



Article A Randomized Controlled Trial of Fasting and Lifestyle Modification in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome: Effects on Patient-Reported Outcomes

Michael Jeitler ^{1,2,*}, Romy Lauche ³, Christoph Hohmann ¹, Kyung-Eun (Anna) Choi ⁴, Nadia Schneider ⁵, Nico Steckhan ^{1,6}, Florian Rathjens ⁵, Dennis Anheyer ⁵, Anna Paul ⁵, Christel von Scheidt ², Thomas Ostermann ⁷, Elisabeth Schneider ¹, Daniela Koppold-Liebscher ^{1,2}, Christian S. Kessler ^{1,2}, Gustav Dobos ⁵, Andreas Michalsen ^{1,2} and Holger Cramer ^{3,5,8,9}

- Institute of Social Medicine, Epidemiology and Health Economics, Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10117 Berlin, Germany
- ² Department of Internal and Integrative Medicine, Immanuel Hospital Berlin, 14109 Berlin, Germany
- ³ National Centre for Naturopathic Medicine, Southern Cross University, Lismore 2480, Australia
- ⁴ Center for Health Services Research, Brandenburg Medical School Theodor Fontane, 16816 Neuruppin, Germany
- ⁵ Department of Internal and Integrative Medicine, University of Duisburg-Essen, Evang. Kliniken Essen-Mitte, 45276 Essen, Germany
- ⁶ Digital Health Center, Hasso Plattner Institute, University of Potsdam, 14482 Potsdam, Germany ⁷ Department of Bruck above and Bruck otherany. Witten (Hardeale University 59/55 Witten Commission)
 - Department of Psychology and Psychotherapy, Witten/Herdecke University, 58455 Witten, Germany
- ⁸ Institute for General Practice and Interprofessional Care, University Hospital Tuebingen, 72076 Tuebingen, Germany
 - Bosch Health Campus, 70376 Stuttgart, Germany
 - Correspondence: michael.jeitler@charite.de

Abstract: Lifestyle interventions can have a positive impact on quality of life and psychological parameters in patients with metabolic syndrome (MetS). In this randomized controlled trial, 145 participants with MetS (62.8% women; 59.7 \pm 9.3 years) were randomized to (1) 5-day fasting followed by 10 weeks of lifestyle modification (F + LM; modified DASH diet, exercise, mindfulness; *n* = 73) or (2) 10 weeks of lifestyle modification only (LM; *n* = 72). Outcomes were assessed at weeks 0, 1, 12, and 24, and included quality of life (Short-Form 36 Health Survey Questionnaire, SF-36), anxiety/depression (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, HADS), stress (Cohen Perceived Stress Scale, CPSS), mood (Profile of Mood States, POMS), self-efficacy (General Self-Efficacy Scale, GSE), mindfulness (Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale, MAAS), and self-compassion (Self-Compassion Scale, SCS). At week 1, POMS depression and fatigue scores were significantly lower in F + LM compared to LM. At week 12, most self-report outcomes improved in both groups—only POMS vigor was significantly higher in F + LM than in LM. Most of the beneficial effects within the groups persisted at week 24. Fasting can induce mood-modulating effects in the short term. LM induced several positive effects on quality of life and psychological parameters in patients with MetS.

Keywords: fasting; metabolic syndrome; modified DASH diet; Mediterranean diet; lifestyle; relaxation; mindfulness; MICOM (Mind-Body Medicine in Integrative and Complementary Medicine); self-efficacy

1. Introduction

The metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a condition characterized by the presence of at least three cardiovascular risk factors, such as abdominal obesity, hypertension, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia [1]. It affects approximately one in three American adults and one in four adults in Europe [2,3]. Most cardiovascular risk factors can be influenced by



Citation: Jeitler, M.; Lauche, R.; Hohmann, C.; Choi, K.-E.; Schneider, N.; Steckhan, N.; Rathjens, F.; Anheyer, D.; Paul, A.; von Scheidt, C.; et al. A Randomized Controlled Trial of Fasting and Lifestyle Modification in Patients with Metabolic Syndrome: Effects on Patient-Reported Outcomes. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 3559. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu14173559

Academic Editor: Benjamin D. Horne

Received: 16 August 2022 Accepted: 26 August 2022 Published: 29 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). patient behavior; this is particularly true for poor diet, lack of exercise, and psychological stress [4].

Epidemiological studies highlight the role of psychological risk factors such as psychosocial stress, depression and, anxiety in patients with MetS and cardiovascular disease [5–7]. In addition to physical complaints, patients with MetS often have impaired quality of life and psychological state [8,9].

Cardiovascular behavioral prevention requires comprehensive cardiological programs that consider mind-body interventions in addition to aspects of lifestyle and risk factor management, which should be delivered by interdisciplinary teams of health care professionals [6]. While comprehensive lifestyle modification interventions targeting physical inactivity, diet, and psychosocial stress have shown beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk factors [10–12], fewer data are available from these programs on patient-reported outcomes (PRO) like mood, distress, and quality of life [9,13,14].

Mind-body medicine (MBM) is an important method within Complementary and Integrative Medicine (CIM) therapies and is defined as "practices that focus on the interactions among the brain, mind, body, and behaviour" and the ways in which emotional, mental, social, spiritual, and behavioural factors can directly affect heath [15]. More broadly, MBM also includes health-related lifestyle topics to improve patient self-efficacy and self-care, particularly related to exercise, diet, relaxation, and self-care strategies [15].

MBM interventions include structured stress reduction programs, relaxation techniques, meditative movement exercises such as yoga, tai chi, and qigong, and mindfulness, which has been identified as a key method of meditation [16]. It can be described as "a particular way of being characterized by nonjudgmental, moment-to-moment alertness in daily life outside of formal meditation practice" [17]. Various MBM interventions such as yoga, qigong, tai chi, and mindfulness training have been studied in patients with obesity and metabolic syndrome in randomized controlled clinical trials [18–20]. In addition to improving cardio-metabolic health, yoga and tai chi in particular have been found to have positive effects on quality of life, psychological well-being, and stress levels in patients with MetS [19,20].

Another CIM intervention that has been very well studied in recent years is fasting [21–24]. Fasting for a longer period of time, usually from 3 to 21 or more days, has a long tradition in Europe. (Prolonged) fasting can affect the psyche including an induction of mood-modulating effects [25–27].

While the aforementioned studies evaluated unimodal interventions in clinical trials, we combined these methods into a comprehensive lifestyle modification program, named MICOM (Mind-Body Medicine in Integrative and Complementary Medicine) [15]. This study aimed to assess effects of fasting followed by the MICOM lifestyle modification intervention in patients with MetS on patient-reported outcomes, compared to a lifestyle modification intervention only.

2. Methods

2.1. Design

This single-blind, bicenter, randomized controlled study was conducted at the Charité Outpatient Center for Complementary and Integrative Medicine at Immanuel Hospital Berlin, Berlin, Germany, and the Department of Internal and Integrative Medicine, Evang. Kliniken Essen-Mitte, Essen, Germany. The study was approved by the ethics committees of the Charité—Universitätsmedizin Berlin (EA4/141/13) and the University of Duisburg-Essen (14-5733 BO). The study was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02099968) prior to patient recruitment. The study was conducted and reported according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials 2010 guidelines [28]. No changes were made to the study protocol after initiation of the study.

2.2. Participants

Patients were recruited via local newspaper advertisements and in the study departments, screened for eligibility by a study nurse, and, if they appeared eligible, assessed by a study physician. If the screened patients met all inclusion criteria and no exclusion criteria, informed consent was obtained, and they were enrolled in the study.

Patients presenting a metabolic syndrome according to the NCEP-ATP-III criteria (National Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults) were included. They also had to be diagnosed with systolic hypertension and/or additional subclinical atherosclerosis (<50% coronary artery stenosis, <50% carotid artery stenosis, peripheral artery disease stage 1).

Exclusion criteria included (1) diabetes mellitus type 1 or insulin bolus therapy, (2) coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolism, or stroke within the past 3 months, (3) heart failure \geq NYHA stage I, (4) peripheral artery disease \geq stage 2, (5) chronic kidney disease \geq stage 2, (6) eating disorder, dementia or psychosis, and (7) other severe internal diseases.

2.3. Interventions

Both interventions were group-based and delivered by a multidisciplinary team of health professionals such as nutritional counselors, sport therapists, and mind-body therapists with board certified education. They incorporated aspects of the Mind-Body program which was originally developed by the Benson-Henry Mind/Body Medical Institute at Harvard Medical School and further developed and further developed and adapted to German needs, as described in the MICOM (Mind-Body Medicine in Integrative and Complementary Medicine) program [15]. In this program a focus is set on mindfulness and specific group trainings that are rooted in psychoneuroendocrinology, using formal meditation and gentle yoga exercises. Nutritional education included lectures, cooking workshops, as well as group support.

2.4. Fasting and Lifestyle Modification (F + LM)

Participants started with 2 vegan days (max. 1200 kcal/day), followed by 5 days of fasting (max. 350 kcal/day), and a stepwise reintroduction of food. Then they participated in the 10-week MICOM comprehensive multimodal lifestyle modification intervention with weekly 6-h sessions [29]. These included lectures and cooking classes on whole-food vegetarian diets with emphasis on a plant-based Mediterranean diet and a modified DASH diet, intermittent fasting (rice day once a week), and recommendations for certain cardioprotective foods [30–33]. Furthermore, moderate aerobic exercise such as walking and progressive muscle relaxation, mindfulness meditation, and yoga were trained. After completion of the program, monthly group sessions were offered during weeks 13 to 24 to ensure longer-term adherence.

2.5. Lifestyle Modification (LM)

The lifestyle intervention was like the one performed for the F + LM group, but without the initial fasting intervention. The program consisted of 55 h of group intervention over a period of 10 weeks. After the end of the program, in months 13 to 24, monthly group sessions were offered to ensure longer-term adherence.

2.6. Randomization

Patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to either F + LM or LM by block randomization with randomly varying block lengths, stratified by (a) study center and (b) taking/not taking antihypertensive medication. The randomization list was generated by a biometrician not involved in patient recruitment or assessment using Random Allocation software (version 1.0, Mahmood Saghaei, Isfahan, Iran) [34]. The list was password protected, and no person other than the biometrician had access to it. On this basis, he prepared sealed, sequentially numbered opaque envelopes containing the assignments to the interventions. After obtaining written informed consent and baseline assessment, the study physician opened the envelope with the lowest number to reveal the assignment for that patient.

2.7. Outcome Measures

Outcomes were assessed at baseline and at 1, 12 and 24 weeks after randomization by a blinded outcome assessor who was not involved in patient recruitment, allocation, or treatment. Physician-assessed outcomes, laboratory parameters, safety data, and explorative experimental variables (immune function, microbiome) were also assessed and have been reported elsewhere [35]. In the here presented analysis, the following PRO were used and will be reported:

- Quality of life on the Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item-Short-Form (SF-36) including eight dimensions of health: physical functioning (10 items), social role functioning (2 items), physical role functioning (4 items), emotional role functioning (3 items), mental health (5 items), vitality (4 items), bodily pain (2 items), and general health perceptions (5 items), and the physical and mental component score [36].
- Anxiety and depression on the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) [37], a 14-item scale for the assessment of anxiety and depression symptoms.
- Stress on the Cohen Perceived Stress Scale (CPSS) for the assessment of subjective stress within the last week including 14 items about current levels of experienced and perceived stress [38].
- Mood on the The Aktuelle Stimmungsskala (ASTS), the German version of the Profile
 of Mood States (POMS) [39]. The POMS is a 35-item instrument that measures four
 domains of mood disfunction assessing the subscales vigor, fatigue, depression, and
 anger [40].
- Self-efficacy on the 10-item General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSE) [41]. Self-efficacy is a construct that "reflects an optimistic self-belief that one can master a difficult task or cope with adversity" [41]. Self-efficacy makes it easier to set goals, make efforts, persevere in the face of obstacles, and recover from setbacks. It can be considered a resource for resilience.
- Mindfulness on the 15-item Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS) [42]. Mindfulness is characterized by the following three qualities: (1) intentional, (2) related to the present moment, and (3) nonjudgmental.
- Self-compassion on the 26-Item Self-Compassion Scale (SCS) [43]. Self-compassion refers to a positive basic attitude toward oneself even in difficult life situations. This personality trait has proven to be an effective protective factor that promotes emotional resilience.

2.8. Sample Size Calculation and Statistical Analysis

The sample size was calculated a priori using G*Power software [44]. Based on prior research on multimodal lifestyle interventions [10,45], yoga [46], mindfulness [47], and Mediterranean diet [48], a between-group effect size of d = 0.5 was expected. A level 2.5% t-test requires a total of 64 patients per group to detect a respective group difference with a statistical power of 80%. Accounting for a potential loss of power because of a maximum of 10% dropouts, it was intended to include a minimum of 142 participants in this trial.

All analyses were performed intention-to-treat, which means that all participants were randomized, whether or not they provided a complete data set or adhered to the study protocol. Missing data were multiply imputed by Markov chain Monte Carlo methods [49,50], yielding a total of 50 complete data sets.

Group differences were analyzed by univariate analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) modeling the outcome at week 1, 12, or 24 as a function of the treatment group (classified factor), the stratification factors study center (classified covariate) and baseline antihypertensive medication intake (classified covariate), and the respective baseline value (linear covariate). Afterwards, the 50 estimates of group differences were combined to produce

overall effect size estimates, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values. Within-group changes over time were analyzed by paired *t*-tests. *p*-Values ≤ 0.05 were considered significant.

All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences software (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, release 22.0. Armonk, NY, USA: IBM Group).

3. Results

3.1. Patients

A total of 452 patients were screened by telephone and 258 were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria (Figure 1). A further 49 patients were excluded after medical assessment onsite. Finally, 145 participants were enrolled after informed consent and were randomized to the F + LM group (n = 73) or the LM group (n = 72). A total of 15 participants each were lost to follow-up in the F + LM and LM groups during the study period (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Study flow chart. F + LM, fasting and lifestyle modification; LM, lifestyle modification.

Patients were recruited between April 2014 (first patient in) and December 2014. The last follow-up assessment was completed in December 2015 (last patient out).

Participants' characteristics are provided in Table 1. About two thirds of the participants were female and about one third had a university degree (mean age 59.7 \pm 9.3 years). Participants had a BMI of 33.3 \pm 4.5 kg/m². Participants in the F + LM group attended a mean of 8.2 \pm 2.3 (82%) out of 10 possible intervention sessions; those in the LM group attended 7.1 \pm 3.5 (71%) sessions (*p* = 0.124).

| | Total (<i>n</i> = 145) | F + LM (<i>n</i> = 73) | LM (<i>n</i> = 72) |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Sociodemographic characteristics | | | |
| Gender female n (%) | 91 (62.8%) | 48 (65.8%) | 43 (59.7%) |
| Age years | 59.7 ± 9.3 | 58.6 ± 10.8 | 60.8 ± 7.5 |
| Marital status n (%) | | | |
| Single | 15 (10.3%) | 6 (8.2%) | 9 (12.5%) |
| Married | 98 (67.6%) | 49 (67.1%) | 49 (68.1%) |
| Divorced | 21 (14.5%) | 12 (16.4%) | 9 (12.5%) |
| Widowed | 5 (3.4%) | 3 (4.1%) | 2 (2.8%) |
| Education n (%) | | | |
| Secondary modern school ("Hauptschule") qualification | 25 (17.2%) | 9 (12.3%) | 16 (22.2%) |
| High school ("Realschule") qualification | 41 (28.3%) | 19 (26.0%) | 22 (30.6%) |
| A level ("Abitur") | 18 (12.4%) | 12 (16.4%) | 6 (8.3%) |
| University degree | 53 (36.6%) | 28 (38.3%) | 25 (34.7%) |
| Employment n (%) | | | |
| Employed full-time | 41 (28.3%) | 20 (27.4%) | 21 (29.2%) |
| Employed part-time | 19 (13.1%) | 11 (15.1%) | 8 (11.1%) |
| Occasionally | 5 (3.4%) | 3 (4.1%) | 2 (2.8%) |
| On sick leave | 3 (2.1%) | 2 (2.7%) | 1 (1.4%) |
| Unemployed | 3 (2.1%) | 2 (2.7%) | 1 (1.4%) |
| Retired age-related | 44 (30.3%) | 20 (27.4%) | 24 (33.3%) |
| Retired health-related | 15 (10.3%) | 7 (9.6%) | 8 (11.1%) |
| Homekeeper | 10 (6.9%) | 6 (8.2%) | 4 (5.6%) |
| Clinical characteristics | | | |
| Weight (kg) | 97.0 ± 15.8 | 98.1 ± 16.1 | 95.9 ± 15.5 |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m ²) | 33.3 ± 4.5 | 33.7 ± 4.5 | 32.8 ± 4.5 |
| Clinical systolic BP (mmHg) | 140.0 ± 16.8 | 138.9 ± 14.4 | 141.2 ± 19.0 |
| Clinical diastolic BP (mmHg) | 88.9 ± 10.9 | 88.3 ± 10.6 | 89.5 ± 11.2 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 113.1 ± 10.8 | 114.1 ± 10.5 | 112.1 ± 11.1 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) | 55.0 ± 17.6 | 53.4 ± 16.0 | 56.6 ± 19.0 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL) | 181.8 ± 194.0 | 188.0 ± 210.6 | 175.5 ± 111.1 |
| Blood glucose (mg/dL) | 111.7 ± 20.5 | 113.3 ± 18.9 | 110.1 ± 22.0 |

Table 1. Baseline sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. If not otherwise denoted, values are reported as mean \pm standard deviation.

Abbreviations: F + LM, fasting and lifestyle modification; LM, lifestyle modification.

3.2. Outcome Measures

Detailed results are provided in Table 2 and Figure 2. At week 1, POMS depression ($\Delta = -4.34$; 95% confidence interval [CI] = -8.67 to -0.01) and fatigue scores ($\Delta = -4.91$; 95% CI = -8.82 to -1.01) were significantly lower in F + LM compared to LM. From week 0 to 12, most self-report outcomes (i.e., quality of life on SF-36, anxiety/depression on HADS, stress on CPSS, mood on POMS, self-efficacy on GSE, mindfulness on MAAS, and self-compassion on SCS) improved in both groups in the range of small to moderate effect sizes; however, only POMS vigor was significantly higher in F + LM than in LM ($\Delta = 3.60$; 95% CI = 0.30 to 6.90). Most positive within-group effects persisted in week 24; only self-compassion was significantly higher in the LM than in F + LM at this time point ($\Delta = 2.41$; 95% CI = 0.69 to 4.16).

| | Week 0 Week 1 | | | Week 12 | | | | Week 24 | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|---------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|
| Outcome | Group | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} \pm \\ \text{SD} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} \pm \\ \text{SD} \end{array}$ | Pwithin | P _{between} | Cohen's d | $\frac{\text{Mean} \pm}{\text{SD}}$ | P _{within} | P _{between} | Cohen's d | $\frac{\text{Mean} \pm }{\text{SD}}$ | P _{within} | Pbetween | Cohen's d |
| SF-36 Physical functioning | F + LM | 73.3 ± 17.8 | 75.8 ± 18.8 | 0.077 | 0.858 | 0.03 | 83.1 ± 17.4 | < 0.001 | 0.324 | 0.15 | 82.9 ± 16.5 | < 0.001 | 0.541 | 0.10 |
| | LM | 74.2 ± 20.6 | 75.9 ± 21.2 | 0.118 | | | 81.4 ± 19.1 | < 0.001 | | | 81.2 ± 22.5 | < 0.001 | | |
| SF-36 Physical role functioning | F + LM | 61.0 ± 40.0 | 58.1 ± 39.0 | 0.453 | 0.244 | 0.21 | 78.0 ± 33.3 | <0.001 | 0.104 | 0.31 | 69.2 ± 37.5 | 0.092 | 0.756 | 0.06 |
| | LM | 63.2 ± 41.1 | 65.0 ± 38.9 | 0.595 | | | 70.5 ± 36.0 | 0.059 | | | 68.1 ± 38.3 | 0.147 | | |
| SF-36 Bodily pain | F + LM | 56.8 ± 22.9 | 57.3 ± 24.0 | 0.812 | 0.119 | 0.28 | 69.6 ± 24.0 | < 0.001 | 0.238 | 0.28 | 64.1 ± 24.5 | 0.006 | 0.545 | 0.13 |
| | LM | 62.0 ± 26.8 | 63.0 ± 25.6 | 0.654 | | | 65.0 ± 29.2 | 0.337 | | | 69.1 ± 27.7 | 0.008 | | |
| SF-36 General health perceptions | F + LM | 58.3 ± 16.8 | 63.5 ± 17.1 | 0.001 | 0.238 | 0.27 | 70.1 ± 17.7 | < 0.001 | 0.370 | 0.20 | 69.3 ± 16.8 | < 0.001 | 0.527 | 0.14 |
| | LM | 58.1 ± 16.7 | 59.5 ± 18.4 | 0.367 | | | 65.9 ± 19.0 | < 0.001 | | | 66.1 ± 19.1 | < 0.001 | | |
| SF-36 Vitality | F + LM | 55.0 ± 19.7 | 58.4 ± 19.6 | 0.014 | 0.695 | 0.05 | 67.7 ± 17.0 | < 0.001 | 0.121 | 0.25 | 63.5 ± 20.2 | < 0.001 | 0.678 | 0.08 |
| | LM | 56.8 ± 21.4 | 58.4 ± 21.0 | 0.192 | | | 63.5 ± 21.0 | < 0.001 | | | 61.8 ± 23.7 | 0.004 | | |
| SF-36 Social role functioning | F + LM | 70.4 ± 27.8 | 75.9 ± 27.7 | 0.023 | 0.722 | 0.07 | 83.7 ± 23.2 | < 0.001 | 0.176 | 0.25 | 81.0 ± 24.0 | < 0.001 | 0.922 | 0.02 |
| | LM | 80.7 ± 23.4 | 79.4 ± 25.3 | 0.514 | | | 82.2 ± 24.6 | 0.493 | | | 83.3 ± 21.0 | 0.275 | | |
| SF-36 Emotional role functioning | F + LM | 68.9 ± 37.8 | 70.9 ± 39.1 | 0.605 | 0.495 | 0.13 | 77.3 ± 31.9 | 0.030 | 0.504 | 0.12 | 77.1 ± 33.7 | 0.012 | 0.957 | 0.01 |
| | LM | 72.2 ± 39.6 | 71.1 ± 39.6 | 0.722 | | | 80.4 ± 34.4 | 0.036 | | | 80.5 ± 34.2 | 0.017 | | |
| SF-36 Mental health | F + LM | 66.9 ± 18.8 | 71.5 ± 19.5 | 0.002 | 0.444 | 0.12 | 75.6 ± 16.8 | < 0.001 | 0.128 | 0.23 | 73.0 ± 20.2 | < 0.001 | 0.887 | 0.03 |
| | LM | 70.7 ± 17.8 | 71.4 ± 18.2 | 0.536 | | | 75.5 ± 15.2 | 0.001 | | | 74.0 ± 18.2 | 0.027 | | |
| SF-36 Physical component score | F + LM | 43.2 ± 8.9 | 43.4 ± 8.4 | 0.739 | 0.131 | 0.24 | 48.5 ± 8.4 | < 0.001 | 0.177 | 0.26 | 47.2 ± 8.2 | < 0.001 | 0.990 | 0.01 |
| | LM | 43.6 ± 9.6 | 44.5 ± 10.0 | 0.223 | | | 46.1 ± 9.8 | 0.006 | | | 46.4 ± 10.4 | 0.002 | | |
| SF-36 Mental component score | F + LM | 46.7 ± 11.4 | 49.0 ± 11.9 | 0.005 | 0.129 | 0.22 | 51.1 ± 10.3 | < 0.001 | 0.096 | 0.24 | 50.2 ± 12.1 | < 0.001 | 0.594 | 0.10 |
| | LM | 49.2 ± 11.0 | 48.9 ± 10.7 | 0.580 | | | 51.3 ± 9.2 | 0.017 | | | 50.8 ± 10.3 | 0.065 | | |

Table 2. Effects of the study interventions on patient-reported outcomes. Bold *p*-values indicate significant group differences (p < 0.05).

| Table | 2. | Cont. |
|-------|---------|---------------|
| IUDIC | <u></u> | \mathcal{O} |

| | | Week 0 | eek 0 Week 1 | | | Week 12 | | | | Week 24 | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Outcome | Group | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} \pm \\ \text{SD} \end{array}$ | $\frac{\text{Mean} \pm}{\text{SD}}$ | P _{within} | P _{between} | Cohen's d | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean} \pm \\ \text{SD} \end{array}$ | P _{within} | P _{between} | Cohen's d | $\frac{\text{Mean} \pm }{\text{SD}}$ | P _{within} | P _{between} | Cohen's d |
| HADS Anxiety | F + LM | 6.5 ± 4.2 | 5.5 ± 4.0 | < 0.001 | 0.303 | 0.16 | 4.9 ± 3.3 | < 0.001 | 0.227 | 0.18 | 5.2 ± 3.6 | < 0.001 | 0.206 | 0.21 |
| | LM | 5.8 ± 3.8 | 5.9 ± 3.8 | 0.751 | | | 4.3 ± 3.1 | < 0.001 | | | 4.8 ± 3.4 | 0.004 | | |
| HADS Depression | F + LM | 5.1 ± 3.5 | 4.1 ± 3.7 | < 0.001 | 0.386 | 0.15 | 3.4 ± 3.1 | < 0.001 | 0.432 | 0.13 | 3.6 ± 3.7 | < 0.001 | 0.740 | 0.07 |
| | LM | 5.2 ± 3.6 | 4.9 ± 3.9 | 0.367 | | | 3.9 ± 3.5 | < 0.001 | | | 4.1 ± 3.9 | 0.004 | | |
| CPSS | F + LM | 14.0 ± 3.1 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 12.2 ± 3.1 | < 0.001 | 0.070 | 0.33 | 12.4 ± 3.4 | < 0.001 | 0.064 | 0.37 |
| | LM | 13.4 ± 3.5 | NA | NA | | | 12.6 ± 3.4 | 0.005 | | | 12.6 ± 3.4 | 0.020 | | |
| POMS Depression | F + LM | 13.7 ± 15.0 | 9.5 ± 12.9 | < 0.001 | 0.049 | 0.30 | 8.9 ± 11.4 | < 0.001 | 0.397 | 0.11 | 10.9 ± 14.7 | 0.010 | 0.504 | 0.12 |
| | LM | 11.3 ± 13.9 | 11.0 ± 13.0 | 0.747 | | | 9.4 ± 13.5 | 0.065 | | | 11.1 ± 15.3 | 0.856 | | |
| POMS Fatigue | F + LM | 16.8 ± 9.4 | 12.1 ± 8.7 | < 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.50 | 9.5 ± 7.4 | < 0.001 | 0.206 | 0.23 | 11.6 ± 9.3 | < 0.001 | 0.549 | 0.12 |
| | LM | 14.8 ± 10.2 | 13.3 ± 10.4 | 0.096 | | | 11.5 ± 9.9 | 0.001 | | | 10.9 ± 10.3 | < 0.001 | | |
| POMS Vigor | F + LM | 22.0 ± 8.1 | 24.1 ± 8.3 | 0.010 | 0.629 | 0.10 | 26.1 ± 6.8 | < 0.001 | 0.033 | 0.45 | 25.8 ± 7.9 | < 0.001 | 0.147 | 0.30 |
| | LM | 22.1 ± 7.9 | 23.0 ± 9.0 | 0.264 | | | 23.1 ± 8.6 | 0.278 | | | 23.1 ± 8.1 | 0.260 | | |
| POMS Anger | F + LM | 8.3 ± 9.0 | 6.0 ± 6.9 | 0.001 | 0.089 | 0.27 | 6.0 ± 6.9 | 0.001 | 0.412 | 0.14 | 7.1 ± 8.0 | 0.087 | 0.192 | 0.23 |
| | LM | 6.6 ± 7.2 | 5.7 ± 6.7 | 0.138 | | | 5.7 ± 6.7 | 0.279 | | | 4.5 ± 6.4 | 0.010 | | |
| GSE | F + LM | 29.4 ± 5.7 | 30.5 ± 5.2 | 0.020 | 0.244 | 0.17 | 31.2 ± 4.6 | < 0.001 | 0.206 | 0.19 | 31.3 ± 5.3 | < 0.001 | 0.262 | 0.19 |
| | LM | 30.0 ± 5.7 | 30.2 ± 5.8 | 0.553 | | | 30.5 ± 5.9 | 0.170 | | | 30.8 ± 6.1 | 0.061 | | |
| MAAS | F + LM | 4.3 ± 0.9 | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4.4 ± 0.8 | 0.030 | 0.696 | 0.06 | 4.5 ± 0.8 | 0.001 | 0.265 | 0.18 |
| | LM | 4.6 ± 0.7 | NA | NA | | | 4.6 ± 0.7 | 0.183 | | | 4.7 ± 0.7 | 0.169 | | |
| SCS | F + LM | 33.7 ± 5.5 | 33.5 ± 4.9 | 0.702 | 0.618 | 0.09 | 33.3 ± 4.5 | 0.503 | 0.698 | 0.06 | 32.9 ± 5.0 | 0.060 | 0.007 | 0.41 |
| | LM | 32.6 ± 6.2 | 33.7 ± 4.5 | 0.110 | | | 32.6 ± 5.0 | 0.978 | | | 33.6 ± 4.6 | 0.057 | | |

Abbreviations: CPSS, Cohen Perceived Stress Scale; F + LM, fasting and lifestyle modification; LM, lifestyle modification; GSE, General Self-Efficacy Scale; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; MAAS, Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale; NA, not assessed; P_{between}, between-group differences (analysis of covariances); P_{within}, within-group changes from baseline (paired *t*-test); POMS, Profile of Mood States; SCS, Self-Compassion Scale; SD, standard deviation; SF-36, Short-Form 36 Health Survey Questionnaire.



Figure 2. Multiplot on patient-reported outcomes. CPSS, Cohen Perceived Stress Scale; F + LM, fasting and lifestyle modification; LM, lifestyle modification; GSE, General Self-Efficacy Scale; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; MAAS, Mindfulness Attention Awareness Scale; POMS, Profile of Mood States; SCS, Self-Compassion Scale; SF-36, Short-Form 36 Health Survey Questionnaire.

4. Discussion

This study investigated the effects of fasting, followed by a multimodal lifestyle modification intervention as described in the MICOM Model, on patient-reported outcomes in patients with MetS compared to a lifestyle modification intervention only. After the multimodal lifestyle modification intervention, we found improvements for all outcome measures, namely quality of life, anxiety, depression, stress, mood as well as self-efficacy, mindfulness, and self-compassion, which persisted at the follow-up after 24 weeks. Comparing the groups (1) at week 1, depression and fatigue scores (POMS) were significantly lower; (2) at week 12, only vigor (POMS) was significantly higher; and (3) at week 24, self-compassion was significantly lower in the group that fasted additionally.

The study participants were demographically heterogeneous. More than 60% were women. The distribution of educational attainment was broad, with a slight shift toward those with higher education. The inclusion criteria of the study led to a large proportion of patients who were German adults with metabolic syndrome. This suggests that the results of the study should be transferable to a large proportion of the German population. Baseline scores indicated the studied population having impaired quality of life and moods as well as depressive symptomatology and anxiety upon study entry.

The five-day fast (max. 350 kcal/day) implemented at week 1 reduced depression and fatigue scores in the F + LM group. This is in line with other findings, as especially (prolonged) fasting is known to influence the psyche positively, inducing mood-modulating effects [25–27]. Furthermore, participants in the F + LM group had a higher vigor score at the end of fasting as well as at the 12-week follow-up. Recent studies showed that fasting can increase vigor and vitality, emotional balance, and daytime concentration [26,51]. Fasting is also associated with increased availability of neurotrophic factors in the brain, including serotonin, endogenous opioids, and endocannabinoids, which may also contribute to improved vigor and mood [52,53].

Compared to lifestyle modification only, fasting significantly induced several positive short-term effects in physical parameters, which are reported in detail elsewhere. Especially the observed moderate weight loss and the reduction in waist circumference could lead to positive psychological experiences and may have mood-lifting effects. It should be mentioned that fasting has also been reported to potentially have negative effects on mood, e.g., increased irritability and mood lability [25,27]. However, such side effects were not detectable in our study.

Interestingly, a recent study reported differences in psychological well-being depending on whether or not participants had previous experience with fasting [27]. The group with fasting novices had overall more negative psychological states (i.e., increased appetite, increased negative moods, increased stress, and reduced vitality) during the fast. Therefore, previous fasting experience may buffer negative feelings during a fast. However, previous fasting experiences were not recorded in our study.

We found that self-compassion was significantly higher in participants who received only lifestyle change than in participants who received fasting plus lifestyle change. This finding seems to contradict the hypothesized positive effects of fasting. We would not assume that this finding indicates a harmful effect of fasting. The authors are not aware of any other studies on self-compassion and fasting. However, this finding is interesting and may be worth further investigation.

Several parameters may have clinically relevant effects. The minimal clinically important difference (MCID) for the SF-36 physical component score is defined as a value of 2 and for the SF-36 mental component score as 3 points; in our study the increases were greater than 2 and 3 points, respectively, for both groups, resulting in a relevant clinical improvement [54]. The MCID for HADS in patients with cardiovascular disease is defined at a value of 1.7 points, which the study participants also achieved at the 12-week visit in both groups [55]. No MCID is available for CPSS and POMS, but the effects at the 12- and 24-week follow-ups are also in the range of moderate effect sizes.

Various MBM interventions such as yoga, qigong, tai chi, and mindfulness training have been studied in patients with obesity and metabolic syndrome in randomized controlled clinical trials [18–20]. In addition to improving cardio-metabolic health, yoga and tai chi in particular have been shown to improve stress, quality of life, and psychological parameters in patients with MetS [20,56].

The MBM lifestyle modification intervention led to an improvement of self-efficacy, mindfulness, and self-compassion in our study population. These parameters could interact with each other. Further studies to explore explanatory models for the effects of MBM are warranted. In particular, mediating variables on mindfulness, self-efficacy, and compassion, and the effects on physical and psychological parameters, need to be examined more closely.

Our study has several limitations. First, the implementation of a control group with no initial fasting could lead to nonspecific effects due to disappointment effects and may also have influenced the study results. Second, we have not assessed participants' attitudes and motivation regarding the intervention. Third, the time intensity of the two programs was slightly different, as additional fasting was performed in the F + LM group. Another limitation is the predominant use of generic questionnaires in this study instead of condition-specific ones. On the one hand, this was done because epidemiological studies on metabolic syndrome also predominantly use generic instruments [9], which facilitates the comparison of the study results with those in the population. On the other hand, due to the general lack of specific subjective symptoms in metabolic syndrome, there are hardly any specific questionnaires and, accordingly, previous studies have relied on questionnaires specific to individual symptoms (e.g., obesity). Nevertheless, the lack of specific measures is a limitation, and future studies should use condition-specific questionnaires, at least in addition to generic ones. Finally, the extent to which patients adhered to the program by using stress reduction techniques at home was not assessed.

5. Conclusions

In summary, the results of this study point to beneficial and clinically relevant effects of fasting and intensified lifestyle modification on quality of life and psychological parameters. In addition, the used combination of interventions appears to have a sustained effect that needs to be further demonstrated in studies with longer-term follow-up. Further trials could compare comprehensive lifestyle interventions with less intensive and even unimodal interventions and assess cost-effectiveness. Further evaluation of mediating variables such as mindfulness, self-efficacy, and self-compassion in relation to psychological parameters, and interaction with improvements in physical and psychological parameters in the context of complex lifestyle interventions, is warranted.

Author Contributions: M.J. and H.C.: guarantors of article. M.J.: investigation, writing—original draft preparation, review and editing. R.L.: conceptualization, project administration, supervision, writing—review and editing. C.H.: conceptualization, supervision, project administration, investigation, writing—review and editing. N.S. (Nadia Schneider): investigation, data curation, writing—review and editing. F.R.: investigation, data curation, writing—review and editing. D.A.: data curation, writing—review and editing. A.P.: conceptualization, writing—review and editing. C.v.S.: conceptualization, writing—review and editing. T.O.: conceptualization, data analysis, writing—review and editing. K.-E.C.: conceptualization, writing—review and editing. E.S.: investigation, data curation, writing—review and editing. D.K.-L.: writing—review and editing. C.S.K.: writing—review and editing. N.S. (Nico Steckhan): conceptualization, supervision, investigation, data curation, writing—review and editing. G.D.: conceptualization, writing—review and editing. A.M.: conceptualization, supervision, project administration, writing—review and editing. A.M.: conceptualization, supervision, project administration, writing—review and editing. A.M.: conceptualization, supervision, formal analysis, data analysis, supervision, writing—original draft preparation, review and editing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by Corona-Foundation, Germany (funding number: S199/10056/2012). The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or

decision to submit the manuscript for publication. We acknowledge financial support from the Open Access Publication Fund of Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin and the German Research Foundation (DFG).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov under NCT02099968 and was approved by the respective ethics committee (Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin EA4/141/13, 16 January 2014) and the University of Duisburg-Essen (approval number: 14-5733 BO, 26 February 2014). It followed the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines for trial conduct.

Data Availability Statement: Data available on request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Eckel, R.H.; Alberti, K.; Grundy, S.M.; Zimmet, P.Z. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet 2010, 375, 181–183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Saklayen, M.G. The Global Epidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome. *Curr. Hypertens. Rep.* 2018, 20, 12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Scuteri, A.; Laurent, S.; Cucca, F.; Cockcroft, J.; Cunha, P.G.; Mañas, L.R.; Mattace Raso, F.U.; Muiesan, M.L.; Ryliškytė, L.; Rietzschel, E.; et al. Metabolic syndrome across Europe: Different clusters of risk factors. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* 2015, 22, 486–491. [CrossRef]
- Roth, G.A.; Mensah, G.A.; Johnson, C.O.; Addolorato, G.; Ammirati, E.; Baddour, L.M.; Barengo, N.C.; Beaton, A.Z.; Benjamin, E.J.; Benziger, C.P.; et al. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990–2019: Update From the GBD 2019 Study. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2020, 76, 2982–3021. [CrossRef]
- Rosengren, A.; Hawken, S.; Ounpuu, S.; Sliwa, K.; Zubaid, M.; Almahmeed, W.A.; Blackett, K.N.; Sitthi-amorn, C.; Sato, H.; Yusuf, S. Association of psychosocial risk factors with risk of acute myocardial infarction in 11119 cases and 13648 controls from 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): Case-control study. *Lancet* 2004, *364*, 953–962. [CrossRef]
- Kotseva, K.; De Backer, G.; De Bacquer, D.; Ryden, L.; Hoes, A.; Grobbee, D.; Maggioni, A.; Marques-Vidal, P.; Jennings, C.; Abreu, A.; et al. Lifestyle and impact on cardiovascular risk factor control in coronary patients across 27 countries: Results from the European Society of Cardiology ESC-EORP EUROASPIRE V registry. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* 2019, 26, 824–835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Inoue, K.; Horwich, T.; Bhatnagar, R.; Bhatt, K.; Goldwater, D.; Seeman, T.; Watson, K.E. Urinary Stress Hormones, Hypertension, and Cardiovascular Events: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Hypertension* **2021**, *78*, 1640–1647. [CrossRef]
- Marcos-Delgado, A.; López-García, E.; Martínez-González, M.A.; Salas-Salvadó, J.; Corella, D.; Fitó, M.; Romaguera, D.; Vioque, J.; Alonso-Gómez, A.M.; Wärnberg, J.; et al. Health-related quality of life in individuals with metabolic syndrome: A cross-sectional study. Semergen 2020, 46, 524–537. [CrossRef]
- 9. Saboya, P.P.; Bodanese, L.C.; Zimmermann, P.R.; Gustavo, A.D.; Assumpção, C.M.; Londero, F. Metabolic syndrome and quality of life: A systematic review. *Rev. Lat. Am. Enferm.* **2016**, *24*, e2848. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ornish, D.; Brown, S.E.; Scherwitz, L.W.; Billings, J.H.; Armstrong, W.T.; Ports, T.A.; McLanahan, S.M.; Kirkeeide, R.L.; Brand, R.J.; Gould, K.L. Can lifestyle changes reverse coronary heart disease? The Lifestyle Heart Trial. *Lancet* **1990**, *336*, 129–133.
- 11. Cramer, H.; Lauche, R.; Paul, A.; Langhorst, J.; Michalsen, A.; Dobos, G. MindñBody Medicine in the Secondary Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* **2015**, *112*, 759–767. [PubMed]
- 12. Lean, M.E.; Leslie, W.S.; Barnes, A.C.; Brosnahan, N.; Thom, G.; McCombie, L.; Peters, C.; Zhyzhneuskaya, S.; Al-Mrabeh, A.; Hollingsworth, K.G.; et al. Primary care-led weight management for remission of type 2 diabetes (DiRECT): An open-label, cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* **2018**, *391*, 541–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Michalsen, A.; Grossman, P.; Lehmann, N.; Knoblauch, N.T.; Paul, A.; Moebus, S.; Budde, T.; Dobos, G.J. Psychological and quality-of-life outcomes from a comprehensive stress reduction and lifestyle program in patients with coronary artery disease: Results of a randomized trial. *Psychother. Psychosom.* **2005**, *74*, 344–352. [CrossRef]
- Lin, C.H.; Chiang, S.L.; Tzeng, W.C.; Chiang, L.C. Systematic review of impact of lifestyle-modification programs on metabolic risks and patient-reported outcomes in adults with metabolic syndrome. *Worldviews Evid.-Based Nurs.* 2014, *11*, 361–368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Dobos, G.; Paul, A. *Mind-Body-Medizin—Integrative Konzepte zur Ressourcenstärkung und Lebensstilveränderung*; Urban & Fischer Verlag/Elsevier GmbH: Munich, Germany, 2019.
- 16. Kabat-Zinn, J. Full Catastrophe Living: Using the Wisdom of Your Body and Mind to Face Stress, Pain, and Illness; Delta Trade Paperback/Bantam Dell: New York, NY, USA, 1990.
- 17. Bishop, S.R.; Lau, M.; Shapiro, S.; Carlson, L.; Anderson, N.D.; Carmody, J.; Segal, Z.V.; Abbey, S.; Speca, M.; Velting, D.; et al. Mindfulness: A proposed operational definition. *Clin. Psychol. Sci. Pract.* **2004**, *11*, 230–241.
- Chu, P.; Gotink, R.A.; Yeh, G.Y.; Goldie, S.J.; Hunink, M.G. The effectiveness of yoga in modifying risk factors for cardiovascular disease and metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* 2016, 23, 291–307. [CrossRef]
- 19. Cramer, H.; Thoms, M.S.; Anheyer, D.; Lauche, R.; Dobos, G. Yoga in Women with Abdominal Obesity: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Dtsch. Arztebl. Int.* **2016**, *113*, 645–652. [CrossRef]

- Chau, J.P.C.; Leung, L.Y.L.; Liu, X.; Lo, S.H.S.; Choi, K.C.; Zhao, J.; Chiang, H.C.Y. Effects of Tai Chi on health outcomes among community-dwelling adults with or at risk of metabolic syndrome: A systematic review. *Complement. Ther. Clin. Pract.* 2021, 44, 101445. [CrossRef]
- Wei, M.; Brandhorst, S.; Shelehchi, M.; Mirzaei, H.; Cheng, C.W.; Budniak, J.; Groshen, S.; Mack, W.J.; Guen, E.; Di Biase, S.; et al. Fasting-mimicking diet and markers/risk factors for aging, diabetes, cancer, and cardiovascular disease. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2017, 9, eaai8700. [CrossRef]
- 22. Mattson, M.P.; Longo, V.D.; Harvie, M. Impact of intermittent fasting on health and disease processes. *Ageing Res. Rev.* 2017, 39, 46–58. [CrossRef]
- Fanti, M.; Mishra, A.; Longo, V.D.; Brandhorst, S. Time-Restricted Eating, Intermittent Fasting, and Fasting-Mimicking Diets in Weight Loss. Curr. Obes. Rep. 2021, 10, 70–80. [CrossRef]
- 24. Longo, V.D.; Mattson, M.P. Fasting: Molecular mechanisms and clinical applications. *Cell Metab.* **2014**, *19*, 181–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Watkins, E.; Serpell, L. The Psychological Effects of Short-Term Fasting in Healthy Women. *Front. Nutr.* **2016**, *3*, 27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Hussin, N.M.; Shahar, S.; Teng, N.I.; Ngah, W.Z.; Das, S.K. Efficacy of fasting and calorie restriction (FCR) on mood and depression among ageing men. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* **2013**, *17*, 674–680. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Ma, Q.; Yang, C.; Wu, R.; Wu, M.; Liu, W.; Dai, Z.; Li, Y. How Experiences Affect Psychological Responses During Supervised Fasting: A Preliminary Study. *Front. Psychol.* **2021**, *12*, 651760. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Schulz, K.F.; Altman, D.G.; Moher, D. CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. *Ann. Intern. Med.* **2010**, *152*, 726–732. [CrossRef]
- 29. Paul, A.; Lauche, R.; Cramer, H.; Altner, N.; Dobos, G. An Integrative Day-Care Clinic for chronically ill patients: Concept and case presentation. *Eur. J. Integr. Med.* **2012**, *4*, E455–E459.
- 30. de Lorgeril, M.; Renaud, S.; Mamelle, N.; Salen, P.; Martin, J.L.; Monjaud, I.; Guidollet, J.; Touboul, P.; Delaye, J. Mediterranean alpha-linolenic acid-rich diet in secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. *Lancet* **1994**, *343*, 1454–1459.
- 31. De Lorgeril, M.; Salen, P.; Martin, J.L.; Mamelle, N.; Monjaud, I.; Touboul, P.; Delaye, J. Effect of a mediterranean type of diet on the rate of cardiovascular complications in patients with coronary artery disease. Insights into the cardioprotective effect of certain nutriments. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **1996**, *28*, 1103–1108. [CrossRef]
- 32. Esposito, K.; Marfella, R.; Ciotola, M.; Di Palo, C.; Giugliano, F.; Giugliano, G.; D'Armiento, M.; D'Andrea, F.; Giugliano, D. Effect of a mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome: A randomized trial. *JAMA* 2004, 292, 1440–1446.
- 33. Kempner, W. Treatment of hypertensive vascular disease with rice diet. Arch. Intern. Med. 1974, 133, 758–790. [PubMed]
- 34. Saghaei, M. Random allocation software for parallel group randomized trials. BMC Med. Res. Methodol. 2004, 4, 26.
- Maifeld, A.; Bartolomaeus, H.; Löber, U.; Avery, E.G.; Steckhan, N.; Markó, L.; Wilck, N.; Hamad, I.; Šušnjar, U.; Mähler, A.; et al. Fasting alters the gut microbiome reducing blood pressure and body weight in metabolic syndrome patients. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 1970. [CrossRef]
- 36. Bullinger, M. German translation and psychometric testing of the SF-36 Health Survey: Preliminary results from the IQOLA Project. International Quality of Life Assessment. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **1995**, *41*, 1359–1366. [CrossRef]
- 37. Herrmann-Lingen, C.; Buss, U.; Snaith, R. *Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale—Deutsche Version (HADS-D)*; 3., aktualisierte und neu normierte Auflage; Hans Huber: Bern, Switzerland, 2011.
- Cohen, S.; Kamarck, T.; Mermelstein, R. A global measure of perceived stress. J. Health Soc. Behav. 1983, 24, 385–396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Albani, C.; Blaser, G.; Geyer, M.; Schmutzer, G.; Brahler, E.; Bailer, H.; Grulke, N. The German short version of "Profile of Mood States" (POMS): Psychometric evaluation in a representative sample. *Psychother. Psychosom. Med. Psychol.* 2005, 55, 324–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. McNair, D.; Lorr, M.; Droppleman, L.; Biel, B.; Dangel, S. Profile of mood states states (Dt. Bearbeitung). In *Collegium interantionale Psychiatriae Scalarum*; Scalarum, C.I.P., Ed.; Beltz Verlag: Weinheim, UK, 1981.
- 41. Schwarzer, R.; Jerusalem, M. Generalized Self-Efficacy scale. In *Measures in Health Psychology: A User's Portfolio*; Weinman, J., Wright, S., Johnston, M., Eds.; NFER-NELSON: Windsor, UK, 1995.
- 42. Michalak, J.; Heidenreich, T.; Ströhle, G.; Nachtigall, C. Die deutsche Version der Mindful Attention and Awareness Scale (MAAS) Psychometrische Befunde zu einem Achtsamkeitsfragebogen. Z. Klin. Psychol. Psychother. 2008, 37, 200–208. [CrossRef]
- 43. Hupfeld, J.; Ruffieux, N. Validierung einer deutschen Version der Self-Compassion Scale (SCS-D). Z. Klin. Psychol. Psychother. 2011, 40, 115–123. [CrossRef]
- 44. Faul, F.; Erdfelder, E.; Lang, A.G.; Buchner, A. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behav. Res. Methods* **2007**, *39*, 175–191.
- 45. Ornish, D.; Scherwitz, L.W.; Billings, J.H.; Brown, S.E.; Gould, K.L.; Merritt, T.A.; Sparler, S.; Armstrong, W.T.; Ports, T.A.; Kirkeeide, R.L.; et al. Intensive lifestyle changes for reversal of coronary heart disease. *JAMA* **1998**, *280*, 2001–2007.
- Cramer, H.; Lauche, R.; Haller, H.; Steckhan, N.; Michalsen, A.; Dobos, G. Effects of yoga on cardiovascular disease risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2014, 173, 170–183. [CrossRef]

- 47. Hughes, J.W.; Fresco, D.M.; Myerscough, R.; van Dulmen, M.H.; Carlson, L.E.; Josephson, R. Randomized controlled trial of mindfulness-based stress reduction for prehypertension. *Psychosom. Med.* **2013**, *75*, 721–728. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Esposito, K.; Ciotola, M.; Giugliano, D. Mediterranean diet and the metabolic syndrome. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2007, 51, 1268–1274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Rubin, D.B. Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1987.
- 50. Schafer, J.L. Analysis of Incomplete Multivariate Data; Chapman and Hall: New York, NY, USA, 1997.
- 51. Michalsen, A.; Schlegel, F.; Rodenbeck, A.; Lüdtke, R.; Huether, G.; Teschler, H.; Dobos, G.J. Effects of short-term modified fasting on sleep patterns and daytime vigilance in non-obese subjects: Results of a pilot study. *Ann. Nutr. Metab.* **2003**, *47*, 194–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Michalsen, A. Prolonged fasting as a method of mood enhancement in chronic pain syndromes: A review of clinical evidence and mechanisms. *Curr. Pain. Headache Rep.* 2010, 14, 80–87. [CrossRef]
- Fond, G.; Macgregor, A.; Leboyer, M.; Michalsen, A. Fasting in mood disorders: Neurobiology and effectiveness. A review of the literature. *Psychiatry Res.* 2013, 209, 253–258. [CrossRef]
- 54. Maruish, M.; Maruish, M.; Kosinski, M.; Bjorner, J.; Gandek, B.; Turner-Bowker, D.; Ware, J. User's Manual for the SF-36v2 Survey; Quality Metric Incorporated: Lincoln, RI, USA, 2011.
- Lemay, K.R.; Tulloch, H.E.; Pipe, A.L.; Reed, J.L. Establishing the Minimal Clinically Important Difference for the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in Patients With Cardiovascular Disease. J. Cardiopulm. Rehabil. Prev. 2019, 39, E6–E11. [CrossRef]
- 56. Cramer, H.; Langhorst, J.; Dobos, G.; Lauche, R. Yoga for metabolic syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol.* **2016**, *23*, 1982–1993. [CrossRef]