## 6. Figure Appendix

**Table 1:** UP-element abundance in  $\sigma$ -controlled promoters

I)  $\sigma^{s}$ -controlled promoters with putative full UP-element sites

	(AWWWWWWTT	TT) (AAAA	AAA R	<b>NR)</b> -35	◀ 15-19bp		-10		+1
	AAAWWTWTTTT	NNAAAA	(N)NNN	TTGACA	•	ć	TATACT	TATTTT	A/G
adhE(P1)	TATCTAGTTGT	GCAAAA	catg	CTAATG	TAGCCACCAAATCATA	С	TACAAT	TTATTA	А
gadY	CTTATGTTTAT	АААААА	atgg	CTGATC	TTATTTCCAGTAAAAG	т	TATATT	TAACTT	A
osmB(P1)	<u>ATTTGCAGTTT</u>	GGCAAA	tcat	CCGCTC	TAAGATGATTCCTGGT	т	GATAAT	TAAG	A
xthA	TCAAATCACTT	AACAAC	agg	CGGTAA	GCAACGCGAAATTCTG	C	TACCAT	CCACGC	A

II)  $\sigma^{s}$ -controlled promoters with putative proximal UP-element half-sites

				-35	▲15-19bp	•	-10		+1
		АААААА	RNR	TTGACA		ć	TATACT	TATTTT	A/G
hmp	TGAAAAACACC	AAAGAA	CCA	TTTACA	TTGCAGGGCTATTTTT	Α	TAAGAT	GCATTT	G

## III) $\sigma^{s}$ -controlled promoters with putative distal UP-element half-sites

				-35	15-19bp	•	-10		+1
	AWWWWWWTTTT			TTGACA		С	TATACT	TATTTT	A/G
ada	AATTAAAGCGC	AAGATT	gttg	GTTTTT	GCGTGATGGTGACCGG	G	CAGCCT	AAAG	G
adhE(P2)	AAAATTTGATT	TGGATC	acg	<u>TAATCA</u>	GTACCCAGAAGTGA	G	TAATCT	TGCTTAC	G
aidB	GAATGTTTTAG	CAATCT	cttt	CTGTCA	TGAATCCATGGCAGTGA	С	CATACT	AATGGT	G
ansP(P1)	<b>GTGATAACTAT</b>	CATCGC	cagg	ATGAAT	AAACATTGTTCATG	G	CAACTT	ATAT	G
ansP(P2)	<u>ATAAAGAAT</u> AA	TGGTGA	taa	C <u>T</u> AT <u>CA</u>	TCGCCAGGATGAATAA	Α	CATTGT	TCATGGC	А
appY	TG <u>TATTT</u> AATT	GGTTGT	tat	TTGACT	ACTATCAACTTGTTTTA	Α	TTTTAT	GATAGGTG	CΑ
blc	<b>GTCCGAATTTT</b>	CGGACC	tttt	CTCCGC	TTTTCCTTGCTGTCAT	C	TACACT	TAGA	Α
bolA(P1)	GG <u>TAAAT</u> ATTT	GTTGTT	aag	C <u>TG</u> CAA	TGGAAACGGTAAAAGCG	GC	TAGTAT	TTAAAG	G
cbpA(P2)	T <u>AACATATT</u> CT	GTGTTG	gcat	ATGAAA	TTTTGAGGATTACC	С	TACACT	TATA	G
cfa(P2)	CGGTTTTTTCT	GCGAGA	ttt	CTCACA	AAGCCCAAAAAGCGT	С	TACGCT	GTTTT	А
csgDEF	ATTTAGTTACA	TGTTTA	acac	TTGATT	TAAGATTTGTAATGG	С	TAGATT	GAAATC	А
csiD	AAAACAATATG	TCGCTT	ttg	TGCGCA	TTTTTCAGAAATGTAG	A	TATTTT	TAGATT	Α
csiE(P1)	CAACATTTCTG	ATGATT	agca	TTCCCT	TCGCCATTTCCTTGA	G	CAAACT	TTAGCT	А
csiE(P2)	ATGATTAGCAT	TCCCTT	cgc	CATTTC	CTTGAGCAAACTTTAG	C	TATTCT	TATCAATT	А
dnaN(P1)	GACATTCGTTT	GCCGGG	cgaa	G <u>TG</u> GCG	TTCTTTATCGCCAAGCG	тC	TACGAT	CTAAC	G
fbaB	<u>AACATTTTTTC</u>	TGATGA	atc	GAGCCA	ACAGAAAACGCTGAAAA	AA	CATCCA	AAAG	А
frdA	T <u>AAAAAAT</u> CG	ATCTGC	tcaa	ATTTCA	GACTTATCCATCAGA	С	TATACT	GTTGTA	С
ftsQ(P1)	AGAAATTTTAC	CGTCAA	tacg	<u>TATTCA</u>	ACCGTCCGGAACCTT	С	TATGAT	TATGT	G
gadX	T <u>AAATTT</u> ATTT	ATCAAT	caat	<u>TTGAC</u> T	TAAGAGGGCGGCGTG	С	<u>TACATT</u>	AATAAACA	G
hdeAB	TTTGATATTTT	CCATCA	acc	ATGACA	TATACAGAAAACCAGGT	TA	TAACCT	CAGT	G
htrE(P2)	ATTGAATGAAT	ATACAG	gga	ATAATA	ATTTCTATTTTATATT	Α	TTCCCT	GTTTTA	А
mscL	T <u>TAATTAAATT</u>	CATTCC	tggc	AGGAAA	ATGGCTTAACATTTG	т	TAGACT	TATGGTT	G
mscS	<u>ATGAGAAATCT</u>	GTGATC	tat	TTGGCA	AAATTATGCTTTATTGT	т	TACCCT	TGTCAG	A
msyB	CTGATTTTTCG	CCTTTC	atac	TTGCAA	AAGCGGAGAATCAG	С	TATCCT	TTTCCCT	G
osmY	CTTATGTTTTC	GCTGAT	atcc	CGAGCG	GTTTCAAAATTGTGAT	C	<u>TATA</u> TT	TAACAAA	G
proP(P2)	G <u>TTTGATT</u> GTA	CATTCC	ttaa	CCGGAG	GGTGTAAGCAAACCCG	С	TACGCT	TGTTAC	А
rraA	AATTAACAATT	GATGAT	tttg	CCAACA	GCCCACATAGCGCG	Α	TATACT	GAAA	А
sra	<u>ATCAATTATGT</u>	GGTCAG	tggc	CAGCAC	CCTACGCTTTAAGGTG	c	TATGCT	TGATCG	G
talA(P1)	ACACTGATGTT	ACCTGC	ttaa	TCCAGC	AATACCATGCCTGTCTG	c	TATGCT	TTTT	Т
uspB	<u>ATTGATAGT</u> GG	TTAACC	ttc	TCGAAA	AAAAACAACCTGATCTC	c	TACACT	ATCT	А
ytfK	TAAAAAAAGTT	ATACGC	dd	TGGAAA	CATTGCCCGGATAGT	<u>C</u>	TATAGT	CACTAA	G

IV)  $\sigma^{s}$ -controlled promoters with no putative UP-element sites

acnA(P1)	CCGTCGTTATT	CCAGAC	gac	<u>TGGCAA</u>	CTAACATCGCAGCAG	<u>C</u>	AAGCCT	TTATAG	A
aldB	GTCGTAAAGCT	GTTACC	gac	TGGCGA	AGATTTCGCCAGTCACG	т <mark>с</mark>	TACCCT	TGTTAT	A
artP(P3)	CCGACATTTAT	GCTCGC	cga	CCACCG	CCCCCGTTATTTTGTG	С	TATGTT	TATTGA	A

cfa(P2)	CGCGGTTTTTT	CTGCGA	gat	TTCTCA	CAAAGCCCAAAAAGCGT	С	TACGCT	GTTTT	А
cpxRA	GTGTAAAACAA	CGTAAA	gtca	TGGATT	AGCGACGTCTGATGAC	С	TAATTT	CTGCCTC	G
csgBA	AAAATACAACG	CGCGGG	tgag	TTATTA	AAAATATTTCCGCAGAC	A	TACTTT	CCATC	G
сух	AATACCTCTGG	TCGTAG	agt	TTCAGG	ATAAAGAGGGAGATCTA	C	CATTAT	CGGGTT	А
dnaN(P2)	CGCTGCGCGAC	TTGCTG	gca	TTGCAG	GAAAAACTGGTCACCAT	С	GACAAT	ATTCA	G
dnaN(P4)	GCCGTAAGATC	GAGCAG	ttgc	GTGAAG	AGAGCCACGATATCAAA	G	AAGATT	TTTCAA	Α
dps	AGTGTGATAGG	AACAGC	caga	ATAGCG	GAACACATAGCCGGTG	С	TATACT	TAATCTC	G
ecnB	TCCGAAAAATC	ATCAGA	ttc	CCATCA	TTTTTGGCGATGTTGT	С	TATTAT	TAATTT	G
esp	CATTACCAGAT	CTTGCT	tta	TTGATA	GTGAGCAGAGAGAGACT	G	CATTAT	TAATGAT	Т
fic	TGCCCGCGCTT	CTGCTC	ttc	CGGCGT	AACCCGGATTTGCCGC	т	TATACT	TGTGG	G
gabD	AGATTTTGGGC	TCGTCG	ggga	<u>TTCGC</u> C	GGGTGCTGCAAAACCAT	C	<u>TACGCT</u>	CAGGACT	G
gadA	TTAAATTAAGC	CTGTAA	tgcc	TTGCTT	CCATTGCGGATAAATC	<u>c</u>	TACTTT	TTTATT	G
gadB	TAAACACGAGT	CCTTTG	cac	<u>TTGCTT</u>	ACTTTATCGATAAATC	С	<u>TACTTT</u>	TTTAAT	G
gadC	ACGAACCCGTT	TCGGGA	caa	<u>TT</u> TC <u>CA</u>	AAGTCTGTTCACTG	G	C <u>AT</u> TAG	CAACGG	А
glgS(P2)	TGATCGGGGAC	CAATAT	att	<u>T</u> ACG <u>CA</u>	CGTTATGTTTAAAGGCA	С	TACACT	GATTGGG	Α
gor	CGGAGTAATTG	CAGCCA	ttg	CTGGCA	CCTATTACGTCTCGCG	<u>c</u>	TACAAT	CGCGGT	А
hchc(P2)	GCACTAAATCT	CTCCCC	gcc	ACCCCG	TACCTCTGATAATGGT	<u>c</u>	TAAAAT	CATTGA	А
himA(P4)	TTTATCCGAAT	GTAAGA	aag	<u>TTGGC</u> G	TAAATCAGGTAGTTGGC	G	TAAACT	TATTT	G
hyaAB	ATAAATCCACA	CAGTTT	gta	<u>TTG</u> TTT	TGTGCAAAAGTTTCA	c	TACGCT	TTATTAA	С
katE	CCGTTTCCAGA	ATAGTC	tcc	GAAGCG	GGATCTGGCTGGTGGT	С	<u>TATAGT</u>	TAGAGA	G
katG	GCATCCGTGGA	TTAATT	caa	<u>TTATAA</u>	CTTCTCTCTAACGCTGT	G	TATGCT	AACGCTA	Α
osmB(P2)	CGAGCAGATTT	CACGGA	ata	ATTTCA	CCAGACTTATTCTTAG	С	TATTAT	AGTTAT	А
osmC(P2)	ATTCGGAATAT	CCTGCT	tat	CGTGCT	GTTTCTCACGTAGTCT	Α	TAATTT	CCTTTTTA	А
osmE	AGCCGTTTCGT	TCACGG	gcc	TTGAAA	AAGCGCCCAATGTATT	С	CAGGCT	TATCTA	А
otsBA	TTGGCTGTTCT	TCCTTG	сса	A <u>TG</u> GCG	ACCCCCGTCACACTGT	<u>c</u>	TATACT	TACAT	G
pfkB(P2)	GATGGCAGGAA	CTGTCT	tca	AAAGCT	CCAATAAATCATATTG	т	<u>TA</u> AT <u>TT</u>	CTTCACT	Т
poxB	GCCTCCTTTCT	CTCCCA	tccc	<u>TTCCC</u> C	CTCCGTCAGATGAA	C	TAAACT	TGTTACC	G
pqi5(P2)	GGCAAAAGCAG	AAACTG	taa	AACGCA	GCAGTAGCAAACTAAG	С	<u>TATAA</u> A	TTGCAGC	G
proU(P1)	TACCCGCCAAA	TAGCTT	ttt	A <u>T</u> C <u>AC</u> G	CAAATAATTTGTGGTGA	Γ <mark>Ϲ</mark>	TACACT	GATACT	С
rraA	TCAATTAACAA	TTGATG	att	TTGCCA	ACAGCCCACATAGCGCG	Α	TATACT	GAAA	А
rsd	GAAATTTGCCC	GTTCCC	gat	ATGGCA	ATTCTCCCTTCGGCAA	C	CATAAT	TTTTGTTC	А
rssAB	CAGGTGCAACC	TTTTCA	сса	GACACA	TAAGGCTGCCAACATAG	G <u>C</u>	TATACT	CGACAGC	А
sodB	CAACAGGGTAA	GTTCAT	ctt	<u>TTGTC</u> T	CACCTTTTAATTTG	c	TACCCT	ATCC	А
sodC	ACTTTTAGGAA	TAGCCG	ccg	TTCAAA	AATGTGTCACTGGT	т	TATACT	TATTCA	G
ssrS(P2)	CGGACGATCTG	AACCAA	cggg	<u>TTGCAA</u>	GATCTGAAAGAACGCA	<u>C</u>	<u>TAGAGT</u>	CACAAAT	Α
topA(P1)	CTGGTGGCAAG	AGCGCC	tta	CTGGCA	ACTTTGGATTTTGCATG	C	<u>TAATA</u> A	AGTTGC	G
treA	CGCAGAATGAG	ATTTCG	atc	ATGCAG	CTAGTGCGATCCTGAA	С	<u>TA</u> AGG <u>T</u>	TTTCTG	А
ybgA(P1)	CCTGCTACAAC	AGGATT	aac	TTCACA	AATATCATTTCTCAAGG	Г <u>С</u>	TACACT	TACTCCT	G
ybgA(P2)	GCTATGGTTAG	AAACTA	cctg	ACGTCA	GTCCTTGCGGGGGAGCAG	G <u>C</u>	TTTCGT	AAATTT	G
ybjP	TTGCTAAGCCT	TCGATC	tca	AAAGCA	TTATCAGACTGATACG	C	TATTAT	TGAAA	G
yehZXYW	TGCAACTGAAT	CCTTCC	gctc	AAGCTA	ACCCCGCCATTATCAA	<u>c</u>	TATGCT	TTTCTC	Т
yggE(P1)	AAGTCATGAAG	CAAGGC	aga	TGGAAA	AATAAAACAGAGGCG	<u>c</u>	TAAGCT	TGCCTCC	Α
yggE(P2)	TGATGCAGTCG	CCGTGG	ttg	CTGGCG	AGAGACGGTATTGC	т	CATGCA	CAAGC	С
yiaG	GAGCATGCCCT	GACTTC	acc	CCGCTG	TGTCTGCTTTTCCCGA	С	TATTCT	TAATGA	G

	Proximal UP-e	lement sub-site	Distal UP-element sub-site			
	K	obs	K <sub>obs</sub>			
DNA-site	$\mathrm{E}\sigma^{70}$	Eσ <sup>s</sup>	$\mathrm{E}\sigma^{70}$	Eσ <sup>s</sup>		
-47	-	-	$\sim 3.5 \text{ min}^{-1}$	$\sim 3.5 \text{ min}^{-1}$		
-34	++	$\sim 1 \min^{-1}$	$\sim 3.5 \text{ min}^{-1}$	$\sim 3.5 \text{ min}^{-1}$		
-12	-	$\sim 1.5-2 \text{ min}^{-1}$	-	$\sim 3.5 \text{ min}^{-1}$		
-6	++	<u>~0.8 min<sup>-1</sup></u>	~4/1 min <sup>-1</sup>	<u>~1 min<sup>-1</sup></u>		
-4	++	$\sim 2 \min^{-1}$	~4 min <sup>-1</sup>	$\sim 3.5 \text{ min}^{-1}$		
-2	++	$\sim 0.8 \text{ min}^{-1}$	$\sim 4/1 \text{ min}^{-1}$	$\sim 1 \text{ min}^{-1}$		

**Table 2:** Kinetics of complex formation of  $E\sigma^{70}$  and  $E\sigma^{8}$  on promoters with different UP-element configurations (experiments performed in collaboration with M. Buckle and B. Sclavi).

UV irradiation (5 ns) was performed at different time intervals after the addition of 50nM RNAP to 1nM of supercoiled DNA. Time-course appearance and disappearance of different signals was monitored. Data fitting was carried out using the Origin version 5.0. The progression curves of appearance of protection/hyperesenstivity were fit individually to single or double exponential expressions:

$$y = L + (U-L) e^{-kt}$$
$$= L + A e^{-kAt} + B e^{-kBt}, U=L+A+H$$

 $y = L + A e^{-kAt} + B e^{-kBt}$ , U=L+A+B A and B are the signal amplitudes. k,  $k_A$  and  $k_B$  are the observed, apparent rate constants (K<sub>obs</sub>), which are presented in the table above. U and L represent the upper and lower limits from these fits respectively. In most cases, an expression containing a single exponential better described the results for each signal, and therefore only one rate constant is provided here, in the table. When, on the other hand, a double exponential expression described better the time-course appearance/disappearance of a signal, then two rate constants could be calculated (separated by a slash in the Table). Finally, in cases where the appearance/disappearance of a signals was completed faster that the first couple of secs (2-5 secs were the first time-points of our series of experiments), then rate constants could not be calculated, and therefore a "++" in the Table denotes that the events were extremely fast to be measurable. Note that each rate constant is calculated as an average of two or more independent experiments. Furthermore, by performing a series of promoter-binding, kinetics experiments with different  $E\sigma^{s}$  concentrations, we could deduce that the rate constants of certain signals remained unchanged with increasing amounts of RNAP, whereas others increased proportionally to the amount of RNAP added. Thus, the former group of signals monitor the open complex formation of the holoenzyme (underlined in the Table), whereas the latter group represent the initial recruitment of RNAP to the promoter.

**Table 3:** mapped  $\sigma^s$ -dependent promoters of *E. coli* and other bacteria (in bold face; *Sty* stands for *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Pau* stands for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Pol* stands for *Pseudomonas oleovorans*, *Bbu* stands for *Borrelia burgdorferi* and *Avi* stands for *Azotobacter vinelandii*) grouped according to the existence/positioning of the -35 element. Existence of a -35 box was regarded relevant when it was present as three or more matches to the consensus hexamer TTGACA, in a location 15-19bp upstream of the -10 element. Note that some promoters contain overlapping putative -35 elements.

	-35	<b>↓</b> 17bp	->	-10		+1
	CTTGACA	-	С	TATACT	TATTTT	A/G
no -35 eleme	ent					
ada	gGTTTTT	GCGTGATGGTGACCGG	G	CAGCCT	AAAG	G
adhE(P1)	gCTAATG	TAGCCACCAAATCATA	С	TACAAT	TTATTA	А
artP(P3)	aCCACCG	CCCCCGTTATTTTGTG	С	TATGTT	TATTGA	А
csiE(P2)	CCATTTC	CTTGAGCAAACTTTAG	С	TATTCT	TATCAATT	А
dps	aATAGCG	GAACACATAGCCGGTG	С	TATACT	TAATCTC	G
ecnB	CCCATCA	TTTTTGGCGATGTTGT	С	TATTAT	TAATTT	G
fic	CCGGCGT	AACCCGGATTTGCCGC	т	TATACT	TGTGG	G
hchA(P2)	CACCCCG	TACCTCTGATAATGGT	С	TAAAAT	CATTGA	А
katE	cGAAGCG	GGATCTGGCTGGTGGT	С	TATAGT	TAGAGA	G
lecA(Pau)	gGCGGTA	CTTCCTCGTTGCTGTG	С	TTTGCT	AACAGG	G
osmC(P2)	tCGTGCT	GTTTCTCACGTAGTCT	A	TAATTT	CCTTTTTA	А
osmY	CCGAGCG	GTTTCAAAATTGTGAT	С	TATATT	TAACAAA	G
pfkB(P2)	aAAAGCT	CCAATAAATCATATTG	т	TAATTT	CTTCACT	Т
Pm( <i>Pau</i> )	CTATCTC	TAGAAAGGCCTACCCC	т	TAGGCT	TTATGC	А
pqi5(P2)	aAACGCA	GCAGTAGCAAACTAAG	С	TATAAA	TTGCAGC	G
proP(P2)	aCCGGAG	GGTGTAAGCAAACCCG	С	TACGCT	TGTTAC	А
pstS	CATATAA	CTGTCACCTGTTTGTC	С	TATTTT	GCTTCTC	G
spvA(Sty)	CACAGCA	GAAAAATAGCACATAA	A	TAAACT	CAATAT	А
sra	CCAGCAC	CCTACGCTTTAAGGTG	С	TATGCT	TGATCG	G
talA(P1)	tCCAGCA	ATACCATGCCTGTCTG	С	TATGCT	TTTT	Т
treA	CATGCAG	CTAGTGCGATCCTGAA	С	TAAGGT	TTTCTG	А
ybjP	aAAAGCA	TTATCAGACTGATACG	С	TATTAT	TGAAA	G
yehZXYW	CAAGCTA	ACCCCGCCATTATCAA	С	TATGCT	TTTCTC	Т
yiaG	CCCGCTG	TGTCTGCTTTTCCCGA	С	TATTCT	TAATGA	G
xthA	gCGGTAA	GCAACGCGAAATTCTG	С	TACCAT	CCACGC	A
15bp spacer						
adhE(P2)	q <b>TAATCA</b>	GTACCCAGAAGTGA	G	TAATCT	TGCTTAC	G
algD(Avi)	t <b>TTG</b> G <b>CA</b>	CGACATTTTATTGA	С	TATAAT	TCGGCCT	G
ansP(P1)	g <b>ATGAAT</b>	AAACATTGTTCATG	G	CAACTT	ATAT	G
cbpA(P2)	t <b>ATGAAA</b>	TTTTGAGGATTACC	С	TACACT	TATA	G
csqBA(P1)	a <b>TTAAAA</b>	ATATTTCCGCAGAC	A	TACTTT	CCATC	G
dnaN(P4)	g <b>AAGAGA</b>	GCCACGATATCAAA	G	AAGATT	TTTCAA	А
gadC	aTTTCCA	AAGTCTGTTCACTG	G	CATTAG	CAACGG	А
msyB	C <b>TTGCAA</b>	AAGCGGAGAATCAG	С	TATCCT	TTTCCCT	G
osmB(P2)	a <b>TTCACC</b>	AGACTTATTCTTAG	С	TATTAT	AGTTAT	А
poxB	CTTCCCC	CTCCGTCAGATGAA	С	TAAACT	TGTTACC	G
- rraA	qCCAACA	GCCCACATAGCGCG	A	TATACT	GAAA	А
sodB	t <b>TTGTCT</b>	CACCTTTTAATTTG	С	TACCCT	ATCC	А
sodC	g <b>TTCAAA</b>	AATGTGTCACTGGT	т	TATACT	TATTCA	G
yqqE(P2)	q <b>CTGGCG</b>	AGAGACGGTATTGC	т	CATGCA	CAAGC	С

16bp spacer						
acnA(P1)	CTGGCAA	CTAACATCGCAGCAG	С	AAGCCT	TTATAG	А
alkS(Pol)	t <b>TTGCAC</b>	CACCGATCATGCCGA	С	TACACT	TAAGT	G
cfa(P2)	t <b>CTCACA</b>	AAGCCCAAAAAGCGT	С	TACGCT	GTTTT	А
csqBA(P2)	q <b>TTATTA</b>	AAAATATTTCCGCAG	A	CATACT	TTCCATC	G
csqDEF	CTTGATT	TAAGATTTGTAATGG	С	TAGATT	GAAATC	А
fbaB	q <b>CCAACA</b>	GAAAACGCTGAAAAA	A	CATCCA	AAAG	А
frdA	a <b>ATTTCA</b>	GACTTATCCATCAGA	С	TATACT	GTTGTA	С
ftsO(P1)	a <b>TATTCA</b>	ACCGTCCGGAACCTT	c	TATGAT	TATGT	G
qadX	t <b>TTGACT</b>	TAAGAGGGCGGCGTG	c	TACATT	ААТАААСА	G
hvaAB	a <b>TTGTTT</b>	TGTGCAAAAGTTTCA	c	TACGCT	TTATTAA	С
katG	t <b>ATAACT</b>	TCTCTCTAACGCTGT	G	TATGCT	AACGCTA	A
mscl	CAGGAAA	ΑΤGGCΤΤΑΑCΑΤΤΤG	т	TAGACT	ТАТССТТ	G
ospF(Bbu)	TTGTAT	ТТАТТАССТСТТССС	T	TAGACT	TAAGTAT	Т
otsBA		CCCCCGTCACACTGT	c	TATACT	ТАСАТ	G
VCIGFE-		ΑΑΨΟGGΨΨΨΑΑΟΟΑΑ	Ē	<u>TAATTT</u>	AATAGG	G
katN(Stv)	<u></u>	111100011111100111	Ĕ	<u></u>	1111100	0
vaaE (P1)	ATCCAAA	AATAAAACAGAGGCG	C	таасст	TGCCTCC	Δ
yggn(11) vtfk			Ĕ	TATACT		G
ycin	9 <u>100mm</u>		Ĕ	ININGI	011011111	U
17bp spacer						
acnA(P1)	<b>ACTERCA</b>	ACTAACATCGCAGCAG	С	AAGCCT	ͲͲϪͲϪϚ	Δ
alks(Pol)	<u>90100011</u> + тттсСА		Ĕ		ТААСТ	G
ansP(P2)			Ā		TCATGGC	Δ
cnyRA			C			C
csiD	ATCCCCA		ž	<u> </u>		Δ
csiD cabD	9 <u>16CCCC</u>		ĉ	TACCCT		C
gabb		CONTROCCONTANT				G
gauA						G
gaub			Ē			G N
gaui	GCTGAIC		Ċ			A
$y_{01}$	9C <u>IGGCA</u>		ž	TACAAI		A
			A C		GIIIIA	A
ogt(Sty)						A 7
OSIIIB (PZ)					AGIIAI	A 7
OSME	CTTGAAA					A
OLSBA	aA <u>TGGC</u> G					G
SPVR(Sty)	t <u>TGCACA</u>		G	GATTAT	TUTGA	A
rsa(PI)	TATGGCA			CATAAT	TTTTGTTC GROBBER	A
SSTS(PZ)	g <b>mgcaa</b>	GATCTGAAAGAACGCA	<u>C</u>	TAGAGT	CACAAAT	А
101						
180p spacer			~	~~~~		~
aldB			<u> </u>	CATACT	AATGGT	G
appi	tTTGACT	ACTATCAACTIGITITA	A	TTTTAT	GATAGGTGC	A
CIA(PZ)				TACGCT	GTTTT	A
CSGRA(PI)	g <u>TTATTA</u>	AAAATATTTTCCGCAGAC	A	TACTTT	CCATC	Ğ
сух	TTCAGG	ATAAAGAGGGAGATCTA		CATTAT	CGGG'I''I'	A
anaN(P2)	a <b>TTGCAG</b>	GAAAAAC'I'GGTCACCAT		GACAAT	A'I''I'CA	G -
dnaN(P4)	t <b>g<u>tga</u>ag</b>	AGAGCCACGATATCAAA	G	AAGATT	'I''I''CAA	A
esp	a <b>TTGATA</b>	GTGAGCAGAGAGAGACT	G	CATTAT	TAATGAT	Т
gabD	a <u>TTCGC</u> C	GGGTGCTGCAAAACCAT	<u>C</u>	TACGCT	CAGGACT	G
glgS(P2)	t <b>TACG<u>CA</u></b>	CGTTATGTTTAAAGGCA	C	TACACT	GATTGGG	А

himA(P4)	g <b>TTGGC</b> G	TAAATCAGGTAGTTGGC <b>G</b>	TAAACT	TATTT	G
hmp	a <b>TTTACA</b>	TTGCAGGGCTATTTTTT <b>A</b>	TAAGAT	GCATTT	G
katG	a <b>ttataa</b>	CTTCTCTCTAACGCTGT <b>G</b>	TATGCT	AACGCTA	А
mscS	t <b>TTGGCA</b>	AAATTATGCTTTATTGT ${f T}$	TACCCT	TGTCAG	А
rraA	t <b>TTGCCA</b>	ACAGCCCACATAGCGCG <b>A</b>	TATACT	GAAA	Α
topA(Px1)	a <b>CTGGCA</b>	ACTTTGGATTTTGCATG <b>C</b>	TAATAA	AGTTGC	G
uspB	CTCGAAA	AAAAACAACCTGATCTC $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	TACACT	ATCT	А
19bp spacer					
aldB	C <b>TGGCGA</b>	AGATTTCGCCAGTCACGT	TACCCT	TGTTAT	А
blc	t <b>TTCTCC</b>	GCTTTTCCTTGCTGTCAT <b>C</b>	<u>TACACT</u>	TAGA	А
bolA(P1)	g <b>CTGCAA</b>	TGGAAACGGTAAAAGCGG <b>C</b>	<u>TAGTAT</u>	TTAAAG	G
dnaN(P1)	aGTGGCG	TTCTTTATCGCCAAGCGT <b>C</b>	TACGAT	CTAAC	G
dnaN(P2)	CATTGCA	GGAAAAACTGGTCACCAT <u>C</u>	<b>G<u>A</u>CAAT</b>	ATTCA	G
fbaB	CGAGCCA	ACAGAAAACGCTGAAAAA <b>A</b>	CATCCA	AAAG	А
hdeAB	CATGACA	TATACAGAAAACCAGGTT <b>A</b>	<u>TAACCT</u>	CAGT	G
proU(P1)	t <b>a<u>t</u>cac</b> g	CAAATAATTTGTGGTGAT <u>C</u>	TACACT	GATACT	С
rssAB	a <b>GAC<u>ACA</u></b>	TAAGGCTGCCAACATAGG <mark>C</mark>	TATACT	CGACAGC	А
ybgA(P1)	C <b>TTCACA</b>	AATATCATTTCTCAAGGT <mark>C</mark>	TACACT	TACTCCT	G
ybgA(P2)	g <b>ACGTCA</b>	GTCCTTGCGGGGAGCAGG	TTTCGT	AAATTT	G

gene name	ID	Ratio of Medians	Function
crl	b0240	243,01	regulatory protein for curli, transcriptional regulator
paaA	b1388	0,381	subunit of putative phenylacetate-CoA oxygenase
рааВ	b1389	0,461	subunit of putative phenylacetate-CoA oxygenase
paaD	b1391	0,425	subunit of putative phenylacetate-CoA oxygenase
paaF	b1393	0,293	putative enoyl-CoA hydratase/isomerase of phenylacetate degradation
рааН	b1395	0,467	putative 3-hydroxyl-acyl-CoA dehydrogenase of phenylacetate degradation
рааК	b1398	0,385	phenylacetate-CoA ligase
yeeE	b2013	0,5	putative transport system permease protein
cysP	b2425	0,432	subunit of thiosulfate ABC transporter

Table 4: The Crl	regulon in an	n <i>rpoS</i> ⁻ backgro	und (LB, OD <sub>57</sub>	$_{8nm}$ =4, 30°C)
------------------	---------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

RH90 (MC4100 *rpoS*::Tn10) and its isogenic *crl::cat* mutant (NT225) were grown in rich medium (LB) at 30°C. Total RNA was extracted at an  $OD_{578}$  of 4.0 (i.e. during entry into stationary phase) and further processed for genome-wide microarray analysis. Genes with expression ratios in RH90 and its *crl* mutant derivative of >2-fold or <0.5-fold (average of three independent experiments) were considered relevant and are presented here.

**Table 5:** Putative promoter-proximal pausing sites in  $\sigma^{s}$ -dependent promoters in *E. coli*. In bold face are shown the putative pausing sites that satisfy the criteria set by Nickels *et al* (2004). Underlined are further "-10-like" elements, situated downstream of the transcriptional start, that fail one of the criteria set by Nickels *et al* (2004), but are nevertheless likely to be functional pausing sites (see also Fig. 29 for the cases of the *gadA* and the *bolA* promoters). Note that in some  $\sigma^{s}$ -dependent promoters with putative overlapping –35 elements, only one configuration is shown here.

	-35	<b>↓</b> 15-19bp —		-10		+1
	CTTGACA	-	С	TATACT	TATTTT	A/G
acnA(P1)	cTGGCAA	CTAACATCGCAGCAG	С	AAGCCT	TTATAG	Aactgtttgctgaagat
ada	qGTTTTT	GCGTGATGGTGACCGG	G	CAGCCT	AAA <b>G</b>	G <b>ctatcct</b> taa
adhE(P1)	qCTAATG	TAGCCACCAAATCATA	С	TACAAT	TTATTA	Actgttagctataat
adhE(P2)	aTAATCA	GTACCCAGAAGTGA	G	TAATCT	TGCTTAC	Gccacctggaagt
aidB	LCTGTCA	TGAATCCATGGCAGTGA	С	CATACT	AATGGT	Gactgccatt
aldB	CTGGCGA	AGATTTCGCCAGTCACGT	С	TACCCT	TGTTAT	Accteacace
ansP(P1)	GATGAAT	ΑΑΑCΑΤΤGΤΤCΑΤG	G	CAACTT	ΑΤΑΤ	Gactttttcat
ansP(P2)	ACTATCA	TCGCCAGGATGAATAA	A	CATTGT	TCATGGC	Aacttatatgact
annY			Δ	0111101 ΨΨΨΨΔΨ	CATACCTC	Aaagatagatt
artP(P3)	accaccc		C		TATTCA	Ataatacacttt
blc	+TTCTCC	CCTTTTCCTTCCTCAT	C	TACACT		Acadegegeeee
bala (D1)	CTICICC CTCCAA		C			
DOIA(PI)	YCIGCAA + Amcaaa		C	TAGIAI	I I AAAG	
CDPA(PZ)	LAIGAAA	I I I I GAGGAI I ACC	C	TACACI		Ggagl tacettaca
CIA(PZ)			C	IACGCI	GIII <u>I</u> GECCOE	Aagguleegalea
CPXRA	anggann	AGCGACGTCTGATGAC			CIGUUIU	Ggaggtatta
CSGBA(PI)	atriaaaa	ATATTTCCGCAGAC	A	TACTIT	CCATC	Gtaacgcagcgtt
csgBA(P2)	g'I''I'A'I''I'A	AAAA'I'A'I''I''I'CCGCAG	А	CATACT	TTCCATC	Gtaacgcagcgtt
CSGDEF	CTTGATT	TAAGATTTGTAATGG	С	TAGATT	GAAATC	Aga <u>tgtaat</u> ccatt
csiD	gTGCGCA	TTTTTCAGAAATGTAG	А	TATTTT	TAGATT	Atggctacgaaat
csiE(P2)	CCATTTC	CTTGAGCAAACTTTAG	С	TATTCT	TATCAATT	Aatgcttatggga
сух	tTTCAGG	ATAAAGAGGGAGATCTA	С	CATTAT	CGGGTT	Atttttctctctt
dnaN(P1)	aGTGGCG	TTCTTTATCGCCAAGCGT	С	TACGAT	CTAAC	Gtacgtgagct
dnaN(P2)	aTTGCAG	GAAAAACTGGTCACCAT	С	GACAAT	ATTCA	Gaagacggtggc
dnaN(P4)	gAAGAGA	GCCACGATATCAAA	G	AAGATT	TTTCAA	A <u>tttaat</u> cag
dps	aATAGCG	GAACACATAGCCGGTG	С	TATACT	TAATCTC	G <u>ttaatt</u> act
ecnB	CCCATCA	TTTTTGGCGATGTTGT	С	TATTAT	TAATTT	G <u>ctatagg</u> ca
esp	aTTGATA	GTGAGCAGAGAGAGACT	G	CATTAT	TAATGAT	<b>Tg</b> g <b>taaagt</b> taat
fbaB	gCCAACA	GAAAACGCTGAAAAA	A	CATCCA	AAAG	Atg <b>g</b> a <b>aaaact</b> cg
fic	CCGGCGT	AACCCGGATTTGCCGC	Т	TATACT	TGTGGC	Aaatggacacgtt
frdA	aATTTCA	GACTTATCCATCAGA	С	TATACT	GTTGTA	Cctataaa
ftsQ(P1)	qTATTCA	ACCGTCCGGAACCTT	С	TATGAT	TATGA	Gqcq <b>aagtat</b> ctct
qabD	TCGCCG	GGTGCTGCAAAACCAT	С	TACGCT	CAGGACT	Gqqcqaqatqa
gadA	CTTGCTT	CCATTGCGGATAAATC	С	TACTTT	TTTATT	Gccttcaaa <i>taaatt</i> t
gadB	CTTGCTT	ACTTTATCGATAAATC	С	TACTTT	TTTAAT	Gcgatccaat
gadC	aTTTCCA	AAGTCTGTTCACTG	G	CATTAG	CAACGG	Aaaa <b>tattgt</b> tct
gadX	<b>LTTGACT</b>	TAAGAGGGCGGCGTG	С	TACATT	ААТАААСА	G <b>taatat</b> gtttat
gadY	aCTGATC	ТТАТТТССАСТААААС	Ψ		ТААСТТ	Actgagaggagaaagt
alas(P2)	TACGCA	ССТТАТСТТАААСССА	C	TACACT	GATTGG	Aaatactgaaat
g_go(12)	aCTGGCA	ССТАТТАССТСТСССС	C	TACAAT	CGCGGT	Aatcaacgat
bchA(P2)	CACCCCG	тасстстсатаатсст	C		САТТСА	Aggaacttaggaca
hdelB	CATCACA		7		CACT	
himA(P4)	ammeccee		C			Cagtatagaga
hmn	giiggcg		G 7			
ninp	alliaca		A		GCAIII	
ILLE(PZ)	aAIAAIA		A		GIIIIA	Allactetalea
пуаав	anngnnn	TGTGCAAAAGTTTCA	C	TACGCT		
Kate	CGAAGCG	GGATCTGGCTGGTGGT	Ĉ	TATAGT	TAGAGA	GTTTTTGACC
KatG	ta'i'aac'i'	TCTCTCTAACGCTGT	G	TATGCT	AACGC'I' <u>A</u>	Acactgtagaggg
mscl	CAGGAAA	ATGGCTTAACATTTG	Т	TAGACT	TATGGTT	GTCggCttCat
mscS	tTTGGCA	AAATTATGCTTTATTGT	Т	TACCCT	TGTCAG	Actgcccgtcataa
msyB	CTTGCAA	AAGCGGAGAATCAG	С	TATCCT	TTTCCCT	G <b>aaacct</b> catcaact
osmB(P2)	aTTCACC	AGACTTATTCTTAG	С	TATTAT	AGTTAT	Agagagcttacttc

osmC(P2)	tCGTGCT	GTTTCTCACGTAGTCT	А	TAATTT	CCTTTTTA	Agcccacag
osmE	cTTGAAA	AAGCGCCCAATGTATT	С	CAGGCT	TATCTA	A <b>cacgct</b> gat
osmY	CCGAGCG	GTTTCAAAATTGTGAT	С	TATATT	TAACAAA	Gtga <u>tgacat</u> ttct
otsBA	aATGGCG	ACCCCCGTCACACTGT	С	TATACT	TACAT	Gtctgtaaag
pfkB(P2)	aAAAGCT	CCAATAAATCATATTG	Т	TAATTT	CTTCACT	Ttccgctgattc
рохВ	CTTCCCC	CTCCGTCAGATGAA	С	TAAACT	TGTTACC	Gtta <u>tcacat</u> t
pqi5(P2)	aAACGCA	GCAGTAGCAAACTAAG	С	TATAAA	TTGCAGC	Gcgaactggag
proP(P2)	aCCGGAG	GGTGTAAGCAAACCCG	С	TACGCT	TGTTAC	A <b>gagatt</b> gcat
proU(P1)	tatcacg	CAAATAATTTGTGGTGAT	С	TACACT	GATACT	C <b>tg</b> ttg <b>cattat</b> t
pstS	CATATAA	CTGTCACCTGTTTGTC	С	TATTTT	GCTTCTC	Gtagccaaca <u>aacaat</u>
rraA	tTTGCCA	ACAGCCCACATAGCGCG	А	TATACT	GAAA	Atctcgcagcaact
rsd(P1)	tATGGCA	ATTCTCCCTTCGGCAA	С	CATAAT	TTTTGTTC	Atggctgacga
rssAB	aGACACA	TAAGGCTGCCAACATAGG	С	TATACT	CGACAGC	Actaccacaggg
sodB	tTTGTCT	CACCTTTTAATTTG	С	TACCCT	ATCCAT	Acg <b>cacaat</b> aagg
sodC	gTTCAAA	AATGTGTCACTGGT	Т	TATACT	TATTCA	Ggaatg <b>cacaat</b> g
sra	CCAGCAC	CCTACGCTTTAAGGTG	С	TATGCT	TGATCG	Gcaacc <b>taattt</b>
ssrS(P2)	gTTGCAA	GATCTGAAAGAACGCA	С	TAGAGT	CACAAAT	Actg <b>aacagt</b> tggt
talA(P1)	tCCAGCA	ATACCATGCCTGTCTG	С	TATGCT	TTTTT	Gatgcgtttagcgaa
topA(Px1)	) aCTGGCA	ACTTTGGATTTTGCATG	С	TAATAA	AGTTGC	Gtatcg <u>g</u> a <u>ttttat</u>
treA	CATGCAG	CTAGTGCGATCCTGAA	С	TAAGGT	TTTCTG	Atacttgaataccgt
uspB	cTCGAAA	AAAAACAACCTGATCTC	С	TACACT	ATCT	Atagagccgctcgtatgtt
ybgA(P1)	CTTCACA	AATATCATTTCTCAAGGT	С	TACACT	TACTCCT	Gtaaaccgctcag
ybgA(P2)	gACGTCA	GTCCTTGCGGGGAGCAGG	С	TTTCGT	AAATTT	Gtcctgctacaa
ybjP	aAAAGCA	TTATCAGACTGATACG	С	TATTAT	TGAAA	G <b>g</b> a <b>tatcat</b> tattat
yehZXYW	CAAGCTA	ACCCCGCCATTATCAA	С	TATGCT	TTTCTC	Ttaattcgctg
yggE(P1)	aTGGAAA	AATAAAACAGAGGCG	С	TAAGCT	TGCCTCC	Agaggtcctgaatt
yggE(P2)	gCTGGCG	AGAGACGGTATTGC	Т	CATGCA	CAAGC	Ct <u>tgttcagtt</u> agg
yiaG	CCCGCTG	TGTCTGCTTTTCCCGA	С	TATTCT	TAATGA	Gcttcgatgcaatt
ytfK	gTGGAAA	CATTGCCCGGATAGT	С	TATAGT	CACTAA	Gcat <b>taaaat</b> tt
xthA	gCGGTAA	GCAACGCGAAATTCTG	С	TACCAT	CCACGC	Actctttatctgaat



**Fig. 17**: An  $\alpha$ CTD- $\sigma$  interaction cannot be mediated in promoters lacking a -35 element, no matter of the kind of UP-element configuration present in front of them. The presence of an  $\alpha$  subunit mutant (E261A), known to defect the  $\alpha$ - $\sigma$  interaction (Ross *et al*, 2005), does not influence the expression of a series of synthetic promoters lacking a -35 element and carrying different UP-element sites (for the exact sequences of the different synthetic promoters see the corresponding paper in the appendix).



**Fig. 18**: DNase I footprinting of  $E\sigma^{70}$  and  $E\sigma^{8}$  complexes at different variants of the synthetic promoter synp213 (see Typas A and Hengge R, 2005 for more details): lanes 1-3, core synp213 promoter, without an UP-element site; lanes 4-6, synp213 with a proximal UP-element sub-site; and lanes 7-9, synp213 with a distal half UP-element site. The digestion patterns of the **non-template strand** were revealed after primer extension (for primer used and more experimental details, see Typas A and Hengge R, 2006) in order to be able to monitor both linear and supercoiled DNA (the picture shown here is with linear DNA). Protection patterns extend for both holoenzymes between, approximately, -60 and +20 in all promoter constructs. Considerable differences between the  $E\sigma^{70}$  and  $E\sigma^{8}$  footprints can be seen: i) in the spacer region between the -10 and -35 hexamers (different intensity of the hypersensitive sites at -25 and -24), ii) in the -35 element (different degree of protection by the two RNAPs), and iii) one turn of the helix upstream of the -35 element (different intensity of the hypersensitive sites either at -46 or at -45). It is also apparent that, in the "Distal" promoter variant (carrying a distal half UP-element site), only  $E\sigma^{70}$  protects the region marked with a box, between the -35 element and the Distal UP-element sub-site (the latter is located in the region between -55 and -45). This result supports the model proposed in Fig. 15.B.



**Fig. 19**: DNase I footprinting of  $E\sigma^{70}$ ,  $E\sigma^{s}$  and  $E\sigma^{s}_{triple}$  complexes at the synp213 derivative carrying a distal half UP-element site (Typas A and Hengge R, 2005).  $\sigma^{s}_{triple}$  is a  $\sigma^{s}$  mutant that carries three amino acid substitutions, E308K+E315H+Q318R, and behaves more like the housekeeping  $\sigma^{70}$  in respect with UP-element utilisation. The digestion patterns of the **template strand** were revealed after primer extension (for <sup>32</sup>P-labelled primer used and more experimental details, see Typas A and Hengge R, 2006) in order to be able to monitor both linear and supercoiled DNA (the picture shown here is with linear DNA). Only when  $E\sigma^{70}$  bound to the promoter region, did hypersensitive sites at -39 and -38 appear. In addition, the footprint pattern of  $E\sigma^{s}_{triple}$  is in between of those of  $E\sigma^{70}$  and  $E\sigma^{s}$ , at least in respect with some aspects, i.e. intensity of the hypersensitive site at -25.



**Fig. 20**:  $E\sigma^{70}$  and  $E\sigma^{S}$  adopt different conformations in their transcription bubbles (experiments performed in collaboration with B. Sclavi and M. Buckle).

**A.** UV laser photo-footprinting of  $E\sigma^{70}$  and  $E\sigma^{8}$  complexes at the derivative of the synthetic promoter, synp213, carrying a distal half UP-element site (Typas A and Hengge R, 2005). Protein-DNA (linear/supercoiled) complexes were formed for 20min at 37°C, prior to irradiation by high intensity UV light. Samples were irradiated with a simple rapid (5ns) pulse of high intensity UV light (266nm) emitted by an NdYAG laser (DCR-11 spectra physics; more experimental details for the method can be found at Pemberton *et al*, 2002). Primer extension was then performed on the irradiated DNA, using an adequate <sup>32</sup>P-labelled primer (Typas A and Hengge R, 2006), in order to visualise the photomodification of the **non-template strand**. Changes in UV photo-reactivity of the promoter DNA, after incubation with either of the holoenzymes, can be observed at several positions. Appearance of hypersensitivity at nuclotides -6 and -2 reflects the open complex formation of the holoenzymes, as determined by kinetic experiments (see also Table 2), whereas hypersensitivity at positions -47 (in the middle of the hypersensitive bands appearing in between -50 and -44, the heart of the distal UP-element half site) and -34, and protection at nucleotides -12 and -4 are due to initial recruitment of the holoenzymes to the promoter.

**B.** A normalised densitometric scan of the UV photo-reactivity of the non-template strand (linear DNA) in the absence (black line) or presence of holoenzymes (red line for  $E\sigma^{S}$  and blue for  $E\sigma^{70}$ ). Differences in the UV laser photo-footprinting of  $E\sigma^{70}$  and  $E\sigma^{S}$  reflect the discrepant mode of binding and melting of the promoter by the two holoenzymes.



Fig. 21: Role of canonically and non-canonically positioned UP-elements in  $E\sigma^{s}$ -dependent transcription. A. On the left can be seen a schematic representation of synp213 derivatives with different UP-element configurations (for more information about synp213 see Typas and Hengge, 2005). On the right is plotted the promoter activity (measured by *lacZ* reporter fusions) of the various constructs in relation with that of the core promoter (without UP-element), which is set as 100%. Cells were grown in LB medium and specific  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities were measured along the growth curve. Here are compared and presented the promoter activities during middle stationary phase (4-8 hours after entry in to stationary phase, when the  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities have reached their maximum). Average values of more than 3 experiments are shown. Error bars indicate standard deviations from all these experiments. Only the promoter variant, carrying a canonically positioned

distal UP-element sub-site, exhibits increased  $\sigma^{s}$  selectivity. The construct bearing a distal UP-element sub-site, centred one turn of the DNA helix upstream of its canonical location, shows similar promoter activity and selectivity as synp213. On the contrary, the presence of an "upstream" full UP-element site (centred at -56.5) causes an increase in promoter activity and in  $\sigma^{70}$  promoter selectivity, similarly to the effects observed with an optimally positioned full UP-element site. Note though that the relative promoter activity of the synp213 derivative, carrying an optimally-positioned full UP-element site, is omitted here for presentational reasons; its activity is more than 10-fold higher than that of the core synp213 promoter and if plotted then it becomes difficult to see the differences in the promoter activity of the rest of the constructs. As shown in Typas and Hengge (2005), this promoter construct is almost entirely used by  $E\sigma^{70}$ . **B.** The same organisation as panel A, but here are presented the results of the synp214 derivatives with different UP-element configurations (synp214 is the "-35-less" promoter variant of synp213; see Typas and Hengge, 2005). Cells were grown, specific βgalactosidase activities were measured and data were calculated and presented as in panel A. Similarly to optimally positioned UP-element sites, non-canonically positioned UP-element sites did not change the promoter selectivity. The synp214 derivative, carrying an optimally positioned, full UP-element site, is omitted again for the same reasons mentioned above.



**Fig. 22**: Effects of changing the spacer length between -10 and -35 elements in the activity of the synthetic promoter, synp213, at 37°C and 30°C. Cells were grown in LB medium (30°C/37°C) and specific  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities were measured along the growth curve. Here are presented the promoter activities during middle stationary phase (4-8 hours after entry in to stationary phase, when the  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities have reached their maximum). Average values of more than 4 experiments are shown. Error bars indicate standard deviations from all these experiments. Above the bars are provided the ratios between  $E\sigma^{70}+E\sigma^{s}$ -mediated transcription and  $E\sigma^{70}$ -mediated transcription, which reflect the  $\sigma^{s}$ -selectivity of each promoter construct. It is apparent that the presence of a -35 element is more important for  $E\sigma^{s}$ -derived transcription at 30°C.



**Fig. 23:** Role of specific amino acids of  $\sigma^s$ , mostly situated in region 4, in its ability of utilizing nonoptimal spaced promoters. **A.** Alignment of region 4 of  $\sigma^s$  (RpoS) with the corresponding region of  $\sigma^{70}$ (RpoD). Single amino acid substitutions of  $\sigma^s$  to the corresponding residue of  $\sigma^{70}$  used here are shown in bold. The positions of the helixes in region 4 derive from current structural data. **B-D.** Effects of the different  $\sigma^s$  variants in  $E\sigma^s$  aptitude to trigger transcriptional activation from promoters with different spacer lengths (15-19bp). The mutants are divided in 3 different groups: **B.** no effect, **C.** general defect of  $\sigma^s$  activation but no change in its preference for non-optimal spacers, **D.** general defect of  $\sigma^s$ activation and partial alleviation of its preference for non-optimal spacers. Expression of the synthetic promoter synp213 is determined in *rpoS* background, in which  $\sigma^s$  wild-type (pRL40.1) and its variants are expressed under the  $p_{tac}$  control from a plasmid. The ratio between the promoter activity in the presence of *rpoS* or any of its variants, and the absence of *rpoS*, is plotted against the different spacer lengths. Cells were grown in LB medium and specific  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities were measured during middle stationary phase (4-8 hours after entry in to stationary phase, when the activities remained constant). Average values of more than 3 experiments are shown. Error bars indicate standard deviations from all these experiments



**Fig. 24:** Amino acid residues of  $\sigma_4^s$  affecting the  $\sigma^s$ -Fis interplay at a *proP* (P2) promoter variant that has defected the CRP binding-site (centred at -121.5). Promoter activities originating from the different  $\sigma^s$  variants are presented relative to the activity generated by the wild-type  $\sigma^s$  (set as 100%), both in the presence (**A**) and absence (**B**) of Fis. Promoter activity of *proP* (P2) was determined in an *rpoS*<sup>-</sup> background, in which wild-type  $\sigma^s$  and its variants were expressed under the P<sub>tac</sub> control from a plasmid (in the absence of inducer, as this results in  $\sigma^s$  levels comparable to those in wild-type strains). Cells were grown in LB medium and specific  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities were measured at the onset of stationary phase (*fis*<sup>+</sup>) and after 3-4h in stationary phase (*fis*<sup>-</sup>), when the *proP* (P2) promoter activity reached its peak. Average values and standard deviations of more than three experiments are shown.



**Fig. 25:** Comparison of genome-wide gene expression in  $crl^+$  and crl::cat strains (MC4100 and NT190, respectively; panel **A**), and in rpoS::Tn10 and rpoS::Tn10 crl::cat strains (RH90 and NT225, respectively; panel **B**), during entry into stationary phase in LB medium at 30 °C. RNA was prepared after harvesting cells that had reached an OD<sub>578nm</sub> of 4 . Cy3- and Cy5-labeled cDNAs were generated from these RNA preparations and were analysed by whole-genome microarray analysis. Here are shown their normalised intensities, as scatter plots, in two representative experiments (MC4100 versus NT190 in panel **A**, and RH90 versus NT225 in panel **B**). Each set of microarray analysis was repeated three times, and the average ratios of expression of Crl-controlled genes were extracted (both in  $rpoS^+$  and  $rpoS^-$  background; for the latter see Table 4). In panels **C** and **D** can be seen the normalised intensities, as scatter plots, in specific at 37°C (MC4100 versus NT190 in panel **C**, and RH90 versus NT225 in panel **D**). Note that the set of experiments at 37°C was only performed once.



Fig. 26: Crl supports  $E\sigma^{s}$  formation in stationary phase, in the expense of  $E\sigma^{70}$  (experiments performed in collaboration with C. Barembruch). Whole-cell lysates from wild-type (panels A and B; MC4100) and crl<sup>-</sup> (panels C and D; NT190) stationary phase cells (OD<sub>578nm</sub>=3 in panels A and C and OD<sub>578nm</sub>=4 in panels **B** and **D**), grown in LB at 30°C, were fractionated by gel filtration. Fractions were subsequently analysed by SDS-PAGE, and were visualised by immunoblots, using monoclonal antibodies against the  $\sigma^{s}$ ,  $\sigma^{70}$  and  $\beta'$  subunits of RNAP and a polyclonal antibody against Crl. As indicated by parallel experiments using purified proteins (data not shown),  $\sigma^{s}$  co-eluted with RNAP mostly in fractions A1 and A2 ( $E\sigma^{s}$ ), and was also recovered in later fractions (A7-A9) with no traces of core subunits (free  $\sigma^{s}$ ). On the other hand, when  $\sigma^{70}$  was part of the RNAP assembly (E $\sigma^{70}$ ), it eluted between fractions A2 and A4, whereas when it was free, it eluted mostly in fractions A6 and A7. Note that Crl always eluted where its free form would be expected (A10-A11). In panel E are presented the results of the quantification performed for the four western blots, using the IMAGE GAUGE software. The ratio of free to bound sigma factor was calculated for both  $\sigma^{s}$  and  $\sigma^{70}$  in the different genetic backgrounds and the different growth stages (bound  $\sigma^{s}$ : A1-A3; free  $\sigma^{s}$ : A7-A9; bound  $\sigma^{70}$ : A2-A4; free  $\sigma^{70}$ : A6-A8). More  $\sigma^{S}$  is free (hence unable to find free core RNAP to bind) in the crl mutant strain, during the early stages of transition into stationary phase in rich medium (LB),

whereas upon progression to later stages of stationary phase (OD<sub>578nm</sub>=4), the presence of Crl has a smaller impact in  $\mathrm{E}\sigma^{\mathrm{S}}$  formation (by then, most  $\sigma^{\mathrm{S}}$  is, anyways, in complex with RNAP). On the contrary, the presence of free  $\sigma^{70}$  significantly increases upon progression into stationary phase, only when Crl is present in the cell. Note, however, that the presence of enhanced amounts of  $\mathrm{E}\sigma^{70}$  in the *crl* mutant strain at OD<sub>578nm</sub>=4 do not cause any stimulation of  $\mathrm{E}\sigma^{70}$ -mediated transcription, as seen by the microarray analysis.

**Experimental conditions**: Strains were grown in LB medium until they reached different stages of stationary phase. 450 ml of the cells was harvested and resuspended in 10 ml buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 0.1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 200 mM NaCl). Crude cell extracts were obtained using a French Pressure Cell. The extracts were subsequently centrifuged for 15 min at 16,000 rpm (using a Sorvall SS34). A total of 100  $\mu$ l of the supernatant was applied to a gel filtration column (Superdex 200 10/300 GL). Elution with reconstitution buffer was performed at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min at room temperature, gathering fractions of 1 ml. The proteins in the elution fractions were precipitated with aceton, analysed then by SDS-PAGE (12% acrylamide), electroblotted onto PVDF membranes and, finally, detected with specific antibodies. Either polyclonal sera against Crl, or monoclonal antibodies against the  $\sigma^{S}$ ,  $\sigma^{70}$  and  $\beta'$  (Neolcone), and a Cy2-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG, plus a Cy2-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (both from Dianova), were used for protein visualisation.



**Fig. 27:** Increased RssB levels severely impair  $E\sigma^{s}$  activity, especially in a *crl*<sup>+</sup> background. Expression of a single-copy synp9::*lacZ* protein fusion (synp9 is a strongly  $\sigma^{s}$ -dependent synthetic promoter) was determined in *rssB*<sup>-</sup> *rpoS*<sup>+</sup> *crl*<sup>+</sup> (diamonds), *rssB*<sup>-</sup> *rpoS*<sup>+</sup> *crl*<sup>+</sup> (triangles), *rssB*<sup>-</sup> *rpoS*<sup>-</sup> *crl*<sup>+</sup> (squares) and *rssB*<sup>-</sup> *rpoS*<sup>-</sup> *crl*<sup>+</sup> (circles) backgrounds, with RssB being ectopically expressed from pMP8, under the control of the  $p_{tac}$  promoter (no inducer present; RssB levels are even without inducer higher than physiological). Cells were grown in LB medium and optical densities (closed symbols) and specific  $\beta$ -galactosidase activities (open symbols) were measured along the growth curve.  $E\sigma^{s}$ -mediated expression is severely defected due to a dramatic decrease in  $\sigma^{s}$  cellular levels, caused by the increase in RssB expression (see also Figs 5 and S2 in the "Stationary phase reorganisation of the *E.coli* transcription machinery by Crl protein, a fine-tuner of  $\sigma^{s}$  activity and levels" paper;  $\sigma^{s}$  is only detectable when cells have reached an optical density higher than 4 and only in a *crl*<sup>+</sup> background, in consistence with the genetic background and the time-point, in which a stimulation in  $E\sigma^{s}$ -mediated expression is observed here). Thus, increased cellular levels of RssB make the presence of Crl absolutely necessary for  $E\sigma^{s}$ -dependent transcription.

Figure Appendix



Fig. 28: Crl protects  $\sigma^{s}$  from degradation, only in the presence of core RNAP (experiments performed by A. Possling). In-vitro degradation of  $\sigma^{s}$  (panels A, B and D) in reaction mixtures containing  $2\mu M$ RpoS, 0,2µM RssB, 0,2µM reconstituted ClpXP, 5mM ATP, 10mM acetyl phosphate and where applicable  $4\mu$ M Crl (C and D3),  $0.29\mu$ M core RNAP (D2 and D3) or  $2\mu$ M BSA (D1). The mixtures were incubated at 30°C in buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 140 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1mMEDTA, 0.005% Triton X-100 and 5% glycerol [v/v]) for different time periods (stated above each lane), and were stopped with addition of SDS loading buffer, in order to be subsequently separated by SDS-PAGE and visualised by Coomasie staining. When Crl and  $\sigma^{s}$  were both included in the reaction, then they were separately pre-incubated for 10 min at room temperature, prior to their addition to the mixture. In panel B is presented a control in-vitro degradation assay of Crl, using the same conditions and reagents as for  $\sigma^{s}$  (note that Crl was also stable in an in-vitro degradation assay in which RssB was omitted; data not shown). Panels E and F depict densitometric quantifications of the data presented in A-C and D1-3 respectively. The intensity of bands representing  $\sigma^{s}$  (or Crl) was calculated relative to the intensity of bands representing a stable protein that was always present in the assay, i.e. ClpX. Each experiment was repeated two or three times with highly reproducible results; here is shown a representative of those experiments. The half-life of  $\sigma^{s}$  is 14.5 min (±1.2) in the absence of Crl, 15 min (±2) in its presence (2-fold excess), 34 min (±3) in the presence of substoichiometric amounts of core RNAP (1:7 molecular ratio), and 57.5 min (±3.5) in the presence of both Crl and core RNAP. Note that the presence of BSA in the mixture did not influence the degradation rates of  $\sigma^{s}$ .



Fig. 29: Effect of mutating putative pausing sites, which resemble a -10 recognition element and are situated directly downstream of +1, on the expression of the  $\sigma^{s}$ -dependent promoters bolA (A, B), gadA (C, D), and gadX (E, F). Expression of single-copy lacZ protein fusions, carrying either the wild-type promoter (squares), or its promoter derivative with a defected promoter-proximal pausing site (circles), was determined in  $rpoS^+$  (left panels; A, C and E) and  $rpoS^-$  (right panels; B, D and F) backgrounds. Cells were grown in LB medium and optical densities (closed symbols) and specific βgal activities (open symbols) were measured along the growth curve. Note that the scale of the  $\beta$ galactosidase axes changes significantly for each gene in  $rpoS^+$  and  $rpoS^-$  background, as all of them are strongly  $\sigma^{s}$ -dependent. Below the six plots, in panel G, is presented the promoter sequence of the three genes with the putative "-10-like" pausing sites underlined, and the mutations introduced for destroying them in bold, capital face. Only the pausing site found in *gadX* satisfies the criteria set by Nickels et al (2004); the other two pausing sites in gadA and bolA (P1) were predicted to be functional by their close similarity to a -10 element (although they failed to have conserved the three essential nucleotides of a -10 element, **TA**taa**T**, they had either a 4/6 match to the consensus of 10 element or they had only a 3/6 match to the -10 element consensus sequence and an additional extended -10 element). In addition, gadA had two putative promoter-proximal pausing sites; the first is situated directly upstream from that depicted in panel G (tcaaat), but mutating it, did not influence the expression of gadA. Note that  $E\sigma^{70}$ + $E\sigma^{8}$ -mediated transcription and  $E\sigma^{70}$ -mediated transcription are inhibited to a different extent by the presence of a pausing site in the gadA and gadX promoters.