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Abstract

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing ones, is a critical step for the formation of a functional vascular system during embryonic development. Furthermore, dysregulation of the vascular patterning is associated with more than 70 different diseases, including cancer, myocardial infarction, stroke and ocular disorders, such as macular degeneration. Studies of endothelial cells (ECs) in vitro and in vivo, have revealed the importance of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) signalling together with the activation of the Dll4/Notch pathway to regulate EC’s differentiation into tip vs stalk cells as well as EC migration and proliferation during angiogenesis.

Similarities between the endothelial tip cell and the axonal growth cone are well established. The two cell types share not only a similar anatomical structure, but also common molecular pathways and respond to the same molecular cues. Ca²⁺ signalling especially through the L-type Ca²⁺ channel (LTCC) is crucial to regulate the axonal turning in order to promote attraction or repulsion in response to a molecular cue. In ECs, increase in cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration ([Ca²⁺]) is a key regulator of migration, proliferation, contraction, gene expression and other biological aspects. Despite the similarities between neuronal and vascular systems, the role of Ca²⁺ signalling through the LTCC during vascular formation and angiogenesis is still poorly understood.

This study provides evidence that the LTCC regulates EC migration during the primary angiogenic sprouting of the intersegmental vessels (ISV) in zebrafish embryos. The stimulation of the LTCC strongly increased EC migration from the dorsal aorta (DA), resulting in an overbranching phenotype, while the downregulation of the channel reduced the EC migration and proliferation compromising the ISV formation. Additionally, I observed that LTCC synergistically interacts with the canonical transient receptor potential-1 (TRPC1) Ca²⁺ channel to promote ISV development, suggesting the importance of Ca²⁺ fluxes through the plasma membrane during angiogenesis.

Furthermore, mRNA-expression analysis of VEGF signalling and Dll4/Notch-pathway components revealed the importance of the LTCC during angiogenesis: perturbation of LTCC conductance, but not TRPC1, increased the mRNA-expression level of the VEGF and Dll4/Notch pathway components, compromising the angiogenic behaviour of ECs. Taken together, this study demonstrates that Ca²⁺ fluxes through plasma membrane of endothelial cells represent an integral part of angiogenic process. Moreover, like axon growth cone, the endothelial migration requires a tight regulation of Ca²⁺ signaling.
Zusammenfassung


Gemeinsamkeiten zwischen der endothelialen Spitzenzelle und dem axonalen Wachstumskegel sind seit langem bekannt. Die beiden Zelltypen weisen nicht nur eine ähnliche anatomische Struktur, sondern auch gemeinsame molekulare Signalwege auf und reagieren auf dieselben Botenstoffe.

Die Signaltransduktion durch Calciumionen, vor allem über den L-Typ Calciumkanal (LTCC), spielt eine wichtige Rolle bei der axonalen Wegfindung und beeinflusst entweder die Anziehung oder die Abstoßung der axonalen Zelle als Antwort auf Botenstoffe. In EZ ist ein Anstieg der zytosolischen Calcium-Konzentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\textsubscript{i}) unter anderem ein Schlüsselreiz für die Migration, Proliferation und Kontraktion der EZ sowie die Expression bestimmter Gene. Trotz der Gemeinsamkeiten zwischen dem neuronalen und vaskulären System, ist vor allem über die Rolle der Ca\(^{2+}\)-Signaltransduktion über den LTCC bei der Formation des vaskulären Systems und der Angiogenese wenig bekannt.

Die hier vorliegende Studie zeigt, dass der LTCC die Migration von EZ während der ersten angiogenetischen Aussprossung intersegmentaler Blutgefäße (ISV) in Zebrafisch-Embryonen reguliert. Eine Stimulation des LTCC führte zu einem starken Anstieg der Migration von EZ aus der dorsalen Aorta (DA) und resultierte in einer erhöhten Verzweigung entstehender Blutgefäße. Die Herunterregulation des Kanals reduzierte die Migration und Proliferation von EZ und führte zu einer Beeinträchtigung der Ausbildung von ISV. Des Weiteren konnte nachgewiesen werden, dass der LTCC bei der Entstehung von ISV synergistisch mit dem kanonischen \textit{transient receptor potential-1} (TRPC1) Ca\(^{2+}\)-Kanal wechselwirkt, was die entscheidende Rolle des Ca\(^{2+}\)-Fluxes durch die Plasmamembran während der Angiogenese beweist.
Insgesamt zeigt die hier vorliegende Arbeit, dass Ca$^{2+}$ - Ströme durch die Plasmamembran von Endothelzellen einen wichtigen Bestandteil des angiogenetischen Prozesses darstellen. Desweiteren ist für die Migration von Endothelzellen, wie beim axonalen Wachstumskegel, eine engmaschige Regulation von Calcium - Signalwegen vonnöten.
1 Introduction

The proper functioning of the animal body is maintained by the coordination of different organs. The cardiovascular system, which controls the blood circulation, is responsible for bringing nutrient supplies, metabolites and oxygen to distant organs, while eliminating waste material from them, ensuring the body's survival. Due to this central function, it is not surprising that proper vascular formation is a crucial step during embryonic development (Coultas et al. 2005; Carmeliet 2005; Fraisl et al. 2009). Moreover, dysregulation of blood vessel formation is associated with more than 70 different diseases, along with cancer, myocardial infarction, stroke and ocular disorders, such as macular degeneration (Carmeliet 2005). For these reasons much effort has been focused on the development of therapeutic approaches targeting the molecular mechanisms that control vascular maturation (Ferrara & Kerbel 2005; Potente et al. 2011). The therapeutic techniques currently available, however, have not led to the desired results, revealing drug resistance and limited efficiency (Carmeliet 2005; Potente et al. 2011). Therefore, understanding the molecular processes regulating vascular growth, with a focus on the interaction of endothelial cells (ECs) with each other and with their environment, will contribute to the generation of new targets for therapeutic applications.

1.1 Blood vessel formation: vasculogenesis and angiogenesis

The maturation of the circulatory system is one of the earliest events during embryogenesis. After the specification of the three germ layers during gastrulation, ECs, which will form the vascular walls, differentiate from the mesoderm, more precisely from lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) (Amali et al. 2013; Mosimann et al. 2015). The hemangioblast, a group of multipotent progenitors derived from the LPM, gives rise to two different cell lines: the hematopoietic stem cells, which differentiate into the blood cellular components; and the angioblast, from which ECs arise (Vogeli et al. 2006). Through a process known as vasculogenesis the angioblasts rearrange and generate a primitive vascular plexus, which allows the formation of the early blood vessels (Griendling et al. 2007). The primitive vascular plexus then undergoes a series of complex remodelling processes called angiogenesis, which involves migration, growth, sprouting and pruning of ECs, guiding the development of a functional circulatory
system. Two different kinds of angiogenic processes have been identified: sprouting angiogenesis and non-sprouting or intussusceptive angiogenesis (Burri & Tarek 1990). Sprouting angiogenesis is the best characterised and was first to be identified more than 30 years ago (Marin-Padilla 1985). As the name suggests, it is defined by the sprouting of ECs from pre-existing vessels under the stimulation of angiogenic factors, such as Vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) (Shalaby et al. 1995; Fong et al. 1995; Carmeliet et al. 1996; Gerhardt et al. 2003). In this process, the activated ECs start an enzymatic degradation of the basement membrane to promote the sprouting of the vessel (Blasi & Carmeliet 2002; Dao Thi et al. 2012). The highly polarised endothelial cell at the forefront of the sprouting vessel, called the tip cell, is characterised by the presence of many filopodia, which sense the environment and guide the vessel growth following the gradient of angiogenic stimuli (Dorrell et al. 2002; Ruhrberg et al. 2002; Gerhardt et al. 2003). The tip cell is then followed by other cells, called stalk cells, which actively migrate and proliferate from the pre-existing vessel, forming a solid and elongated structure (Gerhardt et al. 2003) (Fig. 1 A). The new branch can then connect with other sprouting branches through fusion of the tip cells to form loops (Carmeliet et al. 2009). Only after the shape of the new vessel is established, with tip and stalk cells forming the trunk of the new vessel, the lumen is formed allowing blood to circulate (Gerhardt et al. 2003; Kamei et al. 2006; Davis et al. 2007). The maturation of the vessels continues with the recruitment of pericytes, deposition of extracellular matrix, tightening of cellular junctions and induction of quiescence (Hellstrom et al. 1999; Saunders et al. 2006; Santoro et al. 2009; Dejana et al. 2009).

Non-sprouting angiogenesis is characterized by the intussusception mechanism in which the ECs forming the wall of the vessels invaginate into the lumen forming intraluminal endothelial pillar and thus divide the existing vessel in two (Djonov et al. 2003) (Fig. 1 B). This form of angiogenesis is considered faster than sprouting angiogenesis, since it does not require endothelial proliferation and migration. Non-sprouting angiogenesis occurs throughout life but plays a remarkable function during embryogenesis, where the vasculature development is fast and the resources are limited (Burri et al. 2004; Cahill et al. 2018). However, the molecular mechanisms that oversee non-sprouting angiogenesis are still poorly understood compared to sprouting angiogenesis (Karthik et al. 2018).
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Figure 1: Schematic representation of sprouting and splitting angiogenesis. (A) In response to a VEGF gradient the tip cell (blue) migrates out from the existing vessel and promotes sprouting. Only after the vessel is established the lumen is formed. (B) Representation of non-sprouting angiogenesis: opposing endothelial cells forming the vessel wall invaginate into the vessel lumen forming endothelial pillar and divide the vessel in two.

1.2 Angiogenesis in pathological conditions

While angiogenesis plays a crucial role in embryonic development, most of the blood vessels remain quiescent during adulthood. Adult angiogenesis, however, still occurs in cycling ovaries and during pregnancy in the placenta (Tamanini & De Ambrogi 2004; Nezu et al. 2017). Moreover, ECs maintain their capability to activate, migrate, and proliferate in response to certain physiological stimuli, such as hypoxia or inflammation (Fraisl et al. 2009; Majmundar et al. 2010). Vascular remodelling has been associated with the development of several disorders included tumorigenesis, ocular conditions (Garaino & Gardner 2005), myocardial infarction and stroke (Carmeliet 2005; Folkman 2007).

Angiogenesis is not causative in tumor formation, but participates in its advancement and metastasis (Carmeliet 2005). Once a developing tumor reaches a few millimetres in diameter, oxygen and nutrients are not able to reach the tumor centre by simple diffusion. In this condition the tumor creates an acidic and hypoxic micro-environment that stimulates the angiogenic switch allowing the formation of blood vessels and the consequent arrival of nutrients (Pouysségur et al. 2006). The tumor cells release cytokines and growth factors to activate the quiescent ECs triggering a cascade of events, which soon goes uncontrolled (Goel et al. 2011). Due to this uncontrolled
activation the structure and the function of tumor vessels are abnormal: areas with high
vessel density are close to poorly perfused ones; vessels are irregular, chaotic and
tortuous, being thin with a compressed lumen or abnormally wide. The basal
membrane is discontinuous in thickness and composition. ECs forming the vessel’s wall are weakly
connected, sometimes in multi-layers or partially detached, often lacking the mural cell
coverage with loosely associated pericytes (Fukumura et al. 1995; Carmeliet & Jain
2000; Brown et al. 2001; Jain et al. 2002). Moreover, the typical arterio-venous hierarchy
is compromised with a damaged perfusion affecting the oxygen, nutrients, and drug
distribution (Fukumura et al. 1997; Jain 2005; Goel et al. 2011). Due to the disassembled
vessel walls, tumor cell intravasation and dissemination are facilitated (Mazzone et al.
2009).

Angiogenesis is associated with a several forms of ocular conditions (Gariano & Gardner
2005). It has to be noted that the retinal vascular anatomy is an attractive model for
studying angiogenesis due to its high-ordered organization and the easy visualization
(Stone et al. 1995; Dorrell et al. 2002; Stahl et al. 2010). The retinal vascularization
begins in the most superficial (or inner) retinal layer at the optic nerve head and radiates
outwards from this central point, reaching the retinal periphery just before birth in humans
and during the first weeks of life in mice (Dorrell et al. 2002). The maturation proceeds
through angiogenesis by capillary sprouting from the nascent inner vessels, adding a
vascular network in the deeper retinal layers (Hughes et al. 2000). It has been shown
that retinal vascularization is regulated by oxygen levels and the hypoxia-inducible VEGF
(Stone et al. 1995). The regulation of oxygen levels has many implications, not only in
the normal retinal vasculature development, but also on the progression of ocular
disorders. Indeed, many types of retinopathies are characterized by excess of
angiogenesis that may result in blindness or severe vision loss (Saint-Geniez & D’Amore
2004). For instance, diabetic retinopathy, the most common cause of vision impairment
occurring in up to 20% of diabetes patients is, as other retinopathies, characterized by
the loss of pericytes and active abnormal angiogenesis (Cooke et al. 2012). Nowadays,
surgery is the most efficient treatment to reduce the risk of severe vision loss, however,
the surgical therapy is applied only in late stages of neovascularization and does not
target the basic biological irregularities that lead to this disease (Gariano & Gardner
2005; Powers et al. 2017). More investigation on retinal angiogenesis as well as on anti-
angiogenic therapies is required to develop non-surgical alternatives and improve
prognosis of patients suffering from these conditions.

Regulation and control of angiogenesis is of high therapeutic value. On the one hand,
inhibition of angiogenesis would contribute to the suppression of tumor growth and would
inhibit the development of retinopathy in ocular conditions (Goel et al. 2011; Powers et al. 2017). On the other hand, angiogenic stimulation would be critical in improving the functional recovery after myocardial infarction, stroke, or in peripheral vascular diseases, as the stimulation of new blood vessel formation may partially restore the perfusion of the ischemic tissue (Brevetti et al. 2010; Seiler et al. 2013; Ruan et al. 2015). Due to this therapeutic role, angiogenic stimulation has been intensively explored in cardiovascular research, and animal studies have been encouraging (Sato et al. 2000; Venna et al. 2014; Khan et al. 2015). However, so far, results obtained during clinical trials are not satisfying due to several reasons, such as the short half-live of the pro-angiogenic factor in vivo, the insufficient uptake of the target tissue, and the de-sensitization of the chronically ischemic tissue to angiogenic factors treatments (Rissanen & Ylä-Herttuala 2007; Giacca & Zacchigna 2012; Rubanyi 2013). Moreover the animals used in pre-clinical studies are usually young and healthy, whereas patients are typically older and often infirm (Rajanayagam et al. 2000; Eagle et al. 2004).

1.3 Angiogenesis in Zebrafish

Despite their anatomical differences, the development of the cardiovascular system is evolutionary conserved in all vertebrates. Due to its crucial role during embryogenesis several model systems have been established to study angiogenesis in vivo, including mouse, quail, chicken, and zebrafish embryos. Each of them have specific advantages and/or disadvantages, nevertheless, the zebrafish model has been considered an excellent system to study angiogenesis in vivo (Chávez et al. 2016).

1.3.1 The Zebrafish model

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) is a small freshwater fish native to the Himalayan region. In the early 1980s it was proposed as animal model for developmental genetics by George Streisinger (Streisinger et al. 1981; Chakrabarti et al. 1983; Walker & Streisinger 1983). Nowadays zebrafish has become one of the most powerful vertebrate model systems for studying diverse biological processes in vivo. This success is due to the many advantages of this model that makes it a valuable research tool for embryonic development, genetics, physiology and disease; and due to the constant development
of new techniques to improve genetic manipulation and microscopic visualization (Chávez et al. 2016).

The strength of the zebrafish model lies in the concomitance of being a vertebrate organism, while maintaining some characteristics typical of simpler animals, such as ex utero development, large number of offspring (200-300 per mating), and short generation time (2-3 years). During the first 48 hours post fertilization (hpf) the embryos are completely transparent, allowing the possibility to follow organ development. In this short period of time most of the organs are formed and at five days post fertilization (dpf) the maturation is complete and the larvae start feeding (Westerfield 2007). The sexual maturity is reached after three months and a zebrafish adult is able to produce a high number of eggs, making this model suitable for large-scale experimental studies.

A peculiar advantage of zebrafish embryos is their ability to survive for about five days in the absence of blood flow (Sehnert et al. 2002) due to the intake of oxygen from water by passive diffusion (Pelster & Burggren 1996). This allows the observation of the effects of functional blood circulation and blood pressure on angiogenic processes, particularly on lumen formation, which is deeply connected with blood pressure (Gebala et al. 2016); as well as the phenotypic analysis of embryos with severe cardiovascular defects during embryogenesis (Bournele & Beis 2016). Furthermore, the establishment of several transgenic reporter lines, and the improvement of microscopy technology allowing for non-invasive in vivo imaging to follow organ morphology, make zebrafish ideal for vascular development investigations (Chávez et al. 2016). Moreover, the full zebrafish genome sequencing revealed large blocks of chromosomal synteny and homology with the human genome, with a significant homology at the protein level (Postlethwait et al. 1998), allowing the establishment of genetic models for human diseases (Lam et al. 2005; Fisher et al. 2006). Consequently, zebrafish can be used as an efficient drug screening model as the homology between the two genomes indicates a conservation of their drug response (MacRae & Peterson 2003; Kaufman et al. 2009). In addition, one advantage of the zebrafish model for drug screening applications is the simplicity of drug administration, as most of the compounds can be directly diluted into the fish water and will then readily diffuse into the animal (MacRae & Peterson 2003; Kaufman et al. 2009). Thus, the genetic homology and the conserved molecular pathways make zebrafish an ideal model to investigate complex developmental processes and test new therapeutic approaches for cardiovascular and other human diseases (Bournele & Beis 2016).
1.3.2 Morphogenesis of the intersegmental vessels

In vivo imaging studies of zebrafish transgenic lines expressing EGFP specifically in endothelial cells revealed much information on the angiogenic processes during embryogenesis. As in other vertebrates during vasculogenesis, the zebrafish angioblast differentiates from the mesoderm, rearranges and gives rise to the primitive vascular plexus (Isogai et al. 2003; Schuermann et al. 2014; Gore et al. 2012). Vasculogenesis forms the longitudinal major axial vessels, the dorsal aorta (DA), the cardinal and posterior cardinal vein (CV and PCV, respectively). Shortly after, around 24 hpf, the heart starts beating and blood circulation is initiated in the DA and the PCV. At the same time, the first angiogenic process is observed as endothelial tip cells sprout bilaterally from the dorsal part of the DA, alongside the vertical myotome boundaries. These primary angiogenic sprouts extend dorsally and give rise to the intersegmental vessels (ISVs) (Fig. 2 I). Through elongation the ISVs reach the dorsolateral roof of the neuronal tube and divide into two branches along the caudal/rostral axis (Fig. 2 I, II). Branches from neighbouring vessels then fuse between each other forming the dorsal longitudinal anastomotic vessel (DLAV) (Fig. 2 III). After the lumen forms the blood circulation starts in the new vessels. Around the same time, at 30 hpf, the secondary sprouting phase begins - the tip cells emerge and elongate from the CV and the PCV localized underneath the DA (Fig. 2 III, IV). The secondary sprouts elongate alongside the ISVs that originated during the primary sprout, and approximately half of the newly formed vessels fuse through anastomosis with the primary segment, connecting the PCV with the primary vascular network (Fig. 2 B). When the connection is established the portion of the original vessel still joined to the DA prunes and regresses, thus segmental veins (vISVs) stabilize and diversify from the segmental arteries (aISVs) formed in the first sprouting phase (Isogai et al. 2003; Schuermann et al. 2014; Gore et al. 2012) (Fig. 2 V). Not all the vessels from the secondary sprout connect with the ISVs, some simply regress, while many contribute to the formation of the parachordal vessels, located along the horizontal myoseptum on either side of the notochord, which give rise to the lymphatic system around 3 dpf. (Mulligan & Weinstein 2014; Kim et al. 2014).
Figure 2: Schematic representation of zebrafish vascular network formation. (A I, II) Primary sprouts emerge only from the dorsal aorta (DA), newly formed intersegmental vessels (ISVs) grow dorsally to the dorsolateral roof of the neural tube and divide into two branches along the caudal/rostral axis. Branches from neighbouring vessels then fuse between each other forming the dorsal longitudinal anastomotic vessel (DLAV). (A III) Around the same time the secondary sprouts emerge from the posterior cardinal vein (PCV). (A IV, V) Some of the newly formed vessels fuse by anastomosis with the base of primary segments and become intersegmental veins (SV), while primary segments connected only to the DA become arteries (SA). Secondary sprouts that do not connect with the primary contribute to the formation of the parachordal vessels, located along the horizontal myoseptum. Adapted from Ellertsdottir et al. (2010). (B) Fluorescent image in Tg(kdrl:EGFP) zebrafish embryo, expressing EGFP specifically in EC, at 48hpf. Yolk, yolk extension, dorsal aorta (DA), intersegmental vessels (ISVs), cardinal vein (CV), and posterior cardinal vein (PCV) are indicated.

1.4 Signalling in angiogenesis

The formation of a functional vascular network is ensured by the fine regulation of EC migration and proliferation, which is controlled by the coordination of different signalling pathways. Sprouting angiogenesis is guided by attractive signals, like VEGF-A, and repulsive signals, like Semaphorin3a (sema3a) and can be considered a multistep process starting with the differentiation of the tip and stalk cells upon VEGF-A stimulation.
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(Wälchli et al. 2015). The second most important player for sprouting angiogenesis is the Dll4/Notch pathway. The interplay between the VEGF and Notch pathways regulates not only the tip/stalk cell differentiation, but also EC migration, proliferation and survival (Hellstrom et al. 2007; Siekmann et al. 2008; Phng & Gerhardt 2009).

1.4.1 The VEGF pathway in endothelial cells

Currently, the VEGF ligand family includes five members - VEGF-A, B, C, D and the placenta growth factor (PLGF) - which bind to three different tyrosine kinase receptors VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, and VEGFR-3 (homologous to flt1, kdr/kdrl, and flt4 in zebrafish, respectively) (Fig. 3). Not all the ligands can bind to every receptor and the binding occurs with different affinity.

The regulation of VEGF-A and VEGFR expression is crucial to vascular development. It has been shown that homozygous as well as heterozygous VEGF-A knockout (vegf-a^-^-^, vegf-a^-^+/^-) or homozygous VEGFR-1 knockout (vegfr-1^-^-^) result in embryonic lethality in mice (Shalaby et al. 1995; Carmeliet et al. 1996; Ferrara et al. 1996). VEGF-A, commonly called just VEGF, binding to VEGFR-2 is the most important signal for vascular development, while VEGF-C binding to VEGFR-3 is implicated in embryonic angiogenesis (Dumont et al. 1998) and in lymphatic vessel formation (McColl et al. 2003). Due to its high affinity for the ligand and low kinase activity, VEGFR-1 is considered to be a decoy for VEGF-A ligand. Indeed, in zebrafish flt1 alternative splicing produces a soluble form (sflt1) carrying only the extracellular domain, able to trap VEGF-A and reduce VEGF signalling (Krueger et al. 2011). In addition to VEGF-A, VEGFR-2 binds proteolytically processed VEGF-C and VEGF-D (McColl et al. 2003).

The VEGF pathway is activated by the binding of the ligand to the VEGF receptor leading to the formation of homo- or heterodimers (Fig. 3). The consequent VEGFR conformational changes activate the intracellular kinase domain promoting the auto- or trans-phosphorylation of tyrosine residues on the receptor itself or on downstream target components (Lemmon & Schlessinger 2010). This, directly or indirectly, activates the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPKs)/extracellular-signal-regulated kinase-1/2 (ERK1/2) cascade, phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) and AKT/protein kinase B (PKB) (Tchaikovski et al. 2008). The VEGF pathway can also be modulated by co-receptors such as heparan sulfate (HS) proteoglycans and Neuropilin-1 and -2 (NRP) (Koch & Claesson-Welsh 2012) (Fig. 3). In particular, NRP-1 supports VEGFR-1/2 activation (Kawamura, et al. 2008), whereas NRP-2 cooperates with VEGFR-3 (Fuh et al. 2000;
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Gluzman-Poltorak et al. (2001). The fine regulation of different VEGF downstream signals leads to endothelial cell migration, proliferation, and survival (Olsson et al. 2006).

Figure 3: Schematic representation of binding specificities of VEGF family members to VEGFRs. Five vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF-A, VEGF-B, VEGF-C, VEGF-D, and the placental growth factor (PlGF)) bind with different affinities to three VEGF receptors tyrosine kinases (VEGFR) and two Neuropilin (NRP) co-receptors, stimulating homo- and heterodimer formation. VEGFR-2 homodimer signalling is modulated not only by NRPs but also by the VEGF-binding co-receptor heparin sulfate (HS) proteoglycans (Syndecan or Glypican). Upon proteolytic processing (dotted line) VEGF-C and -D can bind to VEGFR-2. VEGFR-1 and -2 activity guides vasculogenesis and angiogenesis, while VEGFR-3 activity modulates embryonic angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis.

1.4.2 The Notch pathway in endothelial cells

The Notch pathway is an evolutionary conserved signalling pathway crucial for embryonic development, responsible for cell fate regulation, as well as tissue homeostasis and stem cell maintenance during adulthood (Phng & Gerhardt 2009; Kopan & Ilagan 2009). It was originally discovered in Drosophila, where the mutated Notch allele generated a notched wing phenotype. Most of the Notch ligands are type I transmembrane proteins characterized by epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like repeats in the extracellular portion and classified through the presence, in Jagged, or absence, in Delta, of the cysteine-rich domain. In mammals, there are five canonical ligands: Delta-
Introduction

like 1 (Dll1); Delta-like 3 (Dll3); Delta-like 4 (Dll4); Jagged-1 (Jag1); and Jagged-2 (Jag2) and four Notch receptors: Notch1 to Notch4. The Notch receptors are large (300 kDa) single-pass type I transmembrane proteins, carrying 29–36 tandem EGF-like repeats in the extracellular domain, some of which interact with the Notch ligands. The binding of a Notch ligand to its receptor triggers a cascade of proteolytic cleavages of the Notch receptor itself. The first cleavage occurs in the extracellular region of the Notch receptor by a disintegrin and metalloprotease (ADAM) family member. This is followed by a second cleavage within the transmembrane domain, performed by the γ-secretase complex, releasing the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) from the cell membrane, which is then able to translocate into the nucleus (Kume 2009). In the absence of the NICD, the promoter region of Notch target genes is occupied by a co-repressor complex containing the transcription factor CSL (named after mammalian CBF1, Drosophila Su(H), and Caenorhabditis elegans LAG1; also known as Rbpsuh or RBP-Jκ). When NICD translocates to the nucleus, it interacts with the CSL and the co-repressor is replaced by a transcriptional activator complex formed by NICD, Mastermind-like proteins (MAML) and histone acetyltransferases, such as p300 (Fig. 4) (Kume 2009). This activates the expression of Notch target genes including helix-loop-helix proteins Hairy/Enhancer of Split (Hes), Hes-related proteins (Hey) and Notch-regulated ankyrin repeat protein (Nrarp) (Liu et al. 2006; Phng et al. 2009). Hey and Hes gene families encode transcriptional repressors acting on their own genes and on different downstream targets (Kume 2009).

The expression of Notch ligands is dynamic during development and can differ temporally and spatially. Notch3 is specific to smooth muscle cells (SMC), which also express Notch1. Notch1 is additionally expressed in ECs, together with Notch4. (Hofmann and Iruela-Arispe 2007; Villa et al. 2001). Dll1, Dll4, Jag1 and Jag2 are expressed in ECs, in contrast to Dll3 that has not been observed in the vasculature. Knockout studies on mice and zebrafish and biochemical analysis in cultured ECs, have shown the essential role of Dll4/Notch signalling to guide the vasculature formation (Villa et al. 2001; Claxton & Fruttiger 2004; Phng & Gerhardt 2009).
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Figure 4: Schematic overview of the canonical Notch pathway. Notch signalling is activated upon ligand/receptor interaction, which are located on juxtaposing membranes of neighbouring cells. The Notch ligand binding triggers a series of proteolytic cleavages of the Notch receptor, this is catalysed by a member of the disintegrin and metalloproteases (ADAMS) family and the $\gamma$-secretase complex, thus culminating in the release of the Notch intracellular domain (NICD). The NICD translocates to the nucleus and interacts with the transcriptional activator CSL and the co-repressors (CoRs) are displaced by an activator complex formed by NICD, Mastermind-like proteins (MAML) and histone acetyltransferases (as p300). Hence, the transcription of Notch target genes such as Hairy/enhancer of split (HES) and HES-related proteins (HEY/HRT/HERP) family is induced. Adapted from (Blanco & Gerhardt 2013).

1.4.3 Integration of VEGF and Notch signalling

The first phase of sprouting angiogenesis is characterised by VEGF-stimulated tip cell differentiation and migration from the vascular wall of the existing vessel. Recent data and computational modelling indicate that endothelial tip and stalk cell differentiation is coordinated by the dynamic feedback loop between the VEGF and Notch pathways (Chappell et al. 2013). In particular, the tip cell, expressing VEGFR-1, 2 and 3, as well as the co-receptor Neuropilin-1 (Nrp1-1), upon VEGF-A stimulation, dynamically compete for the tip position with the neighbouring cells (Gerhardt et al. 2003; Hellstrom et al. 2007; Leslie et al. 2007; Lobov et al. 2007; Siekmann & Lawson 2007; Suchting et al. 2007; Suchting & Eichmann 2009). The competition occurs through the lateral inhibition of the tip cell phenotype by upregulating the Dll4 ligand expression level (Jakobsson et al. 2010). Dll4 on the tip cell surface activates the Notch-1 receptor in
adjacent stalk cells, in which the Notch signalling suppresses the tip cell phenotype, reducing the VEGF-A cell response, downregulating VEGFR-2, 3 and Nrp-1 expression level and upregulating VEGFR-1 (Lobov et al. 2007; Siekmann & Lawson 2007; Jakobsson et al. 2010; Blanco & Gerhardt 2013) (Fig. 5). Indeed, VEGFR-1 inhibits the angiogenic cell response to VEGF signalling forming inactive heterodimers with VEGFR-2 and increasing the level of the soluble isoform sVEGFR-1, which is able to trap VEGF-A and restrict its downstream signalling cascade (Funahashi et al. 2010). Moreover, downregulation of VEGFR-2 in the stalk cell reduces Dll4 expression levels, and consequently the potential activation of Notch signalling in the tip cell (Williams et al. 2006; Suchting et al. 2007; Phng & Gerhardt 2009) (Fig. 5).

**Figure 5: Tip/Stalk cell specification by VEGF/Notch coordinated signalling.** VEGFR-2 stimulation on the tip cell (orange) by VEGF-A activates Dll4 expression, which stimulates the Notch receptor in the adjacent stalk cell (green). Activation of Notch pathways suppresses the tip phenotype inhibiting Vegfr-2 expression level and stimulating Vegfr-1, which is able to form inactive heterodimers with VEGFR-2 and increases its soluble form sVEGFR-1 acting as a VEGF-A trap. Adapted from (Jakobsson et al. 2010).

Different studies in mouse and zebrafish models have shown the critical role of VEGFR2-Dll4-Notch1 signalling during angiogenic development. Such experiments showed that genetic or pharmacological disruption of Dll4-Notch1 signalling leads to excess of the tip cell formation and overbranching phenotype, increasing cell proliferation and migration (Leslie et al. 2007; Siekmann & Lawson 2007). Conversely, stimulation of Notch signalling in endothelial cells *in vitro* and in both mouse (Liu et al. 2006; Harrington et al. 2008) and zebrafish (Leslie et al. 2007; Siekmann & Lawson 2007) models decreases EC migration and proliferation.
Collectively, these findings show the importance of a fine-regulated interaction between VEGF and Notch signalling not only to promote tip/stalk differentiation, but also to coordinate EC migration and proliferation (Siekmann et al. 2008; Phng & Gerhardt 2009).

1.4.4 Signalling in the migrating endothelial cells

In order to initiate and form new vessels, ECs need to migrate, proliferate and organise in a three dimensional structure. The first signal that initiates EC migration during angiogenesis is VEGF stimulation (Gerhardt et al. 2003; Olsson et al. 2006; Siekmann et al. 2008; Jakobsson et al. 2010). In addition to VEGF signalling, other pathways such as Semaphorin/PlexinD1 and chemokine signalling regulate EC migration and place the angiogenic sprout in the correct position and direction (Siekmann et al. 2009; Zygmunt et al. 2011).

1.4.4.1 VEGFR-2 and endothelial cell migration

During angiogenesis, VEGF signalling is responsible to initiate the first sprout of the new vessel and stimulates the first EC migration (Gerhardt et al. 2003; Olsson et al. 2006; Siekmann et al. 2008; Jakobsson et al. 2010). The binding of VEGF-A to VEGFR-2 triggers receptor dimerization and allow trans- or auto-phosphorylation of intracellular tyrosine residues (Dougher-Vermazen et al. 1994; Mac Gabhann & Popel 2007). Over the years, through studies of ECs in vitro and in vivo, several key phosphorylation sites on VEGFR-2 were identified and different signal transductions were associated with specific phosphorylated residues (Fanti et al. 1992; Dougher-Vermazen et al. 1994; Cunningham et al. 1997; Lemmon & Schlessinger 2010; Simons et al. 2016). Moreover, several studies have shown the implication of different VEGF-A isoforms in promoting distinguished signal transductions and cellular outcomes (Kawamura, et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2000; Fearnley et al. 2015).

The major phosphorylation sites implicated in VEGF-induced EC migration are tyrosine Y951 in the kinase insert domain, Y1054 and Y1059 within the kinase domain, and Y1175 and Y1214 in the carboxy-terminal domain (Takahashi et al. 2001; Matsumoto et al. 2005) (Fig. 6). In particular, phosphorylated Y951 (pY951) is found only in a subset of vessels, and seems to be important in pathological angiogenesis. Indeed, pY951 serves as a binding site for the TSAd (T cell–specific adapter molecule, also known as
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VEGF receptor-associated protein (VRAP)), which is expressed in tumor ECs (Matsumoto et al. 2005; Kaplun et al. 2012). Disruption of the TSAd/VEGFR-2 interaction prevents the VEGF-A dependent actin reorganization and EC migration, but not proliferation (Matsumoto et al. 2005). Moreover, VEGF-A induces the formation of a complex between TSAd and the cytosolic tyrosine kinase SRC, which is involved in vascular permeability and focal adhesion (Abu-Ghazaleh et al. 2001) (Fig. 6). The complex formation mediated by TSAd indicates that SRC and the vascular permeability activation are downstream of VEGFR-2 activity (Matsumoto et al. 2005). Additional important phosphorylation sites are located in the kinase domain. The phosphorylation of Y1054 and Y1059 are required to maximize the kinase activity (Kendall et al. 1999) and they seem to occur before the auto-phosphorylation of Y801 (Solowiej et al. 2009).

EC motility is tightly connected to the adhesion between neighbouring cells and to the ability to control it. It was shown that VEGFR-2 regulates EC attachment and migration through the control of focal adhesions (Parsons 2003). Indeed, the phosphorylation of Y1175 on VEGFR-2 is required for SHB (SH2 Domain Containing Adaptor Protein B) binding. SHB can bind to FAK (focal adhesion kinase) (Holmquist et al. 2003), which is involved in focal adhesion turnover and is phosphorylated via VEGF signalling in a SRC-dependent manner (Abu-Ghazaleh et al. 2001) (Fig. 6).

EC migration and angiogenesis require the control of the cell rearrangement, specifically achieved through the activation of different pathways. In particular VEGFR-2 is able to bind and directly stimulate phospholipase-C_{\gamma} (PLC_{\gamma}), and consequently protein kinase C (PKC), via pY1175-dependent phosphorylation of PLC_{\gamma} (Takahashi et al. 2001) (Fig. 6). The stimulated PKC activates the MAPK/ERK1/2 cascade (RAF/MEK/ERK pathway), which is implicated in EC proliferation (Takahashi et al. 2001). Moreover, the activated PLC_{\gamma} hydrolyses the membrane phospholipid, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP_{2}) resulting in accumulation of diacylglycerol (DAG) and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP_{3}). Thus, the IP_{3} accumulation stimulates Ca^{2+} release from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) via IP_{3}-receptor (IP_{3}R) activation and the increase of intracellular calcium concentration, which is implicated in the angiogenic cell behaviour (Yokota et al. 2015; Fearnley et al. 2015) (Fig. 6). The drop in the Ca^{2+} pool in the ER upon IP_{3} stimulation, can activate the store-operated Ca^{2+} channels (SOCs) and slowly restore the calcium level in the ER (Prakriya 2013; Dragoni et al. 2015). Furthermore, DAG accumulation, together with increased calcium concentration, activates PKC family members, which have been shown to regulate VEGF-mediated proliferation (Koch & Claesson-Welsh 2012) (Fig. 6).
Figure 6: Schematic representation of VEGFR-2 signal transduction. Binding of VEGF-A to the extracellular Ig-like domains 2 and 3 of the VEGFR-2 (purple circles) triggers receptor dimerization and trans- or auto-phosphorylation. Specific phosphorylated residues are associated with different signal transductions. Signalling molecules (rocket shapes) bind to respective tyrosine (Y) phosphorylated sites (indicated by number) and activate downstream mediators (ovals) to modulate endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and survival. See main text for details. TSAd (T cell–specific adapter molecule); SRC (cytosolic tyrosine kinase); SHB (SH2 Domain Containing Adaptor Protein B); FAK (focal adhesion kinase); Nck (adapter protein); Fyn (cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase); MAPKs (mitogen-activated protein kinase); Cdc42 (cell division cycle 42); PAK2 (p21-activated protein kinase-2); p38MAPK (p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase); MAPKAPK2 (MAPK-activated protein kinase 2); Hsp27 (heat-shock protein-27); PLC (phospholipase C); PKC (protein kinase C); DAG (diacylglycerol); RAF (MAP3K), the Ras-activated serine/threonine kinase; MEK (MAP2K), mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase; ERK (MAPK), extracellular signal-regulated kinase; ER (endoplasmic reticulum).

Cytoskeletal reorganizations are essential to allow cell migration. In ECs the VEGFR-2 activity indirectly regulates cytoskeletal adaptation. In particular, VEGFR-2 phosphorylation on Y1214 is involved in actin remodelling through the recruitment of the adapter protein Nck and the cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase Fyn (Lamalice et al. 2006). The Nck/Fyn complex promotes the phosphorylation of the p21-activated protein kinase-2 (PAK-2) and the subsequent activation of cell division cycle 42 (Cdc42) and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (p38 MAPK) (Lamalice et al. 2004) (Fig. 6). Phosphorylation on Y1214 is also responsible for the heat-shock protein-27 (Hsp27) activating...
phosphorylation via p38 MAPK and MAPK-activated protein kinase 2 (MAPKAPK2) (Lamalice et al. 2004): Hsp27 is a molecular chaperone implicated in VEGF-induced actin reorganization and migration (McMullen et al. 2005; Fearnley et al. 2015) (Fig.6).

### 1.4.4.2 Semaphorin/PlexinD1 signalling

The stereotypical well-characterised ISV development in zebrafish is guided by the fine regulation of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors. VEGF-A, secreted by the somitic tissue, together with the Notch pathway are the most important regulating signals. However, the mRNA distribution of their components does not correlate with ISV location. VEGF-A is expressed dorsally to the DA at the centre of both flanking somites and Notch pathway genes are expressed along the whole aorta, in the notochord, in the ISVs, and largely through the whole body (Leslie et al. 2007). The contribution of Semaphorin-Plexin signalling is indispensable for correct ISVs distribution. Initially discovered as neuronal repulsive cues for axon guidance, Semaphorins are transmembrane proteins that can bind different Plexin receptors, which occur in various combinations with the Neuropilin co-receptor (Tamagnone et al. 1999).

The implication of Semaphorin-Plexin signal on vascular development was shown through the study of the "out of boundaries" mutant (obd), which carries a mutation in the PlexinD1 receptor. Normally, ECs express the PlexinD1 receptor, while the Semaphorin ligands sema3aa and sema3ab are expressed in the somitic tissue, limiting vessel growth into the muscle. Indeed, the PlexinD1 loss of function in the obd mutant triggers ectopic sprouting from the DA at the level of somitic tissue. Downregulation of Semaphorin ligands also produces a similar but less severe effect revealing the redundancy of the different ligands (Torres-Vázquez et al. 2004; Zygmunt et al. 2011). Sema3a/PlexinD1 signalling limits the proangiogenic behaviour of ECs by maintaining a proper sflt1 expression level. Thus sFlt1 acting as a trap for VEGF-A antagonizes the VEGF pathway, limiting VEGF-A pro-angiogenic action to the somitic boundaries. Upon blocking Sema3a/PlexinD1 signalling, ECs activated by ectopic VEGF differentiate as tip cells, migrate and form abnormal sprouts (Torres-Vázquez et al. 2004; Zygmunt et al. 2011; Tamagnone and Mazzone 2011).
1.4.4.3 Chemokine signalling

Besides VEGF, Notch and Sema3a/PlexinD1 signalling, other ligands and their receptors play a role in EC migration and proliferation. In particular, chemokines have received a considerable attention for their effect on both ECs and immune system cells. Chemokines are small (8-14 kDa) vertebrate specific proteins categorized into four subgroups according to the presence and position of conserved cysteine residues (C, CC, CXC and CX3C); so far more than 47 members have been identified in humans. Chemokines bind to a number of chemokine receptors (at least 18 receptors in human), which are members of the seven transmembrane G-protein coupled receptor family (GPCR). The receptors are classified based on the type of chemokines they bind to and their name includes a number signifying the chronological order of their identification (DeVries et al. 2005; Zlotnik et al. 2006).

The Cxcl12b/Cxcr4a ligand receptor pair has an important role during vascular development. Indeed, mice deficient for the chemokine receptor 4a (cxcr4a) or its ligand cxcl12 (also known as sdf1), show disturbed vascular development, haematopoiesis, and cardiogenesis (Nagasawa et al. 1996; Tachibana et al. 1998). The expression of the cxcr4a ortholog or its ligand cxcl12/sdf1 in zebrafish is specifically localized in the arterial ECs derived from anterior and not posterior lateral mesoderm (Siekmann et al. 2009). Deletion of cxcr4a or cxcl12/sdf1 in zebrafish results in anterior lateral DA defects, whereas the DA formation in the trunk is not affected, revealing endothelial diversity within the aorta underlain by different local cues (Siekmann et al. 2009). Moreover, it was shown that cxcr4a expression is negatively regulated by blood flow and down regulation of Cxcl12b/Cxcr4a signalling results in arterial hindbrain capillary defects. These capillaries fail to establish proper connections with the arterial vessel and have an increased number of disconnected branches that remain angiogenic (Bussmann et al. 2011). Furthermore, the Cxcl12b/Cxcr4b ligand receptor pair plays an important role in vascular patterning, regulating endothelial migration within the caudal vein plexus (Torregroza et al. 2012). All together the data indicate the relevance of chemokine signalling during vascular development, although rather than stimulating sprouting or growth, chemokines regulate the guidance of EC migration.
1.5 Calcium signalling in ECs

Changes in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\)) serve as ubiquitous intracellular signals in several transduction pathways, involved in the control of diverse biological processes. The capability of this unique signal to modulate many distinct processes is connected to its high versatility in amplitude, speed, and spatiotemporal organization (Berridge et al. 2000). In ECs increases in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) are a key regulator for migration, proliferation, segregation, contraction, adhesion, gene expression, and other biological aspects (Tran et al. 2000; Munaron 2006). The [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) increase can be generated by Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the cellular stores or through influx from the extracellular space by the activation of different types of calcium channels.

1.5.1 The L-type voltage gated calcium channel

Ion fluxes can be stimulated in response to changes in plasma membrane potentials through channels known as voltage-gated ion channels (VGCCs), commonly found in excitable cells such as neurons and muscle cells. The voltage gated ion channels share a basic transmembrane topology with an \(\alpha\)-subunit composed of six membrane spanning segments (6-TM). This class includes monomeric ion channels with four domain repeats (I-IV) such as voltage-gated calcium and sodium channels; and tetrameric ion channels composed of four 6-TM monomers (Catterall 2011; Morera et al. 2015).

The voltage gated calcium channels are key components of the signal transduction, able to convert the electrical signal of action potential into chemical signals through the generation of calcium transients. Progressively, several VGCCs identified in neurons, skeletal, cardiac and smooth muscle cells, were classified based on physiological and pharmacological criteria of their calcium currents (Catterall 2011). Among the calcium channels present in vascular cells, the L-type and the T-type are the best characterized. The L-type calcium current is characterized by high voltage of activation, large single channel conductance and slow voltage-dependent inactivation (hence the name, L-type from the “long lasting” conductance when the calcium-dependent inactivation is inhibited) (Catterall 2011). Calcium current through the L-type calcium channel (LTCC), expressed in cardiac and smooth muscle cells, is required for the initiation of the excitation-contraction coupling (Navedo & Santana 2013). On the other hand, T-type calcium currents are characterized by lower voltage activation, smaller amplitude single channel conductance and faster voltage-dependent inactivation, compared to the L-type calcium.
channel (therefore the name T-type from “tiny” or “transient” activation) (Tyson & Snutch 2013). T-type calcium channels are responsible for vasorelaxation in coronary and cerebral smooth muscle cells (Kuo et al. 2011), and vasoconstriction in smooth muscle cells from pulmonary, uterine and cerebral arteries (Chevalier et al. 2014). Although ECs are normally considered non-excitable cells, several studies revealed the expression of VGCCs in ECs from capillaries but not from large vessels (Bossu et al. 1992; Vinet & Vargas 1999; Moccia et al. 2012). The expression of VGCC in ECs is still disputed. While VGCCs are activated at depolarizing voltages, the depolarization of the EC membrane abolishes the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx into the cell (Dora and Garland 2013). Moreover, it is difficult to obtain accurate measurements of increasing calcium concentration, specifically through the plasma membrane and in particular triggered by membrane depolarization (Dora and Garland 2013). On the other hand LTCC expression was observed in human vascular endothelial cells (HUVEC) (Kim et al. 2016).

**Figure 7: Schematic representation of the L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel.** The L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel main pore forming subunit is composed of four homologous motifs (I, IV) each one containing six transmembrane α-helices (S1-S6), an intracellular N-terminal domain and C-terminal domain carrying the binding site for different regulators, the calcium binding EF domain, the calmodulin binding pre-IQ and IQ motif and an A-kinase anchoring protein (AKAP) binding domain (ABD). The α1c subunit interacts with α2/δ, β and in some tissues γ subunits to form a heteromultimeric protein complex.

The L-type calcium channels are heteromultimeric protein complexes consisting of α1, α2/δ, β and in some tissues γ. The α1 main pore forming subunit, encoded by the cacna1c gene, is composed by cytosolic N- and C-terminal tails and four homologous motifs (I-IV), each one formed by six transmembrane segments (S1-S6) (Morera et al. 2015) (Fig. 7). This subunit was the first one to be isolated and purified from cardiac myocytes; it is 1,4-dihydropyridine (DHP) sensitive and contains the channel voltage
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sensing components, the gating machinery, and the binding sites for channel regulators (Carafoli et al. 2001; Catterall 2011). The C-terminal tail is responsible for the channel regulation, harbouring the calcium binding EF domain, the calmodulin binding pre-IQ and IQ motif, and the binding sites for different kinases and phosphatases through the A-kinase anchoring protein (AKAP) binding domain (ABD) (Takahashi et al. 1987; Christel and Lee 2012; Weiss et al. 2013) (Fig. 7). According to the cell type, action potential stimulation of the LTCC triggers several intracellular events promoting excitation-contraction, excitation-secretion and excitation-transcription coupling (Carafoli et al. 2001). In cardiomyocytes, upon action potential stimulation, calcium fluxes through the LTCC induce Ca^{2+} release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR), via the activation of ryanodine receptor-2 (RyR2) localized on the SR membrane; a process called calcium-induced calcium release (CICR). The rapid increase in [Ca^{2+}], activates troponin-T and induces contraction (Bodi et al. 2005). Together with the action potential stimulation, the β-adrenergic pathway is the most studied in the context of LTCC regulation. Indeed, it was shown that the β-adrenergic receptor (β-AR) expressed in many cells, indirectly activates the LTCC via phosphorylation of serine 1928 located in the C-terminus of the α1 subunit (Hulme et al. 2006). In particular, the stimulated β-AR, coupled to heterotrimeric G proteins, activates the adenylate cyclase (AC), which leads to an increase of cellular cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) levels, thus activating cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA), triggering the catalytic subunits to phosphorylate their substrates, including the LTCC, on specific threonine or serine residues (Kamp & Hell 2000) (Fig. 8A). Often cAMP-dependent proteins maintain their specific subcellular localization or association with specific kinase substrates, thanks to A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs) (Sculptoreanu et al. 1993; Hulme et al. 2002; Weiss et al. 2013). AKAPs are formed by a targeting domain, which guides the protein to a specific subcellular location and a kinase-anchoring domain carrying an amphipathic α-helix that binds the regulatory subunit dimer of PKA. The voltage-dependent LTCC potentiation in cardiac and skeletal muscle requires PKA phosphorylation via anchoring through AKAP-15 (Sculptoreanu et al. 1993; Johnson et al. 1997; Hulme et al. 2003). Indeed, it was shown that AKAP-15 directly interacts with the distal C-terminus of the LTCC α1 subunit via a leucine zipper-like motif. Moreover, blocking this association by competing peptides inhibited PKA regulation of LTCC conductance (Fig. 8A) (Hulme et al. 2002; Hulme et al. 2003; Hulme et al. 2006). The enhancing effect of C-terminus phosphorylation is counterbalanced by the decrease in LTCC activity upon its dephosphorylation (Weiss et al. 2013). Indeed in mouse cardiomyocytes, it was shown that protein phosphatase-2-A (PP2A) modulates
LTCC conductance by dephosphorylating serine 1866 located at the C-terminus of the α1 subunit and promoting channel closure (Shi et al. 2012). LTCC conductance can also be modulated by protein kinase C (PKC).

**Figure 8: The L-Type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel regulation cascade.** (A) Stimulation of the β-adrenergic receptor, coupled with the G-protein, activates the AC increasing the cytosolic cAMP level, which activates PKA. PKA enhances LTCC activity by promoting C-terminus phosphorylation and its localization is maintained by the AKAP. LTCC conductance is inhibited by PP1 and PP2A dephosphorylation. (B) Stimulation of α1, ET or AT\(_1\) receptor contributes to PLC activation via G\(_q\)-protein. PLC-mediated hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol PIP\(_2\), generates PIP\(_3\) and DAG. DAG then activates PKC which phosphorylates the N-terminus of the LTCC α1 subunit and inhibits Ca\(^{2+}\) conductance. β-AR (β-adrenergic receptor); AC (adenylate cyclase); cAMP (cyclic adenosine monophosphate); PKA (protein kinase A); PKC (protein kinase C); AKAP (A kinase anchoring protein); PP2A (protein phosphatase 2-A); α1 (α1 adrenergic receptor); ET (endothelin); AT1 (angiotensin II receptor); PIP\(_2\) (phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate); IP\(_3\) (inositol trisphosphate); DAG (diacylglycerol) (Kamp & Hell 2000).

It was observed that PKC-mediated phosphorylation on two target sites located at the N-terminus of the LTCC α1 subunit, inhibits channel conductance (Fig. 8B) (McHugh et al. 2000). Several G\(_q\)-protein coupled receptors, including endothelin (ET), α1-adrenergic, and angiotensin II, can initiate the cascade promoting the activation of PKC. Receptor activation stimulates PLC, which generates PIP\(_3\) and DAG via PIP\(_2\) hydrolysis (Kamp & Hell 2000).

In neurons, the calcium fluxes through the LTCC are preferentially associated with the regulation of gene transcription, in comparison to calcium current via other ion channels (Flavell & Greenberg 2008). The molecular mechanism, by which calcium entering specifically through the LTCC, regulates gene transcription can be achieved in three distinct manners: the specific localization of the channel, the preferential interaction with binding partners or the nuclear translocation of a channel domain itself (Catterall 2011). Likely, all these aspects are implicated. It was shown that LTCCs are mainly localized in the cell body and proximal dendrites of central neurons, compared to other calcium channels (Hell et al. 1993). This could facilitate transcriptional regulation due to the
proximity to the nucleus (Hell et al. 1993). However, this condition is not sufficient to explain the LTCC preference, over other channels, to regulate gene transcription (Wheeler et al. 2008). Supporting studies revealed that calcium entering through the LTCC binds to Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent regulatory proteins such as calmodulin or calcineurin. It was shown that calmodulin binding to the proximal carboxy-terminal domain of the LTCC is required for gene transcription in neurons (Dolmetsch 2001). However, pools of free calmodulin or Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin complexes are present overall the cell, therefore further mechanisms are required to specifically link the LTCC-dependent calmodulin activation and translocation to the nucleus to regulate gene transcription in this context (Catterall 2011). Also calcineurin binding to the distal carboxy-terminal domain of the LTCC can regulate gene transcription (Oliveria et al. 2007). In addition, it was shown that the distal carboxy-terminus domain of the channel itself is involved in the regulation of transcription (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2006). Several studies revealed the existence of at least two forms of the \(\alpha1\) subunit: the full length and the truncated version of the distal carboxy-terminus, also called dCt. This shorter form can be produced by calpain-mediated proteolysis (Schroder et al. 2009) or by activation of a cryptic promoter located in exon 46 of the murine \(\alpha1\) subunit gene (\(Cacna1c\)) (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2013). The processed dCt can remain non-covalently associated with the main pore forming subunit regulating the channel conductance (Hulme et al. 2006). The dCt can translocate to the nucleus and regulate the transcription of several genes in neurons (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2006), including the \(\alpha1\) main pore forming subunit of the LTCC itself in cardiac myocytes (Schroder et al. 2009). Even though many aspects of the gene expression regulation by LTCC are known, the coordination of the distal carboxyl-terminus dual function between regulating channel activity and gene transcription remains to be elucidated (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2006).

1.5.2 The transient receptor potential channels

The transient receptor potential (TRP) superfamily channels represent an important component of calcium signalling in ECs. The TRP family was first discovered in *Drosophila* and the 33 members were divided in seven subfamilies: TRPC (for classical or canonical), TRPM (for the founding member called melastatin), TRPV (for the first cloned vanilloid receptor), TRPA (for a member with high numbers of ankyrin repeats), TRPP (for polycystins), TRPML (for mucolipins), and TRPN (for nomPC-like proteins). Studies in mammals revealed that among the 28 identified and unique members of the
TRP superfamily channels at least 19 are expressed in vascular ECs (Dietrich et al. 2014). The specific TRP channel identification and correlation with the detectable ion current generation in EC remains difficult. This is due to the intrinsic complexity of this family, the difficulties in detecting the small current produced and the absence of compounds to specifically inhibit or stimulate different channel isoforms (Beech 2013). Research on the structure of TRP channels had predicted that all the family members form tetramers, as the voltage-gated calcium channels, with a common pore in the middle. Among the different subfamilies the TRPC is the most promiscuous in forming hetero-tetramers (Hofmann et al. 2002; Abramowitz & Birnbaumer 2009), not only between the members of the subfamily, but also with TRPV4 and TRPP2 (Ma et al. 2010). Moreover, all the TRPC subfamily members are reported to be expressed in ECs and smooth muscle cells (Nilius & Droogmans 2001). Thus far, all of the known TRPC channels are non-selective cation channels, and may be potentially involved in mechanosensing (Nilius & Droogmans 2001). The TRPC1 is the founding member of the subfamily and the first TRP channel to be discovered in mammals. Despite extensive studies on TRPC1, its function as a cation channel in a physiological setting is still cryptic (Beech 2013). The potential role as a mechanosensor of TRPC channels, including TRPC1, is still a matter of debate in the scientific community (Dietrich et al. 2014). This member of the subfamily seems to be activated by other mechanosensitive receptors (as was shown for TRPC6 (Mederos y Schnitzler et al. 2008)) rather than having mechanosensitivity abilities per se (Eijkelkamp et al. 2013). The TRPC, in some conditions, seem to be involved in regulating vascular smooth muscle contraction contributing to membrane depolarization and the increase in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ (Kumar et al. 2006). However, the function of the TRPC in vascular smooth muscle cells is still disputed and studies on mice disproved any TRPC1 roles in this cell line (Dietrich et al. 2007; Dietrich et al. 2014). It was shown that in ECs TRPC1 is required for VEGF-induced $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ increase (Jho et al. 2005), regulating vascular functions, thus positively contributing to angiogenesis (Yu et al. 2010), and vascular tone and permeability (Ahmmed et al. 2004; Jho et al. 2005). Other researchers have identified TRPC6 as a regulator of VEGF-mediated angiogenesis (Cheng et al. 2006; Ge et al. 2009). Moreover, it is well accepted that the activation of TRPC1 is associated with store depletion of calcium (Prakriya 2013; Qu et al. 2017), although the mechanisms coupling store depletion with channel activation are still disputed. Typically, upon ER Ca$^{2+}$ depletion, the luminal calcium sensor stromal interacting molecule 1 (STIM1) undergoes oligomerization and relocates towards ER-plasma membrane junctions, where it interacts directly with the pore forming subunit of Orai1, activating the calcium release-activated channels (CRACs) (Prakriya et
al. 2006; Li et al. 2011). Although the link between store depletion and TRPC1 activation is still missing, increasing evidence supports STIM1 as the interacting molecule activating TRPC1-based store operated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels. More research is still required to completely understand TRPC function and the interplay with the VEGF pathway in ECs. The overall emerging picture shows an intricate TRPC response, which can be trigged by different conditions in relation to different cell types to stimulate and contribute to angiogenic cell behaviour (Moccia & Guerra 2016).

1.6 Calcium fluxes from intracellular stores

In resting or non-stimulated conditions the [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] is very low due to the activity of various channels to confine Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to the extracellular space or cytosolic intracellular stores. In particular, the plasma membrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-ATPase (PMCA) and the sarco-endoplasmic reticulum Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-ATPase (SERCA) extrude Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by direct ATP hydrolysis, while the Na\textsuperscript{+}/Ca\textsuperscript{2+} exchanger (NCX) expels Ca\textsuperscript{2+} by exploiting the Na\textsuperscript{+} gradient across the plasma membrane (Moccia & Guerra 2016).

The ECs respond to various stimuli with the increase of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] by activating calcium channels at the intracellular stores or plasma membrane. The main Ca\textsuperscript{2+} intracellular reservoir in ECs is the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Due to the high electrochemical gradient between the cytosol and the ER compartment, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release is very rapid. The widespread tubular structure of this organelle is often located in the proximity of the plasma membrane, suggesting that the cytosolic calcium entry may be regulated by the physical interaction between channels located at the ER membrane (i.e. Ca-sensor) and plasma membrane channels (i.e. Ca-permeable). The proximity of the two membranes would then maximize the reaction speed. The fast calcium release occurs upon stimulation of two main receptors on the ER membrane: the ryanodine receptors (RyRs) and the inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate receptor (IP\textsubscript{3}R) (Moccia et al. 2012).

The calcium release through the RyR may be stimulated by local Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increase via CICR or by another second messenger, the cyclic ADP-ribose (Wood & Gillespie 1998; Zhang 2006). Activated plasma membrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels can locally increase the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration, which then stimulate the proximal RyR, triggering a stronger calcium response. The CICR often occurs upon activation of mechanosensitive Ca\textsuperscript{2+} receptors to regulate the shear stress reaction in ECs (Wong & Klassen 1995; Berridge et al. 2003). On the other hand, IP\textsubscript{3}R is activated by the highly diffusible hydrophilic messenger IP\textsubscript{3}, produced by the cleavage of PIP\textsubscript{2}. In ECs the cleavage is mediated by the VEGFR-2-
dependent activation of phospholipase-Cγ (PLCγ) (see chapter 1.4.4.1) (Takahashi et al. 2001). Indeed PLCγ activation and Ca^{2+} increase are associated with VEGFR-2-mediated angiogenic EC behaviour (Yokota et al. 2015; Fearnley et al. 2015). DAG, the second product of the PIP_{2} hydrolysis reaction, activate PKC, which regulates a variety of physiological processes including EC migration via direct phosphorylation or indirectly through transcriptional activation (Tsai et al. 2015). DAG also activates the transient receptor potential canonical subfamily TRPC3/6/7 independently of store depletion (Albert 2011; Villalta & Townsley 2014). On the other hand, depletion of Ca^{2+} stores is associated with the activation of the store operated Ca^{2+} channels, such as the TRPC1/4 (Villalta & Townsley 2014).

Besides the ER compartment, Ca^{2+} can be stored in the mitochondria and in lysosomes. In particular, the mitochondria can contribute to agonist-induced Ca^{2+} signalling by cooperating either with Ca^{2+} release channels in the ER or store operated Ca^{2+} channels on the plasma membrane (Malli et al. 2005). Lysosomes contain a limited amount of Ca^{2+}, which can be released upon stimulation by the Ca^{2+}-mobilizer nicotinic acid adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NAADP) (Brailoiu et al. 2010). Due to the lysosomes proximity to the ER, the discrete low amount of Ca^{2+} released from lysosomes can be amplified to a global Ca^{2+} wave by the activation of the RyR through the CICR mechanism (Zhu et al. 2010).

### 1.7 Shear stress mediated calcium fluxes

ECs covering the inner surface of blood vessels are continuously exposed to mechanic stimuli due to the haemodynamic forces generated by the blood circulation. It has been shown that ECs are able to sense and transduce biomechanical stimuli as shear stress in biological responses by the activation of multiple intracellular signalling pathways mediated by a variety of mechanosensors. The response of ECs to shear stress is mediated by an increase of [Ca^{2+}], which can be generated through several routes (Ando & Yamamoto 2013). The different signalling pathways activated by shear stress can regulate the Ca^{2+}-mediated EC polarity (Miyazaki et al. 2007; Lafaurie-Janvore et al. 2016), vascular smooth muscle contractile state (tone) (Taylor et al. 2012), vessel remodelling (Nicolì et al. 2010) or stabilization according to the vessel type (Potente et al. 2011).

Shear stress was shown to activate the hyperpolarization of the EC plasma membrane stimulating the K^{+}-selective ionic current (Olesen et al. 1988). The cell membrane
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Hyperpolarization operates as a driving force which triggers the Ca\(^{2+}\) entrance from the extracellular space through the transient receptor vanilloid channel 4 (TRPV-4), thus stimulating the EC response (Moccia et al. 2012). Indeed, studies on the specific stretch activated channel have shown that the TRPV-4 is highly expressed in ECs and is responsible for the stretch activated calcium fluxes (Dunn et al. 2013). The shear stress-dependent \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) increase can also occur after the stimulation of the TRPC channel. However, the direct TRPC activation and its capability as a mechanosensor remain to be determined (Dietrich et al. 2014).

In addition, the P2X4 receptor, a subtype of ATP-operated cation channels, is constitutively expressed on EC plasma membranes and indirectly activated by shear stress to stimulate the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) increase-mediated EC response. It has been shown that the exposing ECs to shear stress induces the dose-dependent release of ATP, which then activates the P2X4 receptor stimulating Ca\(^{2+}\) entrance through the channel (Yamamoto et al. 2003; Ando & Yamamoto 2013).

Several \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} studies have demonstrated that ECs can sense and transduce biomechanical stimuli, such as shear stress, through primary cilia (Hierck et al. 2008; Nauli et al. 2008; Goetz et al. 2014). One proposed mechanism, by which cilia are able to sense shear stress, suggests changes in cytoskeletal organization triggered by cilium bending. Further, cilium bending can activate the calcium signalling response, stimulating the extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through cation channels localised on the cilia (Ando & Yamamoto 2013). Indeed, mechanodetection and calcium signalling were associated with the cation channel polycystin-2 (PC2), a member of the TRP family (also known as PKD2 or TRPP2). PC2 is required for proper cilia localization and to transduce shear stress, leading to an increase in calcium concentration and nitric oxide (NO) production in several ciliated structures, such as the left-right organizer (McGrath et al. 2003; Yoshioka et al. 2012), kidney epithelial cells (Nauli et al. 2003) and cultured ECs (AbouAlaiwi et al. 2009). Moreover, studies in zebrafish revealed that ECs from the dorsal aorta and from ISVs are ciliated (Kallakuri et al. 2015), and are able to respond to shear stress from the blood flow by increasing calcium concentrations mediated by PDK2. Defects in this response were associated with impaired vascular morphogenesis (Goetz et al. 2014).
1.8 Endothelial cell-mediated regulation of vascular tone

Blood vessels are formed by organized layers of cells capable of responding to chemical and mechanical stimuli due to the haemodynamic forces generated by the blood circulation. ECs, covering the inner surface of the mature vessels, transduce biomechanical stimuli into molecular signals and regulate the response of the adjacent smooth muscle cells (SMCs) in order to maintain vascular tone homeostasis. Dysfunction of EC response in this context was associated with the development of several vascular diseases such as hypertension, thrombosis, aneurysm, and arteriosclerosis (Félétou et al. 2010). The EC-mediated regulation of vascular tone is associated with calcium signalling. Increase of [Ca^{2+}]_{i} activates pathways leading to the production of soluble vasoconstrictors (or vasodilators) in ECs, or initiates the hyperpolarization of SMCs, and thus stimulates the vasodilatation. In particular, the calcium dependent vasodilatation of ECs occurs in three distinct modes. Vasodilatation can be mediated by the production of NO, a tissue-permeable gas generated as a by-product of the oxidation of arginine to citrulline and catalysed by the calcium-calmodulin dependent endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) (Busse & Mülsch 1990). ECs also produce other kind of vasodilators, such as prostacyclin derived from the arachidonic acid metabolism (Jaffe et al. 1987). The third vasodilatation mechanism involve the endothelial-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF) activation, characterized by its EC small- and intermediate- conductance Ca^{2+}-activated potassium (K^+) channels, KCa2.3 and KCa3.1 (Crane et al. 2003; Dora et al. 2008), which elicit hyperpolarization of underlying vascular SMC (Bychkov et al. 2002; Taylor et al. 2003; Taylor et al. 2012). Although several factors have been suggested to be associated with the EDHF response, accumulating evidence highlights the importance of heterocellular electrical coupling through gap junctions facilitating the EC-mediated hyperpolarizing current to SMCs (Coleman et al. 2001; Sonkusare et al. 2012).

The EC is able to mediate not just vasorelaxation, but also vasoconstriction. Indeed, ECs produce prostacyclin as well as the vasoconstrictor thromboxane, both derived from the arachidonic acid metabolism (Sandoo et al. 2010). Thromboxane is responsible for platelet aggregation mediated by the activation of its thromboxane prostanoid (TP) receptors located on platelets. TP receptors are also located on SMCs, where their stimulation triggers the increase of [Ca^{2+}]_{i}, which leads to vasoconstriction. Moreover, ECs produce and release the vasoconstrictor endothelin-1 (ET-1) (Yanagisawa et al. 1989) in response to stimulation by pro-inflammatory signals such as interleukins, whereas the release is inhibited by NO and prostacyclin (Alonso & Radomski 2003). ET-
1 receptors were identified in both ECs and SMCs (Davenport et al. 1995; Bacon et al. 1995). The activation of ET-1 receptors on SMCs triggers the increase of \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) and consequent vasoconstriction. On the other hand, stimulation of ET-1 receptors on ECs induce vasodilation through NO and prostacyclin production (Pollock et al. 1995). In the presence of endothelial dysfunction, ET-1 receptor expression is downregulated on ECs and upregulated on SMCs, thus reinforcing the vasoconstriction (Böhm et al. 2002; Sandoo et al. 2010).

As aforementioned, the increase of \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) is required for SMC contraction. The excitation-contraction mechanism, in skeletal, cardiac and SMCs, is initiated by the activation of voltage gated LTCC (Navedo & Santana 2013). Even though the LTCCs are responsible for the initiation of excitation-contraction coupling, the precise mechanisms by which they increase the \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) is specific to the type of muscle cell (Navedo & Santana 2013). In skeletal muscle the calcium fluxes through the LTCC are not required for the initiation of contraction (Franzini-Armstrong et al. 1999; Rebbeck et al. 2014). Accumulated research supports the idea that LTCCs located in the transverse tubules physically interact with the ryanodine receptor (RyR) on the sarcoplasmic reticulum; the voltage driven conformational changes of the LTCC would then directly activate calcium fluxes through the RyR (Rebbeck et al. 2014). In contrast to skeletal muscle, calcium entry through the LTCC is required for the initiation of the contraction in cardiac myocytes. Action potential stimulates the LTCC, and calcium fluxes through the channel trigger the activation of RyR and initiate the CICR from the sarcoplasmic reticulum, globally increasing the calcium concentration and activating actomyosin and contraction (Bers 2002; Catterall 2011). In vascular smooth muscle, the intravascular pressure regulates the depolarization and the subsequent LTCC activation. In these cells, calcium fluxes through the channel are directly responsible for the cytosolic calcium increase that activates the contractile processes (Amberg & Navedo 2013).

### 1.9 The L-type calcium channel regulation by Wnt signalling

Change in \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) is a ubiquitous signal used by different pathways. Among these, the evolutionarily conserved Wnt signalling pathway has a crucial role in cell fate determination, migration, cell polarity and organogenesis during embryonic development (Croce & McClay 2008). Wnt proteins are secreted glycoproteins, which via paracrine or autocrine activation, bind to the extracellular N-terminal domain of the Frizzled (Fzd) receptor family (Hendrickx & Leyns 2008). Upon Wnt binding the signal is transduced by
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the cytoplasmic phosphoprotein Dishevelled (Dvl); the pathway can then proceed via three major cascades, the canonical and two non-canonical pathways: the planar cell polarity (PCP) and the Wnt/Ca\(^{2+}\) pathways. The accumulation and subsequent translocation of β-catenin into the nucleus is the hallmark of the Wnt canonical pathway. In the absence of Wnt signal, β-catenin is associated with the glycogen synthase kinase-3β (Gsk3β) and casein kinase-1 (Ck-1), which in collaboration with a destruction complex containing the adenomatous polyposis coli (Apc) protein, the Wilms tumor suppressor protein (WTX) and Axin, constantly phosphorylates the cytosolic β-catenin at specific regulatory Ser/Thr residues. The modified β-catenin is then recognized by β-transducing repeat-containing protein (β-TrCP), a component of an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, and targeted for ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation (Spiegelman et al. 2000; Kohn & Moon 2005; Stamos & Weis 2013). Wnt activation induces a series of events, which disrupt the degradation complex and consequently promote β-catenin accumulation and translocation to the nucleus where it interacts with transcription factors to stimulate the transcription of target genes.

Non-canonical Wnt signalling is also called β-catenin independent. Indeed, the signal transduction is not mediated by β-catenin and appears to function without the transcription of target genes. The PCP pathway is one of the two branches of Wnt non-canonical signalling participating in cytoskeletal reorganization via stimulation of the Dishevelled-associated activator of morphogenesis 1 (Daam1). Fzd activation by Wnt stimulates the formation of a Dvl/Daam1 complex, which activates small GTPase proteins such as RhoA, leading to the activation of the Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) (Marlow et al. 2002) and myosin (Weiser et al. 2007), thus promoting cytoskeletal rearrangement and cellular polarization (Komiya & Habas 2008). The second branch of Wnt non-canonical signalling is the Wnt/Ca\(^{2+}\) pathway: Fzd receptor stimulates intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the endoplasmic reticulum through trimeric G-proteins (Slusarski & Pelegri 2007). Ca\(^{2+}\) release and cytosolic accumulation activates several Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive proteins such as protein kinase C (PKC) and Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin-dependent kinase II (CamKII) (Kühl et al. 2000; Sheldahl et al. 2003).

Studies of heart development have revealed the critical role of Wnt non-canonical signalling (Eisenberg & Eisenberg 1999; Pandur et al. 2002; Flaherty & Dawn 2008; Cohen et al. 2012). In particular, Wnt11 stimulation as a key modulator of myocardial electrical cell coupling (Panakova et al. 2010), and heart chamber remodelling (Merks et al. 2018). During cardiac morphogenesis, intercellular coupling and the formation of electrical gradients between cardiomyocytes are crucial for electrical stability, which coordinates the mechanical movement of the adult heart (Watanabe et al. 1981). As the
heart is forming, impulse propagation progresses from being homogeneous along the whole organ into the formation of differentiated zones with slow and fast conductance (Panakova et al. 2010). It was shown that loss of Wnt11 signalling prevents the formation of the electrical gradient and the specification of different zones, resulting in homogeneous conductance velocities across the entire ventricle (Panakova et al. 2010). Further investigation of the Wnt11/PCP pathway in forming the electrical gradient, revealed that neither the loss of Vangl2 nor Prickle1, the two fundamental components of the PCP pathway, affected the gradient, suggesting that PCP signalling is not responsible for the gradient formation (Panakova et al. 2010). It was shown that the loss of Wnt11 increases the amplitude and the heterogeneity of the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ transients across the entire ventricle. A similar effect was obtained with the stimulation of LTCC conductance. Together this suggests that Wnt11 regulates the electrical gradient patterning by reducing the LTCC activity (Panakova et al. 2010). Indeed, in a cacna1c mutant context, the loss of Wnt11 had no effect on the gradient of electrical coupling. Likewise, the electrical gradient was preserved in the wnt11 mutant context in association with LTCC inhibition. This shows that Wnt11 acts upstream of LTCC, guiding the electrical gradient formation, modulating Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis by attenuating Ca$^{2+}$ influx through the LTCC (Panakova et al. 2010).

1.10 Similarities between vascular and neuronal systems: the importance of the L-type calcium channel

Since the discovery of sprouting blood vessels, it was evident that the leading cell, the “tip cell”, resembles in cellular appearance and function the already well known specialized cellular structure at the tip of growing axons, the “axon growth cone”. Both are characterized by the presence of lamellipodia and long finger-like filopodia to sense the local environment and guide development (Gerhardt et al. 2003; Carmeliet & Tessier-Lavigne 2005; Carmeliet & Jain 2011).

Both vascular and neuronal systems form complex pattern networks to exchange information over long distances connecting and coordinating different organs in the body. The nervous system manipulates electrical signals to transport information, while the vascular system carries messenger molecules in the blood to connect and regulate far located organs. Because of these important functions, both systems require precise regulation of patterning and guidance of cellular and subcellular components (Wälchli et al. 2015).
The similarities between the nervous and vascular systems are not only limited to the morphologic structure, but they are also evident at the molecular level: both the endothelial tip cell and the axonal growth cone share common molecular pathways and respond to the same molecular cues (Melani & Weinstein 2010; Adams & Eichmann 2010). In the past years it has been shown that the main neuronal guidance molecule families, Netrins, Semaphorins, Ephrins, and Slits, in coordination with their receptors, guide not only neuronal development, but also vascular patterning (Carmeliet & Tessier-Lavigne 2005; Carmeliet & Jain 2011). Moreover, guidance molecules such as the morphogens: wingless-type proteins (Wnts), Bone Morphogenetic Proteins (BMPs) and Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) were revealed to participate in the process of attractive and repulsive signalling during axon pathfinding (Charron & Tessier-Lavigne 2007) as well as in vascular growth (Zacchigna et al. 2007; Wälchli et al. 2015).

In addition to the guidance molecules, one of the most crucial components controlling axonal turning and growth is calcium (Sutherland et al. 2014). Cytosolic calcium fluctuations have been reported as signals for both attractive and repulsive responses. As for other cell types the spatial distribution and the amplitude of [Ca^{2+}] are crucial for accurate axon guidance. It has been shown that not only the amplitude of Ca^{2+} signals are important, but also the sources of Ca^{2+} entry and the environmental Ca^{2+} concentration (Sutherland et al. 2014; Gasperini et al. 2017). Asymmetric Ca^{2+} concentrations within the axonal growth cone were sufficient to generate the turning response (Zheng 2000); however the steepness of the Ca^{2+} gradient and the extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration are also important to determine the growth direction. A large Ca^{2+} increase in the condition of normal extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration evokes an attraction (Zheng 2000). On the contrary, small Ca^{2+} increases or large Ca^{2+} increases in the absence of extracellular Ca^{2+} result in repulsion (Wen et al. 2004).

Only the fine control of entry mechanisms can precisely regulate the calcium amplitude and distribution, and thus the axonal response. The most important way to increase [Ca^{2+}] to control axon turning is through the VGCCs, which can activate the release of Ca^{2+} stores and therefore the store operated calcium entry (SOCE) from the extracellular space. Among the VGCCs the LTCC is the most important player in growth cone turning. It was shown that inhibition or activation of VGCC channels can switch the attractive response to netrin-1, an attractive chemotropic factor, into a repulsion reaction (Hong et al. 2000; Wang & Poo 2005; Gasperini et al. 2017). LTCC activity during axonal pathfinding is finely modulated by the balance of cGMP (promoting LTCC activation) and cAMP (promoting LTCC inactivation): high levels of cGMP result in axonal repulsion in response to a netrin-1 gradient, while high levels of cAMP result in axonal attraction.
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(Nishiyama et al. 2003). All together this data illustrate the importance of calcium fluxes through the plasma membrane and the critical role of the LTCC, together with its regulation, in controlling axon guidance and growth.

Given the commonalities between the nervous and vascular systems, it is plausible to speculate a physiological role of calcium signalling during the vascular formation as well. However, so far only few intensive studies have been done in this direction. Calcium signalling in developing vessels has been investigated mainly in relation to the VEGF response. It has been shown that different VEGF concentrations can evoke distinct calcium responses and oscillations, thus controlling diverse endothelial cell behaviour (Noren et al. 2016). Calcium oscillations have been detected also during the tip/stalk specification at the very early stage of the vessels sprouting in response to VEGF stimulation (Yokota et al. 2015). Moreover, hemodynamic forces due to blood flow, can trigger a calcium signalling response mediated by cilium bending in EC (Ando & Yamamoto 2013; Goetz et al. 2014). However the molecular mechanisms governing calcium signalling and its function during the different phases of sprouting angiogenesis and vascular formation remain elusive.
2 Aims

The formation of a functional vascular system is a critical step during embryonic development. Moreover, dysregulation of the vascular patterning is associated with several diseases. In the past years, studies on EC and angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo, have revealed the importance of VEGF signalling together with the Dll4/Notch pathway in regulating EC migration and proliferation during angiogenesis. Furthermore, it is well established that the endothelial tip cell and the axonal growth cone share not only similar morphology, but also common molecular pathways and respond to the same molecular cues. One of the most important players during axonal growth is calcium. Changes in the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration are ubiquitous intracellular signals activated by several different pathways and implicated in many biological processes thanks to their high versatility in amplitude, frequency, and distribution (Berridge et al. 2000). During axonal pathfinding, Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through the plasma membrane, in particular through the L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channel, are essential to determine the axon turning and to guide the growth.

While in mature ECs changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) are key regulators of many biological aspects (Tran et al. 2000; Munaron 2006), little is known about their role during angiogenic sprouting, a process akin to axonal pathfinding. Changes in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) have been already associated with VEGF signalling cascade, however, its precise function and modulation of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in EC during angiogenesis are still debated. Moreover, the molecular mechanisms regulating the Ca\(^{2+}\) signalling at the level of the plasma membrane, the identity of the different channels involved, and their hierarchy are still not fully explored.

In summary, despite the large effort in understanding the Ca\(^{2+}\) regulation in non-excitatory cells such as the ECs, there are still numerous aspects to be elucidated. Moreover, most of the information on the physiological role of Ca\(^{2+}\) in ECs were obtained through in vitro studies and little is known about the in vivo role of Ca\(^{2+}\) during vascular development. The central idea of my dissertation aims at understanding the role of calcium signalling during angiogenesis and focuses on elucidating the implication of calcium fluxes through the EC plasma membrane, in particular through the LTCC, on the angiogenic process utilizing the well-established zebrafish model.
3 Results

3.1 Intracellular calcium oscillation during the ISV outgrowth

Changes in cytosolic calcium concentration are key signals in the regulation of several biological processes, such as migration, vesicles segregation, contraction, adhesion, and gene expression (Munaron 2006; Moccia & Guerra 2016). Despite extensive efforts, in vivo approaches to accurately measure cytosolic calcium fluctuations in ECs are still limited. Here, I used a double transgenic zebrafish line Tg(kdrl:Gal4; UAS:GCaMP5), expressing the Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator GCaMP5 (Akerboom et al. 2012) specifically in ECs, to evaluate changes in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations in ECs during angiogenic sprouting in vivo. Time-lapse imaging of growing ISVs uncovered Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations in the tip cell at the forefront of the sprouting vessel, as well as in the stalk cells localized at the base of the vessel (Fig. 9 A, B). Moreover, analysis of the calcium fluctuations over time revealed a two-component nature of Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations during angiogenic sprouting. The two components included slow Ca\(^{2+}\) transients with low amplitude and long duration and fast Ca\(^{2+}\) sparks with high amplitude and short duration (Fig. 9 B). Furthermore, kymograph representations of a sprouting vessel showed simultaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) activity in the tip and stalk cell (Fig. 9 C, D), indicating a possible electrical coupling through the vessel. This data reveals dynamic cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) fluctuations during migration of ECs in vivo.

3.2 LTCC is expressed in endothelial cells

Increases in cytosolic calcium concentration are regulated by different pathways, by Ca\(^{2+}\) release from intracellular stores or by Ca\(^{2+}\) influx from the extracellular space through the plasma membrane. This last mechanism can be mediated by the VGCC such as the LTCC. The expression of VGCC, particularly the LTCC, in ECs is still disputed. Indeed, while LTCC is activated at depolarizing voltages, the depolarization of EC membrane abrogates the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx into the cells (Dora & Garland 2013). Moreover, it has been difficult to discriminate the contributions of different intracellular compartments to the increase of cytosolic calcium concentration, and obtain accurate measurements of
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membrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx upon EC membrane depolarisation (Dora & Garland 2013) such as the LTCC.

Figure 9: Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations have a two-component nature during angiogenic sprouting. (A) Maximum intensity projection from time-lapse \textit{in vivo} imaging of a \textit{Tg(kdrl:Gal4; UAS:GCaMP5)} embryo from 30 hpf acquired by spinning disk confocal microscopy, images acquired using an interval of 4 seconds. Scale bar 100\,\mu m. (B) Average of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals over time (30min) in three different regions of interest (magenta, blue and green), in A, mean fluorescent intensity in arbitrary units (AU). (C) Maximum intensity projection from time-lapse \textit{in vivo} imaging of a \textit{Tg(kdrl:Gal4; UAS:GCaMP5)} embryo at 30 hpf acquired by confocal microscopy. Scale bar 20 \,\mu m. (D) Representative kymograph of a growing ISV in C (green line a, b) over time (45 min), images acquired using an interval of 8 seconds.
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The expression of VGCC, particularly the LTCC, in ECs is still disputed. Indeed, while LTCC is activated at depolarizing voltages, the depolarization of EC membrane abrogates the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx into the cells (Dora & Garland 2013). Moreover, it has been difficult to discriminate the contributions of different intracellular compartments to the increase of cytosolic calcium concentration, and obtain accurate measurements of membrane Ca\(^{2+}\) influx upon EC membrane depolarisation (Dora & Garland 2013). Nonetheless, recent studies have shown the expression of the LTCC in human umbilical vascular endothelial cells (HUVEC) (Kim et al. 2016).

To address LTCC expression in zebrafish ECs during development, I performed RT qPCR analysis of the cacna1c gene, encoding the LTCC main pore forming subunit, in wildtype and in two cacna1c-mutant zebrafish lines as negative control. The first cacna1c-mutant line carries a non-sense mutation in exon 6/7 and the second affects an essential splice site in exon 35/36/37: cacna1c\(^{sa10930}\) and cacna1c\(^{sa15296}\), respectively. The cacna1c\(^{sa10930}\) mutation may result in a complete loss of function of LTCC, while the cacna1c\(^{sa15296}\) mutation may create a truncated version of the main pore forming subunit missing the C-terminal tail, compromising the channel conductance (Hulme et al. 2006) and transcriptional activity (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2006; Schroder et al. 2009).

Taking into consideration that the cacna1c gene is characterized by the presence of different transcription start sites in its sequence (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2006; Schroder et al. 2009) and a cryptic promoter in exon 46 in the murine gene (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2013), I analysed the relative mRNA cacna1c expression with three different TaqMan probes, to cover the different isoform expression, targeting the 2-3 loop (N-terminal; exon 18), the proximal (pCt; exon 40) and the distal (dCt; exon 45) C-terminal region, (Fig. 10 A, B).

First, I verified the downregulation of the LTCC in the aforementioned mutant lines, analysing the relative mRNA cacna1c expression in whole embryo extracts. Compared to wildtype, both mutants showed between 30 and 40 percent decrease in cacna1c relative mRNA expression using the three different probes (Fig. 10 B). While the complete loss of protein in the aforementioned mutants could not be tested due to lack of working antibodies, the result confirms the downregulation of cacna1c expression in the mutant lines.

To determine whether LTCC is expressed in ECs, the cacna1c relative mRNA expression was measured in FACS-sorted ECs from the reporter line Tg(kdrt:EGFP), which expresses EGFP specifically in ECs. The relative cacna1c expression detected in ECs from the cacna1c\(^{sa10930}\) mutant line was decreased compared to control ECs, confirming the presence of the LTCC in ECs (Fig. 10 C).
As predicted, the FACS-sorted non-ECs isolated from control embryos have higher expression of \textit{cacna1c} compared to control ECs, due to the presence of cardiomyocytes and neuronal cells expressing high levels of LTCC. As a positive control, I measured 10-fold higher relative \textit{cacna1c} expression level in FACS-sorted cardiomyocytes compared to control EC, as expected (Fig. 10 C).

**Figure 10: ECs express the LTCC.** (A) Scheme of LTCC\(\alpha_{1c}\) main pore-forming subunit, different TaqMan probes targeting the 2-3 loop (N-terminal; exon 18), the proximal (pCt; exon 40) and the distal (dCt; exon 45) C-terminal region are represented. (B) RT qPCR analysis of \textit{cacna1c} relative mRNA expression levels from two different \textit{cacna1c} mutant lines (\textit{cacna1c}sa10930 and \textit{cacna1c}sa15296) compared to wildtype control (WT, set to 1) in whole zebrafish embryos at 48 hpf, using three different probes. (C) Relative mRNA expression levels of \textit{cacna1c} in FACS-sorted ECs from control (Cnt EC, set to 1) and \textit{cacna1c} mutant (\textit{cacna1c}sa10930 EC), control non-endothelial cells (Cnt non-EC) and control cardiomyocytes (Cnt CM) using three different probes. Data were normalized to the reference gene \textit{eef1a1} and represented as fold changes (FC). Mean ± SD. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. \(n_{(WT)}=3\); \(n_{(\textit{cacna1c}sa10930)}=3\), *\(P=0.0160\) with pCt probe; \(n_{(\textit{cacna1c}sa15296)}=3\), *\(P=0.0318\) with pCt probe.
3.3 Alteration of Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes through the LTCC results in morphological defects of ISVs

The formation of a functional vascular network is ensured by fine regulation of EC migration and proliferation to build the specific blood vessels' structure. By 30 hpf the vascular morphogenesis of the primary ISVs localized more rostrally in zebrafish embryos is complete. The sprouting vessel has reached the dorsolateral roof of the neural tube, divided into two branches, and formed the DLAV (Fig. 2 B, 11 A).

To determine the possible function of the LTCC during ISV outgrowth, a pharmaceutical and a genetic approach were used to inhibit or stimulate the channel conductance, and the ISV formation was analysed at 30 hpf.

3.3.1 Perturbation of Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes through LTCC: a pharmaceutical approach

The main pore-forming subunit of the LTCC is sensitive to dihydropyridine (DHP) (Satin et al. 2011; Striessnig et al. 2006). For this reason the dihydropyridines Nifedipine and Bay K8644 (Bay K), the antagonist and agonist of the channel, respectively, were used to block and activate LTCC conductance. Transgenic Tg(kdrl:EGFP) embryos, expressing the EGFP marker only in ECs, were treated with Nifedipine or Bay K at 10 hpf, at the bud stage; the effect on ISV morphology was examined at 30 hpf and compared with untreated and DMSO-treated controls. At 30 hpf, Nifedipine-treated embryos were severely delayed in their development with profound morphological defects, whereas the development of Bay K-treated embryos was only slightly delayed. Both treatments resulted in heart function deficiency as expected. The decrease in Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes through the LTCC due to the Nifedipine treatment resulted in morphological defects of ISVs during early embryonic development. The ISVs did not reach the dorsolateral roof of the neuronal tube and appeared shorter than in the controls (Fig. 11 A-C). Conversely, the stimulation of Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes through the LTCC by Bay K treatment showed a completely opposite effect on the ISVs growth. The embryos showed enhanced angiogenic behaviour and an overbranching structure of the ISVs (Fig. 11 D). This phenotype partially phenocopied the one observed in the VEGF receptor-1 (flt1) mutant; the downregulation of flt1 showed an overbranching ISV structure and an increase in the number of tip cells (Krueger et al. 2011). Increased of tip cell behaviour
together with higher number of cells forming the ISV was previously reported also in absence of Notch signalling in dll4 MO-injected embryos (Siekmann & Lawson 2007).
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**Figure 11: Alteration of calcium fluxes through the LTCC results in morphological defects of ISVs.**

(A, B) Maximum intensity projection of ISVs from the rostral area in Tg(kdrl:EGFP) zebrafish embryos at 30 hpf in control (A) and DMSO-treated embryos (B). (C) Inhibition of calcium fluxes through LTCC with 70μM Nifedipine results in ISV morphological defects. (D) On the contrary, stimulation of LTCC with 125μM Bay K induces an overbranching phenotype. Scale bar = 40μm.

### 3.3.2 Perturbation of Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through LTCC: genetic approach

In parallel to the pharmaceutical approach, I used genetic techniques to perturb the channel conductance to further investigate the role of Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through the LTCC during angiogenic sprouting. In addition to the aforementioned mutants, morpholino (MO) knockdown technology, targeting the spice-site acceptor of exon 4 in the cacna1c gene, was used to reduce LTCC levels. Decreased levels of LTCC, both in cacna1c\(^{ex4sa}\) MO-injected fish, as well as in the two cacna1c-mutants, led to a general developmental delay and profound heart defects characterised by a non-contractile myocardium and small unlooped hearts. The MO-injected embryos showed a strong effect on angiogenic sprouting, where the ISVs appeared strongly delayed in development or were completely missing (Fig. 12 B). Similarly, the LTCC loss of function in the cacna1c\(^{ex10930}\) mutants resulted in delayed ISV development: they were shorter...
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and sometimes completely missing (Fig. 12 C). Of note, the formation of the dorsal aorta and the cardinal vein were unaffected as they are formed by the process of vasculogenesis. The effect obtained with the morpholino approach was much stronger compared to the genetic mutant. This could be due to several reasons, with one possible explanation being the activation of an alternative transcription start site (as was identified in murine transcripts (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2013)) located downstream of the non-sense point mutation in the cacna1c<sub>sa10930</sub> mutant.

Figure 12: Alteration of calcium fluxes through the LTCC using genetic approaches results in morphological defects of ISVs. (A) ISVs morphological structure at 30 hpf in control Tg(kdrl:EGFP) zebrafish embryos. (B, C) The effect of LTCC downregulation on the ISVs morphology in cacna1c<sub>ex4sa</sub> MO-injected embryos (B) is much stronger than the one observed in cacna1c<sub>sa10930</sub> mutant line (C). (D) The cacna1c<sub>sa15296</sub> mutant line does not show any visible defects in the ISV’s structure. Scale bar = 40μm.

Although both mutant lines presented a general developmental delay and severe heart deficiency, the cacna1c<sub>sa15296</sub> mutant did not present any morphological irregularity of ISV formation, suggesting that the main pore-forming subunit of the LTCC might be still present and also functional in this mutant. (Fig. 12 D). Furthermore, the RT qPCR analysis of the cacna1c expression showed only partial loss of cacna1c transcripts in both mutants (Fig.10), indicating potentially some residual activity of the LTCC.
3.4 Alteration of Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through the LTCC affects the number of cells in the ISVs

To better characterize the observed morphological phenotype after perturbing Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through the LTCC, the transgenic line Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) expressing the nuclear marker only in ECs was used to count the ISV cell number. I observed that the ISVs in the caudal region of the control embryos were shorter and had fewer ECs compared to the ISVs in the rostral region (Fig. 13). To precisely analyse the cell number of ISVs across the whole length of the trunk, the image of each embryo was divided into two regions: i) the rostral region, extending from the first rostral ISV to the ISV at the end of the yolk extension (Fig. 13, blue ROI), and ii) the caudal region, extending from the adjacent ISV at the end of the yolk extension to the end of the tail (Fig. 13, green ROI). To investigate the effects of LTCC stimulation on the ISVs, the rostral and caudal regions were analysed separately and the cell number of each vessel was counted and compared within these two regions.

![Rostral and Caudal Regions](image.png)

**Figure 13: Arbitrary separation of ISVs into caudal and rostral regions.** The rostral (blue box) and caudal (green box) regions of ISVs in a Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) zebrafish embryo at 30 hpf are indicated. The cell number in ISVs was compared separately in all experiments.

3.4.1 Stimulation of LTCC conductance increases the number of cells in the ISVs

In control embryos, untreated (Fig. 14 A) and DMSO-treated (Fig. 14 B), the quantification of cell number showed the differences between rostral and caudal regions as expected; on average, each rostral ISV has four cells, while each caudal ISV is made of only two cells (Fig. 14 D). The stimulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through the LTCC upon Bay K treatment increased angiogenic cell behaviour at 30 hpf leading to an overbranching phenotype and a significant increase in cell number in both caudal and rostral regions (Fig. 14 C, D). The number of cells in the ISVs is increased up to six and four cells in
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rostral and caudal regions, respectively (Fig. 14 D). This phenotype partially phenocopied the loss of VEGF receptor-1 (flt1) or dll4, which was previously reported to increase the tip cell behaviour (Siekmann & Lawson 2007).
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**Figure 14: Stimulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through the LTCC increases the number of cells in the ISVs.**

(A-C) Maximum intensity projection of ISVs from the rostral area in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) at 30 hpf in control (A), DMSO (B), and 125μM Bay K-treated (C) zebrafish embryos. (D) Quantification of the number of cells per ISV in the rostral and caudal regions at 30 hpf. Mean ± SD. Rostral region: n\(_{\text{Cnt}}\)=152; n\(_{\text{DMSO}}\)=87; n\(_{\text{Bay K}}\)=95; ****P <0.0001. Caudal region: n\(_{\text{Cnt}}\)=120; n\(_{\text{DMSO}}\)=70; n\(_{\text{Bay K}}\)=80; ****P <0.0001. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 40μm.

### 3.4.2 Loss of the LTCC reduces the number of cells in the ISVs

Inhibition of LTCC Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes using either a pharmaceutical or genetic approach, compromised angiogenic sprouting, leading to morphological defects of the ISVs. To further characterize the consequences of LTCC inhibition on angiogenic development, I analysed the number of cells per vessel as described previously. The cacna1c\(^{ev4sa}\) morphants and the previously described cacna1c\(^{sa10930}\) mutant line were used to study the effects of reduced LTCC levels on angiogenesis. I confirmed that at 30 hpf, the vessels were often missing or were very short, and composed by fewer cells in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP), with a much stronger phenotype in the morphant than in the
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genetic mutant, when compared to control embryos (Fig. 15 A-C). The quantification of cell number per vessel reflected the observed morphology. While in control embryos the average number of cells per ISV in the rostral region was four, in the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa10930} mutant this was reduced to three, and in \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MO-injected

**Figure 15:** Loss of the LTCC affects the number of ECs in ISVs at 30 hpf. Maximum intensity projection of ISVs from the rostral area in \textit{Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP)} at 30 hpf. (A) ISVs in control embryos with an average of four cells per vessel. (B) Missing or severely delayed ISVs in \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MO-injected embryos. (C) ISVs with fewer ECs in the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa10930} mutant line (D) Normal looking ISVs with regular number of cells in the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa15296} mutant embryo. (E) Quantification of the number of cells per ISV in the rostral (left panel) and caudal (right panel) regions at 30 hpf. Mean ± SD. Rostral region: \(n_{(cnt)}=152; n_{(cacna1cMO)}=32; n_{(cacna1csa10930)}=75; n_{(cacna1csa15296)}=70\); ****P < 0.0001. Caudal region: \(n_{(cnt)}=120; n_{(cacna1cMO)}=40; n_{(cacna1csa10930)}=70; n_{(cacna1csa15296)}=50\) ****P < 0.0001. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar =40μm.
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embryos the effect was even more profound with only one cell per ISV (Fig. 15 E, left panel). Similarly to what was observed in the rostral ISVs, analysis in the caudal region revealed a mild reduction in the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa10930} mutant and a strong effect in the morphants, where the sprouting of ISVs was severely abolished (Fig. 15 E, right panel). To further study the role of the LTCC during angiogenic sprouting, the second mutant line \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa15296}, missing the C-terminal region of the LTCC, was investigated. I confirmed the previously observed morphology in the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa15296} EC quantification was repeated at 48 hpf to investigate whether the observed differences in the number of cells forming the ISV, in \textit{cacna1c}-mutant and \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MO-injected embryos were due to a delay in development.

**Figure 16:** Perturbation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} fluxes affects the number of ECs in the ISVs at 48 hpf. Maximum intensity projection of ISVs from the rostral area in \textit{Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP)} at 48 hpf. (A) ISVs in control embryos with an average of five cells per vessel. (B-D) Fewer cells compose the ISV in \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MO-injected (B),
Results

$cacna1c$<sup>sa10930</sup> (C), and $cacna1c$<sup>sa15296</sup> mutant lines (D), despite the structure of the ISVs being comparable to control embryos. (E) Quantification of the number of cells per ISV in the rostral (left panel) and caudal (right panel) regions at 48 hpf. Mean ± SD. Rostral region: n<sub>(cnt)</sub>=342; n<sub>(cacna1cMO)</sub>=92; n<sub>(canca1csa10930)</sub>=112; n<sub>(canca1csa15296)</sub>=92; ****P < 0.0001. Caudal region: n<sub>(cnt)</sub>=180; n<sub>(cacna1cMO)</sub>=50; n<sub>(canca1csa10930)</sub>=80; n<sub>(canca1csa15296)</sub>=50 ****P < 0.0001. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 40μm.

Although the structure of the ISVs was comparable to the control embryos (Fig. 16 A-D), the decrease of Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes through the LTCC in either $cacna1c$-mutants or morphants, led to an effect on the number of cells composing the vessels (Fig. 16 E). While in control embryos the average number of cells per ISV is five, in $cacna1c$ morphants and mutants I observed a significant reduction of one or two cells per vessel, in both rostral and caudal regions (Fig. 16 E).

3.5 Alteration of LTCC conductance perturbs endothelial cell migration

Stimulation of Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes though the LTCC via Bay K treatment, increased angiogenic cell behaviour, leading to an over-branching phenotype and an increase in the number of ECs forming the ISV. On the contrary, inhibition of LTCC conductance by MO treatment or in $cacna1c$ mutant lines, results in a delayed phenotype with morphological vascular impairment and fewer ECs forming the vessels.

To elucidate whether the differences in the number of ECs per vessel were due to changes in ECs proliferation or migration, I performed long-term time-lapse imaging of the growing ISVs for 18 hours, from 28 hpf covering the angiogenic events in more caudal region of the embryo. Using this data, I defined the proliferative events: proliferation of tip or stalk EC, and migratory events: migration of cells from the DA to form the sprouting ISV (Fig. 17 A), and quantified the number of events per vessel (Fig. 17 B).

Interestingly, the stimulation of the LTCC Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes by Bay K treatment strongly increased EC migration from the DA, without affecting tip or stalk cell proliferation (Fig. 17 B). Conversely, downregulation of the LTCC via $cacna1c$<sup>sa4sa</sup> MO injection reduced the proliferation of tip and stalk cells, whereas EC migration was unchanged compared to control embryos. On the other hand, LTCC loss of function in the $cacna1c$<sup>sa10930</sup>
Results

Figure 17: Increase of Ca^{2+} fluxes through the LTCC results in a higher rate of cell migration from the DA. (A) Long-term time-lapse in vivo imaging in a Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) control embryo acquired from 28 hpf onwards. A migratory event from the DA is highlighted (yellow arrow heads), tip and stalk cells (red and green circle, respectively) and their proliferation events (red and green arrow heads) are indicated. \( t = \) time in hours. (B) Quantification of migratory and proliferative (tip or stalk) events per vessel observed during 18 hours of development in long-term time-lapse imaging, in control, cacna1c\textsuperscript{sa4sa} MO-injected, cacna1c\textsuperscript{sa10930}, cacna1c\textsuperscript{sa15296} mutant lines, and Bay K-treated embryos. Mean \( \pm \) SD. \( n_{\text{Cnt}} = 168; n_{\text{cacna1cMO}} = 129; n_{\text{cacna1csa10930}} = 59, \) proliferation stalk \(*P = 0.0003; n_{\text{cacna1csa15296}} = 88, \) proliferation stalk \(*P = 0.0293; n_{\text{Bay K}} = 94; ****P < 0.0001. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 50μm.

mutants only mildly affected EC proliferation, while significantly decreased the migratory events (Fig. 17 B). In accordance with the previous morphological analysis, the perturbation of LTCC conductance in the cacna1c\textsuperscript{sa15296} mutant line did not alter EC migration, but mildly increased cell proliferation as stalk but not tip cells proliferated more (Fig. 17 B), suggesting a possible compensatory mechanism.

3.6 Directionality of cell migration in the absence of the LTCC

During angiogenic ISV formation, upon VEGF stimulation, ECs from the DA actively migrate out to start the sprout of the new vessel (Isogai et al. 2003; Gerhardt et al. 2003; Siekmann & Lawson 2007). Analysis of our time-lapse imaging data revealed that some ECs forming the ISV, regress and migrate back, leave the ISV and instead
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Figure 18: Loss of the LTCC induces retrograde migration from the ISV to the DA. (A) Long-term time-lapse in vivo imaging in a Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) cacna1c<sup>sat10930</sup> mutant embryo acquired from 28 hpf onwards. The retrograde migration of cells that originated from a tip proliferation (red circle and arrows), a stalk proliferation (green circle and arrows) and a migratory event (yellow arrow) are highlighted. (B) Quantification of the retrograde migration events per vessel observed during 18 hours of development in long-term time-lapse imaging, in control, cacna1c<sup>ex4sa</sup> MO-injected, cacna1c<sup>sat10930</sup>, cacna1c<sup>sa15296</sup> mutant lines and Bay K-treated embryos. Mean ± SD. n<sub>(Cnt)</sub>=168; n<sub>(cacna1cMO)</sub>=129; n<sub>(cacna1csa10930)</sub>=59, ***P =0.0002; n<sub>(cacna1csa15296)</sub>=88, ****P <0.0001; n<sub>(Bay K)</sub>=94. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 50μm.

contribute to the DA structure (Fig. 18 A), a process characteristic during pruning of the vessels (Chen et al. 2012; Franco et al. 2015). To better investigate the effects of the perturbation of LTCC conductance, the number of regressing cells was compared between different conditions. Markedly, upon LTCC downregulation, in both cacna1c mutant lines but not in the cacna1c<sup>ex4sa</sup> MO-injected embryos, I observed enhanced retrograde cell migration from the ISVs back to the DA (Fig. 18 B). This observation can explain the fewer number of ECs in the ISVs of the cacna1c<sup>sa15296</sup> mutant line observed at 48 hpf; a phenotype which was otherwise in disagreement with the migratory and proliferative events in the ISVs (Fig. 17 B). Interestingly, stimulation of the LTCC by Bay K treatment did not affect the retrograde migration, it was a rare event, but happened to the same extent as in untreated embryos (Fig. 18 B).

3.7 The effects of blood circulation on the development of ISVs

Numerous in vitro studies have demonstrated that vascular ECs are capable of sensing biomechanical stimuli, such as the shear stress due to blood flow (Hierck et al. 2008; Nauli et al. 2008). Between 22 and 24 hpf the zebrafish heart starts to pump and blood
circulation is observed in the dorsal artery and the posterior cardinal vein, resulting in production of haemodynamic forces that can influence the development of the ISVs. However, zebrafish embryos are able to survive for about seven days in the absence of blood circulation (Betz et al. 2016), providing the possibility to study the effects of haemodynamic forces and blood pressure on angiogenic development. The stimulation as well as the inhibition of LTCC conductance using both pharmacological and genetic approaches, resulted in compromised heart function due to the disruption of the excitation-contraction coupling in cardiomyocytes, leading to the absence of blood flow during the development of ISVs. This prompted us to assess the role of haemodynamic forces on EC migration.

3.7.1 The blood flow is important for angiogenic sprouting

To understand the contribution of haemodynamic forces, ISV development was studied in the absence of blood flow. This was achieved by injection of a morpholino against the cardiac troponin T2 \((tnnt2^{ATG})\) (Sehnert et al. 2002). The \(tnnt2^{ATG}\) MO injection prevents cardiac contraction, and hence the formation of blood circulation. To analyse the ISVs, the previously described reporter lines \(Tg(kdrl:EGFP)\) and \(Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP)\) were used. At 30 hpf, the morphological structure of the ISVs in \(tnnt2^{ATG}\) MO-injected embryos was comparable to control (Fig. 19 A, B). As previously reported, (Schuermann et al. 2014) the first ISV sprout was independent of blood flow. However, the quantification of the number of cells per vessel revealed that fewer cells formed the vessel in \(tnnt2^{ATG}\) morphants embryos compared to uninjected control (Fig. 19 C, D). This observed decrease was slight, yet significant (Fig. 19 E).

To better understand whether in the absence of blood circulation the mild alteration of ECs composing the ISVs, was due to a slight delay in development, EC quantification was repeated at 48 hpf. The analysis revealed that, although the ISVs’ structure was not affected (Fig. 20 A, B), the number of ECs per vessel was still perturbed in the absence of blood flow (Fig. 20 C).
Figure 19: Lack of blood flow interferes with the number of cells in ISVs at 30 hpf. (A, B) ISVs from the rostral region, maximum intensity projections, in Tg(kdrl:EGFP) at 30 hpf in uninjected control (A) and tnnt2ATG MO-injected embryos (B). (C, D) Maximum intensity projections of ISVs from the rostral region in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) at 30 hpf in uninjected control (C), and tnnt2ATG MO-injected embryos (D). (E) Quantification of the decreased number of cells per ISV in the rostral and caudal regions at 30 hpf. Mean ± SD. Rostral region: n(cnt)=152; n(tnnt2MO)=110; **P =0.0011. Caudal region n(cnt)=120; n(tnnt2MO)=100; **P =0.0383. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 40μm.
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Figure 20: Lack of blood circulation interferes with the number of cells in ISVs at 48 hpf. (A, B) ISVs from the rostral region in Tg(kdrl:EGFP) at 48 hpf in uninjected control (A) and tnt2ATG MO-injected embryos (B). (C) Quantification of the decreased number of cells per vessel in tnt2ATG MO-injected embryos compared to uninjected control (Cnt) in both rostral and caudal regions. Mean ± SD. Rostral region: n(cnt)=342; n(tnt2MO)=90. Caudal region: n(cnt)=180; n(tnt2MO)=50. ****P <0.0001. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 40μm.

3.7.2 Absence of flow increases the retrograde endothelial cell migration

As previously reported, I confirmed that the first angiogenic process is not dependent on the presence of haemodynamic forces (Schuermann et al. 2014). Although, in absence of blood flow, the ISV structure was regularly formed, detailed observation revealed a mild decrease in the number of endothelial cells composing the ISVs at 30 and 48 hpf. To assess the effects of lack of blood flow and clarify whether the differences in the number of cells per vessel is due to changes in EC proliferation or migration, long-term time-lapse imaging was performed on the growing vessels, as previously described (see chapter 3.5; Fig. 21 A). The number of migratory and proliferative events per vessel was quantified (Fig. 21 B). The absence of blood flow significantly increased retrograde migration from the ISV and slightly reduced the migration of ECs from the DA as well as the endothelial tip cell proliferation. This indicates that the reduction of ECs per vessel, in the absence of blood flow observed at 30 hpf, is mainly due to the strong increase of retrograde migration events.
3.8 Loss of \textit{wnt11}: an indirect way to stimulate the L-Type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel

Panáková et al., showed that the Wnt11 pathway reduces transmembrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx attenuating the LTCC conductance in cardiomyocytes (Panakova et al. 2010). Considering this data, downregulation of \textit{wnt11} was used to indirectly stimulate LTCC conductance to assess whether the effect of Wnt11 signalling on the LTCC, previously shown in cardiomyocytes, occurs in ECs as well.
3.8.1 Loss of Wnt11 signalling affects ISV morphogenesis

Knowing that the Wnt11 pathway reduces the transmembrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx, and thus attenuates LTCC conductance in cardiomyocytes (Panakova et al. 2010), the previously validated morpholino (Matsui et al. 2005; Merks et al. 2018) was used to reduce the Wnt11 levels and indirectly stimulate LTCC conductance in the described reporter line \textit{Tg(kdrl:EGFP)}. As expected, downregulation of Wnt11 resulted in whole body morphological defects. In \textit{wnt11}\textsuperscript{ATG} MO-injected embryos, the embryonic extension length was decreased, the brain was smaller and the embryos presented a cyclopia phenotype (data not shown), typical of Wnt signalling downregulation (Waxman et al. 2004; Yao et al. 2008). Moreover, I observed that loss of \textit{wnt11} resulted in strong morphological vascular defects in comparison to uninjected control embryos at 48 hpf.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure22.png}
\caption{Loss of \textit{wnt11} results in morphological ISV defects. (A) ISV morphological structure at 48 hpf in control \textit{Tg(kdrl:EGFP)} zebrafish embryos. (B-B') ISVs morphological defects with disconnections to the DLAV, ectopic branches and loop connections in \textit{wnt11}\textsuperscript{ATG} MO-injected embryos at 48 hpf. (C) Quantification in percentage of the affected ISV per embryo in uninjected control (cnt) and \textit{wnt11}\textsuperscript{ATG}-MO injected embryos (C). Mean ± SD. n =6 for both groups **P =0.0051. Unpaired t-test. Scale bar = 40μm.}
\end{figure}
Results

The ISVs presented ectopic branches and loop connections, as well as disconnections to the DA or to the DLAV (Fig. 22 A, B'). Quantification of ISV morphological defects showed a 15% increase of affected vessels per embryo in the wnt11\textsuperscript{ATG} MO-injected embryos compared to uninjected control (Fig. 22 C).

To better characterize the wnt11\textsuperscript{ATG} MO phenotype, the number of ECs composing the ISV structure at 48 hpf was quantified. I confirmed the morphological defects in the structure of the ISVs upon downregulating Wnt signalling at 48 hpf (Fig. 23 A, B), and observed a reduction of ECs per vessel localized in the rostral region (Fig. 23 C). Although the loss of wnt11 clearly impacted the ISV formation, the result was dissimilar to what was predicted from the observed effects in the cardiomyocytes, and thus using such indirect way to stimulate the LTCC is rather inconclusive.

**Figure 23:** wnt11 downregulation partially affects the number of cells in ISVs. (A, B) ISVs from the rostral region in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) at 48 hpf in uninjected control (A) and wnt11\textsuperscript{ATG} MO-injected embryos (B). (C) Quantification of the number of cells per vessel in wnt11\textsuperscript{ATG} MO-injected embryos compared to uninjected control (Cnt) in both rostral and caudal regions. Mean ± SD. n\textsubscript{(Cnt)}=60; n\textsubscript{(wnt11ATG MO)}=104 ****P <0.0001. Unpaired t-test. Scale bar = 40\textmu m.

3.9 Interactions between the LTCC and TRPC1 during ISV development

Recent studies have shown that ECs express a large variety of membrane ion channels, in order to accomplish different functional roles, not only in the ECs themselves, but also...
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in the adjacent smooth muscle cells. An important contribution to Ca$^{2+}$ regulation in ECs is given by the transient receptor potential (TRP) superfamily channels (Dietrich et al. 2014). All of the channels from the TRPC (for classical or canonical) subfamily, are non-selective cation channels and act as cellular mechanosensors and effectors (Nilius & Droogmans 2001). Moreover, all members have been reported to be expressed in ECs and smooth muscle cells. The TRPC1 was the first channel to be identified in mammals and is the founding member of the subfamily, however, despite extensive research, its function as a cation channel in a physiological setting is still obscure (Beech 2013).

3.9.1 Loss of TRPC affects the number of cells in the ISV

Yu and collaborators studied the effects of trpc1 downregulation on angiogenesis and ISV sprouting (Yu et al. 2010). Based on their observations, in the absence of TRPC1, the vessels are shorter and angiogenesis is compromised at 30 hpf, a phenotype similar to the one observed by me in the cacna1c mutants and cacna1c<sup>ex4sa</sup> MO-injected embryos. This consideration prompted me to better investigate the TRPC1 during angiogenesis and the potential genetic interaction between trpc1 and cacna1c.

To explore the effect of TRPC downregulation on angiogenesis during ISV development, the morpholino against trpc1 was injected in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) zebrafish embryos and the number of cells was counted at 30 hpf as described before (see chapter 3.4).

The inhibition of Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes though the TRPC1 via trpc1<sup>ATG</sup> MO injection perturbed the angiogenic sprouting, the resulting ISVs were shorter with fewer ECs compared to uninjected control embryos (Fig. 24 A, B). While in control embryos the average number of cells per vessel was four, the trpc1 morphants revealed a significant reduction to one or two cells per vessel, in both rostral and caudal regions (Fig. 24 B, C). Beyond the defects observed in ISV outgrowth, the embryos developed normally, with a regular heart beat and blood circulation (data not shown).

To better characterize the phenotype and to understand if the differences observed in the number of cells per vessel, after inhibiting the Ca$^{2+}$ fluxes through TRPC1, was the consequence of a developmental delay in ISV formation, EC quantification was repeated at 48 hpf. Upon loss of TRPC1, the structure of the ISVs was maintained (Fig. 25 A, B), however, fewer ECs were assembling the vessel even at 48 hpf (Fig. 25 C).
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Figure 24: Loss of trpc1 affects the number of cells per vessel at 30 hpf. (A, B) Maximum intensity projection of ISVs from the rostral region in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) at 30 hpf in uninjected control (A) and trpc1ATG MO-injected embryos (B). (C) Quantification of the number of cells per vessel in trpc1ATG MO-injected embryos compared to uninjected control (Cnt) in both rostral and caudal regions. Mean ± SD. Rostral region n(Cnt)=152; n(trpc1ATG MO)=45. Caudal region n(Cnt)=120; n(trpc1ATG MO)=40; ****P <0.0001. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 40μm.

Figure 25: Downregulation of trpc1 affects the number of ECs per vessel at 48 hpf. (A, B) Maximum intensity projections of ISVs from the rostral region in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) at 48 hpf in uninjected control (A) and regularly formed ISVs in trpc1ATG MO-injected embryos (B). (C) Quantification of the decreased number of cells per vessel in trpc1ATG MO-injected embryos compared to uninjected control (Cnt) in both rostral and caudal regions. Mean ± SD. Rostral region n(Cnt)=342; n(trpc1ATG MO)=97; ****P <0.0001. Caudal region n(Cnt)=180; n(trpc1ATG MO)=60; **P =0.0062. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 40μm.
3.9.2 Loss of TRPC1 affects endothelial cell migration and proliferation in ISVs

The inhibition of ion fluxes through the TRPC1 channel compromised the development of ISVs during angiogenesis. The vessels appear shorter and formed by fewer ECs compared to uninjected control embryos. To understand whether the differences in the number of ECs is due to changes in proliferation or migration, I performed long term time-lapse imaging to observe ISV outgrowth and measure the number of proliferative and migratory events per vessel as described before (see chapter 3.5). The reduction of TRPC1 activity in trpc1<sup>ATG</sup> MO-injected embryos strongly decreased the number of migration events from the DA as well as EC proliferation of both tip and stalk cells (Fig. 26). The loss of trpc1 did not affect heart function and the circulation was still present. In agreement with my previous observations, retrograde migration from the ISVs to the DA was not affected in trpc1 morphants (Fig. 26).

![Figure 26: The loss of trpc1 affects EC migration and proliferation.](image)

*Figure 26: The loss of trpc1 affects EC migration and proliferation.* Quantification of migratory, proliferative (tip or stalk), and retrograde migratory events per vessel observed during 18 hours of development in long-term time-lapse imaging, in uninjected control (Cnt) and trpc1<sup>ATG</sup> MO-injected embryos. Mean ± SD. n<sub>(Cnt)</sub>=168; n<sub>(trpc1ATG-MO)</sub>=102, proliferation tip *P =0.0475; ****P <0.0001. Two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction.
3.9.3 The LTCC and TRPC1 interact during angiogenic sprouting

The loss of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} fluxes through the LTCC as well as TRPC1 channels affected the migratory and proliferative behaviour of ECs during angiogenesis, decreasing the number of cells forming the ISVs at 30 and 48 hpf.

To investigate to what extent LTCC and TRPC1 might interact to modulate the angiogenic behaviour of ECs, subthreshold levels of \textit{trpc1}\textsuperscript{ATG} and \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MOs were co-injected in \textit{Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP)} embryos, in order to question an epistatic relation by reducing to a lesser extent both channels. The single injection at a subthreshold concentration for each of the two MOs, had a mild or no effect on the architecture and number of cells composing the ISVs (Fig 27 A-C). However, the concomitant loss of both channels by co-injection of both MOs at subthreshold concentrations, had a significantly stronger effect on the development of the ISVs and the number of their cells (Fig. 27 D), indicating a genetic interaction and synergy between these two channels.

On average ISVs in the rostral region of uninjected embryos are formed by four cells. Single injection at subthreshold concentration mildly reduced the average number of cells per vessel to three, however co-injection at subthreshold concentration of both \textit{trpc1}\textsuperscript{ATG} and \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MOs, strongly decreased the ECs to one or none per vessel (Fig. 27 E). These data also suggest that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} fluxes across the plasma membrane might, in general, modulate the angiogenic behaviour of the ECs, which might be in part regulated by haemodynamic forces.
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Figure 27: Synergistic effect of TRPC1 and LTCC. (A-D) Maximum intensity projections of ISVs from the rostral region in Tg(fli1a:nlsEGFP) at 30 hpf in uninjected control (A), trpc1ATG MO-injected at subthreshold concentration (1.6 ng) (B) or cacna1cex4sa MO-injected at subthreshold concentration (1.68 ng) (C), and of both MOs co-injected at subthreshold concentrations (D). (E) Quantification of the number of cells in the ISVs at 30 hpf in embryos injected with subthreshold concentrations of cacna1cex4sa MO or trpc1ATG MO, and co-injected with both MOs, revealing the synergistic effect between the two genes. Mean ± SD. Rostral region n(cnt)=152; n(cacna1c-MO sub)=52; n(trpc1c-MO sub)=51; n(cacna1c-MO;trpc1c-MO)=30; ****P <0.0001. Caudal region n(cnt)=120; n(cacna1c-MO sub)=50; n(trpc1c-MO sub)=40; n(cacna1c-MO;trpc1c-MO)=30; ****P <0.0001. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 40μm.

3.10 The LTCC affects VEGF-Dll4-Notch signalling

The development of a functional vascular network is achieved by the precise coordination of different signalling pathways to regulate EC angiogenic behaviour. It has been shown that pharmacological or genetic disruption of Dll4/Notch signalling leads to an overbranching phenotype, increasing tip cell formation, and EC migration and proliferation (Leslie et al. 2007; Siekmann & Lawson 2007). Conversely, stimulation of Notch signalling decreases EC migration and proliferation (Liu et al. 2006; Leslie et al.
Moreover, perturbation on the VEGF pathway can alter the angiogenic EC behaviour, directly or indirectly modulating the Dll4/Notch cascade. In particular, it has been shown that loss of \textit{flt1} enhanced the tip cell formation leading to an overbranching phenotype (Krueger et al. 2011; Chappell et al. 2013). Overall, these studies have highlighted the crucial role of VEGF-Dll4-Notch interplay in the regulation of sprouting angiogenesis and in particular endothelial tip/stalk cell differentiation, migration, and proliferation.

### 3.10.1 Dynamics of the Notch pathway activity during ISV outgrowth

As shown in section 3.9., perturbation of the Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes through the LTCC interfered with cell migration during the development of the ISVs. Furthermore, it is known that the Notch pathway is involved in angiogenic sprouting and tip/stalk cell specification. Considering this, it is plausible to hypothesize that the observed changes in the number of cells per ISVs after modulation of LTCC conductance, were the result of impaired Notch signalling.

![Figure 28: Notch signalling pathway is active in different cells. Maximum intensity projection of ISVs from the rostral region in \textit{Tg(TP1:VenusPEST)} at 30 hpf indicating the Notch activity in different tissue such as the median fin fold epidermis and the notochord. Scale bar = 50\(\mu\)m.](image)

To test this hypothesis the Notch reporter line \textit{Tg(Tp1:VenusPEST)} (Ninov et al. 2012), was used to visualize all the cells where the Notch pathway was active.
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Figure 29: Notch signalling is dynamically active during ISV outgrowth. Maximum intensity projection from long-term time-lapse in vivo imaging of three different Tg(TP1:VenusPEST) control embryos (I-III), at the same developmental stage acquired from 28 hpf onwards. The embryos show different Notch activation patterns. t = time in hours. Scale bar = 50 µm.

In this particular reporter line, the fluorescent marker VenusPEST was expressed under the control of a synthetic promoter composed of a repetition of Notch target sequences (Ninov et al. 2012). Thanks to the PEST sequence (Li et al. 1998) the fluorescent marker is rapidly degraded allowing a dynamic visualization of the signal only in those cells which have an active Notch pathway. The strength of the fluorescence reflects the Notch signalling activity.

To better characterize the transgenic line, long-term time-lapse movies were acquired. To avoid multiple expression of the VenusPEST protein, the Tg(Tp1:VenusPEST) line was outcrossed with the control WT line and only the offspring were treated and analysed. As expected, along the zebrafish trunk, I observed the fluorescent signal not only in ECs, but also in other tissues such as the median fin fold epidermis and the notochord (Fig. 28), indicating that the Notch pathway is active in different cells at 30 hpf. As development proceeds, the fluorescent signal from the Notch activation decreases in the notochord and increases in ECs, allowing the visualization of ISV structures (Fig. 29).

However, the acquired long-term time-lapse images revealed that the signal was highly dynamic and very heterogeneous among different control fish even within the same clutch and at the same time frame (Fig. 29). This made the comparison between control and treated embryos rather challenging.

3.10.2 The perturbation of LTCC conductance affects the Notch pathway

To assess the physiological functions of calcium fluxes at the plasma membrane on the Notch signalling during angiogenesis, I analysed the Tg(Tp1:VenusPEST) reporter line in perturbed Ca^{2+} flux conditions during ISVs development.

Loss of the LTCC, in the cacna1c^{sa10930} mutant or in cacna1c^{ex4sa} MO-injected embryos, as well as the stimulation of LTCC by Bay K treatment, decreased Notch signalling at 30 hpf, compared to uninjected control embryos (Fig. 30). In contrast, downregulation of TRPC1 in trpc1^{ATG} MO-injected embryos and the absence of blood flow in tnt2^{ATG} MO-injected embryos did not affect Notch signalling (Fig. 30). The γ-secretase inhibitor DBZ, which suppresses Notch receptor cleavage, was used as a positive control of Notch
pathway downregulation. This data suggested that the perturbation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} fluxes specifically through the LTCC, but not through TRPC1, affects the Notch signalling pathway.

Figure 30: Perturbation of LTCC conductance downregulates Notch signalling. (A) Maximum intensity projections of ISVs from the rostral region in Tg(TP1:VenusPEST) at 30 hpf in different conditions. (B) Quantification of the mean fluorescence intensity signal in different conditions, data were normalized to the control values, set to 1. DBZ, a γ-secretase inhibitor, was used as a positive control of Notch pathway inhibition. Mean ± SD. $n$(control)=8; $n$(DMSO)=5; $n$(cacna1cMO)=10, ***$P$=0.0004; $n$(cacna1cMO10930)=7, *$P$=0.0304; $n$(Bay K)=4, ****$P$<0.0001; $n$(trpc1MO)=11; $n$(tnnt2MO)=10. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction. Scale bar = 50 μm.
To better investigate the effect of LTCC conductance on the VEGF and Notch pathways specifically in ECs, RT qPCR experiments were performed at 30 hpf on FACS sorted ECs. Inhibition or stimulation of LTCC conductance was achieved by $\text{cacna1c}^{\text{ex4sa}}$ MO injection or by Bay K treatment respectively; while the $\text{trpc1}^{\text{ATG}}$ MO injection was used to affect the contribution of the TRPC1 current. Moreover, I examine the contribution of blood flow on the VEGF and Notch pathway components expression by $\text{tnnt2}^{\text{ATG}}$ MO injection.

**Figure 31:** Perturbation of calcium fluxes via LTCC increases Notch target genes expression. (A-C) RT qPCR analysis of $\text{notch1b}$ (A) and its target genes $\text{hey1}$ (B) and $\text{hey2}$ (C) in FACS-sorted ECs from zebrafish embryos at 30 hpf in different conditions, compared to FACS-sorted ECs from uninjected control embryos (set to 0). The perturbation of the LTCC conductance (via $\text{cacna1c}^{\text{ex4sa}}$ MO injection ($n=8$)) mildly increased $\text{notch1b}$ relative expression level. On the other hand LTCC stimulation by Bay K treatment ($n=7$) or TRPC1 downregulation (via $\text{trpc1}^{\text{MO}}$ injection ($n=5$)), did not result in any effect on $\text{notch1b}$ expression, neither did the loss of haemodynamic forces (via $\text{tnnt2}^{\text{MO}}$ injection ($n=5$)) (A). Downregulation of the LTCC increased $\text{hey1}$ ($P=0.0242$) and $\text{hey2}$ ($n=6$; **$P=0.0054$) expression, while its stimulation upregulated only $\text{hey2}$ (**$P=0.0089$) (B, C). The $\gamma$-secretase inhibitor DBZ, used as a positive control for the Notch downregulation, decreased the expression levels of the $\text{hey1}$ ($n=7$; ****$P<0.0001$ ) and $\text{hey2}$ ($n=7$; **$P=0.0035$ ) genes without affecting $\text{notch1b}$ ($n=4$) expression. Data were normalized to the reference gene $\text{eef1a1/1}$ and represented as log$_2$ of the fold change (FC) (log$_2$(FC)). Mean ± SD. Paired t-test.
Based on this results, downregulation of the LTCC mildly increases the expression level of the Notch receptor encoded by the notch1b gene (Geudens et al. 2010) (Fig. 31 A). However, neither LTCC stimulation nor loss of trpc1 affected the notch1b expression level. The expression of the receptor was not altered even in the absence of haemodynamic forces due to the absence of blood flow via tnnt2-MO injection (Fig. 31 A). This demonstrates that the regulation of the Notch receptor expression, in this context, is independent of these pathways (Fig. 31 A).

Interestingly, the specific perturbation of LTCC conductance but not TRPC1, nor the absence of blood flow, increased the expression of the Notch target gene hey1 and hey2 (Fig. 31 B, C). In particular, the inhibition of LTCC conductance increased hey1 and hey2 expression levels, likewise, the stimulation of the channel increased hey2 but not the hey1 expression level (Fig. 31 B, C). As expected, the inhibition of the Notch pathway by the γ-secretase inhibitor DBZ, used as an internal control, decreased the expression level of the hey1 and hey2 genes without affecting notch1b expression (Fig. 31 A-C). Overall these data suggest that perturbing calcium fluxes through haemodynamic forces does not affect the expression of Notch signalling components. However, the specific inhibition or stimulation of LTCC activity induces the expression of the Notch target genes hey1 and hey2 (Fig. 31 B, C).

### 3.10.3 Perturbation of LTCC conductance affects VEGF signalling pathway

During angiogenesis and tip/stalk EC differentiation, Notch signalling is activated by its transmembrane ligand Dll4, expressed in neighbour cells and upregulated by the VEGFR-2 signalling cascade (Jakobsson et al. 2010). To better understand the implication of calcium fluctuation at the level of the plasma membrane on angiogenic signals, I investigated the expression level of the VEGF cascade components, upstream of the Notch pathway.

Interestingly, the specific perturbation of LTCC conductance but not TRPC1, nor the absence of flow, increased the expression level of VEGF receptors: kdr (vegfr-2) and flt1 (vegfr-1), which were shown to be a target genes of the Notch cascade (Jakobsson et al. 2010) (Fig. 32 A, B). In accordance with these results, I also observed an increase of dll4 expression (Fig. 32 C), which is regulated by the VEGFR-2 cascade (Liu et al. 2003; Lobov et al. 2007; Suchting et al. 2007). Moreover, the increase of dll4 is in
agreement with the observed increase in expression of the Notch target genes \textit{hey1} and \textit{hey2} (Fig. 31 B, C).

Importantly, neither the perturbation of TRPC1 nor the absence of haemodynamic forces affected any components of the VEGF or Dll4/Notch cascades. Furthermore, the inhibition of Notch signalling by DBZ treatment, used as a positive control, decreased \textit{dll4} expression (Fig. 32 A) as previously reported (Leslie et al. 2007).

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure32}
\caption{Perturbation of LTCC conductance affects the VEGF signal. (A-C) RT qPCR analysis of \textit{kdrl} (\textit{vegfr-2}) (A), \textit{flt1} (\textit{vegfr-1}) (B) and \textit{dll4} (C) in FACS sorted ECs from zebrafish embryos at 30 hpf in different conditions, compared to FACS sorted ECs from uninjected control embryos (set to 0). Inhibition of LTCC conductance, via \textit{canca1c-MO} injection, increased the expression levels of \textit{kdrl} (\textit{vegfr-2}) (n=8; **P=0.0064) (A) and \textit{flt1} (\textit{vegfr-1}) (n=6; **P=0.0022) (B), as well as the Notch ligand \textit{dll4} (n=8; *P=0.0101) (C). Stimulation of LTCC conductance by Bay K treatment also increased the expression level of \textit{kdrl} (n=6; *P=0.0283) (A) and \textit{flt1} (n=5; *P=0.0200) (B), as well as the Notch ligand \textit{dll4} (n=7; **P=0.0098) (C). Loss of \textit{trpc1} or the absence of flow via injection of \textit{tnnt2-MO}, did not affect the expression of \textit{vegfr-2} (n_{(\text{trpc1-MO})}=4; n_{(\text{tnnt2-MO})}=4) (A), \textit{vegfr-1} (n_{(\text{trpc1-MO})}=5; n_{(\text{tnnt2-MO})}=4) (B), or \textit{dll4} (n_{(\text{trpc1-MO})}=4; n_{(\text{tnnt2-MO})}=4) (C). The \gamma\text{-secretase inhibitor} (DBZ), decreased \textit{dll4} (n=7; **P=0.0082) expression levels, as expected (positive control for Notch pathway downregulation). DBZ treatment barely affects the expression level of \textit{kdrl} (n=6) (A) or \textit{flt1} (n=4) (B). Data were normalized to the reference gene \textit{eef1a1l1} and represented as log2 of the fold changes (FC) (log2(FC)). Mean ± SD. Paired t-test.}
\end{figure}
4 Discussion

Oscillations in cytosolic calcium concentrations ([Ca^{2+}]_{i}) are ubiquitous intracellular signals participating in different pathways and are fundamental to regulation of several biological processes. Because of its high versatility in amplitude, speed, frequency and spatiotemporal organization, this unique signal is adopted by different pathways in controlling cell behaviour. Due of this central role, Ca^{2+} signalling has been largely studied particularly in excitable cells such as neuron and muscle cells. However, the information on Ca^{2+} fluxes in non-excitable cells such as the endothelial cells are limited.

During the neuronal development, Ca^{2+} signalling is one of the most important signals controlling the axonal turning: source and amplitude of Ca^{2+} fluctuations are crucial in order to promote attraction or repulsion in response to a molecular cue. Especially the Ca^{2+} fluxes through the LTCC were shown to be essential for the neuronal turning (Hong et al. 2000; Wang & Poo 2005; Gasperini et al. 2017). Given the similarities between the neuronal and vascular systems, it is reasonable to hypothesize that Ca^{2+} signalling may play a critical role in ECs during vascular development. The main goal of this dissertation was to explore the contribution of Ca^{2+} oscillations and with that associated signalling during angiogenic sprouting in vivo.

Ca^{2+} signalling in EC was mainly investigated in relation to the regulation of vascular tone by vascular smooth muscle cells (vSMCs) and the excitation-contraction coupling. Increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{i} in the EC was associated with the production of soluble vasoconstrictor (or vasodilator), as well as with the initiation of the SMC hyperpolarization thus stimulating the vasodilation (Emerson et al. 2001; Taylor et al. 2012). Recently it has been shown that Ca^{2+} transients in vSMC can also propagate to the endothelium through the gap junctions located on the EC protrusion where the two cells make contact (Garland et al. 2017). This Ca^{2+} signal is sufficient to be amplified and stimulate the Ca^{2+} signalling response in the EC to promote vasodilation (Garland et al. 2017). Localised increase in [Ca^{2+}]_{i} have been reported to be essential for the EC migration (Tsai et al. 2015; Yokota et al. 2015). Moreover, different Ca^{2+} distribution along the migrating cells was observed to polarize the EC and to promote their cytoskeletal reorganization (Tsai et al. 2014). Nevertheless, most of these studies have been accomplished in cultured ECs and are studied in the context of mature vessels. In vivo approaches to resolve the EC Ca^{2+} dynamics at molecular level and the role of Ca^{2+} signalling during angiogenesis is still poorly understood (Yokota et al. 2015; Noren et al. 2016). In addition, how Ca^{2+} is regulated during angiogenesis, which channels are
responsible for the increase of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}$, and how the different signals modulate their activation remain to be elucidated. The data presented here have revealed the importance of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ fluxes through the plasma membrane, and specifically through the LTCC, in the regulation of EC migration during angiogenesis. Stimulation of the LTCC strongly induced the EC migration from the DA and resulted in an overbranching phenotype. On the other hand, the inhibition of the LTCC, as well as downregulation of the TRPC1, reduced the EC migration and proliferation. Furthermore, my results point to the high level of synergy between different $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ channels regulating $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx into the EC. Although on some level these channels act synergistically, the data reveal that LTCC play a distinct role in VEGF-Dll4-Notch signalling circuit.

4.1 Calcium oscillations: in vivo approach

The change in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{i}$ is the result of integrated outcome of multiple $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ release and influx pathways, occurring in a range of millisecond from the $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ channel opening. The possibility to capture this very dynamic signal relies on the development of new sensitive $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ sensors and fast microscopy technologies. Moreover, the lack of different molecular compounds to specifically inhibit or stimulate distinct $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ channels, make their identification difficult. Furthermore, tailored algorithms and customised image processing analysis is necessary to parse out different $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ pools; because of these obstacles the analysis of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ signals remains challenging. Due to the tissue transparency and the relative easy establishment of reporter lines, the zebrafish embryo is a powerful model system for studying angiogenesis in vivo. For the purpose of this study, I have generated the zebrafish transgenic line $\text{Tg(kdrl:Gal4; UAS:GCaMP5)}$ expressing the calcium indicator GCaMP5 specifically in the ECs. The rapid turnover of the GCaMP5 indicator (Akerboom et al. 2012) allowed for the visualization of calcium changes during the ISV outgrowth in vivo. In comparison to ex vivo studies, this approach guarantees a minimal interference with local $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ pools and does not modify the extracellular environment of ECs. In particular, the extracellular $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ concentrations, which have been shown to be a key regulator for the neuronal growth (Sutherland et al. 2014), are not interfered. Different calcium distributions in the filopodia extensions or into the main cell body can be appreciated and monitored during the angiogenic development. In this study, I present evidence of different components of calcium fluctuations during the angiogenesis in ECs. I observed slow $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ transients with low amplitude and long durations, and fast $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ sparks with high amplitude and short
duration (Fig. 9). The observed Ca²⁺ oscillation patterns are consistent with previous reports on ECs (Tsai et al. 2015). The Ca²⁺ response may be formed by an initial Ca²⁺ spike, due to the fast Ca²⁺ release from the intracellular stores, followed by an intermediate plateau level, resulted by the Ca²⁺ entry from the plasma membrane (Nilius & Droogmans 2001).

In addition to intracellular Ca²⁺ oscillations, at some instances Ca²⁺ waves appeared to propagate between sprouting ECs. This observation could provide evidence for the intercellular coupling between migrating ECs. More quantitative analysis of this potential coupling between neighbouring ECs has to be performed to provide conclusive evidence. Nevertheless, it is well documented that one of the gap junctional proteins, Connexin45 is highly expressed in DA (Desplantez et al. 2003; Christie et al. 2004), and thus my observation does not preclude the existence of tight intercellular communication between migrating ECs.

Taken together, zebrafish is an excellent model to study Ca²⁺ oscillations during angiogenesis in vivo. Growing number of genetically encoded indicators, fast in vivo imaging approaches such as light sheet microscopy, and improved image processing algorithms provide new exciting tools to explore physiological cues such as Ca²⁺ and their role in developmental processes in the very near future.

### 4.2 The role of LTCC in angiogenic sprouting

The expression of voltage gated Ca²⁺ channels in the endothelial cell has been long disputed over the last years. Recent data show the expression of the LTCC main pore forming subunit in human umbilical vascular endothelial cells (Kim et al. 2016). In this study I provide evidence that cana1c (a gene encoding LTCC) is expressed in zebrafish ECs during development. I used three different probes targeting different regions of the gene covering all predicted transcripts (Fig. 10). Moreover, the decrease in the relative mRNA expression level in ECs isolated from cana1c mutant line compared to control demonstrated that the LTCC is indeed expressed in the endothelial cells. Imaging of Ca²⁺ oscillations in the presence of LTCC inhibitors and/or agonists is necessary to show the contribution of LTCC to Ca²⁺ transient amplitudes, duration and frequency.

To address the role of LTCC during angiogenesis different approaches were used: on one hand a pharmacological approach targeting the 1,4-dihydropyridine sensitive subunit of the LTCC, and on the other hand a genetic approach to test the loss-of-function with either MO oligonucleotide-mediated knock-down or available cana1c
mutant lines. Unfortunately, to this day no gain-of-function mutations in \textit{cacna1c} have been identified. The pharmacological approach to inhibit the LTCC conductance by Nifedipine treatment severely affected not only the ISVs formation, but also embryo development (Fig. 12). Due to this fact I adopted genetic approaches for the subsequent experiments.

In accordance with the Nifedipine treatment, the downregulation of calcium fluxes through the LTCC by MO injection or in the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa10930} mutant compromised the ISV primary angiogenic sprout. The vessels were shorter and formed by less ECs at 30 hpf (Fig. 15) as well as at 48 hpf (Fig. 16). As expected, both approaches affected the cardiac function leading to the loss of heartbeat, and thus blood circulation.

MO approach represents an acute loss-of-function phenotype, and thus differs from genetic mutant. As previously reported for other genes (Kok et al. 2015), I noticed that the MO knock-down had a stronger phenotype compared to the one observed in the mutants. Nevertheless, the MO used in this work has been previously well established (Ramachandran et al. 2013), and the phenotypes observed were comparable and differ only in strength. This discrepancy could be explained by the genetic compensation mechanisms present in mutant lines (Rossi et al. 2015).

Notably, the perturbation of calcium influx through LTCC affected the EC behaviour during angiogenesis, decreasing the migration as well as the proliferation of ECs during primary angiogenic sprouting (Fig. 17). Curiously, LTCC downregulation in \textit{cacna1c} morphants affected specifically EC proliferation but not migration, while the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa10930} mutants revealed a decrease in EC migration but not proliferation (Fig. 17). This could be explained by the differences in timing and site of action between the MO and the mutant line. MO blocks translation by binding to the RNA of the target gene and causes a transient and concentration-dependent knockdown. The genetic mutation causes the permanent change in the DNA sequence; it has been recently demonstrated that some mutations are subjected to compensatory mechanisms leading to increased expression of other genes (Rossi et al. 2015). This possibility needs to be further tested by injecting the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MO into the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa10930} mutants as indicated by recurrent guidelines (Stainier et al. 2017). If the MO does not cause additional defects, it confirms its specificity. Other possible explanation for this discrepancy in EC behaviour could be that the genetic mutant \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa10930} is not a complete null but a hypomorph; if the \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{ex4sa} MO caused enhanced phenotype upon injection into \textit{cacna1c}\textsuperscript{sa10930}, it might indicate that this mutation is indeed a hypomorph. Further work is required to fully understand these differences. It also indicates that even though both strategies cause overall the same phenotype, the lower endothelial cell number per ISV, the mechanisms leading to this phenotype vary, and the result interpretation warrants caution.
Interestingly, the stimulation of the LTCC achieved via Bay K treatment resulted in an opposite effect on the ISV outgrowth: the ECs enhanced their angiogenic behaviour leading to an aberrant overbranching structure of the ISV (Fig. 11 D) formed by numerous ECs (Fig. 14). The increase of calcium influx through the LTCC by Bay K stimulation, positively impacted the EC migration from the DA, without affecting their proliferation. This phenotype partially phenocopied the one observed upon loss of dll4 as well as in the VEGFR-1 (flt1) mutants. The inhibition of Notch signalling, via dll4-MO as well as Rbpsuh-MO, strongly increase the number of EC within the ISVs (Siekmann & Lawson 2007). Conversely, stimulation of Notch signalling decreases the ECs migration and proliferation (Liu et al. 2006; Leslie et al. 2007; Siekmann & Lawson 2007; Harrington et al. 2008). Furthermore, loss of flt1 causes overbranching ISVs and increases the number of tip cells (Krueger et al. 2011). Indeed, the overbranching phenotype could result from the failure in the balance between tip and stalk cells differentiation, which is determined by the VEGF pathway and the Dll4/Notch cascade suggesting a possible regulatory role of LTCC in the VEGF-Dll4-Notch signalling circuit. The C-terminal tail of the LTCC is responsible for the channel regulation, harbouring binding sites for a number of proteins (Takahashi et al. 1987; Christel & Lee 2012; Weiss et al. 2013). To address the role of this key region during angiogenesis we adopted the cacna1c<sup>sa15296</sup> mutant line with the mutation in the splicing site that should yield a truncated version of the main pore forming subunit missing the C-terminal tail, compromising the channel conductance (Hulme et al. 2006) and C-terminal transcriptional activity (Gomez-Ospina et al. 2006; Schroder et al. 2009). However, the analysis of the ISV structure (Fig. 11) and the EC number (Fig. 15) in the cacna1c<sup>sa15296</sup> mutant line were comparable to the control zebrafish embryos, indicating that the main pore forming subunit may be still present and functional. Curiously, the investigation of migratory and proliferative events revealed a slight increase of the stalk cell proliferation (Fig. 17). The conductance of this mutant has to be yet determined. Moreover, the lack of working antibodies precluded me to test the mobility of the LTCC on the SDS-PAGE, and to accurately determine what is the nature of sa15296 allele at the protein level. Further analysis are required to address the specific issue of whether the observed effects in cacna1c<sup>sa15296</sup> mutant are solely due to the increased Ca<sup>2+</sup> conductance through the LTCC or they are result of the absence of the C-terminal function. Altogether, my data indicate that the fine regulation of Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx through the LTCC is required for controlling the angiogenic behaviour in EC and modulates the angiogenic sprouting of ISVs in zebrafish.
4.3 Calcium oscillations: contribution of different channels

Oscillations in 
result from the joined activity of different channels. The VEGF mediated increase of 
in EC in vitro was associated with the PLCγ-IP3-IP3R cascade (Brock et al. 1991; Koch & Claesson-Welsh 2012; Moccia & Guerra 2016), and with the SOCE involving the ER low Ca2+ sensor STIM1, the pore forming subunit Orai1 (Li et al. 2011), and TRPC1 (Jho et al. 2005; Shi et al. 2017). Furthermore, an in vivo study revealed VEGF-mediated Ca2+ oscillation in EC sprouting from the DA (Yokota et al. 2015). However, in vivo studies are still limited: since the Ca2+ oscillations are generally mediated by different channels and transporters, further studies are required to fully understand the molecular mechanisms and to identify all the participating members.

Among the calcium channels, the TRPC family provides an important contribution to the calcium signalling in EC. Studies on ECs highlighted the role of TRPC1 in VEGF-induced [Ca2+]i increase (Jho et al. 2005), and its positive contribution to angiogenesis (Yu et al. 2010) and to vascular tone permeability (Ahmmed et al. 2004; Jho et al. 2005). However, the physiological role of TRPC1 during vessel formation in vivo, and the interactions with the VEGF signalling components or with other ion channels are still poorly understood. My results, in accordance with previously published data (Yu et al. 2010), confirmed the requirement of TRPC1 in regulating the EC angiogenic behaviour during the primary ISV sprouting; TRPC1 downregulation decreased the migratory and proliferative events during ISVs angiogenesis (Fig. 26).

Increasing evidence from studies of vascular SMC suggests that TRPC1 and Orai1 cooperate in the SOCE and functionally interact with the LTCC (Bolotina 2012; Ávila-medina et al. 2016). SOCE could serve not only as a Ca2+ entry to restore the ER supply, but also as a depolarizing tool to trigger a secondary activation of the voltage gated LTCC (Bolotina 2012; Ávila-medina et al. 2016). Further studies are needed to delineate whether a similar process is present in non-excitable endothelial cells as well, and to establish its implication during the angiogenesis. However, I observed that TRPC1 interact synergistically with the LTCC promoting the ISV development (Fig. 27). This suggests the general requirement of Ca2+ fluxes at the plasma membrane for the regulation of the angiogenic process, rather than Ca2+ influx through a specific channel. Moreover, study on cell migration in diverse cell types have revealed the importance of calcium oscillations located at the front end of the migrating cell, and the increasing front-to-rear Ca2+ gradient to maintain the cell polarity (Tsai et al. 2014; Tsai et al. 2015). Furthermore, in vitro studies highlighted the role of LTCC to establish a front-to-rear
increasing Ca\(^{2+}\) gradients during EC migration (Kim et al. 2016). My data indicate the importance of the concerted action between the TRPC1 and the LTCC to promote the accurate ISV angiogenic development. Moreover, given the detailed analysis of the EC behaviour, it is evident that such synergies need to exist not only in polarized cell migration, but also in regulating cell proliferation.

It has to be noted that other channels can contribute to generating Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations and to maintain the cell polarity, indeed TRPC3 and TRPC6 may mediate the DAG-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) entry in several types of ECs (Antigny et al. 2011; Weissmann et al. 2012). Finally, it has to be taken into consideration that mechanical stimuli as laminar shear stress due to the hemodynamic forces can elicit Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, stimulating several mechanosensitive channels like the TRP polycystin 2 (TRPP2) the TRP vanilloid 4 (TRPV4), the heteromeric complex TRPC1-TRPP2-TRPCV4 and Piezo1 (Dietrich et al. 2007; Filosa et al. 2013; Du et al. 2014; Moccia 2018). However, these studies were mainly accomplished in vitro or in mature vessels, and integrative in vivo approaches are required to further understand the role of ionic cues during the vessels formation.

4.4 The angiogenic signalling

The VEGF-Dll4-Notch cascade is responsible for the maturation and maintenance of the EC angiogenic behaviour during the vessel formation. Given the morphological defects in the ISVs and the changes in the EC migration upon perturbing the LTCC conductance during angiogenesis, prompted me to better investigate the VEGF-Dll4-Notch signalling circuit. Using the Notch reporter line Tg(Tp1:VenusPEST) (Ninov et al. 2012) to visualise all the cells in which the Notch pathway was active, I observed downregulation of the Notch signalling upon LTCC perturbations, However, this result was in contrast with the subsequent RT qPCR analysis of the Notch-target genes in FACS-sorted ECs that show a significant increase in expression (Fig. 31, 32). This discrepancy could be explained by the high heterogeneity observed in the Tg(Tp1:VenusPEST) zebrafish line. Although, only the offspring from the outcross between the reporter and a wildtype control line were treated and analysed in order to avoid the heterogeneous effect of possible multiple insertion of VenusPEST transgene. The decrease of signal over time could be also due to the photobleaching and instability of the VenusPEST signal under the specific microscopy conditions applied.
The analysis of the expression level of Notch cascade components in FACS-sorted ECs gave more consistent results. My analysis revealed that downregulation of LTCC conductance during angiogenesis mildly increased the notch1b expression and stimulated the Notch target gene hey1 and hey2 as well as the VEGF receptor 1 (flt1), which was shown to also be a Notch target gene (Jakobsson et al. 2010). Moreover, we observed an increase of the VEGF receptor 2 (kdrl) expression level, which is in agreement with the observed upregulation of the Notch ligand dll4 expression as the VEGFR-2 activation stimulate the dll4 expression (Blanco & Gerhardt 2013; Simons et al. 2016). Bay K treatment resulted in a similar effect on the expression levels of different VEGF and Notch signalling components (Fig. 31 and 32). This indicates that the maintenance of the correct Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis via LTCC is required for the regulation of the gene expression; any changes in LTCC conductance in either direction can evoke the observed alterations. Notably, only the perturbation of the LTCC but not the TRPC1 affected the angiogenic pathways. The downregulation of the TRPC1 did not affect any components of the VEGF signalling nor the Notch-Dll4 cascade, suggesting an exclusive role for the LTCC in the regulation of the angiogenic signalling represented by VEGF-Dll4-Notch circuit (Fig. 31 and 32).

Previous in vitro studies have investigated the interplay between VEGF signalling and TRPC1, revealing how the VEGF-mediated increase of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) is associated with the TRPC1 activity, which is stimulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) store depletion through the SOCE mechanisms (Jho et al. 2005; Moccia & Poletto 2015; Shi et al. 2017). However, the possible interplay between the VEGF signalling and the LTCC is still unexplored. The C-terminal region of the LTCC main pore forming subunit harbours the binding sites for several proteins that regulate the channel gating, offering the possibility that other pathways may modulate the channel activity. VEGFR activation leads to the recruitment of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (Simons et al. 2016), which was also shown to ensure the stability of the \(\alpha1\) LTCC subunit via the Akt- (protein kinase B) mediated phosphorylation of the \(\beta\) subunit (Ghigo et al. 2017). Moreover, the VEGF cascade can stimulate the protein kinase C activity. It has been shown that the phosphorylation of the LTCC by PKC can either decrease the channel conductance or elicits a transient increase followed by a decrease (Lacerda et al. 1988; Tseng & Boyden 1991). Furthermore, evidences in vascular SMC suggest that the SOCE mechanism, which is also activated by the VEGF signalling, would work not only as a Ca\(^{2+}\) entry system to restore the ER supply, but also as a depolarizing tool to stimulate the LTCC activation (Bolotina 2012; Ávila-medina et al. 2016). However, further studies are required to
understand whether this mechanism is present in ECs as well, and to establish the crosstalk between the VEGF signalling and the LTCC regulation.

Study in developing cardiomyocytes has shown that the LTCC conductance is attenuated by the Wnt11 signalling (Panakova et al. 2010). For this reason, the MO-mediated loss of wnt11 was used to indirectly stimulate the LTCC conductance. At 48 hpf, the loss of wnt11 resulted in morphological vascular defects. In comparison to uninjected control embryos, the ISVs presented ectopic branches and loop connections, as well as disconnections to the DA or to the DLAV (Fig. 22). This was in line with previous results showing that the balance between the Notch and the Wnt signalling is required to stabilise or break new vessel connection (Phng et al. 2009). However, Phng and colleagues addressed the specific contribution of the Wnt3a to the vascular formation, further research would be required to understand the implication of Wnt11 on the angiogenic process, which remains poorly explored.

4.5 The contribution of the hemodynamic forces

The alteration of the LTCC conductance compromised the cardiac function, resulting in loss of heartbeat and thus blood flow. It has been shown that blood flow itself is required for the remodelling of vascular network (Chen et al. 2012; Kochhan et al. 2013; Franco et al. 2015) as well as for the lumen formation during sprouting angiogenesis (Gebala et al. 2016). Moreover, EC are able to sense and transduce the biomechanical stimuli, as the hemodynamic shear stress, in biological responses by the activation of multiple intracellular signalling pathways mediated by a variety of mechanosensors. The EC reaction to shear stress is mediated by an increase of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), which can be modulated through primary cilia (Hierck et al. 2008; Nauli et al. 2008; Ando & Yamamoto 2013; Goetz et al. 2014).

We observed the contribution of the hemodynamic forces in our model by blocking the cardiac function, and thus the blood flow via \(\text{tnnt2}^{\text{ATG}}\)-MO injection. As previously reported, the primary angiogenic sprout of the ISVs is independent of the blood circulation (Schuermann et al. 2014) and the ISVs morphology at 30 hpf was comparable to the uninjected control. However, accurate observations uncovered that the structure of the ISVs was formed by slightly less ECs compared to the control (Fig. 19). Furthermore, I determined that loss of blood flow mildly affected the EC migration and proliferation, and strongly increased the rate of retrograde migration events (Fig. 21), thus explaining the decrease of ECs forming the vessels.
Discussion

This retrograde migration was defined as an event when the ECs located in the ISV and forming the prospective vessel, migrated back to the DA. This event of cell regression only rarely appears in the wildtype ISVs at this stage, however, is typical for the vessel pruning during the vessel maturation (Chen et al. 2012). The regression is strongly associated with the blood flow directionality and from that implied hemodynamic forces (Chen et al. 2012; Franco et al. 2015).

Overall these data confirmed the crucial role of hemodynamic forces in stabilising the formation of the newly formed vessels. I also noticed that downregulation of LTCC in the cacna1c mutant, but surprisingly not in morphant embryos, increased the amount of EC retrograde migrations (Fig. 17). However, these events were occurring in the late stage of the vessel development, indicating that the lack of stability in the vessels due to the absence of flow promoted the retrograde migration. Importantly, the lack of blood flow did not perturb the expression levels of any components of the VEGF-Dll4-Notch cascade, showing that the balance of these pathways is independent from hemodynamic forces at 30 hpf during primary angiogenic sprouting (Fig. 31, 32).

In conclusion, our data uncovered a new role of LTCC underlying the endothelial cell behaviour, to modulate the primary angiogenic sprout of ISV in zebrafish.

This present work provide evidence that different Ca$^{2+}$ channels interact with a high level of synergy to promote the ISV development. However, only the specific modulation of the LTCC conductance pointed to a new possible regulatory role of the LTCC on the VEGF-Dll4-Notch signalling circuit. Understanding the endothelial calcium responses and its molecular mechanisms in physiological condition during angiogenesis, have the potential to provide deeper insight into the regulation of vasculature formation and contribute to the generation of new targets for therapeutic applications.
5 Materials and Methods

5.1 Materials

5.1.1 Critical commercial assays

Table 1: List of critical commercial assays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kit</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Cat#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Stand cDNA Synthesis Kit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher ScientificTM</td>
<td>K1612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher ScientificTM</td>
<td>K0691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMESSAGE MMACHINE T7 Kit</td>
<td>Ambion</td>
<td>AM1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Kit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher ScientificTM</td>
<td>F553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNaesy Mini Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
<td>74104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.2 Zebrafish line

Transgenic zebrafish lines were used to visualize the vascular development during time.

Table 2: List of zebrafish lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zebrafish line</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>ZFIN-ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild Type Lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>ZIRC</td>
<td>ZDB-GENO-960809-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL (Tupfel long fin)</td>
<td>ZIRC</td>
<td>ZDB-GENO-990623-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIK</td>
<td>ZIRC</td>
<td>ZDB-GENO-010531-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgenic Lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(fil1a:nlsEGFP)&lt;sup&gt;y7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ZIRC</td>
<td>(Roman et al. 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(kdrl:EGFP)&lt;sup&gt;843&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ZIRC</td>
<td>ZDB-ALT-050916-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(kdrl:Gal4-VP16)&lt;sup&gt;sd14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>(A. D. Kim et al. 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(Tp1bglob:H2BmCherry)&lt;sup&gt;8939&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>EZRC</td>
<td>ZDB-ALT-110503-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(Tp1bglob:venusPEST)&lt;sup&gt;8940&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>EZRC</td>
<td>ZDB-ALT-120419-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(UAS:GCaMP5)</td>
<td>(Akerboom et al. 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant Lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(cacna1c&lt;sup&gt;sa10930&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>EZRC</td>
<td>Item # 11056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg(cacna1c&lt;sup&gt;sa15296&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>EZRC</td>
<td>Item # 14832</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.3 Morpholino oligonucleotides

Table 3: Morpholino antisense oligonucleotides from GeneTools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morpholino</th>
<th>[c]E</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Sequence 5’-3’</th>
<th>ZDB-MRPHLNO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cacna1c-MO</td>
<td>4.5 ng; 1.68 ng</td>
<td>SSA ex 4</td>
<td>CCCGTTCTAGACAGACGAAACAGA</td>
<td>130802-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tnnt2-MO</td>
<td>1 ng</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>CATGGTGCTCTGATCTGACGCA</td>
<td>060317-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trpc1-MO</td>
<td>4 ng; 1.6 ng</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>CATCCCATGAGCAGGCTTACAC</td>
<td>100621-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wnt11-MO</td>
<td>1.2 ng</td>
<td>ATG</td>
<td>GTTCCCTGTATTCTGTATGTCGCT</td>
<td>050318-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.4 Chemicals and Reagents

Table 4: List of essential chemicals and reagents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical/Reagent</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Cat #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BayK-8644 (Bay K), 125µM</td>
<td>Calbiochem</td>
<td>196878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBZ (LY-41157), 100µM</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>SML0649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>276855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DreamTaq DNA Polymerase (5 U µl⁻¹)</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific™</td>
<td>EP0701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GlycoBlue™ Coprecipitant (15 mg ml⁻¹)</td>
<td>Invitrogen™</td>
<td>AM9515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nifedipine (Nif), 70µM</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>N7634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NuSieve® GTG Agarose</td>
<td>Lonza</td>
<td>50084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pronase</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>10165921001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinase K, recombinant, PCR Grade</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>3115879001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaqMan™ Gene Expression Master Mix</td>
<td>Applied Biosystems™</td>
<td>10525395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricane</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>E10521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIZol® Reagent</td>
<td>Invitrogen™</td>
<td>15596026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin-EDTA solution, 0.25%, sterile-filtered</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>T4049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.1.5 Genotyping

Table 5: List of oligonucleotides used for mutant genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Oligo</th>
<th>Sequence 5’-3’</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cacna1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;10930</td>
<td>&lt;br&gt;fw  &lt;br&gt;rv</td>
<td>TTTGCAGATTTTTGCGAGTG &lt;br&gt;GCCGATACTGGAGCAGACTC</td>
<td>595 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cacna1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;15296</td>
<td>&lt;br&gt;fw  &lt;br&gt;rv</td>
<td>TTCCTCAGGCTGTTCTGCTT &lt;br&gt;TCACAAAACCCTGAATCACA</td>
<td>431 bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.6 TaqMan probes for qRT-PCR

All the TaqMan probes were designed to target the gene expression in <i>Danio rerio</i> (Dr). With the exception for the <i>eef1α1</i> probe tagged with the covalently bound fluorophore VIC™, the remaining probes were tagged with the reporter dye FAM(6-carboxyfluorescein). The reference gene <i>eef1α1</i> was used as internal control within the same well of the gene-of-interest.

Table 6: List of TaqMan Probes for qRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Order No.</th>
<th>Exon Boundary</th>
<th>Fluorophore</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cacna1c</td>
<td>Dr03093556_g1</td>
<td>44-45</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cacna1c</td>
<td>Dr03093552_g1</td>
<td>40-41</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cacna1c</td>
<td>Dr03093552_g1</td>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dll4</td>
<td>Dr03428642_m1</td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eef1α1</td>
<td>Dr03432748_m1</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>VIC - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flt1</td>
<td>Dr03109249_m1</td>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hey1</td>
<td>Dr03438953_g1</td>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hey2</td>
<td>1376891 A8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kdrl</td>
<td>Dr03432890_m1</td>
<td>18-19</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>notch1b</td>
<td>Dr03086770_m1</td>
<td>28-29</td>
<td>FAM - MGB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1.7 Equipment and software

Table 7: Overview of Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BD FACSARiaTM I Flow Cytometer</td>
<td>BD Biosciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuge 5427 R</td>
<td>Eppendorf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuge 5920 R</td>
<td>Eppendorf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FemtoJet 4i Microinjector</th>
<th>Eppendorf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flaming/Brown Micropipette Puller, Model P-97</td>
<td>Sutter Instrument®</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leica TCS SP8 Confocal Microscopy</td>
<td>Leica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-152 Three-Dimensional Manipulator</td>
<td>Narishige Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M165</td>
<td>Leica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastercycler® pro vapo.protect</td>
<td>Eppendorf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>peqLAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZX7 Brightfield Microscopy</td>
<td>Olympus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ViiaTM 7 Real-Time PCR System</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inverted 3i spinning-disc confocal microscope (VIVO-SDC—CSU W1)</td>
<td>(Coxam &amp; Gerhardt 2018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Overview of Software

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Vendor /URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A Plasmid Editor (APE) by M. Wayne Davis</td>
<td>sequence alignment</td>
<td><a href="http://biologylabs.utah.edu/jorgensen/wayned/ape/">http://biologylabs.utah.edu/jorgensen/wayned/ape/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Illustrator CS5.1</td>
<td>illustration of data</td>
<td>Adobe Systems, Inc. (San Jose, US)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Photoshop CS5.1</td>
<td>image processing</td>
<td>Adobe Systems, Inc. (San Jose, US)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad Prism 7 for Mac OS X, Version 7.0b</td>
<td>statistical analysis</td>
<td>GraphPad Software, Inc. (La Jolla, US)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ</td>
<td>image processing</td>
<td><a href="http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/">http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mendeley</td>
<td>reference manager</td>
<td><a href="https://www.mendeley.com/">https://www.mendeley.com/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft® Excel for Mac</td>
<td>spreadsheet application</td>
<td>Microsoft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsoft® Word for Mac</td>
<td>text processing</td>
<td>Microsoft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SlideBooks</td>
<td>image processing</td>
<td><a href="http://www.slidebooks.com">www.slidebooks.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viia™ 7 RUO software</td>
<td>qPCR processing</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific™</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Methods

5.2.1 Zebrafish methods

5.2.1.1 Zebrafish husbandry

Zebrafish were maintained under standard laboratory condition in accordance with the guidelines of the Max-Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine and the local authority for animal protection (Landesamt für Gesundheit und Soziales, Berlin, Germany) for the use of laboratory animals, and followed the ‘Principles of Laboratory Animal Care’ (NIH publication no. 86–23, revised 1985) as well as the current version of German Law on the Protection of Animals. Embryos were kept in E3 Medium (see below) for practical reasons at 31,5°C for the first 10 hours post fertilization (hpf) and then transferred at 28°C standard temperature. When pigmentation started beyond 30 hpf, PTU (1-phenyl-2-thiourea, Sigma-Aldrich) at the concentration of 0,003% was added to the E3 medium to prevent the melanogenesis (D. Burrill & S. Easter 1994). Staging was calculated as described before by hpf or by somites counting (Kimmes 2004). Zebrafish strains AB, TL and WIK (Table 2) were used for the analysis of wild type phenotype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E3 Medium</th>
<th>5mM NaCl; 0,17mM KCl; 0,33mM CaCl; 0,33mM MgSO₄; pH 7,4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5.2.1.2 Microinjection and morpholino mediated gene knockdown

Genetic manipulations were performed by microinjection of in vitro transcribed mRNA, expression construct or antisense morpholinos oligonucleotides (GeneTools) into zebrafish fertilized eggs at one-cell stage. Morpholino antisense oligonucleotides are translational blockers, which bind to the complementary sequences of the mRNA. The injection was performed either in the yolk or into the zygote using a FemtoJet microinjector, all the desired concentrations were obtained in Danieau’s buffer (see below). Different morpholinos and concentrations are described in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Danieau’s Buffer</th>
<th>17,4mM NaCl; 0,21mM KCl; 0,12mM MgSO₄ 0,18mM Ca(NO₃); 1,5 mM HEPES; pH 7,6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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5.2.1.3 *In vivo* imaging

To obtain *in vivo* imaging and time-lapse imaging, embryos were anaesthetized with 0.016% Tricaine in E3 medium and then embedded in 0.5% low melting point agarose (Lonza) for immobilization in a microwell glass bottom dishes (MatTek). The imaging was then performed using a Leica TCS SP8 Confocal Microscope with a 25X water immersion objective or a 20X glycerol immersion objective. During acquisition embedded embryos were kept in a chamber at 28°C and covered with E3 medium containing 0.016% Tricaine.

5.2.1.4 Nifedipine, BayK-8644, and γ-secretase inhibitor (DBZ) treatment

To pharmacologically interfere with the L-type calcium channel (LTCC) we used BayK-8644 (Bay K) and Nifedipene (Nif), the agonist and the antagonist of the channel, respectively. The indirect inhibition of Notch signalling was performed using the γ-secretase inhibitor (DBZ), which prevents the cleavage of Notch receptor stopping the signalling cascade. All the substances were dissolved in DMSO to reach the stock concentration of 50mM, Nifedipine and Bay K or 100mM DBZ. The compounds were dissolved in E3 medium to reach the desired concentration (Table 4), zebrafish embryos were treated from 10 hpf at the bud stage until the moment of the analysis. Embryos in E3 medium containing only DMSO were used as controls.

5.2.2 Molecular biology methods

5.2.2.1 Genomic DNA isolation from zebrafish fins for mutant identification

Genomic DNA was isolated from fins samples to identify the ones carrying the mutation in the *cacna1c* gene. Adult fish were anaesthetized in 0.016% of Tricaine in E3 medium before the fin dissection. The fin tissue was lysed in 50µl of 50mM NaOH solution at 95°C for 10min. The reaction was stopped by adding 5µl of 1M Tris buffer (pH 8.0). The primers used for PCR amplification to identify the *cacna1c* mutants are listed in Table 5.
5.2.2.2 Fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS)

In order to analyze the gene expression levels specifically in the vasculature we isolated endothelial cells using the fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS) of the transgenic line Tg(kdrI:EGFP). Approximately 100 embryos were first dechorionated using 0.5 mg/ml of Pronase solution in E3 Medium, then dissociated to obtain a homogeneous single cells suspension via trypsinization in 10ml of 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA solution (Trypsin with 0.25% EDTA, Sigma Aldrich) for 2-3 hours at 28°C on rotation with a gentle resuspension every 30 min through a 1 ml filter tip. The following operations were then performed on ice. The cells suspension was put through a 70µm nylon cell strainer (Cat#734-0003, Nevlab) then centrifuged at 200g for 20 min at 4°C. Supernatant was removed until 1ml and 100µl of FBS (Fetal Bovine Serum) was added to stop the trypsinization. The pellet was then resuspended and centrifuged at 200g for 10 min at 4°C; supernatant was removed, cells washed in 2% FBS/PBS and the centrifugation repeated. The cells were resuspended in 400µl of 100% PBS and strained through an ice-cold 5 ml polystyrene round-bottom tube, with 75µm cell-strainer cap (Cat#10585801, Corning™) to prevent clogging. Using the FACS Aria I Flow Cytometer, EGFP positive labeled cells were sorted and collected at 4°C in 500µl of TRIzol® Reagent, shock frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

5.2.2.3 Total RNA Isolation from FACS sorted cells

Total RNA isolation from FACS sorted cells was achieved using Chloroform/Isopropanol and GlycoBlue precipitation. Upon FACS sorting procedures the samples aliquots containing 500 µl of TRIzol® Reagent, were thawed on ice and shaken for 5 min at 25 °C before to be resuspended five times. 100 µl ice-cold chloroform were added to the tube, shaken vigorously for 20 s in between the fingertips and incubated for 5 min on ice. Full speed centrifugation was then performed for 15 min at 4°C to achieve phase separation into a lower red colored phenol-chloroform phase, an interphase, and a color-less upper aqueous phase containing the RNA. Approximately 200 µl of upper phase was transferred in a fresh 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and diluted 1:2 in ice-cold Isopropanol, carefully mixed before 1 µl GlycoBlue™ Coprecipitant was added to the mixture to facilitate the RNA visualization. The reaction was gently mixed before to start the RNA precipitation at –20 °C over night. Upon 30 min full speed centrifugation at 4 °C, the supernatant was removed without disturbing the RNA pellet, which was then washed.
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with 500 µl 75% Ethanol/H₂O (RT, freshly prepared), followed by full speed centrifugation at 4 °C for 10 min. The obtained pellet was air-dried for 10 min at RT, resuspended in 10 µl H₂O. Quality and quantity of the purified RNA was measured photometrically using NanoDrop according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The total isolated RNA was then stored at -80°C or directly used for TR qPCR analysis.

5.2.2.4 Total RNA Isolation from Zebrafish embryos

Total RNA isolation was performed from 15-100 zebrafish embryos by Chloroform/Isopropanol and GlycoBlue precipitation as for FACS sorted cells. First the embryos were washed several times with sterile egg water, anesthetized and transferred into a 1.5 ml tube. After the water was removed, 500 µl TRIzol® Reagent were added and tissue homogenization was performed by sucking the lysate 20 times each through a 23 G (Ø 0.6 x 30 mm) and a 27 G (Ø 0.4 x 20 mm) needle (B. Braun Sterican). 500 µl of TRIzol® Reagent were then added to reach a total volume of 1 ml, and the lysate was mixed by pipetting up and down six times. To allow the complete dissociation of nucleoprotein complexes the homogenized samples were incubated at RT for 5 min. 200 µl of ice-cold chloroform were then added and the samples were vigorously rocked for 15 s, incubated at RT for 5 min and full speed centrifuged at 4 °C for 15 min. Upon the complete phase separation the RNA isolation was performed as described before (see chapter 5.2.2.3), the RNA pellet was resuspended in 87.5 µl nuclease-free H₂O by flicking the tube for 3 min to facilitate RNA rehydration. The total RNA was then stored at -80°C or directly used for TR qPCR analysis.

5.2.2.5 DNase I Treatment and cDNA Synthesis

On-column DNase I treatment (Appendix D: DNase Digestion of RNA before RNA Cleanup), clean-up and determination of RNA concentration were performed according to the RNeasy Mini Kit. Upon determination of RNA quantity and quality with the NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Table 8): complementary DNA (cDNA), required for quantitative RT-PCR, was obtained using First-stand cDNA Synthesis Kit according to manufacturer’s protocol. The reverse transcription was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions using a random hexamers primer, the reaction was incubated for 5 min at 25 °C followed by 60 min at 37 °C in the
Mastercycler® pro vapo.protect. cDNA samples were directly used for qRT-PCR analysis.

5.2.2.6 Quantitative RT-PCR

In order to analyze the expression levels of specific genes, quantitative RT-PCR using TaqMan® Gene Expression Assay (Applied Biosystems) was performed. The amplification was performed on 25-100 ng of cDNA using FAM or VIC dye-labeled TaqMan® MGB probes (Table 6) and TaqMan® Gene Expression Master Mix and carried out on a ViiA™ 7 Real-Time PCR System. The reaction was performed in technical triplicates, minus-reverse transcriptase (–RT) and no-template were used as negative control conditions. The following condition were applied:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCR condition steps</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-UNG Incubation annealing</td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-UNG inactivation Taq activation</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Denaturation</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>15 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Elongation</td>
<td>60°C</td>
<td>1 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat steps 3-4: 40X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UNG: uracil-N-glycosylase is a component of the TaqMan Master Mix that prevent the reamplification of carryover PCR products by removing any uracil incorporated into single- or double-stranded DNA.

Data were analyzed using ViiA™ 7 RUO software (Table). The software reported the threshold cycle (Cₜ) values and baseline. The Cₜ represents the number of cycle (C), during the early phase of the exponential growth, in which the detected fluorescence probe crossed a threshold (T). The Ct value is a relative measurement of the target gene concentration: low Cₜ value corresponds to high abundance of the target gene, on the other hand, high Cₜ represents poor amount of the initial target gene.

The data were normalized to the internal housekeeping gene eef1α1, labeled with VIC™ dye (Table 6), the reaction was performed in the same well as the gene of interest. Fold induction (fold change, FC) was determined applying ΔΔCt calculation (Livak & Schmittgen 2001) and plotted as log₂(FC) with the control set to 0; or as FC with the
control set to 1, using GraphPad Prism 7 (Table 8). Statistics analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 7 on the ΔC<sub>T</sub> values comparing the untreated control situation to the treated situation using paired t-test.

### 5.2.3 Confocal microscopy

To image in vivo growing ISVs of mounted zebrafish embryos, long time lapse confocal microscopy was performed using a Leica SP8 microscope either with a 25X water immersion objective, for live imaging or a 20X glycerol immersion objective for 18 hours from 28hpf. To acquire different confocal plane through the tissue each stack was formed by 40-70 single images separated by 1,04 µm. For the long time lapse imaging every stack was acquired at 10-15 min interval. Live calcium imaging was performed either on Leica SP8 microscope with a 25X water immersion objective, acquiring each stack in 8 sec interval; or on an inverted 3i spinning-disc confocal microscope (VIVO-SDC—CSU W1), with a Zeiss W Plan-Apochromat 20X/1.0NA WD1.8 mm Water Dipping Objective for Vivo™, acquiring each stack in 4 sec interval. Images were then analyzed using either ImageJ or SlideBooks software (Table 8).

### 5.2.4 Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7 (Table 8). Significant differences between 2 experimental groups were determined applying One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni Multiple Comparison correction, paired or unpaired t-test. Unless otherwise stated, significant differences are indicated as p values: \( P<0.05 = *; P<0.01 = **; P<0.001 = ***; P<0.0001 = **** \). All data are plotted as mean ± standard deviation (SD).
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### Table 9: List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>base pair(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc42</td>
<td>cell division cycle 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>complementary DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct</td>
<td>cycle threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctl</td>
<td>control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>cardinal vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>dorsal aorta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAG</td>
<td>diacylglycerol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLAV</td>
<td>dorsal longitudinal anastomotic vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dll4</td>
<td>delta-like 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>dimethylsulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>2-deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNase</td>
<td>deoxyribonuclease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP</td>
<td>2’-deoxynucleoside 5’-triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dpf</td>
<td>days post fertilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>endothelial cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>epidermal growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFP</td>
<td>enhanced green fluorescent protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
<td>endoplasmic reticulum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERK</td>
<td>extracellular signal-regulated kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex</td>
<td>exon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACS</td>
<td>fluorescence-activated cell sorting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAK</td>
<td>focal adhesion kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>fold change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fw</td>
<td>forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fyn</td>
<td>cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hpf</td>
<td>hour(s) post fertilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>heparan sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsp27</td>
<td>heat-shock protein-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ig</td>
<td>immunoglobulin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISV</td>
<td>intersegmental vessels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>Kilo Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPM</td>
<td>lateral plate mesoderm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAML</td>
<td>mastermind-like proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPK</td>
<td>mitogen-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAPKAPK2</td>
<td>MAPK-activated protein kinase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg</td>
<td>milligram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minute(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ml</td>
<td>milliliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mM</td>
<td>millimolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>morpholino oligonucleotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ng</td>
<td>nanogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICD</td>
<td>notch intracellular domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nrarp</td>
<td>notch-regulated ankyrin repeat protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRP</td>
<td>neuropilin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p38MAPK</td>
<td>p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAK2</td>
<td>activated protein kinase-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCV</td>
<td>posterior cardinal vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI3K</td>
<td>phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIGF</td>
<td>placental growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKB</td>
<td>AKT/protein kinase B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKC</td>
<td>Protein kinase C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTU</td>
<td>1-Phenyl-2-thiourea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAF</td>
<td>ras-activated serine/threonine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase</td>
<td>ribonuclease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT qPCR</td>
<td>Real time quantitative PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rv</td>
<td>reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>second(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHB</td>
<td>SH2 domain containing adaptor protein B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCE</td>
<td>store operated calcium entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRC</td>
<td>cytosolic tyrosine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>transgenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>transmembrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNG</td>
<td>uracil-N-glycosylase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>vascular endothelial growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR</td>
<td>vascular endothelial growth factor receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGCC</td>
<td>voltage-gated ion channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vSMC</td>
<td>Vascular smooth muscle cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIRC</td>
<td>Zebrafish International Resource Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µg</td>
<td>microgram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µl</td>
<td>microliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µmol</td>
<td>micromole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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