mintzes B, Lexchin J McCormack JP** (2003): Drugs in the news: an analysis of Canadian newspaper coverage of new prescription drugs. *CMAJ* 168(9):1133—7
9. **Clarke JN, Friedman DB, Hoffmann-Goetz L** (2005): Canadian Aboriginal people’s experience with HIV/AIDS as portrayed in selected English language Aboriginal Media (1996—2000). *Soc Sci Med* 60:2169—2180
10. **de Graaf IM, Tijmystra T, Bleker OP, van Lith JM** (2002) : Women’s preferences in Down syndrome screening. *Prenat Diagn.* 22(7):624—9

11. **Dresler** (1997) Analyse von Einflußfaktoren auf die Inanspruchnahme der Pränatalen Diagnostik durch Schwangere mit „Altersindikation“ unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Partner. Dissertation an der Humboldt-Universität Berlin.
12. **Durant J, Hansen A, Bauer M** (1996): Public Understanding of the new genetics. In Marteau T, Richards M (editors): *The troubled helix: social and psychological implications of the new human genetics*. Cambridge, 1996
13. **Elias S und Simpson JL** (1993): Genetic Counselling. In: Sherman E und Simpson JL: *Essentials of Prenatal Diagnosis*. New York 1993
14. **Evans MI, Pryde PG, Evans WJ, Johnson MP** (1993): The choices women make about prenatal diagnosis. *Fetal Diagn Ther. Suppl* 1:70—80
15. **Fortuny A** (2000): Prenatal Diagnosis of Down Syndrome: From Surprise to Certainty. In: Rondal JA, Perera J, Nadel L (editors): *Down Syndrome: A Review of Current Knowledge*. London, 2000
16. **Friedrich H, Henze KH, Stemann-Acheampong S** (1999): Der Entscheidungsprozeß der Pränataldiagnostik und seine Rahmenbedingungen. *psychomed* 11/2: 95—101
17. **Gallus, A und Lühe, M** (1998): *Öffentliche Meinung und Demoskopie*. Berlin, 1998
18. **Geller G, Tambor ES, Bernhardt BA, Rodgers J, Holtzman NA** (2003) : Houseofficer's reactions to media coverage about the sequencing of the human genome. *Soc Sci Med.* 56(10):22110—20
19. **Gigerenzer, G und Edwards, A** (2003): Simple tools for understanding risks: from innumeracy to insight. *BMJ* 327:741—4
20. **Graham W, Smith P, Kamal A, Fitzmaurice A, Smith N, Hamilton N** (2000): Randomised controlled trial comparing effectiveness of touch screen system with leaflet for providing women with information on prenatal tests. *BMJ* 320:166—160
21. **Green J und Statham H** (1996): Psychosocial aspects of prenatal screening and diagnosis, in Marteau, TM und Richards M (editors): *The troubled helix: social and psychological implications of the new human genetics*. Cambridge, 1996

22. **Griese K** (2000): Ver(un)sicherung durch pränatale Diagnostik *BzgA Forum* 1/2-2000
23. **Grilli R, Ramsay C, Minozzi S** (2002) Mass media interventions: effect on health service utilisation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* (1):CD000389
24. **Haddow JE und Palomaki GE** (1993): Prenatal Screening for Down Syndrome. In Simpson, JL und Elias, S (editors) *Essentials of Prenatal Diagnosis*. New York, 1993
25. **Harper P** (1998): *Practical Genetic Counselling*. Oxford, 1998
26. **Hoffrage U und Gigerenzer G** (1998): Using natural frequencies to improve diagnostic inference. *Acad Med*. 73(5):538—40
27. **Hoffrage U, Lindsey S, Hertwig R, Gigerenzer G** (2000): Communicating Statistical Information. *Science* 290:2261—2262
28. **Howe A, Owen-Smith V, Richardson J** (2002) : The impact of a television soap opera on the NHS Cervical Screening Programme in the North West of England. *J Public Health Med*. 24(4):299—304
29. **Humphris GM, Duncalf M, Holt D, Field EA** (1999): The experimental evaluation of an oral cancer information leaflet. *Oral Oncol*. 35:575—582
30. **Humphris GM und Field EA** (2003): The immediate effect on knowledge attitudes and intentions in primary care attenders of a patient information leaflet: a randomised control trial replication and extension. *Br Dent J*. 194(12):683—8
31. **Humphris GM, Ireland RS, Field EA** (2000): Randomised trial of the psychological effect of information about oral cancer in primary care settings. *Oral Oncol*. 37: 548—552
32. **Institut für Medizinische Prüfungsfragen**: Gegenstandskatalog zum ersten Staatsexamen (GK2)
33. **Jacobsen J** (1993) Schicksalsdeutung aus dem Blut der Mutter. Mit einer neuen Methode zur pränatalen Diagnose entfällt das Risiko einer Fehlgeburt. *Süddeutsche Zeitung* 196:ws2

34. **Jähner H** (1999): Sloterdijks Menschenpark – wieder einmal wurde ein Faschist entlarvt der keiner ist: Aufregung um einen Philosophen. *Berliner Zeitung* 212:11
35. **t Jong G, Stricker BH, Sturkenborm MC** (2004): Marketing in the lay media and prescriptions of terbinafine in primary care: Dutch cohort study. *BMJ*. 328:931
36. **Jorgensen FS** (1995): Attitudes to prenatal screening, diagnosis and research among pregnant women who accept or decline an alpha-fetoprotein test. *Prenat Diagn.* 15:419—29
37. **Kepplinger HM, Ehmig SC, Ahlheim, C** (1991): Gentechnik im Widerstreit. Zum Verhältnis von Wissenschaft und Journalisten. Frankfurt, 1991
38. **Kohut RJ, Dewey D, Love EJ** (2002) Women's knowledge of prenatal ultrasound and informed choice. *J Genet Couns.* 11:265—76
39. **Kornman LH, Wortelboer MJ, Beekhuis JR, Morssink LP, Mantingh A** (1997): Women's opinions and the implications of first- versus second-semester screening for fetal Down's syndrome. *Prenat Diagn.* 17(11):1011—8
40. **Kramer MS** (1988). *Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics: A Primer for Clinical Investigators and Decision-Makers*. Berlin, 1988
41. **Kramm J** (1998) Prüfende Blicke auf das Ungeborene *Berliner Zeitung* 303: W4
42. **Krantz DA, Hallahan TW, Orlandi F, Buchanan P, Larsen JW Jr, Macr JN** (2000): First-trimester Down syndrome screening using dried blood biochemistry and nuchal translucency. *Obstet Gynecol.* 96(2):207—13
43. **Krass I, Svarstad B; Bultman D** (2002): Using alternative methodologies for evaluating patient medication leaflets. *Pat Educ Counsel.* 47:29—35
44. **Lang K und Lang GE** (1961): Ordeal by debate: Viewer Reactions. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 25:277—288 zitiert nach: Noelle-Neuman E: Wirkung der Massenmedien auf die Meinungsbildung. In Noelle-Neuman E, Schulz W, Wilke J: Publizistik und Massenkommunikation. Frankfurt am Main, 1994
45. **Larsen T, Nguyen TH, Munk M, Svendsen L, Teisner L** (2000): Ultrasound screening in the second trimester. The pregnant woman's background

knowledge, expectations, experiences and acceptances. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 15:383—6

46. **Ley P** (1979): Memory for medical information. *Br J Soc Clin Psychol.* 18 :245—55
47. **Malone FD** (2003): First-trimester sonographic screening for Down Syndrome *Obstet Gynecol.* 102:1066—79
48. **Marteau TM, Johnson M, Plenicar M, Shaw RW, Slack J** (1988): Development of a self-administered questionnaire to measure women's knowledge of prenatal screening and diagnostic tests. *J Psychosom Res.* 32:403—408
49. **Marteau T** (1995): Towards Informed Decision Making about Prenatal Testing: A Review. *Prenat Diagn.* 15: 1215—1226
50. **Mayring P** (1991): Qualitative Inhaltsanalyse. In: Flick U, Kardof E, Keupp H, Rosenstiel L, Wolff S: Handbuch Qualitative Sozialforschung. München, 1991
51. **Merten K** (1983): Inhaltsanalyse. Einführung in Theorie, Methode und Praxis. Wiesbaden, 1983
52. **Merten K, Giegler H, Uhr F** (1992): Grundlegende Ansätze und Methoden der Medienwirkungsforschung. Wiesbaden, 1992
53. **Meyn H** (1999): Massenmedien in Deutschland. Konstanz, 1999
54. **Moynihan R, Bero L, Ross-Degnan D, Henry D, Lee K, Watkins J et al.** (2000): Coverage by the news media of the benefits and risks of medications. *N Engl J Med* 342(22):1645—50
55. **National Health Council** (1997): Americans talk about science and medical news: the National Health Council report. New York 1997 zitiert nach: Johnson T: Shattuck Lecture – Medicine and the Media. *N Engl J Med* 339(2):87—92
56. **Phillips DP, Kanter EJ, Bednarczyk B, Tastad PL** (1991) Importance of the lay press in the transmission of medical knowledge to the scientific community. *N Engl J Med* 325(16):1180—3

57. **Poon LL, Leung TN, Lau TK, LO YM** (2000): Prenatal detection of fetal Down`s syndrome from maternal plasma. *Lancet* 356:1819—1820
58. **Rapp R** (1988). Chromosomes and Communication: The dicourse of genetic counselling. *Med Anthropol Q.* 2:143—157
59. **Rapp R** (1999): Testing Women, Testing the Fetus: The Social Impact of Amniocentesis in America. London 1999
60. **Rhoads GG, Jackson LG, Schlesselmann SE, de la Cruz FF, Desnick RJ, Golbus MS et al.** (1989) : The safety and efficacy of chorionic villus sampling for early prenatal diagnosis of cytogenetic abnormalities. *N Engl J Med.* 320(9):609—17
61. **Roelofsen EE, Kamerbeck LI, Tymstra TJ, Beekhuis JR, Mantingh A** (1993) : Women`s opinions on the offer and use of maternal serum screening. *Prenat Diagn.* 13(8):741—7
62. **Rossouw JE, Anderson GL, Prentice RL LaCroix AZ, Kooperberg C, Stefanick ML et al; Writing Group for the Women`s Health Initiative Investigators** (2002): Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results From the Women`s Health Initiative randomised controlled trial. *JAMA* 288(3)321—33
63. **Rothman JR und Kiviniemi MT** (1999): Treating People With Information: an Analysis and Review of Approaches to Communicating Health Risk Information, *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* 25:44—51
64. **Schindele E** (1999): Schwangerschaft als medizinisches Projekt *psychomed* 11/2: 90—94
65. **Schneider W und Raue PJ** (1998): Handbuch des Journalismus. Reinbek bei Hamburg, 1998
66. **Schwartz LM und Woloshin S** (2004): The media matters: call for straightforward medical reporting. *Ann Intern Med* 140:226—228
67. **Smith DK, Shaw RW, Marteau TM** (1994): Informed consent to undergo serum screening for Down`s Syndrome: the gap between policy and practice. *BMJ* 309:776
68. **Spiewak M und Wüsthof A** (2000): Die stille Selektion. *Die Zeit* 1:37—38

69. **Stephens MB, Montefalcon R, Lane DA** (2000): The maternal perspective on prenatal ultrasound. *J Fam Pract.* 49(7):601—4
70. **Streiner DL und Norman GR** (1995): Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use. Oxford, 1995
71. **Tambor ES, Bernhardt BA, Rodgers J, Holtzman NA, Geller G** (2002) : Mapping the human genome: an assessment of media coverage and public reaction. *Genet Med.* 4(1):31—6
72. **Thornton JG, Hewison J, Lilford RJ, Vail A** (1995) A randomised trial of three methods of giving information about prenatal testing. *BMJ* 311:1127—1130
73. **Turner GC und Mutton KJ** (1987): HIV testing: changing trends. *BMJ* 295:502
74. **Tymstra A, Bajema C, Beekhuis JR, Mantingh A** (1991): Women's opinions on the offer and use of prenatal diagnosis. *Prenat Diagn.* 11(12):893—898
75. **Wells J, Marshall P, Crawley B, Dickersin K** (2001): Newspaper reporting of screening mammography. *Ann Intern Med.* 135(12):1029—37
76. **Wilke J** (1994): Presse. In Noelle-Neuman E, Schulz W und Wilke J: Publizistik und Massenkommunikation. Frankfurt am Main, 1994