

Acceleration of Final Residual Solvent Extraction From Poly(lactide-co-glycolide) Microparticles

Florian Kias¹ · Roland Bodmeier¹

Received: 22 May 2024 / Accepted: 8 July 2024 / Published online: 15 August 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Purpose The removal of the residual solvent dichloromethane from biodegradable poly(D,L-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) microparticles was investigated by aqueous or alcoholic wet extraction or vacuum-drying.

Methods Microparticles were prepared by the O/W solvent extraction/evaporation method. The solidified microparticles were separated by filtration and the effect of subsequent drying and wet extraction methods were investigated. The residual solvent content was analysed with gas chromatography (organic solvents) and Karl Fischer titration (water). The effect of extraction conditions on microparticle aggregation, surface morphology and encapsulation of the drugs dexamethasone and risperidone was investigated.

Results Residual dichloromethane was reduced to 2.43% (w/w) (20 °C) or 0.03% (w/w) (35 °C) by aqueous wet extraction. With vacuum-drying, residual dichloromethane only decreased from about 5% (w/w) to 4.34% (w/w) (20 °C) or 3.20% (w/w) (35 °C) due to the lack of the plasticizing effect of water. Redispersion of filtered, wet microparticles in alcoholic media significantly improved the extraction due to an increased PLGA plasticization. The potential of different extractants was explained with the Gordon-Taylor equation and Hansen solubility parameters. Extraction in methanol: or ethanol:water mixtures reduced residual dichloromethane from 4 - 7% (w/w) to 0.5 - 2.3% (w/w) within 1 h and 0.08 - 0.18% (w/w) within 6 h. Higher alcohol contents and higher temperature resulted in aggregation of microparticles and lower drug loadings. **Conclusion** The final removal of residual dichloromethane was more efficient with alcoholic wet extraction followed by

Key Words microparticles · plasticization · poly(lactide-co-glycolide) · solvent extraction · solvent residuals

aqueous wet extraction at elevated temperature and vacuum drying of the microparticles.

Introduction

Common manufacturing techniques for biodegradable microparticles such as solvent extraction/evaporation, organic phase separation or spray drying require the initial dissolution of PLGA in organic solvents such as dichloromethane (DCM), chloroform, or ethyl acetate [1, 2]. Due to their toxicity and effect on microparticle properties and stability, the solvents must be removed during the manufacturing process [3, 4]. Extraction must ensure that the permitted daily exposure with solvents recommended by the ICH (e.g. 6 mg/d DCM) is not exceeded when patients are treated with the final product [5]. Diafiltration or dilution can be

Roland Bodmeier bodmeier@zedat.fu-berlin.de used to control the solvent removal in the solvent extraction/ evaporation process and thus modify the properties of the final microparticles, such as size, morphology, drug loading and release [4, 6, 7]. Diafiltration alone did not affect residual solvent content significantly, but an additional increase in temperature did. The final extraction essentially only depends on the diffusivity of the organic solvent in the dispersed phase, which decreases with decreasing organic solvent content und thus increasing viscosity [8, 9]. Particularly after solidification, when the glass transition temperature falls below process temperature and thus the polymer turns into the glassy state, the extraction slows down significantly [8–10]. Diffusion in a rubbery or glassy polymer results from elementary jumps of molecule segments, so-called jumping units, between vacancies in the polymer, so-called hole free volume, which decreases with decreasing plasticization by the residual solvent content [11-13]. Meeting the regulatory specification for residual solvent content may

¹ College of Pharmacy, Freie Universität Berlin, Kelchstr. 31, 12169 Berlin, Germany

require long process times and secondary drying techniques, utilizing for example elevated temperature, decreased pressure, lyophilization and supercritical carbon dioxide extraction [14-18]. To reduce the residual solvent content already before separation of the microparticles various non-solvents for PLGA can be added to the continuous phase. The use of organic non-solvents for extraction is described primarily for the phase separation technique (e.g. silicon oils, alkanes [14, 19]), but also for the solvent extraction/evaporation technique (e.g. methanol [20], ethanol [21-23], isopropanol [24]). However, in most cases there is no information about the effect of the extractants and their concentration on the removal rate of residual solvents. The addition of alcohols (especially ethanol) to the continuous aqueous phase or postprocessing with alcoholic media has been studied in more detail as modifier for surface porosity and thus drug release. Ethanol can reduce the initial burst release of drugs [25] and prolong [26] or eliminate a potential lag phase [22]. Particularly elevated temperatures and long process times of ethanolic treatment may reduce encapsulation efficiency by leaching out the drug and favour degradation of PLGA. The plasticizing effect of ethanol is crucial for almost all the described consequences, in particular the pore closure, the degradation of PLGA and the improved extraction of residual solvents. Absorbed non-solvents are stored between the polymer chains, reducing the glass transition temperature and increasing the free volume and diffusivity of all components within the matrix [27, 28]. The plasticizing effect of the alcohols facilitate the rearrangement of the PLGA chains even in the absence of dichloromethane, thus potentially preventing the subsequent polymer "ageing" and reducing the porosity which might be caused by secondary drying methods like lyophilization [16, 18, 29]. The depression of glass-transition temperature with increasing solvent content can be calculated, using the Gordon-Taylor equation (Eq. 1). For this, the weight fractions

 $w_{\rm P}$ and $w_{\rm S}$ and the glass transition temperatures T_{gP} and T_{gS} of the polymer **P** and the solvent **S** are used. The constant *k* can be estimated using the corresponding densities $p_{\rm P}$ and $p_{\rm S}$ and the glass transition temperatures (Eq. 2) [30].

$$T_{gSystem} = \frac{w_{\rm S}T_{gS} + kw_{P}T_{gP}}{w_{\rm S} + kw_{\rm P}} \tag{1}$$

$$k = \frac{p_S T_{gS}}{p_P T_{gP}} \tag{2}$$

Water can also act as a plasticizer for PLGA, but its effect on glass-transition temperature is rather small [18, 30]. Furthermore, water may hydrate the individual molecular chains only to a very limited extent during manufacturing and is instead more likely present in pores or cavities, due to its low solubility in dichloromethane and PLGA [10]. Similarly, only the molecularly dissolved portion of encapsulated drug can lower the glass transition temperature of the polymer [31, 32]. The solubility or miscibility of solvents and drugs in PLGA can be estimated using the Hansen solubility parameters (HSP) [33, 34]. The partial solubility parameters, dispersive interactions δ_d , polar interactions δ_p and hydrogen bonding δ_h , are assigned to a substance so that it can be positioned in a three-dimensional coordinate system. The smaller the distance R_a of the coordinates between two substances, the higher their principal affinity and thus expected solubility in each other.

$$\mathbf{R}_{a} = \sqrt{4(\delta_{d1} - \delta_{d2})^{2} + (\delta_{p1} - \delta_{p2})^{2} + (\delta_{h1} - \delta_{h2})^{2}}$$
(3)

In this study the final removal of dichloromethane from dry and wet solidified PLGA microparticles was investigated. The effect of various alcoholic non-solvents in the continuous phase on the dichloromethane removal, microparticle agglomeration and loss of encapsulated dexamethasone (slightly soluble in dichloromethane and PLGA [35, 36]) and risperidone (freely soluble in dichloromethane and PLGA [35, 37]) was examined. The results were related to the plasticizing effect of the non-solvents and their affinity to PLGA and the encapsulated drugs.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Micronized dexamethasone (DEX) (Caesar & Loretz GmbH, Hilden, Germany); risperidone (RIS) (RPG Life Sciences limited, Navi Mumbai, India); poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) (Resomer® RG 503H, Evonik Industries AG, Darmstadt, Germany); acetonitrile (HPLC grade), glycerol, isopropanol (IPA) (technical grade), methanol (MeOH) (HPLC grade) (VWR International GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany); dichloromethane (DCM) (HPLC grade), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (headspace grade), propylene glycol (PG) (Carl Roth GmbH+Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany); ethanol absolute (EtOH), polyvinyl alcohol 4–88 (PVA) (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany).

Methods

Preparation of Microparticles

PLGA microparticles were prepared in the solvent extraction/ evaporation process. The organic drug:PLGA:dichloromethane phase was prepared by dispersing or dissolving 0 - 40% (w/w)

 Table I
 Density and glass transition temperature of excipients (references in parenthesis) used to calculate glass transition temperature of binary systems with Gordon-Taylor equation

Excipients	p at 25 °C (g/cm ²)	T _G (K)	
PLGA 503H	1.580 [30]	319 [30]	
DCM	1.326 [40]	100 [<mark>26</mark>]	
Water	0.997 [40]	138 [<mark>30</mark>]	
MeOH	0.786 [40]	103 [41]	
EtOH	0.785 [40]	97 [<mark>42</mark>]	
IPA	0.781 [40]	115 [43]	
PG	1.033 [40]	167 [44]	
Glycerol	1.258 [40]	191 [<mark>44</mark>]	

dexamethasone or risperidone (based on polymer weight) in a 10% (w/w) PLGA in dichloromethane solution for 1 min (VF2, IKA-Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Staufen im Breisgau, Germany). 2.5 mL of the drug:PLGA solution was emulsified into 50 mL 0.25% (w/v) PVA solution as continuous phase using a propeller stirrer (d=3.5 cm, 800 rpm). To study conventional solvent extraction, parts of the batch were sampled over time and subsequently separated by vacuum filtration using a 10 µm stainless-steel sieve. If drug was encapsulated, the entire batch was transferred into 450 mL of continuous phase 15 min after the start of emulsification and stirred with a magnetic stirrer. To study non-solvent assisted extraction blank microparticles were filtered 2.5 h and drug loaded microparticles 30 min after emulsification. Filtered microparticles were washed three times by pouring 250 mL of deionized water into the suction filter, briefly redispersing the microparticles with a spatula and removing off the water by vacuum. Subsequently they were analysed for solvent content, dried, or redispersed in 50 mL aqueous continuous phase containing 0 - 50% (w/w) non-solvent, to further decrease solvent content. This continuous phase was sampled over time and microparticles were separated, washed, and analysed in the same way as untreated samples. Microparticles were dried in vacuum at 35 °C for determination of encapsulation efficiency and stored in a desiccator at 7 °C.

Residual Solvent Content

The water content of microparticles was determined according to the method for coulometric Karl Fischer determination (Ph. Eur. 2.5.32). 10.0 - 20.0 mg microparticles were accurately weighed and dissolved in 1.0 mL acetonitrile. About 250.0 mg of this solution, accurately weighed, were analysed in triplicate for the water content with a coulometric Karl Fischer titrator (831 KF Coulometer, Metrohm AG, Herisau, Switzerland) using HYDRANDAL Coulomat



Figure 1 Effect of extraction and drying conditions on the residual dichloromethane content of blank PLGA microparticles.

AD (Honeywell Specialty Chemicals Seelze GmbH, Seelze, Germany). The water content based on total weight was calculated after correction for the water content of a blank.

Dichloromethane and alcohol content of microparticles was quantified with headspace gas chromatography (GC-2014, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) with a method adapted from USP monograph for residual solvents using a capillary column equivalent to USP G43 phase (Rtx-1301, Restek Corp., Bellefonte, USA). 10.0 - 50.0 mg microparticles, dissolved in 5.0 mL dimethyl sulfoxide, were sealed in a 20 mL headspace GC vial with an aluminium screw cap with PTFE septum. Samples were equilibrated automatically under shaking by an autosampler (AOC-6000, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) for 45 min at 105 °C. 1.0 mL of the gas phase was sampled automatically, with a needle temperature 5 °C above previous equilibration temperature and injected at 140 °C with a subsequent split ratio of 5. The column oven temperature was maintained at 40 °C and increased after 7 min to 120 °C (DMSO) with a heating rate of 30 K/min. The carrier gas was nitrogen. Samples were detected with a flame ionization detector (FID) set to 250.0 °C. Evaluation of the spectra was performed with LabSolutions 5.98 (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). The dichloromethane and alcohol content in the samples was calculated from peak areas using linear calibration curves obtained by dilution series. For filtered wet microparticles, the water content was deducted from the sample weight to calculate their dichloromethane content.

Solubility of Dichloromethane

The solubility of dichloromethane in aqueous mixtures of 0 - 50% (w/w) of various alcoholic non-solvents was investigated. 15 g of non-solvent:water mixture were added into a 20 mL vial, filled up with 3 - 5 mL dichloromethane, sealed and shaken at room temperature for 24 h. The vial was then left for another 24 h to allow the two phases to separate. 0.5 mL was sampled from the aqueous supernatant, diluted, and examined using headspace GC. For this purpose, the previously mentioned method was adapted as follows: 5 mL sample were incubated in a 20 mL GC vial for 60 min at 80 °C. The column oven temperature was maintained at 80 °C and heated to 160 °C after 7 min. The dichloromethane content in the samples was calculated from peak area using a linear calibration curve obtained by dilution series.

Optical Microscopy

For microscopic images, samples were observed on a glass slide under polarized light microscope (Axioscope) equipped with an Axiocam 105 colour camera (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) and images were processed by the software Zen 3.2 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany).

Table II Effect of the type and amount of alcohol in the continuous phase on the dichloromethane solubility [mg/	Alcohol content [% (w/w)]	0	20	_
mL]	MeOH	11	19	
	EtOH	11	14	
	IPA	11	11	
	PG	11	14	

Glycerol

Drug Loading and Encapsulation Efficiency

The actual drug loading was determined by dissolving 10.0 - 20.0 mg microparticles in acetonitrile and diluting 1:1 (V/V) with deionized water. The absorbance of the solution was then measured by UV-Vis spectroscopy (UV-1900i, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) at 242 nm (dexamethasone) or 276 nm (risperidone). Concentrations were calculated with the use of standard curves. The encapsulation efficiency (%) was calculated as the ratio of actual drug loading to the theoretical drug loading.

Surface Morphology

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (SU8030, Hitachi High-Technologies Europe GmbH, Krefeld, Germany) was used to image the surface morphology of microparticles. Samples were sputtered under an argon atmosphere with 5 nm gold (CCU-010 HV, Safematic GmbH, Zizers, Switzerland) and then observed.

Results and Discussion

50

73

147

27

20

13

11 8

PLGA microparticles prepared in a solvent extraction/evaporation process solidified at a residual dichloromethane content of 5 - 10% (w/w). Based on the Gordon-Taylor equation (Eq. 1) and literature data (Table I), the glass transition temperature of PLGA 503H would only decrease below ambient temperature at about 3.5% (w/w) residual dichloromethane. However, residual solvents are not necessarily homogeneously distributed within microparticles and an outer skin may solidify completely with higher solvent content towards the center of the microparticles [10].

After 24 h stirring in the continuous phase, the microparticles still contained 2.4% (w/w) residual dichloromethane, due to the slow final extraction (Fig. 1). If the solidified microparticles were filtered after 6 h with a residual dichloromethane

Table IIIHansen solubilityparameters of the investigateddrugs and excipients (referencesin parenthesis) and their HSPdistance R_a to PLGA

Excipients	δ_d	δ_p	δ_h	Method	R _a PLGA
PLGA 50:50	16.4	8.7	3.6	Solubility testing by turbidity [28]	-
DEX	20.5	15.4	8	Group contribution by Hoftyzer and van Krevelen [42]	11.5
RIS	18.5	8.4	8.1	Molecular dynamic simulation [37]	6.2
DCM	17	7.3	7.1	Group contribution by Barton [40]	4.0
Water	15.5	16	42.3	Group contribution by Barton [40]	39.4
MeOH	14.7	12.3	22.3	Group contribution by Barton [40]	19.3
EtOH	15.8	8.8	19.4	Group contribution by Barton [40]	15.8
IPA	15.8	6.1	16.4	Group contribution by Barton [40]	13.1
PG	16.8	9.4	23.3	Group contribution by Barton [40]	19.7
Glycerol	9.3	15.4	31.4	Group contribution by Barton [40]	31.9



Figure 2 Effect of the amount of methanol (a, b), ethanol (c, d) or isopropanol (e, f) in the continuous phase on the content of absorbed alcohol (a, c, e) and residual dichloromethane (b, d, f) in blank microparticles.



Figure 3 Effect of the type and amount of absorbed (non-)solvent on the glass-transition temperature of PLGA, calculated with the Gordon-Taylor equation.

content of around 5% (w/w), the dichloromethane removal slowed down even more compared to microparticles dispersed in continuous phase. Water acted as a plasticizer in the wet extraction process and thus improved the diffusion of dichloromethane out of the PLGA matrix [30]. Vacuum drying hardly improved the solvent removal from filtered microparticles, since it was not the evaporation of the highly volatile dichloromethane that limited the final extraction, but rather

the low diffusivity within PLGA [8]. A parallel increase of temperature to 35 °C only slightly improved the extraction, so that 3.2% (w/w) dichloromethane remained in the microparticles after a total process time of 24 h. Due to the low glass transition temperature of PLGA, a further increase in temperature was hardly possible without the microparticles sticking together. Increasing the temperature in the wet extraction process, i.e. in combination with the plasticizing effect of water, resulted in a significantly improved extraction. A residual dichloromethane content of 0.8% (w/w) after 6 h and 0.03% (w/w) after 24 h was achieved. However, increasing the temperature may affect the stability of PLGA and some drugs and promote drug leaching [26].

PLGA microparticles with a theoretical risperidone loading of 40% (w/w) had an encapsulation efficiency of 88% (data not shown). Barely any drug leached out, stirring the microparticles at ambient temperature. 85% of risperidone remained encapsulated after 24 h. Increasing the temperature to 35 °C after solidification, decreased encapsulation efficiency to 75% within 4 h. Between 4 and 24 h, the encapsulation efficiency was reduced to 7% due to partial erosion of the microparticles (data not shown). This was caused by hydrolysis of PLGA, enhanced by risperidone, and elevated temperature [26, 38]. Increasing the temperature for solvent extraction should therefore only be used for short time and with caution. A modification of the continuous aqueous phase was investigated as an alternative to improve the extraction.

To evaluate the potential of various non-solvents having at least one hydroxyl group for wet extraction, solidified PLGA microparticles with a residual dichloromethane



Figure 4 Effect of the type and amount of alcohol in the continuous phase on the microscopic appearance of blank microparticles after 6h (the red line marks the limit when sticking occurred).



Figure 5 Effect of the type and amount of alcohol in the continuous phase on the content of absorbed alcohol (a) and residual dichloromethane (b) in the microparticles (30% theoretical dexamethasone loading).



Figure 6 Effect of the theoretical dexamethasone loading and type and amount of alcohol in the continuous phase on the encapsulation efficiency.

content of 5 - 6% were filtered, washed, and redispersed in water containing different alcohols and amounts. The residual dichloromethane content of blank microparticles after 6 h was decreased even less by 50% (w/w) Glycerol (3.6% (w/w) DCM) or Propylene glycol (PG) (4.4% (w/w) DCM) compared to pure water (3.2% (w/w) DCM). The addition of the monohydric alcohols methanol (MeOH), ethanol (EtOH) and isopropanol (IPA) reduced the residual dichloromethane content significantly, so these were further investigated. A potential explanation was a lower solubility of dichloromethane in the aqueous continuous phase by addition of polyhydric alcohols, compared to monohydric alcohols (Table II).

The diffusivity in the PLGA matrix was ranked as more important for the final extraction from solidified microparticles than the solution capacity in the continuous phase [10]. The HSP distance R_a (Eq. 3) between the monohydric alcohols and PLGA is smaller compared to polyhydric alcohols and PLGA, showing the higher affinity and solubility of the first, although their distance appears still large compared to dichloromethane and PLGA (Table III).

Because of the increased affinity to PLGA and their smaller molecule size, monohydric alcohols diffused better into the matrix, where they increased the free volume and lowered the glass transition temperature. Although the removal of absorbed alcohols was not examined in this study, their permissible limits in the final product, 30 mg/d methanol, 50 mg/d ethanol and 50 mg/d isopropanol, are significantly higher than for dichloromethane (6 mg/d), due to their lower toxicity [5]. In general, increasing the alcohol concentration in the continuous phase, increased the content of absorbed alcohol in the microparticles (Fig. 2).

As the chain length of the monohydric alcohols increased, the HSP distance to PLGA decreased. Accordingly, the order of alcohol absorption was isopropanol > ethanol > methanol, despite a decrease in molecular size (4.3% (w/w) isopropanol, 3.6% (w/w) ethanol or 3.0% (w/w) methanol after 6 h in 40% (w/w) alcohol). However, the residual dichloromethane content did not correspond to this trend and was lowest in ethanol, followed by methanol and isopropanol (Fig. 2).

Not only the content of absorbed non-solvent but also its plasticizing potency was crucial for the extraction of residual dichloromethane. The effect of (non-)solvents on the glass transition temperature of the polymer was estimated using the Gordon-Taylor equation (Eq. 1). According to the density and the glass transition temperature of the different excipients (Table I), the plasticizing effect was in order of ethanol > methanol > isopropanol > dichloromethane (Fig. 3).





Figure 8 Effect of of the type and amount of alcohol in the continuous phase on the content of absorbed alcohol (a) and residual dichloromethane (b) in the microparticles (30% theoretical risperidone loading).

Microparticles that were redispersed in up to 50% (w/w) propylene glycol or glycerol did not agglomerate and had no optical changes compared to microparticles redispersed in water. With increasing molecular weight of monohydric alcohols, the microparticles agglomerated and stuck together at lower content of absorbed alcohol (Fig. 4). The dispersibility of the microparticles was only retained up to 40% (w/w) methanol, 30% (w/w) ethanol or 20% (w/w) isopropanol. This trend contradicted the calculated plasticizing effects, according to which ethanol reduced the glass transition temperature

of PLGA the most and was therefore the most likely to make it sticky. Rather, the trend of HSP distances was reflected here (Table III). Although isopropanol is a poor solvent for PLGA, it had the shortest HSP distance among the alcohols examined. As it was perhaps the most likely to solve the surface of the microparticles, it made them sticky at the lowest concentration. Since isopropanol addition caused instabilities and had the least effect on the glass transition temperature and residual dichloromethane content, further work focused on methanol and ethanol. Alcohol-assisted solvent extraction Figure 9 Effect of type and amount of alcohol in the continuous phase on the appearance of microparticles (30% theoretical risperidone loading) after 6h extraction under polarized light microscope (left) and scanning electron microscope (mid and right).



could potentially be accelerated by elevating the temperature. However, this may cause drug loss and degradation of PLGA and drug. In addition, the flash point of the water:alcohol mixture increases with increasing alcohol content and is 20 - 35 °C at the concentrations used, which should be taken into account for safety reasons [39].

Microparticles loaded with dexamethasone absorbed less methanol or ethanol than blank microparticles (Fig. 5 a). They only contained 0.6% (w/w) or 0.7% (w/w) instead of 2.4% (w/w) or 2.2% (w/w) of methanol or ethanol after 6 h in 30% (w/w) non-solvent. Despite the lower content of absorbed alcohol, dichloromethane was removed efficiently. A residual dichloromethane content below 0.1% (w/w) was achieved with both alcohols within 6 h (Fig. 5 b).



Figure 10 Effect of the type and amount alcohol in the continuous phase on the encapsulation efficiency of 30% risperidone.

Due to its low solubility in PLGA [35], dexamethasone was present almost entirely as dispersed crystals in the microparticles. These absorbed neither dichloromethane nor alcohols, but contributed to the mass of the microparticles and thereby reduced the calculated solvent contents.

The encapsulation efficiency of dexamethasone was significantly reduced by methanol and ethanol (Fig. 6). While the encapsulation efficiency of microparticles with a theoretical drug loading of 30% (w/w) remained unchanged at around 85% during extraction in water, it decreased to 42% in methanol and 37% in ethanol after 6 h.

As a result of extraction, some microparticles apperently no longer contained crystalline drug on the surface or even none at all (Fig. 7). Both alcohols increased the solubility of dexamethasone in the continuous phase as well as in PLGA, increasing the concentration gradient and thus the diffusion out of the microparticles. In addition, particles with a high loading of dispersed drug tend to percolate during in-vitro release, which means that drug crystals close to the surface dissolve, creating pores that allow access to further drug crystals. The result is a pore network that enables the rapid initial burst release of drug. Extraction with alcohol also left pores on the surface of microparticles with 30% loading in the rectangular shape and size of dexamethasone crystals indicating percolation (Fig. 7). However, also microparticles with only 10% theoretical dexamethasone loading, i.e. presumably far below the percolation threshold, showed a reduction in encapsulation efficiency from 68 to 45% or 43% after 6 h in 30% methanol or ethanol.

Encapsulated risperidone resulted in increased absorption of alcohols, especially methanol, compared to blank microparticles (Fig. 8 a). After 6 h in 30% (w/w) methanol the microparticles contained 4.6% (w/w) alcohol. Despite the increased alcohol absorption, dichloromethane was removed less efficient compared to blank or dexamethasone loaded microparticles (Fig. 8 b). 30% (w/w) ethanol in particular accelerated dichloromethane extraction within the first hour due to its potent plasticizing effect. However, the residual dichloromethane content of 2.4% (w/w) after 6 h in 30% (w/w) methanol or ethanol was comparable to 2.7% (w/w) in water.

The plasticizing effect of both alcohols was evident from changes of particle surface (Fig. 9). Extraction in both alcohols caused small dents, possibly due to the polymer skin collapsing into underlying cavities. Additionally, ethanol resulted in a wrinkled surface, due to its greater plasticization effect. In contrast to dexamethasone, risperidone was mainly dissolved in PLGA matrix, as observed under polarized light microscope. Only the molecularly dissolved fraction of encapsulated drug affected the mobility of polymeric chains and therefore the glass transition temperature, solubility and diffusivity [31, 32]. Thus, risperidone was able to increase the affinity of dichloromethane and alcohols to PLGA.

Risperidone was barely extracted in 30% (w/w) alcohol (Fig. 10), although it was dissolved and therefore diffusible, due to its significantly higher affinity for PLGA (R_a =6.2) and residual dichloromethane (R_a =3.3) compared to methanol (R_a =16.6) and ethanol (R_a =12.5). Within 6 h, the encapsulation efficiency decreased only slightly from 92 to 87% or 85% in 30% (w/w) methanol or ethanol. Increasing the content of methanol or ethanol in the continuous phase to 40% (w/w) reduced the residual dichloromethane content to below 0.2% (w/w) after 6 h (Fig. 8 b). However, the encapsulation efficiency was also reduced significantly to 36% by methanol or 72% by ethanol and the microparticles stuck together due to the strong plasticizing effect.

Conclusions

The removal of residual dichloromethane from PLGA microparticles was more efficient with alcoholic wet extraction, followed by aqueous wet extraction at elevated temperature and vacuum drying of the microparticles. The effect of the type and the amount of various alcohols on the residual solvent content, microparticle agglomeration and drug loss was investigated in detail, resulting in a solvent extraction process that reduces time for solvent removal, eliminate the need for energy-intensive secondary drying and thus improving efficiency of microparticle manufacturing. The potential and limitations of different extractants was explained by the Gordon-Taylor equation and Hansen solubility parameters. This approach can be used

to evaluate the potential of alcoholic and other extractants in dependence of polymer and drug properties. Further research is necessary to reduce loss of encapsulated drug and thus utilize the full potential of non-solvent-assisted extraction of residual solvents from microparticles.

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge for the assistance with SEM by the Core Facility BioSupraMol, funded by the DFG.

Author Contributions Florian Kias: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing—Original Draft, Project administration, Visualization. Roland Bodmeier: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing—Review & Editing, Supervision.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data Availability The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Yeo Y, Baek N, Park K. Microencapsulation methods for delivery of protein drugs. Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng. 2001;6(4):213–30.
- Schoubben A, Ricci M, Giovagnoli S. Meeting the unmet: from traditional to cutting-edge techniques for poly lactide and poly lactide-co-glycolide microparticle manufacturing. J Pharm Investig. 2019;49(4):381–404.
- Dixit K, Athawale RB, Singh S. Quality control of residual solvent content in polymeric microparticles. J Microencapsul. 2015;32(2):107–22.
- Freitas S, Merkle HP, Gander B. Microencapsulation by solvent extraction/evaporation: reviewing the state of the art of microsphere preparation process technology. J Control Release. 2005;102(2):313–32.
- The International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. Impurities: Guideline for Residual Solvents: ICH Q3C (R9); 2024.
- Yeo Y, Park K. Control of encapsulation efficiency and initial burst in polymeric microparticle systems. Arch Pharm Res. 2004;27(1):1–12.

- Kias F, Bodmeier R. Control of encapsulation efficiency and morphology of poly(lactide-co-glycolide) microparticles with a diafiltration-driven solvent extraction process. Submitted to Eur J Pharm Biopharm: Unpublished results; 2024.
- Foss WR, Anderl JN, Clausi AL, Burke PA. Diffusivities of dichloromethane in poly(lactide- co -glycolide). J Appl Polym Sci. 2009;112(3):1622–9.
- Katou H, Wandrey AJ, Gander B. Kinetics of solvent extraction/ evaporation process for PLGA microparticle fabrication. Int J Pharm. 2008;364(1):45–53.
- Li W-I, Anderson KW, Mehta RC, Deluca PP. Prediction of solvent removal profile and effect on properties for peptide-loaded PLGA microspheres prepared by solvent extraction/ evaporation method. J Control Release. 1995;37(3):199–214.
- Wang B, Yamaguchi T, Nakao S-I. Solvent diffusion in amorphous glassy polymers. J Polym Sci B Polym Phys. 2000;38(6):846–56.
- Sturm DR, Danner RP, Moser JD, Chiu S-W. Application of the Vrentas-Duda free-volume theory of diffusion below the glasstransition temperature: Application to hypomellose acetate succinate-solvent systems. J Appl Polym Sci. 2019;136(16):47351.
- Di Maio E, Iannace S, Mensitieri G. Mass transport of low molecular weight compounds in polymers. In: Kiran E, editor. Foaming with Supercritical Fluids. 1st ed. Elsevier; 2021. p. 179–230 (Supercritical Fluid Science and Technology Volume; vol. 9).
- Thomasin C, Johansen P, Alder R, Bemsel R, Hottinger G, Altorfer H, et al. A contribution to overcoming the problem of residual solvents in biodegradable microspheres prepared by coacervation. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 1996;42:16–24.
- Kamali H, Atamanesh M, Kaffash E, Mohammadpour F, Khodaverdi E, Hadizadeh F. Elimination of residual solvent from PLGA microspheres containing risperidone using supercritical carbon dioxide. J Drug Deliv Sci Technol. 2020;57: 101702.
- Wu Z, Zhao M, Zhang W, Yang Z, Xu S, Shang Q. Influence of drying processes on the structures, morphology and in vitro release profiles of risperidone-loaded PLGA microspheres. J Microencapsul. 2019;36(1):21–31.
- Operti MC, Bernhardt A, Sincari V, Jager E, Grimm S, Engel A, et al. Industrial Scale Manufacturing and Downstream Processing of PLGA-Based Nanomedicines Suitable for Fully Continuous Operation. Pharmaceutics. 2022;14(2):276.
- Park K, Otte A, Sharifi F, Garner J, Skidmore S, Park H, et al. Potential Roles of the Glass Transition Temperature of PLGA Microparticles in Drug Release Kinetics. Mol Pharm. 2021;18(1):18–32.
- Rickey ME, Ramstack JM, Kumar R. Residual solvent extraction method and microparticles produced thereby. U.S. 6,824,822 B2.
- Yeh M-K, Coombes A, Jenkins PG, Davis SS. A novel emulsification-solvent extraction technique for production of protein loaded biodegradable microparticles for vaccine and drug delivery. J Control Release. 1995;33(3):437–45.
- Rickey ME, Ramstack JM, Lewis DH. Preparation of biodegradable, biocompatible microparticles containing a biologically active agent. U.S. 5,792,477 A.
- 22. Otte A, Soh BK, Park K. The Impact of Post-Processing Temperature on PLGA Microparticle Properties. Pharm Res. 2023;40(11):2677–85.
- Shen J, Choi S, Qu W, Wang Y, Burgess DJ. In Vitro-In Vivo Correlation of Parenteral Risperidone Polymeric Microspheres. J Control Release. 2015;218:2–12.
- Igartua M, Hernández RMA, Rosas JE, Patarroyo ME, Pedraz JL. Gamma-irradiation effects on biopharmaceutical properties of PLGA microspheres loaded with SPf66 synthetic vaccine. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2008;69(2):519–26.
- Ahmed AR, Ciper M, Bodmeier R. Reduction in Burst Release from Poly(D, L-Lactide-Co-Glycolide) Microparticles by Solvent Treatment. Lett Drug Des Discov. 2010;7(10):759–64.

- Vay K, Frieß W, Scheler S. A detailed view of microparticle formation by in-process monitoring of the glass transition temperature. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2012;81(2):399–408.
- Schabel W, Scharfer P, Kind M, Mamaliga I. Sorption and diffusion measurements in ternary polymer–solvent–solvent systems by means of a magnetic suspension balance—Experimental methods and correlations with a modified Flory-Huggins and free-volume theory. Chem Eng Sci. 2007;62(8):2254–66.
- Vrentas JS, Duda JL, Ling H-C. Self-diffusion in polymer-solventsolvent systems. J Polym Sci Polym Phys Ed. 1984;22(3):459–69.
- Rawat A, Burgess DJ. Effect of physical ageing on the performance of dexamethasone loaded PLGA microspheres. Int J Pharm. 2011;415(1–2):164–8.
- Blasi P, D'Souza SS, Selmin F, Deluca PP. Plasticizing effect of water on poly(lactide-co-glycolide). J Control Release. 2005;108(1):1–9.
- van Drooge DJ, Hinrichs WLJ, Visser MR, Frijlink HW. Characterization of the molecular distribution of drugs in glassy solid dispersions at the nano-meter scale, using differential scanning calorimetry and gravimetric water vapour sorption techniques. Int J Pharm. 2006;310(1–2):220–9.
- Siepmann F, Le Brun V, Siepmann J. Drugs acting as plasticizers in polymeric systems: a quantitative treatment. J Control Release. 2006;115(3):298–306.
- Schenderlein S, Lück M, Müller BW. Partial solubility parameters of poly(D, L-lactide-co-glycolide). Int J Pharm. 2004;286(1-2):19-26.
- Vay K, Scheler S, Friess W. Application of Hansen solubility parameters for understanding and prediction of drug distribution in microspheres. Int J Pharm. 2011;416(1):202–9.
- Bach F, Staufenbiel S, Bodmeier R. Implications of changes in physical state of drugs in poly(lactide-co-glycolide) matrices upon exposure to moisture and release medium. J Drug Deliv Sci Technol. 2023;80: 104115.
- Gu B, Burgess DJ. Prediction of dexamethasone release from PLGA microspheres prepared with polymer blends using a design of experiment approach. Int J Pharm. 2015;495(1):393–403.
- Germann D, Kurylo N, Han F. Risperidone. Profiles Drug Subst Excip Relat Methodol. 2012;37:313–61.
- D'Souza S, Faraj JA, Dorati R, Deluca PP. Enhanced degradation of lactide-co-glycolide polymer with basic nucleophilic drugs. Adv Pharm. 2015;2015:1–10.
- Lakzian K, Hosseiniallahchal S, JalaeiSalmani H, Sanjarifard A. Flash point prediction of binary totally and partially miscible water-alcohol mixtures by cubic-plus-association (CPA) equation of state. Thermochim Acta. 2020;691:178719.
- Barton AFM. CRC handbook of solubility parameters and other cohesion parameters. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, Fla.: CRC Press; 1991.
- Sugisaki M, Suga H, Seki S. Calorimetric study of the glassy state. III. Novel type calorimeter for study of glassy state and heat capacity of glassy methanol. Bull Chem Soc Jpn. 1968;41(11):2586–91.
- Benkhof S, Kudlik A, Blochowicz T, Rössler E. Two glass transitions in ethanol: a comparative dielectric relaxation study of the supercooled liquid and the plastic crystal. J. Phys.: Condens Matter. 1998;10(37):8155–71.
- Talón C, Ramos MA, Vieira S, Shmyt'ko I, Afonikova N, Criado A, et al. Thermodynamic and structural properties of the two isomers of solid propanol. J Non-Cryst Solids. 2001;287(1–3):226–30.
- Toxqui-Terán A, Leyva-Porras C, Ruíz-Cabrera MÁ, Cruz-Alcantar P, Saavedra-Leos MZ. Thermal Study of Polyols for the Technological Application as Plasticizers in Food Industry. Polymers 2018;10(5):467.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.