

9. Literatur

1. Hanley, E.N., Jr. and S.M. David, Lumbar arthrodesis for the treatment of back pain. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 1999. 81(5): p. 716-30.
2. Diemer, W., H. Burchert, Gesundheitsbericht für Deutschland, Dorsopathien, Kap 5.11. 1998: Robert Koch-Institut, Statistisches Bundesamt.
3. Roberts, S., et al., Does the thickness of the vertebral subchondral bone reflect the composition of the intervertebral disc? *Eur Spine J*, 1997. 6(6): p. 385-9.
4. Yu, S.W., et al., Progressive and regressive changes in the nucleus pulposus. Part II. The adult. *Radiology*, 1988. 169(1): p. 93-7.
5. Mimura, M., et al., Disc degeneration affects the multidirectional flexibility of the lumbar spine. *Spine.*, 1994. 19(12): p. 1371-80.
6. Paajanen, H., et al., Age-dependent correlation of low-back pain and lumbar disc regeneration. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.*, 1997. 116(1-2): p. 106-7.
7. Kraemer, J., Natural course and prognosis of intervertebral disc diseases. International Society for the Study of the Lumbar Spine Seattle, Washington, June 1994. *Spine.*, 1995. 20(6): p. 635-9.
8. Ebeling, U., H. Mattle, [Extreme lateral lumbar intervertebral disk displacement. Incidence, symptoms and therapy]. *Nervenarzt.*, 1990. 61(4): p.208-12.
9. Ebeling, U. and H.J. Reulen, Are there typical localisations of lumbar disc herniations? A prospective study. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 1992. 117(3-4): p. 143-8.
10. Yu, S., et al., Criteria for classifying normal and degenerated lumbar intervertebral disks. *Radiology.*, 1989. 170(2): p. 523-6.
11. Lund, T., et al., Three-dimensional motion patterns during active bending in patients with chronic low back pain. *Spine.*, 2002. 27(17): p. 1865-74.
12. Hippokrates, Sämtliche Werke, Band II. 1897, Lüneburg, München.
13. Cotugno, D., Abhandlung über das nervige Hüftweh. 1792.
14. Krause, F. and H. Oppenheim, Über Einklemmung bzw. Strangulation der Cauda equina. *Dtsch. med. Wschr.*, 1909. 35(236).
15. Mixter, W. and J. Barr, Rupture of the intervertebral disc with involvement of the spinal canal. *New Engl J Med*, 1934. 211: p. 210-214.
16. Platen, Die neue Heilmethode, 1. Band. 1901.
17. Soyka, M. and D. Meholm, Physiotherapie bei Wirbelsäulenerkrankungen. 2000, München.
18. Breitenfelder, J. and R. Haaker, Der lumbale Bandscheibenvorfall. 1. ed. 2003, Darmstadt.
19. Saal, J.A. and J.S. Saal, Nonoperative treatment of herniated lumbar intervertebral disc with radiculopathy. An outcome study. *Spine.*, 1989. 14(4): p. 431-7.
20. Hellinger, J., Technical aspects of percutaneous cervical and lumbal laser-disc-decompression and -nucleotomy. *Neurol Res*, 1999. 21: p. 99-102.

21. Gotfried, Y., D.S. Bradford, and T.R. Oegema, Jr., Facet joint changes after chemo nucleolysis-induced disc space narrowing. *Spine*, 1986. 11(9): p. 944-50.
22. Barendse, G.A.M., et al., Randomized controlled trial of percutaneous intradiscal radiofrequency thermocoagulation for chronic discogenic pain. Lack of effect from a 90-second 70 C lesion. *Spine*, 2001. 26(3): p. 287-292.
23. Freeman, B.J., et al., Does intradiscal electrothermal therapy denervate and repair experimentally induced posterolateral annular tears in an animal model? *Spine*, 2003. 28(23): p. 2602-8.
24. Sengupta, D.K. and R.C. Mulholland, Fulcrum assisted soft stabilization system: a new concept in the surgical treatment of degenerative low back pain. *Spine*, 2005. 30(9): p. 1019-29; discussion 1030.
25. Minns, R.J. and W.K. Walsh, Preliminary design and experimental studies of a novel soft implant for correcting sagittal plane instability in the lumbar spine. *Spine*, 1997. 22(16): p. 1819-25; discussion 1826-7.
26. Nockels, R.P., Dynamic stabilization in the surgical management of painful lumbar spinal disorders. *Spine*, 2005. 30(16 Suppl): p. S68-72.
27. Putzier, M., et al., [Application of a dynamic pedicle screw system (DYNESYS) for lumbar segmental degenerations - comparison of clinical and radiological results for different indications]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb*, 2004. 142(2): p. 166-73.
28. Korovessis, P., Z. Papazisis, and E. Lambiris, The role of rigid vs. dynamic instrumentation for stabilization of the degenerative lumbosacral spine. *Stud Health Technol Inform*, 2002. 91: p. 457-61.
29. Stoll, T.M., G. Dubois, and O. Schwarzenbach, The dynamic neutralization system for the spine: a multi-center study of a novel non-fusion system. *Eur Spine J*, 2002. 11(Suppl 2): p. S170-8. Epub 2002 Sep 10.
30. Mulholland, R.C. and D.K. Sengupta, Rationale, principles and experimental evaluation of the concept of soft stabilization. *Eur Spine J*, 2002. 11(Suppl 2): p. S198-205. Epub 2002 Jun 4.
31. Kanayama, M., et al., Adjacent-segment morbidity after Graf ligamentoplasty compared with posterolateral lumbar fusion. *J Neurosurg*, 2001. 95(1 Sup): p. 5-10.
32. Markwalder, T.M. and M. Wenger, Dynamic stabilization of lumbar motion segments by use of Graf's ligaments: results with an average follow-up of 7.4 years in 39 highly selected, consecutive patients. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*, 2003. 145(3): p. 209-14; discussion 214.
33. Rigby, M.C., et al., Graf ligament stabilisation: mid- to long-term follow-up. *Eur Spine J*, 2001. 10(3): p. 234-6.
34. Wild, A., et al., Biomechanical analysis of Graf's dynamic spine stabilisation system ex vivo. *Biomed Tech (Berl)*, 2001. 46(10): p. 290-4.

35. Kanayama, M., T. Hashimoto, and K. Shigenobu, Rationale, biomechanics, and surgical indications for Graf ligamentoplasty. *Orthop Clin North Am*, 2005. 36(3): p. 373-7.
36. Putzier, M., et al., The surgical treatment of the lumbar disc prolapse: nucleotomy with additional transpedicular dynamic stabilization versus nucleotomy alone. *Spine*, 2005. 30(5): p. E109-14.
37. Schnake, K.J., S. Schaeren, and B. Jeanneret, Dynamic stabilization in addition to decompression for lumbar spinal stenosis with degenerative spondylolisthesis. *Spine.*, 2006. 31(4): p. 442-9.
38. Bordes-Monmeneu, M., et al., [System of dynamic neutralization in the lumbar spine: experience on 94 cases.]. *Neurocirugia (Astur)*. 2005. 16(6): p. 499-506.
39. Ganey, T.M. and H.J. Meisel, A potential role for cell-based therapeutics in the treatment of intervertebral disc herniation. *Eur Spine J*, 2002. 11(Suppl 2): p. S206-14. Epub 2002 Aug 22.
40. Frick, H., H. Leonhardt, D. Starck, *Allgemeine Anatomie. Spezielle Anatomie Band 1*. 4. Auflage ed. 1992: Georg Thieme Verlag. 15-28, 454-479.
41. Green, T.P., M.A. Adams and P. Dolan, Tensile properties of the annulus fibrosus. II. Ultimate tensile strength and fatigue life. *European Spine Journal*, 1993. 2: p. 209-214.
42. Nachemson, A.L., A.B. Schultz, and M.H. Berkson, Mechanical properties of human lumbar spine motion segments. Influence of age, sex, disc level, and degeneration. *Spine.*, 1979. 4(1): p. 1-8.
43. Tibrewal, S.B. and M.J. Pearcy, Lumbar intervertebral disc heights in normal subjects and patients with disc herniation. *Spine.*, 1985. 10(5): p. 452-4.
44. Cassidy, J.J., A. Hiltner, and E. Baer, Hierarchical structure of the intervertebral disc. *Connect Tissue Res*, 1989. 23(1): p. 75-88.
45. Inoue, H. and T. Takeda, Three-dimensional observation of collagen framework of lumbar intervertebral discs. *Acta Orthop Scand.*, 1975. 46(6): p. 949-56.
46. Junqueira, L. and J. Carneiro, *basic histology*. 8. ed. 2003: Lange Medical Books McGraw-Hill. 136-161.
47. Hickey, D. and D.W. Hukins, X-ray diffraction studies of the arrangement of collagenous fibres in human fetal intervertebral disc. *J Anat.*, 1980. 131(Pt 1): p. 81-90.
48. Boos, N., et al., Classification of age-related changes in lumbar intervertebral discs: 2002 Volvo Award in basic science. *Spine*, 2002. 27(23): p. 2631-44.
49. Hunter, C.J., J.R. Matyas, and N.A. Duncan, Cytomorphology of notochordal and chondrocytic cells from the nucleus pulposus: a species comparison. *J Anat.*, 2004. 205(5): p. 357-62.
50. Adams, P., D.R. Eyre, and H. Muir, Biochemical aspects of development and ageing of human lumbar intervertebral discs. *Rheumatol Rehabil.*, 1977. 16(1): p. 22-9.

51. Löffler, G., *Basiswissen Biochemie mit Pathobiochemie*. 4. Auflage ed. 2001: Springer-Verlag. 672-674.
52. Berthet-Colominas, C., et al., Structural studies of collagen fibres from intervertebral disc. *Biochim Biophys Acta.*, 1982. 706(1): p. 50-64.
53. Peng, B., et al., The pathogenesis of discogenic low back pain. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 2005. 87(1): p. 62-7.
54. Ray, C.D., The PDN prosthetic disc-nucleus device. *Eur Spine J*, 2002. 11(Suppl 2): p. S137-42. Epub 2002 Jun 4.
55. Benninghoff, A., *Anatomie*, Band 1. 16. ed. 2002.
56. Urban, M.R., et al., Electrochemical measurement of transport into scoliotic intervertebral discs in vivo using nitrous oxide as a tracer. *Spine.*, 2001. 26(8): p. 984-90.
57. Roberts, S., J. Menage, and J.P. Urban, Biochemical and structural properties of the cartilage end-plate and its relation to the intervertebral disc. *Spine*, 1989. 14(2): p. 166-74.
58. Holm, S., et al., Nutrition of the intervertebral disc: solute transport and metabolism. *Connect Tissue Res*, 1981. 8(2): p. 101-19.
59. Lewin, T., Osteoarthritis in Lumbar Synovial Joints. A Morphologic Study. *Acta Orthop Scand*, 1964. 176:SUPPL(73): p. 1-112.
60. Platzer, W., *Taschenatlas der Anatomie*, Band 1 Bewegungsapparat. 7. Auflage ed. 1999: Georg Thieme Verlag. 14-17, 36-64.
61. Buckwalter, J.A., Articular cartilage. *Instr Course Lect.*, 1983. 32: p. 349-70.
62. Gries, N.C., et al., Early histologic changes in lower lumbar discs and facet joints and their correlation. *Eur Spine J*, 2000. 9(1): p. 23-9.
63. Salminen, J.J. and U. Kujala, [Frequency and back ground of back pains in young persons]. *Duodecim*, 1999. 115(16): p. 1773-8.
64. Salminen, J.J., et al., Recurrent low back pain and early disc degeneration in the young. *Spine*, 1999. 24(13): p. 1316-21.
65. Nguyen-minh, C., et al., Effect of degeneration of the intervertebral disk on the process of diffusion. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.*, 1997. 18(3): p. 435-42.
66. Stairmand, J.W., S. Holm, and J.P. Urban, Factors influencing oxygen concentration gradients in the intervertebral disc. A theoretical analysis. *Spine.*, 1991. 16(4): p. 444-9.
67. Vernon-Roberts, B., N.L. Fazzalari, and B.A. Manthey, Pathogenesis of tears of the annulus investigated by multiple-level transaxial analysis of the T12-L1 disc. *Spine*, 1997. 22(22): p. 2641-6.
68. Yasuma, T., et al., Histological changes in aging lumbar intervertebral discs. Their role in protrusions and prolapses. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 1990. 72(2): p. 220-9.

69. Kurowski, P. and A. Kubo, The relationship of degeneration of the intervertebral disc to mechanical loading conditions on lumbar vertebrae. *Spine.*, 1986. 11(7): p. 726-31.
70. Hansson, T., B. Roos, and A. Nachemson, The bone mineral content and ultimate compressive strength of lumbar vertebrae. *Spine.*, 1980. 5(1): p. 46-55.
71. Swanepoel, M.W., L.M. Adams, and J.E. Smeathers, Human lumbar apophyseal joint damage and intervertebral disc degeneration. *Ann Rheum Dis.*, 1995. 54(3): p. 182-8.
72. Taylor, J.R. and L.T. Twomey, Age changes in lumbar zygapophyseal joints. Observations on structure and function. *Spine.*, 1986. 11(7): p. 739-45.
73. Nickel, R., E. Seiferle, and A. Schummer, *Lehrbuch der Anatomie der Haustiere, Band I: Bewegungsapparat. 8. Auflage ed. 2003.*
74. McLain, R.F., S.A. Yerby, and T.A. Moseley, Comparative morphometry of L4 vertebrae: comparison of large animal models for the human lumbar spine. *Spine.*, 2002. 27(8): p. E200-6.
75. Wilke, H.J., et al., Anatomy of the sheep spine and its comparison to the human spine. *Anat Rec*, 1997. 247(4): p. 542-55.
76. Ledet, E.H., Sanders G., Tymeson M. P., Normal mechanical and physical properties of commonly used animal models for study of the lumbar spine.
77. Wilke, H.J., A. Kettler, and L.E. Claes, Are sheep spines a valid biomechanical model for human spines? *Spine*, 1997. 22(20): p. 2365-74.
78. Reid, J.E., et al., Sheep lumbar intervertebral discs as models for human discs. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 2002. 17(4): p. 312-4.
79. Postacchini, F., Results of surgery compared with conservative management for lumbar disc herniations. *Spine.*, 1996. 21(11): p. 1383-7.
80. Krämer, J., R. Schleberger, and A. Hedtmann, *Bandscheibenbedingte Erkrankungen. 5. ed. 2006.*
81. Staudinger, C., *Thermische Messung zur Entwicklung eines neuen Operationsverfahren zur Behandlung des lumbalen Bandscheibenvorfalles im offenen Kernspintomografen, Dissertation 2002, Eberhard-Karls-Universität: Tübingen. p. 95.*
82. Hanley, E.N., Jr. and D.E. Shapiro, The development of low-back pain after excision of a lumbar disc. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 1989. 71(5): p. 719-21.
83. Meakin, J.R., T.W. Redpath, and D.W. Hukins, The effect of partial removal of the nucleus pulposus from the intervertebral disc on the response of the human annulus fibrosus to compression. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 2001. 16(2): p. 121-8.
84. Schaller, B., Failed back surgery syndrome: the role of symptomatic segmental single-level instability after lumbar microdiscectomy. *Eur Spine J*, 2004. 13(3): p. 193-8. Epub 2004 Jan 30.

85. Johannessen, W., et al., Trans-endplate nucleotomy increases deformation and creep response in axial loading. *Ann Biomed Eng.*, 2006. 34(4): p. 687-96. Epub 2006 Feb 16.
86. Vresilovic, E.J., W. Johannessen, and D.M. Elliott, Disc Mechanics With Trans-Endplate Partial Nucleotomy are not Fully Restored Following Cyclic Compressive Loading and Unloaded Recovery. *J Biomech Eng.*, 2006. 128(6): p. 823-9.
87. Dunlop, R.B., M.A. Adams, and W.C. Hutton, Disc space narrowing and the lumbar facet joints. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 1984. 66(5): p. 706-10.
88. Brinckmann, P. and H. Grootenboer, Change of disc height, radial disc bulge, and intradiscal pressure from discectomy. An in vitro investigation on human lumbar discs. *Spine*, 1991. 16(6): p. 641-6.
89. Yorimitsu, E., et al., Long-term outcomes of standard discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: a follow-up study of more than 10 years. *Spine*, 2001. 26(6): p. 652-7.
90. Kotilainen, E., Long-term outcome of patients suffering from clinical instability after microsurgical treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*, 1998. 140(2): p. 120-5.
91. Takeshima, T., et al., Clinical and radiographic evaluation of disc excision for lumbar disc herniation with and without posterolateral fusion. *Spine*, 2000. 25(4): p. 450-6.
92. Loupasis, G.A., et al., Seven- to 20-year outcome of lumbar discectomy. *Spine*, 1999. 24(22): p. 2313-7.
93. Vik, A., et al., Eight year outcome after surgery for lumbar disc herniation: a comparison of reoperated and not reoperated patients. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*, 2001. 143(6): p. 607-610; discussion 610-11.
94. Woertgen, C., R.D. Rothoerl, and A. Brawanski, Influence of macrophage infiltration of herniated lumbar disc tissue on outcome after lumbar disc surgery. *Spine*, 2000. 25(7): p. 871-5.
95. Hoffman, R.M., K.J. Wheeler, and R.A. Deyo, Surgery for herniated lumbar discs: a literature synthesis. *J Gen Intern Med*, 1993. 8(9): p. 487-96.
96. Dvorak, J., M.H. Gauchat, and L. Valach, The outcome of surgery for lumbar disc herniation. I. A 4-17 years' follow-up with emphasis on somatic aspects. *Spine*, 1988. 13(12): p. 1418-22.
97. Davis, R.A., A long-term outcome analysis of 984 surgically treated herniated lumbar discs. *J Neurosurg*, 1994. 80(3): p. 415-21.
98. Darsow, F., et al., Reoperationen nach lumbalen Nukleotomien. *Orthop Traumatol*, 1988. 35: p. 148-152.
99. Bass, E.C., et al., Intradiscal thermal therapy does not stimulate biologic remodeling in an in vivo sheep model. *Spine.*, 2006. 31(2): p. 139-45.

100. Ganey, T., et al., Disc chondrocyte transplantation in a canine model: a treatment for degenerated or damaged intervertebral disc. *Spine*, 2003. 28(23): p. 2609-20.
101. Roberts, S., et al., Autologous chondrocyte implantation for cartilage repair: monitoring its success by magnetic resonance imaging and histology. *Arthritis Res Ther.*, 2003. 5((1)): p. 60-73.
102. Bertram, H., et al., Matrix-assisted cell transfer for intervertebral disc cell therapy. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.*, 2005. 331(4): p. 1185-92.
103. Meakin, J.R., J.E. Reid, and D.W. Hukins, Replacing the nucleus pulposus of the intervertebral disc. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*, 2001. 16(7): p. 560-5.
104. Korge, A., et al., A spiral implant as nucleus prosthesis in the lumbar spine. *Eur Spine J*, 2002. 11(Suppl 2): p. S149-53. Epub 2002 Aug 2.
105. Kadoya, K., et al., Biomechanical and morphologic evaluation of a three-dimensional fabric sheep artificial intervertebral disc: in vitro and in vivo analysis. *Spine*, 2001. 26(14): p. 1562-9.
106. Meakin, J.R. and D.W. Hukins, Replacing the nucleus pulposus of the intervertebral disk: prediction of suitable properties of a replacement material using finite element analysis. *J Mater Sci Mater Med*, 2001. 12(3): p. 207-13.
107. Klara, P.M. and C.D. Ray, Artificial nucleus replacement: clinical experience. *Spine.*, 2002. 27(12): p. 1374-7.
108. Lemaire, J.P., et al., Intervertebral disc prosthesis. Results and prospects for the year 2000. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.*, 1997(337): p. 64-76.
109. Eysel, P., ASG Kurs Wirbelsäule III - innovative Verfahren, in *Deutscher Orthopädenkongress Berlin*. 2001: Berlin.
110. Pointillart, V., Cervical disc prosthesis in humans. First failure. *Spine*, 2001. 26: p. 90-92.
111. Cinotti, G., T. David, and F. Postacchini, Results of disc prosthesis after a minimum follow-up period of 2 years. *Spine*, 1996. 21(8): p. 995-1000.
112. Scott, A.H. and D.J. Harrison, Increasing age does not effect good outcome after lumbar disc replacement. *Inter Orthop (Sicot)*, 2000. 24: p. 50-53.
113. de Kleuver, M., F.C. Oner, and W.C. Jacobs, Total disc replacement for chronic low back pain: background and a systematic review of the literature. *Eur Spine J*, 2003. 12(2): p. 108-16. Epub 2002 Dec 7.
114. Wilke, H.J., et al., Is a collagen scaffold for a tissue engineered nucleus replacement capable of restoring disc height and stability in an animal model? *Eur Spine J*, 2006. 15(Suppl 15): p. 433-8. Epub 2006 Jul 26.
115. Hopf, C., H. Heeckt, and C. Beske, [Indication, biomechanics and early results of artificial disk replacement]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb.*, 2004. 142(2): p. 153-8.

116. Kornblum, M.B., et al., Degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis: a prospective long-term study comparing fusion and pseudarthrosis. *Spine*, 2004. 29(7): p. 726-33; discussion 733-4.
117. Khodadadyan-Klostermann, C., et al., [Expandable cages: biomechanical comparison of different cages for ventral spondylodesis in the thoracolumbar spine]. *Chirurg*, 2004. 75(7): p. 694-701.
118. Kandziora, F., et al., Bioabsorbable interbody cages in a sheep cervical spine fusion model. *Spine*, 2004. 29(17): p. 1845-55; discussion 1856. Write to the Help Desk NCBI | NLM | NIH Department of Health & Human Services Privacy Statement | Freedom of Information Act | Disclaimer.
119. Kandziora, F., et al., [Experimental fusion of the sheep cervical spine. Part I: Effect of cage design on interbody fusion]. *Chirurg*, 2002. 73(9): p. 909-17.
120. Kandziora, F., et al., [Experimental fusion of the sheep cervical spine. Part II: Effect of growth factors and carrier systems on interbody fusion]. *Chirurg*, 2002. 73(10): p. 1025-38.
121. Banwart, J.C., M.A. Asher, and R.S. Hassanein, Iliac crest bone graft harvest donor site morbidity. A statistical evaluation. *Spine*, 1995. 20(9): p. 1055-60.
122. Younger, E.M. and M.W. Chapman, Morbidity at bone graft donor sites. *J Orthop Trauma*, 1989. 3(3): p. 192-5.
123. Agazzi, S., A. Reverdin, and D. May, Posterior lumbar interbody fusion with cages: an independent review of 71 cases. *J Neurosurg*, 1999. 91(2 Suppl): p. 186-92.
124. Wippermann, B.W., et al., [Complications of spongiosa harvesting of the ilial crest. A retrospective analysis of 1,191 cases]. *Chirurg*, 1997. 68(12): p. 1286-91.
125. Arrington, E.D., et al., Complications of iliac crest bone graft harvesting. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 1996(329): p. 300-9.
126. Guigui, P., et al., [Intraoperative and early postoperative complications of lumbar and lumbosacral fusion: prospective analysis of 872 patients]. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot*, 2004. 90(1): p. 5-15.
127. Eck, J.C., S.C. Humphreys, and S.D. Hodges, Adjacent-segment degeneration after lumbar fusion: a review of clinical, biomechanical, and radiologic studies. *Am J Orthop*, 1999. 28(6): p. 336-40.
128. Rahm, M.D. and B.B. Hall, Adjacent-segment degeneration after lumbar fusion with instrumentation: a retrospective study. *J Spinal Disord*, 1996. 9(5): p. 392-400.
129. Zucherman, J., et al., Clinical efficacy of spinal instrumentation in lumbar degenerative disc disease. *Spine*, 1992. 17(7): p. 834-7.
130. Chou, W.Y., et al., Adjacent segment degeneration after lumbar spinal posterolateral fusion with instrumentation in elderly patients. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*, 2002. 122(1): p. 39-43.

131. Bastian, L., et al., Evaluation of the mobility of adjacent segments after posterior thoracolumbar fixation: a biomechanical study. *Eur Spine J*, 2001. 10(4): p. 295-300.
132. Guigui, P., et al., [Long-term influence of associated arthrodesis on adjacent segments in the treatment of lumbar stenosis: a series of 127 cases with 9-year follow-up]. *Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot*, 2000. 86(6): p. 546-57.
133. Saxena, A., et al., Spinal disassociation masquerading as iatrogenic listhesis above a previous fusion. *Spine.*, 2006. 31(6): p. E179-83.
134. Fischgrund, J.S., et al., 1997 Volvo Award winner in clinical studies. Degenerative lumbar spondylolisthesis with spinal stenosis: a prospective, randomized study comparing decompressive laminectomy and arthrodesis with and without spinal instrumentation. *Spine*, 1997. 22(24): p. 2807-12.
135. Fritzell, P., et al., 2001 Volvo Award Winner in Clinical Studies: Lumbar fusion versus nonsurgical treatment for chronic low back pain: a multicenter randomized controlled trial from the Swedish Lumbar Spine Study Group. *Spine*, 2001. 26(23): p. 2521-32; discussion 2532-4.
136. Saxler, G., et al., [Follow-up study after dynamic and static stabilisation of the lumbar spine]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb.*, 2005. 143(1): p. 92-9.
137. Mochida, J., et al., A innovative method using the Leeds-Keio artificial ligament in the unstable spine. *Orthopedics*, 1997. 20: p. 17-23.
138. Brechbuhler, D., T.M. Markwalder, and M. Braun, Surgical results after soft system stabilization of the lumbar spine in degenerative disc disease--long-term results. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 1998. 140(6): p. 521-5.
139. Korovessis, P., et al., Rigid, semirigid versus dynamic instrumentation for degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis: a correlative radiological and clinical analysis of short-term results. *Spine.*, 2004. 29(7): p. 735-42.
140. Cakir, B., et al., [Posterior dynamic stabilization as an alternative for dorso-ventral fusion in spinal stenosis with degenerative instability]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb*, 2003. 141(4): p. 418-24.
141. Grob, D., et al., Clinical experience with the Dynesys semirigid fixation system for the lumbar spine: surgical and patient-oriented outcome in 50 cases after an average of 2 years. *Spine*, 2005. 30(3): p. 324-31.
142. Schwarzenbach, O., et al., Posterior dynamic stabilization systems: DYNESYS. *Orthop Clin North Am*, 2005. 36(3): p. 363-72.
143. Freudiger, S., G. Dubois, and M. Lorrain, Dynamic neutralisation of the lumbar spine confirmed on a new lumbar spine simulator in vitro. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*, 1999. 119(3-4): p. 127-32.
144. Schmoelz, W., et al., Dynamic stabilization of the lumbar spine and its effects on adjacent segments: an in vitro experiment. *J Spinal Disord Tech*, 2003. 16(4): p. 418-23.

145. Specchia, N. Dynamic neutralization of the lumbar spine can help the disc repairing process. in 4th Dynesys Meeting. 2004. Wien, Österreich.
146. Guehring, T., et al. Molecular and immuno-histochemical analysis of disc distraction in degenerated discs. An in vivo animal study on new zealand white rabbits. Euro Spineweek. 2004. Porto, Portugal.
147. Sengupta, D.K., H.N. Herkowitz, and R. Mulholland. Load-sharing property of two novel dynamic stabilization systems in the lumbar spine. A biomechanical study in cadaver spine. Euro Spineweek. 2004. Porto, Portugal.
148. Cew, A., et al. In-vitro biomechanical effects of DYNESYS (Dynamic neutralisation system for the spine). Euro Spineweek. 2004. Porto, Portugal.
149. Guerhring, T., et al. Intervertebral disc pressure measurements in normal, degenerated and distracted discs. An in vivo animal study on New Zealand white rabbits. Euro Spineweek. 2004. Porto, Portugal.
150. Lewis, P.J., et al., Long-term prospective study of lumbosacral discectomy. *J Neurosurg*, 1987. 67(1): p. 49-53.
151. Unglaub, F., et al., Effects of unisegmental disc compression on adjacent segments: an in vivo animal model. *Eur Spine J*, 2005. 17: p. 17.
152. Ledet, E.H., et al., Real-time in vivo loading in the lumbar spine: part 1. Interbody implant: load cell design and preliminary results. *Spine*, 2000. 25(20): p. 2595-600.
153. Smit, T.H., et al., Application of polylactides in spinal cages: Studies in a goat model. *J Mater Sci Mater Med.*, 2006. 17(12): p. 1237-44.
154. Smit, T.H., The use of a quadruped as an in vivo model for the study of the spine - biomechanical considerations. *Eur Spine J*, 2002. 11(2): p. 137-44.
155. Kanayama, M., et al., The effects of rigid spinal instrumentation and solid bony fusion on spinal kinematics. A posterolateral spinal arthrodesis model. *Spine*, 1998. 23(7): p. 767-73.
156. Melrose, J., et al., A longitudinal study of the matrix changes induced in the intervertebral disc by surgical damage to the annulus fibrosus. *J Orthop Res*, 1992. 10(5): p. 665-76.
157. Moore, R.J., et al., Changes in endplate vascularity after an outer annulus tear in the sheep. *Spine*, 1992. 17(8): p. 874-8.
158. Osti, O.L., et al., Annular tears and disc degeneration in the lumbar spine. A post-mortem study of 135 discs. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*, 1992. 74(5): p. 678-82.
159. Kandziora, F., et al., Comparison between sheep and human cervical spines: an anatomic, radiographic, bone mineral density, and biomechanical study. *Spine*, 2001. 26(9): p. 1028-37.
160. Nachemson, A., Lumbar intradiscal pressure. Experimental studies on post-mortem material. *Acta Orthop Scand*, 1960. Suppl(43): p. 1-104.

161. Collins, D., Osteoarthritis. In: *The Pathology of Articular and Spinal Diseases*. London: Edward Arnold, 1949: p. 74-81.
162. Moore, R.J., et al., Osteoarthrosis of the facet joints resulting from anular rim lesions in sheep lumbar discs. *Spine*, 1999. 24(6): p. 519-25.
163. Osti, O.L., B. Vernon-Roberts, and R.D. Fraser, 1990 Volvo Award in experimental studies. Anulus tears and intervertebral disc degeneration. An experimental study using an animal model. *Spine*, 1990. 15(8): p. 762-7.
164. Moore, R.J., et al., Remodeling of vertebral bone after outer anular injury in sheep. *Spine*, 1996. 21(8): p. 936-40.
165. Foster, M.R., et al., Characterization of a developing lumbar arthrodesis in a sheep model with quantitative instability. *Spine J.*, 2002. 2(4): p. 244-50.
166. Sobajima, S., et al., A slowly progressive and reproducible animal model of intervertebral disc degeneration characterized by MRI, X-ray, and histology. *Spine.*, 2005. 30(1): p. 15-24.
167. Kim, K.S., et al., Disc degeneration in the rabbit: a biochemical and radiological comparison between four disc injury models. *Spine.*, 2005. 30(1): p. 33-7.
168. Melrose, J., et al., Increased nerve and blood vessel ingrowth associated with proteoglycan depletion in an ovine anular lesion model of experimental disc degeneration. *Spine*, 2002. 27(12): p. 1278-85.
169. Fazzalari, N.L., et al., Mechanical and pathologic consequences of induced concentric anular tears in an ovine model. *Spine*, 2001. 26(23): p. 2575-81.
170. Unglaub, F., et al., [Stimulation of degenerative changes in the intervertebral disc through axial compression. Radiologic, histologic and biomechanical research in an animal model]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb.*, 2003. 141(4): p. 412-7.
171. Kroeber, M.W., et al., New in vivo animal model to create intervertebral disc degeneration and to investigate the effects of therapeutic strategies to stimulate disc regeneration. *Spine.*, 2002. 27(23): p. 2684-90.
172. Baramki, H.G., P. Papin, and T. Steffen, A surgical approach to the ventral aspect of the lumbar vertebrae in the sheep model. *Surg Radiol Anat*, 2000. 22(1): p. 25-7.
173. Eindorf, T., *Histologische Evaluation eines biodegradierbaren Implantats zur Spondylodese der Halswirbelsäule. (Eine tierexperimentelle Studie am Schafsmo dell)*, Freie Universität: Berlin. 2005. p. 128.
174. Parfitt, A.M., Bone histomorphometry: proposed system for standardization of nomenclature, symbols, and units. *Calcif Tissue Int.*, 1988. 42(5): p. 284-6.
175. Wright, C.D., et al., Combined inter-observer and inter-method variation in bone histomorphometry. *Bone.*, 1992. 13(3): p. 205-8.
176. Hunt, J.A. and D.F. Williams, Quantifying the soft tissue response to implanted materials. *Biomaterials.*, 1995. 16(3): p. 167-70.

177. Lotz, J.C., Animal models of intervertebral disc degeneration: lessons learned. *Spine.*, 2004. 29(23): p. 2742-50.
178. Guehring, T., et al., Intradiscal pressure measurements in normal discs, compressed discs and compressed discs treated with axial posterior disc distraction: an experimental study on the rabbit lumbar spine model. *Eur Spine J.*, 2006. 15(5): p. 597-604. Epub 2005 Aug 13.
179. Lotz, J.C., et al., Compression-induced degeneration of the intervertebral disc: an in vivo mouse model and finite-element study. *Spine.*, 1998. 23(23): p. 2493-506.
180. Hsieh, A.H. and J.C. Lotz, Prolonged spinal loading induces matrix metalloproteinase-2 activation in intervertebral discs. *Spine.*, 2003. 28(16): p. 1781-8.
181. Schnake, K.J., et al., Mechanical concepts for disc regeneration. *Eur Spine J.*, 2006. 15(Suppl 15): p. 354-60. Epub 2006 Jul 12.
182. Ahlgren, B.D., et al., Effect of anular repair on the healing strength of the intervertebral disc: a sheep model. *Spine*, 2000. 25(17): p. 2165-70.
183. Resnick, D., Degenerative diseases of the vertebral column. *Radiology*, 1985. 156(1): p. 3-14.
184. Kwon, S.Y., et al. Disc pressure changes with PMMA interspinous spinal spacer insertion for lumbar spinal stenosis. in 51st Annual Meeting of the Orthopedic Research Society. 2005.
185. Unglaub, F., et al., [Controlled distraction as a therapeutic option in moderate degeneration of the intervertebral disc -- an in vivo study in the rabbit-spine model]. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb.*, 2006. 144(1): p. 68-73.
186. Kroeber, M., et al., Effects of controlled dynamic disc distraction on degenerated intervertebral discs: an in vivo study on the rabbit lumbar spine model. *Spine.*, 2005. 30(2): p. 181-7.
187. Guehring, T., et al., Disc distraction shows evidence of regenerative potential in degenerated intervertebral discs as evaluated by protein expression, magnetic resonance imaging, and messenger ribonucleic acid expression analysis. *Spine.*, 2006. 31(15): p. 1658-65.
188. Krismer, M., et al., Motion in lumbar functional spine units during side bending and axial rotation moments depending on the degree of degeneration. *Spine*, 2000. 25(16): p. 2020-7.
189. Tanaka, N., et al., The relationship between disc degeneration and flexibility of the lumbar spine. *Spine J.*, 2001. 1(1): p. 47-56.
190. Mochida, J., et al., The importance of preserving disc structure in surgical approaches to lumbar disc herniation. *Spine.*, 1996. 21(13): p. 1556-63; discussion 1563-4.
191. Kotilainen, E. and S. Valtonen, Clinical instability of the lumbar spine after microdiscectomy. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 1993. 125(1-4): p. 120-6.

192. Mochida, J., et al., The risks and benefits of percutaneous nucleotomy for lumbar disc herniation. A 10-year longitudinal study. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.*, 2001. 83(4): p. 501-5.
193. Kotilainen, E., Microinvasive lumbar disc surgery. A study on patients treated with microdiscectomy or percutaneous nucleotomy for disc herniation. *Ann Chir Gynaecol Suppl.*, 1994. 209: p. 1-50.
194. Kotilainen, E. and S. Valtonen, Percutaneous nucleotomy in the treatment of lumbar disc herniation results after a mean follow-up of 2 years. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 1994. 128(1-4): p. 47-52.
195. Kotilainen, E., et al., Association between decreased disc signal intensity in preoperative T2-weighted MRI and a 5-year outcome after lumbar minimally invasive discectomy. *Minim Invasive Neurosurg.*, 2001. 44(1): p. 31-6.
196. Swanson, K.E., et al., The effects of an interspinous implant on intervertebral disc pressures. *Spine.*, 2003. 28(1): p. 26-32.
197. Niosi, C.A., et al., Biomechanical characterization of the three-dimensional kinematic behaviour of the Dynesys dynamic stabilization system: an in vitro study. *Eur Spine J.*, 2006. 15(6): p. 913-22. Epub 2005 Oct 11.