Atomic-resolution visualization and doping effects of complex structures in intercalated bilayer graphene
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Molecules intercalating two-dimensional materials form complex structures that have been characterized primarily by spatially averaged techniques. Here we use aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy and density-functional-theory (DFT) calculations to study the atomic structure of bilayer graphene (BLG) and few-layer graphene (FLG) intercalated with FeCl3. In BLG, we discover two distinct intercalated structures that we identify as monolayer FeCl1 and monolayer FeCl2. The two structures are separated by atomically sharp boundaries and induce large free-carrier densities on the order of 1013 cm−2 in the graphene layers. In FLG, we observe multiple FeCl3 layers stacked in a variety of possible configurations with respect to one another. Finally, we find that the microscope’s electron beam can convert the FeCl3 monolayer into FeOCl monolayers in a rectangular lattice. These results reveal the need for a combination of atomically resolved microscopy, spectroscopy, and DFT calculations to identify intercalated structures and study their properties.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Graphite intercalation compounds (GICs), assemblies of foreign atoms or molecules in the van der Waals gaps between the carbon layers, have been studied for over a century for potential applications in energy storage, high-temperature superconductivity, and reaction catalysis [1–5]. The recent ability to isolate graphite with a controlled number of carbon layers led to a surge of interest in intercalated few-layer graphene (FLG) and bilayer graphene (BLG) by either single atomic species, e.g., Li or Na, or molecules [6–10]. Few-layer graphene intercalated with iron chloride (FLG-FeCl3) is a particularly interesting example of such a compound, although FeCl3-intercalated BLG (BLG-FeCl3) has received limited attention [11]. Experiments have found that the presence of FeCl3 causes decoupling of the carbon layers, resulting in a graphenelike band structure and induces a very large carrier density up to 1014 cm−2 in the graphene sheets (corresponding to a Fermi-level shift as large as 1.3 eV below the Dirac point) [12]. Highly doped graphene and intercalated graphite are interesting for the study of exotic superconductivity [13,14]. In addition, it has been suggested that FLG-FeCl3 develops an intriguing magnetic structure with ferromagnetic order inside each FeCl3 layer and antiferromagnetic coupling between the neighboring layers [15]. Such order is especially relevant to the context of the recent interest in two-dimensional magnetism [16–20]. Finally, FLG-FeCl3 is stable in the ambient conditions over months, resists degradation by common solvents, and has both high conductivity and high optical transparency [11,12]. These properties invite potential applications for energy storage, transparent conductors, and heat diffusers [21,22].

At the same time, while multiple experiments have addressed the macroscopic properties of FLG-FeCl3, its microscopic structure remains virtually unknown and the possibility that multiple intercalant structures form has not been adequately explored. Electron diffraction and powder x-ray-diffraction (XRD) data from FeCl3-GICs suggest that within each van der Waals gap the FeCl3 molecules form a honeycomb lattice similar to bulk FeCl3 [2,23]. XRD, however, being a spatially averaged technique, is not sensitive to several additional possibilities. For example, intercalant layers in FLG may have layer-number-dependent properties, as are known to occur in the lithium intercalation process [24]. Such properties should be studied to understand the predicted antiferromagnetic coupling between neighboring FeCl3 layers [15]. Previous research also demonstrates that FeCl1 is converted to FeCl2 in a reducing environment, but the published work on the stability of FLG-FeCl3 does not consider the possibility of FeCl2 formation [2]. Lattice defects in FLG-FeCl3, if present, have so far not been investigated by any means, but are expected to strongly scatter the charge carriers in graphene layers, thereby limiting applicability of FLG-FeCl3 in electronics. The presence of defects should also affect the dynamics of the intercalation process and thus be critical for energy storage applications.

In this paper, we report atomic-resolution structures of BLG and FLG intercalated with FeCl3. Aberration-corrected
scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) of BLG-FeCl₃ reveals two distinct structures that, in combination with density-functional-theory (DFT) calculations and STEM image simulations, are identified as monolayer FeCl₃ and monolayer FeCl₂. Both structures exhibit a hexagonal crystal structure, sharp boundaries between intercalated and unintercalated regions, and lattice defects. The presence of two structures and their effects on doping are confirmed via electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) and Raman spectroscopy, and are discussed in the context of DFT calculations. We also observe a rectangular lattice of FeOCl that is formed at the edges of the FeCl₃ monolayer when exposed to the electron beam during STEM imaging. In FeCl₃-intercalated FLG, we observe stacked FeCl₃ monolayers that display no preferred orientation of the FeCl₃ from layer to layer. Our results shed light on the origin of doping in intercalated graphene and its stability, and may help in understanding magnetic properties of intercalated systems.

The samples were fabricated using the vapor transport method of intercalation [2,12]. Bilayer graphene and few-layer graphene were transferred onto holes (2-µm diameter) in silicon nitride membranes, as seen in the top right panel of Fig. 1. The samples were vacuum sealed in borosilicate ampules with anhydrous FeCl₃ and then transferred to a tube furnace for the intercalation reaction. After intercalation, the samples were washed with deionized water to remove any adsorbed FeCl₃ that could interfere with imaging of the intercalated FeCl₃. Raman spectroscopy was performed before and after intercalation to confirm the presence of FeCl₃, which is evidenced by new blueshifted G peaks [12]. Additional experimental details are provided in Sec. VIII and in the Supplemental Material [25].

II. ATOMIC STRUCTURE OF FeCl₃ MONOLAYER

Aberration-corrected STEM was used to investigate the atomic structure of the resulting intercalants. Atomic-resolution images were obtained using an annular dark-field (ADF) detector, and the elemental composition was confirmed using EELS, as illustrated in Fig. 1, where we show data for a FeCl₃-BLG sample. While intercalated iron and chlorine are clearly resolved, carbon atoms are barely visible as the ADF signal strength is roughly proportional to the square of the atomic number. Thus, the Fe and Cl signals are roughly 19 and 8 times stronger, respectively, than the carbon signals. A key result of this investigation is that we were able to identify both FeCl₃ and FeCl₂ in adjacent regions, which indicates that FeCl₃ molecules can undergo reduction within the van der Waals gap of BLG. We first present the data and analysis for FeCl₃ intercalants. The pertinent ADF image [Fig. 2(a)] exhibits a two-dimensional (2D) honeycomb structure. This structure is the same as in bulk FeCl₃ with each iron atom bonded to six chlorine atoms in an octahedral geometry, as shown in Fig. 2(b). The carbon atoms can be seen faintly inside the holes of the FeCl₃ honeycomb lattice displayed in Fig. 2(a), although the contrast is much lower than that of the chlorine and iron atoms. In areas where there is incomplete intercalation [Fig. 2(d)], the intercalants form islands that
of FeCl3-BLG used for PCA filtering. (f) Same image as in panel (d) ADF image of intercalation boundary. (e) Unfiltered ADF image of FeCl3-BLG with black arrows displaying the primitive lattice vectors of FeCl3. (c) Colorized STEM simulation of FeCl3-BLG. (b) Diagram of FeCl3-BLG with black arrows displaying the primitive lattice vectors of FeCl3. (c) Colorized STEM simulation of FeCl3-BLG. (d) ADF image of intercalation boundary. (e) Unfiltered ADF image of FeCl3-BLG used for PCA filtering. (f) Same image as in panel (e), but filtered using components 2–10 of the PCA. Iron interstitial defects are highlighted by white dotted outlines as visible (the colors are determined by a color scale ranging from dark blue to yellow).

are separated from neighboring unintercalated regions by an atomically sharp boundary. This sharpness is due to in-plane covalent bonds between the iron chloride molecules in the intercalant layer.

To unambiguously determine the position of each atomic species with STEM simulations, atomic positions optimized by DFT calculations and the experimental beam parameters were used as inputs to the QSTEM software package to create simulated ADF images [Fig. 2(c)] for comparison to the experimentally obtained images [26]. There is good agreement between the ADF image and STEM simulation. The measured FeCl3 lattice constant of 0.61 ± 0.01 nm agrees with the theoretical value of 0.60 nm. These data demonstrate that the intercalated FeCl3 forms a 2D material in between the graphene layers. The FeCl3 monolayer is stable against air and water exposure when encapsulated in the BLG, which is also corroborated by previous studies that show the stability of FeCl3-intercalated FLG [11,12]. To our knowledge, free-standing monolayer FeCl3 has not been realized because it is an oxidizing agent and readily forms hydrates in the presence of moisture.

In order to better resolve carbon atoms and reduce surface contamination effects, we filtered the original data [Fig. 2(e)] using principal component analysis (PCA) [27,28]. We noticed that the first PCA component corresponds primarily to the surface contamination and the higher-order components (>10) correspond to background noise in the image. We therefore plotted the components 2 through 10 [Fig. 2(f)]. The three types of atoms present in the samples are visible in the images. While the background is dark blue, iron atoms appear yellow, chlorine atoms appear green, and carbon atoms appear light blue. These light-blue spots are separated by 0.57 ± 0.01 nm, which corresponds to four times the carbon–carbon bond in graphene, and sometimes appear off center or as dumbbells inside the hexagons of the FeCl3 lattice. These observations further confirm the source of the light-blue spots as the carbon lattice and not an artifact from the FeCl3 structure, which would have similar hexagonal symmetry. The location of the carbon atoms indicates that the carbon lattice and FeCl3 lattice are aligned with each other in this sample. The PCA filtering also displays interstitial iron atoms in the FeCl3 hexagons. While interstitial iron can be seen on the left-center edge of the unfiltered image [Fig. 2(e)], the removal of the surface contamination in the image makes it clear that several such interstitials occur in this section of the sample. Such additional interstitial iron atoms at nonregular lattice sites are likely to impact the magnetic ordering properties of FeCl3-intercalated FLG [15].

III. ATOMIC STRUCTURE OF FeCl2 MONOLAYER

We now turn to the region of the intercalant structure that is different from the honeycomb structure described above, which we identify as FeCl2. The pertinent ADF image is shown in Fig. 3(a). The structure in Fig. 3(a) looks similar to a monolayer of FeCl3, but with an additional iron atom in the holes of the honeycomb lattice, signifying a change in stoichiometry from FeCl3 to FeCl2. Comparison of this structure to a STEM simulation of monolayer FeCl2 [Fig. 3(b)] exhibits good agreement, with an experimental lattice parameter of 0.350 ± 0.005 nm compared to the theoretical value of 0.347 nm. We further probed the same region by EELS, which is sensitive to the iron oxidation state. We focus on spectral features corresponding to iron core electron excitations, highlighted yellow in Fig. 1. These features include a steplike edge and Lorentzian-shaped peaks, referred to as white lines [Fig. 3(c)]. The ratio of the L3 and L2 white-line intensities is used to differentiate between Fe2+ and Fe3+ species, which have L3/L2 intensity ratios of 4.0 and 5.5, respectively [29]. Experimentally, we determined that ratio using both Lorentzians fits as well the analysis of the second derivative of the data (see Supplemental Material for more details [25]) [29]. Both methods yield the ratio of 4 ± 1, consistent with the structure being FeCl2. We suggest that FeCl3 is partially reduced to FeCl2 during the process of intercalating FeCl3. This happens due to the presence of a reducing agent, which could be hydrocarbon contaminants.
or hydrogen that outgases from the walls of the borosilicate reaction vessel.

**IV. DOPING EFFECTS OF FeCl₃ AND FeCl₂**

**A. Raman spectroscopy**

We find further evidence for the coexistence of FeCl₃ and FeCl₂ regions in FeCl₃-BLG in their effects on the free-carrier density of graphene. The carrier density in graphene, as well as its proxy, the position of the Fermi energy relative to the Dirac point, was probed via Raman spectroscopy. Before intercalation, the Raman G-mode peak is at 1582 cm⁻¹. After intercalation, the G peak splits into three peaks—G₀, G₁, and G₂ at 1586, 1614, and 1626 cm⁻¹, respectively [Fig. 4(a)]. Since the spectral position of the G mode is indicative of the local free-carrier density of graphene, such splitting is consistent with the presence of regions with three distinct carrier densities within the diffraction-limited laser spot on the sample [30,31]. With its spectral position within 4 cm⁻¹ of the G peak before intercalation, the G₀ peak indicates undoped graphene, while G₁ and G₂ correspond to higher carrier densities. The slight shift of the G₀ peak after intercalation is likely due to the addition of surface contamination during the intercalation process, as seen in the STEM images. To determine these carrier densities quantitatively, we varied the laser excitation energy. The peaks G₁ and G₂ exhibit maximum intensities at 1.96 and 2.07 eV excitation energies, respectively, while the G₀ peak intensity is relatively constant with excitation energy [Fig. 4(b)]. The maximum in G-peak intensity at a given excitation energy signals that the local Fermi energy is half the excitation energy [32]. Assuming that FeCl₃ is an acceptor molecule, we therefore determine that the Fermi energy corresponding to G₀ is at the local Dirac point, while those for G₁ and G₂ are 0.98 and 1.03 eV below the local Dirac point, respectively, as illustrated in Fig. 4(c). The number of free carriers in each region can be approximated via the relation \( n \approx \frac{1}{2}(E_F/v_F)^2 \). We determine the carrier densities to be \( \sim 0 \) cm⁻², \( 7.1 \times 10^{13} \) cm⁻², and \( 7.8 \times 10^{13} \) cm⁻² for G₀, G₁, and G₂, respectively.

**B. Discussion**

Combining the Raman spectroscopy results with the STEM data, we draw several conclusions about the atomic origin of the different free-carrier densities. In the literature, the appearance of two blueshifted G peaks is attributed to staging or surface adsorption of FeCl₃ [12,33]. However, staging does not occur in BLG. In our STEM images, there is only a single monolayer of FeCl₃ between the layers of graphene and no adsorbed FeCl₃ on its surface. The presence of both the G₁ and G₂ peaks therefore signals the presence of two different types of intercalants that locally induce different doping levels. We hypothesize that the coexistence of FeCl₃ and FeCl₂, as portrayed in Fig. 4(c), is responsible for the two positions
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of the universal Fermi energy relative to the local Dirac points. This hypothesis is supported by DFT calculations that exhibit two different positions for the Fermi level below the Dirac point, 0.42 eV for FeCl$_2$ and 0.66 eV for FeCl$_3$. The smaller theoretical values of the Fermi energy relative to the local Dirac point compared to the experimental values are likely due to the underestimation of the Fermi velocity within the local-density approximation [34]. The difference of the hole-doping densities in FeCl$_3$-BLG and FeCl$_2$-BLG is small, but its presence is corroborated by DFT results, which find an even larger difference (0.24 eV as opposed to 0.05 eV). A possible nonuniformity in contaminants or strain may influence the measured difference.

The appearance of free carriers in graphene layers adjacent to another material is typically interpreted as charge transfer. We used the present DFT-calculated charge densities in the intercalated BLG to test this interpretation. We found that, while the Fermi energy deviates from the Dirac point in FeCl$_3$-BLG, there is virtually no net charge transfer between the graphene and intercalant layers. Although the drop of the Fermi level below the Dirac point suggests a net transfer of electrons from the graphene to the intercalant, the wave functions from the valence states in the FeCl$_3$ extend into the graphene layers thereby maintaining overall charge neutrality. In other words, the proximity of graphene to another material causes a redistribution of electrons in the energy space to produce free carriers (doping), seemingly corresponding to charge transfer. However, the distribution of electrons in physical space actually remains relatively unchanged (see Supplemental Material [25] for details).

The DFT results also demonstrate that the individual graphene layers in BLG do not in fact decouple after intercalation to form band structures like that of monolayer graphene. This feature is different from what has been inferred by Raman spectroscopy on FeCl$_3$-intercalated FLG and calculations for stage 1 FeCl$_3$-intercalated bulk graphite, probably due to the multilayer structure of the latter two systems [12,35]. When AB-stacked BLG is intercalated with FeCl$_3$, the band structure resembles that of AA-stacked BLG but with a smaller energy scale for the band splitting. However, this finding does not affect the interpretation of the Raman spectra because the linear dispersion and Kohn anomaly are maintained at the K point (see Supplemental Material [25] for details).

Overall, our DFT and Raman spectroscopy data suggest the association of the peaks $G_0$, $G_1$, and $G_2$ with unintercalated regions, regions intercalated with FeCl$_2$, and regions intercalated with FeCl$_3$, respectively. Our STEM data are consistent with this assignment. The presence of the $G_0$ peak is corroborated by the observation of unintercalated regions in STEM images.

V. ALIGNMENT OF MULTIPLE FeCl$_3$ MONOLAYERS

A. Interpreting the alignment from atomic-resolution images

We also imaged intercalated FLG with thicknesses of four to six graphene layers to study the relative angular alignment of FeCl$_3$ monolayers sandwiched between successive layers of graphene and test whether a superposition of FeCl$_3$ and FeCl$_2$ layers needs to be invoked to reproduce the images. The ADF images (Fig. 5) reveal only FeCl$_3$ layers with differing degrees of alignment for different samples. The first sample [Fig. 5(a)] exhibits complete angular alignment of the FeCl$_3$ layers, observed as coincident ADF signal from the atoms in...
each layer. The stacking configuration is inferred by comparing the ADF image with STEM simulations of bilayer and trilayer FeCl₃ and FeCl₂ in different stacking configurations. Two and three layers were used since the sample had approximately four to six layers of graphene, determined by atomic force microscopy, and the Raman spectrum of the sample after intercalation indicates partial intercalation (see Supplemental Material [25] for more details). The best agreement between the ADF image and STEM simulation is for ABC stacking of FeCl₃ when comparing AA, AB, AAA, ABA, and ABC stacking configurations of both FeCl₃ and FeCl₂. The STEM simulation for ABC-stacked FeCl₃ is shown in Fig. 5(b), while the simulations for the other stacking configurations can be found in the Supplemental Material [25].

The second sample exhibits small angles of rotation between the intercalant layers, producing a moiré pattern in the ADF image [Fig. 5(c)]. The relative angles between each monolayer were determined from the fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of the ADF image. The FFT of the second sample [Fig. 5(d)] displays sharp Fourier peaks in a hexagonal pattern due to the hexagonal structural crystal basis for FeCl₃. We assume only FeCl₃ is present in this section of the sample given the greater relative abundance of FeCl₃ compared to FeCl₂ in the previous samples. Each layer of FeCl₃ has a distinctive set of Fourier peaks, and the relative angle between the layers can be observed from the angles between those Fourier peaks. Three distinct sets of peaks can be seen in Fig. 5(d) with angles of 0°, 3.0°, and 5.5°. Given these angles, the lattice parameter of the moiré pattern (a_{moire}) can be calculated using the following equation (see Supplemental Material [25] for further details):

$$a_{moire} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2(1 - \cos \theta)}}$$

where a is the lattice parameter of the FeCl₃ measured from the ADF image and θ is the relative angle between each layer measured from the FFT. Using the values of 3.0° and 2.5° in Eq. (1), the moiré lattice parameter is 12 and 14 nm, respectively. The moiré patterns for these angles cannot be seen in the ADF image [Fig. 5(c)] as they are too large for the size of the image, but 5.5° gives a moiré lattice parameter of 6.3 nm, which agrees with the moiré lattice parameter, 6.2 ± 0.1 nm, seen in Fig. 5(c).

The third sample exhibits angles between FeCl₃ layers as large as 44° measured in the FFT [Fig. 5(f)] that correspond to a_{moire} of 0.81 nm, close to the lattice parameter of FeCl₃ (0.607 nm). There are also at least six distinct Fourier peaks spread across the 44° creating an FFT that resembles that of a polycrystal. The ADF image for this sample [Fig. 5(e)] appears disordered due to the number of layers and wide range of angles, but faint moiré patterns can still be seen from the layers of FeCl₃ that have small relative angles to each other, which correspond to moiré-pattern lattice parameters on the order of nanometers.

B. Explaining the results with DFT calculations

To gain insight into the source of the observed moiré patterns and apparent polycrystalline structure, we calculated the interlayer cohesion energy as a function of twist angle between FeCl₃ and graphene, as shown in Fig. 4(g). For the calculated angles, we find that there is a global energy minimum at 0°/60° and additional local energy minima at 10°, 25°, 35°, and 50°. However, the overall range of energies is only 65 meV per FeCl₃ unit. This energy range is significantly smaller than the available thermal energy (6 kT = ∼300 meV per FeCl₃ unit), suggesting that patches can nucleate with essentially any relative angle. Although bulk FeCl₃ orders with a relative AB stacking between layers, the presence of a graphene layer between two layers of FeCl₃ renders the two FeCl₃ stacking configurations nearly degenerate. This result implies that in addition to the presence of relative twist angles between intercalant layers, the layers may also undergo relative shifts in origin, as previously suggested by x-ray-diffraction studies on bulk intercalant structures [23].

VI. FORMATION OF FeOCl

Finally, we observe the formation of a monolayer that appears at the edge of the intercalated FeCl₃ monolayers in FeCl₃-BLG when exposed to the electron beam under STEM imaging conditions with 60-kV accelerating voltage [Fig. 6(a)]. This monolayer material is not formed during the intercalation process and is never seen at the beginning of STEM imaging. The monolayer forms a rectangular lattice composed of iron, chlorine, and oxygen as shown by EELS in Fig. 6(b). Although no oxygen is present in the FeCl₃ initially, the surrounding contaminants are composed of oxygen-containing hydrocarbons and iron oxide. This contamination is the likely source of oxygen for the reaction. The constituent components and lattice shape suggest that the compound is iron oxychloride (FeOCl), a compound that has previously been described in bulk layered form [36,37]. The identification of the material is corroborated in Fig. 6(c) by an overlay of the FeOCl monolayer atomic structure, the experimental ADF image, and a STEM simulation. DFT calculations of the monolayer FeOCl electronic structure [Fig. 6(d)] indicate that the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic states are practically degenerate with indirect band gaps of 2.70 and 2.50 eV, respectively. Further exploration of the properties of this monolayer is deferred to a future paper. The creation of this monolayer in the FeCl₃-intercalated bilayer system suggests the ability to engineer additional interesting materials and structures after the initial synthesis. More specifically, the microscope’s electron beam is in effect used to “process” intercalants and convert them into other structures.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this work demonstrates that intercalation of molecules in BLG or FLG can lead to the formation of diverse complex structures. We observe the formation of crystalline FeCl₃ monolayers with a honeycomb structure like that of bulk FeCl₃, atomically sharp boundaries between intercalated and unintercalated regions, the presence of defects, and a variety of possible orientations for the FeCl₃ relative to graphene layers. This information is useful for the study of interesting phenomena in graphene such as Klein tunneling of Dirac-like fermions, which requires atomically sharp doping boundaries, and the study of effects on the electronic band structure of
graphene due to superlattice formation between the FeCl$_3$ and graphene honeycomb lattices [38,39]. The observation of iron interstitial defects also has possible applications in information storage due to modification of the local magnetic field by the defects. We provide evidence for coexistence of both FeCl$_3$ and FeCl$_2$ in BLG under ambient conditions, which was not observed previously and could provide a perspective for interpreting the stability of FeCl$_3$-intercalated FLG. Specifically, our results suggest that previously reported changes in the Raman spectra of FLG-FeCl$_3$ might be due to the formation of FeCl$_2$ rather than deintercalation of FeCl$_3$ [11,12]. Additionally, we demonstrate the conversion of monolayer FeCl$_3$ into FeOCl via an electron-beam-induced reaction inside BLG, revealing intercalated BLG to be a useful substrate for creating 2D materials.

VIII. METHODS

A. FLG fabrication

The FLG was mechanically exfoliated from kish graphite onto polydimethylsiloxane using Scotch™ tape. The support grid for the FLG was prepared by milling 2-μm apertures in 50-nm silicon nitride membranes (PELCO Silicon Nitride Support Films) with a Helios Nanolab G3 CX dual-beam focus ion beam–scanning electron microscope, and the FLG was then transferred to the silicon nitride membrane over the apertures using a viscoelastic stamp transfer method [40]. The BLG samples were purchased commercially (Graphene on PELCO Holey Silicon Nitride). Graphene in these samples was grown by chemical-vapor deposition.

B. Vapor transport method

The vapor transport method of intercalation involves vacuum sealing the FLG and FeCl$_3$ powder inside an ampule and then annealing, which causes the FeCl$_3$ to evaporate and spontaneously intercalate into the FLG. The ampule is prepared by sealing one end of a 1/4-in. diameter borosilicate tube with a butane torch and then baking overnight at 150 °C to remove moisture. Then 0.02 g of FeCl$_3$ was transferred to the ampule, and the ampule was evacuated to 5 mTorr with an Edwards 5 two-stage rotary-vane vacuum pump. The ampule was attached to the vacuum setup using a quick-connect coupler. To ensure that the FeCl$_3$ is anhydrous, the ampule was heated to 120 °C for 30 min during evacuation and purged three times with nitrogen gas. The sample was then inserted into the ampule, and the evacuation procedure was repeated. Once the ampule pressure reached 0.5 mTorr, a butane torch was used to seal the ampule approximately 10 cm from the opposite end of the FeCl$_3$ powder. The ampule was then annealed in a Lindenburg Blue M 1-in. tube furnace to initiate the intercalation reaction. For the reaction process, the tube furnace was heated to 340 °C (measured at the center) with a ramp rate of 1 °C/s and proportional-integral-derivative controller setting of 20-120-30. The reaction takes place over 6 h with the ampule 5 cm from the center, which results in a temperature difference of ∼15 °C between the FLG and FeCl$_3$ powder. Finally, the tube furnace was cooled at a rate...
of 1 °C/s, and the intercalated sample was then removed by scoring and breaking open the ampule.

C. STEM parameters

All ADF images were acquired using an aberration-corrected Nion UltraSTEM 100™ operated at 60-kV accelerating voltage [41]. We used a semiangle convergence of 30 mrad and detection ADF semiangle range of 86–200 mrad for the intercalated FLG samples and a detection semiangle of 54–200 mrad for the intercalated BLG samples. Additionally, the length scales in our data were compared with a reference sample to ensure accuracy of the measured lengths for this work.

D. STEM simulations

STEM image simulations were performed with the QSTEM program [26]. Atomic positions were taken by laterally enlarging the DFT-optimized trilayer structures (e.g., Gr/FeCl3/Gr with a given alignment). Images are generated using a multislice algorithm to divide the atomic potentials along the z axis wherein each material layer is treated within its own slice and no slice contains atoms with the same xy coordinates. The image scan resolution was set as 0.781 25 Å per pixel (with uncropped image of 192 × 192 pixels) and the probe array was set such that the scattering angle (432.5 mrad) was less than the collector detection angles to ensure full collection. Microscope parameters such as detector angle, voltage, defocus, aberration correction, and higher-order terms were extracted from the DM3 file recorded by the microscope during experimental imaging and used to parametrize the electron beam in the simulator.

E. Resonance-Raman spectroscopy

The resonance-Raman spectra were obtained at the same spot on the intercalated sample. A tunable laser system with a dye laser (Radiant dye: 550–675 nm) and an Ar-Kr laser (Coherent Innova 70c: 450–530 nm) were used to excite the sample. The laser power was limited to 500 µW to avoid heat-induced effects (×100 microscope objective). The light was dispersed by a T64000 HORIBA Jobin Yvon spectrometer equipped with 900 grooves per mm grating and a silicon charge-coupled device in single-detection mode and backscattering configuration. Elastically scattered light was rejected by a long-pass filter. Raman shift was calibrated on the benzonitrile reference molecule and the Raman intensity of pristine bilayer graphene to account for the wavelength-dependent spectrometer sensitivity and interference with the substrate.

F. Computation details

Spin-polarized DFT calculations used the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [42] within the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof form of the generalized gradient approximation [43] along with Grimme’s D2 van der Waals correction [44]. Interactions between valence and core electrons were described using the projector augmented-wave method [45,46] with a plane-wave basis cutoff of 400 eV. For the FeCl3 and FeCl2 primitive cells, the Brillouin zones were sampled with Γ-centered k-point grids of 8 × 8 × 1 and 20 × 20 × 1, respectively. A vacuum layer of at least 15 Å was used in all calculations and interatomic forces were minimized to be less than 0.01 eV/Å. Spin-polarized band-structure calculations for FeOCl were performed using the HSE06 hybrid functional [47,48].
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