Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Filippo Giarratana, University of Messina, Italy

REVIEWED BY Andrea Laconi, University of Padua, Italy Hazem Ramadan, Mansoura University, Egypt

*CORRESPONDENCE Hosny El-Adawy ⊠ hosny.eladawy@fli.de

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Veterinary Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

RECEIVED 07 November 2022 ACCEPTED 23 January 2023 PUBLISHED 16 February 2023

CITATION

El-Adawy H, Hotzel H, Garcia-Soto S, Tomaso H, Hafez HM, Schwarz S, Neubauer H and Linde J (2023) Genomic insight into *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from commercial turkey flocks in Germany using whole-genome sequencing analysis. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1092179. doi: 10.3389/fyets.2023.1092179

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 El-Adawy, Hotzel, García-Soto, Tomaso, Hafez, Schwarz, Neubauer and Linde. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genomic insight into *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from commercial turkey flocks in Germany using whole-genome sequencing analysis

Hosny El-Adawy^{1,2*}, Helmut Hotzel¹, Silvia García-Soto¹, Herbert Tomaso¹, Hafez M. Hafez³, Stefan Schwarz^{4,5}, Heinrich Neubauer¹ and Jörg Linde¹

¹Institute of Bacterial Infections and Zoonoses, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Jena, Germany, ²Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt, ³Institute of Poultry Diseases, Free University Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁴Institute of Microbiology and Epizootics, Centre for Infection Medicine, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁵Veterinary Centre of Resistance Research (TZR), Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Campylobacter (C.) jejuni is a zoonotic bacterium of public health significance. The present investigation was designed to assess the epidemiology and genetic heterogeneity of C. jejuni recovered from commercial turkey farms in Germany using whole-genome sequencing. The Illumina MiSeg[®] technology was used to sequence 66 C. jejuni isolates obtained between 2010 and 2011 from commercial meat turkey flocks located in ten German federal states. Phenotypic antimicrobial resistance was determined. Phylogeny, resistome, plasmidome and virulome profiles were analyzed using whole-genome sequencing data. Genetic resistance markers were identified with bioinformatics tools (AMRFinder, ResFinder, NCBI and ABRicate) and compared with the phenotypic antimicrobial resistance. The isolates were assigned to 28 different sequence types and 11 clonal complexes. The average pairwise single nucleotide-polymorphisms distance of 14,585 SNPs (range: 0–26,540 SNPs) revealed a high genetic distinction between the isolates. Thirteen virulence-associated genes were identified in C. jejuni isolates. Most of the isolates harbored the genes flaA (83.3%) and flaB (78.8%). The wlaN gene associated with the Guillain–Barré syndrome was detected in nine (13.6%) isolates. The genes for resistance to ampicillin (bla_{OXA}), tetracycline [tet(O)], neomycin [aph(3')-IIIa], streptomycin (aadE) and streptothricin (sat4) were detected in isolated C. jejuni using WGS. A gene cluster comprising the genes sat4, aph(3')-IIIa and aadE was present in six isolates. The single point mutation T861 in the housekeeping gene gyrA conferring resistance to guinolones was retrieved in 93.6% of phenotypically fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates. Five phenotypically erythromycin-susceptible isolates carried the mutation A103V in the gene for the ribosomal protein L22 inferring macrolide resistance. An assortment of 13 β -lactam resistance genes (bla_{OXA} variants) was detected in 58 C. jejuni isolates. Out of 66 sequenced isolates, 28 (42.4%) carried plasmid-borne contigs. Six isolates harbored a pTet-like plasmid-borne contig which carries the tet(O) gene. This study emphasized the potential of whole-genome sequencing to ameliorate the routine surveillance of C. jejuni. Whole-genome sequencing can predict antimicrobial resistance with a high degree of accuracy. However, resistance gene databases need curation and updates to revoke inaccuracy when using WGS-based analysis pipelines for AMR detection.

KEYWORDS

Campylobacter jejuni, turkeys, genetic diversity, WGS, MLST, resistome, virulome

1. Introduction

Campylobacter (*C.*) is recognized as the leading cause of bacterial gastroenteritis in humans and several animal species (poultry, cattle, pigs, sheep and goats) worldwide. *C. jejuni* is a commensal bacterium of animal species and, therefore, exposed to antimicrobial agents that are administered to animals for various reasons. Moreover, the environment can be contaminated with *Campylobacter* by litter and/or soil at farm premises (1). Poultry and their products are considered the most significant source of human campylobacteriosis (2, 3). As a consequence, antimicrobial resistance in *C. jejuni* is a growing problem (4, 5). Some clones of *C. jejuni* endure genetically stable over long periods of time, but *C. jejuni* can adapt to different environmental conditions by means of variation in the isolate's virulence (6).

In Germany, the prevalence of *Campylobacter* in poultry meat and chickens from 2001 through 2010 ranged from 14 to 34% and 6 to 64% per year, respectively (7). A voluntary monitoring program was conducted between 2004 and 2007 in broiler farms and the reported human incidence in Germany in order to identify the prevalence patterns of thermotolerant *Campylobacter* spp. showed that the peak in human campylobacteriosis preceded the peak in broiler prevalence in Lower Saxony (8). *C. jejuni* was isolated from different poultry species in Germany and showed a higher prevalence than *C. coli* (9).

It is necessary to understand virulence factors and molecular mechanisms contributing to pathogenesis of *Campylobacter*. Wholegenome sequences can be used for high-resolution genotyping and automatized detection of genetic markers for virulence, antimicrobial resistance and mobile genetic elements (10–12). Since the costs for WGS are decreasing, it has replaced traditional typing methods, such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) and serotyping for surveillance of bacterial infectious diseases by public health authorities (11–19). Consequently, WGS was also demonstrated for the investigation of virulence, clonality and antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* isolated from poultry farms (20–23).

The WGS led to the creation of the core genome multilocus sequence typing (cgMLST), a typing method encompassing hundreds of loci from the traditional seven loci of MLST (24). Additionally, studies using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) allow the establishment of the best phylogenetic relationship among different pathogens (25). The WGS is used for various purposes including novel antibiotic and diagnostic test development, studying the emergence of antibiotic resistance, disease surveillance, and direct infection control measures in both clinical settings and communities (26). The next-generation sequencing (NGS) systems available include Illumina Genome Analyzer (HiSeq, MiSeq), Life Technologies Ion Torrent, and the PacBio RX system (27).

The used WGS data revealed a high genetic diversity amongst *C. jejuni* isolated from broilers and definite types and virulence genes are implicated with the development of more severe human illness (28).

In Europe, the antimicrobial resistance of *C. jejuni* isolated from chickens and turkeys had to be reported every 2 years based on European Union Commission Implementing Decision 2013/652/EU (29). In Germany, the antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from broilers and turkeys was highest to ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid and tetracycline whereas *C. coli* were more often resistant than *C. jejuni* and resistance was observed more frequently in turkeys than in broilers (30). The emergence of a high antimicrobial resistance and multidrug resistance was identified in *C*. *jejuni* isolated from commercial turkey farms in Germany (31).

The objective of this study was to analyze *C. jejuni* isolated from turkey flocks using WGS for high-resolution genotyping and to investigate their complete genomic potential concerning resistance to antimicrobial agents, plasmids and virulence-associated factors.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bacterial isolates and growth conditions

Sixty-six *C. jejuni* were isolated from 66 turkey flocks reared in different turkey farms in ten federal states in Germany, namely Baden-Wuerttemberg, Bavaria, Brandenburg, Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, Lower-Saxony, North Rhine-Westphalia, Rhineland-Palatinate, Saxony, Saxony-Anhalt and Thuringia. The samples were collected from apparently healthy turkey flocks aged between the 12th and the 18th weeks (Table S1). The isolation was carried out according to ISO 10272 (32). All isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF MS and multiplex PCR assay as described previously by El-Adawy et al. (31).

2.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The broth microdilution test was performed using commercially available microtitre plates TREK^{®'} Sensititre NLDMV2 (Trek Diagnostic Systems, Ltd., East Grinstead, UK) for the determination of the antimicrobial susceptibility of the 66 C. jejuni isolates to gentamicin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, erythromycin, neomycin, amoxicillin, tetracycline, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin and metronidazole. The susceptibility test was performed according to CLSI recommendations and the plates were incubated under microaerophilic condition (CampyGenTM, Oxoid Deutschland GmbH, Schwerte, Germany) at 37°C for 48 h (33). The results were read either visually or photometrically (Tecan Deutschland GmbH, Crailsheim, Germany) using the computer program easyWIN fitting (version V6.1, 2000; Tecan Deutschland GmbH, Crailsheim, Germany). C. jejuni ATCC 33560 (American Type Culture Collection, LGC Standards GmbH, Wesel, Germany) was used as reference strain for quality control in each batch of the broth microdilution tests. The resistance breakpoints for gentamicin, chloramphenicol, erythromycin, amoxicillin, tetracycline, nalidixic acid and ciprofloxacin were those recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (33, 34) and in previously published literature (31, 35). The resistance breakpoint used for streptomycin was $\geq 64 \,\mu$ g/ml, as described previously (35). Since there were no CLSI breakpoints for neomycin, we used a tentative breakpoint for Escherichia coli of 32 µg/mL (36, 37). C. jejuni isolates were tested for resistance to metronidazole and the breakpoint for resistance at 16 mg/ml (38) was used.

2.3. DNA extraction for WGS analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted and purified from a 48 h bacterial culture on Mueller-Hinton blood agar plates (Oxoid Deutschland

GmbH, Wesel, Germany) using QIAGEN[®] Genomic-tip 20/G Kit (QIAGEN[®], Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA was eluted in 200 μ l elution buffer. DNA was quantified spectrophotometrically using a Nanodrop[®] ND-1000 (Fisher Scientific GmbH, Schwerte, Germany). The quality of the DNA was determined using the Qubit dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA, USA).

2.4. Whole-genome sequencing

Sequencing libraries were created using the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Pairedend sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) producing 300 bp long reads. Raw sequencing data were deposited by the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under the BioProject PRJEB55640. The bioinformatic analysis started with quality control of the raw paired-end reads from Illumina. The Linuxbased bioinformatics pipeline WGSBAC v. 2.0.0 (https://gitlab.com/ FLI_Bioinfo/WGSBAC) uses FastQC v. 0.11.7 (39) and calculates coverage of raw reads. Second, WGSBAC performs assembly using Shovill v. 1.0.4 (https://github.com/tseemann/shovill). To check the quality of the assembled genomes, WGSBAC uses QUAST v. 5.0.2 (40) and to identify potential contamination on both reads and assemblies, the pipeline uses Kraken 2v. 1.1 (41) and the database Kraken2DB. For the investigation of antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence determinants, WGSBAC uses the software ABRicate (v. 0.8.10) (https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) and the databases: ResFinder (42), NCBI (43) and Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) (44). In addition, WGSBAC uses AMRFinderPlus (v. 3.6.10) (45) for the detection of chromosomal point mutations leading to AMR and organism-specific acquired resistance genes. For plasmid detection, Platon was used (46). BLAST search was performed with plasmidborne contigs against NCBI's nucleotide database and hits were compared to recently published pTet-like plasmids (47, 48).

Annotation of the assembled genomes was performed by the software Bakta v. 1.6.1 (https://github.com/oschwengers/bakta) (49). Annotated features of interest were visualized using the software Geneious Prime v. 2021.0.1 (https://www.geneious.com).

For genotyping, WGSBAC uses classical multilocus sequence typing (MLST) on assembled genomes using the software mlst v. 2.16.1 that incorporates the PubMLST database for the seven gene C. jejuni/coli MLST scheme (https://pubmlst.org/organisms/ campylobacter-jejunicoli). Core genome multilocus sequence typing was performed using the external software Ridom Seqsphere+ v. 5.1.0 with default settings and the specific core genome scheme (cgMLST v2). In addition, WGSBAC performs mapping-based genotyping using core-genome single nucleotide polymorphisms (cgSNPs) identified by Snippy v. 4.3.6 (https://github.com/tseemann/ snippy) with standard settings. As reference the genome of C. jejuni NCTC 11168 (accession NC_002163.1) was used. For phylogenetic tree construction based on cgSNP analysis, WGSBAC uses the SNPs alignment matrix generated by Snippy and reconstructs the tree using RAxML (Randomized Axelerated Maximum Likelihood) v. 8 (50). The tree was rooted to the reference genome and visualized using the interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v. 4 web tool (https://itol.embl. de/login.cgi).

3. Results

3.1. Phylogenetic analysis and MLST/cgMLST analysis

Sequencing of the 66 *C. jejuni* isolates, yielded an average of 807,061 total reads (range: 156,702–2,263,714) per sample, with an average read length of 219 bp (Table S1) leading to an average read-coverage of 104-fold (range: 37–139). The assembled genomes consisted on average of 29 contigs (range: 20–256). The GC content was 30.43% and the genome size of the isolates was 1,700,350 bp on average (range: 1,410,342–1,883,846 bp).

The phylogentic and genotyping analysis displaying relatedness between the 66 *C. jejuni* isolates using cgMSLT based on cgSNP distances rooted to the reference genome revealed nine different groups according to how closely related the isolates were (Figure 1).

The origin and distribution of the 66 *C. jejuni* and their colonal complexes in 11 federal states in Germany were shown in Figure 2.

The MLST analysis based on WGS revealed a high genetic diversity with 28 different sequence types (STs). For four isolates, a so far unknown MLST type was detected. The most prevalent STs found were ST 45 (9%), ST 50 (8%), ST 1073 (8%), ST 2274 (8%), and ST 464 (6%) (Table S1, Figure 1). The high genetic diversity was further indicated by an average core-gene Single Nucleotide-Polymorphisms distance of 14,585 cgSNPs (range 0-26,540 cgSNPs). Hierarchical clustering with a cut-off of 100 cgSNPs grouped 29 isolates into nine clusters, while the majority of isolates did not cluster. Finally, cgMLST analysis supported the finding of high genetic diversity, as 11 different clonal complexes (CCs) were detected among the 66 isolates (Figure 1, Table S1). For four isolates, an unknown clonal complex was identified. Both the SNP-based phylogeny and the cgMLST analysis indicated the genetic relatedness of isolates partly following the geographical origins from where they were isolated (Figures 1, 2). While the genetic diversity was generally high, some isolates were closely related (Figure 1, Table S1). For example, isolates 11CS0055 and 11CS0044 from Bavaria were indistinguishable (0 cgSNPs).

The two isolates 11CS0036 and 11CS0161 from samples obtained in Baden-Wuerttemberg were closely related and revealed only one cgSNP (Table S1), while other isolates (11CS0043 and 11CS0159) collected from two different federal states (Saxony and Lower Saxony) had two SNPs difference. Three SNPs difference was found in two isolates (11CS0042 and 11CS0151) from Baden-Wuerttemberg. Four isolates from Bavaria (11CS0061, 11CS0171, 11CS0062, and 11CS0155) seemed to be closely related with six SNPs difference (Table S1).

3.2. Determination of virulence-associated genes

The genomic analysis of 66 *C. jejuni* isolates revealed in total 30 virulence-associated genes related to motility, chemotaxis, adhesion and invasion (Table 1, Table S1). Most of the isolates harbored the genes *flaA* (83.3%) and *flaB* (78.8%) coding for flagellin protein A and B, respectively. Among other virulence determinants, the gene *cj1135* coding for the putative two-domain glucosyltransferase was present in 56% of the isolates. The gene *rfbC* coding for dTDP-4-dehydrorhamnose 35-epimerase was detected in 42.4% and the



conclution of susceptibility prenotypes and genotypes.

motility accessory factor PseD/Maf2 in 28.8% of the *C. jejuni* isolates. Genes for sialic acid synthase (*neuB1*) and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase (*neuC1*) were detected in 16.6% of the isolates. In minor proportion, the combination of *cj1136-138*, *neuA* and *cstIII* genes was found in 15.2% of the *C. jejuni* isolates. Out of the 66 *C. jejuni* isolates, eight (12.1%) isolates harbored type IV secretion system genes (*virB10*, *virB11*, *virB4*, *virB8*, *virB9*, *virD4* and *cjp54*). Seven isolates (10.6%) harbored *cj421c*, *cj426c*, *cj1432c*, *cj1435c*, *cj1436c*, *cj1437c*, *cj1440c*, *virB10*, *virB11*, *virB4*, *virB8*, *virB9*, *virD4*, and *cjp54* genes (Table 1). The *wlaN* gene associated with the Guillain–Barré syndrome was identified in nine (13.6%) isolates.

3.3. Phenotypic antimicrobial resistance

The results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing showed that all isolates were susceptible to gentamicin, erythromycin

Frontiers in Veterinary Science

and chloramphenicol. Resistance to streptomycin, neomycin, tetracycline, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin and metronidazole was detected in 10 (15.2%), 18 (27.3%), 36 (54.5%), 44 (66.7%), 47 (71.2%), and 49 (74.2%) isolates, respectively (Table S1).

3.4. Genotypic antimicrobial resistance

WGS analyses identified 18 acquired AMR genes that code for resistance to antimicrobials representing three different classes (tetracyclines, aminoglycosides and β -lactams) and point mutations in the *gyrA* gene coding for resistance to (fluoro) quinolones. The mutation associated with macrolide resistance was located in the gene for the ribosomal protein L22 (A103V) (Table 2, Table S1). The antimicrobial resistance gene associated with tetracycline resistance *tet*(O) was identified in 34 of the 66 *C. jejuni* isolates (51.5%) (Table 2, Table S1). Genes coding for aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes of



two distinct families, aminoglycoside phosphotransferases (APHs) and aminoglycoside nucleotidyl transferases (ANTs) were detected. streptomycin resistance is encoded by *aadE* gene which was found in six out of ten (60.0%) phenotypically streptomycin-resistant *C. jejuni*. Out of 18 *C. jejuni* isolates resistant to neomycin, the *aph*(3')-IIIa gene was detected in six (33.3%) isolates (Table 2). The *sat4* gene encoding streptothricin resistance was detected in six (9.1%) *C. jejuni* isolated in this study. A gene cluster comprising the genes *sat4*, *aph*(3')-IIIa and *aadE* was

present in six isolates (Figure 3). Upstream the gene cluster, all the isolates have two ORFs, one codes for the HTH domaincontaining protein and the other for a hypothetical protein. They are followed by the IS6-like element IS1216 family transposase. Downstream, variations within the isolates were detected: isolate 11CS0035 has the gene *moeA1* that codifies for the product molybdopterin molybdenumtransferase MoeA and an unnamed gene that codes for the AraC family transcriptional regulator. Downstream of the gene cluster, in isolates 11CS0042, 11CS0149

TABLE 1	Frequency	of most sig	gnificant	virulence	-associated	genes	found in
in 66 Car	npylobacte	r <i>jejuni</i> isol	ates.				

Virulence gene	Name of protein	No. isolates positive (%)	
flaA	Flagellin	55 (83.3)	
flaB	Flagellin	52 (78.8)	
cj1135	Putative two-domain glucosyltransferase	37 (56.0)	
rfbC	dTDP-4-dehydrorhamnose 35-epimerase	28 (42.4)	
maf4	Motility accessory factor	19 (28.8)	
pseD-maf2	Motility accessory factor PseD	19 (28.8)	
fcl	GDP-L-fucose synthetase	12 (18.2)	
neuB1	Sialic acid synthase	11 (16.7)	
neuC1	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine 2-epimerase	11 (16.7)	
<i>cj</i> 1136	Putative glucosyltransferase	10 (15.2)	
<i>cj</i> 1137c	Putative glucosyltransferase	10 (15.2)	
cj1138	Putative glucosyltransferase	10 (15.2)	
neuA1	Bifunctional beta-14-N- acetylgalactosaminyltransferase/CMP- Neu5Ac synthase	10 (15.2)	
cstIII	Alpha-23 sialyltransferas	10 (15.2)	
wlaN	Beta-13 galactosyltransferase	9 (13.6)	
virB10	Type IV secretion system protein VirB10	8 (12.1)	
virB11	Type IV secretion system protein VirB11	8 (12.1)	
virB4	Type IV secretion system protein VirB4	8 (12.1)	
virB8	Type IV secretion system protein VirB8	8 (12.1)	
virB9	Type IV secretion system protein VirB9	8 (12.1)	
virD4	Type IV secretion system protein VirD4	8 (12.1)	
cjp54	Type IV secretion system protein VirB7	8 (12.1)	
kfiD	UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase	7 (10.6)	
<i>cj</i> 421c	Sugar transferase	7 (10.6)	
<i>cj</i> 426c	Methyltransferase family protein	7 (10.6)	
cj1432c	Sugar transferase	7 (10.6)	
<i>cj</i> 1435c	Phosphatase	7 (10.6)	
<i>cj</i> 1436c	Aminotransferase	7 (10.6)	
<i>cj</i> 1437c	Aminotransferase	7 (10.6)	

and 11CS0151, an unnamed gene was found that codes for the putative motility protein and the gene *nhaA2* that codes for the Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter NhaA. Isolates 11CS0162 and 11CS0048 have downstream an unnamed gene that codes for an ABC transporter substrate-binding protein and the gene *skfB* for the radical SAM protein.

Twelve known variants of bla_{OXA} genes involved in β -lactam resistance were detected in this study using the results of different bioinformatic tools (AMRFinder, ResFinder, NCBI and ABRicate) (Table 2). Fifty-eight isolates harbored bla_{OXA} genes (52 resistant, five susceptible and one intermediate against ampicillin) (Table S2). Out of 61 phenotypically ampicillin-resistant *C. jejuni* isolates, 57

(93.4%) carried at least one gene coding for β -lactamases of the OXA-like family.

The distribution of detected 13 bla_{OXA} genes in 66 *C. jejuni* isolates is shown in Table S2 and Figure 1. In brief, $bla_{OXA-184}$, $bla_{OXA-185}$, $bla_{OXA-193}$, $bla_{OXA-447}$, $bla_{OXA-449}$, $bla_{OXA-450}$, $bla_{OXA-460}$, $bla_{OXA-465}$, $bla_{OXA-658}$, $bla_{OXA-605}$, $bla_{OXA-632}$, $bla_{OXA-633}$ and $bla_{OXA-658}$ -like genes were detected in 16 (24.2%), 6 (9.1%), 31 (47.0%), 3 (4.5%), 1 (1.5%), 31 (47.0%), 5 (7.6%), 2 (3.0%), and 1 (1.5%) of 66 *C. jejuni* isolates, respectively (Table S2, Figure 1). Nineteen isolates harbored one gene coding for β -lactams of the OXA-like family (16 $bla_{OXA-184}$, 1 $bla_{OXA-465}$, 1 $bla_{OXA-447}$ and 1 $bla_{OXA-449}$. Twenty isolates carried three bla_{OXA} genes together ($bla_{OXA-193}$, $bla_{OXA-450}$ and $bla_{OXA-605}$) while seven and 11 isolates harbored two and four bla_{OXA} genes, respectively (Table S2).

The accordance between phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial resistance is shown in Table 2, Figure 1, and Table S1. Thirty-four (94.4%) out of 36 phenotypically tetracycline-resistant isolates harbored the *tet*(O) gene which confers tetracycline resistance (Table 2). Forty-seven (71.2%) *C. jejuni* isolates were phenotypically resistant to ciprofloxacin, 44 (93.6%) of them contained a chromosomal single point mutation in the *gyrA* gene which resulted in the amino acid substitutions C257T or 786I. All isolates carrying this mutation were resistant to (fluoro) quinolones (ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid). Six isolates that were phenotypically intermediate to ciprofloxacin did not have any point mutation in the *gyrA* gene (Table 2). The *cme*ABCR multidrug efflux complex was present in all isolates.

Twenty-eight out of the 66 (42.4%) C. jejuni isolates contained at least one contig classified as plasmid-borne (Table S1). While the majority of those isolates (n = 18) contained one contig classified as plasmid-borne, isolates 11CS0052 and 11CS0059 contained five and four plasmid contigs, respectively, and another six isolates harbored two plasmids. The sizes of the plasmid-borne contigs detected by Platon ranged from 1,600 bp to 44,793 bp. Six isolates harbored a pTet-like plasmid-borne contig which carried the tet(O) gene and were phenotypically tetracycline-resistant. In the assembled genomes from six phenotypically tetracycline-resistant isolates, Platon identified a pTet-like plasmid-borne contig within a contig in which the tet(O) gene was also identified. BLAST search of the plasmid sequences in GenBank revealed that similar plasmids have been previously found in Campylobacter spp. Thirty-eight plasmidborne contigs had a BLAST hit in C. jejuni, while for six, a hit in C. coli was found (Table S1).

The origin of the isolates, their phylogenetic relatedness, STs and association with antimicrobial resistance patterns are depicted in Figure 1. There is little correlation between genotypes and the numbers of AMR genes in the investigated isolates. Isolates assigned to STs 1073, 2274, 122, 5019, 4754, and 50 showed highly similar AMR gene profiles.

4. Discussion

Whole-genome sequencing is a promising tool in public health as it is able to identify sources and routes of infections, to investigate outbreaks with the highest resolution and thus to improve surveillance of *C. jejuni* (51). This investigation presents TABLE 2 Distribution of antimicrobial resistance genes/mutations in the tested 66 Campylobacter jejuni isolated using different tools of analysis and compatibility with phenotypic resistance.

Antimicrobial class	Antimicrobial agent	Phenotypic AMR	AMR gene or mutation	No. of positive isolates by WGS*			
				AMR-Finder	ResFinder	NCBI	CARD
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	36	tet(O)	34	28	34	34
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	47	gyrA T86I	44	-	-	-
ß-Lactams			bla _{OXA-184}	14	16	-	-
			bla _{OXA-185}		6	6	6
			bla _{OXA-193}	21	31		
			bla _{OXA-447}	2	3	3	3
			bla _{OXA-449}	1	1	1	1
			bla _{OXA-450}				31
			bla _{OXA-460}	5	-	_	-
			bla _{OXA-465}	1	2	1	2
			bla _{OXA-580}	5	-	-	-
			bla _{OXA-605}		-	31	-
			bla _{OXA-632}	3	-	5	-
			bla _{OXA-633}		-	2	-
			bla _{OXA-658}	1	-	-	-
Streptothricins	Streptothricin	-	sat4	6	-	6	6
Aminoglycosides	Streptomycin	10	aadE	-	6	-	6
	Neomycin	18	aph(3')-IIIa	6	6	6	6

*The green highlighted data showed the agreement between different tools.



an application of WGS for assessing the epidemiology of *C. jejuni*, isolated from turkey farms in Germany.

In the current investigation, 30 virulence-associated genes were identified in *C. jejuni* isolates. Interestingly, nine (13.6%)

07

of the isolates carried the *wlaN* gene implicated in the Guillain-Barré syndrome (GBS), a polyneuropathic disorder damaging the peripheral nervous system and causing muscle weakness (52). In north-eastern Spain *wlaN* was detected in two (16.6%) *C. jejuni* isolates from broilers (20). The gene *wlaN* was also detected in 10.7% of the tested *C. jejuni* isolated from slaughterhouses for broilers in Southern Brazil (53) and in 4.7% and 23.8% of isolates from broiler feces and poultry meat in Japan, respectively (54). Isolates harboring the *wlaN* gene may have a higher pathogenic potential and can induce autoimmune disease in their hosts (53). A previous study showed that the presence of the *wlaN* gene increased the capacity of cell invasion (*in vitro* and *in vivo*) (55).

This study revealed on the one hand a high genetic diversity of the analyzed 66 *C. jejuni* isolates indicated by 28 STs, 11 CCs and an average pairwise cgSNP distance of 14,585. On the other hand, closely related isolates were found. In fact, six pairs of isolates with a cgSNP distance below 10 were found suggesting both regional persistence and spread of clones. In a recent study, high genetic diversity was found in *C. jejuni* collected during processing of caeca and neck skin samples of broilers. These isolates were assigned to ten sequence types, which belonged to seven clonal complexes, based on MLST. ST 257 was prevalent with 58 isolates assigned to it, followed by ST 51 with 25 isolates, ST 10089 with 16 isolates, ST 48 with 13 isolates and ST 50 with 12 isolates (56).

Campylobacter jejuni classified by the WHO as a "high priority pathogen" which gives it great concerns due to the emergence of antimicrobial resistance to multiple drugs including fluoroquinolones, macrolides and other clinically relevant classes which limits the alternative treatment for human campylobacteriosis (57).

WGS was used in this study to characterize and predict AMR in this collection of *C. jejuni* isolated of turkey farms from different federal states in Germany. The predicted antimicrobial resistance based on WGS data was concordant with the phenotypic resistance profiles in most cases.

Tetracycline resistance in *Campylobacter* is associated specifically with genes encoding ribosome protection proteins (RPPs) (58). In *Campylobacter*, tetracycline resistance genes can be located both in the chromosomal DNA and on plasmids (59). A clear trend toward an increase in the occurrence of tetracycline and (fluoro)quinolone resistance determinants among *C. jejuni*, linked to the spread of the co-occurring bla_{OXA-61} and tet(O)-tet(O/W/O) genes and the *gyrA* SNP, resulting in the amino acid substitution T86I, was found in the time span from 2001 to date in Europe (60).

The OXA-type β -lactamases confer resistance to the penicillins, although some are also able to cause resistance to cephalosporins and carbapenems. In 2007 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) considered β -lactams as optional for monitoring at the European union (EU) level (61). A large proportion of *C. jejuni* produce β -lactamases. However, the β -lactamase of *C. jejuni* seems to play a role only in resistance to amoxicillin, ampicillin and ticarcillin (62). In the present investigation, a variety of 13 known β -lactam resistance genes (all *bla*_{OXA} variants) were detected in 58 (87.9%) of the *C. jejuni* isolates, the most prevalent being *bla*_{OXA-193}, *bla*_{OXA-450} and *bla*_{OXA-605} (*n* = 31; 47% of each) followed by *bla*_{OXA-184} (*n* = 16; 24.2%). These results were in accordance with a previous study in which two major β -lactamase genes, designated *bla*_{OXA-61} and *bla*_{OXA-184}, were prevalent at 62.93 and 82.08% in *C. jejuni* from the poultry and other bird groups, respectively (63).

The finding of this study highlighted that *C. jejuni* is a reservoir for β -lactamase genes that might be transferred to other clinical or environmental bacteria. Thus, screening of *C. jejuni* for such genes may contribute to AMR surveillance in general.

Although point mutations at multiple positions in the *gyrA* gene associated with the resistance to fluoroquinolones in *Campylobacter* have been described (64), the *gyrA* mutation, that results in the amino acid substitution T86I, has been reported as the most prevalent mechanism in *Campylobacter* isolated from animals and humans (64–67). In the present investigation, 93.6% of *C. jejuni* isolates which were phenotypically resistant to both, ciprofloxacin and nalidixic acid, carried this point mutation in *gyrA*. This agrees with previous studies in which this mutation was identified in fluoroquinolone-resistant *C. jejuni* isolated from ruminants and poultry in Spain and Germany by SNP-PCR (68–70). These studies showed that this mutation is present for a long time in *C. jejuni* and still poses concern in isolates from farm animals.

The monitoring of the antimicrobial use in broilers in Germany between 2010 and 2016 showed the highest usage for aminoglycosides followed by fluoroquinolones and a substantial decrease for macrolides and tetracyclines. In turkey flocks, fluoroquinolones were used most frequently, followed by tetracyclines and macrolides. However, in contrast to broilers, the use of aminoglycosides was low in turkeys (30). Mechanisms of aminoglycoside resistance in *Campylobacter* were attributed to enzymatic drug modification (71) and mutations at the ribosomal binding sites (72). Aminoglycoside phosphotransferases (APHs) in Campylobacter are mainly encoded by the aph(3')-III, which confers resistance to neomycin and amikacin whereas the aph(2'')-Ic gene confers resistance to gentamicin. Aminoglycoside O-nucleotidyltransferases (ANTs) in Campylobacter include ANT 6 and ANT 9, which confer resistance to streptomycin and spectinomycin, respectively (71, 73). The ant6-I genes encoding aminoglycoside O-nucleotidyl-transferases are widely spread among streptomycin-resistant C. jejuni (74). In this study, genes coding for aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes (APHs and ANTs) which confer resistance to amikacin, neomycin, gentamicin, streptomycin and spectinomycin were identified.

PCR was used previously for the determination of streptomycin resistance genes and to recognize *ant*[6]-Ia, *ant*[6]-Ib and other *ant*-like genes (74). Out of 10 phenotypically streptomycin-resistant *C. jejuni*, a gene cluster comprising the genes *sat4*, *aph*(3')-IIIa and *aadE* was present in six (60%) connected with aminoglycoside resistance using WGS analysis.

In this study all isolates were phenotypically susceptible to erythromycin despite that five isolates carried a mutation in the gene for the ribosomal protein L22 that resulted in the amino acid substitution A103V, associated with macrolide resistance. A recent study conducted to investigate the genetic basis of antimicrobial resistance in *C. coli* and *C. jejuni* isolated from food animals, poultry processing and retail meat showed that the 23S rRNA (A2075G) mutation was identified only in *C. coli* isolates, while *C. jejuni* were more likely to harbor the aforementioned mutation in the gene for the L22 protein (23).

As *Campylobacter* are commensal bacteria that are exposed to various antimicrobial agents used in veterinary medicine, additional resistance mechanisms evolved in *Campylobacter* (5). The genes coding for aminoglycosides resistance are usually plasmid-borne (5). A mutation in the *rpsL* gene encoding the ribosomal protein S12 associated with streptomycin resistance was reported only in *C. coli* (72). The contribution of efflux to aminoglycoside

resistance in *Campylobacter* is not completely proved, but is likely to be less important than the plasmid-borne genes coding for drug-modifying enzymes (5). Lack of knowledge may explain why resistance-associated genes have not been detected by WGS in four phenotypically streptomycin-resistant *C. jejuni* in the current investigation.

Here, the detection of genetic factors for AMR was performed using different tools and databases (AMRFinder, ResFinder, NCBI) in order not to miss any loci, as there is no single method that might be sufficient for the purpose alone (Table 2). Feldgarden et al. (45) found that AMRFinder appears to be a highly accurate AMR gene detection system (45).

In agreement with the results of this study, other previously published WGS-based studies demonstrated an overall very good concordance between genomic prediction and phenotypic determination of AMR (59, 67, 75, 76). Comparable results were reported by Feldgarden et al. for C. jejuni, using the NCBI AMRFinder tool, e.g., with a 98.9% correlation rate (45). A high correlation rate of 97.5% was also found in a recent study from England and Wales (76). There are multiple explanations for possible discrepancies between genotype and phenotype. There might be technical issues, such as low assembly quality (77-79). In this study, high quality of Illumina sequencing was achieved while long read sequencing might improve assembly contiguity (77) which may be helpful especially for plasmid detection and analysis (80). Another technical factor might be incomplete databases, which was counteracted by utilizing several tools. Microbiological factors influencing AMR genotype-phenotype correlation include transcriptional regulation, over-expression and under-expression of genes (e.g., efflux pumps), protein activation or modification or as well as novel resistance genes and mutations may be missed by the currently available databases and search tools (45, 77, 79).

Plasmids played an essential role in the ability of pathogenic bacteria to particularly overcome a new environment and are frequently associated with their virulence. Knowledge of plasmid genetics is significant for the understanding of the evolution and the origin of drug resistance genes (81). In the current investigation, 28 plasmid-borne contigs were detected in sequenced isolates using the Illumina MiSeq. The pTet-like plasmid-borne contig, which carries a *tet*(O) gene, was detected in six phenotypically resistant isolates. It has been reported previously that the tetracycline resistance is not always associated with the presence of pTet-like and in some isolates the gene is located on the chromosome (82). High prevalence of tetracycline resistant *Campylobacter* in chicken was explained in a previous study showed that horizontal transfer of *tet*(O) occurs rapidly and spontaneously without antimicrobial selection pressure between *C. jejuni* isolates in their intestine (83).

5. Conclusion

The results of this study emphasize the impact of WGS for indepth genotyping, screening of virulence, clonality and antimicrobial resistance determinants in *C. jejuni*. In the present study, the antimicrobial resistance genes were mostly identified on the bacterial chromosome, while pTet-like plasmid-borne contigs that harbored the *tet*(O) gene were identified in six *C. jejuni* isolates from different regions (Bavaria and Thuringia) and ST types (122 and 50), suggesting intra-species dissemination of these types of plasmids. Combination of AMR databases are helpful for improving AMR detection in the absence of phenotypic data. Despite the high degree of correlation between phenotypic resistance and genotypes, the phenotypic susceptibility testing is still necessary.

This study revealed a relatively high genetic diversity of *C. jejuni* isolated from turkeys in German flocks while also genetically highly similar isolates were detected. This indicates persistence as well as spread of some *C. jejuni* clones. This finding has to be explored in the future in more detail.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The name of the repository and accession number can be found below: European Nucleotide Archive (ENA); PRJEB55640.

Ethics statement

Ethics approval was not required for the study on animals because the bacterial isolates were taken from the authors' reference laboratory for Campylobacteriosis.

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: HE-A, HH, HN, JL, and HMH. Performed the experiments: HE-A, HH, and JL. Analyzed the data: HE-A, HH, HMH, SG-S, and JL. Contributed to the reagents/materials/analysis tools: HE-A, HH, HMH, HT, HN, SS, and JL. Wrote and corrected the manuscript: HE-A, HH, JL, SS, and HMH. Participated in the WGS that were used in the study: HE-A, SG-S, and JL. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Hackbart, K. Cerncic, B. Hofmann and P. Methner at Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Jena, Germany for their excellent technical assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2023. 1092179/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Schets FM, Jacobs-Reitsma WF, van der Plaats RQJ, Heer LK, van Hoek AHAM, Hamidjaja RA, et al. Prevalence and types of *Campylobacter* on poultry farms and in their direct environment. *J Water Health.* (2017) 15:849–62. doi: 10.2166/wh.2 017.119

2. Kaakoush NO, Castaño-Rodríguez N, Mitchell HM, Man SM. Global epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2015) 28:687–720. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00006-15

3. French NP Ji Z, Glen PC, Anne CM, Patrick JB, Kristin D, et al. Genomic analysis of fluoroquinolone- and tetracycline-resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* sequence type 6964 in humans and poultry, New Zealand, 2014–2016. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2019) 25:2226. doi: 10.3201/eid2512.190267

4. Igwaran A, Okoh A. Human campylobacteriosis: a public health concern of global importance. *Heliyon.* (2019) 5:e02814-e. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019. e02814

5. Iovine NM. Resistance mechanisms in Campylobacter jejuni. Virulence. (2013) 4:230-40. doi: 10.4161/viru.23753

6. Manning G, Duim B, Wassenaar T, Wagenaar JA, Ridley A, Newell DG. Evidence for a genetically stable strain of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *App Environ Microbiol*. (2001) 67:1185–9. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.3.1185-1189.2001

7. Schielke A, Rosner BM, Stark K. Epidemiology of campylobacteriosis in Germany – insights from 10 years of surveillance. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2014) 14:30. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-14-30

8. Hartnack S, Doherr MG, Alter T, Toutounian-Mashad K, Greiner M. *Campylobacter* monitoring in German broiler flocks: an explorative time series analysis. *Zoonoses Public Health*. (2009) 56:117–28. doi: 10.1111/j.1863-2378.2008.01184.x

9. Atanassova V, Ring C. Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. in poultry and poultry meat in Germany. *Int J Food Microbiol.* (1999) 51:187–90. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(99)00120-8

10. Didelot X, Bowden R, Wilson DJ, Peto TEA, Crook DW. Transforming clinical microbiology with bacterial genome sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet.* (2012) 13:601-12. doi: 10.1038/nrg3226

11. Biggs PJ, Fearnhead P, Hotter G, Mohan V, Collins-Emerson J, Kwan E, et al. Whole-genome comparison of two *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates of the same sequence type reveals multiple loci of different ancestral lineage. *PLoS ONE.* (2011) 6:e27121. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027121

12. Carrillo CD, Kruczkiewicz P, Mutschall S, Tudor A, Clark C, Taboada EN. A framework for assessing the concordance of molecular typing methods and the true strain phylogeny of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* using draft genome sequence data. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2012) 2:57. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2012.00057

13. Dingle KE, Colles FM, Wareing DR, Ure R, Fox AJ, Bolton FE, et al. Multilocus sequence typing system for *Campylobacter jejuni*. J Clin Microbiol. (2001) 39:14–23. doi: 10.1128/JCM.39.1.14-23.2001

14. Magnússon SH, Guð*mundsdóttir S, Reynisson E, Rúnarsson ÁR, Harð*ardóttir H, Gunnarson E, et al. Comparison of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from human, food, veterinary and environmental sources in Iceland using PFGE, MLST and fla-SVR sequencing. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2011) 111:971–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.05100.x

15. Revez J, Llarena A, Schott T, Kuusi M, Hakkinen M, Kivistö R, et al. Genome analysis of *Campylobacter jejuni* strains isolated from a waterborne outbreak. *BMC Genomics.* (2014) 15:768. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-768

16. Llarena A, Zhang J, Vehkala M, Välimäki N, Hakkinen M, Hänninen M, et al. Monomorphic genotypes within a generalist lineage of *Campylobacter jejuni* show signs of global dispersion. *Microb genom.* (2016) 2:e000088. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000088

17. Köser CU, Ellington MJ, Cartwright EJP, Gillespie SH, Brown NM, Farrington M, et al. Routine use of microbial whole genome sequencing in diagnostic and public health microbiology. *PLoS Pathog.* (2012) 8:e1002824. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002824

18. Redondo N, Carroll A, McNamara E. Molecular characterization of *Campylobacter* causing human clinical infection using whole-genome sequencing: virulence, antimicrobial resistance and phylogeny in Ireland. *PLoS ONE.* (2019) 14:e0219088. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0219088

19. Katrine GJ, Kristoffer K, Mette RG, Birgitte N, Jørgen E, Hanne MH, et al. Whole-Genome Sequencing to detect numerous *Campylobacter jejuni* outbreaks and match patient isolates to sources, Denmark, 2015-2017. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2020) 26:523. doi: 10.3201/eid2603.190947

20. Cantero G, Correa-Fiz F, Ronco T, Strube M, Cerdà-Cuéllar M, Pedersen K. Characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* broiler isolates by whole-genome sequencing. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2018) 15:145–52. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2017.2325

21. Aksomaitiene J, Ramonaite S, Novoslavskij A, Malakauskas M, Kudirkiene E. Draft genome sequence of ciprofloxacin and ceftriaxone resistant *Campylobacter jejuni* MM26-781 assigned to novel ST isolated from common pigeon in Lithuania. *Evolut Bioinform.* (2019) 15:1176934319868469. doi: 10.1177/1176934319868469

22. Weis AM, Storey DB, Taff CC, Townsend AK, Huang BC, Kong NT, et al. Genomic comparison of *Campylobacter* spp. and their potential for zoonotic transmission between birds, primates, and livestock. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2016) 82:7165–75. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01746-16

23. Hull DM, Harrell E, van Vliet AHM, Correa M, Thakur S. Antimicrobial resistance and interspecies gene transfer in *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from food animals, poultry processing, and retail meat in North Carolina, 2018–2019. *PLoS ONE*. (2021) 16:e0246571. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0246571

24. Maiden MCJ, van Rensburg MJJ, Bray JE, Earle SG, Ford SA, Jolley KA, et al. MLST revisited: the gene-by-gene approach to bacterial genomics. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2013) 11:728–36. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3093

25. Bogaerts B, Winand R, Fu Q, Van Braekel J, Ceyssens P, Mattheus W, et al. Validation of a bioinformatics workflow for routine analysis of whole-genome sequencing data and related challenges for pathogen typing in a European National Reference Center: *Neisseria meningitidis* as a Proof-of-Concept. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00362

26. Köser CU, Ellington MJ, Peacock SJ. Whole-genome sequencing to control antimicrobial resistance. *Trends Genetics.* (2014) 30:401-7. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2014.07.003

27. Quail MA, Smith M, Coupland P, Otto TD, Harris SR, Connor TR, et al. A tale of three next generation sequencing platforms: comparison of Ion Torrent, Pacific Biosciences and Illumina MiSeq sequencers. *BMC Genomics.* (2012) 13:341. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-13-341

28. Prendergast DM, Lynch H, Whyte P, Golden O, Murphy D, Gutierrez M, et al. Genomic diversity, virulence and source of *Campylobacter jejuni* contamination in Irish poultry slaughterhouses by whole genome sequencing. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2022) 133:3150–60. doi: 10.1111/jam.15753

29. Commission. E. Commission implementing decision 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria. *Off J Eur Union L.* (2013) 303:26-39.

30. Tenhagen BA, Flor M, Alt K, Knüver M, Buhler C, Käsbohrer A, et al. Association of antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* spp. in broilers and turkeys with antimicrobial use. *Antibiotics*. (2021) 10:673. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10060673

31. El-Adawy H, Hotzel H, Düpre S, Tomaso H, Neubauer H, Hafez HM. Determination of antimicrobial sensitivities of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from commercial turkey farms in Germany. *Avian Dis.* (2012) 56:685–92. doi: 10.1637/10135-031912-Reg.1

32. ISO. Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs – Horizontal Method for Detection and Enumeration of Campylobacter spp. – Part 1: Detection Method. Geneva: International Standards Organization (2017).

33. CLSI. Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Infrequently Isolated or Fastidious Bacteria Isolated From Animals, 1st Edition, CLSI supplement VET06. Wayne, PA (2017).

34. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing: 32nd Informational Supplement M100. Wayne, PA: CLSI (2022).

 Gu W, Siletzky RM, Wright S, Islam M, Kathariou S. Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles and strain type diversity of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from turkeys in eastern North Carolina. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2009) 75:474–82. doi: 10.1128/AEM. 02012-08

36. Vali L, Hamouda A, Hoyle DV, Pearce MC, Whitaker LHR, Jenkins C, et al. Antibiotic resistance and molecular epidemiology of *Escherichia coli* O26, O103 and O145 shed by two cohorts of Scottish beef cattle. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. (2007) 59:403–10. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkl491

37. Koga T, Aoki W, Mizuno T, Wakazono K, Ohno J, Nakai T, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter coli* and *Campylobacter jejuni* in cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) and eradication regimens. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect.* (2017) 50:75–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jmii.2014.12.006

38. Dobbin G, Hariharan H, Daoust PY, Hariharan S, Heaney S, Coles M, et al. Bacterial flora of free-living double-crested cormorant (*Phalacrocorax auritus*) chicks on Prince Edward Island, Canada, with reference to enteric bacteria and antibiotic resistance. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2005) 28:71–82. doi: 10.1016/S0147-9571(04) 00059-1

39. Andrews S. A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data UK. (2018). Available online at: https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ (accessed August 26, 2022).

40. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol.* (2012) 19:455–77. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021

41. Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Gen Biol.* (2019) 20:257. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0

42. Florensa AF, Kaas RS, Clausen P, Aytan-Aktug D, Aarestrup FM. ResFinder – an open online resource for identification of antimicrobial resistance genes in next-generation sequencing data and prediction of phenotypes from genotypes. *Microb Genom.* (2022) 8:748. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000748

43. Sayers EW, Beck J, Brister JR, Bolton EE, Canese K, Comeau DC, et al. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2020) 48:D9–d16. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz899

44. Chen L, Yang J, Yu J, Yao Z, Sun L, Shen Y, et al. VFDB: a reference database for bacterial virulence factors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2005) 33:D325–D8. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki008

45. Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, et al. Validating the AMRFinder tool and resistance gene database by using antimicrobial resistance genotype-phenotype correlations in a collection of isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* (2019) 63:19. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00483-19

46. Schwengers O, Barth P, Falgenhauer L, Hain T, Chakraborty T, Goesmann A. Platon: identification and characterization of bacterial plasmid contigs in short-read draft assemblies exploiting protein sequence-based replicon distribution scores. *Microb Genom.* (2020) 6:398. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000398

47. Karlyshev AV. Complete genome sequencing of *Campylobacter jejuni* strain X reveals the presence of pVir- and pTet-like plasmids. *Microbiol Resour Announc*. (2022) 11:e0042122. doi: 10.1128/mra.00421-22

48. Marasini D, Karki AB, Buchheim MA, Fakhr MK. Phylogenetic relatedness among plasmids harbored by *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolated from retail meats. *Front Microbiol.* (2018) 9:2167. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02167

49. Schwengers O, Jelonek L, Dieckmann MA, Beyvers S, Blom J, Goesmann A. Bakta: rapid and standardized annotation of bacterial genomes via alignment-free sequence identification. *Microb Genom.* (2021) 7:1–13. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000685

50. Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*. (2014) 30:1312–3. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

51. Llarena A, Taboada E, Rossi M. Whole-Genome Sequencing in epidemiology of *Campylobacter jejuni* infections. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2017) 55:1269–75. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00017-17

52. Guirado P, Paytubi S, Miró E, Iglesias-Torrens Y, Navarro F, Cerdà-Cuéllar M, et al. Differential distribution of the *wlaN* and *cgtB* genes, associated with Guillain-Barré Syndrome, in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from humans, broiler chickens, and wild birds. *Microorganisms*. (2020) 8:325. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8030325

53. Sierra-Arguello YM, Perdoncini G, Rodrigues LB. Ruschel dos Santos L, Apellanis Borges K, Quedi Furian T, et al. Identification of pathogenic genes in *Campylobacter* jejuni isolated from broiler carcasses and broiler slaughterhouses. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:4588. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-84149-1

54. Datta S, Niwa H, Itoh K. Prevalence of 11 pathogenic genes of *Campylobacter jejuni* by PCR in strains isolated from humans, poultry meat and broiler and bovine faeces. *J Med Microbiol.* (2003) 52:345–8. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.05056-0

55. Müller J, Schulze F, Müller W, Hänel I, PCR. detection of virulence-associated genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* strains with differential ability to invade Caco-2 cells and to colonize the chick gut. *Vet Microbiol.* (2006) 113:123–9. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2005. 10.029

56. Emanowicz M, Meade J, Burgess C, Bolton D, Egan J, Lynch H, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and genomic diversity of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from broiler caeca and neck skin samples collected at key stages during processing. *Food Control.* (2022) 135:108664. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2021.108664

57. Rossi DA, Dumont CF, Santos ACS, Vaz MEL, Prado RR, Monteiro GP, et al. Antibiotic resistance in the alternative lifestyles of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2021) 11:535757. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.535757

58. Warburton PJ, Amodeo N, Roberts AP. Mosaic tetracycline resistance genes encoding ribosomal protection proteins. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. (2016) 71:3333–9. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkw304

59. Ocejo M, Oporto B, Lavín JL, Hurtado A. Whole genome-based characterisation of antimicrobial resistance and genetic diversity in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* from ruminants. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:8998. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-88318-0

60. Cobo-Díaz JF, González Del Río P, Álvarez-Ordóñez A. Whole Resistome analysis in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* genomes available in public repositories. *Front Microbiol.* (2021) 12:662144. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.662144

61. Authority. EFS. Report of the task force on zoonoses data collection including a proposal for a harmonized monitoring scheme of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* in fowl (*Gallus gallus*), turkeys and pigs and *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* in broilers. *EFSA J.* (2007) 5:96r. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2007.96r

62. Aarestrup FM, Engberg J. Antimicrobial resistance of thermophilic *Campylobacter*. *Vet Res.* (2001) 32:311–21. doi: 10.1051/vetres:2001127

63. Marotta F, Garofolo G, di Marcantonio L, Di Serafino G, Neri D, Romantini R, et al. Antimicrobial resistance genotypes and phenotypes of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated in Italy from humans, birds from wild and urban habitats, and poultry. *PLoS ONE.* (2019) 14:e0223804. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223804

64. Luangtongkum T, Jeon B, Han J, Plummer P, Logue CM, Zhang Q. Antibiotic resistance in *Campylobacter*: emergence, transmission and persistence. *Future Microbiol.* (2009) 4:189–200. doi: 10.2217/17460913.4.2.189

65. Dahl LG, Joensen KG, Østerlund MT, Kiil K, Nielsen EM. Prediction of antimicrobial resistance in clinical *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from whole-genome sequencing data. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2021) 40:673–82. doi: 10.1007/s10096-020-04043-y

66. Meistere I, Kibilds J, Eglite L, Alksne L, Avsejenko J, Cibrovska A, et al. *Campylobacter* species prevalence, characterisation of antimicrobial resistance and analysis of whole-genome sequence of isolates from livestock and humans, Latvia, 2008 to 2016. *Euro Surveill.* (2019) 24:1800357. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.31.1800357

67. Zhao S, Tyson GH, Chen Y, Li C, Mukherjee S, Young S, et al. Whole-genome sequencing analysis accurately predicts antimicrobial resistance phenotypes in *Campylobacter* spp. *App Environ Microbiol.* (2016) 82:459–66. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02873-15

68. Ocejo M, Oporto B, Hurtado A. Occurrence of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* in cattle and sheep in Northern Spain and changes in antimicrobial resistance in two studies 10-years apart. *Pathogens.* (2019) 8: 8030098. doi: 10.3390/pathogens8030098

69. Oporto B, Juste RA, Hurtado A. Phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Campylobacter jejuni* Isolated from cattle, sheep, and free-range poultry faeces. *Int J Microbiol.* (2009) 2009:456573. doi: 10.1155/2009/456573

70. El-Adawy H, Ahmed MF, Hotzel H, Tomaso H, Tenhagen BA, Hartung J, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* recovered from organic turkey farms in Germany. *Poult Sci.* (2015) 94:2831–7. doi:10.3382/ps/pev259

71. Fabre A, Oleastro M, Nunes A, Santos A, Sifré E, Ducournau A, et al. Wholegenome sequence analysis of multidrug-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates: a Focus on aminoglycoside resistance determinants. *J Clin Microbiol.* (2018) 56:e00390–18. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00390-18

72. Olkkola S, Juntunen P, Heiska H, Hyytiäinen H, Hänninen M. Mutations in the *rpsL* gene are involved in Streptomycin resistance in *Campylobacter coli*. *Microb Drug Resist.* (2010) 16:105–10. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2009.0128

73. Ramirez MS, Tolmasky ME. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. Drug Resist Updat. (2010) 13:151-71. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.2010.08.003

74. Hormeño L, Ugarte-Ruiz M, Palomo G, Borge C, Florez-Cuadrado D, Vadillo S, et al. *ant*-I genes encoding aminoglycoside O-nucleotidyltransferases are widely spread among streptomycin resistant strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli*. *Front Microbiol.* (2018) 9:2515. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02515

75. Rokney A, Valinsky L, Vranckx K, Feldman N, Agmon V, Moran-Gilad J, et al. WGS-based prediction and analysis of antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from Israel. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2020) 10:365. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00365

76. Painset A, Day M, Doumith M, Rigby J, Jenkins C, Grant K, et al. Comparison of phenotypic and WGS-derived antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolated from cases of diarrhoeal disease in England and Wales, 2015–16. *J Antimicrob Chemoth.* (2020) 75:883–9. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkz539

77. Chen CY, Clark CG, Langner S, Boyd DA, Bharat A, McCorrister SJ, et al. Detection of antimicrobial resistance using proteomics and the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database: a case study. *Proteomics Clin Appl.* (2020) 14:e1800182. doi: 10.1002/prca.201800182

78. Doyle RM, O'Sullivan DM, Aller SD, Bruchmann S, Clark T, Coello Pelegrin A, et al. Discordant bioinformatic predictions of antimicrobial resistance from whole-genome sequencing data of bacterial isolates: an inter-laboratory study. *Microb Genom.* (2020) 6:335. doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000335

79. Zankari E, Allesøe R, Joensen KG, Cavaco LM, Lund O, Aarestrup FM. PointFinder: a novel web tool for WGS-based detection of antimicrobial resistance associated with chromosomal point mutations in bacterial pathogens. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. (2017) 72:2764–8. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx217

80. Collineau L, Boerlin P, Carson CA, Chapman B, Fazil A, Hetman B, et al. Integrating whole-genome sequencing data into quantitative risk assessment of foodborne antimicrobial resistance: a review of opportunities and challenges. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10:1107. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01107

81. Batchelor RA, Pearson BM, Friis LM, Guerry P, Wells JM. Nucleotide sequences and comparison of two large conjugative plasmids from different *Campylobacter* species. *Microbiol.* (2004) 150:3507–17. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.27112-0

82. Pratt A, Korolik V. Tetracycline resistance of Australian Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother. (2005) 55:452-60. doi: 10.1093/jac/dki040

83. Avrain L, Vernozy-Rozand C, Kempf I. Evidence for natural horizontal transfer of tetO gene between *Campylobacter jejuni* strains in chickens. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2004) 97:134-40. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2004.02306.x