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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3D</td>
<td>3-dimensional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT</td>
<td>antiplatelet therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS</td>
<td>acetylsalicylic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBF</td>
<td>cerebral blood flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>computerized tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTA</td>
<td>CT angiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALY</td>
<td>disability-adjusted life year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA</td>
<td>digital subtraction angiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>deep venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED</td>
<td>emergency department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFP</td>
<td>fresh frozen plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXa</td>
<td>activated factor X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FXa-i</td>
<td>factor Xa-inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR</td>
<td>glomerular filtration rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD</td>
<td>international classification of diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>intracerebral hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td>intracranial pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>interquartile range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVH</td>
<td>intraventricular hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA</td>
<td>multiple electrode aggregometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS</td>
<td>minimally invasive surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLS</td>
<td>midline shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>information not available, i.e., missing data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAC</td>
<td>non-vitamin K oral anticoagulant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAC</td>
<td>oral anticoagulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>operations and procedures code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAE</td>
<td>pulmonary artery embolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAOD</td>
<td>peripheral arterial occlusive disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>prothrombin complex concentrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>randomised controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROTEM</td>
<td>rotational thrombelastometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXA</td>
<td>tranexamic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VKA</td>
<td>vitamin K antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS</td>
<td>modified Rankin scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nOAC</td>
<td>no oral anticoagulation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abstract

Background

The role of neurosurgical hematoma evacuation in patients with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is still controversial. Patients on anticoagulant medication are a particularly contentious group because of their higher initial risk for spontaneous ICH as well as their potentially increased risk for postoperative hematoma enlargement with subsequent adverse clinical outcome. We investigated whether prior oral anticoagulation had an impact on the surgical result, overall mortality, and functional neurological outcome of the patients. Furthermore, we were interested in how surgical performance influenced patients’ outcome.

Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of all patients neurosurgically treated at our University Hospital for the diagnosis of spontaneous ICH from January 2008 to December 2018, as identified systematically from the clinical information system by ICD and OPS codes. History of prior oral anticoagulation, mortality, pre- and postoperative functional neurological status as well as relevant laboratory results were obtained. Preoperative and postoperative CT scans were analyzed regarding hematoma localization and hematoma evacuation efficacy.

Results

We identified 296 patients with pertinent diagnoses and procedures with a median age of 69 years and a slight preponderance of male sex (56%). The ratio of supratentorial to infratentorial hematoma localization was 207 / 81 with no information available
in 8 patients. Perioperative hemostatic management was clinically effective as the patients with prior anticoagulant therapy, who presented with a significantly higher median preoperative hematoma volume, showed similar hematoma evacuation efficacy to patients without anticoagulation in both supra- and infratentorial hemorrhage. Reduction of hematoma volume to $\leq 15$ ml or $\leq 30\%$ of preoperative size was achieved in 129 / 176 patients with supratentorial hematoma $\geq 30$ ml preoperatively, and associated with a lower in-hospital- as well as one-year mortality, both of which were also inversely correlated with hematoma evacuation efficacy in infratentorial hematoma. Low residual hematoma volume was also predictive of functional neurological status after twelve months in supratentorial hematoma.

**Conclusions**

Surgical hematoma volume reduction efficacy is associated with improved in-hospital- and one-year survival in both supratentorial as well as infratentorial spontaneous ICH. It also positively affects functional neurological outcome in supratentorial ICH. These results are similar for patients with and without prior oral anticoagulation, and despite higher initial hematoma volumes in patients with anticoagulation, surgical efficacy in them was not reduced. According to our results, patients on oral anticoagulants eligible for neurosurgical hematoma evacuation should not be denied a potentially lifesaving surgical intervention as perioperative hemostaseological management is effective in ensuring a safe surgical procedure.
Abstrakt

Hintergrund


Methoden

Im Rahmen einer retrospektiven Kohortenstudie identifizierten wir anhand der ICD und OPS Codes alle an unserem Universitätsklinikum neurochirurgisch behandelten Patienten mit spontaner IZB von Januar 2008 bis Dezember 2018, erhoben die entsprechenden klinischen Daten, insbesondere bestehende orale Antikoagulation, Mortalität sowie prä- und postoperativen funktionellen neurologischen Status. Hämatomlokalisation und chirurgische Hämatomreduktion wurden mittels prä- und postoperativer CT-Untersuchungen ausgewertet.

Ergebnisse

Wir identifizierten 296 Patienten mit einem medianen Alter von 69 Jahren und leicht überwiegendem männlichem Anteil (56%). Das Verhältnis von supra- zu infratentorieller Hämatomlokalisation war 207 / 81 , bei 8 Patienten fehlte diese Information.

**Schlußfolgerungen**

1 Introduction

1.1 Epidemiology of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Hemorrhagic stroke caused by spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) accounts for 10 – 15% of strokes in developed countries and is the subtype of stroke with the highest burden in terms of deaths and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide\(^1,2\).

Brain damage in ICH can be divided conceptually into primary injury, which occurs rapidly and is mainly the result of a mass effect, and secondary injury, which is caused by a multifactorial cascade of pathophysiological processes that are related to hematoma degradation, oxidative stress, and subsequently developing perihematomal edema. Hematoma and edema lead to an increase in intracranial volume and, due to the confinement within the skull, to an increase in intracranial pressure (ICP) with the risk of life-threatening brain herniation. Even if herniation does not occur, increased ICP can result in a critical reduction of cerebral blood flow (CBF) below the level required for cellular survival. Consequently, although the volume of the hematoma was previously identified as one of the most important predictors of survival in ICH\(^3–6\), secondary brain injury from the toxic effects of blood degradation\(^7\), hypermetabolism\(^8\), oxidative stress\(^9\) and spreading depolarization\(^10\), among others, poses an additional life-threatening risk that may perhaps be reduced by surgical hematoma evacuation.

1.2 Anticoagulation Management after Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Among the main risk factors for ICH are advanced age, male sex, high blood pressure, drug use, excessive alcohol consumption, as well as dietary and genetic factors. As these risk factors also predispose for other cardiovascular diseases, a relevant subset
of the patients presenting with ICH have received oral anticoagulant treatment (OAC) and/or antiplatelet therapy (APT). In this setting, spontaneous ICH represents a well-recognized and potentially fatal complication. Although non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants (NOACs) may have a reduced risk of severe bleeding if compared to vitamin K antagonists (VKAs)\textsuperscript{11–13}, once ICH occurs, hematoma characteristics are similar and ICH remains a life-threatening event. In retrospective analyses, both VKAs and NOACs have been reported to be associated with a higher risk of hematoma expansion, higher mortality and worse functional outcome compared to patients without prior oral anticoagulant medication (nOAC patients)\textsuperscript{14,15}. Moreover, there appears to be an additional detrimental effect of APT in patients receiving VKAs\textsuperscript{16}.

As initial hematoma volume and hematoma growth are powerful predictors of clinical outcome\textsuperscript{3–6}, prevention of hematoma growth by aggressive blood pressure management and quick restoration of normal hemostasis are considered to be main pillars in the management of ICH patients\textsuperscript{14,17}. While administration of prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) in patients with VKA intake and administration of idarucizumab for dabigatran-associated hemorrhage are recommended\textsuperscript{18,19}, the appropriate hemostatic management of activated factor X (FXa)-inhibitors is still under discussion, as the use of PCC in these patients is gradually being replaced by andexanet alfa, which has been approved for apixaban and rivaroxaban reversal by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) as of April 2019. Given the decades of difference in clinical experience between VKA and NOAC treatment, there is an urgent need to collect further data on the clinical management and safety profile of NOAC-related ICH, particularly in the context of surgical hematoma evacuation and perioperative hemostatic management.
1.3 The Role of Surgery for Evacuation of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Despite a number of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), the general role of surgical intervention for ICH still remains controversial. Largely, this is due to the fact that the randomized STICH trials\textsuperscript{20,21} failed to show a benefit of early surgical intervention but, on the other hand, a large meta-analysis that also included data from STICH I suggested a significant benefit for surgery over medical management for patients who had early surgery, hematoma volumes between 20 and 50 ml, a Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score between 9 and 12 and were aged between 50 and 69 years\textsuperscript{22}. One possible explanation for this discrepancy compared to the RCT results from STICH I and STICH II could be the relevant crossover of patients from conservative treatment to delayed surgery, e.g. 21% in the STICH II trial\textsuperscript{21}. On the other hand, the results from the meta-analysis\textsuperscript{22} indicate that patient selection in previous RCTs might not have been ideal, and they show that it remains a critical factor to reliably identify only those patients as surgical candidates that have the greatest potential to benefit from the procedure. Next to the factors mentioned above, this also includes the development and utilization of less invasive (minimally invasive, MIS) surgical approaches\textsuperscript{23}, which could help limit the collateral tissue damage when approaching deep but also superficial lesions\textsuperscript{24} and benefit long-term functional outcome and survival\textsuperscript{25}.

Another important factor that may influence outcome and that is naturally affected by anticoagulation management seems to be the effectiveness of the surgical hematoma evacuation, in other words the surgical performance\textsuperscript{26}. In the context of anticoagulation management, this surgical performance can be negatively affected by an intraoperative difficulty of hemostasis or by postoperative hematoma (re)enlargement, which has been reported to occur particularly in patients with OAC-associated ICH\textsuperscript{27}.
and likely contributes to unfavorable clinical outcome\textsuperscript{a8}.

1.4 Study Aims

In order to

\begin{itemize}
  \item a) systematically characterize the common practice of perioperative anticoagulation management in patients undergoing surgery for ICH evacuation in a large university hospital, and
  \item b) determine the effect of perioperative anticoagulation management on surgical performance and clinical outcome,
\end{itemize}

we performed a retrospective, single-center cohort study of all ICH patients that underwent microsurgical hematoma evacuation in our department.
2 Methods

2.1 Study Population and Ethics Approval

This study included all consecutive patients that underwent surgery for evacuation of spontaneous supra- or infratentorial ICH at the Department of Neurosurgery at the Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin in Berlin, Germany, from January 2008 to December 2018. Patients were admitted through the emergency department (ED) or by external referral to our neuro-intensive care unit and retrospectively identified by searching the clinical patient information system for ICD-10 codes I61.0 through I61.9, and I62.9 and procedure codes OPS 5-010.00 through 5-010.0x , 5-013.40, and 5-013.4x in order to identify combinations of diseases and procedures pertinent to the problem as shown in Table 2.

The study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and approved by the local Ethics Committee of the Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin (approval number: EA1/156/14). Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study and anonymization of the data at the source before analysis, according to the requirements determined by the Ethics Committee.

2.2 Diagnostics and Indication for Surgery

All patients received non contrast computerized tomography (CT) and CT angiography (CTA) at the time point of admission. In cases of external referrals, the initial CT and occasionally the CTA were performed at the referring institution and additional imaging was obtained upon arrival in our department as needed. In patients under the age of 60 and/ or with atypical hematoma localization (i.e., lobar or primarily intraventricular), a digital subtraction angiography (DSA) was performed to rule out a
Table 2: ICD and OPS codes used for identification of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD codes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I61.0</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale Blutung in die Großhirnemisphere, subkortikal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.1</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale Blutung in die Großhirnemisphere, kortikal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.2</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale Blutung in die Großhirnemisphere, nicht näher bezeichnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.3</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale Blutung in den Hirnstamm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.4</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale Blutung in das Kleinhirn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.5</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale intraventrikuläre Blutung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.6</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale Blutung an mehreren Lokalisationen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.8</td>
<td>Sonstige intrazerebrale Blutung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I61.9</td>
<td>Intrazerebrale Blutung, nicht näher bezeichnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I62.9</td>
<td>Intrakranielle Blutung (nichttraumatisch), nicht näher bezeichnet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPS codes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-010</td>
<td>Schädeleröffnung über die Kalotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-010.00</td>
<td>Kraniotomie, Kalotte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-010.01</td>
<td>Kraniotomie, Kalotte über die Mittellinie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-010.02</td>
<td>Kraniotomie, bifrontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-010.03</td>
<td>Kraniotomie, temporal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-010.04</td>
<td>Kraniotomie, subokzipital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-010.0X</td>
<td>Kraniotomie, sonstige</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-013.4</td>
<td>Entleerung eines intrazerebralen Hämatoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-013.40</td>
<td>Entleerung eines intrazerebralen Hämatoms, offen chirurgisch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-013.4X</td>
<td>Entleerung eines intrazerebralen Hämatoms, sonstige</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
structural vascular pathology (i.e., aneurysm, arteriovenous malformation or dural arterial-venous fistula) as the cause of hemorrhage.

As outlined in 1.3, the indication for surgery and general patient management was based on institutional and international guidelines that were pertinent during the period when the patients of the study were treated. Briefly, supratentorial microsurgical hematoma evacuation was considered if patients had a premorbid modified Rankin scale (mRS) grade $\leq 1$, a GCS score between 5 and 14, a hematoma volume between 20 and 90 ml, a superficial (lobar) hematoma localization and if possible, surgery within 72 hours of the hemorrhage. For infratentorial ICH, the indication for surgery was based on a GCS score below 15 or a subsequent reduction of $>2$ points of the GCS score in addition to the presence of a space-occupying hematoma in the posterior fossa with compression of the fourth ventricle and/ or brainstem with or without hydrocephalus. Patients with mesencephalic, thalamic, brainstem or mainly intraventricular hemorrhages (IVHs) were generally not considered suitable for microsurgical hematoma evacuation. Importantly, the use of OAC or APT did not affect the indication for surgery. All treatment decisions were made within a multidisciplinary context that involved the treating physicians (neurosurgeons, neurologists, neuro-interventionalists and neuro-intensivists) as well as the patient and next of kin or caregiver.

2.3 Data Acquisition

2.3.1 Sources of Demographic and Clinical Data

Information on diagnostic ICD and OPS codes were obtained from the clinical information system (i.s.h.med/IS-H, Cerner Corporation, North Kansas City, USA; SAP AG, Walldorf, Germany). Data on demographics, medical history, admission status,
indication for oral anticoagulation, type of oral anticoagulation as well as perioperative hemostatic management and laboratory results were obtained from the clinical records and patient data management systems (COPRA, COPRA System GmbH, Berlin, Germany, and MedVision, MedVision AG, Unna, Germany).

2.3.2 Prior Anticoagulant Medication and Hemostatic Therapy

We studied patient records for information on medication with VKAs or NOACs, the latter being further specified as being either a direct thrombin-inhibitor (dabigatran) or a FXa-inhibitor (FXa-i, i.e., apixaban, edoxaban, and rivaroxaban), and we determined the underlying medical condition [deep venous thrombosis (DVT), pulmonary artery embolism (PAE), atrial fibrillation (AF)] requiring OAC. Furthermore, data were collected regarding APT with acetylsalicylic acid (ASS) and/ or clopidogrel as well as the indication for APT [coronary artery disease (CAD) or peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD)].

Data on hemostatic management were extracted from ED and anesthesiological protocols and included administration of prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC), fresh frozen plasma (FFP), tranexamic acid (TXA), vitamin K, fibrinogen, platelet concentrates, and desmopressin. The effectiveness of hemostatic treatment was evaluated using prothrombin time (PT/ INR) and activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) recorded at the time point of admission compared to PT/ INR and aPTT at the closest time point documented before the neurosurgical intervention. If needed and logistically available, anti-FXa activity was determined and platelet function was additionally quantified by the in vitro bleeding time (determined by PFA-100), multiple electrode aggregometry (MEA), and/ or rotational thrombelastometry (ROTEM).

To assess the risk of prolonged drug activity due to impaired renal function, the
glomerular filtration rate (GFR, Cockroft-Gold formula) was determined in all patients.

2.3.3 Imaging Analysis

Non-contrast-enhanced CT scans obtained before surgery and within 24 hours after surgical intervention were retrospectively analyzed regarding the hematoma volume, localization, the degree of midline shift (MLS), the presence of intraventricular hemorrhage, and involvement of the basal cisterns. In the case of postoperative clinical deterioration or a refractory increase in ICP, CT imaging was performed immediately.

As shown in Figure 1, volumetric analysis was performed by manual, 3-dimensional (3D) hematoma segmentation according to the visually identifiable, hyperdense hematoma in the transverse, sagittal, and coronal CT imaging planes using the SmartBrush Tool of iPlan cranial image guidance software (iPlan cranial, Version 3.0, Brainlab AG, Munich, Germany).

Using the same image guidance software, MLS was measured in the transverse plane as the maximal perpendicular distance (in mm) between the septum pellucidum at the level of the foramen of Monro and a line drawn along the fronto-occipital midline of the skull.

2.4 Outcome Parameters

Primary outcome was defined as mortality during the initial period of hospitalization and functional clinical outcome (including mortality) after one year, as determined by the mRS grade. In studies on cerebral stroke, the mRS is a widely applied, validated tool to evaluate functional independence in activities of daily life, categorizing impairment from no (mRS grade 0) to severe disability in bedridden patients with constant need of nursing care (mRS grade 5) on an ordinal scale. The cutoff between an mRS
Figure 1: CT scan of the preoperative segmentation of a supratentorial hematoma in the right frontal and parietal lobes in the transverse (upper right), sagittal (lower left), and coronal (lower right) planes for 3D reconstruction and automatic calculation of the hematoma volume using iPlan cranial image guidance software.
grade $\leq 3$ and $>3$ distinguishes patients that are able to ambulate independently (mRS $\leq 3$) from those that require assistance for ambulation (mRS $>3$). The cutoff between an mRS grade $\leq 2$ and $>2$ is typically considered as a threshold to distinguish between favorable ($\leq 2$) and unfavorable ($>2$) outcome. Mortality is defined as an mRS grade 6. In a meta-analysis the mRS grade was found to have a good interobserver and test-retest reliability, rendering it a suitable instrument to compare pre- and post-stroke conditions\textsuperscript{35}. In the present study, the mRS grade was determined by telephone interview with the patient or caregiver according to our institutional practice.

### 2.5 Preparation of Data and Statistical Analysis

Although not completely applicable to our retrospective design, we adapted the CONSORT-guidelines\textsuperscript{36} to include a graphical representation describing patient inclusion (Fig. 2).

All data were assumed to be non-normally distributed and described as median, interquartile range (IQR) and number of subjects for whom information was not available (NA). For direct comparisons between groups regarding continuous variables bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals (95%-CI) of the median for estimation of significant differences were calculated\textsuperscript{37}. In the case of non-overlapping CIs of numerical data, subsequent statistical testing was done using Student’s t-test after assuring the homogeneity of variances by Levene’s test, while for ordinal variables the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was applied. If CIs for differences of proportions did not include 0, they were compared formally using Fisher’s exact test. Correlation between a continuous and dichotomous variable was calculated using point-biserial correlation. All statistical tests were performed with an $\alpha$ of 5% as the cutoff value for statistical significance.
Statistical analysis was performed using R version 4.2.2 Patched (2022-11-10 r83330), with the packages DescTools, dplyr, ggplot2, grid, gridExtra, gtable, kableExtra, lattice, latticeExtra, magrittr, plyr, rmarkdown, and tidyverse.
3 Results

3.1 Patient Characteristics

![CONSORT-diagram of the study]

Using the filtering criteria described above and summarized in Table 2 we identified a total number of 1,647 clinical episodes (observations) between January 2008 and December 2018. Of these, 227 were excluded due to ICH in neonates with perinatal intracerebral hemorrhage or due to traumatic ICH etiology. Another 27 episodes were excluded due to a leading diagnosis of subdural hematoma. Of the remaining 1,393 observations, 857 were secondary hemorrhages due to aneurysmatic subarachnoid hemorrhage (248), cerebral arteriovenous malformations (176), coagulation disorders from either preexisting disease, e.g., hematological malignancy, HELLP-syndrome, or therapeutic intervention, e.g., systemic lysis, extracorporeal circulation (101), and preex-
isting ischemic- (90), neoplastic- (232), or infectious lesions (10). Of the remaining 536 observations, 89 were excluded because they were linked to a prior intervention, such as cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy. Of the remaining 447 observations, 151 were excluded due to medical management alone, resulting in a final sample of n = 296 [165 male, 131 female, median age 69 years (IQR 57 – 75), see Fig. 2 and Tab. 3].

### 3.2 Anticoagulation and Antiplatelet Therapy

Out of 296 patients that underwent microsurgical evacuation of spontaneous ICH, 86 patients (29%) received anticoagulation and 194 (66%) did not. In 16 patients (5%) information on prior anticoagulation was unavailable. nOAC patients tended to be younger (median: 66.5 years, IQR 55 – 74) than the patients receiving either VKAs (median: 71 years, IQR 64.5 – 77) or NOACs (median: 79 years, IQR 75 – 84). As the main medical co-morbidity requiring OAC, 67% and 94% of patients receiving VKA or NOAC suffered from AF, respectively.

27 patients (9%) received both OAC and APT, while 58 patients (20%) received only APT. Further information regarding prior pharmacotherapy is presented in Table 4.

### 3.3 ICH Characteristics

The hematoma localization was supratentorial in 207 patients (70%) and infratentorial in 81 patients (27%). In 8 patients (3%), no imaging was available. The median preoperative hematoma volume in patients with supratentorial hematoma localization was 62.1 ml (IQR 43.2 – 81.0) and did not differ between male and female patients (male: median 63.2 ml, 95%-CI 52.1 – 69.2; female: median 58.9 ml, 95%-CI 50.6 – 66.2, see Fig. 3). Higher preoperative supratentorial hematoma volumes were noted in patients who received OAC (OAC: median 77.1 ml, 95%-CI 70.0 – 89.7; nOAC: median 58.8 ml,
Table 3: Demographic and baseline characteristics of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>years (median, range)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>(2-87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>(56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>(44%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preexisting medical conditions</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hypertension</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>(65%, NA = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coronary artery disease</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(12%, NA = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>(22%, NA = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(4%, NA = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAD</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(5%, NA = 9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Premorbid mRS grade</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mRS 0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS 1</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>(43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS 2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS 3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS 4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>(8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRS not done</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>(28%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GCS score at admission</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCS 3 - 8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>(28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS 9 - 12</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>(25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS 13 - 15</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>(35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS not done</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>(11%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hematoma</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal ganglia</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>(28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal ganglia and hemispheres</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>(38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemispheres</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>(26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum and brain stem</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No imaging available</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sys. BP at admission</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mmHg (median, range)</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>(60-290, NA = 133)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 4: Medication of patients

### Antihypertensive drugs
- RAAS-inhibitor mono: 27 (9%)
- beta-blocker mono: 10 (3%)
- calcium channel blocker mono: 4 (1%)
- diuretic mono: 5 (2%)
- combination therapy: 83 (28%)
- no antihypertensive drugs: 106 (36%)
- no available information: 61 (21%)

### Lipid-lowering therapy
- lipid-lowering drugs: 50 (17%)
- no lipid-lowering drugs: 206 (70%)
- no available information: 40 (14%)

### Anticoagulation
- Coumarin: 60 (20%)
  - median INR (IQR, range): 2.4 (1.5 - 3.1, 1.1 - 7.4, NA = 33)
- NOAC: 16 (5%)
  - Rivaroxaban: 9 (3%)
  - Apixaban: 5 (2%)
  - Edoxaban: 2 (1%)
- Heparin: 10 (3%)
- No anticoagulation: 194 (66%)
- Anticoagulation unknown: 16 (5%)

### Platelet inhibition
- ASS mono: 75 (25%)
- P2Y12 receptor antagonists mono: 4 (1%)
- Dual inhibition: 7 (2%)
- No inhibition: 194 (66%)
- Platelet inhibition unknown: 16 (5%)
95%-CI 54.2 – 63.7, p = 0.006). There was no apparent difference in supratentorial hematoma volume between VKA and NOAC patients (VKA: median 76.1 ml, 95%-CI 68.4 – 91.5; NOAC: median 81.2 ml, 95%-CI 47.6 – 122.4). Similarly, APT additional to OAC did not lead to an increased hematoma volume in supratentorial ICH (OAC and APT: median 80.1 ml, 95%-CI 68.1 – 108.6; OAC without APT: median 63.2 ml, 95%-CI 45.9 – 72.4, p = 0.2, formal statistical testing performed as an exception because of the seemingly large difference between medians and only a small overlap of 95%-CIs).

Comparison of nOAC patients with or without APT also did not reveal any apparent differences in supratentorial hematoma volume (APT: median 63.2 ml, 95%-CI 45.9 – 72.4; no APT: median 56.0 ml, 95%-CI 48.9 – 60.1). Despite differences in overall hematoma volume, no difference was noted in the degree of preoperative midline shift between OAC and nOAC groups (OAC: median 7.4 mm, 95%-CI 6.5 – 9.7; nOAC: median 6.1 mm, 95%-CI 5.3 – 6.6), see Figure 3. Also, no difference in hematoma volume was noted between OAC and nOAC groups in patients with infratentorial hematoma localization (OAC: median 19.7 ml, 95%-CI 15.4 – 23.6; nOAC: median 19.4 ml, 95%-CI 16.5 – 24.0). The same was true for the comparison between OAC with or without additional APT (OAC and APT: median 16.9 ml, 95%-CI -8.3 – 18.9; OAC without APT: median 20.0 ml, 95%-CI 16.9 – 28.2) as well as the comparison of nOAC patients with or without APT (APT: median 20.0 ml, 95%-CI 16.9 – 28.2; no APT: median 19.1 ml, 95%-CI 15.9 – 23.5).

3.4 Treatment

3.4.1 Preoperative Reversal of Anticoagulation

Patients on VKAs received PCC (PCC, 32 / 60, 53%), FFPs (FFP, 2 / 60, 3%), or both (15 / 60, 25%). In patients with VKAs that did not receive preoperative hemostatic
Figure 3: Dependence of supratentorial hematoma volume and midline shift on OAC and sex. As detailed in 3.3, higher preoperative hematoma volume is associated with oral anticoagulation but not with patient sex whereas midline shift is independent of either. Boxplots show median (dot), IQR (boxes) and extremes (whiskers) of preoperative supratentorial hematoma volume (upper row) and midline shift (lower row). Differences in subject numbers are due to missing information in single cases.

Treatment (11 / 60, 18%) a maximum INR on admission of 1.3 (median: 1.1, IQR: 1.1 – 1.2) was noted. Overall, hemostatic treatment resulted in a drop in median INR from 2.4 (IQR 1.5 – 3.1, NA = 33) on admission to 1.2 (IQR 1.1 – 1.6, NA = 16) preoperatively.

In 6 patients, neither admission nor preoperative INR was recorded. In patients with NOACs, hemostatic treatment was attempted in 15 / 16 (94%) patients, either with PCC alone (12 / 16, 75%), or in combination with FFPs (3 / 16, 19%).

3.4.2 Hematoma Evacuation Efficacy

Examples of supratentorial hematoma evacuation efficacy are shown in Figures 4 and 5 for homogenous and diffuse ICH, respectively.

Compared to the preoperative hematoma volumes described in 3.3, the median postoperative hematoma volume in patients with supratentorial ICH was 6.8 ml (IQR 2.9 –
Figure 4: Efficacy of neurosurgical hematoma evacuation shown by comparison of preoperative (A) to postoperative (B) images in a case of homogenous ICH distribution. From left to right, the images depict transverse, coronal and sagittal CT images through the main axis of the hematoma. Hematoma segmentation, as described in the methods section (2.3.3), is illustrated by the area outlined and shaded in red. In the postoperative images, near complete removal can be noted.
19.8) and 2.5 ml (IQR 1.2 – 7.3) in patients with infratentorial ICH, corresponding to relative reductions of 87% (IQR 68 – 94) and 85% (IQR 68 – 93) from pre- to postoperatively in supra- and infratentorial ICH, respectively. There was no difference in postoperative hematoma volume between OAC and nOAC patients in either supratentorial (OAC: median 10.3 ml, 95%-CI -0.5 – 14.7, nOAC: median 6.4 ml, 95%-CI 3.7 – 7.8) or infratentorial ICH (OAC: median 2.8 ml, 95%-CI -2.4 – 4.2, nOAC: median 2.2 ml, 95%-CI 0.7 – 3.1) or between patients receiving VKA or NOACs (supratentorial VKA: median 7.8 ml, 95%-CI -2.0 – 10.6, supratentorial NOAC: median 19.1 ml, 95%-CI 1.1 32.9), see also Table 5; (infratentorial VKA: median 7.8 ml, 95%-CI -2.0 – 6.7, infratentorial NOAC: median 1.9 ml, 95%-CI -36.1 – 2.6).

Figure 5: Efficacy of neurosurgical hematoma evacuation shown by comparison of preoperative (A) to postoperative (B) images in a case of diffuse ICH distribution. From left to right, the images depict transverse, coronal, and sagittal CT images through the main axis of the hematoma. Hematoma segmentation, as described in the methods section (2.3.3), is illustrated by the area outlined and shaded in red.
Table 5: Treatment results in patients with supratentorial hematoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>no anticoagulation</th>
<th>VKAs</th>
<th>NOACs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>preoperative</td>
<td>preoperative</td>
<td>preoperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hematoma volume</td>
<td>hematoma volume</td>
<td>hematoma volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(median, IQR)</td>
<td>(median, IQR)</td>
<td>(median, IQR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.8 ml (42.9 – 77.1)</td>
<td>76.1 ml (44.5 – 92.1)</td>
<td>81.2 ml (40.1 – 92.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 ml (2.9 – 17.6)</td>
<td>7.8 ml (2.3 – 26.6)</td>
<td>19.1 ml (5.3 – 47.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>87.6% (69.6 – 94.0)</td>
<td>89.4% (63.1 – 95.2)</td>
<td>70.9% (52.0 – 90.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4.3 Hematoma Evacuation Efficacy in the Context of MISTIE III

For an additional perspective, in a next step we retrospectively applied the inclusion criterion of the minimally invasive surgery with thrombolysis in intracerebral haemorrhage evacuation (MISTIE III) trial regarding the required preoperative hematoma volume of $\geq 30$ ml to our cohort and identified 176 / 207 patients (85%) with supratentorial ICH that matched the criterion. The aim of the original protocol to reduce hematoma volume to $\leq 15$ ml\textsuperscript{24} was reached in 111 / 176 (63%) patients. The revised surgical performance criterion (reduction of hematoma volume to $\leq 15$ ml or $\leq 30\%$ of preoperative size)\textsuperscript{26} was achieved in 129 / 176 (73%) of patients. Regarding prior anticoagulation, there was no difference in the proportions of patients in whom the revised surgical performance criteria were reached (OAC: 33 / 50, 66%; non-OAC: 110 / 143, 77%, 95%-CI -0.13 – 0.07).
3.5 Clinical Outcome

3.5.1 Overall Mortality

During the initial hospitalization period, 70 / 294 patients (24%) died. In 2 patients, no information on initial mortality was available. During the following 12 months, the death of an additional 28 patients was noted. In 79 patients, information on clinical outcome was not available. Thus, the overall mortality at one year was determined with 98 / 217 patients (45%).

Mortality rates according to hematoma localization were 51 / 206 (25%, NA = 1) initially and 70 / 156 (45%, NA = 51) after one year for supratentorial ICH, and 18 / 81 (22%, NA = 0) initially and 28 / 57 (49%, NA = 24) after one year for infratentorial ICH.

Mortality rates according to the use of OAC were 25 / 76 (33%, NA = 2) initially and 35 / 59 (59%, NA = 17) after one year for OAC-related ICH, and 37 / 192 (19%, NA = 0, 95%-CI 0.01 – 0.30, p = 0.02) initially and 54 / 141 (38%, NA = 53, 95%-CI 0.04 – 0.31, p = 0.008) after one year for non-OAC-related ICH.

In patients with supratentorial hematoma localization, mortality during the initial hospitalization was significantly lower if the hematoma volume was successfully reduced to \( \leq 15 \) ml or < 30% of the preoperative volume [low residual volume: 22 / 128 (17%); high residual volume: 24 / 47 (51%), NA = 31, 95%-CI -0.52 – -0.17, p < 0.0001]. This beneficial performance-related effect on mortality remained notable at one year [low residual volume: 36 / 97 (37%); high residual volume: 28 / 37 (76%, NA = 22, 95%-CI -0.47 – -0.15, p < 0.0001)]. In contrast to surgical performance, the use of OAC did not affect survival: In patients with supratentorial hematoma localization, initial mortality rates were 17 / 50 for OAC-related ICH (34%) and 30 / 142 for non-OAC-related ICH (21%, NA = 0, 95%-CI -0.03 – 0.30). After one year, mortality rates were 23 / 39 for
Figure 6: Death during initial hospitalization as a function of percentage of hematoma volume reduction (negative percentages indicating increased hematoma volume post-operatively) and stratified according to ICH localization (supra- vs. infratentorial) and oral anticoagulation (OAC vs. nOAC). Black circles indicate medians, boxes IQRs, whiskers extremes, and white circles outliers removed more than 1.5 x IQR from the lower quartile. Infratentorial ICH: OAC patients $r_{pb} = -3.13$, 95%-CI -0.79 – -0.20, $p = 0.005$, nOAC patients $r_{pb} = -4.40$, 95%-CI -0.77 – -0.34, $p < 0.0001$; supratentorial ICH: OAC patients $r_{pb} = -2.72$, 95%-CI -0.60 – -0.10, $p = 0.009$, nOAC patients $r_{pb} = -4.29$, 95%-CI -0.49 – -0.19, $p < 0.0001$. 
OAC-related ICH (59%) and 42 / 107 for non-OAC-related ICH (39%, NA = 46, 95%-CI -0.003 – 0.32).

In 61 patients with infratentorial hematoma, localization information on mortality was available. Here, initial mortality correlated inversely with the percentage of hematoma volume reduction ($r_{pb} = -0.58$, 95%-CI -0.73 – -0.39, p < 0.0001, see Fig. 6), indicating a beneficial, performance-related effect of hematoma evacuation on survival, similar to patients with supratentorial ICH. Likewise, this performance-related effect remained notable at one year after ICH (n = 45, $r_{pb} = -0.35$, 95%-CI -0.58 – -0.06, p = 0.02). In contrast to surgical performance and also similarly to supratentorial localization, prior OAC did not relevantly affect survival: In patients with infratentorial hematoma localization, initial mortality rates were 8 / 25 for OAC-related ICH (32%) and 7 / 45 for non-OAC-related ICH (16%, NA = 0, 95%-CI -0.10 – 0.55). After one year, mortality rates were 12 / 20 for OAC-related ICH (60%) and 12 / 30 for non-OAC-related ICH (40%, NA = 20, 95%-CI -0.12 – 0.50).

3.5.2 Patient Performance as Assessed by mRS

We were able to obtain an mRS grade at twelve months in 114 patients after supratentorial ICH (NA = 93). Within the subgroup of patients with low and high residual hematoma volume according to the updated MISTIE III definition\textsuperscript{26}, no difference was detected between nOAC and OAC patients [low hematoma volume: nOAC (n = 60, median mRS: 4, IQR 3 – 5) vs. OAC (n = 19, median mRS: 4, IQR 4 – 6); high hematoma volume: nOAC (n = 15, median mRS: 6, IQR 4 – 6) vs. OAC (n = 6, median mRS: 6, IQR 5 – 6), NA for both comparisons = 14, see Fig. 7]. Likewise, no difference in outcome was detected between nOAC and OAC patients if low and high hematoma volumes were grouped [nOAC (n = 88, median mRS: 4, IQR 3 – 6) vs. OAC (n = 26, median mRS: 5, IQR 4 – 6)]. However, a significant difference was noted between
Figure 7: Functional outcome at 12 months in patients with supratentorial ICH stratified according to the prior use of anticoagulation (OAC) or no anticoagulation (nOAC) and low (upper panel) or high residual hematoma volume (lower panel) according to the MISTIE III criteria. The bar length represents the relative frequency of mRS-scores in each group and the numbers within the bars show the absolute numbers of patients in each category.
patients with low and high hematoma volume regardless of prior anticoagulation [low hematoma volume (n = 79, median mRS: 4, IQR 3 – 6) vs. high hematoma volume (n = 21, median mRS: 6, IQR 4 – 6), NA = 14, p = 0.002)], indicating worse outcome in patients with high residual hematoma volume compared to those with low residual volume. While this difference was no longer statistically significant after excluding deaths [low hematoma volume (n = 59, median mRS: 4, IQR 3 – 4) vs. high hematoma volume (n = 9, median mRS: 4, IQR 4 – 5), NA = 13, p = 0.1)], the distribution of the mRS grades of surviving patients at twelve months as shown in Figure 8 suggests that a sizeable proportion of patients profit from a good surgical result with regard to their functional outcome.

Figure 8: Distribution of mRS grade at 12 months in surviving patients with supratentorial ICH stratified according low (left panel) or high residual hematoma volume (right panel) according to the MISTIE III criteria.

We were able to obtain mRS grades after one year in 45 patients (NA = 36) with infratentorial hematoma localization. As in supratentorial hematoma, no difference in outcome was detected between nOAC and OAC patients [nOAC (n = 27, median mRS: 4, IQR 4 – 6) vs. OAC (n = 13, median mRS: 4, IQR 3 – 6), NA = 5]. Due to the small
number of patients in each group, we binned mRS grades into favourable (0 – 2) and unfavourable (3 – 5) outcomes and performed a biserial correlation with the surgical efficacy, which was not statistically significant \((n = 25, r_{pb} = -0.16, 95\%-CI -0.52 \div 0.25, p = 0.4)\).
4 Discussion

4.1 Patient Characteristics

The demographic characteristics in the cohort that we identified appeared comparable to the patient characteristics in previously published RCTs on surgical ICH evacuation. Regarding sex, the male to female ratio was 1.3:1 in our cohort, similar to STICH I (1.3:1)\textsuperscript{20}, STICH II (1.3:1)\textsuperscript{21}, and the OAC-ICH cohort (1.3:1)\textsuperscript{15}, whereas MISTIE III reported a higher proportion of men (1.8:1)\textsuperscript{24}. Regarding age, the median age of our patients (69 years) was slightly higher than in STICH I (62 years)\textsuperscript{20}, STICH II (65 years)\textsuperscript{21}, and MISTIE III (62 years)\textsuperscript{24}. Consistent with the previous observation of an older age of patients receiving OACs compared to patients without prior OAC medication\textsuperscript{15}, patients in our cohort that received either VKAs (71 years) or NOACs (79 years) trended towards being older than patients without anticoagulation (66.5 years). Furthermore, the percentage of patients that received OACs in our cohort (29%) was within the range expected to be encountered in a large academic hospital setting\textsuperscript{39}, albeit higher than the reported 7%, 19%, and 10% in the neurosurgically treated arms of STICH I, STICH II, and MISTIE III, respectively\textsuperscript{20,21,24}. Possibly, this slightly older median age together with the higher percentage of patients that received OAC prior to the hemorrhage can be explained by the fact that the patients in our retrospective analysis did not meet the strict inclusion and exclusion criteria regarding age and anticoagulation as patients in the previously mentioned RCTs did. Furthermore, this effect could also be due to the fact that only patients that underwent surgery and also patients with infratentorial hemorrhage were included. On the other hand, we believe that our findings represent the clinical characteristics of the patient population that is considered for microsurgical ICH evacuation in an unmasked and generalizable fashion, because a detailed patient selection based on demographics was not performed. The higher median age that we
noted in NOAC compared to VKA patients may represent a phasing phenomenon during the still ongoing transition from VKA to NOAC prescription as first-line OAC, so that comparisons between these two groups have to be interpreted with caution. In addition to the older age in our cohort, the numerous cardiovascular medical comorbidities as listed in Table 3 might have resulted in a less favourable risk profile compared to the STICH\textsuperscript{20,21} and MISTIE III populations\textsuperscript{24}, as two thirds of our patients with prior VKAs and almost all patients with NOACs received OAC for prevention of embolic complications due to AF, and a high prevalence of patients with CAD on APT was noted. Although the prevalence of CAD is difficult to compare, the fact that (1) the previous history of CAD in our cohort was twice as high as the percentage of patients with prior myocardial infarction reported in the RCTs, along with (2) the lower percentage of patients that received APT in the STICH\textsuperscript{20,21} and MISTIE III\textsuperscript{24} trials, at least to some degree suggests that our cohort may have suffered a higher cardiovascular risk before the ICH event.

4.2 Perioperative Anticoagulation Management

As outlined in the discussion on the limitations of the present work (please see 4.4), the suggested beneficial effect of hemostatic management on postoperative hematoma (re)expansion in patients receiving VKAs\textsuperscript{27} could not be assessed due to lack of a control group. According to the internal standard operating procedure in our hospital, all ICH patients with an INR > 1.3 were treated with either PCC, FFPs, or both, which appears to be in line with current recommendations\textsuperscript{18} and effectively improved the median, preoperative INR from initially 2.4 to 1.2. Similarly, an attempt was made to antagonize NOACs according to the guidelines pertinent before the availability of andexanet alfa in almost all NOAC patients\textsuperscript{18}. However, information on the effectiveness of NOAC antagonization in the present study is likely hampered because the number of NOAC
patients in our cohort is small and routine plasmatic coagulation parameters, such as INR, are not suited to capture the anticoagulatory effect of NOACs. Furthermore, data on the last NOAC intake and anti-FXa activity as well as thrombin time on admission and before surgery were not routinely recorded. For dabigatran especially, elimination occurs predominantly by renal excretion (80%), and the risk of severe bleeding increases with impaired renal function, as anticoagulant activity is linearly correlated to its plasma concentration\textsuperscript{40}. Therefore, upcoming studies with more sizeable groups of NOAC patients beside routinely assessing quantitative information on patients’ renal function should focus more on a wider spectrum of coagulation parameters such as calibrated anti-FXa-activity for FXa-i or thrombin time for direct thrombin (factor II) inhibitors. The appropriate hemostaseological management of NOAC patients regarding diagnostics and therapy is currently under constant change and the revision of guidelines is often outpaced by new developments\textsuperscript{41}.

### 4.3 Hematoma Characteristics

#### 4.3.1 Supratentorial Hematoma Characteristics

The preoperative supratentorial hematoma volumes in patients selected for surgery in the present study (62 ml, IQR 43 – 81) appeared larger than those reported in the neurosurgically treated groups of STICH I (40 ml, IQR 24 – 63)\textsuperscript{20}, STICH II (38 ml, IQR 24 – 54)\textsuperscript{21}, and MISTIE III (43 ml, IQR 30 – 55)\textsuperscript{24}. This appears to be in line with recent findings suggesting that the benefits of surgery compared to medical management may be more pronounced at or above a hematoma volume of 30 – 40 ml\textsuperscript{42}. Although no clear recommendations for an upper hematoma volume limit exists, currently, most would consider 80 – 90 ml to be reasonable, given that above such a volume, patients most likely present with a severely reduced GCS score below 8, which is reported to
be an indicator for medical instead of surgical management. Consistent with the literature, patients with supratentorial ICH receiving either VKAs or NOACs had significantly larger preoperative hematoma volumes, whereas there was no difference noted in preoperative hematoma volumes between the two types of OAC. As mentioned above, the latter needs to be interpreted with caution because the number of patients in our NOAC cohort was very low compared to the number of patients receiving VKAs. Interestingly, however, preoperative OAC in patients undergoing surgery for ICH evacuation did not translate into worse outcome as suggested by a previous study on medical management of spontaneous ICH. This represents a main finding of our study and suggests that anticoagulation should not be regarded as an exclusion criterion for surgical ICH evacuation per se if perioperative management and correction of impaired anticoagulation is readily available.

4.3.2 Supratentorial Hematoma Evacuation Efficacy and Minimally Invasive Approach

While there is still much to be explored concerning the pathophysiology of brain injury due to ICH, the main rationales for neurosurgical hematoma evacuation are to reduce the primary mass effect of the hematoma and limit the risk of secondary neurological injury in the wake of hematoma degradation, such as inflammation, microthrombosis, excitotoxicity, spreading depolarization and perihematomal edema, among others. In addition, injury of the vulnerable perihematomal tissue by surgical intervention needs to be considered as a potential source of secondary damage that may negatively affect the outcome. Consequently, an increased interest has recently occurred regarding the development of less or minimally invasive approaches, as conventional early as well as delayed interventions have failed to improve outcomes. For this reason, we compared the results of our cohort with those of the recently published RCT on mini-
mally invasive supratentorial hematoma evacuation, MISTIE III\textsuperscript{47}. Although the main findings of this trial were negative, a subgroup analysis of patients with successful hematoma reduction below \(\leq 15\) ml or \(\leq 30\%\) of the initial volume showed that in these cases, surgery did in fact lead to an improved outcome\textsuperscript{26}. Most importantly, the fact that only 60\% of all patients included in the surgical arm of MISTIE III achieved this threshold, together with the fact that patients in the present study similarly experienced less favorable outcome in cases of high residual hematoma volume, highlights the relevance of achieving high evacuation rates when performing any type of surgery for supratentorial ICH evacuation. It is most likely that the low surgical evacuation performance in more than a third of the surgical MISTIE III cohort was one of the main reasons for the negative outcome of this well-designed RCT and consequently, other minimally invasive techniques still remain under investigation\textsuperscript{48–51}.

\subsection*{4.3.3 Infratentorial Hematoma Characteristics}

In 27\% of our patients the hematoma localization was infratentorial, which is higher than the reported 4.6\% for the combined cohorts of INTERACT 1 and 2\textsuperscript{52}, the 13.6\% that were the result of a meta-analysis of four trials\textsuperscript{53}, or the 13\% that were reported in a recent observational study\textsuperscript{54}. Again, a direct comparison to our study needs to be performed with caution, since our cohort only included patients that were treated surgically. Given the relative scarcity of patients being treated surgically in comprehensive stroke centers\textsuperscript{55}, our cohort was likely oversampled regarding patients with infratentorial ICH compared to cohorts that include both surgically and medically treated patients. Nevertheless, the small percentage (4\%) of patients with brainstem as opposed to cerebellar hemorrhage in our study also mirrors the reluctance to surgically evacuate an infratentorial ICH with primary brainstem involvement\textsuperscript{52,54}. Regarding the hematoma volume, the median infratentorial hematoma volume that
we noted (20.0 ml) was considerably larger than the median infratentorial hematoma volume reported in the medically managed INTERACT trials (5.1 ml for cerebellar ICH localization and 1.6 ml for brain stem hemorrhage)\textsuperscript{52}. This is likely explained by the fact that INTERACT only recruited patients that did not require surgical intervention, in which case hematoma volumes would be expected to be smaller than in a surgically treated cohort with infratentorial ICH, such as ours. Confirmation for this assumption was delivered by a recent meta-analysis showing that in cerebellar ICH, the median hematoma volume was 30 ml in the surgically treated patients vs. 4.7 ml in the medically treated patients\textsuperscript{53}. As 96\% of our patients with infratentorial ICH had a cerebellar localization of hemorrhage, these data seem pertinent to our patient cohort. On the other hand, the percentage of patients with an infratentorial hematoma volume above 30 ml in our study (17\%) was comparable to the percentage (20\%) that was recently reported for the subgroup of cerebellar ICH in a prospective observational study of patients presenting with spontaneous ICH regardless of ensuing treatment\textsuperscript{54} and to a certain degree this suggests a generalizability of our findings.

Since the evacuation rate of the hematoma volume in our cohort of infratentorial ICH patients was predictive of in-hospital- as well as one-year survival, whereas prior OAC was not and prospective data on the outcome of surgery for spontaneous infratentorial ICH is still lacking, future studies could focus on investigating the effect of surgery in the form of prospectively organized registries or cluster-based randomised trials, with comprehensive stroke centers randomized as clusters in order to limit contamination effects seen in RCTs due to biased inclusion through varying standard operating procedures and department policies on the management of this limited and complex patient cohort.
4.4 Limitations

The main limitation of the present study is the retrospective design with a lack of control groups regarding patients that did not receive hemostatic treatment (see 4.2) as well as patients that received non-surgical treatment. However, since surgical versus non-surgical management of ICH has already been reported in a number of RCTs, in the present study we deliberately focused on surgical aspects of hematoma evacuation in the context of OAC because despite the negative results reported in RCTs, surgery remains an accepted treatment option for carefully selected ICH patients and so far, information on the clinical course in the context of OAC with appropriate perioperative hemostatic management is still lacking.

Regarding our study design, comparability among retrospective single-center studies and to RCTs is naturally hampered by non-standardized patient identification and selection bias. In the present study, an example for this is that we also included patients with infratentorial ICH, despite known differences in patient selection criteria compared to supratentorial ICH. However, the decision for surgical versus non-surgical management also remains a matter of debate in patients with infratentorial spontaneous ICH, where the risk factors and hemostatic management considerations are the same as in supratentorial ICH. In order to increase the generalizability of our findings and minimize selection bias, we therefore systematically identified all patients with supra- and infratentorial spontaneous ICH according to the pertinent diagnostic ICD and therapeutic OPS codes recorded in the hospital information system. The reliability of such administrative datasets tends to be high and finalized administrative datasets most closely represent the existing clinical practice within an institution; however, their clinical validity regarding individual cases or particular subgroups is less clear, despite the fact that they represent the oldest form of systematic quality control in
the medical profession. Thus, the inhomogeneous distribution of confounders in single-center cohort studies is one of the main reasons why RCTs are considered the gold standard of study designs.

In addition to anticoagulation, one of the most highly relevant factors of ICH management is perioperative blood pressure management. The fact that this was not assessed in our analysis remains a clear limitation. On the other hand, blood pressure management in spontaneous ICH was also beyond the scope and purpose of our present study and remains a separate matter of debate, considering that recent meta-analyses showed no benefit regarding clinical outcome, despite modest beneficial effects on hematoma expansion after intensive blood pressure management in non-surgically treated patients.

Another limitation is the variability of the mRS grade as the selected outcome parameter, because although the clinical outcome of “death” is unequivocal, the mRS grades 0 – 5 remain prone to a certain degree of subjectivity, albeit with good interobserver and test-retest reliability. Also, by design the mRS grade does not capture a detailed neurological status and is unable to represent neuropsychological outcome, quality of life, or limitations in daily living and activities, which are becoming increasingly important considering the often severe neuropsychological deficits and socioeconomic limitations that patients suffer after ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke. Post-stroke depression is present in up to a third of patients, and anxiety in 22% according to a recent controlled prospective cohort study, often combined with posttraumatic stress disorder. Other common neurological sequelae are apathy and fatigue. While being important research fields with probably large impacts for the affected patients, all of these require elaborate clinical instruments to differentiate post-stroke from idiopathic psychiatric illness, whereas the mRS score is practical, simple and well estab-
lished by now, and also permits a dichotomous categorization of favorable (mRS grade 0 – 2) versus unfavorable (mRS grade 3 – 5) outcome. Of course, the answer to the question of what constitutes a favorable outcome differs between individual patients, caregivers and treating physicians. Most importantly, this requires a continuing debate and individual consideration in order to best counsel patients, particularly during the decision-making process towards the option of performing a potentially lifesaving procedure that will likely result in at least some degree of moderate disability.

While some studies suggest an additional detrimental effect of having APT in addition to OAC, we found no indication for this in our cohort when looking at hematoma volume in both supra- and infratentorial ICH (see 3.3), possibly due to the small number of patients receiving both OAC and APT (n = 27), and therefore did not analyze these patients as a separate subgroup but included them in the OAC group. The same was true for the comparison of nOAC patients with or without APT, that were analyzed as one group, accordingly.

Lastly, we performed a post-hoc exclusion of a small, inhomogeneous subgroup of patients (n = 10) with severe cardiovascular risk factors and continuous heparin monotherapy due to congenital cardiac malformations and cardiac assist devices for prevention of acute heart failure, because this group was considered too small for any reasonable analysis (see Tab. 4).

4.5 Conclusion

The present study mirrors the everyday experience of microsurgical ICH evacuation performed in one of the largest university hospitals in Europe. By analyzing an observation period of eleven years, the following key findings were identified:

First, hematoma evacuation performance seems to affect early and late survival for
spontaneous ICH, which falls in line with the central findings of the recently published MISTIE III trial regarding a beneficial effect on mortality and functional outcome in cases of high surgical hematoma volume evacuation. Second, the use of anticoagulation did not relevantly affect the evacuation efficacy in our cohort, which appears to be at least partially due to hemostatic countermeasures initiated before surgery. Importantly, this underlines that the implementation of preoperative hemostasislogic management strategies can help to ensure effective microsurgical hematoma evacuation. Furthermore, the use of NOACs did not appear to negatively affect ICH evacuation efficacy, which is relevant because antidotes against NOACs were not readily available and the subgroup of patients receiving NOACs as OAC is expected to increase due to the aging demographic development, which will likely result in a higher number of patients with comorbidities requiring routine anticoagulation.
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