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ABSTRACT
We have realized a microstrip based terahertz (THz) near field cantilever that enables quantitative measurements of the impedance of the probe tip at THz frequencies (0.3 THz). A key feature is the on-chip balanced hybrid coupler that serves as an interferometer for passive signal cancellation to increase the readout circuit sensitivity despite extreme impedance mismatch at the tip. We observe distinct changes in the reflection coefficient of the tip when brought into contact with different dielectric (Si, SrTiO$_3$) and metallic samples (Au). By comparing finite element simulations, we determine the sensitivity of our THz probe to be well below 0.25 fF. The cantilever further allows for topography imaging in a conventional atomic force microscope mode. Our THz cantilever removes several critical technology challenges and thus enables a shielded cantilever based THz near field microscope.

I. INTRODUCTION
In condensed matter research, there is a strong desire for new experimental tools that can measure the local electrical properties of materials and buried layers at high frequencies and with high spatial resolution. For this goal, in recent years, a variety of powerful scanning probe techniques have emerged, which cover different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum: on the one hand, scanning near-field optical microscopy (SNOM) has enabled imaging with infrared and far-infrared frequencies down to a few terahertz (THz) by utilizing the optical toolbox, i.e., free-space radiation, and lasers and fiber technology; on the other hand, at gigahertz frequencies (typically 1–20 GHz), coaxial probes and shielded cantilevers have made possible quantitative local imaging by making use of commercially available microwave electronics for high performance signal processing (scanning microwave impedance microscopy, SMIM). The frequency band in between, however, ranging approximately from 100 GHz to a few THz (also referred to as sub-millimeter waves), is a technological challenge. In the field of astronomy detection, major progress has been made in sub-mm technology, for instance in the development of phase preserving instruments based on superconducting tunnel junctions such as for the Herschel Space Telescope, the Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX), and the Atacama Large Millimeter array (ALMA). These advances are being picked up to promote technological progress also in other research fields. In condensed matter physics, this is expected to have a strong impact on measurement instrument development, which will help understanding a variety of important problems, in particular for disordered and unconventional superconductors as well as for the so-called quantum materials where strong electron-electron interactions...
in the THz energy range give rise to a number of puzzling, unconventional, and often spatially inhomogeneous electrical properties.\cite{1, 3, 5} Realizing an experimental tool for probing these properties, however, remains challenging. Only recently, first scattering SNOM measurements below 1 THz have been reported.\cite{10} In the sub-mm band, on-chip electronic circuits suffer from high losses (at room temperature), which strongly complicates the fabrication of more complex circuits, required for signal control and processing. An alternative technology, much less prone to losses, consists of quasi-optical and metallic waveguide components. However, this technology increases the size of the measurement instrument compared with on-chip circuitry and thus also imposes certain boundaries when more complex signal handling is needed. In order to overcome these technological hurdles, there is ongoing development to combine quasi-optical and on-chip electronics in hybrid devices\cite{10} but also to push the performance of microwave electronics into the sub-millimeter-band.\cite{16} Picking up on this development, we have recently reported a microstrip (MS) fabrication technology based on PECVD SiN,\cite{17} that is compatible with thin film membranes. For this technology, losses are sufficiently well controlled at frequencies around $0.3 \text{ THz}$ such that the realization of room-temperature THz on-chip components is feasible.\cite{18} Here, we use this technology to extend scanning impedance microscopy from microwaves into the THz frequency range. We present a shielded THz cantilever suitable for scanning probe microscopy that enables quantitative measurement of the impedance of the cantilever tip at around $0.3 \text{ THz}$. A key ingredient is a branchline coupler that is patterned on the cantilever and acts as an interferometer for the THz signal, thereby providing high sensitivity of the circuit to small impedance changes at the cantilever tip.

First, we will revisit the concept of scanning near-field microscopy with shielded cantilevers as it is currently being used in microwave microscopy, and we will identify the key features a THz cantilever should comprise. We then present the concept of our THz on-chip interferometer. Finally, we demonstrate how this concept enables impedance measurements with a THz cantilever that is compatible with conventional atomic force microscopy (AFM).

II. PRINCIPLE OF SHIELDED CANTILEVER MICROSCOPY

The principle of scanning near-field microscopy with a shielded microstrip (MS) cantilever is illustrated in Fig. 1(a).\cite{1, 2} The cantilever consists of a dielectric membrane of which the bottom side is covered with a thin metal layer, serving as a transmission line ground plane. The signal line of width $w$ is patterned on the top side of the same dielectric. A cross section of the resulting MS transmission line geometry is sketched in Fig. 1(b). Because the high frequency fields are mostly confined within the dielectric, such a transmission line geometry allows for delivering the signal to the cantilever tip in a controlled way, while the ground plane screens the environment and prevents radiation losses. At the end of the cantilever, the signal line terminates in a metallic tip. When a high frequency tone is launched to the MS, the tip acts as a capacitive termination, reflecting the signal back into the cantilever. This is quantified by the reflection coefficient $\Gamma$, which is given by the mismatch between the generally complex valued tip impedance $Z$ and the characteristic MS line impedance $Z_0 = 50 \, \Omega$. $\Gamma = (Z - Z_0)/(Z + Z_0)$. When the cantilever is lifted far away from the sample surface, $Z$ is given by the capacitance $C_t$ between the tip and the cantilever ground plane [see Fig. 1(a)]. When the tip is on a sample, $Z$ is modified by contributions from the tip-sample capacitance $C_{s,tip}$, the capacitance between the sample and the ground plane $C_{s,grd}$, and resistive losses inside the sample, $R_s$. Measuring changes in $\Gamma$ by detecting phase and amplitude of the reflected signal while scanning the tip over the sample provides a quantitative image of the spatial distribution of the conducting and dielectric properties of the sample.\cite{18} Since the electric field becomes strongly enhanced at the sharp tip, these local contributions dominate the total response, thus enabling spatial resolution down to 100 nm, i.e., three orders of magnitude below the signal wavelength\cite{18}.

The tip-ground plane capacitance $C_t$ is generally given by the size and geometry of the cantilever close to the tip, which results in values of the order of $C_t \approx 10^{-13} \, \text{F}$. Since $Z \approx 1/\omega C_t$, at gigahertz frequencies ($\omega = 2\pi f$, $f \approx 10^9 \, \text{Hz}$), the terminating impedance is large $Z \approx 10^6 \, \Omega \gg Z_0$ and therefore the reflection coefficient becomes $\Gamma \approx 1$. This means that most of the signal is reflected back into the

FIG. 1 (a) Sketch of a shielded cantilever and the corresponding lumped element circuit for measuring the complex reflection coefficient $\Gamma$ of the cantilever tip. The cantilever consists of a metallic signal line and a ground plane, separated by a dielectric, which determines the mechanical properties of the cantilever. The probe-cantilever interaction can be described with a lumped element circuit. (b) Cross section of the microstrip shielded cantilever. $w$ and $h$ denote the width of the signal line and the height of dielectric layer, respectively. (c) Equivalent lumped element circuit of the situation depicted in (a). (d) Distributed element circuit diagram for measuring the cantilever impedance using a balanced branchline coupler. The reflection coefficient $\Gamma$ at the cantilever tip is determined by measuring the scattering parameter $S_{41}$ of the branchline coupler. This is achieved by interference of the reflected signal from the tip with an unknown phase shift $\Delta \phi_2$ with that of a balanced cancellation arm with known phase shift $\Delta \phi_1$. $Z_0$ denotes the characteristic line impedance, and $\lambda$ is the signal wavelength.
cable when the tip is floating over the sample. We will refer to this part of the signal as a scattered signal because it does not carry information about the sample itself. When the tip is in contact with the sample, the desired contributions from the tip-sample interaction thus only lead to small variations on top of an otherwise large $I$, which is obviously difficult to detect. It is therefore highly desirable to minimize the scattered signal in the detector line and to become sensitive to those contributions only, which originate from the tip-sample interaction. At microwave frequencies, this problem has been addressed by making the cantilever and the tip part of a resonator or by adding an impedance matching circuit which matches the open tip impedance to $Z_0$. Both solutions create a narrow band resonance condition, which enhances the sensitivity of the circuit to changes in the tip impedance. Furthermore, a common mode cancellation loop is typically included into the microwave readout circuit, which further reduces the scattered signal level at the detector.

While at microwave frequencies such circuitry can be incorporated rather easily, this is not straightforward at THz frequencies because many required technologies are not readily available. In order to realize a shielded impedance microscope cantilever at THz frequencies, it is therefore plausible to aim for an on-chip THz circuit solution that can be patterned closer to the tip with lithographical means. We identify the following key properties such a circuit should provide: (1) separation of the in-going and reflected signal to facilitate signal processing, (2) cancellation of the scattered signal in the detector line, (3) sensitive response when the tip is brought into contact with a dielectric or a metallic sample, and (4) short signal lines to minimize losses. In Sec. III, we will present and demonstrate a circuit that fulfills all of these requirements.

III. BALANCED BRANCHLINE COUPLER AS ON-CHIP INTERFEROMETER

Figure 1(d) depicts the diagram of a circuit designed to accomplish the above criteria. A key component is the balanced branchline coupler. It consists of 4 ports (labeled 1–4) that are connected through transmission line segments of a quarter wavelength $\lambda/4$ of the aimed for measurement frequency. By properly designing the impedance of each branch of the coupler [i.e., by choosing the appropriate signal line width $w$ for a constant thickness of the dielectric layer, cf. Fig. 1(b)], one can control the transmission coefficients between the ports. The key idea of the concept we introduce here derives from analogies between a branchline coupler and an optical interferometer. The key idea of the concept we introduce here, which is depicted in Fig. 1(b), is that of controlling the transmission coefficients of the coupler by appropriately choosing the impedances of the branches. The key idea of the concept we introduce here, which is depicted in Fig. 1(b), is that of controlling the transmission coefficients of the coupler by appropriately choosing the impedances of the branches. By properly designing the impedances of the branches, it is possible to achieve good signal cancellation at port 4.

A critical aspect of this concept is that it is also compatible with other THz sources and detectors, for instance photomixers. We first demonstrate conceptually the basic idea for this, we have fabricated two samples (devices A and B) for which $\Delta L = 0$ and realize two different arm terminations $open/short$ and $open/open$ at ports 2 and 3, respectively. An optical image of device A is shown in Fig. 2(a). The signal is launched and picked up from the circuit via the ports labeled 1 and 4 in Fig. 2(a), which consist of coplanar waveguide type fixtures (not visible) that enable coupling of THz signals into the circuit with the landing probes. The $\lambda/4$-branches of the coupler have a length of 130 $\mu$m, corresponding to a branchline coupler
As expected, for device A, we observe a low transmission (obtained for devices A and B (blue and black symbols, respectively). The signal (indicated with blue arrows) is injected at port 1 and detected at port 4. The scale bar corresponds to 100 µm. (b) S-parameter magnitude of a transmission measurement (symbols) and the corresponding analytic calculation (solid line) of devices A (blue) and B (black). L_2 = L_3 = 49 µm.

Center frequency of f_c = 270 GHz. For the arm lengths, we choose L_2 = L_3 = 49 µm.

Figure 2(b) shows the measured scattering parameter S_{41} obtained for devices A and B (blue and black symbols, respectively). As expected, for device A, we observe a low transmission (∼30 dB) between ports 1 and 4 with a minimum at f = 280 GHz, which is close to the branchline coupler’s center frequency f_c = 270 GHz. For device B, both arms terminate in an open, i.e., ΔΦ_2 = ΔΦ_3. As a result, constructive interference leads to a high transmission (∼5 dB) over the full frequency range.

Next, we demonstrate how, owing to the sharp interferometer cancellation conditions, the circuit is highly sensitive to contributions from Φ_{ΔL}. Figure 3 shows the measured S_{41} parameter obtained from a set of devices for which we have varied L_3 = 49 µm + ΔL by ΔL = (1, 0, −1, . . . , −5) µm, while leaving L_2 = 49 µm fixed. This leads to a small phase imbalance for the signal paths along arms 2 and 3. The experimental data reveal that indeed the position of the dip in frequency as well as its depth sensitively depend on ΔL (dotted line). In Fig. 4(a), we have extracted magnitude and phase (symbols) for each ΔL at fixed frequency f = 280 GHz (dashed line in Fig. 3). The data show that signal cancellation improves for small ΔL with an optimal configuration at ΔL = −1 µm. For even larger length difference, it levels off. As discussed above, this behavior reflects the termination of arm 2 with a finite capacitance, leading to phase shift slightly different from −π, which gets compensated for by a slightly shorter L_3. This has been confirmed quantitatively within a textbook analytical model of the circuit (for details, see the Appendix and the supplementary material) that nicely reproduces all of our experimental data consistently (solid lines in Figs. 3 and 4(a)). In addition to a small dissipative contribution in the via, R_{short} = 1.6 Ω, we have taken into account a finite terminating capacitance C_i = 0.163 fF, consistent with a standard textbook approximation for an open MS line (see the Appendix).

We can further use the analytical model to analyze theoretically the circuit’s response to a load capacitance C_{load} connected in parallel to C_i, representing a sample in a scanning probe experiment [cf. lumped element diagram in Fig. 1(c)]. The resulting amplitude and phase are plotted in Fig. 4(a) as dashed lines. The corresponding C_{load} is given in the top axis. As expected, this yields a behavior similar to a variation of ΔL. Figure 4(b) plots the data as in-phase (I) and quadrature (Q) amplitudes, for a variation of ΔL (bottom axis, solid lines) and C_{load} (top axis, dashed lines), respectively. In this representation, I can be directly related to dissipative contributions to the signal, while Q represents the imaginary part of the reflection coefficient, which is related to capacitive (and, in principle, also inductive) contributions. This is consistent with the observed linear behavior of Q and a constant I. From these plots, we
the branches, significant leakage currents within the coupler result in a nontrivial relation between $\Delta L$ and signal cancellation at the detector line. Therefore, we use FE simulations to empirically determine a well-balanced configuration for the given $C_t$, for which we obtain $L_2 = 44 \mu m$ and $L_3 = 54 \mu m$, i.e., $\Delta L = 8 \mu m$.

IV. CANTILEVER IMPLEMENTATION

We will now describe how this detection scheme can be implemented and used in a scanning probe cantilever to detect impedance changes at the probe tip. Figure 5(b) shows an optical microscope image of the shielded cantilever containing the THz circuit, patterned on its top side. The signal in and signal out lines corresponding to ports 1 and 4 in Fig. 2(a) are connected via landing probes with the source and detector (not visible). Since the dimensions of the cantilever (300 $\mu m$ long and 75 $\mu m$ wide) are too small to host a circuit as shown in Fig. 2(a), we have redesigned the branch-line coupler such that the cross-branches are now folded inwards to fit the lateral dimensions of the cantilever. This slightly modifies the coupler properties. However, it does not change its basic functionality. As discussed previously for the branchline coupler devices, one of the interferometer arms terminates in a short. The other one, previously terminating in an open, is now connected to the tip. We will keep the notation of the arms as introduced above, referring to the arm terminating in the tip as arm 2 with length $L_2$ and to the arm terminating in a short to ground as arm 3 with length $L_3$. In order to balance the coupler such that scattered signal cancellation is achieved, we have to take into account the finite capacitance of the open tip [$C_t \sim 2 fF$, obtained from finite element (FE) simulations] and adjust $L_3$ by $\Delta L$ accordingly. However, due to the folded geometry of the coupler and a resulting unwanted cross coupling between the branches, significant leakage currents within the coupler result in a nontrivial relation between $\Delta L$ and signal cancellation at the detector line. Therefore, we use FE simulations to empirically determine a well-balanced configuration for the given $C_t$, for which we obtain $L_2 = 44 \mu m$ and $L_3 = 54 \mu m$, i.e., $\Delta L = 8 \mu m$.

A. Fabrication

In Fig. 5(a), the fabrication flow for the cantilever is sketched. In step (1), a pyramid shaped pit (5 $\mu m$ deep) is etched into the Si wafer using KOH etching. This defines the position and shape of the tip. In step (2), we deposit (10 + 300) nm Ti/Au that serves as a ground plane. During this step also, the pit is filled with a Ti/Au layer, which will become the metallic tip. The area around the pit is protected with an optical mask. The wafer is then (3) covered with 3 $\mu m$ of PECVD SiNx that is subsequently etched with a Bosch process to define the geometry of the cantilever, 300 $\mu m$ long and 75 $\mu m$ wide. In a separate step (4), 5 $\times$ 5 $\mu m$ sized vias are etched into the SiNx layer. These vias will serve as an electrical connection between the MS top layer and the ground plane (to form a short) or with the cantilever tip, respectively. After a cleaning step, we pattern the strip lines with electron beam lithography and lift off techniques (5) and, in a separate step, connection of the vias is established through angled deposition of Au. We use (2 + 300) nm Ti/Au bilayers. This step concludes the patterning of the transmission lines on the cantilever. Next, we release the cantilevers (6). In order to avoid exposure of the strip lines to chemicals, we protect the surface of the wafer by gluing a 5p wafer on top of it with “black wax” (Apiezon W100). We take particular care that no air bubbles remain in the wax to ensure a complete and efficient protection. The release step is prepared by patterning a SiNx mask on the backside of the wafer that contains windows at those positions where the cantilevers have been patterned on the front side of the wafer. The two wafers are then subjected to a KOH etch that etches through the windows on the backside of the wafer until the Ti/Au ground layer is reached. At this point, the cantilever gets released from the Si wafer. Note, however, that on its front side, it is still glued to the protection wafer. When the KOH etch is complete, the wafer is carefully immersed in toluene to dissolve the black wax and to fully release the cantilever chips. Subsequently, the cantilever is mounted on the landing probe setup.

B. Experimental results

The measured THz response of the cantilever is shown in Fig. 5(c). We clearly observe a dip in transmission ($\sim$30 dB), indicating a suppression of the scattered signal that gets reflected from the open tip into the detector line. We note that compared to the previously discussed branchline couplers without the tip (Fig. 2), the position of the dip is slightly shifted toward lower frequencies.
(f = 250 GHz). This is most likely a result of the folded geometry of the branchline coupler, consistent with a cross-capacitive coupling between neighboring parts of the circuit. Moreover, the frequency shift as well as the relatively low transmission at higher and lower frequencies suggests that the terminating capacitance of the tip slightly differs from the assumed value \( C_t = 2 \text{ fF}\) such that the chosen \( \Delta L = 8 \mu \text{m}\) turns out to be not yet the best match. The FE model for the THz response (dashed line) yields good agreement with the experiment if we assume \( C_t = 2.9 \text{ fF}\) and \( R_{\text{short}} = 5 \Omega\).

Our cantilever can be used to detect changes in the tip impedance when landed on a dielectric or metallic sample. This is demonstrated in Fig. 6. We have mounted the cantilever on the landing probe setup and we get the tip in contact with different materials, approached from below via a mechanical height control. Figure 6(a) compares the measured response for the tip floating near the sample surface (red) and landed on 3 different materials, Au (green), Si (black), and SrTiO\(_3\) (blue). We clearly observe distinct responses for each material. When brought into contact with a dielectric (Si, \( \varepsilon_r = 11.9\) and SrTiO\(_3\), \( \varepsilon_r = 300\)), the dip shifts toward lower frequencies by \( \Delta f_{(\text{Si})} = 2 \text{ GHz}\) for Si and \( \Delta f_{(\text{STO})} = 10 \text{ GHz}\) for SrTiO\(_3\). Notably, the overall line shape remains fairly similar. In contrast, upon contact with highly conductive Au (\( \rho = 2 \mu \Omega \text{ cm}\)), the dip vanishes and transmission is high over the full frequency range, as expected for a shorted tip.

**C. Discussion**

In order to quantitatively understand the cantilever response, we use FE modeling of the full circuit and we include a load capacitance \( C_{\text{load}}\) in parallel to \( C_t\) to take into account contributions from the sample materials [cf. Fig. 1(a), neglecting Ohmic dissipation in the sample, \( R_s = 0\)]. We find that the curves can be reproduced very well if we use \( C_{\text{Si}} = 0.25 \text{ fF}\), \( C_{\text{STO}} = 0.75 \text{ fF}\), and \( C_{\text{Au}} = 15 \text{ fF}\) as the only adjustable parameter for each material. In Fig. 6(b), we compare \( I\) and \( Q\) of the FE response for various \( C_{\text{load}}\) with the experimental values obtained at \( f = 260 \text{ GHz}\) for each sample. Since the response of the folded branchline coupler connected to the tip is slightly off resonance (~250 GHz), we do not expect a simple linear behavior as for the branchline coupler without the tip discussed previously. Figure 6(b) shows that the response becomes more sensitive, i.e., the slope of the curves for \( I\) and \( Q\) becomes steep, for larger \( C_{\text{load}}\) (~10 fF). Since this is in the range of capacitance, which we obtained for the Au sample, this indicates that our THz cantilever becomes more sensitive for metallic samples. In contrast to shielded microwave cantilevers, where sensitivity is highest around the metal-insulator transition, for our cantilever, the working point is shifted toward samples with higher conductivity. It may thus be used to detect electronic variations at high frequencies within a metal or even in superconductors in future scanning experiments.

Our THz cantilever is also compatible with AFM topography imaging. This is shown in Fig. 6(c) where a topography image of a scratched Si wafer surface is displayed, obtained using a THz cantilever mounted on a commercial Asylum Cypher AFM with a laser deflection readout. Using a deconvoluting tip geometry modeling algorithm (Gwyddion blind tip estimation algorithm\(^{25}\)), we estimate the tip apex to be \( \approx 100 \text{ nm}\). An SEM image of a cantilever tip is shown in Fig. 6(d).
First, even though our fabrication technique provides useful devices, the current yield is rather low (∼10%). This is mostly related to the use of the black wax, which is needed to protect the THz circuitry from chemicals during the release step, but which also induces mechanical stress, resulting in loss of a large number of cantilevers. Second, in its current design, the substrate, which serves as a handling wafer, faces in the same direction as the tip. This limits the surface region on the sample that can be reached by the cantilever to approximate the cantilever length (≈300 μm). In order to lift this constraint, developing a flip-chip technology may provide the most suitable means to bond a handling wafer to the top side of the cantilever chip. At the same time, however, it will be important to maintain access to the circuitry with landing probes. Third, our experiments have shown that due to the folded geometry of the balanced branchline coupler, the circuit response deviates slightly from that of the unfolded geometry tested on a substrate [Fig. 5(b) in comparison to Fig. 2(a)]. Therefore, FE simulations are required for a quantitative analysis of the measurement signal, while a simple analytical equation would be more desirable. This may motivate a redesign of the cantilever such that it can host the balanced coupler without the need to modify its layout. In this case, the measurements could be modeled within a textbook analytical description, which will strongly facilitate a quantitative interpretation of the measurement signal.

V. CONCLUSION

We have presented a shielded THz probe suitable for impedance microscopy in the sub-millimeter band (0.3 THz). As a key challenge for the realization of such a device, we have identified the necessity to carry out common mode cancellation and impedance matching at THz frequencies close to the cantilever tip in order to enable sensitive detection of small changes of the tip impedance. We have addressed these challenges by developing an on-chip circuit that can be patterned on the cantilever, which comprises a balanced branchline coupler. The coupler functions as an on-chip interferometer and in this manner achieves the required common mode suppression as well as high sensitivity to small impedance changes. To demonstrate the basic functionality of this concept, we have realized a set of devices on substrates and we have characterized their response at THz frequencies. The results can be directly modeled within an analytical model of the circuit. Furthermore, a fabrication technology has been developed that allows for patterning the circuit on a free standing cantilever including the tip. When the released cantilever is landed on different dielectric (Si, SrTiO₃) and metallic (Au) samples, we observe distinct THz responses, which enable us to determine the corresponding capacitive load at the cantilever tip using finite element modeling. Our cantilever removes several critical technological challenges toward scanning impedance microscopy at THz frequencies.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material includes a derivation of the analytical model of the balanced hybrid coupler and a detailed recipe for the fabrication of the THz cantilevers.
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APPENDIX: ANALYTICAL MODEL FOR THE BALANCED BRANCHLINE COUPLER

In order to compute the response of the balanced hybrid coupler, we describe the signal evolution in the coupler in terms of forward and backward traveling waves in the transmission lines and the reflection coefficients $\Gamma_m$ and $\Gamma_s$ at the open and shorted transmission line, respectively. Using Kirchhoff’s rules for the voltage and current at each node of the hybrid, we can construct a system of equations that allows us to determine the voltage measured at the detector line at port 4 upon signal injection at port 1. (The full set of equations is provided in the supplementary material.) To describe wave propagation along each transmission line segment with length $L$ and impedance $Z$, we use the frequency dependent wave propagation factor $e^{i\alpha}$, and

$$\gamma = a \frac{Z}{Z_0} + i\beta,$$

$$a = (1.1f \times 10^{-9} + 86.9) \text{ Np/m},$$

$$\beta = \frac{2\pi}{c} \frac{f \sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu}}{c},$$

with $Z_0 = 55.5 \Omega$, $c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}^{-1}$, $\epsilon_r = 5.9$,$^1$ characteristic impedance $Z_0 = 55.5 \Omega$, and the vacuum speed of light $c = 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$.
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