

Light-Oxygen-Voltage (LOV)-sensing Domains: Activation Mechanism and Optogenetic Stimulation

Andrea Flores-Ibarra¹, Raiza N. A. Maia², Bence Olasz¹, Jonathan R. Church³, Guillaume Gotthard⁴, Igor Schapiro³, Joachim Heberle⁵ and Przemyslaw Nogly^{1,*}

1 - Dioscuri Center for Structural Dynamics of Receptors, Faculty of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Biotechnology, Jagiellonian University, 30-387 Krakow, Poland

- 2 Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, 78712-1224 Austin, TX, USA
- 3 Institute of Chemistry, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 91905 Jerusalem, Israel
- 4 Swiss Light Source, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland
- 5 Department of Physics, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany

Correspondence to Przemyslaw Nogly: przemyslaw.nogly@uj.edu.pl (P. Nogly) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2023.168356 Edited by Volha Chukhutsina

Abstract

The light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domains of phototropins emerged as essential constituents of lightsensitive proteins, helping initiate blue light-triggered responses. Moreover, these domains have been identified across all kingdoms of life. LOV domains utilize flavin nucleotides as co-factors and undergo structural rearrangements upon exposure to blue light, which activates an effector domain that executes the final output of the photoreaction. LOV domains are versatile photoreceptors that play critical roles in cellular signaling and environmental adaptation; additionally, they can noninvasively sense and control intracellular processes with high spatiotemporal precision, making them ideal candidates for use in optogenetics, where a light signal is linked to a cellular process through a photoreceptor. The ongoing development of LOV-based optogenetic tools, driven by advances in structural biology, spectroscopy, computational methods, and synthetic biology, has the potential to revolutionize the study of biological systems and enable the development of novel therapeutic strategies.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

This introductory review aims to provide the readers with a fundamental understanding of lightoxygen-voltage (LOV) domains while highlighting the vast and multifaceted nature of these domains. This review covers various aspects related to LOV domains, with a particular focus on LOV2 domains. The readers are encouraged to use this text as a starting point for exploring the subject further and delving into specialized reviews and research articles for a deeper understanding of LOV domains, their complex roles in cellular processes, and the latest advancements in such a fascinating field of study. This is exemplified in publications of LOV domains, ranging from mechanistic elucidation¹, design of protein light switches², reviews focused on cell control with light-induced LOV fusion proteins³, and specifically in optogenetics applications⁴ and the special issue published in this journal⁵.

Phototropins were first described as crucial light receptors in plants because they contain LOV domains, which respond to light stimulation and changes in the redox environment. The study of these domains began in the late 1990s with the identification of the *NPH1* gene, which encodes *Arabidopsis thaliana* blue light-activated

0022-2836/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd.This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/). Journal of Molecular Biology xxx (xxxx) xxx

Journal of Molecular Biology xxx (xxxx) xxx

phototropin NPH1 (*At*NPH1), a critical component of the initial steps of phototropism signal transduction⁶. In higher plants, the effects of light on development are controlled by several classes of photoreceptors, including red-light-activated phytochromes, blue/UV receptors UV Resistance Locus 8 (UVR8), and blue-light-activated cryptochromes and phototropins^{7–10}.

Phototropins (Phot1/2) are plasma membraneassociated proteins possessing an effector protein in the C-terminus and hydrophilic chromophore domains (*i.e.*, LOV domains) in the N-terminus¹¹. Specifically, AtNPH1 is a 120-kDa soluble protein containing two flavin mononucleotide (FMN)binding domains^{12,13} and an autophosphorylating serine/threonine kinase output domain^{6,14}. The flavin-binding domains of AtNPH1 share similarities with other blue light proteins discovered in the decade prior to the identification of AtNPH1, such as Halobacterium salinarum (Hs)Bat¹⁵, Neurospora crassa White Collar-1 (NcWC-1)¹⁶, and Bacillus subtilis (Bs)YtvA¹⁷. These domains can be identified by a conserved Cys residue that binds to FMN and is situated within the highly conserved sequence GXNCRFLQ¹⁸. Table 1 summarizes the LOV domains of several species, their C-terminal effector domains, and the conserved active-site residue sequences.

LOV domains have been classified as a subfamily of the Per-ARNT-Sim (PAS) domains, and are described as signaling modules that monitor changes in light, redox potential, and effectors, and participate in protein–protein interactions^{19,20}. LOV domains generally bind to FMN, or less commonly flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), as their chromophore. The binding of FMN to the LOV domain induces structural changes that propagate to the domain surface, altering its intra- and interprotein interactions. Once the function has been exerted, the LOV domains return to a resting state, deemed the dark state, which denotes the end of the LOV photocycle²¹⁻²⁴. Subsequent studies have investigated the signaling pathways triggered by LOV domains and their involvement in inducing structural alterations in effector domains²⁵⁻²⁹, as described in the following sections.

Effectors identified as binding partners of LOV domains include proteins involved in phototropism (Phot1/2)^{14,30–32}, seasonal gene transcription³³, bacterial stress responses^{34–37}, bacterial virulence³⁸, and circadian clock photosensors^{21,39–41}, among others. In association with LOV domains, these molecules control the activation of a diverse range of proteins, including kinases^{11,42,43}, sulfate transporter/anti-sigma factor antagonists⁴⁴, phosphodiesterases²⁹ and phosphatases⁴⁵, and DNA-binding domains^{29,46}. The latter includes the algal basic leucine zipper, Aureochrome^{22,47,48}, and the zinc finger-containing *Nc*WC-1^{49,50}.

Notably, not all LOV domains require an effector domain to exert these functions; such domains are known as "short" LOV domains⁴¹. Examples include VIVID from *Neurospora crassa* (*Nc*VVD), which is involved in photoadaptation^{21,51} and binds a protein partner in the White Collar Complex^{16,52,53}, the LOV domains from *Pseudomonas putida* (*Pp*SB1-LOV⁵⁴ and *Pp*SB2⁵⁵), and the LOV domain from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, which has an unusually extended C-terminal α -helix (\sim 50 aa) and shows very slow dark-state recovery⁵⁶. Short LOV proteins lack a covalently attached effector and presumably transduce signals in *trans* to a distinct protein⁵⁷.

The output of the LOV domains photocycle affects protein signaling pathways in cells. For example, when sensing redox changes, LOV domains respond by acting on different proteins or target genes; *Erythrobacter litoralis* LOV (EL222) acts on, among others, NAD synthetase⁵⁸, *Pp*-SB1-LOV acts on photosynthetic genes⁵⁹, and *Bs*YtvA acts on the general stress transcription factor *sigB*^{29,60,61}.

Moreover, many LOV domains exist as monomers or dimers in the resting state or dimerize following blue light absorption. Because of this modularity and their participation in sensing and signaling domains have pathways. LOV attracted considerable interest, and engineered LOV fusions have been developed for use in optogenetics, which denotes the generation of genetically light-gated molecules encoded capable of modulating biochemical pathways and organelle compartmentalization in livina cells and organisms^{62–65}. This interesting-but hitherto incompletely understood-activation mechanism is, to our consideration, a major bottleneck in the study of LOV domains. Elucidating this process is essential for advancing our knowledge of both LOV domains and their associated signaling pathways. Owing to the experimental obstacles associated with studying the rapid dynamics of light-responsive proteins and the slower interdomain signal transduction, this area of research presents unique challenges^{4,26,28,62}

As LOV domains perform diverse functions and are present in numerous species, understanding their structure, mechanism of action, and functionality in nature requires cooperation from multiple scientific disciplines. This review outlines recent experiments as well as computational studies that elucidate the complex molecular mechanisms of LOV-FMN-effector systems, their three-dimensional structures, and photocycle dynamics. Because the overarching goal of studying natural phenomena is to replicate and use these processes for practical applications, and thus contribute to advancements in health and environmental sustainability, a section of this review is dedicated to discussing the application of LOV domains in optogenetics.

Table 1 Light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domains present highly conserved residues surrounding the flavin mononucleotide (FMN)-binding site.

			CONSERVED		
SPECIES	LOV DOMAIN	EFFECTOR DOMAINS	RESIDUES ^{42,*}		
Higher plants ^{11, 31, 43}					
Arabidopsis thaliana	<i>At</i> LOV1/2		GRNCRFLQ		
Oryza sativa	OsPhot2-LOV	Serine/Threonine kinase	GRNCRFLQ		
Avena sativa	AsLOV1/2		GRNCRFLQ		
Green algae ³²					
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	CrLOV1		GHNCRFLQ		
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	CrLOV2	Serine/Threonine kinase	GRNCRFLQ		
Fungi ^{20, 40}					
Neurospora crassa	NcWC-1	Unknown	GRNCRFLQ		
Eubacteria ^{34, 38, 44, 64}					
Xanthomonas campestris	XcLOV		GNNCRFLQ		
Xanthomonas axonopodis	XaLOV	Histidine kinase	GNNCRFLQ		
Erythrobacter litoralis	<i>EI</i> LOV (EL222)		GRNCRFLQ		
Brucella abortus	BaLOV	Histidine kinase (virulence)	GRNCRFLQ		
Listeria monocytogenes	<i>Lm</i> LOV	Sulfate transporter/anti- sigma factor antagonist (STAS)	GKNCRFLQ		
Bacillus subtilis	BsLOV (YtvA)		GRNCRFLQ		

*In the column Conserved residues, the variable amino acid is marked in green and the

conserved photoactive cysteine in orange.

*In the column *Conserved residues*, the variable amino acid is marked in green and the conserved photoactive cysteine in orange.

LOV Domain Structure and Dynamics

Many photoreceptors detect light by absorbing photons via their chromophore co-factor, although there are exceptions such as UVR8, that does not have any external chromophores and uses a natural amino-acid tryptophan for light sensing^{10,66}. LOV domains use a co-factor that, upon photon absorption, initiates the photocycle of the photoreceptor, which comprises a series of

Journal of Molecular Biology xxx (xxxx) xxx

events involving conformational and dynamic changes in both the chromophore and the protein domain^{3,11,23,32,41,67–69}.

The chromophore co-factor in the LOV domain is a flavin nucleotide (FMN or FAD) that is responsible for the light-sensing properties of LOV. Studies on Avena sativa LOV1 and LOV2 domains (AsLOV1/2) have shown that both domains, when expressed alone or in tandem, undergo a selfcontained photocycle involving the formation of a covalent adduct between the FMN chromophore and a conserved active-site Cys residue⁷⁰. In another study, NMR spectroscopic analysis of a recombinant Avena sativa (As)LOV2 domain with a ¹³C/¹⁵N-labeled FMN under light and dark conditions revealed that blue light irradiation resulted in the addition of a thiol group from AsLOV2-Cvs450 to the C4a position of the FMN chromophore, which reversed spontaneously in the dark⁷¹. The Cys residue (Cys450 in A. sativa) is conserved among many LOV domains (see Table 1) and is the most common residue involved in the initiation of the photocycle due to its capacity to covalently bind the FMN molecule. This process is conductive to a series of conformational changes in the adjacent residues and eventually in the entire protein, allowing the signal to reach its effector domain(s)68,72,73

The LOV photocycle comprises distinct dark (resting) and light (signaling) states, as well as several intermediate states. Flash photolysis studies have demonstrated that the reaction proceeds through an excited singlet state of flavin to a triplet²³ state that decays monotonically to form a thioadduct^{74,75}. Typically, the photocycle is fully reversible, as the photoreceptor thermally reverts to its ground state after light absorption^{74–76}. X-ray crystallography studies on various LOV domains have confirmed the described reactions^{68,77–79}, and spectroscopic studies have helped create a more complete understanding of the LOV photocycle.

X-ray crystallography structures of LOV domains

Studying LOV domains through crystallography can be challenging, as X-ray exposure in the active state can radiolyse the Cys–C(4a) bond and revert the protein to its dark state⁷⁹. Nevertheless, the first X-ray structure of *Adiantum capilllusveneris* Phot-LOV2 domain (at a resolution of 2.7 \AA) revealed a structure comprising five β -sheets connected by α -helices that formed the framework where the FMN chromophore bound to the photoreceptor⁷⁷. The photoreactive cysteine was located 4.2 Å from the FMN-C(4a)⁷⁷ carbon and, after light induction, moved approximately 2 Å closer to form the adduct⁷⁸.

The conformational changes in the LOV domain after light activation are facilitated by several of these structural elements (Figure 1 shows a graphical depiction), specifically the core β -sheet scaffold, the N-terminal cap with an A' α -helix, and

a J α -helix^{78,79}. The core β -sheet scaffold, comprising five antiparallel β -strands (A β , B β , G β , H β , and I β) forms the chromophore binding pocket and hosts residues that directly interact with the FMN, participating in signal transmission to the flanking helical regions or even farther to the effector domains, stabilized by hydrophobic interactions and a conserved hydrogen-bond network (Figure 2A)^{77,78,80,81}. The A' α - and J α -helices play key roles in signal propagation and protein–protein interactions, and thus regulate the functionality of the light response of the LOV domain^{25,29,43,82}. In *As*Phot-LOV2, the J α -helix is located in the C-terminus and has been shown to unfold away from the active core^{25,39,79}.

Several more structures of LOV domains have been described, which further contribute to our understanding of the three-dimensional structures mechanisms these and of proteins. Crystallographic data from the CrLOV1 domain (at resolutions of 1.9 and 2.8 \AA in the dark and light states, respectively) revealed two conformations of the binding-site cysteine (Cys57) in the resting state and confirmed that blue-light absorption readily causes covalent bond formation between the FMN-C(4a) and the thiol of Cys57⁷⁹. The crystal structure of the BsYtvA-LOV domain (at 1.45 \AAres dark-state, and 1.95 \AA-res light-state) showed that this domain, dimerizes via a hydrophobic interface⁶⁸. Structural analysis of NcVVD and NcWC-1 proteins showed that the N-terminal α -helix- β strand extension of the LOV domain of NcVVD reorients upon illumination, promoting its interaction with NcWC-1, which in turn regulates photoadaptation. In both structures, the external helices interact with the β -sheet surface of the LOV domain, and both the dark and light states display an Nterminal helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif that is adjacent to the core domain and in close proximity (or even interacting) to the C-terminal $J\alpha$ helix²¹

Experiments performed in Phot-LOVs, and in the prokaryotic LOV domains BsYtvA and NcVVD, demonstrated the presence of a LOV dimer, wherein upon light absorption, each monomer tilts \sim 5.0° away from each other, the active Cys rotates around the dark-state $C\alpha$ -C β , and the covalent adduct is formed (Figure 2B). The FMN isoalloxazine ring, originally planar, tilts by $\sim 7.0^{\circ}$ and the orbital of the C4a atom hybridizes from sp² to sp³ in the adduct, thus protonating the adjacent N5 atom (transforming it from a H-bond acceptor in the dark state into a donor in the light state)^{43,68,83}. In these LOV domains, the N5 protonation causes two conserved Asn residues to change their orientation and a Gln on strand I β to "swing and flip," *i.e.*, this residue turns to stand in juxtaposition of its original orientation (Figure 2C), forming a new H-bond network among the three residues that bridges the Gln and $J\alpha$ -helix in the LOV domain core (Figure 2C), which is considered the first step of signal propagation to the effector



Fig. 1. Structural elements forming the light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domain. LOV domains consist of a core scaffold comprising five antiparallel β -sheets (purple structure) where the chromophore binding pocket is located (yellow sticks and surface), an N-terminal cap (Ncap) with an A' α -helix (ochre structure), and the C-terminal J α -helix that interacts with effector proteins (green structure) [PDBID: 2V1A].

domains^{39,43,73,84}. Although this is not always the case, studies performed on an LOV domain from *Ochromonas danica* showed that signal propagation is associated with LOV dimerization, as the disruption of dimer formation using a 5-deazaflavin mononucleotide effectively prevents all subsequent reactions of the photocycle⁷³.

The crystal structure of an LOV domain isolated from the 222-amino acid *Erythrobacter litoralis* HTCC2594 ocean proteobacteria (EL222, 2.1 \AA-res) exhibited direct interactions between the surface of the β -sheet of EL222-LOV and the 4 α -helix and 1 α -2 α loop of the HTH domains in its dark state, inhibiting DNA binding in EL222-LOV. The plasticity of this interface enables smooth conformational transition through allosteric changes in the structure of the LOV domain. Upon light exposure, the interaction between the LOV and HTH domains is disrupted, allowing EL222-LOV to bind to DNA⁸⁰.

The cumulative insights from crystallographic structures demonstrate the versatility of LOV domains, and indicate that beyond their shared core, these domains can be differentiated based on the structural variations present in their N- and C-terminal extensions (Figure 3). This is reflected in characteristics such as the variety of light-dependent molecular mechanisms, different tendencies to dimerize, and distinct activation of effector domains that each LOV domain exhibits^{63,85–88}.

Dynamics of the photocycle of LOV domains

In addition to structural biology approaches, several steady-state and transient spectroscopic studies have been widely conducted on LOV domains to address questions regarding their activation, adduct formation, bond breaking, and relaxation. Moreover, these studies have characterized the physical and chemical changes in the protein and chromophore during the primary reactions, namely the transition from the electronic singlet to triplet state.^{75,83,89,90}.

Upon blue light exposure, the LOV domain is bleached, losing the complex spectral structure of FMN between 400 and 500 nm and instead forming a product with a single absorption maximum near 385 nm and three isosbestic points between 300 and 410 nm, corresponding to the formation of the Cys-C(4a) adduct⁷⁰. Nanosecond laser flash spectroscopy facilitated the identification of triplet-state photoproducts in the photocycle, revealing transient species with broad absorption peaks at 510 and 660 nm, characteristic of tripletstate flavin⁷⁴. Once in darkness, the original flavin spectrum is restored, and the domains can be repeatedly reactivated by light to form the Cys-C (4a) adduct⁷⁰. Notably, different decay times for the triplet state have been observed when using different buffers for AsLOV2, such as 2-4 µs (sodium phosphate buffer)^{74,91}, 6 µs (tris buffer)^{91,92}, and 9.5 µs (deuterated tris buffer)⁹³. Furthermore,

A. Flores-Ibarra, Raiza N.A. Maia, B. Olasz, et al.



Fig. 2. Photocycle and binding mode of the flavin mononucleotide (FMN) chromophore to the Phot1-LOV1 domain of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (PDBIDs: 1N9L and 1N9O). (A) The hydrogen bond network securing the FMN molecule in the chromophore binding site (FMN is shown as orange sticks, the residues of LOV1 as dark teal sticks, water molecules as red spheres, and H-bonds as red dashed lines). (B) The photoactive Cys exhibits two conformations in the crystallographic structure of the dark state (left, dark teal structure, PDBID: 1N9L), and it is the rotation of this Cys that enables photoadduct formation with the FMN (orange sticks) after blue-light exposure (left, light teal structure, PDBID: 1N9O). (C) Chemical schematic representation of the photocycle of a light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domain and its "switch and flip" effect on the neighboring Gln.

A. Flores-Ibarra, Raiza N.A. Maia, B. Olasz, et al.

Journal of Molecular Biology xxx (xxxx) xxx



Fig. 3. Comparison of monomers of light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domains with the canonical *At*Phot1-LOV2. While the flavin mononucleotide (FMN)-binding N-terminal domains almost fully align with each other in seven out of eight species compared, the C-terminal of each protein is very variable, pointing at the distinctive functionalities of LOV domains in nature [PDBIDs: *At*LOV2 (4EEP), *Cr*LOV1 (1N9L), *As*LOV2 (2V1A), *El*222 (3P7N), *Bs*YtvA (2PR5), *Nc*VVD (2PD7), and *Pp*LOV (3SW1) [RMSDs are given in Å].

Journal of Molecular Biology xxx (xxxx) xxx

amino acid substitutions have been shown to affect overall oxygen accessibility to the FMN binding pocket⁹¹.

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis of the infrared absorption spectrum demonstrated that light treatment induced structural changes in both the FMN co-factor and the LOV domain⁹³. Notably, the derived in vivo reaction kinetics may differ, as demonstrated in the pioneering in-cell FTIR studies⁹⁴. To study the changes in FMN, vibrational spectroscopy was performed to demonstrate that after photoactivation, the dipole moment of FMN underwent substantial modifications as a result of the formation of the cysteinyl-adduct state. Consequently, the dominant changes observed in the infrared spectra of the LOV domains were primarily attributed to variations in the vibrational bands of the FMN chromophore⁹⁵. The C=O region between 1670 and 1750 cm^{-1} is dominated by FMN C(2)=O and C(4)=O vibrational modes. The positive vibrational modes around 1650 and 1620 cm^{-1} have been assigned to carbonyl vibrations in the unprotonated triplet state using transient spectroscopy^{89,95}. In addition to studies focusing on FMN, light-induced FTIR spectroscopy has facilitated the identification of S-H stretching modes in the reactive cysteine of CrLOV195. The negative intensity of the band indicates protonation of the reactive cysteine prior to the formation of a covalent bond with C4a of FMN⁹⁶. Subsequent investigations conducted on CrLOV1 and CrLOV2 domains identified S-H vibrations at 2570 and 2573 cm^{-1} , respectively. In the LOV domain of Bacillus subtilis, the S-H vibration is observed at a down-shifted frequency of 2569 cm^{-1} , indicative of differences in the hydrogen-bonded environment among the various LOV domains⁹⁷.

A strong negative band at $1647-1650 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in *At*Phot1-LOV2 was assigned to the J α -unfolding event via steady-state light minus dark FTIR^{97,98}. Secondary structural changes in the amide I-II ranges were successfully identified for *As*Phot1-LOV2⁹⁹ and a variant of AtPhot2LOV2⁹⁰ domains. Furthermore, ultra-fast transient spectroscopy yielded valuable insights into a series of significant events in *As*LOV2, including the real-time unfolding of the J α -helix, conversion of the excited FMN chromophore from singlet to triplet state, and formation of the covalent Cys-FMN adduct¹⁰⁰.

Structural changes resulting in the light state of *At*Phot2LOV1 have been elucidated using time-resolved FTIR spectroscopy and assigned to specific parts of the protein⁹⁰. Recently, time-resolved infrared spectroscopy was used to trace proton transfer from the reactive cysteine to the flavin chromophore in a variant of the short-LOV protein derived from *Dinoroseobacter shibae*¹⁰¹.

Similarly, independent spectroscopy and timeresolved X-ray solution scattering experiments (TRXSS) conducted on *Bs*YtvA revealed that the FMN-bound LOV domain exhibits spectral changes upon irradiation that are consistent with the formation of the Cys–C(4a) adduct (LOV-383), albeit accompanied by a smaller volume contraction ($\Delta V_T = -1.5$ ml/mol) and a faster adduct decay time (2 µs) than that of the phototropin LOV domains from higher plants^{68,72}. Simultaneously, TRXSS provided structural data on *Bs*YtvA, showing that rearrangements occur throughout the LOV domain after adduct formation, in which the two subunits rotate away from each other and the FMN isoalloxazine ring loses its planarity (Figure 4)¹⁰².

However, biophysical and computational studies on LOV domains have also contradicted the previously described steps in the photocycle. For example, the flipping of the β -strand H-bond Gln (see Figure 2C) and the protonation of FMN-N5 to send the activation signal through the LOV domain surface into the effector domain had been considered essential for LOV domain function. More recent research using spectroscopy and molecular dynamics simulations has indicated that several LOV receptors retain signaling responses, albeit attenuated, in the absence of the conserved GIn, which is substituted naturally by Leu and Ile^{69,93,103}, and experimentally by Trp in Ostreococcus tauri LOV1 domain¹⁰⁴. This, in turn, indicated the presence of a primordial LOV ancestor from which glutamine-deficient LOV domains could stem, but still function as blue-light receptors; LOV signal transduction in this ancestor would have relied on flavin photoreduction and water mediation, employing a less sophisticated action mechanism^{1,105}

Computational studies of the LOV photocycle

The numerous insights that can be obtained from multiscale approaches, molecular dynamics and bioinformatics make computer simulations an essential tool for studying the signaling photocycle mechanisms of LOV domains. The computational toolkit ranges from highly accurate quantum chemical methods for studying the electronic and spectroscopic parameters of structure flavin^{106–108} to molecular dynamics simulations using restricted to classical force fields¹⁰⁹. In molecular dynamics simulations performed with AsPhot1LOV2¹¹⁰, different trajectories were computed, some based on the dark- and others based on light-adapted structures. The results showed a correlation between the rotation of the glutamine 513 sidechain and the movement of the $J\alpha$ -helix. This was established for two different paths; one path involved the shift of the LOV core domain I β sheet, while the other path proceeded through coupling with the N-terminal A'a-helix. However, Freddolino et al emphasized that their 200 ns trajectories were insufficient for confirming the unfolding of the J α -helix, thus necessitating further simulations.

A. Flores-Ibarra, Raiza N.A. Maia, B. Olasz, et al.



Fig. 4. Structural changes initiated by blue light activation in the YF1 protein. Blue-light irradiation results in sequential structural rearrangement in the protein. After 2 μ s, the light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domains separate by approximately 3 Å from the J α helices (green, arrow a). This leads to the rotation of the kinase module (purple and orange, arrow b). On a slower timescale, the kinase domain undergoes an internal rearrangement (purple, arrow c). Conformation A of the Cys62 in the inset is 0.7 and Conformation B is 0.3 in the dark state. (Modified from Berntsson et al.¹⁰²).

This shortcoming was addressed by Tao and coworkers, who revisited the transition between the dark- and the light-adapted states in *As*Phot1LOV2 using longer MD simulations (1.5 μ s trajectories) with sophisticated analyses, Markov state models, and machine learning techniques, in addition to testing four mutants¹¹¹. The simulations revealed that Thr407 and Arg410, located in the A' α -helix, are responsible for the conformational switch. Moreover, MD simulations at

1.5 μ s showed the unfolding of the J α -helix and linked the conformational change to the rotation of Q513, which is also involved in important interactions with N414¹¹². However, the key role of Q513 was recently questioned in an experimental and computational study, where MD simulations showed that the absence of Q513 is compensated for by an influx of water molecules that transiently approach the flavin chromophore. It was hypothesized that these water molecules form a cluster that

subsequently propagates the protonation of the FMN further downstream¹.

Challenges and strategies in the study of LOV domains

In summary, LOV photosensor domains are activated when the dark state co-factor absorbs a photon of blue light (\sim 450 nm), creating an excited flavin singlet state⁷⁵ that decays into a triplet state over several nano-to-microseconds (the duration being species-dependent)⁷⁴. Within microseconds, likely through a radical intermediate⁷⁰, a covalent Cys-C(4a) thioadduct is formed between a conserved Cys residue within the LOV domain and C(4a) in the isoalloxazine ring of the flavin cofactor, which is typically FMN⁹ but can also be FAD^{46,67}. Simultaneously, experiments have shown that mutating Cys to certain amino acids in the binding site maintains light activation of the LOV domain to a certain degree^{76,113}. Furthermore, dimer formation following thioadduct formation has been found to occur only in some LOV domains, whereas some LOV domains are already dimeric in the dark and some do not dimerize at all^{39,68,73,81,85,114}. Following thioadduct formation, the flavin nucleotide N5 nitrogen is protonated 32,75 , which in turn causes nearby conserved residues, glutamine, and sometimes two nearby asparagines, to rearrange in the H-bond network, initiating downstream signaling^{21,68,78}. A common motif involves LOV activation modifying a binding site on the surface of a β -sheet adjacent to the chromophore, occupied by either a C-terminal J α -helix (in short-LOVs) or the N-terminal Ncap A' α -helix^{1,67,69,93,103,104,115,116}.

However, the light-induced changes in photosensitive proteins may depend heavily on the experimental approach used to measure them. To avoid bias introduced by the choice of technique, the photoactivation mechanism of photosensitive proteins should be validated using complementary techniques to precisely map the steps of both the mechanism and the activation of downstream effector proteins^{62,79,95,117,118}.

Questions that remain open regarding the study of LOV domains include discrepancies in the initial signal generation and propagation steps, which represent a major bottleneck in LOV research. Furthermore, as it is now possible to engineer other proteins with LOV domains to control them using light signals, understanding the precise activation and deactivation mechanisms of LOV effector proteins could substantially contribute to the development of improved optogenetic tools⁶².

In this context, a major focus of LOV domain research is the elucidation of the precise molecular mechanisms governing their activation and deactivation in response to blue light. Research on this subject not only extends our understanding of the dynamic processes within LOV domain photocycles, including the rates at

which photoadducts form and decay, but also how these dynamic events impact the propagation of signaling pathways. One particularly significant question pertains to the precise rate and pathway governing the decay of the triplet state into the adduct state, which is pivotal for understanding the kinetics of this process. However, this endeavor presents numerous experimental challenges. Similar to ultrafast timescales, spanning from femtoseconds to microseconds, traditional spectroscopic methods are often too slow to capture these rapid processes. The complexity of the reaction pathways of the LOV domains^{74,89}, particularly the transition from the triplet state to the adduct state, may involve complex reaction mechanisms⁹⁰. Environmental sensitivity, as previously mentioned, including experimental conditions^{74,93,119} such as temperature, pH, and the presence of co-factors or interacting proteins, can significantly influence the rate of decay, making it essential to control and monitor these parameters.

To overcome these challenges and improve our understanding of the LOV domain photocycle, we propose employing more sophisticated methods to monitor dynamic occurrences throughout the photocycle at a near-atomic resolution. Among these, ultrafast infrared spectroscopy (UF-IR) has emerged as a useful tool to facilitate the real-time monitoring of ultrafast processes occurring on femtosecond to picosecond timescales^{89,93}. UF-IR enables tracking of changes in vibrational modes within specific chemical bonds during the transition from the triplet state to the adduct state. The advent of two-dimensional infrared spectroscopy (2D-IR) further contributes to this, as it offers in-depth insights into the structural and dynamic alterations accompanying the decay of the triplet state. By correlating multiple frequency dimensions, 2D-IR can untangle complex reaction pathways^{120,121}. Complementing these methods, time-resolved serial Xray crystallography enables the observation of localized light-induced changes in crucial amino acid side chains, thus offering high-resolution structural insights¹²². These advanced techniques, with their combined temporal and structural resolution capabilities, offer a means to elucidate the complex photoreaction kinetics, rate decay mechanisms, and dynamic processes inherent to LOV domains^{2,5}. These approaches aided by molecular quantum simulations can provide insights into global protein changes, functional mechanisms of LOV domains and effector domains.

Several approaches have already been proposed to resolve this issue. In an experiment monitored every 63 ms, the population of *At*Phot2-LOV2 in a crystal showed that, the crystal volume increased as the LOV domain progressively photoconverted from the dark to the light state. Further experiments showed that after a time period corresponding to twice the relaxation time constant, the space group of the crystals changed from $P4_{3}2_{1}2$ to $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$, suggesting that the *in crystallo* relaxation of the photoadduct population leads to a phase transition and a reordering of the C-terminal region of the protein into two distinct conformations in adjacent monomers in the crystal, in correlation with the flipping of a Trp side chain^{123,124}.

LOV in Optogenetics: Applications and Advances

As discussed in the previous section, it is essential to expand and improve methods for studying LOV domains and other photoreceptors to facilitate their utilization in controlling physiological responses to light stimuli. In this section, we discuss the topic of optogenetics, noting the recent publication of an entire issue dedicated to it in this journal at the beginning of this decade⁵.

Optogenetics refers to the use of light-sensitive domains genetically fused to functional effector domains to create a photosensor protein unit that non-invasive control of biological enables processes in cells and entire organisms with spatiotemporal precision and accuracy. This approach can be used to study complex, highly dynamic biological systems as well as develop novel therapeutic strategies^{2,62}. This field originated primarily in neuroscience, with a notable example being the discoverv that light-gated channelrhodopsin-2 from *C. reinhardtii*¹²⁵ can be expressed in mammalian neurons to modulate neuron depolarization in milliseconds using blue light¹²⁶. Subsequently, using Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells mutated to delete their kinase activity kinase activity, a phenotype that exhibits growth defects, it was shown that CrLOV2 can be heterologously expressed in yeast cells to compensate for these defects and recover cell growth in a blue light-dependent manner¹²⁷

The inherent modularity of LOV receptors, along with their ability to undergo structural changes in response to light in a manner that affects the associated domains, make them highly attractive candidates for use in optogenetics⁶⁵. Prokaryotic LOV proteins are notable for their remarkable modularity, with approximately 45% of their effector procomprising histidine kinases, teins phosphodiesterases, and cyclases¹²⁸⁻¹³⁰. Table 2 outlines the primary criteria for creating efficient photosensors for optogenetic applications. A comprehensive website cataloging protein systems for optogenetics can be accessed at https://optobase. org.

Engineering LOV-based optogenetic tools

Over the past decade, numerous systems using LOV domains have been established, and research on new methods to engineer light-

activated modules with LOV continues (Table 3 summarizes some of the most notable experiments).

To understand the signal reception and processing of the LOV domains, a chimeric LOV domain fused with a light-inert kinase (YF1) was designed to create a blue light-responsive protein, LOV-YF121. In subsequent studies, experiments involving a series of random mutageneses were performed on LOV-YF1, which showed that some of the variants retained their biological activity, while others were abolished or inverted. One variant group carried mutations within the LOV photosensor that disrupted the proper coupling between FMN and the protein, whereas a larger group carried mutations that clustered at the dyad interface and disrupted signal transmission. This information provides a foundation for the customized reprogramming of signal receptors¹³¹.

Experiments on NcVVD-LOV without the Cysbinding site demonstrated light-induced dimerization and signaling due to flavin protonation of the neutral semiguinone, a feature shared by both the cysteinyl adduct and semiguinone. This general mechanism is also conserved LOV-like regulators lacking in conserved Cys, which respond to the chemical or photoreduction of their flavin co-factors. The ability LOV adjust the reactivity through to photoreduction has important implications for studving the mechanism of LOV activation and its applications¹³².

The first genetically encodable, light-induced, flavin-binding source of singlet oxygen $({}^{1}O_{2})$ was developed using AtLOV2¹³³. This was a 106amino acid engineered LOV domain with six mutations, two of which involved residues surrounding the chromophore that conferred increased rigidity to the FMN environment^{134,135}. Owing to its smaller size and the generation of ¹O₂ upon exposure to blue light, it was considered a miniSOG (singlet oxygen generator). The miniSOG was mainly designed for use in correlative light and electron microscopy¹³³ although it has now found its way into phototherapeutics as well^{136,137}. Notably, ¹O₂ is highly reactive and photooxidizes substrates, such as proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids, a property that has found application in photodynamic cancer therapy and chromophore-assisted laser inactivation^{136,138}. Further research has shown that it is possible to modify the flavin specificity of the miniSOG, thus providing a novel approach for adjusting photosensitization and other physical properties of the protein¹³⁵; for example, electron transfer from the LOV domain to a photosensitizer can be modified by mutating the flavin-binding site¹³⁹; it can be either disfavored by replacing the amino acids around the binding site with amino acids with a comparatively low redox potential^{137,140}, or enhanced by performing a strategic insertion of a Tyr close to the FMN¹⁴¹.

Table 2 Components for designing photoactive-effector proteins in optogenetics.

Main features ^{2,62,128} Encoded in a single polypeptide Photosensitive domain Effector domain e.g., LOV, Rhodopsin e.g., histidine kinases, helix-turn-helix Co-factor for light-activation Supplied exogenously or with c.factor for light-activation Supplied exogenously or with e.g., FMN, BV Supplied exogenously or with e.g., FMN, BV chromophore-synthesis genes Precision for activation/inactivation ^{70,85} Dynamic range Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states Energy difference between the light and dark state i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal confinement i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length Distribution of conserved domain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains Linker length i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers helica		
Encoded in a single polypeptide Effector domain Photosensitive domain Effector domain e.g., LOV, Rhodopsin e.g., histidine kinases, helix-turn-helix Co-factor for light-activation Supplied exogenously or with charcor for light-activation Supplied exogenously or with e.g., FMN, BV chromophore-synthesis genes i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,05} constituent Dynamic range E Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states Energy difference between the light and dark state i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal safety confinement i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker length i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers I.iks, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Linker length i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation - reconstitution of unction from split fragments independent of expres	Main features ^{2,62,128}	
Photosensitive domain Effector domain e.g., LOV, Rhodopsin e.g., histidine kinases, helix-turn-helix Co-factor for light-activation Supplied exogenously or with Cellular constituent chromophore-synthesis genes e.g., FMN, BV i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent constituent e.g., FMN, BV constituent Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85} constituent Dynamic range Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states Energy difference between the light and dark state i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation i.e. preservation of theptad repeats in helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation i.e. preconsituti	Encoded in a single polypeptide	
e.g., LOV, Rhodopsin c.g., constituent e.g., FMN, BV Cellular constituent e.g., FMN, BV chromophore-synthesis genes i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent e.g., FMN, BV chromophore-synthesis genes i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85} Dynamic range Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Safety Dark recovery time i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: - reconstitution of function from spilt fragments - nodependent of expression levels	Photosensitive domain	Effector domain
Co-factor for light-activation Supplied exogenously or with Cellular constituent Supplied exogenously or with e.g., FMN, BV chromophore-synthesis genes i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85} constituent Dynamic range Energy difference between the light and dark state i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Safety Safety Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal confinement i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable Linker length i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length i.e., preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers I, HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Linker length i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Induced allostery: - independent of expression levels	e.g., LOV, Rhodopsin	e.g., histidine kinases, helix-turn-helix
Cellular constituent Supplied exogenously or with e.g., FMN, BV chromophore-synthesis genes i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85} constituent Dynamic range Energy difference between the light and dark state i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal Safety Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location i.e., the bigger the energy difference Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Linker between photodomain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: i.e. independent of expression levels	Co-factor for light-activation	
e.g., FMN, BV chromophore-synthesis genes i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85} Dynamic range Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Safety Dark recovery time i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: - reconstitution of function from split fragments	Cellular constituent	Supplied exogenously or with
i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85} Dynamic range Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Safety Dark recovery time i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - reconstitution of function from split fragments	e.g., FMN, BV	chromophore-synthesis genes
Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85} Dynamic range Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states Energy difference between the light and dark state <i>i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity</i> Safety Dark recovery time Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal <i>i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable</i> confinement <i>i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable</i> confinement Complexity ^{3,27,28} <i>i.e., how accurate is protein location</i> Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length <i>i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers</i> Type of light-dependent activation <i>helical linkers</i> Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments <i>i.e. preservation of expression levels</i>		i.e., if not widely available or a cellular constituent
Dynamic range Energy difference between the light and dark state Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states Energy difference between the light and dark state i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Safety Dark recovery time Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement Complexity ^{3,27,28} i.e., how accurate is protein location Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length Distribution of conserved domain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Hudued allostery: Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments Induced allostery:	Precision for activation/inactivation ^{76,85}	
Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states Energy difference between the light and dark state <i>i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity</i> Safety Dark recovery time Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal <i>i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable</i> confinement <i>Complexity</i> ^{3,27,28} <i>i.e., how accurate is protein location</i> Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length <i>i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation</i> Hudued allostery: Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: <i>- reconstitution of function from split fragments</i> Induced allostery:	Dynamic range	
i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity Safety Dark recovery time Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement Complexity ^{3,27,28} i.e., how accurate is protein location Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Hudued allostery: Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels	Leakiness or background activity in light, dark, and/or intermediate states	Energy difference between the light and dark state
Safety Dark recovery time Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement Complexity ^{3,27,28} i.e., how accurate is protein location Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels	i.e., the bigger the energy difference, the higher the background activity	
Dark recovery time Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels	Safety	
i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable confinement i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: - reconstitution of function from split fragments	Dark recovery time	Speed of diffusion and spatiotemporal
i.e., how accurate is protein location Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: – reconstitution of function from split fragments i.e. how accurate is protein location Linker length i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers Induced allostery: – independent of expression levels	i.e., how long is the effect going to last and the photoreceptor be unavailable	confinement
Complexity ^{3,27,28} Linker between photodomain and effector Distribution of conserved domain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels		i.e., how accurate is protein location
Linker between photodomain and effector Linker length Distribution of conserved domain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels	Complexity ^{3,27,28}	
Distribution of conserved domain positions Linker length i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels	Linker between photodomain and effector	
i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains (HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs Type of light-dependent activation Association/dissociation: – reconstitution of function from split fragments – reconstitution of function from split fragments i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in helical linkers Induced allostery: – independent of expression levels	Distribution of conserved domain positions	Linker length
(HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs helical linkers Type of light-dependent activation Induced allostery: Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels	i.e. there is a higher degree of complexity for LOV domains that bind effector domains	i.e. preservation of heptad repeats in
Type of light-dependent activation Induced allostery: Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments - independent of expression levels	(HisK, HTH and STAS) than for short-LOVs	helical linkers
Association/dissociation: Induced allostery: - reconstitution of function from split fragments – independent of expression levels	Type of light-dependent activation	
- reconstitution of function from spill fragments - independent of expression levels	Association/dissociation:	Induced allostery:
- recruitment to site of function	- recruitment to site of function	 independent of expression levels protein stavs in its natural context
- concentration dependency	- concentration dependency	
Protein recruitment Dimerization	Protein recruitment	Dimerization

Regarding the use of LOV-based optogenetics to drive gene expression, a breakthrough was made when the HTH motif of EL222 was found to have the ability to bind DNA after blue light illumination¹⁴². Regarding cell compartmentalization, control of protein localization has also been achieved by attaching signaling peptides, such as nuclear import/export or mitochondrial signals, to light-responsive domains. Attaching a nuclear signaling protein to the C-terminus of AsLOV2 Jahelix inhibited translocation in the dark¹⁴³. The LOV-TRAP system uses directed evolution to bind a variant protein Zdk (an engineered small protein that binds selectively to the dark state of LOV2) to AsLOV2, achieving the retention of plasma membrane proteins in the mitochondria in the dark and reversibly releasing them through irradiation¹⁴

Of a broader scope, light-controlled tools have been designed and engineered to tag specific targets in the cell. Notable examples are lightsensitive nanobodies (OptoNBs)¹⁴⁵ and tunable, light-controlled interacting protein tags (TULIPs)¹⁴⁶. OptoNBs were created as chimeric photoswitchable proteins whose binding to effector proteins could be enhanced or inhibited with blue light by inserting an engineered *Avena sativa* short LOV into the NB. These OptoNBs bind to endogenous intracellular targets and can potentially modulate signaling pathways¹⁴⁵. TULIPs were built binding an *As*LOV2 domain with an engineered PSD95-DlgA-ZO1 (PDZ) domain (ePDZ). PDZ domains are small globular domains that primarily bind to the C-terminal sequences of target proteins with low affinity^{147,148}. TULIP fusion tags are much smaller than other light-dependent engineered proteins, making them preferable for use with proteins that cannot tolerate large fusions¹⁴⁹. TULIPs can recruit proteins to diverse structures in living yeast and mammalian cells, and can be controlled either through global photoexcitation or with spatial precision using a steerable laser, and have been used to confer light sensitivity to specific pathways in the cell, such as cell polarization, cell compartment recruitment, and activation of the MAPK pathway^{146,149}. TULIPs have also been used to achieve optogenetic control of RhoA, a membrane-bound GTPase that primarily controls the cellular basis of cytoskeletal contractility^{150,151}

To study the direct electrostatic interactions of LOV receptors with anionic phospholipids, a photosensory signaling mode was engineered using Botrytis cinerea LOV4, a regulator of the Gprotein signaling (RGS) domain. The lightregulated RGS-LOV shows high-affinity electrostatic interactions between anionic plasma membrane phospholipids and а polybasic amphipathic helix at the LOV interface in a reversible manner in yeast and mammalian cells¹⁵². Light-dependent regulation at the mRNA level (optoribogenetics) was achieved by heterologously expressing the Nakamurella multipartita PAS-ANTAR-LOV protein to specifically bind RNA

Protein (organism)	Type of experiment	Results yielded
LOV (Bacillus subtilis YtvA)-YFI (Bradyrhizobium japonicum FixL)	Random mutagenesis ¹³¹	 disruption of LOV-FMN binding in a variant group disruption of signal transmission to effectors in another variant group
Phot1-LOV2 (Avena sativa)	Attachment of <i>As</i> LOV2 Jα-helix to nuclear import/export proteins ¹⁴³	- inhibition of translocation in the dark
	LOVTRAP engineering to bind LOV with Zdk ¹⁴⁴	 control of proteins' movement between the membrane and the mitochondria
	Design of light-sensitive nanobodies (OptoNB) ⁹³	 blue-light enhancement binding of endoge- nous intracellular targets by the OptoNB
Phot2-LOV2 (Arabidopsis thaliana)	Design of mini Singlet-Oxygen- Generator (miniSOG) ¹³³	 EM applications, photodynamic therapy and chromophore-assisted laser inactivation
LOV2 (Avena sativa)-ePDZ (engineered small globular domain bound to the C-terminus)	Design of Tunable, Light-controlled Interacting Protein tags (TULIPs) ¹⁴⁶	 light-directed, reversible, local subcellular recruitment control of signaling molecules activity
LOV4 (<i>Botrytis cinerea</i>)-RGS (regulator of G- protein signaling)	Design of a photoinducible membrane localization system ¹⁵²	 high-affinity electrostatic interactions with plasma membrane phospholipids control of cell compartment localization
LOV (Nakamurella multipartita)-PAS-ANTAR	Specific binding to RNA hairpins ¹⁵³	- light-dependent regulation at mRNA level

Table 3 Experiments performed with light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) domains for use in optogenetics.

hairpins for blue light absorption. This experiment highlighted the importance of the J α -helix in LOV domains signal propagation¹⁵³.

LOV-based optogenetic input modules are based on the engineering of photoreceptor-protein hybrids to enable light-inducible allostery, with the highly complex task of achieving precise control of the transition between active and inactive protein conformations³. То achieve this. а computationally-designed protein was used to design an AsLOV2 insert to N-acyltransferase to achieve light-inducible allosteric regulation in a manner in which blue-light exposure resulted in the unfolding of the C-terminal J α -helix of LOV2 to activate the effector transferase domain, but without over-stabilizing the fused protein, thus facilitating its inactivation in a more controlled manner¹⁵⁴.

LOV-based optogenetics perspectives

Despite the progress in the development of LOVbased optogenetic tools, several limitations remain. For instance, there is a critical need for fusion protein libraries, although recent years have witnessed the development of genetic engineering strategies and vector libraries for the rapid generation of protein–protein interactions, many of which have been applied both *ex vivo* and *in vivo*^{155–157}.

Another major challenge is the limited penetration of visible light, particularly blue light, through biological tissues, whereas the use of energy-rich light of shorter wavelengths (in the UV range) is problematic as it causes phototoxicity and DNA damage³. To overcome these issues, researchers have developed strategies for activating optogenetic switches using biological light generated by engineered luciferase proteins (responding to red/ far-red light) fused to the optogenetic switch. This approach enables the activation of LOV-based switches in deeper tissues and in living animals, and aids in mitigating potential phototoxic effects^{158,159}. Recently, using an LOV domain from *Chloroflexus aggregans* and performing random mutagenesis of the binding-site Cys and Gln residues, a color palette of 22 finely tuned fluorescent tags was obtained; however, there is still a need to identify mutants with significantly shifted spectra¹⁶⁰.

Finally, when generating tools that depend on protein–protein interactions to control the abovementioned processes, such as inducible gene expression, protein translocation, homodimerization, heterodimerization, and dissociation, it is necessary to fully understand how to modulate the kinetics and photostationary states of the LOV domains^{3,104}.

In summary, and as discussed in the previous section, we suggest in this review that the knowledge of protein improving constantly structures through time-resolved crystallography, molecular spectroscopy, and dvnamics powerful combined with more simulations. computers and algorithms (such as AlphaFold¹⁶¹), is crucial for guiding the elucidation of the entire activation mechanism of LOV domains, as well as the design of optogenetic tools to overcome current obstacles.

Funding Information

Project financed under Dioscuri, a program initiated by the Max Planck Society, jointly managed by the National Science Centre in Poland, and mutually funded by the Polish Ministry of Education and Science and the

German Federal Ministry of Education and Research. This research was funded by the National Science Centre (Grant Agreement No. UMO-2021/03/H/NZ1/00002) to P.N. For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC-BY public copyright license to any Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) version arising from this submission. I. S. acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) via Sinergia program, grant number 213507.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Andrea Flores-Ibarra: Conceptualization. Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. Raiza N.A. Maia: Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. Bence Olasz: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Visualization. Jonathan R. Church: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Guillaume Gotthard: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Igor Schapiro: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. Joachim Heberle: Writing – original draft, Writing Przemyslaw review & editing. Nogly: Conceptualization. Fundina acquisition. Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

> Received 15 July 2023; Accepted 2 November 2023; Available online xxxx

Keywords:

photoreceptor; LOV; optogenetics; signal transduction; molecular mechanism

References

- Dietler, J., Gelfert, R., Kaiser, J., Borin, V., Renzl, C., Pilsl, S., (2022). Signal transduction in light-oxygen-voltage receptors lacking the active-site glutamine. *Nature Commun.* 13, 2618.
- McCue, A., Kuhlman, B., (2022). Design and engineering of light-sensitive protein switches. *Curr. Op. Str. Biol.* 74, 102377.
- Hoffman, M., Bubeck, F., Eils, R., Niopek, D., (2018). Controlling cells with light and LOV. *AD Biosys.* 1800098.

- Losi, A., Gardner, K., Möglich, A., (2018). Blue-light receptors for optogenetics. *Chem. Rev.* 118, 10659– 10709.
- 5. Zhang, K. (Ed.). J. Mol. Biol. Special Issue.
- 6. Huala, E., Oeller, P., Liscum, E., Han, I., Larsen, E., Briggs, W., (1997). Arabidopsis NPH1: a protein kinase with a putative redox-sensing domain. *Science* **278**, 2120–2123.
- 7. Batschauer, A., (1998). Photoreceptors of higher plants. *Planta* **206**, 479–492.
- 8. Briggs, W., Huala, E., (1999). Blue-light photoreceptors in higher plants. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **15**, 33–62.
- Christie, J., Salomon, M., Nozue, K., Wada, M., Briggs, W., (1999). LOV (light, oxygen, or voltage) domains of the blue-light photoreceptor phototropin (nph1): Binding sites for the chromophore flavin mononucleotide. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 8779–8783.
- Rai, N., Neugart, S., Yan, Y., Wang, F., Siipola, S.M., Lindfors, A.V., Winkler, J.B., Albert, A., et al., (2019). How do cryptochromes and UVR8 interact in natural and simulated sunlight? *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**, 4975–4990.
- Briggs, W., Tseng, T.-S., Cho, H.-Y., Swartz, T., Sullivan, S., Bogolmoni, R., Kaiserli, E., Christie, J., (2007). Phototropins and their LOV domains: versatile plant blue-light receptors. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **49**, 4–10.
- Ahmad, M., Cashmore, A., (1993). HY4 gene of A. thaliana encodes a protein with characteristics of a bluelight photoreceptor. *Nature* 366, 162–166.
- Hoffman, P., Batschauer, A., Hays, J., (1996). PHH1, a novel gene from Arabidopsis thaliana that encodes a protein similar to plant blue-light photoreceptors and microbial photolyases. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 253, 259–265.
- Liscum, E., Briggs, W., (1995). Mutations in the NPH1 locus of Arabidopsis disrupt the perception of phototropic stimuli. *Plant Cell* 7, 473–485.
- Leong, D., Pfeifer, F., Boyer, H., Betlach, M., (1988). Characterization of a second gene involved in bacterioopsin gene expression in a halophilic archaebacterium. *J. Bacteriol.* **170**, 4903–4909.
- Ballario, P., Vittorioso, P., Magrelli, A., Talora, C., Cabibbo, A., Macino, G., (1996). White collar-1, a central regulator of blue light responses in Neurospora, is a zinc finger protein. *EMBO J.* 15, 1650–1657.
- Kunst, F., Ogasawara, N., Moszer, I., Albertini, A., Alloni, G., Azevedo, V., Bertero, M.G., Bessieres, P., et al., (1997). The complete genome sequence of the Grampositive bacterium Bacillus subtilis. *Nature* **390**, 249–256.
- Cheng, P., He, Q., Yang, Y., Wang, L., Liu, Y., (2003). Functional conservation of light, oxygen, or voltage domains in light sensing. *PNAS* **100**, 5938–5943.
- Zhulin, I., Taylor, B., Dixon, R., (1997). PAS domain Sboxes in archaea, bacteria and sensors for oxygen and redox. *Trends Biochem. Sci* 22, 331–333.
- Taylor, B., Zhulin, I., (1999). PAS domains: internal sensors of oxygen, redox potential, and light. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 63, 479–506.
- Zoltowski, B., Schwerdtfeger, C., Widom, J., Loros, J., Bilwes, A., Dunlap, J., Crane, B., (2007). Conformational switching in the fungal light sensor Vivid. *Science* 316, 1054–1057.
- 22. Takahashi, F., Yamagata, D., Ishikawa, M., Fukamatsu, Y., Ogura, Y., Kasahara, M., (2007). Aureochrome, a photoreceptor required for photomorphogenesis in stramenopiles. *PNAS* **104**, 19625–19630.

- Losi, A., Kottke, T., Hegemann, P., (2004). Recording of blue light-induced energy and volume changes within the wild-type and mutated phot-LOV1 domain from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Biophys. J.* 86, 1051–1060.
- Nakasako, M., Matsuoka, D., Zikihara, K., Tokutomi, S., (2005). Quaternary structure of LOV domain containing polypeptide of Arabidopsis FKF1 protein. *FEBS Lett.* 579, 1067–1071.
- Harper, S., Neil, L., Gardner, K., (2003). Structural basis of a phototropin light switch. *Science* 30, 1541–1544.
- Möglich, A., Ayers, R., Moffat, K., (2009). Design and signaling mechanism of light-regulated histidine kinases. *J. Mol. Biol.* 385, 1433–1444.
- Glantz, S., Carpenter, E., Melkonian, M., Gardner, K., Boyden, E., Wong, G.-S., Chow, B., (2016). Functional and topological diversity of LOV domain photoreceptors. *PNAS* 113, E1442–E1451.
- Losi, A., Gaertner, W., (2017). Solving blue light riddles: new lessons from flavin-binding LOV photoreceptors. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **93**, 141–158.
- Crosson, S., Rajagopal, S., Moffat, K., (2003). The LOV domain family: photoresponsive signaling modules coupled to diverse output domains. *Biochemistry* 42, 2– 10.
- Dietler, J., Schubert, R., Krafft, T., Meiler, S., Kainrath, S., Richter, F., Schweimer, K., Weyand, M., et al., (2021). A light-oxygen-voltage receptor integrates light and temperature. *J Mol Bio.* 433, 167107.
- Jain, M., Sharma, P., Tyagi, S., Tyagi, A., Khurana, J., (2007). Light regulation and differential tissue-specific expression of phototropin homologues from rice (Oryza sativa ssp indica). *Plant Sci.* **172**, 164–171.
- Kottke, T., Heberle, J., Hehn, D., Dick, B., Hegemann, P., (2003). Phot-LOV1: Photocycle of a Blue-Light Receptor Domain from the Green Alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Biophys. J.* 84, 1192–1201.
- Imaizumi, T., Tran, H., Swartz, T., Briggs, W., Kay, S., (2003). FKF1 is essential for photoperiodic-specific light signalling in Arabidopsis. *Nature* 426, 302–306.
- Avila-Perez, M., Hellingwerf, K., Kort, R., (2006). Blue light activates the sigma B-dependent stress response of Bacillus subtilis via YtvA. J. Bacteriol. 188, 6411–6414.
- 35. Suzuki, N., Takaya, N., Hoshino, T., Nakamura, A., (2007). Enhancement of a sigma B-dependent stress response in Bacillus subtilis by light via YtvA photoreceptor. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 53, 81–88.
- Purcell, E., Siegal-Gaskins, D., Rawling, D., Fiebig, A., Crosson, S., (2007). A photosensory two-component system regulates bacterial cell attachment. *PNAS* 104, 18241–18246.
- Purcell, E., McDonald, C., Palfey, B., Crosson, S., (2010). An analysis of the solution structure and signaling mechanism of LOVk, a sensor histidine kinase integrating light and redox signals. *Biochemistry* 49, 6761–6770.
- Swartz, T., Tseng, T., Frederickson, M., Paris, G., Comerci, D., Rajashekara, G., Kim, J.-G., Mudgett, M. B., et al., (2007). Blue-light-activated histidine kinases: two component sensors in bacteria. *Science* 317, 1090– 1093.
- Vaidya, A., Chen, C., Dunlap, J., Loros, J., Crane, B., (2011). Structure of a light-activated lov protein dimer that regulates transcription. *Sci. Signal.* 4, ra50.

- Zoltowski, B., Vaccaro, B., Crane, B., (2009). Mechanismbased tuning of a LOV domain photoreceptor. *Nature Chem Biol.* 5, 827–834.
- Losi, A., (2007). Flavin-based blue-light photosensors: a photobiophysics update. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 83, 1283–1300.
- Krauss, U., Minh, B., Losi, A., Gaertner, W., Eggert, T., von Haeseler, A., Jaeger, K.-E., (2009). Distribution and phylogeny of light-oxygen-voltage-blue-light-signaling proteins in the three kingdoms of life. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 7234–7242.
- Halavaty, A., Moffat, K., (2007). N- and C-Terminal Flanking Regions Modulate Light-Induced Signal Transduction in the LOV2 Domain of the Blue Light Sensor Phototropin 1 from Avena sativa. *Biochemistry* 46, 14001–14009.
- Losi, A., Gaertner, W., (2008). Bacterial bilin- and flavinbinding photoreceptors. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 7, 1168–1178.
- Losi, A., (2013). LOV proteins: photobiophysics. In: Roberts, G.C.K. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of biophysics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 1312–1316.
- Herrou, J., Crosson, S., (2011). Function, structure and mechanism of bacterial photosensory LOV proteins. *Nature Rev. Microbiol.* 9, 713–723.
- Herman, E., Sachse, M., Kroth, P.G., Kottke, T., (2013). Blue-light-induced unfolding of the Jalpha helix allows for the dimerization of aureochrome-LOV from the diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. *Biochemistry* 52, 3094– 3101.
- Kerruth, S., Ataka, K., Frey, D., Schlichting, I., Heberle, J., (2014). Aureochrome 1 illuminated: structural changes of a transcription factor probed by molecular spectroscopy. *PLoS One* 9, e103307.
- Froehlich, A.C., Liu, Y., Loros, J.J., Dunlap, J.C., (2002). White collar-1, a circadian blue lightphotoreceptor, binding to the frequency promoter. *Science* 297, 815–819.
- He, Q., Cheng, P., Yang, Y., Wang, L., Gardner, K.H., Liu, Y., (2002). White collar-1, a DNA binding transcription factor and a light sensor. *Science* 297, 840–843.
- Malzahn, E., Ciprianidis, S., Kaldi, K., Schafmeier, T., Brunner, M., (2010). Photoadaptation in Neurospora by competitive interaction of activating and inhibitory LOV domains. *Cell* 142, 762–772.
- Chen, C., DeMay, B., Gladfelter, A., Dunlap, J., Loros, J., (2010). Physical interaction between VIVID and white collar complex regulates photoadaptation in Neurospora. *PNAS* 107, 16715–16720.
- Hunt, S., Thompson, S., Elvin, M., Heintzen, C., (2010). VIVID interacts with the WHITE COLLAR complex and FREQUENCY-interacting RNA helicase to alter light and clock responses in Neurospora. *PNAS* 107, 16709–16714.
- Circolone, F., Granzin, J., Jentzsch, K., Drepper, T., Jaeger, K., Willbold, D., Krauss, U., Batra-Safferling, R., (2012). Structural basis for the slow dark recovery of a fulllength lov protein from Pseudomonas putida. *J. Mol. Biol.* 417, 362–374.
- 55. Krauss, U., Losi, A., Gaertner, W., Jaeger, K., Eggert, T., (2005). Initial characterization of a blue-light sensing, phototropin-related protein from Pseudomonas putida: a paradigm for an extended LOV construct. *PCCP* 7, 2804– 2811.

A. Flores-Ibarra, Raiza N.A. Maia, B. Olasz, et al.

- Hendrischk, A., Moldt, J., Fruehwirth, S., Klug, G., (2009). Characterization of an unusual LOV domain protein in the aproteobacterium Rhodobacter sphaeroides. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **85**, 1254–1259.
- 57. Moglich, A., (2019). Signal transduction in photoreceptor histidine kinases. *Protein Sci.* 28, 1923–1946.
- Rivera-Cancel, G., Motta-Mena, L.B., Gardner, K.H., (2012). Identification of natural and artificial DNA substrates for light-activated LOV-HTH transcription factor EL222. *Biochemistry* 51, 10024–10034.
- Metz, S., Jager, A., Klug, G., (2012). Role of a short light, oxygen, voltage (LOV) domain protein in blue light- and singlet oxygen-dependent gene regulation in Rhodobacter sphaeroides. *Microbiology* **158**, 368–379.
- Ondrusch, N., Kreft, J., (2011). Blue and red light modulates SigB-dependent gene transcription, swimming motility and invasiveness in Listeria monocytogenes. *PLoS One* 6, e16151.
- Sevilla, E., Bes, M.T., Gonzalez, A., Peleato, M.L., Fillat, M.F., (2019). Redox-based transcriptional regulation in prokaryotes: revisiting model mechanisms. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 30, 1651–1696.
- Möglich, A., Moffat, A., (2010). Engineered photoreceptors as novel optogenetic tools. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 9, 1286–1300.
- Lee, J., Natarajan, M., Nashine, V., Socolich, M., Vo, T., Russ, W., Benkovic, S., Ranganathan, R., (2008). Surface sites for engineering allosteric control in proteins. *Science* 322, 438–442.
- Strickland, D., Moffat, K., Sosnick, T., (2008). Lightactivated DNA binding in a designed allosteric protein. *PNAS* 105, 10709–10714.
- Wu, Y., Frey, D., Lungu, O., Jährig, A., Schlichting, I., Kuhlman, B., Hahn, K., (2009). A genetically encoded photoactivatable Rac controls the motility of living cells. *Nature* 461, 104–108.
- Li, X., Liu, Z., Ren, H., Kundu, M., Zhong, F.W., Wang, L., Gao, J., Zhong, D., (2022). Dynamics and mechanism of dimer dissociation of photoreceptor UVR8. *Nature Commun.* 13
- Kennis, J., van Stokkum, I., Crosson, S., Gauden, M., Moffat, K., van Grondelle, R., (2004). The LOV2 domain of phototropin: a reversible photochromic switch. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 4512–4513.
- Möglich, A., Moffat, K., (2007). Structural basis for lightdependent signaling in the dimeric LOV domain of the photosensor YtvA. J. Mol. Biol. 373, 112–126.
- Mitra, D., Yang, X., Moffat, K., (2012). Crystal structures of aureochrome1 lov suggest new design strategies for optogenetics. *Structure* 20, 698–706.
- Salomon, M., Christie, J., Knieb, E., Lempert, U., Briggs, W., (2000). Photochemical and mutational analysis of the FMN-binding domains of the plant blue light receptor, phototrophin. *Biochemistry* **39**, 9401–9410.
- Salomon, M., Eisenreich, W., H, D., Schleicher, E., Knieb, E., Massey, V., (2001). An optomechanical transducer in the blue light receptor phototropin from Avena sativa. *PNAS* 98, 12357–12361.
- 72. Berntsson, O., Diensthuber, R., Panman, M., Bjorling, A., Hughes, A., Henry, L., Niebling, S., Newby, G., et al., (2017). Time-resolved X-ray solution scattering reveals the structural photoactivation of a light-oxygen-voltage photoreceptor. *Structure* 25, 933–938.

- Kalvaitis, M., Johnson, L., Mart, R., Rizkallah, P., Allemann, R., (2019). A noncanonical chromophore reveals structural rearrangements of the light-oxygenvoltage domain upon photoactivation. *Biochemistry* 58, 2608–2616.
- 74. Swartz, T., Corchnoy, S., Christie, J., Lewis, J., Szundi, I., Briggs, W., Bogomolni, R.A., (2001). The photocycle of a flavin-binding domain of the blue light photoreceptor phototropin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **39**, 36493–36500.
- Kennis, J., Crosson, S., Gauden, M., van Stokkum, I., Moffat, K., van Grondelle, R., (2003). Primary reactions of the LOV2 domain of phototropin, a plant blue-light photoreceptor. *Biochemistry* 42, 3385–3392.
- Zayner, J.P., Sosnick, T.R., (2014). Factors that control the chemistry of the LOV domain photocycle. *PLoS One* 9, e87074.
- Crosson, S., Moffat, K., (2001). Structure of a flavinbinding plant photoreceptordomain: Insights into lightmediated signal transduction. *PNAS* 98, 2995–3000.
- Crosson, S., Moffat, K., (2002). Photoexcited structure of a plant photoreceptor domain reveals a light-driven molecular switch. *Plant Cell* 14, 1–9.
- Fedorov, R., Schlichting, I., Hartmann, E., Domratcheva, T., Fuhrmann, M., Hegemann, P., (2003). Crystal structures and molecular mechanism of a light induced signaling switch: the Phot-LOV1 domain from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. *Biophysics J.* 84, 2474– 2482.
- Nash, A., McNulty, R., Shillito, M., Swartz, T., Bogomolni, R., Luecke, H., Gardner, K., (2011). Structural basis of photosensitivity in a bacterial light-oxygen-voltage/helixturn-helix (LOV-HTH) DNA-binding protein. *PNAS* 108, 9449–9454.
- Zoltowski, B.D., Motta-Mena, L.B., Gardner, K.H., (2013). Blue light-induced dimerization of a bacterial LOV-HTH DNA-binding protein. *Biochemistry* 52, 6653–6661.
- Harper, S.M., Christie, J.M., Gardner, K.H., (2004). Disruption of the LOV-Ja Helix interaction activates phototropin kinase activity. *Biochemistry* 43, 16184– 16192.
- Corchnoy, S., Swartz, T., Lewis, J., Szundi, I., Briggs, W., Bogomolni, R., (2003). Intramolecular proton transfers and structural changes during the photocycle of the LOV2 domain of phototropin 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 724–731.
- Nash, A., Ko, W., Harper, S., Gardner, K., (2008). A conserved glutamine plays a central role in LOV domain signal transmission and its duration. *Biochemistry* 47, 13842–13849.
- Salomon, M., Lempert, U., Rudiger, W., (2004). Dimerization of the plant photoreceptor phototropin is probably mediated by the LOV1 domain. *FEBS Lett.* 572, 8–10.
- Strickland, D., Yao, X., Gawlak, G., Rosen, M., Gardner, K., Sosmick, T., (2010). Rationally improving LOV domain-based photoswitches. *Nature Methods* 7, 623– 626.
- Erbel, P., Card, P., Karakuzu, O., Bruick, R., Gardner, K., (2003). Structural basis for PAS domain heterodimerization in the basic helix-loop-helix-PAS transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor. *PNAS* 100, 15504–15509.
- Correa, F., Ko, W.-H., Ocasio, V., Bogomolni, R., Gardner, K., (2013). Blue light regulated two-component

systems: enzymatic and functional analyses of lightoxygen-voltage (LOV)-histidine kinases and downstream response regulators. *Biochemistry* **52**, 1–20.

- Alexandre, M., Domratcheva, T., Bonetti, C., van Wilderen, L., van Grondelle, R., Groot, M.-L., Hellingwerf, K., Kennis, J., (2009). Primary reactions of the LOV2 domain of phototropin studied with ultrafast midinfrared spectroscopy and quantum chemistry. *Biophys. J* . 97, 227–237.
- Pfeifer, A., Majerus, T., Zikihara, K., Matsuoka, D., Tokutomi, S., Heberle, J., Kottke, T., (2009). Timeresolved Fourier transform infrared study on photoadduct formation and secondary structural changes within the phototropin LOV domain. *Biophys. J* . 96, 1462–1470.
- Song, S., Freddolino, P., Nash, A., Carroll, E., Schulten, K., Gardner, K., Larsen, D., (2011). Modulating LOV domain photodynamics with a residue alteration outside the chromophore binding site. *Biochemistry* 50, 2411– 2423.
- Christie, J., Corchnoy, S., Swartz, T., Hokenson, M., Han, I., Briggs, W., Bogomolni, R., (2007). Steric interactions stabilize the signaling state of the LOV2 domain of phototropin 1. *Biochemistry* 46, 9310–9319.
- Gil, A., Laptenok, S., French, J., Iuliano, J., Lukacs, A., Hall, C., Sazanovich, I., Greetham, G.M., et al., (2017). Femtosecond to millisecond dynamics of light induced allostery in the avena sativa lov domain. *J. Phys. Chem.* B121, 1010–1019.
- 94. Goett-Zink, L., Klocke, J., Bögeholz, L., Kottke, T., (2020). In-cell infrared difference spectroscopy of LOV photoreceptors reveals structural responses to light altered in living cells. J. Biol. Chem. 295, 11729–11741.
- Ataka, K., Hegemann, P., Herbele, J., (2003). Vibrational spectroscopy of an algal Phot1-LOV1 domain probes the molecular changes associated with blue-light reception. *Biophys. J*. 84, 466–474.
- Heberle, J., (2005). Vibrational spectroscopy explores the photoreaction of LOV domains. In: Wada, M., Shimazaki, K., Iino, M. (Eds.), *Light sensing in plants*. Springer, Tokyo, pp. 155–161.
- 97. Bednarz, T., Losi, A., Gärtner, W., Hegemann, P., Heberle, J., (2004). Functional variations among LOV domains as revealed by FT-IR difference spectroscopy. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **3**, 575–579.
- Yamamoto, A., Iwata, T., Sato, Y., Matsuoka, D., Tokutomi, S., Kandori, H., (2009). Light signal transduction pathway from flavin chromophore to the J alpha helix of Arabidopsis phototropin1. *Biophys. J*. 96, 2771–2778.
- 99. Swartz, T., Wenzel, P., Corchnoy, S., Briggs, W., Bogomolni, R., (2002). Vibration spectroscopy reveals light-induced chromophore and protein structural changes in the LOV2 domains of the plant blue-light receptor phototropin 1. *Biochemistry* **41**, 7183–7189.
- 100. Konold, P., Mathes, T., Weissenborn, J., Groot, M., Hegemann, P., Kennis, J., (2016). Unfolding of the Cterminal Ja-helix in the LOV2 photoreceptor domain observed by time-resolved vibrational spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7, 3472–3476.
- 101. Maia, R., Ehrenberg, D., Oldemeyer, S., Knieps-Grünhagen, E., Krauss, U., Heberle, J., (2021). Realtime tracking of proton transfer from the reactive

Journal of Molecular Biology xxx (xxxx) xxx

cysteine to the flavin chromophore of a photosensing Light Oxygen Voltage protein. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **143**, 12535–12542.

- 102. Berntsson, O., Diensthuber, R.P., Panman, M.R., Bjorling, A., Gustavsson, E., Hoernke, M., Hughes, A.J., Henry, L., et al., (2017). Sequential conformational transitions and alpha-helical supercoiling regulate a sensor histidine kinase. *Nature Commun.* 8, 284.
- Banerjee, A., Herman, E., Kottke, T., Essen, L., (2016). Structure of a native-like aureochrome 1a lov domain dimer from Phaeodactylum tricornutum. *Structure* 24, 171–178.
- 104. Mishra, A., Sharma, A., Kateriya, S., (2023). Effect of tryptophan mutation on the structure of LOV1 domain of phototropin1 protein of Ostreococcus tauri: a combined molecular dynamics simulation and biophysical approach. *BBA – Gen. Subj.* 1867, 130304.
- 105. Möglich, A., Ayers, R., Moffat, K., (2010). Addition at the molecular level: signal integration in designed Per-ARNT–Sim receptor proteins. J. Mol. Biol. 400, 477–486.
- 106. Kar, R., Borin, V., Ding, Y., Matysik, J., Shapiro, I., (2018). Spectroscopic properties of lumiflavin: a quantum chemical study. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 95, 662–674.
- 107. Kar, R., Miller, A.-F., Mroginski, M.-A., (2021). Understanding flavin electronic structure and spectra. *Comp. Mol. Sci.* 12, e1541.
- 108. Salzmann, S., Silva-Junior, M., Thiel, W., Marian, C., (2009). Influence of the LOV domain on low-lying excited states of flavin: a combined quantum-mechanics/ molecular-mechanics investigation. *Phys. Chem. B* 113, 15610–15618.
- 109. van den Berg, P., Feenstra, K., Mark, A., Berendsen, H., Visser, A., (2002). Dynamic conformations of flavin adenine dinucleotide: simulated molecular dynamics of the flavin cofactor related to the time-resolved fluorescence characteristics. J. Phys. Chem. B 106, 8858–8869.
- Freddolino, P., Gardner, K., Schulten, K., (2013). Signaling mechanisms of LOV domains: new insights from molecular dynamics studies. *Photocem. Photobiol. Sci.* 12, 1158–1170.
- Ibrahim, M., Trozzi, F., Tao, P., (2022). Dynamics of hydrogen bonds in the secondary structures of allosteric protein Avena Sativa phototropin 1. *Comp Str Biotech J.* 20, 50–64.
- 112. Iuliano, J., Tolentino Collado, J., Gil, A., Ravindran, P., Lukacs, A., Shin, S., (2020). Unraveling the mechanism of a LOV domain optogenetic sensor: a glutamine lever induces unfolding of the J α helix. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **15**, 2752–2765.
- 113. Lanzl, K., Sanden-Flohe, M.V., Kutta, R.J., Dick, B., (2010). Photoreaction of mutated LOV photoreceptor domains from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii with aliphatic mercaptans: implications for the mechanism of wild type LOV. PCCP 12, 6594–6604.
- Rivera-Cancel, G., Ko, W.H., Tomchick, D.R., Correa, F., Gardner, K.H., (2014). Full-length structure of a monomeric histidine kinase reveals basis for sensory regulation. *PNAS* 111, 17839–17844.
- 115. Möglich, A., Ayers, R., Moffat, K., (2009). Structure and signaling mechanism of Per-ARNT-Sim domains. *Structure* 17, 1282–1294.
- 116. Zoltowski, B., Nash, A., Gardner, K., (2011). Variations in protein-flavin hydrogen bonding in a Light, Oxygen,

Journal of Molecular Biology xxx (xxxx) xxx

Voltage domain produce non-Arrhenius kitetics of adduct decay. *Biochemistry* **50**, 8771–8779.

- 117. Freddolino, P.L., Dittrich, M., Schulten, K., (2006). Dynamic switching mechanisms in LOV1 and LOV2 domains of plant phototropins. *Biophys. J* . **91**, 3630– 3639.
- 118. Okajima, K., Aihara, Y., Takayama, Y., Nakajima, M., Kashojiya, S., Hikima, T., Oroguchi, T., Kobayashi, A., et al., (2014). Light-induced conformational changes of LOV1 (light oxygen voltage-sensing domain 1) and LOV2 relative to the kinase domain and regulation of kinase activity in Chlamydomonas phototropin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289, 413–422.
- Schleicher, E., Kowalczyk, R.M., Kay, C.W., Hegemann, P., Bacher, A., Fischer, M., Bittl, R., Richter, G., et al., (2004). On the reaction mechanism of adduct formation in LOV domains of the plant blue-light receptor phototropin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **126**, 11067–11076.
- Fritzsch, R., Hume, S., Minnes, L., Baker, M.J., Burley, G. A., Hunt, N.T., (2020). Two-dimensional infrared spectroscopy: an emerging analytical tool? *Analyst* 145, 2014–2024.
- Hochstrasser, R.M., (2007). Two-dimensional spectroscopy at infrared and optical frequencies. *PNAS* 104, 14190–14196.
- 122. Gotthard, G., Mous, S., Weinert, T., Maia, R.N.A., James, D., Dworkowski, F., Gashi, D., Furrer, A., et al., (2023). Capturing the blue-light activated state of the Phot-LOV1 domain from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii using timeresolved serial synchrotron crystallography. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.06.565770.
- 123. Aumonier, S., Santoni, G., Gotthard, G., von Stetten, D., Leonard, G., Royant, A., (2020). Millisecond timeresolved serial oscillation crystallography of a blue-light photoreceptor at a synchrotron. *IUCrJ*, 728–736.
- 124. Aumonier, S., Engilberge, S., Caramello, N., von Stetten, D., Gotthard, G., Leonard, G., Mueller-Dieckmann, C., Royant, A., (2022). Slow protein dynamics probed by time-resolved oscillation crystallography at room temperature. *IUCrJ* 9, 756–767.
- 125. Nagel, G., Ollig, D., Fuhrmann, M., Kateriya, S., Musti, A., Bamberg, E., Hegemann, P., (2002). Channelrhodopsin-1: a light-gated proton channel in green algae. *Science* 296, 2395–2398.
- Boyden, E., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G., Deisseroth, K., (2005). Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nature Neurosci.* 8, 1263–1268.
- 127. Aihara, Y., Yamamoto, T., Okajima, K., Yamamoto, K., Suzuki, T., Tokutomi, S., Tanaka, K., Nagatani, A., (2012). Mutations in N-terminal Flanking Region of Blue Light-sensing Light-Oxygen and Voltage 2 (LOV2) Domain Disrupt Its Repressive Activity on Kinase Domain in the Chlamydomonas Phototropin. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 9901–9909.
- Capra, E., Laub, M., (2012). Evolution of two-component signal transduction systems. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 66, 325–347.
- Sondermann, H., Shikuma, N., Yildiz, F., (2012). You've come a long way: c-di-GMP signaling. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 15, 140–146.

- 130. Raffelberg, S., Wang, L., S, G., Losi, A., Gaertner, W., Nagel, G., (2013). A LOV-domain-mediated blue-lightactivated adenylate (adenylyl) cyclase from the cyanobacterium Microcoleus chthonoplastes PCC 7420. *Biochem. J.* 455, 359–365.
- 131. Gleichmann, T., Diensthuber, R., Moeglich, A., (2013). Charting the signal trajectory in a light-oxygen-voltage photoreceptor by random mutagenesis and covariance analysis. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 29345–29355.
- 132. Yee, E., Diensthuber, R., Vaidya, A., Borbat, P., Engelhard, C., Freed, J., Bittl, R., Moglich, A., et al., (2015). Signal transduction in light-oxygen-voltage receptors lacking the adduct-forming cysteine residue. *Nature Commun.* 6, 10079.
- 133. Shu, X., Lev-Ram, V., Deerinck, T., Qi, Y., Ramko, E., Davidson, M., Jin, Y., Ellisman, M.H., et al., (2011). A genetically encoded tag for correlated light and electron microscopy of intact cells, tissues, and organisms. *PLoS Biol.* 9, e1001041.
- 134. Torra, J., Lafaye, C., Signor, L., Aumonier, S., Flors, C., Shu, X., Nonell, S., Gotthard, G., et al., (2019). Tailing miniSOG: structural bases of the complex photophysics of a flavin-binding singlet oxygen photosensitizing protein. *Nature Sci. Rep.* 9, 2428.
- Lafaye, C., Aumonier, S., Torra, J., Signor, L., von Stetten, D., Noirclerc-Savoye, M., Shu, X., Ruiz-Gonzalez, R., et al., (2022). Ribofavin-binding proteins for singlet oxygen production. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 21, 1545–1555.
- 136. Ryumina, A., Serebrovskaya, E., Shirmanova, M., Snopova, L., Kuznetsova, M., Turchin, I., Ignatova, N.I., Klementieva, N.V., et al., (2013). Flavoprotein miniSOG as a genetically encoded photosensitizer for cancer cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1830, 5059–5067.
- 137. Westberg, M., Holmegaard, L., Pimenta, F.M., Etzerodt, M., Ogilby, P.R., (2015). Rational design of an efficient, genetically encodable, protein-encased singlet oxygen photosensitizer. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137, 1632–1642.
- McLean, M., Rajfur, Z., Chen, Z., Humphrey, D., Yang, B., Sligar, S., Jacobson, K., (2009). Mechanism of chromophore assisted laser inactivation employing fluorescent proteins. *Anal. Chem.* 81, 1755–1761.
- Magerl, K., Stambolic, I., Dick, B., (2017). Switching from adduct formation to electron transfer in a light-oxygenvoltage domain containing the reactive cysteine. *PCCP* 19, 10808–10819.
- 140. Acharya, A., Bogdanov, A.M., Grigorenko, B.L., Bravaya, K.B., Nemukhin, A.V., Lukyanov, K.A., Krylov, A.I., (2017). Photoinduced chemistry in fluorescent proteins: curse or blessing? *Chem. Rev.* **117**, 758–795.
- 141. Westberg, M., Etzerodt, M., Ogilby, P.R., (2019). Rational design of genetically encoded singlet oxygen photosensitizing proteins. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 57, 56–62.
- 142. Jayaraman, P., Devarajan, K., Chua, T., Zhang, H., Gunawan, E., Poh, C., (2016). Blue light-mediated transcriptional activation and repression of gene expression in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, 6994–7005.
- 143. Ventura, B., Kuhlman, B., (2016). Go in! Go out! Inducible control of nuclear localization. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 34, 62–71.

144. Wang, H., Hahn, K., (2016). LOVTRAP, a versatile method to control protein function with light. *Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol.* **73** 21.10.21–21.10.14.

- 145. Gil, A.A., Carrasco-Lopez, C., Zhu, L., Zhao, E.M., Ravindran, P.T., Wilson, M.Z., Goglia, A.G., Avalos, J. L., et al., (2020). Optogenetic control of protein binding using light-switchable nanobodies. *Nature Commun.* 11, 4044.
- 146. Strickland, D., Lin, Y., Wagner, E., Hope, C.M., Zayner, J., Antoniou, C., Sosnick, T.R., Weiss, E.L., et al., (2012). TULIPs: tunable, light-controlled interacting protein tags for cell biology. *Nature Methods* **9**, 379–384.
- 147. Kennedy, M.B., (1995). Origin of PDZ (DHR, GLGF) domains. *Trends Biochem. Sci* 9, 350.
- 148. Huang, J., Makabe, K., Biancalana, M., Koide, A., Koide, S., (2009). Structural basis for exquisite specificity of affinity clamps, synthetic binding proteins generated through directed domain-interface evolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* 392, 1221–1231.
- 149. Pathak, G.P., Strickland, D., Vrana, J.D., Tucker, C.L., (2014). Benchmarking of optical dimerizer systems. ACS Synth. Biol. 3, 832–838.
- 150. Oakes, P.W., Wagner, E., Brand, C.A., Probst, D., Linke, M., Schwarz, U.S., Glotzer, M., Gardel, M.L., (2017). Optogenetic control of RhoA reveals zyxin-mediated elasticity of stress fibres. *Nature Commun.* 8, 15817.
- 151. Cavanaugh, K.E., Oakes, P.W., Gardel, M.L., (2020). Optogenetic control of RhoA to probe subcellular mechanochemical circuitry. *Curr. Protoc. Cell Biol.* 86, e102.
- 152. Glantz, S.T., Berlew, E.E., Jaber, Z., Schuster, B.S., Gardner, K.H., Chow, B.Y., (2018). Directly lightregulated binding of RGS-LOV photoreceptors to anionic membrane phospholipids. *PNAS* 115, E7720–E7727.

- 153. Weber, A.M., Kaiser, J., Ziegler, T., Pilsl, S., Renzl, C., Sixt, L., Pietruschka, G., Moniot, S., et al., (2019). A blue light receptor that mediates RNA binding and translational regulation. *Nature Chem. Biol.* 15, 1085–1092.
- 154. Reynolds, J., Vishweshwaraiah, Y., Chirasani, V., Pritchard, J., Dokholyan, N., (2023). An engineered Nacyltransferase-LOV2 domain fusion protein enables light-inducible allosteric control of enzymatic activity. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 103069.
- 155. Huang, P., Boyken, S., Baker, D., (2016). The coming of age of de novo protein design. *Nature* **537**, 320–327.
- 156. Coluzza, I., (2017). Computational protein design: a review. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 29, 143001.
- 157. Tichy, A.-M., Gerrard, E., Legrand, J., Hobbs, R., Janovjak, H., (2019). Engineering strategy and vector library for the rapid generation of modular light-controlled protein-protein interactions. J. Mol. Biol. 431, 3046–3055.
- 158. Shen, Y., Campbell, R., Côté, D., Paquet, M.-E., (2020). Challenges for therapeutic applications of opsin-based optogenetic tools in humans. *Front. Neur. Circ.* 14, 41.
- 159. Parag-Sharma, K., O'Banion, C., Henry, E., Musicant, A., Cleveland, J., Lawrence, D., Amelio, A., (2020). Engineered BRET-based biologic light sources enable spatiotemporal control over diverse optogenetic systems. ACS Synth. Biol. 9, 1–9.
- Nikolaev, A., Yudenko, A., Smolentseva, A., Bogorodskiy, A., Tsybrov, F., Borshchevskiy, V., Bukhalovich, S., Nazarenko, V.V., et al., (2023). Fine spectral tuning of a flavin-binding fluorescent protein for multicolor imaging. *J. Biol. Chem.* 299, 102977.
- Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596, 583–589.