Fachbereich Erziehungswissenschaft und Psychologie

Der Freien Universität Berlin

The Role of Personal and Social Resources and Coping For Finding Meaning in Cancer: *A Longitudinal Study*

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

DOKTOR DER PHILOSOPHIE
(DR. PHIL.)

vorgelegt von
(M.A.)

Mohamed, Nihal Elamin

Erstgutachter: **Prof. Dr. Ralf Schwarzer, Freie Universität Berlin**
Zweitergutachter: **Prof. Dr. Dieter Kleiber, Freie Universität Berlin**

Disputation: **20.10.2004**

Berlin

To my mother with love

Table of Contents

	Acknowledgments	XI
	Abstract	XII
	Zusammenfassung	XV
1.	**Introduction**	1
2.	**Theoretical Background**	4
2.1	Finding Meaning in Adversities	4
2.1.1.	Meaning: Definitional Issues	4
2.1.2.	Meaning: Theories, Models, and Empirical Findings	4
2.1.2.1.	The Model of Reality Construction	5
2.1.2.2.	The Theory of Cognitive Adaptation to Threat	5
2.1.2.3.	The Model of Global and Situational Meaning	6
2.1.3.	Qualitative and Quantitative Assessment of Meaning	7
2.1.4.	Factors Affecting The Initiation of Meaning	9
2.1.4.1.	Event Characteristics	10
2.1.4.2.	Personality Characteristics	11
2.1.4.3.	Coping Strategies	12
2.2.	Personal and Social Resources	13
2.2.1.	Personal Resources: Self-Efficacy Beliefs	13
2.2.2.	Social Resources	15
2.3.	Coping	18
2.3.1.	Coping: Theoretical Background	20
2.3.2.	Coping: Problems of Assessment	22
2.4.	Cancer Disease	23
2.4.1.	Cancer: Indicators of Adjustment	24
2.4.1.1.	Subjective Well-being: Negative Affect	24
2.4.1.2.	Quality of Life	25
2.4.1.3.	Pain	25
2.4.1.4.	Fatigue	26
2.4.1.5	Impairment Attributed to Cancer	27
3.	**Research Questions and Hypotheses**	28
3.1.	Cancer Patients: Psychological and Physical Health	28
3.1.1.	Cancer Diagnosis	28
3.1.2.	Cancer Treatment	29
3.1.3.	Cancer Recurrence	29

3.2.	Personal and Social Resources	30
3.3.	Coping with Cancer	31
3.4.	Finding Meaning in Cancer	33
3.4.1.	Finding Meaning in Cancer: the Demographic Variables	34
3.4.2.	Finding Meaning in Cancer: The Medical Data	35
3.4.3.	Finding Meaning in Cancer: Personal & Social Resources	36
3.4.3.1.	Meaning and Personal Resource	36
3.4.3.2.	Meaning and Social Resource	37
3.4.4.	Finding Meaning in and Coping with Cancer	37
3.4.5.	Does Coping Mediate the Relationships between Patients' Resources and Meaning?	38
3.4.6.	Change in Patterns of Associations between Resources, Coping, and Meaning over time	39
3.4.7.	Finding Meaning in Cancer and Adjustment: Solving the Paradox	41
4.	**Method**	43
4.1.	Participants	43
4.2.	Recruitment Procedures and Exclusion Criteria	44
4.3	The Sample of the Study	45
4.3.1	Participation from Different Hospitals and Clinics	45
4.3.2.	Medical Variables	46
4.3.2.1.	Site of cancer	46
4.3.2.2.	Time Elapsed Since Diagnosis	46
4.3.2.3.	Cancer Recurrence	47
4.3.2.4.	Type of Surgery	47
4.3.2.5.	Comorbidity	47
4.3.2.6.	Staging	48
4.3.3.	Rate of Attrition in the Different Assessments	50
4.3.3.1.	Rate of Attrition: Age and Sex	51
4.3.3.2.	Rate of Attrition: Demographic Characteristics of Participants	52
4.3.3.3	Rate of Attrition: Medical Variables	53
4.3.3.4.	Rate of Attrition: Emotional and Physical Health Status	56
4.3.3.6.	Rate of Attrition: Psychological Variables	58
4.3.3.7.	Rate of Attrition External Resources: Functional and Structural Aspects of Support	59
4.3.3.8.	Rate of Attrition: Coping with Cancer	60
4.3.3.9.	Rate of Attrition: Finding Meaning in Cancer	61
4.4.	Measurements Used in The Study	62

4.4.1. Socio-demographic Assessments 62
4.4.2. Personal Resources 62
4.4.3. Social Resources 63
4.4.3 1. Received Social Support 63
4.4.3 2. Number of Sources of Support 64
4.4.4. Coping with Cancer 65
4.4.4.1. Factor Structure of the Coping Scales 68
4.4.4.2. The Three Coping Subscales: Confirmatory Factor Structure 69
4.4.5. Finding Meaning in Cancer 71
4.4.5.1. The Meaning Scale 71
4.4.5.2. The Benefit Finding Scale 72
4.4.5.3. New Benefit Finding Subscales 75
4.4.6. Emotional and Physical Health Status 77
4.4.6.1. Quality of Life 77
4.4.6.2. Pain, Fatigue, and Impairment Attributed to Illness 78
4.4.6.3. Negative Affect 78
4.5. The Study Design 80
4.6. Missing Data and Statistical Analyses 80

5. Results 82
5.1. Descriptive Results: Physical and Psychological Health 83
5.1.1. Negative Affect 83
5.1.2. Quality of Life 88
5.1.3. Pain, Fatigue, and Impairment Attributed to Illness 90
5.2. Patients' Personal and Social Resources 95
5.2.1. Personal Resources: Descriptive Results 95
5.2.2. Social Resources: Descriptive Results 96
5.2.2.1. Received Social Support 96
5.3. Coping with Cancer 98
5.4. Finding Meaning in Cancer 102
5.4.1. The 7-Item Meaning Scale 103
5.4.2. The 7-item Meaning Scale: Change over Time 105
5.4.3. Unique Time effects: More Appreciation of Life 106
5.4.4.. Benefit Finding: The 17-Item Meaning Scale 109
5.4.5. Subscales of Benefit Finding 110
5.4.6. Interrelations among Meaning Measures 115
5.4.7. Meaning, Personal, and Social Resources: Concurrent Associations 116
5.4.8. Finding Meaning and Coping: Concurrent Correlations 119

5.4.9. Resources, Finding Meaning, and Coping: Mediation & Pattern of Associations over Time 121
5.4.10. Finding Meaning and Adjustment: Concurrent and longitudinal Associations 130
5.4.11. Differential Effect for Meaning on Adjustment 133

6. Discussion

6.1. Cancer: Physical and Psychological Effect 137
6.1.1. Negative Affect 137
6.1.2. Quality of Life 139
6.1.3. Pain 140
6.1.4. Fatigue 142
6.1.5. Impairment Attributed to Illness 143
6.2. Personal and Social Resources 144
6.2.1. Self-Efficacy Beliefs 144
6.2.2. Social Support 145
6.3. Coping with Cancer 147
6.4. Finding Meaning in Cancer 151
6.4.1. Meaning and the Demographic Variables 152
6.4.2. Meaning and Medical Variables 153
6.4.3. Meaning and Personal and Social Resources 154
6.4.4. Meaning and Coping 155
6.4.5. Meaning, Resources, and Coping: Pattern of Associations over Time 157
6.4.6. Meaning and Well-Being: Solving the Paradox? 161
6.5. Limitations of the Present Study and Perspectives for Future Research 164
6.6. Conclusions 165

7. References 167

8. Appendix A 177

9. Appendix B 198

10. Appendix C

List of Tables

Table 1 *Participation of patients from different hospital and clinics* 43
Table 2 *Comparisons between patients recruited from different hospital and clinics* 45
Table 3 *Frequency and percent of site of cancer reported* 46

Table 4 *Tumor size, number of lymph nodes involved, and the presence of metastases* (*TNM based system*) 49
Table 4 *Rate of participation in the five assessments* 51
Table 5 *Age and sex distribution among the full sample and participants in different measurements* 52
Table 6 *Marital status, having children, and number of children among the full sample and participants in different measurements* 54
Table 7 *Physical and biological characteristics among the five groups of parti*cipants 57
Table 8 *Emotional and Physical Health Status for the Full Sample and the Five Groups of Participants* 59
Table 9 *Received Social support, Quality of Resources, and Number of Resources for the Full Sample and the Five Groups of Participants* 60
Table 10 *English Translations of The German Scales of Coping* 67
Table 11 *The 7-item Meaning Scale, The 17-item Benefit Finding Scale, and Four Benefit Finding Subscales of* 77
Table 12 *The Study Design* 81
Table 13 *Scores on benefit finding among 136 German cancer patients at one-year post-surgery assessment, and 100 American breast cancer patients at initial assessment, post-treatment, and 3- and 9 month follow-up* 110
Table 14 *Correlations between the 7-item Meaning Scale "appreciation of life" measured at the first four assessments, the total score, and the four subscales of benefit finding measured at t5* 115
Table 15 *Fit Indices for the Direct and Mediational Models: Patients Resources* (t1), *Coping* (t2), *and Meaning measured as Appreciation of Life* (t3) 123
Table 16 *Fit Indices for the Direct and Mediational Models: Patients Resources* (t2), *Coping* (t3), *and Meaning measured as Appreciation of Life* (t4) 124
Table 17 *Fit Indices for the Direct and Mediational Models: Patients Resources* (t3), *Coping* (t4), *and Meaning measured as Appreciation of Life* (t5) 126
Table 18 *Fit Indices for the Direct and Mediational Models: Patients Resources* (t3), *Coping* (t4), *and Benefit Finding* (t5) 127
Table 19 *Fit Indices for the Direct and Mediational Models: Patients Resources* (t3), *Coping* (t4), *and the Four Facets of the Benefit Finding* (t5) 130
Table 20 *Hypotheses and Results* 135

List of Figures

Figure 1 *Mediator model* 40
Figure 2 *Means of Negative Affect at all measurement points in time* 85

Figure 3 *Means of Negative Affect for Men and Women* 87
Figure 4 Means of *Negative Affect among different age groups* 87
Figure 5 *Means of Negative Affect*: *The effect of time elapsed since diagnosis in groups with no cancer recurrence* 87
Figure 6 *Means of Quality of Life at all measurement points in time* 90
Figure 7 *Means of pain at all measurement points in time* 93
Figure 8 *Means of fatigue at all measurement points in time* 93
Figure 9 *Means of impairment attributed to illness at all measurement points in time* 94
Figure 10 *Means of received social support at all measurement points in time* 97
Figure 11 *Means of received social support among men and women at all measurement points in time* 98
Figure 12 *Means of avoidance, accommodation, and active coping strategies reported at all assessments* 101
Figure 13 *The 7-item Meaning Scale: Means* (+/- *one standard error, range* 1 *to* 4) *at t1* 104
Figure 14 *The 7-item Meaning Scale: Means* (+/- *one standard error, range* 1 *to* 4) *at t1 among men and women* 106
Figure 15 *The 7-item Meaning Scale: Means across all measurement points in time* .. 106
Figure 16 *The 7-item Meaning Scale: Mean across all measurement points in time in different age groups* 108
Figure 17 *The Benefit Finding Subscales*: *Means* (+/- *one standard error, range* 1 *to* 5) *at t*5 111
Figure 18 *Mean Positive changes in Family Relationships* (+/- *one standard error, range* 1 to 5) *at the one year post-surgery assessment* 112
Figure 19 *Mean Positive changes in Family Relationships* (+/- *one standard error, range* 1 to 5) *at the one year post-surgery assessment* 113
Figure 20 *Mean acceptance of life imperfection and personal growth at the one year post-surgery assessment in patients who underwent another surgery and patients who did not* 114
Figure 21 *The* 7*-item Meaning scale "Appreciation of life" in high self-efficacy and low self-efficacy groups at all measurement points in time* 118
Figure 22 *Means for personal growth and increased sensitivity to others in high and low self-efficacy groups at t5* 119
Figure 23 *Standardized Path Model for the Relationships between Resources* (t1), *Coping* (t2), *and Meaning assessed as Appreciation of Life* (t3) 123

Figure 24 *Standardized Path Model for the Relationships between Resources* (t2), *Coping* (t3), *and Meaning assessed as Appreciation of Life* (t4)..... 125

Figure 25 *Standardized Path Model for the Relationships between Resources* (t3), *Coping* (t4), *and Meaning assessed as Appreciation of Life* (t5)..... 126

Figure 26 *Standardized Path Model for the Relationships between Resources* (t3), *Coping* (t4), *and Meaning assessed as Benefit Finding* (t5) 128

Figure 27 *Standardized Path Model for the Relationships between Resources* (t3), *Coping* (t4), *and the four Facets of Benefit Finding* (t5) 129

Figure 28 *Means for quality of life at t*5 *after adjusting for initial reports of quality of life at t*1 *in high and low appreciation of life groups*..... 133

Figure29. *Means for Fatigue at t*5 *after adjusting for initial reports of fatigue measured at t*1 *in high and low benefit finding groups*..... 134

List of Tables and Figures in Appendix A and B

Appendix A

Table 1 *Self-Efficacy Beliefs: Item Statistics* 177

Table 2 *Received Support*: *Item Means, Standard Deviation, Scale Mean, Standard Deviation, and Internal Consistency all the five Waves*..... 178

Table 3 *Principal Component Analysis of Received Support Across all Measurement Points in Time*..... 189

Table 4 *Coping items*: *Descriptive Statistics across The Five Measurements*..... 180

Table 5 *Principal Component Analyses of the Coping Items across All The Five Measurement Points in Time*..... 181

Table 6 *The 7-Item Meaning scale Item Statistics across all assessments* 182

Table 7 *Principal Component analysis of the 7-item Meaning scale Component Matrix at all the five Waves*..... 183

Table 8 *Negative Affect: Item Means, Standard Deviation, Corrected Item-Total Correlation, Scale Mean, Standard Deviation, and Internal Consistency all the five Waves*..... 184

Table 9 *Principal Component analysis of the 8-item Negative Affect scale Component Matrix at all the five Waves* 185

Figure 1 *Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Three Coping Subscales at T1 with Significant Coefficients Presented in Standardized Forms* 186

Figure 2 *Second Model: Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Coping Subscales at T1 with Significant Coefficients Presented in Standardized Forms*..... 187

Figure 3 *First Model: Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Four Benefit Finding Subscales at T5 with Significant Coefficients Presented in Standardized Forms* 188

Figure 4 *Second Model: Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Four Benefit Finding Subscales at T5 with Significant Coefficients Presented in Standardized Forms* 189

Appendix B

Table 1 *Correlation between Self-efficacy, Number of Sources of Support, Received Social Support, and Meaning Found in Cancer Across All Assessments* 190

Table 2 *Correlation between Self-efficacy, Number of Sources of Support, Received Social Support, and Coping Across All Assessments* 191

Table 3 *Correlation between Meaning Support and Coping Across All Assessments* 192

Figure 1 *Frequency of the Time Elapsed Since the Initial Diagnosis*..... 193

Article 1 *Die deutsche Version der Benefit Finding Skala: Ihre psychometrischen Eigenschaften bei Tumorpatienten* 194

Appendix C

Table 1 *The German Version of the scales Used In the Present Study*..... 209