Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Marcel Weber, University Hospital Bonn, Germany

REVIEWED BY Lukas Boehm.

Lukas Boehm, University Hospital Bonn, Germany Mitsumasa Sudo, Nihon University, Japan Federico Fortuni, Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC), Netherlands

*CORRESPONDENCE Fabian Barbieri ⊠ fabian.barbieri@dhzc-charite.de ₩@FabianBarbieri5

RECEIVED 20 March 2023 ACCEPTED 10 July 2023 PUBLISHED 07 August 2023

CITATION

Barbieri F, Mattig I, Beyhoff N, Thevathasan T, Romero Dorta E, Skurk C, Stangl K, Landmesser U, Kasner M, Dreger H and Reinthaler M (2023) Procedural success of transcatheter annuloplasty in ventricular and atrial functional tricuspid regurgitation. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 10:1189920. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2023.1189920

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Barbieri, Mattig, Beyhoff, Thevathasan, Romero Dorta, Skurk, Stangl, Landmesser, Kasner, Dreger and Reinthaler. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Procedural success of transcatheter annuloplasty in ventricular and atrial functional tricuspid regurgitation

Fabian Barbieri^{1*}, Isabel Mattig^{1,2,3}, Niklas Beyhoff^{1,3}, Tharusan Thevathasan^{1,3,4}, Elena Romero Dorta¹, Carsten Skurk^{1,3}, Karl Stangl^{1,2}, Ulf Landmesser^{1,2,3}, Mario Kasner¹, Henryk Dreger^{1,2} and Markus Reinthaler^{1,5}

¹Deutsches Herzzentrum der Charité, Department of Cardiology, Angiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Berlin, Germany, ²DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ³Berlin Institute of Health at Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, BIH Biomedical Innovation Academy, Berlin, Germany, ⁴Institute of Medical Informatics, Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁵Institute of Active Polymers and Berlin-Brandenburg Center for Regenerative Therapies, Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon, Teltow, Germany

Background: Transcatheter annuloplasty is meant to target annular dilatation and is therefore mainly applied in functional tricuspid regurgitation (TR). Due to recent recognition of varying disease pathophysiology and differentiation of ventricular and atrial functional TR (VFTR and AFTR), comparative data regarding procedural success for both disease entities are required.

Methods: In this consecutively enrolled observational cohort study, 65 patients undergoing transcatheter annuloplasty with a Cardioband[®] device were divided into VFTR (n = 35, 53.8%) and AFTR (n = 30, 46.2%). Procedural success was assessed by comparing changes in annulus dilatation, vena contracta (VC) width, effective regurgitation orifice area (EROA), as well as reduction in TR severity.

Results: Overall, improvement of TR by at least two grades was achieved in 59 patients (90.8%), and improvement of TR by at least three grades was realised in 32 patients (49.2%). Residual TR of ≤ 2 was observed in 52 patients (80.0%). No significant differences in annulus diameter reduction [VFTR: 11 mm (9–13) vs. AFTR: 12 mm (9–16), p = 0.210], VC reduction [12 mm (8–14) vs. 12 mm (7–14), p = 0.868], and EROA reduction [0.62 cm² (0.45–1.10) vs. 0.54 cm² (0.40–0.70), p = 0.204] were reported. Improvement by at least two grades [27 (90.0%) vs. 32 (91.4%), p = 1.0] and three grades [14 (46.7%) vs. 18 (51.4%), p = 0.805] was similar in VFTR and AFTR, respectively. No significant difference in the accomplishment of TR grade of ≤ 2 [21 (70.0%) vs. 31 (88.6%), p = 0.118] was noted.

Conclusion: According to our results from a real-world scenario, transcatheter annuloplasty with the Cardioband[®] device may be applied in both VFTR and AFTR with evidence of significant procedural TR reduction.

KEYWORDS

atrial functional tricuspid regurgitation, ventricular functional tricuspid regurgitation, transcatheter annuloplasty, Cardioband[®], interventional echocardiography

Introduction

Tricuspid regurgitation (TR) is on the verge to become one of the major valvular heart diseases in high-income countries equally affecting morbidity and mortality (1, 2). Epidemiological data have shown a prevalence of significant TR in up to 2.7% of adult patients and were able to reveal an association with increasing age, irrespective of gender (1). Recent studies, which proposed new grading schemes, also revealed the prognostic implications by describing increased mortality rates in torrential TR compared with the ones already immanent in severe forms (3, 4). On the other hand, even mild TR was also associated with an elevated risk for mortality (5).

Although unappreciated for a long time due to poor surgical with high periprocedural mortality, outcomes recent advancements in interventional therapy have shed new light on different pathophysiologies driving disease progression as they also seem to further impact mortality (6, 7). Major factors contributing to the increasing number of patients suffering from functional TR are atrial fibrillation and left-sided heart failure. Nearly one-third of patients with new-onset atrial fibrillation were found to develop significant TR in a population-based study, also affecting their outcome (8). Similar observations were made in large-scale cohorts for heart failure, although the prevalence was lower during follow-up (9). These observations have led to novel sub-classifications, which stand in analogy to the ones for secondary mitral regurgitation, with adherent prognostic relevance (10-12). Yet, only limited data on the different subtypes of functional TR and their effect on interventional valve therapy exist. At present, a single publication investigating the impact of atrial functional TR (AFTR) on procedural outcome with transcatheter edge-to-edge repair (TEER) is found (11). Another option for therapy of TR is transcatheter annuloplasty by using the Cardioband® (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) system. The concept to treat annular dilatation, the dominant factor for development of AFTR, would presume that this patient cohort may respond better to therapy by improved procedural outcomes compared with patients suffering from ventricular functional TR (VFTR) (12, 13). As this concept is still unproven, we set out to investigate procedural results of patients undergoing transcatheter annuloplasty for secondary TR according to their aetiology in a consecutively enrolled observational study cohort.

Material and methods

Study design and population

This observational multicentre study was conducted at two tertiary care facilities of the Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin (Campus Benjamin Franklin and Campus Mitte) in Germany. All patients, who received catheter-based annuloplasty for symptomatic TR, have been screened and consecutively enrolled since the beginning of the dedicated institutional TR program in 2019. Overall, 67 patients were treated based on interdisciplinary heart team decision between February 2019 and January 2023. One patient was excluded from analysis due to a predominantly primary TR with an anterior leaflet prolapse, while another patient was excluded due to a mixed aetiology of TR. Patients with simultaneous finding of severe mitral regurgitation and TR received treatment for mitral regurgitation first and then transcatheter annuloplasty for TR at a later stage (>2 months later). The Institutional Review Board/ethics committee approved the conduction of this trial at each campus (EA4/013/21 and EA1/005/23).

Echocardiography

Transthoracic and transoesophageal echocardiographies were conducted in every patient as part of routine clinical examination to evaluate the necessity and feasibility of tricuspid valve intervention. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), fractional area change (FAC), tenting height, and the calculated systolic pulmonary artery pressure were all assessed during transthoracic echocardiography (14). Tenting height of the tricuspid valve was measured in the apical four-chamber view (11).

Annulus measurements and assessment of TR severity [including vena contracta (VC) width and effective regurgitation orifice area (EROA)] were performed at the beginning and end of each procedure by transoesophageal echocardiography in the presence of general anaesthesia. Grading of TR severity was conducted according to recently proposed algorithms ranging from "none/trace" to "torrential" (3). To respect the individuality of both disease entities, AFTR and VFTR, measurements of the tricuspid annulus were taken in a biplane view [anterior to posterior (mid-oesophageal short-axis view), septal to lateral (RV inflow view)]. Presented values reflect the mean of both measurements. The VC width and the proximal isovelocity surface area (PISA) radius were assessed in a similar manner (15).

Definition of aetiology

Aetiology of TR was classified either as primary, cardiac implantable electronic device (CIED)-related, atrial functional, ventricular functional, or mixed. Primary TR was defined by the presence of organic abnormalities to valve morphology, such as prolapse or flail leaflet, resulting in malcoaptation or leaflet deficiency. TR was declared as CIED-related, whenever leaflet impingement or perforation by pacemaker or defibrillator leads was observed. Differentiation of functional TR was achieved by an integrative assessment of multiple parameters. TR was classified as AFTR, whenever right atrial enlargement with consequent tricuspid annulus dilatation was suspected as the main driver for development of TR. These patients typically yielded preserved right ventricular (RV)



FIGURE 1

Apical four-chamber view of a patient with atrial functional tricuspid regurgitation. Tricuspid regurgitation is mainly caused by right atrial enlargement with consequent tricuspid annulus dilatation (red arrow). The right ventricle is triangular shaped (yellow line) without remodelling or dilatation (blue arrow), and the coaptation line is found at the height of the tricuspid annulus (<10 mm tenting height).

function, absence of severe RV remodelling (triangular-shaped), and no or only mildly elevated pulmonary artery pressures (**Figure 1**). On the other hand, VFTR was defined by the presence of RV dysfunction with RV remodelling (midventricular RV dilatation more pronounced than tricuspid annulus dilatation) and corresponding leaflet tethering or tenting (>10 mm). A line of coaptation was therefore typically found below the tricuspid annulus (**Figure 2**). TR was assumed to be of mixed aetiology, if two or more patterns were found to be of equal dominance (11–13).

Statistical analyses

Continuous variables were described as median and interquartile range and categorical variables as number and percentage. Distribution of continuous variables was assessed by the Shapiro– Wilk test and inspection of quantile–quantile plots. Calculation of differences in continuous variables was either conducted by *t*-tests or Mann–Whitney *U* tests, according to their distribution. Differences between categorical variables were assessed by the Chi square or Fisher's exact test, whenever applicable. Univariate calculations for good response to therapy (improvement by at least three grades) were conducted by using the same tests. Figures were designed with GraphPad PRISM version 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA), and statistical analyses were conducted by using IBM SPSS version 21 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). *p*-Values of 0.05 or less were considered significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

All baseline characteristics are displayed in **Table 1**. Out of the 65 patients analysed, 35 (53.8%) were classified as VFTR, whereas 30 (46.2%) fulfilled the criteria for AFTR. Almost two-thirds of the cohort (n = 46, 63.1%) were female with a median age of 80 (interquartile range: 77–83) years. All enrolled patients were found to be symptomatic with dyspnoea at exertion being the most relevant clinical symptom (New York Heart Association class II: n = 12, 18.5%; class III: n = 49, 75.4%; class IV: n = 4, 6.2%). The median LVEF was 53% (46–61), while TAPSE and FAC, as markers for right heart function, were 19 mm (17–22) and 37.5% (33.7–41.7), respectively. Overall, the tenting height was 6 mm (3–11), and the calculated systolic pulmonary pressure was 45 mmHg (38–56).

Differences in baseline criteria between VFTR and AFTR were mainly restricted to echocardiographic parameters. RV function was significantly better in AFTR [TAPSE: 18 mm (14–21) vs. 20 mm (18–22), p = 0.017; FAC: 33.7% (28.0–38.3) vs. 39.3% (36.7–43.6), p < 0.001], respectively. Furthermore, RV area measurements were significantly enlarged in patients with VFTR [diastolic RV area: 24.9 cm² (20.3–34.7) vs. 21.9 cm² (17.3–26.3), p = 0.037; systolic RV area: 16.2 cm² (13.7–22.8) vs. 12.9 cm² (10.7–16.1), p = 0.001]. The midventricular RV diameter was more pronounced in VFTR [37.5 mm (33.0–47.8) vs. 33.0 mm (27.8–40.5) p = 0.012). No difference in right atrial volume



between both groups (p = 0.594) was seen. As expected, the calculated systolic pulmonary artery pressure was significantly higher in VFTR [51 mmHg (43–60) vs. 43 mmHg (37–52), p = 0.049], while the tenting height was significantly lower in AFTR [11 mm (6–13) vs. 3 mm (2–5), p < 0.001]. No significant differences regarding the symptomatic state of the patients as well as medical pre-treatment were observed.

Procedure-associated data

Procedure-associated data and their differences between VFTR and AFTR are displayed in Table 2. Overall, the transoesophageal echocardiography demonstrated significant annulus dilatation [43 mm (40-46)] in all patients. The majority of the patients were either found to have massive (n = 25, n = 25)38.5%) or torrential TR (n = 27, 41.5%) with accordance to the VC width [15 mm (12-20)] and EROA [0.74 cm² (0.60-1.30)]. Cut-to-suture time was 169 min (144-230), and fluoroscopy time was 3,770 s (2,672-4,456). Implantation of the device was successful in all but one patient (n = 64, 98.5%), in whom implantation was not attempted after right coronary artery dissection at the beginning of the procedure requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Persistent injury to the right coronary artery (either stenosis or perforation) necessitating percutaneous intervention occurred in three (4.6%) patients and transient bradycardia in four (6.2%) patients. One (1.5%) major access site complication led to postponement of Cardioband® implantation. Improvement by at least two grades was achieved in 59 (90.8%) patients, and improvement by at least three grades was realised in 32 (49.2%) patients. TR of grade 2 or less was accomplished in 52 (80%) of patients (Figure 3). No case of periprocedural mortality was found.

Patients classified as AFTR were found to have numerically higher dilated annuli [42 mm (39–44) vs. 43 mm (40–49), p = 0.050] and significantly reduced EROA [0.93 cm² (0.70–1.50) vs. 0.64 cm² (0.54–0.90), p = 0.011]. Nonetheless, there were no significant differences in annulus diameter reduction [11 mm (9–13) vs. 12 mm (9–16), p = 0.210], VC reduction [12 mm (8–14) vs. 12 mm (7–14), p = 0.868], and EROA reduction [0.62 cm² (0.45–1.10) vs. 0.54 cm² (0.40–0.70), p = 0.204]. Furthermore, no differences regarding cut-to-suture time (p = 0.200), fluoroscopy time (p = 0.095), and radiation exposure (p = 0.257) were reported. Improvement by at least two grades [27 (90.0%) vs. 32 (91.4%), p = 1.000] and three grades [14 (46.7%) vs. 18 (51.4%), p = 0.805] were similar in VFTR and AFTR, respectively. No significant difference in the accomplishment of TR grade of 2 or less [21 (70.0%) vs. 31 (88.6%), p = 0.118; Figure 3] was noted.

Predictors of good response to therapy

To assess predictors for good response to therapy, multiple parameters were investigated regarding their predictive value for TR reduction by at least three grades. Unfortunately, neither female sex (p = 0.612), LVEF (p = 0.675), TAPSE (p = 0.440), right atrial volume (p = 0.277), diastolic RV area (p = 0.516), systolic RV area (p = 0.624), FAC (p = 0.593), calculated systolic

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics.

Variable	Overall (<i>n</i> = 65)	Ventricular functional TR ($n = 35$)	Atrial functional TR ($n = 30$)	<i>p-</i> Value			
Age (years)	80 (77-83)	80 (77–84)	80 (76-83)	0.989			
Gender (female), n (%)	41 (63.1)	19 (63.3)	22 (62.9)	1.000			
History of peripheral oedema, n (%)	46 (70.8)	23 (76.7)	23 (65.7)	0.416			
History of ascites, n (%)	9 (13.8)	4 (13.3)	5 (14.3)	1.000			
New York Heart Association class, n (%)				0.064			
II	12 (18.5)	4 (13.3)	8 (22.9)				
III	49 (75.4)	22 (73.3)	27 (77.1)				
IV	4 (6.2)	4 (13.3)	0				
EuroSCORE2	4.25 (3.08-7.55)	5.07 (3.45-11.14)	3.99 (2.90-5.77)	0.074			
Left ventricular ejection fraction (%)	53 (46-61)	50 (43-59)	56 (50-61)	0.095			
Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (mm)	19 (17-22)	18 (14–21)	20 (18–22)	0.017			
Right atrial volume (ml)	142 (108-176)	148 (115–181)	142 (96–173)	0.594			
Diastolic right ventricular area (cm ²)	22.8 (18.7-29.8)	24.9 (20.3-34.7)	21.9 (17.3-26.3)	0.037			
Systolic right ventricular area (cm ²)	14.7 (11.4–17.3)	16.2 (13.7–22.8)	12.9 (10.7–16.1)	0.001			
Fractional area change (%)	37.5 (33.7-41.7)	33.7 (28.0-38.3)	39.3 (36.7-43.6)	<0.001			
Midventricular right ventricular diameter (mm)	35.5 (29.8-43.3)	37.5 (33.0-47.8)	33.0 (27.8–40.5)	0.012			
Calculated systolic pulmonary artery pressure (mmHg)	45 (38-56)	51 (43-60)	43 (37–52)	0.049			
Tricuspid valve tenting (mm)	6 (3-11)	11 (6-13)	3 (2–5)	<0.001			
Cardiac implantable electronic device leads, n (%)	10 (15.4)	5 (17.2)	5 (14.3)	1.000			
Previous interventional therapy of mitral valve, n (%)	14 (21.5)	8 (26.7)	6 (17.1)	0.352			
N-terminal pro-natriuretic peptide (ng/L)	2,511 (1,757-4,263)	2,981 (1,556–5,332)	2,500 (1,876-3,603)	0.462			
Gamma-glutamyl transferase (U/L)	91 (51–167)	86 (51–175)	91 (47–156)	0.599			
Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)	19 (16–24)	19 (13–23)	20 (17–26)	0.325			
Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)	32 (26-38)	30 (26–36)	35 (27-42)	0.185			
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.29 (1.01-1.55)	1.32 (1.20–1.80)	1.25 (0.93-1.46)	0.115			
Estimated glomerular filtration rate (ml/min/1.73 m ²)	45 (35–58)	40 (26–52)	49 (37–60)	0.058			
Medication							
Betablocker, n (%)	58 (89.2)	25 (83.3)	33 (94.3)	0.234			
ACE inhibitor/ARB/ARNI, n (%)	49 (75.4)	24 (80.0)	25 (71.4)	0.566			
Sodium glucose-linked transporter 2, n (%)	16 (24.6)	6 (20.0)	10 (28.6)	0.566			
Loop diuretic, n (%)	61 (93.8)	28 (93.3)	33 (94.3)	1.000			
Thiazide, n (%)	17 (26.2)	9 (30.0)	8 (22.9)	0.579			
Aldosterone antagonist, n (%)	37 (56.9)	17 (56.7)	20 (57.1)	1.000			

Numbers are presented as median (interquartile range) or number of patients (percentage).

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; TR, tricuspid regurgitation.

Values in bold have reached statistical significance ($p \le 0.05$).

pulmonary artery pressure (p = 0.572), tenting height (p = 0.756), CIED leads (p = 0.302), nor annulus diameter (p = 0.702) were found to predict a good procedural outcome.

Discussion

Over the past few years, several catheter-based technologies targeting TR have become available (16). Yet, the question, which device to use in an individual patient to ensure an optimal result, remains unsolved. In functional TR, reversal of annular dilatation may constitute a key component not only in surgical but also in transcatheter-based repair. As both, atrial and ventricular enlargement may cause annular dilatation, this study ought to investigate transcatheter annuloplasty with the Cardioband[®] device for both aetiologies.

Currently, there is only a single publication, which has investigated procedural results of tricuspid valve intervention distinguished by aetiology (11). Similar to our results, Schlotter et al. did not observe any difference in procedural success (AFTR: 86% with TR of ≤ 2 , non-AFTR: 81% with TR of ≤ 2) while applying TEER. Therefore, the detected difference in mortality was rather attributed to the underlying disease, than improvement in TR, also leading to a new definition of AFTR.

Our findings regarding the procedural success in treatment with transcatheter annuloplasty are in line with this study. Even though patients suffering from VFTR were found to have more pronounced forms of TR at baseline, as also expressed by baseline EROA, no significant difference was observed in the changes of VC width and EROA due to transcatheter annuloplasty. The initial difference in severity might also explain the tendency of more frequently achieving moderate or less TR in AFTR. Yet, no discrepancy in reduction by at least two or three grades between both aetiologies was determined. Baseline characteristics significantly differed in terms of RV function, volumes, pulmonary artery pressure, and leaflet tenting

TABLE 2 Intraprocedural data.

Variable	Overall (n = 65)	Ventricular functional TR (n = 35)	Atrial functional TR (<i>n</i> = 30)	<i>p</i> - Value
Annulus diameter baseline (mm)	43 (40-46)	42 (39–44)	43 (40-49)	0.050
Annulus diameter postprocedural (mm)	30 (27–35)	31 (29–33)	29 (27–36)	0.818
Δ annulus diameter (mm)	12 (9–13)	11 (9–13)	12 (9–16)	0.210
Vena contracta width baseline (mm)	15 (12–20)	17 (15–20)	13 (12–19)	0.067
Vena contracta postprocedural (mm)	5 (3-6)	5 (4–7)	3 (2-6)	0.034
Δ vena contracta (mm)	12 (7–14)	12 (8–14)	12 (7–14)	0.868
Effective regurgitation orifice area baseline (cm ²)	0.74 (0.60– 1.30)	0.93 (0.70-1.50)	0.64 (0.54-0.90)	0.011
Effective regurgitation orifice area postprocedural (cm ²)	0.20 (0.10– 0.30)	0.29 (0.18-0.48)	0.19 (0.04–0.29)	0.029
Δ effective regurgitation orifice area (cm ²)	0.58 (0.44– 0.77)	0.62 (0.45-1.10)	0.54 (0.40-0.70)	0.204
Improvement by at least two grades, <i>n</i> (%)	59 (90.8)	27 (90.0)	32 (91.4)	1.000
Improvement by at least three grades, <i>n</i> (%)	32 (49.2)	14 (46.7)	18 (51.4)	1.000
TR of ≤ 2 postprocedural, <i>n</i> (%)	52 (80.0)	21 (70.0)	31 (88.6)	0.118
Device length				0.029
С	2 (3.1)	1 (3.5)	1 (2.9)	
D	7 (10.9)	5 (17.2)	2 (5.7)	
E	20 (31.3)	13 (44.8)	7 (20.0)	
F	35 (54.7)	10 (34.5)	25 (71.4)	
Cut-to-suture time (minutes)	169 (144– 230)	194 (155–236)	158 (136–220)	0.200
Fluoroscopy time (seconds)	3,770 (2,672– 4,456)	3,881 (3,134– 4,738)	3,410 (2,544– 4,433)	0.095
Radiation exposure (grey/cm ²)	67.9 (45.7– 123.9)	74.8 (52.6–158.1)	67.9 (38.8– 106.3)	0.257

Numbers are presented as median (interquartile range) or number of patients (percentage).

 Δ , delta; TR, tricuspid regurgitation.

Values in bold have reached statistical significance ($p \le 0.05$).

height in our cohort, which are by definition ought to be more impaired in VFTR. Furthermore, none of these parameters independently predicted the procedural results, supporting equal efficiency of transcatheter annuloplasty in both cohorts.

In contrast to ventricular dilatation causing annular enlargement and leaflet tethering in the VFTR cohort, the term AFTR suggests a dilatation of the right atrium as a major component in the development of TR. However, right atrial volumes were comparable in both groups, supporting the idea of an existing continuum between both entities. Since impairment of RV function alone will almost certainly increase atrial volumes, an atrial component of TR will be present in most of VFTR patients.

From a pathophysiological point of view, and as demonstrated by our data, direct annuloplasty is able to reverse annular dilation, but not leaflet tethering as the second component of TR. According to these considerations, we expected more pronounced TR reduction with the Cardioband[®] device in the AFTR cohort. In fact, in terms of postprocedural VC, AFTR actually showed better results compared with their ventricular functional counterparts. However, as already mentioned earlier, this result has to be interpreted in the context of a trend towards increased VC values at baseline in the VFTR group. As stated above, the total VC reduction after the procedure was comparable in both groups. It is also important to mention that mid- and longterm postprocedural remodelling may differently behave in VFTR and AFTR. Therefore, investigation of follow-up data may be crucial to better clarify the effect of annuloplasty in both cohorts.

Overall, a reduction in TR severity of at least two degrees was achieved in more than 90% of the cohort. Although 80% of the cohort presented with advanced stages of the disease displaying massive or torrential TR, a TR reduction to moderate TR or less after the procedure was still accomplished in 80% of the patients. These results are comparable to recent investigations, which first described the procedural success of interventional annuloplasty (17). Despite the fact that only procedural success is reported, mid-term persistence of obtained results was already shown by multiple observational trials (18, 19). The causality of symptomatic improvement due to TR reduction was recently described by the TRILUMINATE Pivotal trial (20). Nonetheless, further studies will be needed to investigate the prognostic impact of TR reduction. It remains to be shown, which clinical and echocardiographic parameters are useful to better identify the patients benefiting from interventional valve therapy. In that regard, the concept of proportional and disproportional functional TR seems to be promising (21).

Limitations

Despite lack of follow-up data, this study represents a hypothesis-generating investigation in the largest so far reported patient cohort addressing the procedural outcome after Cardioband[®] in AFTR and VFTR patients. It remains a major difficulty to differentiate later stages of atrial functional TR, which also include RV remodelling due to permanent volume overload of the right heart. Regarding our study, these patients were classified as VFTR. Furthermore, the presence of significant TR may lead to an underestimation of calculated pulmonary artery pressure. Other limitations include the relative small sample size, the absence of mid-



term outcome as well as any functional or mortality data, and a lack of a standardised algorithm to classify both forms of functional TR.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this is the first analysis showing that direct tricuspid annuloplasty might be able to reverse annular dilatation in AFTR and VFTR to a similar extent with a significant decrease in TR severity. We therefore conclude that transcatheter annuloplasty with the Cardioband[®] device may be applied in both groups of patients with significant evidence of procedural TR reduction according to our results from a real-world scenario. However, further studies including follow-up investigations are necessary to confirm our results.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors upon request, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin.

Author contributions

Conceptualisation: FB and MR. Data curation: FB, IM, ED, MK, HD, and MR. Formal analysis: FB and TT. Funding

acquisition: FB, KS, and UL. Investigation: FB, IM, NB, TT, ED, CS, MK, HD, and MR. Methodology: FB, MK, HD, and MR. Project administration: HD and MR. Resources: KS and UL. Software: FB. Supervision: KS, UL, HD, and MR. Validation: FB, HD, and MR. Visualisation: FB, ED, and MK. Writing—original draft: FB and MR. Writing—review and editing: FB, IM, NB, TT, ED, CS, KS, UL, MK, HD, and MR. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

FB reports grant support from Abbott Laboratories and Boston Scientific, speaker honoraria from Edwards Lifesciences, as well as consulting fees from Boston Scientific. IM received grants from Pfizer Pharmaceuticals, Abbott Laboratories, and Edwards Lifesciences as well as lecture fees from Sanofi. UL received personal fees from Abbott Laboratories, Biotronik, and Boston Scientific. HD reports research support from Abbott Laboratories and speaker honoraria from Abbott Laboratories and Edwards Lifesciences.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. d'Arcy JL, Coffey S, Loudon MA, Kennedy A, Pearson-Stuttard J, Birks J, et al. Large-scale community echocardiographic screening reveals a major burden of undiagnosed valvular heart disease in older people: the OxVALVE population cohort study. *Eur Heart J.* (2016) 37(47):3515–22. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw229

2. Topilsky Y, Maltais S, Medina Inojosa J, Oguz D, Michelena H, Maalouf J, et al. Burden of tricuspid regurgitation in patients diagnosed in the community setting. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2019) 12(3):433–42. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2018.06.014

3. Hahn RT, Zamorano JL. The need for a new tricuspid regurgitation grading scheme. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2017) 18(12):1342-3. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jex139

4. Fortuni F, Dietz MF, Prihadi EA, van der Bijl P, De Ferrari GM, Knuuti J, et al. Prognostic implications of a novel algorithm to grade secondary tricuspid regurgitation. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2021) 14(6):1085–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg. 2020.12.011

5. Offen S, Playford D, Strange G, Stewart S, Celermajer DS. Adverse prognostic impact of even mild or moderate tricuspid regurgitation: insights from the national echocardiography database of Australia. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2022) 35(8):810–7. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2022.04.003

6. Scotti A, Sturla M, Granada JF, Kodali SK, Coisne A, Mangieri A, et al. Outcomes of isolated tricuspid valve replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 5,316 patients from 35 studies. *EuroIntervention*. (2022) 18(10):840–51. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-22-00442

7. Galloo X, Dietz MF, Fortuni F, Prihadi EA, Cosyns B, Delgado V, et al. Prognostic implications of atrial vs. ventricular functional tricuspid regurgitation. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. (2023) 24(6):733–41. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jead016

8. Patlolla SH, Schaff HV, Nishimura RA, Stulak JM, Chamberlain AM, Pislaru SV, et al. Incidence and burden of tricuspid regurgitation in patients with atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2022) 80(24):2289–98. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2022.09.045

9. Messika-Zeitoun D, Verta P, Gregson J, Pocock SJ, Boero I, Feldman TE, et al. Impact of tricuspid regurgitation on survival in patients with heart failure: a large electronic health record patient-level database analysis. *Eur J Heart Fail.* (2020) 22 (10):1803–13. doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1830

10. Deferm S, Bertrand PB, Verbrugge FH, Verhaert D, Rega F, Thomas JD, et al. Atrial functional mitral regurgitation: JACC review topic of the week. J Am Coll Cardiol. (2019) 73(19):2465–76. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.02.061

11. Schlotter F, Dietz MF, Stolz L, Kresoja KP, Besler C, Sannino A, et al. Atrial functional tricuspid regurgitation: novel definition and impact on prognosis. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* (2022) 15(9):e011958. doi: 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.122.011958

12. Hahn RT, Badano LP, Bartko PE, Muraru D, Maisano F, Zamorano JL, et al. Tricuspid regurgitation: recent advances in understanding pathophysiology, severity grading and outcome. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2022) 23(7):913–29. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jeac009

13. Praz F, Muraru D, Kreidel F, Lurz P, Hahn RT, Delgado V, et al. Transcatheter treatment for tricuspid valve disease. *EuroIntervention*. (2021) 17(10):791–808. doi: 10. 4244/EIJ-D-21-00695

14. Mitchell C, Rahko PS, Blauwet LA, Canaday B, Finstuen JA, Foster MC, et al. Guidelines for performing a comprehensive transthoracic echocardiographic examination in adults: recommendations from the American Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2019) 32(1):1–64. doi: 10.1016/j.echo. 2018.06.004

15. Lancellotti P, Tribouilloy C, Hagendorff A, Popescu BA, Edvardsen T, Pierard LA, et al. Recommendations for the echocardiographic assessment of native valvular regurgitation: an executive summary from the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging.* (2013) 14(7):611–44. doi: 10.1093/ehjci/jet105

16. Alperi A, Almendárez M, Álvarez R, Moris C, Leon V, Silva I, et al. Transcatheter tricuspid valve interventions: current status and future perspectives. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:994502. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.994502

17. Nickenig G, Friedrichs KP, Baldus S, Arnold M, Seidler T, Hakmi S, et al. Thirtyday outcomes of the Cardioband tricuspid system for patients with symptomatic functional tricuspid regurgitation: the TriBAND study. *EuroIntervention*. (2021) 17 (10):809–17. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-21-00300

18. Gray WA, Abramson SV, Lim S, Fowler D, Smith RL, Grayburn PA, et al. 1-year outcomes of Cardioband tricuspid valve reconstruction system early feasibility study. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* (2022) 15(19):1921–32. doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2022.07.006

19. Nickenig G, Weber M, Schüler R, Hausleiter J, Nabauer M, von Bardeleben RS, et al. Tricuspid valve repair with the Cardioband system: two-year outcomes of the multicentre, prospective TRI-REPAIR study. *EuroIntervention*. (2021) 16(15): e1264–71. doi: 10.4244/EIJ-D-20-01107

20. Sorajja P, Whisenant B, Hamid N, Naik H, Makkar R, Tadros P, et al. Transcatheter repair for patients with tricuspid regurgitation. *N Engl J Med.* (2023) 388(20):1833-42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2300525

21. Fortuni F, Dietz MF, Prihadi EA, van der Bijl P, De Ferrari GM, Bax JJ, et al. Ratio between vena Contracta width and tricuspid annular diameter: prognostic value in secondary tricuspid regurgitation. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* (2021) 34 (9):944–54. doi: 10.1016/j.echo.2021.03.015