Archival Report

Reduced Protein Stability of 11 Pathogenic Missense STXBP1/MUNC18-1 Variants and Improved Disease Prediction

Timon André, Annemiek A. van Berkel, Gurdeep Singh, Esam T. Abualrous, Gaurav D. Diwan, Torsten Schmenger, Lara Braun, Jörg Malsam, Ruud F. Toonen, Christian Freund, Robert B. Russell, Matthijs Verhage, and Thomas H. Söllner

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Pathogenic variants in STXBP1/MUNC18-1 cause severe encephalopathies that are among the most common in genetic neurodevelopmental disorders. Different molecular disease mechanisms have been proposed, and pathogenicity prediction is limited. In this study, we aimed to define a generalized disease concept for STXBP1-related disorders and improve prediction.

METHODS: A cohort of 11 disease-associated and 5 neutral variants (detected in healthy individuals) were tested in 3 cell-free assays and in heterologous cells and primary neurons. Protein aggregation was tested using gel filtration and Triton X-100 insolubility. PRESR (predicting STXBP1-related disorder), a machine learning algorithm that uses both sequence- and 3-dimensional structure–based features, was developed to improve pathogenicity prediction using 231 known disease-associated variants and comparison to our experimental data.

RESULTS: Disease-associated variants, but none of the neutral variants, produced reduced protein levels. Cell-free assays demonstrated directly that disease-associated variants have reduced thermostability, with most variants denaturing around body temperature. In addition, most disease-associated variants impaired SNARE-mediated membrane fusion in a reconstituted assay. Aggregation/insolubility was observed for none of the variants in vitro or in neurons. PRESR outperformed existing tools substantially: Matthews correlation coefficient = 0.71 versus $< 0.55.$

CONCLUSIONS: These data establish intrinsic protein instability as the generalizable, primary cause for STXBP1 related disorders and show that protein-specific ortholog and 3-dimensional information improve disease prediction. PRESR is a publicly available diagnostic tool.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2024.03.007>

De novo mutations and rearrangements in the STXBP1 gene cause early infantile epileptic encephalopathies (EIEE4, OMIM #612164; STXBP1-related disorder [STXBP1-RD]). STXBP1 disease-associated variants are among the top 5 most frequently found in hereditary epilepsies (1–[6](#page-10-0)). All patients experience moderate to severe developmental delay, and most experience seizures. Almost 50% of the cases are caused by missense variants with no major phenotypic segregation, while the other 50% consist of classical loss of function variants: whole-gene deletions, nucleotide insertions or deletions, frame shifts, nonsense mutations, and splice-altering mutations [\(3,](#page-10-1)[4,](#page-10-2)6–[13](#page-10-3)). MUNC18-1 (the protein encoded by the STXBP1 gene) plays a critical role in synaptic transmission by organizing SNARE-complex formation for synaptic vesicle exocytosis (14–[23\)](#page-10-4).

The first functional studies on disease-associated STXBP1 variants led to diverse conclusions as to the mechanism of pathogenesis. The first variant studied, C180Y [\(3](#page-10-1)), showed reduced binding to its binding partner syntaxin-1 (functional effect) and reduced thermostability. Subsequent studies showed lower protein levels in heterologous cells ([24](#page-11-0)[,25\)](#page-11-1) and primary mouse neurons ([26](#page-11-2)) for several variants (protein-level effect) as well as effects on synaptic transmission ([26](#page-11-2)) (functional effects), while 2 other studies showed that four ([27](#page-11-3)) or four of the five [\(28\)](#page-11-4) disease-associated variants aggregate together with the wild-type (WT) protein, also in primary mouse neurons and in vivo in C. elegans, and are found in Triton X-100–insoluble fractions (dominant-negative effects). Functional effects may not be a general feature because they vary among disease-associated variants, they do not correlate with clinical symptoms [\(26\)](#page-11-2), and the 500 disease-associated variants currently described are generally evenly distributed over the whole coding sequence (6) (6) . However, it remains to be resolved whether lower cellular levels are caused by intrinsic protein instability and/or aggregation, whether these properties are shared by all disease variants, and whether these features are exclusive to disease-associated variants and not seen in those observed in the healthy population. Resolving these

ª 2024 Society of Biological Psychiatry. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)). 125 ISSN: 0006-3223 Biological Psychiatry July 15, 2024; 96:125–136 www.sobp.org/journal

issues will also improve prediction of pathogenicity for new variants and prognosis and will be crucial for therapy design. The possibility of dominant-negative effects and protein aggregation that may lead to cell death ([27](#page-11-3)) is a particularly crucial issue given the impact on prognosis and treatment strategies. However, neuronal loss has not been reported as an obvious feature in patients [\(4](#page-10-2)[,29,](#page-11-5)[30](#page-11-6)).

Accurate prediction of pathogenicity and discriminating disease-causing and population (neutral) variants is crucial for diagnostics. Improving this classification increases clinical efficiency, ends the diagnostic odyssey for families, supports cohort assembly for clinical trials, and ultimately improves treatment and is thus of utmost importance for the clinic, patients' families, and society. Several computational tools have been developed to predict pathogenicity caused by missense variants [\(31](#page-11-7)–34). However, prediction may still be improved. First, most current predictors [with exceptions; see FunNCion ([35\)](#page-11-8)] are generic for the whole proteome and not optimized to specific proteins. Second, they often use only sequence homology and/or physiochemical properties of amino acid changes as features to predict pathogenicity and do not take into account 3-dimensional (3D) structure information of the protein or predictions thereof [\(36](#page-11-9)). Third, they use a fixed set of features for all the proteins rather than determining protein-specific features ([32\)](#page-11-10). A reliable, protein-specific predictor of pathogenicity has the potential to increase prediction accuracy and provide deeper insights into underlying disease mechanisms.

Here, we investigated a cohort of 11 disease-associated variants in STXBP1 and 5 neutral variants observed in the healthy population side by side in several model systems: heterologous cells (human embryonic kidney [HEK] 293) that have low expression of established MUNC18-1 interactors, mouse homozygous null mutant primary neurons that provide a normal palette of established interactors (without the endogenous mouse protein competing), and cell-free assays that allow the assessment of (altered) intrinsic properties. We showed that protein instability, decreased expression levels, and impaired functionality underlie MUNC18-1 variant pathogenicity. In contrast, no evidence was observed for protein aggregation or insolubility for any of the variants in vitro or in neurons. In addition, we developed PRESR (predicting STXBP1-related disorder), a machine learning–based predictor of missense variant pathogenicity that uses a diverse set of sequence- and 3 dimensional structure–derived features. On an independent test set of MUNC18-1 missense variants, PRESR performed better than previously existing predictors. To assess individual variants on a 3-dimensional and interpret the participation of features involved, we developed the PRESR web server, freely available at [PRESR.russelllab.org.](http://PRESR.russelllab.org)

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Dataset Assembly

We used literature searches, gnomAD ([37\)](#page-11-11), and UniProt [\(38](#page-11-12)) to create a list of 231 variants in MUNC18-1; see [Supplemental](#page-11-13) [Methods and Materials](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13) for details.

Other Methods

See [Supplemental Methods and Materials](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13) for discussion of the following: Orthologs and paralogs

alignments; feature generation and mutational information; logistic regression, cross-validation, and randomization test; prediction tools and web application; MUNC18-1 point variant modeling and solvent-accessible surface area; protein purification and gel filtration; animals and neuronal cultures; and immunocytochemistry and Western blotting.

Nano Differential Scanning Fluorimetry

Melting curves of WT and mutant MUNC18-1 were recorded as specified in [Supplemental Methods and Materials](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13).

Small Unilamellar Vesicles/Giant Unilamellar Vesicles Fusion Assay

The lipid mixing assay was performed as described previously [\(17,](#page-10-5)[19](#page-10-6),[39](#page-11-14)), with some modifications; see [Supplemental](#page-11-13) [Methods and Materials](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13) for details.

Triton X-100 Solubility Assay

The solubility assay was performed as described before [\(28\)](#page-11-4); see [Supplemental Methods and Materials](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13) for details.

Statistical Analysis

See [Supplemental Methods and Materials](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1.](#page-11-13)

RESULTS

Disease-Associated Variants Reduce MUNC18-1 Protein Levels

Previous studies of disease-associated variants in STXBP1 have led to different conclusions, showing functional effects, protein-level effects, and dominant-negative effects ([3](#page-10-1),24–[28\)](#page-11-0). To help resolve these differences, we experimentally examined a cohort of disease-associated MUNC18-1 variants and variants found in the (healthy) population ([Figure 1A, B\)](#page-2-0) in heterologous cells, in primary neurons, and as purified proteins (in total, 11 disease and 5 neutral variants) and analyzed cellular expression levels, protein stability, protein aggregation, and SNARE-mediated membrane fusion.

First, we assessed the effect of this collection of variants on MUNC18-1 protein levels in heterologous HEK293T cells, which lack endogenous MUNC18-1 and syntaxin-1 [\(Figure 1C, E\)](#page-2-0). Western blot analysis of all disease variants (P575S did not reach significance) showed lower cellular levels, as shown before for several of these ([3,](#page-10-1)[24](#page-11-0)[,26\)](#page-11-2). In contrast, neutral variants did not show lower cellular levels [\(Figure 1C, E](#page-2-0)).

Second, in mouse Stxbp1 knockout neurons, the disease variants (except P575S) showed significantly reduced expression levels whereas neutral variants V84I and P94L were not significantly different from WT protein ([Figure 1D, F\)](#page-2-0). Together, these data show that the majority of diseaseassociated variants led to reduced protein levels, whereas neutral variants did not.

Taken together, these findings suggest that a lower cellular protein level is a shared feature among disease-associated variants.

D

MUNC18-1 localization in neurons

F Е MUNC18-1 levels in HEK cells **MUNC18-1 levels in neurons** $WT -$ WT ਸਰਾ ਅਣ PAC NO **I77N** -50 **177N** ਮਸ਼⊢ V841 中国 **V841** $n.s$ **P94L B-RECO** $n.s$ **P94L** n.s C180Y **HARROR** C180Y 屺 D262V $rac{1}{2}$ D262V нц T361I- $+1$ T361I- $N.D.#$ M433R **Hotel** بسيف ND-**H445P** M443R- $+ 3 +$ **L446F HERDA** H445P-N.D.# **R536H HETH** L446F-HID G544D И. G544D $\frac{1}{2}$ **T574P HKETH** $\mathsf{H} \mathsf{I}$ T574P P575S H $n.s$ P575S П $n.s$ 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.0 4.0 Ò 1.0 6.0 Norm MUNC18-1 levels Norm MUNC18-1 levels

Figure 1. Most disease-associated MUNC18-1 variants reduce protein levels in HEK293T cells and neurons. (A) Position of analyzed variants in the crystal structure of the MUNC18-1 (gray)/ syntaxin-1 (tan) complex (PDB:3C98). The Calpha atoms of the disease and neutral variants are shown as purple and green spheres, respectively. The asterisk indicates that this variant is also observed in gnomAD ([37](#page-11-11)), suggesting its occurrence in healthy individuals. (B) Variants are associated with different clinical phenotypes. Please note that all diseaseassociated variants shown here (except D262V) are also summarized in Xian et al. ([19\)](#page-10-6). Asterisk: see (A). (C) HEK293T cells were infected with lentivirus-coexpressing MUNC18-1 variants and GFP. The corresponding protein levels were assessed using Western blot analysis. (D) MUNC18-1 variants were expressed in Stxbp1 null neurons, and their levels were measured in MAP2-positive areas. Scale bar = 100 μ m. (E) MUNC18-1 levels relative to GFP were quantified. For the neutral variants V84I and P94L, expression levels were similar to WT. All other disease-associated variants profoundly reduced the MUNC18-1 levels. $n = 8-15$. The Tukey plot for G544D is plotted without the extreme value of 5.7. (F) In neurons, lower MUNC18-1 levels were found for most disease variants, except P575S (trend: $-49%$). $n = 2$ independent cultures/ $n = 15$ neurons per culture. (E, F) Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post hoc comparison, p < .05, $*p$ < .01, $**p$ < .001, ***p < .0001. GFP, $<$.05, ** p $<$.01, *** p $<$.001, **** p $<$.0001. GFP,
green fluorescent protein; HEK, human embryonic kidney; n.s., nonsignificant; WT, wild-type.

Disease-Associated Variants Impair Protein **Thermostability**

To assess whether a lower cellular protein level is the direct consequence of reduced intrinsic stability of these variants, we used nano differential scanning fluorimetry to measure thermostability of purified MUNC18-1 ([Figure 2A](#page-3-0)). Because MUNC18-1 and syntaxin-1 depend on each other for correct cellular localization and stability [\(23,](#page-11-15)40–[44\)](#page-11-16), the effects of variants were assessed for MUNC18-1 alone [\(Figure 2B\)](#page-3-0), as well as for the binary MUNC18-1/syntaxin-1 complex ([Figure S1A](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)). WT MUNC18-1 alone showed a mean melting temperature of 45.4 \degree C [\(Figure 2B\)](#page-3-0), consistent with previous studies [\(3\)](#page-10-1). Melting temperature was decreased for disease-associated variants C180Y, D262V, H445P, P575S, and, although less pronounced, for L446F ([Figure 2B](#page-3-0)). Thermostability of the neutral variants V84I, P94L, A430T, F153S, and R457; disease variant T361I; and unknown variant R536H (see [Dataset Assembly](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1\)](#page-11-13) was not impaired beyond $3 \degree C$ ([Figure 2B](#page-3-0)). The mutants I77N, M443R, G544D, and T574P could be expressed using the bacterial E. coli expression host but could not be purified, probably as a consequence of impaired stability (indicated by N.D. [not determined] in [Figure 2B\)](#page-3-0). The presence of syntaxin-1 increased stability of WT and all variants, but most diseaseassociated mutants still showed decreased stability ([Figure S1A](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1\)](#page-11-13). Together, disease-associated MUNC18-1 variants, except T361I and unknown variant R536H, caused severely reduced thermostability whereas neutral variants did not.

We also inspected biophysical characteristics of the test set by analyzing the surface exposure and calculating the biomolecular energy functions [\(45](#page-11-17)–47) ([Figure S1B, C](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)).

Both scores were variable between variants, with no clear discrimination between disease-associated and neutral variants.

To examine the relationship between the thermostability and expression levels of MUNC18-1 variants, the melting temperatures of all tested variants in [Figure 2B](#page-3-0) were plotted against their expression levels in HEK293T cells ([Figure 1E\)](#page-2-0) and neurons ([Figure 1F](#page-2-0)). Thermostability and protein levels were correlated with HEK293T cells $(r = 0.86)$ ([Figure 2C\)](#page-3-0) and neurons $(r = 0.77)$ ([Figure 2D\)](#page-3-0). Thus, protein stability of MUNC18-1 variants was correlated with expression levels.

MUNC18-1 Variants Have Differential Effects in Reconstituted Membrane Fusion

MUNC18-1 plays an essential role in synaptic vesicle fusion by orchestrating SNARE-complex formation [\(23,](#page-11-15)[48](#page-11-18)–50). In vitro liposome fusion assays have been used extensively to investigate the molecular details of MUNC18-1 function in membrane fusion [\(14,](#page-10-4)17–[22\)](#page-10-5).

We employed 2 distinct reconstituted lipid mixing assays to analyze the functional impact of MUNC18-1 variants on SNARE-mediated fusion [\(Figure 3;](#page-4-0) [Figures S2](#page-11-13) and [S3](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)). In the first assay, syntaxin-1 giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) were used [\(Figure 3A, B\)](#page-4-0). The addition of WT MUNC18-1 led to a dose-dependent increase of lipid mixing that started to saturate at 250 nM and resulted in a 6-fold stimulation of the total fusion after 30 minutes [\(Figure 3C\)](#page-4-0). To test whether variants show a similar concentration dependency as WT, we performed a titration curve for C180Y. Similar to WT MUNC18-1, C180Y saturated at 250 nM [\(Figure 3C\)](#page-4-0). To exclude dose-dependent effects, all other MUNC18-1 mutants were tested at 750 nM, and their effect on total fusion after 30 minutes was normalized to WT. Neutral

Figure 2. Most disease-associated MUNC18-1 variants impair thermostability. (A) Examples of melting temperature (Tm) measurements of MUNC18-1 WT (black), P94L (green), and C180Y (purple) in complex with syntaxin-1 (also see [Figure](#page-11-13) [S1A\)](#page-11-13). The inset shows the raw data. (B) Melting temperatures of MUNC18-1 variants. The mean melting temperature was decreased for diseaseassociated variants C180Y (Δ Tm -8.5 °C), D262V $(\Delta Tm - 8.3$ °C), H445P ($\Delta Tm - 8.7$ °C), P575S $(\Delta Tm - 10.3 \degree C)$, L446F ($\Delta Tm - 5.6 \degree C$). Thermostability of the neutral variants V84I, P94L, F153S, A430T, and R457C; the disease variant T361I; and unknown variant R536H were not impaired (ΔTm) <-3 °C). $n = 7-12$; triplicates of biological replicates, 1-way analysis of variance with Dunn's post hoc comparison, ***p < .001, ****p < .0001; N.D., variant could not be purified. (C) Correlation plot between thermostability (B) and protein levels in HEK293T cells ([Figure 1F\)](#page-2-0) of STXBP1 variants. (D) Correlation plot between thermostability (B) and protein levels in neurons ([Figure 1E](#page-2-0)) of STXBP1 variants. A.U., arbitrary unit; HEK, human embryonic kidney; N.D., not determined; n.s., nonsignificant; WT, wild-type.

B

after

Fluorescence after 30 min
(% WT)

Figure 3. Most disease-associated variants reduce MUNC18-1 dependent stimulation in reconstituted membrane fusion assay. (A) Incubation scheme of the syntaxin-1 GUV-based lipid mixing assay. (B) Typical examples of the syntaxin-1 GUV lipid mixing assay. Lipid mixing kinetics were recorded at 37 \degree C for 30 minutes as the increase in Atto488 fluorescence. The fusion efficiency was plotted as the normalized fluorescence after membrane lysis by detergent (% of max). (C) Titration of MUNC18-1 WT and C180Y. The final lipid mixing signal after 30 minutes (% of max) is plotted in the presence or absence of varying concentrations of MUNC18-1 WT or C180Y, respectively. $n = 4-11$ of technical replicates, 1-way analysis of variance with Dunn's post hoc comparison, $p < .05$ and for all other conditions, ****p \lt .0001 (not displayed for clarity). (D) Membrane fusion stimulation by MUNC18-1 variants. Lipid mixing kinetics were recorded in the presence or absence of 750 nM MUNC18-1 or a respective disease variant and analyzed as described in (B) and (C). The effect size is normalized and plotted as % of WT. Diseaseassociated variants C180Y, D262V, P575S, and H445P led to a decrease in stimulation (67%, 71%, 73%, and 18% activity, respectively). $n = 3-11$ of

technical replicates, 1-way analysis of variance with Dunn's post hoc comparison, ***p < .0001. (E) Correlation plot of the normalized fluorescence at 30 minutes (% of max) and melting temperatures. GUV, giant unilamellar vesicle; n.s., nonsignificant; RT, room temperature; SUV, small unilamellar vesicle; WT, wild-type.

Fluorescence after 30 min (% of WT)

variants V84I and P94L, disease variants T361I and L446F, and unknown variant R536H increased fusion kinetics and efficiency comparable to WT, while the disease-associated variants C180Y, D262V, P575S, and H445P led to a decrease in MUNC18-1–dependent stimulation [\(Figure 3D;](#page-4-0) [Figure S3A](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)). Thus, the majority of the tested disease variants were at least partially impaired in stimulating initial SNARE-complex assembly, likely compromising the formation of the syntaxin-1 MUNC18-1 VAMP2 template intermediate.

In a second assay, GUVs contained already preassembled t-SNARE complexes, bypassing some of the rate-limiting steps in SNARE-complex assembly ([Figure S2A](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)). As expected, fusion kinetics were faster, and WT MUNC18-1 stimulated lipid mixing 1.5-fold [\(Figures S2B](#page-11-13) and [S3B](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)). V84I, C180Y, and D262V showed a tendency to reduced stimulation while the other variants supported lipid mixing comparable to WT MUNC18-1. Therefore, when t-SNAREs were already formed, only few diseaseassociated variants had a rather minor impact on the facilitating role of MUNC18-1 in liposome fusion.

Lastly, we assessed potential dominant-negative effects of the disease variant MUNC18-1 C180Y in membrane fusion at a 1:1 ratio with WT. This mixture did not significantly reduce the fusion kinetics compared to WT alone [\(Figure S4C](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)). Therefore, under these in vitro conditions, no dominant-negative effects were observed.

To explore the relationship between MUNC18-1 stability and membrane fusion, melting temperature values ([Figure 2B\)](#page-3-0) were correlated to syntaxin-1 GUV fusion data ([Figure 3D](#page-4-0)). Melting temperature was correlated with membrane fusion efficiency $(r =$ 0.76) [\(Figure 3E](#page-4-0)), indicating that MUNC18-1 instability caused functional defects in SNARE-mediated membrane fusion.

MUNC18-1 Variants Do Not Show a Tendency to Aggregate at Physiological Expression Levels

To assess intrinsic aggregation propensities, purified MUNC18-1 was analyzed using gel filtration. The elution volume and the molecular weight of WT MUNC18-1 (70.1 kDa) corresponded to the monomeric form ([Figure 4A](#page-5-0)). All variants exhibited elution volumes that were indistinguishable from WT with molecular weights of monomeric proteins [\(Figure 4A\)](#page-5-0). When mixed with stoichiometric amounts of MUNC18-1 WT, the C180Y variant did not alter its behavior ([Figure 4A](#page-5-0), blue dotted line). Thus, all purified MUNC18-1 variants remained monomers. Four variants (I77N, M443R, G544D, T574P) could not be purified using the heterologous E. coli expression system.

Next, cellular solubility of MUNC18-1 variants was assessed using the Triton X-100 solubility assay, which has been used before to demonstrate increased insolubility of MUNC18-1 disease variants ([28](#page-11-4)). WT and mutant MUNC18-1 were expressed in HEK293T cells via lentiviral delivery to ensure physiological expression levels [\(26\)](#page-11-2) [\(Figure 4B\)](#page-5-0). WT MUNC18- 1 as well as all variants were largely found in the soluble fraction (\sim 99%) [\(Figure 4C\)](#page-5-0). These findings are in contrast with earlier studies [\(24](#page-11-0),[27](#page-11-3)[,28\)](#page-11-4). To test whether the differences arose from protein tags and/or expression methods, we repeated the Triton X-100 assay for the WT and a subset of variants (I77N, C180Y, and L446F) using the same methodology as previous studies ([28\)](#page-11-4) ([Figure S4A, B](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1\)](#page-11-13). Compared with lentiviral delivery, calcium transfection increased expression 88-fold, and disease variants showed profound insolubility ([Figure S4A, B](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)). In contrast, the presence of a 6xMYC tag at the N-terminus did not affect solubility of MUNC18-1 [\(Figure S4B](#page-11-13) in [Supplement](#page-11-13) [1](#page-11-13)).

Lastly, using lentiviral infection, we inspected cells for signs of MUNC18-1 aggregation ([Figure 4D](#page-5-0)). For WT, neutral, and disease-associated variants, diffuse, cytosolic MUNC18-1 immunoreactivity was observed, without noticeable differences between conditions. Taken together, we found no indications for MUNC18-1 variants to be polymeric, to aggregate, or to have reduced solubility at physiological levels.

MUNC18-1–Specific Predictors of Pathogenicity

To improve disease prediction, we developed a proteinspecific, logistic regression–based classifier of pathogenicity and tested its prediction strength on STXBP1-RD. To date, 231 unique missense variants have been described, 108 of which are associated with disease [\(Figure 5A](#page-6-0), purple), and the remaining 123 are classified as neutral variants ([Figure 5A,](#page-6-0) green; [Table S1F](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 2](#page-11-13)). The variants are distributed over the entire protein without clear hot spots ([Figure 5A\)](#page-6-0). Using \sim 90% of the known missense variants as a training set, we developed PRESR, a MUNC18-1–specific pathogenicity predictor in 3 steps. First, we defined 6 sequence- and 7 structure-derived features of MUNC18-1 that could potentially influence variant pathogenicity (see [Methods and Materials\)](#page-1-0) [\(Figure 5B](#page-6-0); [Table S1C](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 2\)](#page-11-13). Second, we applied lasso regression to select the features that could discriminate between disease and neutral variants, which left 7 features [\(Figure 5C](#page-6-0)). Next, we trained PRESR on the discriminative features using logistic regression ([Figure 5C](#page-6-0)). PRESR returns the pathogenicity score of a MUNC18-1 variant in terms of probability, where a value >0.5 indicates disease and is neutral otherwise. A randomization test [\(51](#page-11-19)) demonstrated that

Figure 4. MUNC18-1 disease variants do not form oligomers. (A) Purified MUNC18-1 WT and variants show similar elution volumes in gel filtration experiments. Every mutant was analyzed once on a Superdex 75 Increase 10/300 column (GE Healthcare). The experimentally determined apparent molecular weights correspond to the theoretical molecular weight (74 kDa) of the monomeric protein. (B) Representative results of the Triton X-100 solubility of MUNC18-1 expressed in neurons. Composite panels are from the same Western blot analysis. (C) The MUNC18-1 WT and mutants show similar Triton X-100 solubility, with the exception of a minor insoluble G544D fraction. WT $n = 38$, mutants $n = 8$ –15. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post hoc comparison, $p < .05$. (D) Neuronal imaging of MUNC18-1 expressing neurons. A.U., arbitrary unit; GFP, green fluorescent protein; MW, molecular weight; n.s., nonsignificant; WT, wildtype.

PRESR was insensitive to the training data [\(Table S1A](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 2\)](#page-11-13).

We compared the performance of PRESR with other publicly available predictors on an independent test set of 11 disease and 5 neutral variants ([Figure 5D](#page-6-0)). Based on Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC), a reliable metric to compare the performance of predictive tools ([52](#page-11-20)[,53\)](#page-11-21), PRESR outperformed (MCC = 0.71) other predictors (MCC \leq 0.54) ([Figure 5E](#page-6-0); [Table S1B](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 2\)](#page-11-13). We also explored whether Rosetta ([Table S1G](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 2](#page-11-13)) could help distinguish disease from population variants; however, the inspection suggested that substantial weight is given to variants potentially disrupting structure (e.g., P94L) despite evidence from evolutionary conservation (which Rosetta does not use) that such a change would likely be tolerated.

The regression coefficients obtained post training of a logistic regression–based model (PRESR) can be used to understand the relevance of each feature ([54](#page-11-22)[,55\)](#page-11-23). We extracted the regression coefficients of PRESR to determine the contribution of each feature [\(Figure 5E\)](#page-6-0). The conservation across MUNC18 orthologs and the number of residues in contact with the variant position along with its predicted backbone flexibility and torsion angles are the major contributors to pathogenicity prediction ([Figure 5E\)](#page-6-0). To further aid in the visualization of the

Figure 5. PRESR: a MUNC18-1-specific predictor of pathogenicity. (A) The disease-associated variants (shown in purple) and neutral variants (shown in green) included in this study are broadly distributed within MUNC18-1. (B) Features included in the machine-learning process. (C) Machine learning by logistic regression and 5-fold cross validation. (D) Crystal structure of the MUNC18-1 (gray)/syntaxin-1 (tan) complex (PDB:3C98). The C-alpha atoms of the disease and neutral variants used as a test set are shown as purple and green spheres, respectively. Variants are associated with different clinical phenotypes. Please note that all disease-associated variants shown here (except D262V) are also summarized in Xian et al. ([6\)](#page-10-3). (E) Benchmarking PRESR of the test set. (F) The variants comprising the test set were also examined in a multilevel experimental approach. MCC, Matthews correlation coefficient.

features involved in predicting pathogenicity of MUNC18-1 variants, we developed a PRESR web server (available at [PRESR.russelllab.org\)](http://PRESR.russelllab.org).

PRESR Predictions Correlate With Experimental **Results**

To assess how PRESR predictions compared with biological properties of MUNC18-1 variants, probabilities were correlated with outcomes on the thermostability, cellular expression, and functional fusion data [\(Figures 1](#page-2-0)–3). While the probabilities showed high correlations with both thermostability ($r = -0.68$) ([Figure 6A](#page-8-0)) and neuronal expression levels $(r = -0.74)$ ([Figure 6B\)](#page-8-0), they were less correlated with the membrane fusion assay ($r = -0.40$) ([Figure 6C\)](#page-8-0). This can be attributed to the outlier H445P, and its exclusion resulted in a correlation of greater magnitude ($r = -0.82$) [\(Figure 6C](#page-8-0)).

We also assessed how well PRESR and the experimental data agreed on pathogenicity classification [\(Figure 6D\)](#page-8-0). For 14 of the 16 variants, agreement was found between PRESR classification and experimental data. Conflicting results were found for 2 variants (visualized as mixed green-purple circles); the neutral variant F153S and the unknown variant R536H were classified as disease variants by PRESR, but F153S and R536H showed no experimental effect on protein stability (and R536H is in fact also present in gnomAD, suggesting that this is a neutral variant). Despite these few exceptions, PRESR probabilities showed an encouraging degree of agreement with our experimental data regarding pathogenicity.

Finally, given the high predictive value of PRESR, we visualized PRESR probability scores of all possible amino acid substitutions at all positions [\(Figure 6E](#page-8-0)). As expected, given the training approach above, the predicted pathogenicity was not randomly distributed across the protein, but instead showed clusters of increased pathogenicity (e.g., residues 242–252 and 175–183/536–545/563–567) and decreased pathogenicity (e.g., residues 297–345). This heatmap provides a useful guide for interpreting variants and selecting appropriate amino acids for targeted mutagenesis to further characterize MUNC18-1 function.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated a cohort of 11 diseaseassociated missense variants in STXBP1 and 5 neutral variants in heterologous cells, in primary neurons, and in new cell-free assays. We showed that protein instability and decreased expression levels are a common principle underlying MUNC18-1 variant pathogenicity. No evidence was observed for protein aggregation or insolubility for any of the variants in vitro or in neurons. We then developed a machine learning–guided framework for predicting variant pathogenicity in monogenic diseases that relies on protein-specific, sequence- and 3D structure–based features. PRESR outperformed all existing, routinely used classifiers tested here. Conservation across orthologs, the number of contact residues, and protein backbone flexibility were most relevant to predicting variant pathogenicity. Moreover, we showed that the biochemical and cell biological findings were correlated with the in silico predictions, thereby experimentally validating predictions of the framework.

Protein Instability Reduces Cellular MUNC18-1 Levels and Affects Membrane Fusion

Our data show that intrinsic MUNC18-1 instability is a common feature among a collection of disease-associated missense variants and is therefore the probable cause for the lower expression levels that have been observed before for several disease-associated variants [\(3](#page-10-1)[,24](#page-11-0)–26,[28](#page-11-4)[,56\)](#page-11-24). In addition, the correlation between thermostability and MUNC18-1– dependent stimulation in the syntaxin-1 GUV assay suggests that MUNC18-1 instability also directly impairs membrane fusion, likely by impaired formation of MUNC18-1 template complexes, a major physiological intermediate during SNAREcomplex assembly ([14](#page-10-4)[,16,](#page-10-7)[57](#page-11-25)).

Lower protein levels alone may not be sufficient to fully explain STXBP1-RD pathogenicity of some missense mutations. All disease-associated variants retained at least some syntaxin-1 binding capacity, as demonstrated by the increased melting temperatures in the presence of syntaxin-1 ([Figure S1A](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 1](#page-11-13)) and were added in molar excess over syntaxin-1 in fusion assays. In these assays, 4 of 7 variants showed moderate to severe functional deficits ([Figure 3D\)](#page-4-0), which did not improve when adding even more MUNC18-1, at least for one mutant ([Figure 3C\)](#page-4-0). Therefore, at least some disease-associated missense variants had impaired functionality, in addition to impaired protein stability. The 2 effects together are expected to compromise SNARE-mediated membrane fusion and explain the observed haploinsufficiency in STXBP1-RD. The fact that protein truncation variants and $5'$ or whole-gene deletions produce a similar symptom spectrum suggests that the latter, reduction in the amount of functional protein, is the most central aspect.

Protein instability is often presumed to be an explanation for causative variants that do not show any particular sequence or spatial concentration within a protein (58) (58) (58) , although this has seldom been demonstrated with such rigor as we have done here. This notion has been challenged by the proposal that many disease variants are edgetic ([59](#page-11-27)), or caused by disruption of specific protein-protein interactions. Regardless of the general predominance of edgetic variants across all diseases, our work suggests that they do not predominate in STXBP1-RD.

MUNC18-1 Aggregation and Dominant-Negative Phenotypes Were Not Observed

Oligomerization, insolubility, and aggregation of MUNC18-1 variants may lead to dominant-negative effects. We found no evidence for any variant to aggregate or increase insolubility in mammalian cells at physiological expression levels, and this was also true for some of the variants previously described to aggregate ([27](#page-11-3),[28\)](#page-11-4). Only upon strong overexpression did MUNC18-1 variants accumulate and became insoluble, likely forming aggregates by exceeding the processing capacity of the cellular protein quality control system ([60\)](#page-11-28).

Dominant-negative effects of missense variants are expected to be more pathogenic than nonsense variants, truncations, or full gene deletions, although this does not appear to be true for STXBP1-RD $(1,4,6)$ $(1,4,6)$ $(1,4,6)$. In addition, no dominant-

Figure 6. PRESR predictions correlate with experimental data. (A) Correlation plot of MUNC18-1 variant melting temperatures (°C) and PRESR scores. (B) Correlation plot of the neuronal expression levels (% of WT) and PRESR scores. (C) Correlation plot of membrane fusion signals (normalized fluorescence at 30 minutes [% of WT]) and PRESR scores. (D) Graphical representation of MUNC18-1 (gray) together with syntaxin-1 (tan). PBD:C398. The C-alpha atoms of the amino acids delineated in this study are shown as spheres in the 3-dimensional (3D) structure of MUNC18-1. The position of the respective Ca in the blowups is depicted by a black line. Within each blowup, all polar contacts between the disease mutant and neighboring residues are indicated by black dotted lines. All residues that are involved in the interaction are additionally shown as sticks. The circles around each blowup indicate the effect (unchanged or impaired) of a given variant as determined by a given method. (E) PRESR predictions scores for all possible amino acid exchanges at any given position in MUNC18-1 reveal positions vulnerable to causing disease. N.D., not determined; WT, wild-type.

negative effects on synaptic transmission were found when disease-associated variants were expressed at approximately physiological levels in heterozygous STXBP1 neurons ([26](#page-11-2)). Based on these findings together with the data presented in

this study, we conclude that most evidence argues against dominant-negative effects as a shared feature of MUNC18-1 missense variants. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that specific variants not analyzed in this study harbor dominant- negative properties or that dominant-negative effects occur under specific conditions not modeled in the current study (e.g., during cell stress or aging).

Protein-Specific Sequence and 3D Structure Information Provide New Insights Into Disease **Mechanisms**

PRESR revealed that among the sequence-derived features, the conservation of the substituted amino acid across MUNC18-1 orthologs followed by the predicted backbone flexibility are the most discriminating feature between disease and neutral variants [\(Figure 5E\)](#page-6-0). Thus, evolutionary constraints provide a rich resource to identify vulnerable amino acids and specific exchanges, as has been described for other methods (32–[34\)](#page-11-10). The current study demonstrates that, in addition, 3D structural features, especially changes in the number of contacting residues and dihedral angles upon substitution, are also highly predictive. Furthermore, by assessing the predicted pathogenicity of all possible amino acid substitutions at any given position, we identified disease-associated clusters ([Figure 6E](#page-8-0)). Interestingly, 2 of those clusters (amino acids 242–252 and 175–183/536–545/563–567) lie within the center of the 3D structure of MUNC18-1. Perturbations at these buried sites could either unfold the entire protein or cause partial functional impairments due to allosteric effects. The binding interface between MUNC18-1 and the closed conformation of syntaxin-1 (MUNC18-1 amino acids 26–59) is also largely predicted to be disease associated, which is consistent with previous studies ([4,](#page-10-2)[6](#page-10-3)). Surprisingly, helix 11 and helix 12, which compromise the VAMP2 binding interface [\(14\)](#page-10-4), appear to mostly tolerate single amino acid substitutions. This is consistent with our previous mutagenesis study [\(14\)](#page-10-4), the transient nature and the low affinity of this interaction, and potential avidity effects due to multiple MUNC18-1 template complexes at vesicle fusion sites. Our disease prediction across the whole protein indicates at least 2 distinct disease mechanisms: 1) allosteric effects caused by buried residues, and 2) mutations within the MUNC18-1/syntaxin-1 interface.

Although the experimental data largely correlated with PRESR, 2 variants displayed discrepancies. F153S was predicted to be disease causing (PRESR prediction score > 0.5), and R536H was labeled disease associated in a previous study (6) (6) but was also found in a control cohort (37) (37) (37) . Even though both variants are buried in the MUNC18-1 structure ([43\)](#page-11-29), F153S does not impair protein stability in any assay, and R536H showed normal thermostability as a purified protein, but (slightly) reduced levels when expressed in neurons. Thus, the neutral variant F153S seems to be misclassified by PRESR. In contrast, the classification for R536H remains uncertain. This variant may be the first variant that is observed in both healthy individuals and patients with STXBP1-RD. Finally, the disease variant T361I is predicted by PRESR to be neutral, and all biological characterizations indicate that this variant is neutral. Because residue 361 is facing outward, it may impair (yet unknown) interactions, or alternatively, the nucleotide substitution in the STXBP1 gene may affect RNA stability or processing. Because we used complementary DNAs in our experiments, potential messenger RNA defects were not detected. Furthermore, the choice of model systems

in this study does not rule out human-specific effects and/or interactions with the specific genomic background of the patient. Overall, the combined in silico and experimental investigation of pathogenicity not only provides insight into disease mechanisms but also identifies atypical variants, which could also help to explain the broad palette of patient phenotypes.

A Scalable, Machine Learning–Guided Framework Improves Pathogenicity Prediction

PRESR offers several advantages over previously developed predictors. Its unique framework relies on the protein-specific, sequence- and 3D structure–based features to determine variant pathogenicity, and it performs better than generic classifiers. The logistic regression model enables us to extract regression coefficients and rank features based on their relevance. The publicly available PRESR webserver ([presr.](http://presr.russelllab.org) [russelllab.org](http://presr.russelllab.org)) provides users with detailed information on a given variant, including distribution plots of the most relevant features and visualization of the variant on a 3D structure. This significantly improves variant pathogenicity interpretation and understanding of underlying disease mechanisms. The application of protein-specific predictors will contribute to increased diagnostic accuracy and reduced time to reach a clinical diagnosis, which is otherwise labor and cost intensive. Moreover, it will promote clinical research and the development of treatment strategies.

Given the ever-growing amount of genetic screening data, PRESR will be continuously updated as the number of known MUNC18-1 variants increases [see ([6\)](#page-10-3)], thereby improving prediction performance. As we extend our understanding of protein sequences and structures, new features can be added to our computation workflow that will broaden our understanding of functional impacts of variants. This can help in the discovery of novel disease mechanisms, which can be experimentally validated by specialized assays such as the ones described in this framework. This framework can be further extended to other monogenic disorders. Previous predictors tend toward a generic framework, which is sensible when disease/neutral variants are relatively rare. More recent predictors have focused on individual genes or families with a similar improved performance [e.g., FunNCion ([35](#page-11-8))]. However, today, many diseases have hundreds of known genetic variants, and the explosion in high-throughput sequencing means that there are now hundreds or thousands of neutral variants for virtually all human proteins ([37](#page-11-11)). There are currently 429 proteins for which at least 50 disease-associated variants are reported in either UniProt ([38](#page-11-12)) or ClinVar [\(61\)](#page-11-30) (see Methods and Materials) ([Table S1F](#page-11-13) in [Supplement 2](#page-11-13)). This means that it is conceivable for many diseases/genes to consider specific, rather than generic, pandisease, pangene approaches because they will have sufficient data to train a learning algorithm. The feature space can be automatically tailored to the protein of interest using a feature selection method (such as lasso regression used in this study), and an interpretable machine learning–based algorithm (such as logistic regression) will rank the features based on their relevance. Moreover, the recent great improvement in the accuracy of protein 3D structure prediction by AlphaFold ([36](#page-11-9)) means that the workflow

could be applied to proteins that lack experimental structures. To maximize the potential of protein-specific prediction algorithms, variants of candidate proteins should harbor high penetrance for disease traits. The combination with biochemical/biological assays provides a more in-depth understanding of general pathogenicity mechanisms and identification of atypical variants.

Such tailored predictions could also be helpful for identifying critical interfaces for binding partners (proteins, lipids, and other ligands). The PRESR webserver ([PRESR.](http://PRESR.russelllab.org) [russelllab.org](http://PRESR.russelllab.org)) reveals critical surface residues in the 3D structure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND DISCLOSURES

This work was supported by German Research Foundation (Grant No. 112927078 - TRR83 [to TA, THS]), and DFG; (Grant No. 278001972 - TRR186 [to CF and THS]); Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschungfunded de.NBI HD-HuB network (Grant No. 031A537C [to RBR, GS, and TS]); Wellcome Trust (Grant No. 210585/B/18/Z [to GDD]); European Research Council (Advanced Grant No. 322966 [to MV]); and NWO Gravitation program BRAINSCAPES (Grant No. NWO: 024.004.012 [to MV]).

TA, AAvB, RBR, RFT, GS, THS, and MV were responsible for conceptualization. TA, AAvB, GDD, ETA, TS, and GS were responsible for methodology. TA, AAvB, LB, JM, TS, and GS were responsible for investigation. TA, AAvB, GS, and ETA were responsible for visualization. CF, RBR, THS, and MV were responsible for funding acquisition. CF, RFT, RBR, THS, and MV were responsible for supervision. TA and AAvB were responsible for writing the original draft of the manuscript. TA, AAvB, GS, ETA, GDD, CF, RBR, THS, RFT, and MV were responsible for reviewing and editing the manuscript.

We thank Joke Wortel, Joost Hoetjes, Ingrid Saarloos, Lisa Laan, Desiree Schut, Robbert Zalm, Kerstin Rink, and Ana Carreras Mascaro for animal breeding, genotyping, cloning, Western blots, glia cells, neuronal and HEKcell cultures, and reviewer experiments and Dr. Reinhard Liebers for fruitful discussion of the initial manuscript.

The PRESR web application is available at PRESR.russelllab.org. Code and machine learning data can be accessed at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/russelllab/PRESR) [russelllab/PRESR.](https://github.com/russelllab/PRESR) All other data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

The authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

From the Heidelberg University Biochemistry Centre, Heidelberg, Germany (TA, GS, GDD, TS, LB, JM, RBR, THS); BioQuant, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany (GS, GDD, TS, RBR); Department of Functional Genomics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (AAvB, RFT, MV); Department of Clinical Genetics, Center for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research (CNRC), University Medical Center Amsterdam; Amsterdam 1081 HV, the Netherlands (AAvB, MV); Laboratory of Protein Biochemistry, Institute for Chemistry and Biochemistry, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany (ETA, CF); Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany (ETA); and Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt (ETA).

TA, AAvB, and GS contributed equally to this work.

RBR, MV, and THS are joint senior authors.

Address correspondence to Thomas H. Söllner, Ph.D., at [thomas.](mailto:thomas.soellner@bzh.uni-heidelberg.de) [soellner@bzh.uni-heidelberg.de,](mailto:thomas.soellner@bzh.uni-heidelberg.de) or Matthijs Verhage, Ph.D., at [matthijs@](mailto:matthijs@cncr.vu.nl) [cncr.vu.nl.](mailto:matthijs@cncr.vu.nl)

Received Jun 26, 2023; revised Mar 4, 2024; accepted Mar 5, 2024. Supplementary material cited in this article is available online at [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2024.03.007) doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2024.03.007.

- 1. [Abramov D, Guiberson NGL, Burré J \(2021\): STXBP1 encephalopa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref1)[thies: Clinical spectrum, disease mechanisms, and therapeutic stra](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref1)[tegies. J Neurochem 157:165](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref1)–178.
- 2. [López-Rivera JA, Pérez-Palma E, Symonds J, Lindy AS, McKnight DA,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref2) Leu C, et al. [\(2020\): A catalogue of new incidence estimates of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref2) [monogenic neurodevelopmental disorders caused by de novo vari](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref2)[ants. Brain 143:1099](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref2)–1105.
- 3. [Saitsu H, Kato M, Mizuguchi T, Hamada K, Osaka H, Tohyama J,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref3) et al. [\(2008\): De novo mutations in the gene encoding STXBP1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref3) [\(MUNC18-1\) cause early infantile epileptic encephalopathy. Nat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref3) [Genet 40:782](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref3)–788.
- 4. [Stamberger H, Nikanorova M, Willemsen MH, Accorsi P, Angriman M,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref4) Baier H, et al. [\(2016\): STXBP1 encephalopathy: A neurodevelopmental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref4) [disorder including epilepsy. Neurology 86:954](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref4)–962.
- 5. [Verhage M, Sørensen JB \(2020\): SNAREopathies: Diversity in mech](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref5)[anisms and symptoms. Neuron 107:22](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref5)–37.
- [Xian J, Parthasarathy S, Ruggiero SM, Balagura G, Fitch E, Helbig K,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref6) et al. [\(2022\): Assessing the landscape of STXBP1-related disorders in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref6) [534 individuals. Brain 145:1668](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref6)–1683.
- 7. [De Rubeis S, He X, Goldberg AP, Poultney CS, Samocha K, Cicek AE,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref7) et al. [\(2014\): Synaptic, transcriptional and chromatin genes disrupted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref7) [in autism. Nature 515:209](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref7)–215.
- 8. [Hamdan FF, Myers CT, Cossette P, Lemay P, Spiegelman D,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref8) Laporte AD, et al. [\(2017\): High rate of recurrent de novo mutations in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref8) [developmental and epileptic encephalopathies. Am J Hum Genet](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref8) [101:664](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref8)–685.
- 9. [Lindy AS, Stosser MB, Butler E, Downtain-Pickersgill C,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref9) Shanmugham A, Retterer K, et al. [\(2018\): Diagnostic outcomes for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref9) [genetic testing of 70 genes in 8565 patients with epilepsy and neu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref9)[rodevelopmental disorders. Epilepsia 59:1062](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref9)–1071.
- 10. [Mignot C, Moutard ML, Trouillard O, Gour](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref10)finkel-An I, Jacquette A, Arveiler B, et al. [\(2011\): STXBP1-related encephalopathy presenting as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref10) [infantile spasms and generalized tremor in three patients. Epilepsia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref10) [52:1820](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref10)–1827.
- 11. [Olson HE, Tambunan D, LaCoursiere C, Goldenberg M, Pinsky R,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref11) Martin E, et al. [\(2015\): Mutations in epilepsy and intellectual disability](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref11) [genes in patients with features of Rett syndrome. Am J Med Genet A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref11) [167A:2017](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref11)–2025.
- 12. [Otsuka M, Oguni H, Liang JS, Ikeda H, Imai K, Hirasawa K,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref12) et al. (2010): [STXBP1 mutations cause not only Ohtahara syndrome but also West](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref12) syndrome—[Result of Japanese cohort study. Epilepsia 51:2449](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref12)–2452.
- 13. [Romaniello R, Saettini F, Panzeri E, Arrigoni F, Bassi MT, Borgatti R](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref13) [\(2015\): A de-novo STXBP1 gene mutation in a patient showing the Rett](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref13) [syndrome phenotype. NeuroReport 26:254](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref13)–257.
- 14. [André T, Classen J, Brenner P, Betts MJ, Dörr B, Kreye S,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref14) et al. (2020): [The interaction of Munc18-1 helix 11 and 12 with the Central Region of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref14) [the VAMP2 SNARE motif is essential for SNARE templating and syn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref14)[aptic transmission. eNeuro 7:ENEURO.0278-20.2020](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref14).
- 15. [Jakhanwal S, Lee CT, Urlaub H, Jahn R \(2017\): An activated Q-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref15)[SNARE/SM protein complex as a possible intermediate in SNARE](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref15) [assembly. EMBO J 36:1788](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref15)–1802.
- 16. [Jiao J, He M, Port SA, Baker RW, Xu Y, Qu H,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref16) et al. (2018): Munc18-1 [catalyzes neuronal SNARE assembly by templating SNARE associa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref16)[tion. eLife 7:e41771.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref16)
- 17. [Meijer M, Dörr B, Lammertse HC, Blithikioti C, van Weering JR,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref17) Toonen RF, et al. [\(2018\): Tyrosine phosphorylation of Munc18-1 in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref17)[hibits synaptic transmission by preventing SNARE assembly. EMBO J](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref17) [37:300](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref17)–320.
- 18. [Munch AS, Kedar GH, van Weering JR, Vazquez-Sanchez S, He E,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref18) André T, et al. [\(2016\): Extension of helix 12 in Munc18-1 induces](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref18) [vesicle priming. J Neurosci 36:6881](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref18)–6891.
- 19. [Parisotto D, Pfau M, Scheutzow A, Wild K, Mayer MP, Malsam J,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref19) et al. [\(2014\): An extended helical conformation in domain 3a of Munc18-1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref19) [provides a template for SNARE \(soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref19) [factor attachment protein receptor\) complex assembly. J Biol Chem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref19) [289:9639](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref19)–9650.
- 20. [Schollmeier Y, Krause JM, Kreye S, Malsam J, Söllner TH \(2011\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref20) [Resolving the function of distinct Munc18-1/SNARE protein interaction](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref20)

[modes in a reconstituted membrane fusion assay. J Biol Chem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref20) [286:30582](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref20)–30590.

- 21. [Shen J, Tareste DC, Paumet F, Rothman JE, Melia TJ \(2007\): Selective](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref21) [activation of cognate SNAREpins by Sec1/Munc18 proteins. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref21) [128:183](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref21)–195.
- 22. [Sitarska E, Xu J, Park S, Liu X, Quade B, Stepien K,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref22) et al. (2017): [Autoinhibition of Munc18-1 modulates synaptobrevin binding and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref22) [helps to enable Munc13-dependent regulation of membrane fusion.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref22) [eLife 6:e24278](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref22).
- 23. [Verhage M, Maia AS, Plomp JJ, Brussaard AB, Heeroma JH,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref23) Vermeer H, et al. [\(2000\): Synaptic assembly of the brain in the absence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref23) [of neurotransmitter secretion. Science 287:864](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref23)–869.
- 24. [Martin S, Papadopulos A, Tomatis VM, Sierecki E, Malintan NT,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref24) Gormal RS, et al. [\(2014\): Increased polyubiquitination and proteasomal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref24) [degradation of a Munc18-1 disease-linked mutant causes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref24) [temperature-sensitive defect in exocytosis. Cell Rep 9:206](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref24)–218.
- 25. [Saitsu H, Kato M, Okada I, Orii KE, Higuchi T, Hoshino H,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref25) et al. (2010): [STXBP1 mutations in early infantile epileptic encephalopathy with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref25) [suppression-burst pattern. Epilepsia 51:2397](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref25)–2405.
- 26. [Kovacevic J, Maroteaux G, Schut D, Loos M, Dubey M, Pitsch J,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref26) et al. [\(2018\): Protein instability, haploinsuf](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref26)ficiency, and cortical hyper[excitability underlie STXBP1 encephalopathy. Brain 141:1350](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref26)–1374.
- 27. [Chai YJ, Sierecki E, Tomatis VM, Gormal RS, Giles N, Morrow IC,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref27) et al. [\(2016\): Munc18-1 is a molecular chaperone for alpha-synuclein, con](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref27)[trolling its self-replicating aggregation. J Cell Biol 214:705](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref27)–718.
- 28. [Guiberson NGL, Pineda A, Abramov D, Kharel P, Carnazza KE,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref28) Wragg RT, et al. [\(2018\): Mechanism-based rescue of Munc18-1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref28) [dysfunction in varied encephalopathies by chemical chaperones. Nat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref28) [Commun 9:3986](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref28).
- 29. [Balagura G, Xian J, Riva A, Marchese F, Ben Zeev BB, Rios L,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref29) et al. [\(2022\): Epilepsy course and developmental trajectories in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref29) STXBP1- [DEE. Neurol Genet 8:e676.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref29)
- 30. [Stamberger H, Crosiers D, Balagura G, Bonardi CM, Basu A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref30) Cantalupo G, et al. [\(2022\): Natural history study of STXBP1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref30) [developmental and epileptic encephalopathy into adulthood.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref30) [Neurology 99:e221](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref30)–e233.
- 31. [Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref31) Bork P, et al. [\(2010\): A method and server for predicting damaging](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref31) [missense mutations. Nat Methods 7:248](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref31)–249.
- 32. [López-Ferrando V, Gazzo A, de la Cruz X, Orozco M, Gelpí JL \(2017\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref32) [PMut: A web-based tool for the annotation of pathological variants on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref32) [proteins, 2017 update. Nucleic Acids Res 45:W222](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref32)–W228.
- 33. [Reva B, Antipin Y, Sander C \(2011\): Predicting the functional impact of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref33) [protein mutations: Application to cancer genomics. Nucleic Acids Res](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref33) [39:e118](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref33).
- 34. [Vaser R, Adusumalli S, Leng SN, Sikic M, Ng PC \(2016\): SIFT missense](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref34) [predictions for genomes. Nat Protoc 11:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref34)–9.
- 35. [Heyne HO, Baez-Nieto D, Iqbal S, Palmer DS, Brunklaus A, May P,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref35) et al. [\(2020\): Predicting functional effects of missense variants in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref35) [voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels. Sci Transl Med 12:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref35) [eaay6848](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref35).
- 36. [Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref36) et al. [\(2021\): Highly accurate protein structure prediction with Alpha-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref36)[Fold. Nature 596:583](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref36)–589.
- 37. [Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alföldi J, Wang Q,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref37) et al. [\(2020\): The mutational constraint spectrum quanti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref37)fied from [variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 581:434](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref37)–443.
- 38. [UniProt Consortium \(2021\): UniProt: The universal protein knowl](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref38)[edgebase in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res 49:D480](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref38)–D489.
- 39. [Malsam J, Parisotto D, Bharat TA, Scheutzow A, Krause JM,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref39) [Briggs JA, Söllner TH \(2012\): Complexin arrests a pool of docked](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref39) [vesicles for fast Ca2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref39)+[-dependent release. EMBO J 31:3270](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref39)-[3281.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref39)
- 40. [Arunachalam L, Han L, Tassew NG, He Y, Wang L, Xie L,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref40) et al. (2008): [Munc18-1 is critical for plasma membrane localization of syntaxin1 but](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref40) [not of SNAP-25 in PC12 cells. Mol Biol Cell 19:722](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref40)–734.
- 41. [Han L, Jiang T, Han GA, Malintan NT, Xie L, Wang L,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref41) et al. (2009): [Rescue of Munc18-1 and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref41) -2 double knockdown reveals the essential [functions of interaction between Munc18 and closed syntaxin in PC12](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref41) [cells. Mol Biol Cell 20:4962](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref41)–4975.
- 42. [McEwen JM, Kaplan JM \(2008\): UNC-18 promotes both the antero](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref42)grade traffi[cking and synaptic function of syntaxin. Mol Biol Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref42) [19:3836](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref42)–3846.
- 43. [Misura KM, Scheller RH, Weis WI \(2000\): Three-dimensional structure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref43) [of the neuronal-Sec1-syntaxin 1a complex. Nature 404:355](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref43)–362.
- 44. [Rizo J, Südhof TC \(2012\): The membrane fusion enigma: SNAREs,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref44) [Sec1/Munc18 proteins, and their accomplices](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref44)—Guilty as charged? [Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 28:279](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref44)–308.
- 45. [Alford RF, Leaver-Fay A, Jeliazkov JR, O](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref45)'Meara MJ, DiMaio FP, Park H, et al. [\(2017\): The Rosetta all-atom energy function for macromolecular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref45) [modeling and design. J Chem Theory Comput 13:3031](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref45)–3048.
- 46. [Chaudhury S, Lyskov S, Gray JJ \(2010\): PyRosetta: A script-based](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref46) [interface for implementing molecular modeling algorithms using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref46) [Rosetta. Bioinformatics 26:689](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref46)–691.
- 47. [Mitternacht S \(2016\): FreeSASA: An open source C library for solvent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref47) [accessible surface area calculations. F1000Res 5:189.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref47)
- 48. [Ma L, Rebane AA, Yang G, Xi Z, Kang Y, Gao Y, Zhang Y \(2015\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref48) [Munc18-1-regulated stage-wise SNARE assembly underlying synaptic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref48) [exocytosis. eLife 4:e09580](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref48).
- 49. [Rizo J \(2022\): Molecular mechanisms underlying neurotransmitter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref49) [release. Annu Rev Biophys 51:377](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref49)–408.
- 50. [Zhang Y, Hughson FM \(2021\): Chaperoning SNARE folding and as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref50)[sembly. Annu Rev Biochem 90:581](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref50)–603.
- 51. [Salzberg SL \(1997\): On comparing classi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref51)fiers: Pitfalls to avoid and a [recommended approach. Data Min Knowl Discov 1:317](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref51)–328.
- 52. [Baldi P, Brunak S, Chauvin Y, Andersen CA, Nielsen H \(2000\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref52) [Assessing the accuracy of prediction algorithms for classi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref52)fication: An [overview. Bioinformatics 16:412](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref52)–424.
- 53. [Chicco D, Jurman G \(2020\): The advantages of the Matthews corre](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref53)lation coeffi[cient \(MCC\) over F1 score and accuracy in binary classi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref53)fi[cation evaluation. BMC Genomics 21:6.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref53)
- 54. [Dou Y, Wang J, Yang J, Zhang C \(2012\): L1pred: A sequence-based](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref54) [prediction tool for catalytic residues in enzymes with the L1-logreg](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref54) classifi[er. PLoS One 7:e35666](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref54).
- 55. [Singh G, Inoue A, Gutkind JS, Russell RB, Raimondi F \(2019\): PRE-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref55)[COG: PREdicting COupling probabilities of G-protein coupled re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref55)[ceptors. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W395](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref55)–W401.
- 56. [Zhu B, Mak JCH, Morris AP, Marson AG, Barclay JW, Sills GJ,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref56) [Morgan A \(2020\): Functional analysis of epilepsy-associated variants](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref56) [in STXBP1/Munc18-1 using humanized Caenorhabditis elegans. Epi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref56)[lepsia 61:810](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref56)–821.
- 57. [Baker RW, Jeffrey PD, Zick M, Phillips BP, Wickner WT, Hughson FM](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref57) [\(2015\): A direct role for the Sec1/Munc18-family protein Vps33 as a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref57) [template for SNARE assembly. Science 349:1111](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref57)–1114.
- 58. [Casadio R, Vassura M, Tiwari S, Fariselli P, Luigi Martelli P \(2011\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref58) [Correlating disease-related mutations to their effect on protein stability: A](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref58) [large-scale analysis of the human proteome. Hum Mutat 32:1161](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref58)–1170.
- 59. [Sahni N, Yi S, Taipale M, Fuxman Bass JI, Coulombe-Huntington J,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref59) Yang F, et al. [\(2015\): Widespread macromolecular interaction pertur](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref59)[bations in human genetic disorders. Cell 161:647](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref59)–660.
- 60. [Moriya H \(2015\): Quantitative nature of overexpression experiments.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref60) [Mol Biol Cell 26:3932](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref60)–3939.
- 61. [Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Riley GR, Jang W, Rubinstein WS, Church DM,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref61) [Maglott DR \(2014\): ClinVar: Public archive of relationships among](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref61) [sequence variation and human phenotype. Nucleic Acids Res](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref61) [42:D980](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0006-3223(24)01145-4/sref61)–D985.