



Environmental stress, minority status, and local poverty: risk factors for mental health in Berlin's inner city

Debora Darabi¹ · Ulrike Kluge^{1,3} · Simone Penka¹ · Adrian P. Mundt^{4,5} · Meryam Schouler-Ocak¹ · Jeffrey Butler⁶ · Shuyan Liu¹ · Andreas Heinz^{1,3} · Michael A. Rapp²

Received: 16 June 2022 / Accepted: 19 October 2022 / Published online: 5 November 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

This study examines whether climate change-associated environmental stressors, including air and noise pollution, local heat levels, as well as a lack of surrounding greenspace, mediate the effects of local poverty on mental health, using the 28-item General Health Questionnaire. We recruited 478 adults who were representative of eleven of Berlin's inner-city neighborhoods. The relationship of individual-level variables, neighborhood-level sociodemographic and environmental data from the Berlin Senate (Department for Urban Development, Building and Housing) to mental health was assessed in a multilevel model using SPSS. We found that neither local exposure to environmental stressors, nor available greenspace as a protective factor, mediated the effects of local poverty on variance in mental health (all p values > 0.2). However, surrounding greenspace ($r = -0.24$, $p < 0.001$), nitrogen dioxide levels ($r = 0.10$, $p < 0.05$), noise pollution ($\rho = 0.15$, $p < 0.01$), and particle pollution ($r = 0.12$, $p < 0.001$) were associated with local poverty, which, more strongly than individual factors, accounted for variance in mental health ($\beta = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$). Our analysis indicates that the effects of local poverty on mental health are not mediated by environmental factors. Instead, local poverty was associated with both an increased mental health burden and the exposure to climate-related environmental stressors.

Keywords Local poverty · Mental health · Environmental stressors · Climate change · Social justice

Introduction

Climate change is the major public health challenge of our time and threatens life on this planet [1]. Globally, vulnerable populations, such as poor communities or racialized minority groups, are disproportionately exposed to the

increasing environmental stress that accompanies climate change [2, 3]. Meanwhile, these populations have little or no responsibility for causing climate change [4, 5] and often do not have the necessary financial and psychosocial resources to cope with its disastrous consequences [6].

Several epidemiological publications suggest that climate change is not only correlated with a well-documented rise in somatic disorders, i.e., respiratory diseases [7]. Long-term exposure to climate change-related environmental stressors, such as hot weather or air and noise pollution, is also associated with a greater mental health burden [8–14]. Further studies have examined whether surrounding greenspace acts as a protective factor, while a lack of available surrounding greenspace increases the risk of mental health-associated morbidity and mortality [15–19].

Importantly, socioeconomic inequality has been shown to mediate the effects of climate change-associated heat exposure on mental health-related hospitalizations. A nationwide case-crossover study in Brazil found that low-income communities exhibited a greater increase in mental health conditions than high-income communities when exposed to

✉ Debora Darabi
debora.darabi@charite.de

¹ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Campus Mitte, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Berlin, Germany

² Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

³ Berlin Institute for Integration and Migration Research, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany

⁴ Departamento de Psiquiatría y Salud Mental, Hospital Clínico Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

⁵ Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Diego Portales, Santiago, Chile

⁶ Institute for Geography, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany

the same rise in temperature associated with climate change [20].

Our previous study showed that local poverty in the neighborhood is related to an increased mental health burden when controlling for individual income and years of education [21]. Here, we aim to broaden our research by measuring associations between local poverty and environmental factors and assessing whether the effects of local poverty on mental health are mediated by local heat exposure [22], air pollution [23], noise pollution [24], and greenspace exposure [25].

Materials and methods

Sample

We used Berlin neighborhood-level sociodemographic and environmental variables gathered by the Berlin Senate (Department for Urban Development, Building and Housing) and the Berlin-Brandenburg Office for Statistics from 2009 to 2011. They included age and gender distribution, ethnic density, and local poverty, defined as the percentage of social welfare (Arbeitslosengeld II) recipients in each neighborhood. We also obtained five indicators of environmental stress for each neighborhood in the same period of time—the average annual external cost of continued disturbance and health risks due to noise-related stress per person (low = 0–21€ and high = 40–103€), surrounding green space (m²), physiological equivalent temperature (°C), air pollution as indexed by the amount of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and the average fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) in the atmosphere.

In its Environmental Justice Atlas, the Berlin Senate Administration for Urban Development, Building and Housing examined the effects of several environmental stress factors at the neighborhood level [26]. In this context, the external cost of noise-related stress was modeled by creating a complete 3D-model for all of Berlin, with a population of 3.460.725 in 2010, in IMMI 2012, a software tool for calculating environmental noise [27]. This model consisted of sub-models for different sources including railroads, bridges, embankments, tunnels, all forms of public transportation, road traffic, industrial sites, and air traffic. To show the impact of noise pollution, the average annual external costs of the resulting health burden per person in a given location and a cost stratification classifying noise pollution from low-impact, low-cost to high-impact, high-cost noise-related stress were calculated [27]. The available public green space was measured using the green space information system (GRIS) [28]. The physiological equivalent temperature (PET), a measure of heat stress combining atmospheric temperature with latent heat [29], was calculated using the Flow over Irregular Terrain with Natural

and Anthropogenic Heat sources (FITNAH) climate model, merged with data from long-standing measuring stations in Berlin and Potsdam for baseline temperature values [30]. By averaging the daily data from 400 detectors in 300 different locations throughout Berlin's main street grid counting the number of vehicles, differentiating between smaller and larger vehicles, and calculating the yearly proportional average for all of Berlin's different neighborhoods, NO₂ and PM_{2.5} emissions were calculated [31]. The environmental impact of public transportation was accounted for by factoring in location-specific public bus schedules and for motorbikes by merging the count with data from a proportionally adjusted manual count from 2009. The average emissions for all forms of transportation combined were calculated in IMMIS [32], using the Federal Environmental Agency's handbook for emission factors [33].

We matched the local-level data with subjective, individual-level parameters. We included respondents with ($n = 204$) and without ($n = 274$) a migration background (total $n = 478$) selected from public registries of all residents in eleven neighborhoods in Berlin's inner city (Berlin-Mitte), combined with on-site selection and snowballing, interviewed in 2009–2011 [34]. Our sample reflects the average age and gender distribution of the respective neighborhood according to local registry data [35]. To further assess epidemiological representativeness, we tested our respondents' data against data from a representative sample of the local neighborhood [36] and did not find significant differences. Participants were contacted up to three times in writing or by telephone. Interviews were conducted in German and Turkish by trained interviewers and comprised a sociodemographic assessment and the General Health Questionnaire 28-item version (GHQ-28) [37, 38], with ratings ranging from 0 to 3, thus yielding a possible total score of 84. A cutoff score of 23/24 has been suggested as indicative of significant distress [37, 38].

Data analysis

In the present analyses, we first explored direct associations of the neighborhood-level environmental variables (greenspace, noise pollution, nitrogen dioxide levels, particle pollution, and physiological equivalent temperature) and local poverty with mental health in a two-tailed correlation test. We then modeled the following variables: age, gender, years of total schooling, monthly net income per household member, and minority status at the individual and the neighborhood level, as well as environmental variables at the neighborhood level, to examine whether the latter mediate the effects of local poverty on mental health in a multilevel mediation model using SPSS (IBM, 2022).

For the statistical analysis at the neighborhood level, we combined the thirteen planning areas that make up Moabit,

as one of the eleven neighborhoods in our study, since we did not have enough respondents for each of the individual planning areas. We then calculated a weighted average for each of our environmental variables for Moabit as one unified neighborhood. Finally, for the precision of our multilevel model, we calculated a weighted average for noise pollution, which was originally coded on an ordinal scale, instead of a mode, which would be less accurate.

Results

This study included $n = 478$ adults living in eleven of Berlin's inner-city neighborhoods. Participants aged from 18 to 68 years were included. Women represented 51% of the

sample. Participants with a migration background made up 43% of our sample. An overview over further sociodemographic factors as well as mental health variables is provided in Table 1.

At the neighborhood level, greenspace ($r = -0.24$, $p < 0.001$), nitrogen dioxide levels ($r = 0.10$, $p < 0.05$), particle pollution ($r = 0.12$, $p < 0.01$), and noise pollution ($\rho = 0.15$, $p < 0.01$) were associated with local poverty, defined as the percentage of citizens on social welfare in the respective neighborhood. Temperature, on the other hand, was not significantly associated with local poverty (Table 2).

When adding both local sociodemographic and environmental neighborhood effects as well as individual effects in a multilevel model, local poverty ($\beta = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$) and, to a lesser degree, older age ($\beta = 0.15$, $p < 0.05$) accounted for

Table 1 Descriptive statistics and study variables

Variables	Valid cases	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation	Variance
Demographic factors						
Gender	478					
Male	234			49% ^P		
Female	244			51% ^P		
Age	478	18	68	41.55	11.47	131.49
Migration background	478					
Yes	204			43% ^P		
No	274			57% ^P		
Education level (years of formal education)	478	0	15	8.59	4.42	19.51
Individual income (€/month)	478	100	1600	470.92	262.97	69,152.61
Mental health ^{GHQ}						
Individual	478	0	82	19.28	18.41	338.84
Neighborhood-level ^N						
Moabit (2100)	31	0	30	12.1	9.51	90.49
Soldiner Str. (3100)	38	5	82	26.26	19.89	395.5
Gesundbrunnen (3102)	23	0	71	21	18.99	360.73
Brunnenstr. (3200)	27	0	52	19.52	15.12	228.64
Humboldthain Nordwest (3203)	38	0	76	21.05	20.46	418.54
Rehberge (4101)	48	0	63	18.52	18.71	350.13
Schillerpark (4102)	35	0	54	13.03	14.02	196.62
Westliche Müllerstr. (4103)	26	0	56	10.69	13.68	187.18
Reinickendorfer Str. (4201)	81	3	82	23.05	22.09	487.85
Sparrplatz (4202)	51	0	72	18.75	18.14	329.19
Leopoldplatz (4203)	80	3	66	19.84	17.23	296.92

^P percentage of total sample, ^{GHQ} mental health according to the GHQ-28 with scores ranging from 0 to 84, ^N statistical planning areas defined by the Berlin Senate were used to delineate neighborhoods

Table 2 Correlations (Pearson r , Spearman ρ , and two-tailed P value) among the neighborhood variables

Variable	Greenspace	Nitrogen dioxide levels	Particle pollution	Noise pollution	Equivalent temperature
Local poverty	$r = -0.24^{***}$	$r = 0.10^*$	$r = 0.12^{**}$	$\rho = 0.15^{**}$	$r = 0.04^{ns}$

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$, ns not significant

variance in mental health. The effect of individual poverty was no longer significant ($\beta = -0.81, p = 0.051$), and we did not find significant effects of environmental neighborhood variables on mental health (all p values > 0.2 , Table 3).

The mediation analysis assessing whether effects of local poverty on mental health were mediated by environmental stressors, did not yield any significant associations between environmental variables and the outcome mental health, thus violating mediation analysis assumptions. Neither were there any significant cross-level interactions between individual sociodemographic data, minority status and environmental data (all p values > 0.23). We therefore refrained from calculating path coefficients.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that simultaneously assesses the impact of (1) local environmental stressors (heat, air and noise pollution) [22–24] and potential resilience factors such as greenspace exposure [25], as well as (2) neighborhood data on local poverty, and (3) data at the individual level on mental health outcomes.

Consistent with another German study on the association between air pollution and mental well-being [39], which found no association between anxiety or depression and air pollution, our results highlight that local heat exposure, as well as noise and air pollution, were not independently associated with an increased mental health burden. Similarly, local greenspace did not act as a protective factor against the adverse effects of local poverty on mental health in our study. Finally, none of the environmental variables mediated the effects of local poverty on mental health. However, we found that local poverty was associated with a higher mental

health burden as well as increased local exposure to environmental stress. Local poverty thus increases vulnerability for both a high mental health burden as well as for environmental stress potentially associated with climate change—including noise and air pollution or lack of greenspaces.

Several limitations should be addressed. The data in this study is from 2011 and shows an association between local poverty, environmental stress, and an increased mental health burden, prior to significant developments such as the 2015 European migrant crisis, the COVID-19 pandemic, the Russian invasion of Ukraine and its global economic consequences, as well as the increasing global awareness of the climate crisis. These changing circumstances will have affected the urban landscape and the associations described in this study. Therefore, further continuous research on the association between local poverty, environmental stress, and mental health is essential to adequately analyze constantly evolving social relations. This paper looks to provide a foundation for such pivotal future research.

Altogether, our data emphasizes the effect of local poverty on mental health. Our previous studies showed that structurally discriminated minorities were particularly vulnerable to local poverty, potentially due to social exclusion and discrimination [21, 40]. Future studies focusing on larger regional and environmental differences between communities should include a specific assessment of minority status as a potential risk factor. Regarding possible public health interventions, our findings suggest that preventive mental health strategies in urban populations need to be multi-factorial. Accordingly, public health strategies seeking to mitigate the adverse effects of environmental stress on mental health disorders without addressing local poverty or social exclusion could fall short, since environmental stress in our study was not associated with mental health independently from

Table 3 Multilevel model for effects of environmental variables, local poverty, and sociodemographic variables on mental health

Parameter	Beta	Standard Error	df	t	Significance	95% confidence interval	
						Lower bound	Upper bound
Age	0.147846	0.070527	466	2.096	0.037	0.09256	0.286436
Gender	-2.903499	1.669457	466	-1.739	0.083	-6.184095	0.377098
Education level	0.009315	0.246708	466	0.038	0.970	-0.475483	0.494113
Individual income	-0.008052	0.004122	466	-1.954	0.051	-0.016151	4.753003E-5
Minority status	3.456637	1.762801	466	1.961	0.050	-0.007386	6.920659
Greenspace	-2.284375E-7	4.809375E-6	466	-0.047	0.962	-9.679186E-6	9.222311E-6
Noise pollution	-0.099874	1.961886	466	-0.051	0.959	-3.955114	3.755365
Nitrogen dioxide levels	0.685259	1.692213	466	0.405	0.686	-2.640054	4.010571
Average atmospheric fine particulate matter (PM _{2.5})	-1.508206	2.866983	466	-0.526	0.599	-7.142022	4.125611
Equivalent temperature	-0.342753	1.370485	466	-0.250	0.803	-3.035849	2.350344
Local poverty	0.466385	0.111074	466	4.199	<0.001	0.248116	0.684653

Dependent Variable: mental health

local poverty. Policies addressing local poverty could thus be combined with urban planning to alleviate the significant burden of increased environmental stress, to which residents of poor neighborhoods are particularly exposed [20, 40].

Acknowledgements This work was funded by grants from the Volkswagen foundation and the Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID) Chile (FONDECYT Regular 1190613).

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data Availability The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, D.D., upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval The study was approved by the ethics committee and performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. WHO. Climate change and health. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/climate-change-and-health>. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
2. Climate Change and Social Inequality | Multimedia Library - United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. <https://www.un.org/development/desa/publications/working-paper/wp152>. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
3. Hajat A, Hsia C, O'Neill MS (2015) Socioeconomic disparities and air pollution exposure: a global review. *Curr Environ Health Rep* 2:440–450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-015-0069-5>
4. Ingle HE, Mikulewicz M (2020) Mental health and climate change: tackling invisible injustice. *Lancet Planet Health* 4:e128–e130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(20\)30081-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30081-4)
5. Barrett S (2013) Local level climate justice? Adaptation finance and vulnerability reduction. *Glob Environ Chang* 23:1819–1829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2013.07.015>
6. Kreslake JM, Price KM, Sarfaty M (2016) Developing effective communication materials on the health effects of climate change for vulnerable groups: a mixed methods study. *BMC Public Health* 16:946. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3546-3>
7. D'Amato G, Cecchi L, D'Amato M, Annesi-Maesano I (2014) Climate change and respiratory diseases. *Eur Respir Rev* 23:161–169. <https://doi.org/10.1183/09059180.00001714>
8. Seidler A, Hegewald J, Seidler AL et al (2017) Association between aircraft, road and railway traffic noise and depression in a large case-control study based on secondary data. *Environ Res* 152:263–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.10.017>
9. Vert C, Sánchez-Benavides G, Martínez D et al (2017) Effect of long-term exposure to air pollution on anxiety and depression in adults: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 220:1074–1080. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2017.06.009>
10. Pun VC, Manjourides J, Suh H (2017) Association of ambient air pollution with depressive and anxiety symptoms in older adults: results from the NSHAP study. *Environ Health Perspect* 125:342–348. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP494>
11. Halonen JJ, Lanki T, Yli-Tuomi T et al (2014) Associations of traffic noise with self-rated health and psychotropic medication use. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 40:235–243. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3408>
12. Sygna K, Aasvang GM, Aamodt G et al (2014) Road traffic noise, sleep and mental health. *Environ Res* 131:17–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2014.02.010>
13. Thompson R, Hornigold R, Page L, Waite T (2018) Associations between high ambient temperatures and heat waves with mental health outcomes: a systematic review. *Public Health* 161:171–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2018.06.008>
14. Newbury JB, Stewart R, Fisher HL et al (2021) Association between air pollution exposure and mental health service use among individuals with first presentations of psychotic and mood disorders: retrospective cohort study. *Br J Psychiatry* 219:678–685. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2021.119>
15. Klompmaier JO, Hoek G, Bloemsaar LD et al (2019) Associations of combined exposures to surrounding green, air pollution and traffic noise on mental health. *Environ Int* 129:525–537. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.040>
16. Triguero-Mas M, Dadvand P, Cirach M et al (2015) Natural outdoor environments and mental and physical health: Relationships and mechanisms. *Environ Int* 77:35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.01.012>
17. de Vries S, ten Have M, van Dorsselaer S et al (2016) Local availability of green and blue space and prevalence of common mental disorders in the Netherlands. *BJPsych Open* 2:366–372. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjpo.bp.115.002469>
18. Annerstedt M, Östergren P-O, Björk J et al (2012) Green qualities in the neighbourhood and mental health—results from a longitudinal cohort study in Southern Sweden. *BMC Public Health* 12:337. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-337>
19. Tost H, Reichert M, Braun U et al (2019) Neural correlates of individual differences in affective benefit of real-life urban green space exposure. *Nat Neurosci* 22:1389–1393. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0451-y>
20. Xu R, Zhao Q, Coelho MSZS et al (2020) Socioeconomic level and associations between heat exposure and all-cause and cause-specific hospitalization in 1,814 Brazilian cities: a nationwide case-crossover study. *PLoS Med* 17:e1003369. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003369>
21. Rapp MA, Kluge U, Penka S et al (2015) When local poverty is more important than your income: Mental health in minorities in inner cities. *World Psychiatry* 14:249–250. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20221>
22. Liu J, Varghese BM, Hansen A et al (2021) Is there an association between hot weather and poor mental health outcomes? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Int* 153:106533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106533>
23. Liu Q, Wang W, Gu X et al (2021) Association between particulate matter air pollution and risk of depression and suicide:

- a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 28:9029–9049. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-12357-3>
24. Hegewald J, Schubert M, Freiberg A et al (2020) Traffic noise and mental health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 17:6175. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176175>
 25. Zhang R, Zhang C-Q, Rhodes RE (2021) The pathways linking objectively-measured greenspace exposure and mental health: a systematic review of observational studies. *Environ Res* 198:111233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111233>
 26. Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung und Wohnen (2015) Umweltatlas Berlin. 09.01 Umweltgerechtigkeit (Ausgabe 2015). https://www.berlin.de/umweltatlas/_assets/mensch/umweltgerechtigkeit/de-texte/k901.pdf. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 27. IMMI | Wölfel. <https://www.woelfel.de/en/products/immi.html>. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 28. Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung und Wohnen (2021) Versorgung mit wohnungsnahen, öffentlichen Grünanlagen 2016. <https://www.berlin.de/umweltatlas/nutzung/oeffentliche-gruenanlagen/2016/methode/>. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 29. Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung und Umweltschutz (2021) Temperatur- und Feuchteverhältnisse in mäßig austauscharmen Strahlungsnächten 1992. <https://www.berlin.de/umweltatlas/klima/temperatur-und-feuchteverhaeltnisse/1992/einleitung/>. Accessed 25 May 2022
 30. Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung und Wohnen (2021) Klimamodell Berlin—Analysekarten 2014. <https://www.berlin.de/umweltatlas/klima/klimaanalyse/2014/datengrundlage/>. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 31. Senatsverwaltung für Stadtentwicklung und Wohnen (2021) Straßenverkehr - Emissionen und Immissionen 2011. <https://www.berlin.de/umweltatlas/luft/strassenverkehr-emissionen-und-immissionen/2011/datengrundlage/>. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 32. IVU Umwelt GmbH. http://www.immis.de/front_content.php?idcat=30. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 33. Umweltbundesamt HBEFA - Handbook Emission Factors for Road Transport. <https://www.hbefa.net/e/index.html>. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 34. Mundt AP, Aichberger MC, Kliewe T et al (2012) Random sampling for a mental health survey in a deprived multi-ethnic area of Berlin. *Community Ment Health J* 48:792–797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-012-9483-4>
 35. Bezirksamt Mitte von Berlin (2013) Basic data on population and social status in Berlin-Mitte. https://www.berlin.de/ba-mitte/politik-und-verwaltung/service-und-organisationseinheiten/qualitaetsentwicklung-planung-und-koordination-des-oeffentlichen-gesundheitsdienstes/publikationen/qpk_publ18_basisdaten_mitte2013.pdf. Accessed 30 Apr 2022
 36. Mundt A, Kliewe T, Yayla S et al (2014) Social characteristics of psychological distress in disadvantaged areas of Berlin. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 60:75–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764012464017>
 37. Goldberg D (1978) Manual of the general health questionnaire. NFER, Windsor
 38. Hobi V, Gerhard U, Gutzwiller F (1989) A report on experiences using Goldberg's GHQ (General Health Questionnaire). *Schweiz Rundsch Med Prax* 78:219–225
 39. Petrowski K, Bühner S, Strauß B et al (2021) Examining air pollution (PM10), mental health and well-being in a representative German sample. *Sci Rep* 11:18436. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-93773-w>
 40. Gruebner O, Rapp MA, Adli M et al (2017) Cities and Mental Health. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 114:121–127. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2017.0121>