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We study the folding of RNA secondary structures with quenched sequence randomness by means of the constrained annealing method. A thermodynamic phase transition is induced by including the conformational weight of loop structures. In addition to the expected melting at high temperature, a cold melting transition appears. Our results suggest that the cold denaturation of RNA found experimentally is in fact a continuous phase transition triggered by quenched sequence disorder. We calculate both hot and cold melting critical temperatures for the competing energy scenario between favorable and unfavorable base pairs and present a phase diagram as a function of the loop exponent and temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ribonucleic acids are biopolymers that are crucial to all living systems, they process and transmit genetic informations and take part in many important cellular activities [1]. The RNA primary structure is a chain sequence which consists of four bases U, A, G and C, while the secondary structure is the listing of base pairings occurring in the more complex tertiary structure. An interesting phenomena emerging at low temperatures is the folding as well as the glass transition [23]. The so-called

[22, 23]. When the molecule is not in the native state, i.e. when there is a high number of unpaired bases, also loops play a crucial role and it is not rare that these are plentiful even at low temperatures. The configurational entropy contribution $\Delta S_n^{\text{loop}} \sim k_B \ln n^{-c}$ for loops of length $n$ is characterized by the universal loop exponent $c$ [21]. For ideal polymers, which are modeled as simple random walks, the exponent is $c_{\text{RW}} = D/2$ where $D$ is the spatial dimensionality. Instead, for self-avoiding walks one finds in three dimensions $c_{\text{SAW}} \approx 1.76$, which even increases further in real polymers since $c$ depends also on the number of helical strands emerging from loops [4]. For the model with no disorder, homopolymeric RNA, in the range $2 < c \lesssim 2.479$ a phase transition from the folded to the unfolded state, usually referred to as the molten phase [17], is known to occur when the temperature increases up to the melting point $T_m(c)$ [5] and the $c$-dependent critical exponents have been analytically obtained [12]. A finite loop exponent has been shown to significantly improve salt-dependent RNA folding compared
with experiments [20].

In this paper we address the influence of a loop exponent \( c \neq 0 \) on the behavior of RNA secondary structures with random sequences where the disorder is quenched, i.e. fixed. This allows us to characterize a generic RNA molecule and in fact most of the results obtained here apply also to DNA. A very interesting phenomenon that characterizes these polymers and proteins is the cold denaturation [6, 31, 33, 34]. The denaturation of proteins and polymers rising the temperature is a consequence of the increase in configurational entropy. Denaturation when lowering the temperature is usually interpreted in terms of hydrophobic interactions. Experimentally, denaturation can be inferred by the presence of peaks in the specific heat which physically follow from an abrupt increase of the system entropy [7–10]. In this paper we give an alternative explanation for cold denaturation in terms of quenched disorder which itself weakens the secondary structure formation at low temperature. The double peak behavior of \( C_V \) turns out to be associated with two different melting temperatures of the RNA secondary structure.

II. DISORDERED RNA

A. The model

For a sequence \( h \) of length \( N \) we define the base pairing matrix \( S \), which completely determines the secondary structure, as the \( N \times N \) symmetric matrix with components \( s_{i,j} \) equal to unity if \((i, j)\) are paired and vanishing otherwise. If stack energies are neglected, the Hamiltonian for a given sequence configuration can be written as a sum over non-repeated base pairs

\[
\mathcal{H}(S, h) = \epsilon_0 + \sum_{(i,j) \in S} \epsilon_{i,j} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} s_{i,j} \epsilon_{i,j} .
\]

Here we take the simplest non trivial pairing energy function as the sum of a constant and a disorder term in the spirit of [27] as

\[
\epsilon_{i,j} = \epsilon_0 + c h_i h_j ,
\]

which can be easily generalized to a four-letter alphabet RNA. The sign of the constant \( \epsilon_0 \) defines the nature of the background interaction between nucleotides. If \( \epsilon_0 > 0 \) the interaction is repulsive and attractive otherwise. The second term is the product of two independent variables of the form of a spin glass model for neutral networks [15], multiplied by a constant energy \( c \). We assign Ising variables to each base along the chain so that \( h_i = +1 \) if \( i \) is the nucleotide U and \( h_i = -1 \) if it is A. Contrary to the base pairing matrix elements \( s_{i,j} \), which are free to evolve within the dynamics of the system, the site sequence variables \( h_i \) are frozen and not free to rearrange to minimize the total energy. Having fixed the sign of the background interaction \( \epsilon_0 \), the absolute value of the ratio \( \epsilon / \epsilon_0 \) is the only relevant parameter characterizing the system behavior, which is a direct consequence of the two-state model adopted here. For each sequence \( h \) we take \( h_i \) as quenched random independent and identically distributed variables so that the probability distribution factorizes as

\[
\mathcal{P}(h) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} \rho(h_i) .
\]

This construction, which is analytically more manageable, is supported by the fact that no strong correlations are found in the base type occurrence [23]. Defining the probability of finding the base U as \( \rho(h_i = +1) \), the probability distribution factors can be written as

\[
\rho(h_i) = p \delta(h_i - 1) + (1 - p) \delta(h_i + 1) .
\]

Due to symmetry one only needs to explore the parameter range \( 0 < p < 0.5 \).

B. Partition function

The partition function of a given sequence is the sum over all allowed realizations of the base pairing matrix

\[
Z_N(h) = \sum_{\{ S \}} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}(S, h)} ,
\]

where \( \beta = (k_B T)^{-1} \) and \( \{ S \} \) denotes the set of all secondary structures without pseudoknots for the given sequence \( h \). The free energy is obtained by performing the quenched average, denoted by \( \langle \cdots \rangle \), of the disordered free energy

\[
f(h) = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_N(h) ,
\]

over the disorder distribution eq. (3), yielding

\[
\langle f(h) \rangle = \sum_{\{ h \}} \mathcal{P}(h) f(h) = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_N(h) .
\]

For sufficiently large chains the physical properties of the system do not depend on the specific disorder realisation \( \{ h \} \) and the free energy self-averages [22]

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} f(h) = \langle f(h) \rangle .
\]

Numerically the partition function is usually obtained via the recursive equation of the restricted partition function [16]

\[
Z_{i,j+1} = Z_{i,j} + \sum_{k=i}^{j} w_{k,j+1} Z_{i,k-1} Z_{k+1,j} ,
\]

as illustrated in Fig. 1. On the right-hand side the first term corresponds to the probability that base \( j + 1 \) is not paired, and the summation term corresponds to the
probability associated to all possible nested or independent pairings given that position \( k \) forms a paring with position \( j + 1 \) with statistical weight

\[ w_{k,j+1} \equiv \exp(-\beta \epsilon_{k,j+1}). \tag{10} \]

This recursive equation allows to compute the exact partition function \( Z_N = Z_{1,N} \) without pseudoknots in a time of order \( \mathcal{O}(N^3) \), starting with the boundary conditions \( Z_{i,i} = Z_{i,-1} = 1, \forall i \).

Einert et al. have shown how to take into account loops in the recursive equation by including the statistical weight

\[ v_n = n^{-\epsilon}, \tag{11} \]

for each loop consisting of \( n \) links \([24]\) and summing over the restricted partition functions of strands terminated by a helix. If \( Z_{i,j}^M \) denotes the partition function of a polymer going from monomer \( i \) to monomer \( j \) with \( M \leq j-i \) unlooped links, see Fig. 2, given the initial conditions

\[ Z_{i,i}^M = \delta_{M,i}^M, \quad Z_{i,i+1}^{M-1} = \delta_{M+1,i}, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{i,j}^{-1} = \delta_{j+1-i}, \]

the recursive partition function reads

\[ \tilde{Z}_{i,j+1}^{M+1} = \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^{M} + \sum_{k=i}^{j} w_{k,j+1} \tilde{Z}_{i,k-1}^{M} \tilde{Z}_{k+1,j}^{0}, \tag{12} \]

as illustrated in Fig. 3, with computational time \( \mathcal{O}(N^4) \). Here \( \tilde{Z}_{i,j}^{M} \equiv Z_{i,j}^M/\nu_M \) is the partition function rescaled by the statistical weight of \( M \) non-looped links \( \nu_M \), which will not be considered from this point onward, and

\[ \tilde{Z}_{k,j+1}^{0} = \sum_{l=-1}^{j-k-1} \tilde{Z}_{k+1,j}^{l} \nu_{l+2} \tag{13} \]

is the partition function of the arbitrary substrand that is terminated by a helix.

C. Helicity degree

The most relevant quantity that characterizes the conformation of the molecule is the helicity degree defined as

\[ \theta = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i<j} \langle s_{i,j} \rangle = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i<j} s_{i,j} = \frac{2}{N} \langle |S| \rangle, \tag{14} \]

where \( \langle \ldots \rangle \) denotes the average over the canonical ensemble and

\[ |S| \equiv \sum_{i<j} s_{i,j} \tag{15} \]

is the number of paired bases in the structure \( S \). Since \( \langle |S| \rangle \in [0, N/2] \), the helicity degree is a function of the temperature in the interval \([0,1]\) with \( \theta = 1 \) if every base is paired, corresponding to the native state, and \( \theta = 0 \) if no base is paired. The helicity is a good measure of the order of the molecule conformation, since it gives the statistical weight of paired bases and thus can be used to quantify to what extent the molecule is folded. This can also be expressed in terms of the free energy by noting
that
\[ \theta = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{Z_{N}(h)} \sum_{\{S\}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{0} |S|} e^{-\beta \epsilon \sum_{i<j} s_{i,j} h_{i,j}} |S| \]

\[ = \frac{2}{N} \frac{1}{\partial (\beta \epsilon_{0})} \ln \sum_{\{S\}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{0} |S|} e^{-\beta \epsilon \sum_{i<j} s_{i,j} h_{i,j}} \]

\[ = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial (\beta \epsilon_{0})} \beta \epsilon f(h). \]

Numerically the helicity can be estimated in the absence of a loop free energy using the probability of base pair formation \( P_{i,j} \) between nucleotides \( i \) and \( j \) [17]

\[ P_{i,j} = \langle s_{i,j} \rangle, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i<j} P_{i,j}, \]  

where the binding probability is obtained from the recursive equation as [28]

\[ P_{i,j} = e^{-\beta \epsilon_{i,j}} Z_{i,j}^{\text{int}} Z_{i,j}^{\text{ext}} Z_{i,j}^{\text{int}} \]

(18)

Here \( Z_{i,j}^{\text{int}} \) is given by the partition function of the internal sequence \( (i+1, \ldots, j-1) \), while \( Z_{i,j}^{\text{ext}} \) is the partition function of the external sequence \( (1, \ldots, i-1, j+1, \ldots N) \).

The latter can be computed by extending the recursion relation to the duplicated sequence \( (1, \ldots, N, N+1, \ldots 2N) \) as \( Z_{j+1, N+i-1} \) so that

\[ P_{i,j} = e^{-\beta \epsilon_{i,j}} Z_{i+1,j-1} Z_{i+1,N+i-1} \]

(19)

The exact enumeration of 30 random sequences, through the partition function with no loops eq. (9), and the quenched average of the helicity degree are shown in Fig. 4, where we compare the attractive and repulsive background energy scenarios for the disordered two state model.

III. HOMOPOLYMER RESULTS

Most of the known results for RNA belong to the special case of homopolymer models which we shall review in this section. De Gennes was the first to obtain an expression for the canonical partition function starting from the singularity analysis of the generating function using a propagator formalism [26]. By setting \( \epsilon = 0 \) in eq. (1) the energy associated to each pairing becomes site independent, i.e. \( \epsilon_{i,j} = \epsilon_{0} \), \( \forall (i,j) \), thus making the energy of the structure \( S \) depending only on the number of paired bases |\( S \)|.

A. Folded RNA without loops

Since the restricted partition function is translationally invariant, in the no-loop scenario we can write \( Z_{i,j} = Q_{j-i+1} \) as the partition function of a homopolymer of length \( j - i + 1 \) which depends only on the difference between position \( i \) and position \( j \). To decouple the summation it is useful to introduce the \( z \)-transform of \( Q_{N} \)

\[ Q(z) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} z^{-N} Q_{N}. \]  

(20)
The black dashed line is the asymptote \( \epsilon = 0 \) scaling function of the homopolymeric weight \( w \) loop scenario with probability of U base occurrence \( p = 0.75 \). Each red line corresponds to the helicity of a single RNA sequence realization of the disorder. The quenched average (black line) is obtained from the exact computation of the partition function for 30 random sequences of length \( N = 50 \). The black dashed line is the asymptote \( \theta \rightarrow \infty \) for \( T \rightarrow \infty \) of eq. (25).

The canonical partition function \( Z_N = Q_N \) is then obtained by back transforming the positive root of the resulting equation for \( Q(z) \) and in the limit of large \( N \) a saddle point approximation yields [17]

\[
Z_N = \sum_{\{S\}} w^{|S|} \sim \xi(w) N^{\alpha-1} z_0^{-N}, \tag{21}
\]

where \( \alpha = -1/2 \) and \( z_0 = 1/(1 + 2\sqrt{w}) \), while \( \xi(w) \) is a scaling function of the homopolymeric weight

\[
w = \exp (-\beta \epsilon_0). \tag{22}
\]

In this scenario the free energy assumes the same scaling for all temperatures with universal prefactor \( 3/2 \), originally obtained by de Gennes [26], characteristic of the folded state for polymers and therefore no phase transition takes place. From eq. (21) it becomes possible to express the helicity degree as a function of the statistical weight in a very intuitive form. Indeed by writing the partition function as \( Z_N = \sum_{\{S\}} e^{\epsilon |S|} w^{|w|} \), we have

\[
\theta_{\text{hom}} = \frac{2}{N} \ln(\langle |S| \rangle) = \frac{2}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln w} Z_N = \frac{2}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln w} \ln Z_N \tag{23}
\]

Then using \( w \partial / \partial w = \partial / \partial \ln w \), for \( N \gg 1 \), the helicity in the folded state takes the simple form

\[
\theta_{\text{hom}} = \frac{2}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln w} \ln \left( \ln N + N \ln(1 + 2\sqrt{w}) \right) \approx \frac{2\sqrt{w}}{1 + 2\sqrt{w}}, \tag{24}
\]

which asymptotically approaches a constant value

\[
\theta_{\infty} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{\text{hom}} = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \frac{2e^{-\beta \epsilon_0/2}}{1 + 2e^{-\beta \epsilon_0/2}} = \frac{2}{3}. \tag{25}
\]

**B. RNA folding with loops**

As in the no-loops scenario for homopolymers we set \( w_{k,j} = w \) so that eq. (12) reads

\[
\tilde{Q}_{N+1}^{M+1} = \tilde{Q}_N^M + w \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{l=1}^{N-k-1} \tilde{Q}_k^M \tilde{Q}_{N-k-1}^M \frac{1}{(l+2)^c}, \tag{26}
\]

where the rescaled homopolymeric partition function \( \tilde{Q}_N^M \) describes a polymer with \( N \) links and \( M \) non-looped links with \( -1 \leq M \leq N \). In absence of external forces the canonical partition function, which includes loop structures, is obtained by summing over all backbones as \( Z_N^{\text{loop}} = \sum_{M=0}^\infty \tilde{Q}_N^M \) and the grand-canonical partition function follows as

\[
Z^{\text{loop}}(z) = \sum_{N=0}^\infty z^N Z_N^{\text{loop}} = \sum_{N=0}^\infty \sum_{M=0}^\infty z^N \tilde{Q}_N^M, \tag{27}
\]

where \( z \) is the fugacity. By performing the double sum \( \sum_{N=0}^\infty z^N \sum_{M=0}^\infty \tilde{Q}_N^M \) on both sides of eq. (26), after rearranging indices one obtains [12]

\[
Z^{\text{loop}}(z) = \frac{\kappa(w,z)}{1-z \kappa(w,z)}, \tag{28}
\]

where

\[
\kappa(w,z) \equiv 1 + w \sum_{l=1}^\infty \sum_{N=1}^\infty \frac{z^{N+2l} \tilde{Q}_N}{(l+2)^c} \tag{29}
\]

is the grand-canonical partition function of RNA structures with zero non-nested backbone links, i.e. structures which consist of just one nucleotide or structures where the terminal bases are paired. For \( |z\kappa| < 1 \) the grand-canonical partition function eq. (28) can be expanded into a geometric series as \( Z^{\text{loop}} = \sum_{M=0}^\infty z^M \kappa^{M+1} \), and comparing the coefficients of the power series with eq.
Φ \sum z \text{vanishes, and the branch point } c, x \text{ repulsive (a) and attractive (b) base pair interaction energy.}

In both cases they diverge for \( c \geq c_1 \). For \( c \leq 2 \) the molecule is always folded and at \( c = c^* \approx 2.479 \) the critical weight \( w_m(c) \) diverges so that for \( c > c^* \) no folded phase can exist for both attractive and repulsive scenarios.

Equation (27) leads to \( \sum_{N=M}^{\infty} z^N \widehat{Q}^M_N = z^M \kappa^{M+1} \). Using this relation, eq. (29) can be written as

\[
\kappa(w, z) - 1 = \lambda(z, \kappa(w, z)),
\]

where

\[
\lambda(z, \kappa(w, z)) \equiv \frac{w}{\kappa} \text{Li}(c, z \kappa(w, z)),
\]

and \( \text{Li}(c, x) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n n^{-c} \) is the polylogarithm [18]. This relation yields the first constitutive equation of the homopolymers theory with loop entropy. Going back to the canonical ensemble from eq. (28) the partition function takes the general form of eq. (21) but with \( \alpha \) and \( z_0 \) not determined univocally. In fact, contrary to the no-loop scenario, now the grand-canonical partition function features two relevant singularities. These are the single pole \( z_0 = z_p \), where the denominator of eq. (28) vanishes, and the branch point \( z_0 = z_b \) of the function \( \kappa(w, z) \), characteristic of the unfolded and folded phase respectively.

For \( z < z_b \) at least one real solution of eq. (30) exists, while exactly at \( z = z_b \) the two solutions eventually merge and the slope of \( \lambda(z, \kappa(w, z)) \) at the tangent point \( z_b \) equals unity. Imposing this condition, eq. (30) yields

\[
\kappa_b^2 = w \left[ \text{Li}(c-1, z_b \kappa_b) - \text{Li}(c, z_b \kappa_b) \right],
\]

where we use the short notation \( \kappa_b \equiv \kappa(w, z_b) \). This relation together with eq. (30) univocally determines the branch singularity \( z_b \), if it exists. By a first order expansion of \( \kappa(w, z) \) near the branch point the canonical partition function scales as

\[
Z_N^{\text{loop}} \sim \xi_0(w) N^{-3/2} z_b^{-N},
\]

which leads for the free energy to the logarithmic \( N \)-contribution with universal prefactor \( 3/2 \), in agreement with the no-loop scenario eq. (21). This is therefore the partition function describing homopolymeric RNA with loop structures in the folded phase. From eq. (33) the helicity degree eq. (23) follows as [12]

\[
\theta_b \approx \frac{2w}{N} \frac{\partial \ln z_b^{-N}}{\partial w} = \frac{2w}{z_b} \frac{\partial z_b}{\partial w} = \frac{2 \text{Li}(c, z_b \kappa_b)}{\text{Li}(c-1, z_b \kappa_b)}.
\]

Instead the simple pole \( z_p \) is determined, together with eq. (30), by

\[
z_p \kappa_p = 1,
\]

where \( \kappa_p \equiv \kappa(w, z_p) \). By inserting this into eq. (30) yields an explicit expression for the pole singularity as

\[
z_p = 2 \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4w \zeta(c)} \right)^{-1},
\]

where we use the Riemann zeta function \( \zeta(c) = \text{Li}(c, 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-c} \). In this scenario the partition function scales as

\[
Z_N^{\text{loop}} \sim \xi_p(w) z_p^{-N},
\]

which in contrast to the branch point does not lead to the logarithmic \( N \)-contribution for the free energy, since the singularity exponent is \( \alpha = 1 \), and describes the thermodynamics of homopolymeric RNA above the melting critical point. In this phase the helicity degree takes the form

\[
\theta_p \approx \frac{2w}{z_p} \frac{\partial z_p}{\partial w} = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4w \zeta(c)}}.
\]

Since the critical behavior of the system is characterized by the singularity that is closest to the origin in the complex \( z \) plane, a phase transition is possible only if a critical fugacity \( z_m \) and a critical weight \( w_m \) exist such that \( z_m = z_b(w_m) = z_p(w_m) \). Then, at the critical point the three constitutive equations (30), (32) and (35) have to hold simultaneously. Using equations (35) and (36) a closed form expression can be given for the critical weight as a function of the loop exponent only

\[
w_m(c) = \frac{\zeta(c-1) - \zeta(c)}{\left( \zeta(c-1) - 2 \zeta(c) \right)^2}.
\]
The derivative with respect to more from the definition of polylogarithm it follows that the molecule is always unfolded. Furthermore from the definition of polylogarithm it follows that the derivative with respect to the critical region in $c$ is always unfolded. To be equal to unity, this sets also a lower bound to the homopolymeric phase diagram, defines an upper bound defined by

$$c_1 \approx 2.241,$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

From this argument one concludes that only for $2 < c < c^*$ a phase transition can occur between the folded and the unfolded phase, determined by $z_b$ and $z_u$ respectively, since only then both singularities can coexist. The corresponding phase diagram for attractive and repulsive interaction is obtained by solving $w_m(c) = w$, see Fig. 5.

IV. CONSTRAINED ANNEALING WITH LOOP ENTROPY

A. Outline of the method

Instead of the standard replica approach used for spin glasses [22], to compute the average over the disorder we use the constrained annealing approximation [14]. The basic idea is to perform an annealed average, where the annealed free energy is defined as

$$f^a = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_N(h),$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

with the random variables $\{h\}$ coupled to appropriate constraints $\{\mu\}$, which are functions of some disorder self-averaging variables [22]. The values of the constraints, which assume the form of Lagrange multipliers, that for $N \gg 1$ maximize the thermodynamic potential

$$f^{\alpha}(\mu) = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_N^{\alpha}(\mu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

are those that select the realizations with a correct value of the disorder intensive variables and at the same time that minimize the difference between the quenched free energy eq. (7) and the annealed free energy eq. (42), in which the disorder variables $\{h\}$ are free to evolve as the dynamical degrees of freedom $\{s\}$. Thus $f^{\alpha}(\mu)$ improves the lower bound estimation of the Jensen’s inequality [32] given by $f^a$ for the quenched free energy so that

$$\overline{f(h)} \geq f^{\alpha}(\mu) \geq f^a \forall \mu.$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

To construct the partition function [14]

$$Z_N^{\alpha}(\mu) = \overline{Z(h) e^{-\beta \mu \alpha(h)}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

we define a function of the disordered sequence which self-averages to zero as

$$\alpha(h) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [h_i - (2p - 1)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

It follows immediately from

$$\overline{h} \equiv \sum_{\{h\}} P(h) h = \sum_{h=\pm 1} \rho(h) h = 2p - 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

that $\alpha(h) \to 0$ for $N \to \infty$. As we will show next, since the Hamiltonian (1) is separable [25] as we consider site instead of link random variables, with this choice of $\alpha(h)$ in the thermodynamic limit the disorder terms an be averaged independently. To see this we write eq. (5) as

$$Z_N(h) = \sum_{\{S\}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_0 |S|} \prod_{k<l} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{kl} h_k h_l},$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)

so that the constrained annealing partition function eq. (45) becomes

$$Z_N^{\alpha}(\mu) = e^{\beta \mu (2p-1)} \sum_{\{S\}} e^{-\beta \epsilon_0 |S|} \Pi(\mu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

with

$$\Pi(\mu) \equiv \prod_{\{h\}} \rho(h_i) e^{-\mu h_i} \prod_{k<l} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{kl} h_k h_l}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

At this point the key observation is that since in the product we have contributions different from unity only when $s_{k,l} = 1$ and each base can only participate in at most one base pair, in the average over the disorder we get a product of $|S| = \sum_{i<j} s_{i,j}$ times the factor $e^{-\beta \epsilon_{kl} h_k h_l}$. This is equivalent to saying that every disorder term can be averaged independently, which follows as a direct consequence of the mutual independence of the sequence disorder variables $h_i$. Thus explicating the summation we obtain

$$\Pi(\mu) = \left( \sum_{h_{\pm \pm}} \rho(h) e^{-\mu h} \right)^{N-2|S|} \times \left( \sum_{h,h'=\pm \pm} \rho(h) \rho(h') e^{-\mu h} e^{-\mu h'} e^{-\beta \epsilon h h'} \right)^{|S|}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{Y(\mu)}{\Omega^2(\mu)} \right)^{|S|},$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

with

$$Y(\mu) = \sum_{h} \rho(h) e^{-\mu h},$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)

$$\Omega^2(\mu) = \sum_{h,h'} \rho(h) \rho(h') e^{-\mu h} e^{-\mu h'} e^{-\beta \epsilon h h'}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)
where we have defined the two auxiliary quantities $\Omega(\mu) \equiv e^{-\mu} + (1 - p)e^{\mu}$ and $\Upsilon(\mu) \equiv e^{-\beta \tau[p^2e^{-2\mu} + (1-p)^2e^{2\mu}]} + e^{\beta r}2p(1-p)$. This can be written in a more compact form if we define a new constant interaction energy

$$\tau(\mu) \equiv -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \frac{\Upsilon(\mu)}{\Omega^2(\mu)},$$

(52)

where all the information relative to the disorder average is now included in the parameter on which to perform the variation $\mu$. Then eq. (49) reduces to

$$Z_N^{\text{ca}}(\mu) = e^{N \mu(2p-1)} \Omega(N \mu) Z_N^{\text{hom}}(\mu),$$

(53)

where $Z_N^{\text{hom}}(\mu) = \sum_S \langle w^{\text{ca}}(\mu) \rangle_S |\mathcal{S}|$ is a homopolymeric partition function associated with the constrained annealing weight

$$w^{\text{ca}}(\mu) = \exp \left[ -\beta \epsilon^{\text{ca}}(\mu) \right],$$

(54)

with pair interaction energy

$$\epsilon^{\text{ca}}(\mu) = \epsilon_0 + \tau(\mu).$$

(55)

From its definition in the limit of vanishing disorder strength $\epsilon \to 0$ one simply recover the homopolymeric statistical weight of eq. (22) with constant interaction energy $\epsilon^{\text{ca}} = \epsilon_0$. The maximisation with respect to $\mu$ of

$$\beta f^{\text{ca}}(\mu) = -\mu(2p - 1) - \ln \Omega(\mu) - \frac{1}{N} \ln Z_N^{\text{hom}}(\mu)$$

is achieved by imposing

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} f^{\text{ca}}(\mu) \right|_{\mu = \mu^*} = 0,$$

(57)

This condition yields the value $\mu^*(\beta, \epsilon)$ for which

$$f^{\text{ca}}(\mu) = \max_{\mu} f^{\text{ca}}(\mu) \approx f(h),$$

(58)

while the annealed free energy is obtained by setting $\mu = 0$ in $Z_N^{\text{ca}}(\mu)$.

V. CRITICAL BEHAVIOUR

The behaviour of the specific heat and helicity degree has been addressed recently by Hayrapetyan et al. [11] by solving eq. (57), where eq. (21) for $Z_N^{\text{hom}}$ is used, with results showing a very good agreement between the quenched and constrained annealing averages. By changing the disorder strength $\epsilon$, they showed that in the double peak structure of $C_V$ obtained for $0.5 < p < 1$ and $\epsilon_0 \neq 0$, the low temperature jump is more pronounced than the high temperature one when $\theta$ decreases from its maximum value as $T \to 0$ and vice versa. Instead, a partition function with $\epsilon_0 = 0$ yields a single peak structure for all values of the disorder strength $\epsilon$.

In this paper we account for loop entropy by using the scalings equations (33) and (37) with corresponding free energies

$$\beta f^{\text{ca}}_{\text{loop}}(\mu) \approx -\mu(2p - 1) - \ln \Omega(\mu) + \ln z_{\text{loop}}(\mu),$$

(59)

where

$$z_{\text{loop}}(\mu) = 2 \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4 w^{\text{ca}}(\mu) \zeta(c)} \right)^{-1}.$$  

(60)

Thus a thermal phase transition is triggered by the different nature of the two singularities in the homopolymeric partition function and is therefore expected to explain physically the unusual drop of $\theta$ at low temperatures found in [11]. By keeping implicit the expression for $Z_N^{\text{hom}}$, eq. (57) yields

$$0 = 2p - 1 + \left[ \frac{\partial \ln \Omega(\mu)}{\partial \mu} + \frac{1}{N \Omega^2(\mu)} \times \right]$$

$$\times \sum_{\mathcal{S}} \langle w^{\text{ca}}(\mu) \rangle_S |\mathcal{S}| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} w^{\text{ca}}(\mu) \bigg|_{\mu = \mu^*}$$

$$= 2p - 1 + \left[ \frac{\partial \ln \Omega(\mu)}{\partial \mu} + \langle |\mathcal{S}| \rangle \frac{\partial \ln w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)}{\partial \mu} \right]$$

$$= 2p - 1 + \left[ \frac{\partial \ln \Omega(\mu)}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\theta^{\text{ca}}(\mu)}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ln \frac{\Omega(\mu)}{\Omega^2(\mu)} \bigg|_{\mu = \mu^*} \right]$$

(61)

where we have used equations (23), (52) and (55), and where

$$\theta^{\text{ca}}(\mu) = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} \ln \left( \frac{1}{1 + 2 w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{-2}{1 + 2 w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)}} \frac{\partial w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)}{\partial \beta_0} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2 \sqrt{w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)} - 2}{1 + 2 w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)}.$$  

(62)

A. Cold melting

Once the solution of eq. (61) is known, the folded phase singularity $z_{\text{f}}(\mu)$ can be obtained by solving the two equations

$$\kappa^{\text{ca}}_{b} = \frac{w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)}{\kappa^{\text{ca}}_{f}} \ln(c, z_{\text{f}}(\mu) \kappa^{\text{ca}}_{f}) + 1$$

$$\kappa^{\text{ca}}_{f} = \frac{w^{\text{ca}}(\mu)}{\kappa^{\text{ca}}_{b}} \{ \ln(c - 1, z_{\text{f}}(\mu) \kappa^{\text{ca}}_{b}) - \ln(c, z_{\text{f}}(\mu) \kappa^{\text{ca}}_{f}) \}$$

(63)

where $\kappa^{\text{ca}}_{b} \equiv \kappa(w^{\text{ca}}(\mu), z_{\text{f}}(\mu))$. In the unfolded phase $z_{\text{u}}(\mu)$ is instead determined by the explicit expression eq. (60) for $\mu = \mu^*(\beta, \epsilon)$. Then the free energies in the folded and unfolded phases are computed using eq. (59). The critical weight $w_{\text{u}}(c)$ defined by eq. (39) is a monotonically increasing function of the loop exponent $c$ in the interval $2 < c < c^*$ which defines the critical region.
Insets: the corresponding free energies with quenched and annealed averages in the folded phase for (a,b) of eq. (59) from which the specific heat follows as in the specific heat. Here, the partition function of each regime respectively.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Folded phase specific heat with $c = c_{\text{RW}} = 1.5$ (a,b) and without loop entropy $c = 0$ (c,d). The quenched average (black line) is obtained from 30 random sequences (red lines) with $N = 50$, $p = 0.75$, (a) $\epsilon = 0.5|\epsilon_0|$ and (b) $\epsilon = 1.5|\epsilon_0|$. In blue the constrained annealing average. Insets: the corresponding free energies with quenched and constrained annealing averages from which the specific heat have been obtained.

for homopolymeric RNA. For $w > w_m$ the molecule is always folded, governed by $z_b$, and unfolded otherwise, governed by $z_p$. Then the critical temperature for the disordered model can be estimated numerically by imposing $w^{ca}(\tilde{\mu}) = w_m(c)$ for fixed $c$, yielding the critical value of the Lagrange multipliers $\tilde{\mu}_m(c)$.

In Fig. 6 we compare quenched and constrained annealing averages in the folded phase for (a,b) $c = c_{\text{RW}} = 1.5$ which qualitatively reproduce the behavior for $c = 0$ in (c,d), where a double peak structure was also found in the specific heat. Here, the partition function of each sequence is computed with the loop recursive equation (12) and the constrained annealing free energy is $f^{ca}_V(\tilde{\mu})$ of eq. (59) from which the specific heat follows as

$$C^{ca}_V(\tilde{\mu}) = \frac{k_B T}{N} \frac{\partial^2 T \ln Z^{ca}_N(\tilde{\mu})}{\partial T^2} = -T \frac{\partial^2 f^{ca}_V(\tilde{\mu})}{\partial T^2}. \quad (64)$$

The quenched and constrained annealing free energies show a good agreement for low temperatures while increasing $T$ a gap arises. This energy gap however seems to increase linearly in $T$ and does not affect the specific heat qualitatively. For $\epsilon_0 < 0$, which account for an attractive background interaction, we also allow the helicity to reach its maximum value when $T \to 0$. For the following analysis it is useful to separate the two main energetic regimes depending on whether quenched disorder has an effective relevance in the global behavior.

The fact that $\tilde{\mu}$ is a function of $\beta_0$ and $\beta$ implies that we have two possible scenarios depending on the value of $\Lambda$.

$$\Lambda \equiv |\epsilon_0/\epsilon|. \quad (65)$$

If $\Lambda > 1$ there is no competition between the favorable UA and unfavorable AA/UA pairs because in this case the sign of the energy of each pairing is determined only by $\epsilon_0$ and therefore is constant. We will call this the non-competitive regime. However when $\Lambda < 1$ the effect of quenched disorder becomes relevant since there is an
effective energetic competition between different pairings with a change of sign in the energy associated to favorable and unfavorable pairs. This case shall be referred to as the competitive regime. With $\epsilon_0 < 0$, although in both regimes $\epsilon_0 - \epsilon < 0$, in the competitive regime $\epsilon_0 + \epsilon > 0$ and $w^{ca}(\tilde{\mu})$ exhibits a global maximum as well as a global minimum in the low temperature range independently from the specific values of $p$, $\epsilon_0$ and $\epsilon$, see Fig. 7. As we will show next the presence of a global maximum in the statistical weight of base pairings is ultimately connected with the behavior of the helicity degree. When $\Lambda < 1$ the competition between favorable and unfavorable base pairs results in the global maximum, for $0.5 < p < 1.0$, in $w(\tilde{\mu})$, see Fig. 8. From the comparison between specific heat and helicity with the respective constrained annealing weights for both the competitive and non-competitive regimes it emerges that it is this competition that triggers the cold melting of the secondary structure which is described by the abrupt drop of the helicity at $T^* \approx 0.5|\epsilon_0|/k_B$ for different probabilities of $U$ base occurrence $p$ with $c = c_{\text{RW}} = 1.5$, see Fig. 9. The first peak of the specific heat located in the range $0 < T < T^*$ becomes more pronounced with respect to the case $\Lambda > 1$. Indeed each specific heat peak corresponds to the gradual melting of the RNA secondary structure, the second of which is related to the usual hot melting. This behavior is also reproduced by setting $\epsilon_0 = 0$, i.e. by keeping a single degree of freedom in the Hamiltonian, although the specific heat in that scenario shows a single peak. While for $0.5 < p < 1.0$ and $\epsilon_0 \neq 0$ the specific heat features always two peaks, also in the limiting cases $p = 0.5$ and $p = 1.0$, which correspond to annealed and homopolymeric case respectively, it exhibits a single peak in each of the two different temperature regions.

B. Global phase diagrams

In the competitive regime in addition to $c_1$, defined by eq. (41), it is useful to define $c_{\text{max}}$ and $c_{\text{min}}$ respectively as

$$w_m(c_{\text{max}}) \equiv \max_T w^{ca}(T),$$

$$w_m(c_{\text{min}}) \equiv w^{ca}(T = 0).$$

FIG. 8. (Color online) Statistical weight at various probabilities $0.5 \leq p \leq 1.0$ in the constrained annealing approach (a) non-competitive scenario with $\Lambda = 2$ and (b) competitive scenario with $\Lambda = 2/3$.

FIG. 9. (Color online) Specific heat for $c = c_{\text{RW}} = 1.5$ for the same range of probabilities and energy parameters as in Fig. 8 in the constrained annealing approach for the non-competitive scenario (a) $\Lambda = 2$ and competitive scenario (b) $\Lambda = 2/3$. Insets: Corresponding helicity degrees where the dashed black line defines the asymptotic value of eq. (25) in the high temperature molten phase.
The most interesting case appears for $c_1 < c < c_{\text{max}}$, where $c_{\text{max}}$ depends on $p$, $\epsilon_0$ and $\epsilon$ while $c_1 \approx 2.241$ is universal. Analogously if $p < p^*$ one has $c_{\text{min}}(p) > c_1$ and the interesting range becomes $c_{\text{min}} < c < c_{\text{max}}$ as can be seen from the $p$-dependence of $w^{ca}$ in Fig. 8. In this scenario $w_m(c)$ intersects $w^{ca}(\tilde{\mu})$ at two different temperatures $T_{cm}$ and $T_{hm}$. In addition to the high temperature melting also the cold melting found in [11] takes place and manifests itself as a proper thermodynamic phase transition, where each phase is characterized by the relevant singularity of the homopolymeric grand-canonical partition function. By contrast in the non-competitive regime, as for the homopolymer, only the hot melting transition takes place. By fixing the background interaction $\epsilon_0 < 0$ and the probability at $p = 0.75$, we solve the equation

$$w^{ca}(\tilde{\mu}) = w_m(c),$$

which yields the values $\tilde{\mu}_p(\beta \epsilon_0, \beta \epsilon, c)$ of the critical line in the phase diagram of Fig. 10 (a,b) corresponding to $\Lambda = 2$ and $\Lambda = 2/3$ for $\epsilon = 0.5|\epsilon_0|$ and $\epsilon = 1.5|\epsilon_0|$ respectively. The reentrant melting point is defined by the crossing with the asymptote $c = c_1$ in the case $\Lambda < 1$ (b). In figures 10 (c) and (d) we show the global phase diagram for the complete range of the probabilities $0.5 \leq p \leq 1$ in the competitive and non-competitive scenarios.

The $c$-dependence in the folded phase of the specific heat is very subtle for both the disordered model, where there is a two peak structure, and the homopolymeric model where $C_V$ features only one peak, see Fig. 11 (a,b). A similar non significant spread is obtained for the helicity behavior in Fig. 11 (c,d), where for the folded phase we use $\theta_b$ defined by eq. (34) with $\delta_b = \tilde{k} n_1^{\text{ca}}$ and $z_b = z_b(\tilde{\mu})$, while in the unfolded phase $\theta_p$ defined by eq. (38) with $w = w^{ca}(\tilde{\mu})$. The cold and the hot melting...
temperatures are estimated numerically for $c = 2.26$, $p = 0.75$, and $\epsilon = 1.5|\epsilon_0|$ as $T_{cm} \approx 0.488|\epsilon_0|/k_B$ and $T_{hm} \approx 2.961|\epsilon_0|/k_B$ respectively. Quite remarkably the critical point for the reentrant transition lies almost exactly in the valley formed by the two peaks of the specific heat relative to the two different melting transitions.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In a two-letter RNA model without loop entropies, where no phase transition occurs, the heat capacities were shown to exhibit two peaks for the case of a quenched random sequence [11], indicating hot as well as cold melting. For homopolymeric RNA, on the other hand, it is known that a finite loop entropy lead to a phase transition between a folded and an unfolded RNA state for a small range of the loop exponent $c$. In the present paper we combine the main features of these two models and consider a two-letter RNA model with quenched randomness and in the presence of a finite loop entropy. As a main result, we show that for a small range of the loop exponent $c$ two phase transitions are encountered with changing the temperature, i.e. the folded state is only stable at intermediate temperatures. This might be related to the experimental observation of cold melting of RNA. With the present work by combining loop entropy with quenched randomness, which introduces energetic competition between different matching in the base pairs, we are able reproduce the cold denaturation phenomena and to describe it in the language of statistical mechanics and phase transitions. Only for competing energies between favorable and unfavorable base pairs this transition occurs, as a result of the weakening of the secondary structure formation due to quenched randomness in RNA sequences, as well as loop penalties which account for the existence of two relevant singularities in the grand-canonical partition function. The connection between the competitive regime and cold denaturation is investigated by comparing the constrained annealing weight and helicity with the specific heat peaks in the low temperature range. We argue that this transition is continuous and more specifically, since it is triggered by the same conformational effect of the homopolymeric counterpart, of order $n$, where $n$ is determined by $(c - 2)^{-1} - 1 < n < (c - 2)^{-1}$ [12]. For the examined

FIG. 11. (Color online) Constrained annealing specific heat in (a) the disordered model for $p = 0.75$ and $\epsilon = 1.5|\epsilon_0|$ resulting in $\Lambda = 2/3$ and (b) the corresponding homopolymeric model for $\epsilon_0 < 0$ at various values of the loop exponent $c$ in the folded phase. In the disordered model the two critical temperatures $T_{cm} \approx 0.488|\epsilon_0|/k_B$ (blue circle) and $T_{hm} \approx 2.961|\epsilon_0|/k_B$ (red circle) correspond to the value of the loop exponent $c = 2.26$ while for the homopolymer there is only $T_m \approx 2.605|\epsilon_0|/k_B$. In (c) and (d) is shown the corresponding helicity.
case with \( c = 2.26 \) the reentrant melting transition is of third order and would become visible only by looking at higher order derivatives of the specific heat. Finally, a particularly interesting direction for future works is to investigate the connection between cold denaturation and the glass transition for RNA molecules.
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