Aus dem Klinik für Nephrologie Campus Benjamin Franklin der Medizinischen Fakultät Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin

DISSERTATION

Maternal and fetal metabolomic signatures in regard to birth outcome and gestational disease

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades Doctor rerum medicinalium (Dr. rer. medic.)

vorgelegt der Medizinischen Fakultät Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin

von

YongPing Lu aus Jiangxi, China

Datum der Promotion: 07.12.2018

Table of contents

| Summary |
|---|
| Abstract (English) |
| Abstract (Deutsch) |
| 1. Introduction |
| 2. Methodology |
| 2.1 Clinic data collection |
| 2.2 Sample collection |
| 2.3 Targeted metabolomics in blood serum7 |
| 2.4 Statistical analysis7 |
| 3. Results |
| 4. Discussion10 |
| 5. References14 |
| Affidavit |
| Detailed declaration of contribution23 |
| Print copy of the selected publications |
| Publication 1: "Maternal PC aa C38:6 is associated with preterm birth - a risk factor for |
| early and late adverse outcome of the offspring"25 |
| Publication 2: "Cord blood lysophosphatidylcholine 16:1 is positively associated with birth |
| weight" |
| Publication 3: "Fetal serum metabolites are independently associated with gestational |
| diabetes mellitus"44 |
| Curriculum vitae |
| Complete list of publications |
| Acknowledgments |

Summary

Abstract (English)

Background: The developmental origins of health and disease hypothesis states that an impaired intrauterine environment may induce long lasting changes in the offspring, affecting both, immediate birth outcomes and later life disease susceptibility. Impaired birth outcomes, such as low birth weight or preterm birth and also gestational diseases, such as gestational diabetes mellitus, have been associated with an increased risk for adult non communicable disease in the offspring. However, our understanding of the intrauterine environment and how it affects the phenotype of the offspring is still limited. Metabolomics, a robust and efficient method to analyze a large number of small molecules, could give new insight into the complex relationship between intrauterine conditions, maternal disease interactions and birth outcomes. The underlying aim of the study was to characterize the maternal and fetal metabolome at time of birth and to search for associations with birth outcomes (birth weight, preterm birth) and maternal gestational disease (gestational diabetes mellitus).

Methods: At the time of birth, serum samples from mothers and newborns (cord blood) were collected and screened for 163 metabolites utilizing tandem mass spectrometry in a mother/child pairs cohort. According to available data, subcohorts were created to analyze associations between the maternal/fetal metabolome and preterm birth, birth weight, and gestational diabetes mellitus. False discovery rate was adjusted by using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. To demonstrate independent association multivariable regression models were calculated adjusted for relevant confounding factors.

Results: Preterm birth was independently linked to higher diacyl-phosphatidylcholine 38:6 concentrations in maternal serum. Fetal lyso-phosphatidylcholines 14:0, 16:1, and 18:1 showed a strong and independent positive association with birth weight. No significant associations were observed between the maternal metabolome and birth weight. Gestational diabetes mellitus was independently associated with fetal cord blood concentrations of acyl-alkyl-phosphatidylcholine 32:1 and proline. There were no significant associations between maternal gestational diabetes mellitus and the maternal metabolome.

Conclusions: Specific birth outcomes and gestational diabetes were shown to be associated with maternal and fetal metabolic alterations. Results of the current studies showed a higher number of associations between birth outcomes/gestational disease and fetal metabolites. Thus, future studies with similar aims, should focus more on the so far neglected fetal metabolome.

Abstrakt (Deutsch)

Hintergrund: Die Hypothese der "developmental origins of health and disease" beschreibt, dass ungünstige Einflüsse und Umweltbedingen während kritischer Phasen der intrauterinen Entwicklung zu langanhaltenden und irreversiblen Veränderungen bei den Nachkommen führen können. Die auf diese Weise induzierten Anpassungen in Organstruktur und –funktion beeinflussen sowohl neonatale anthropometrische Parameter als auch das spätere Krankheitsrisiko im Erwachsenenalter. Allerdings sind die komplexen zugrunde liegenden biologischen Vorgänge und molekularen Mechanismen bisher nur ansatzweise verstanden. Die Anwendung moderner Methoden wie der Massenspektrometrie erlaubt die Identifizierung und Quantifizierung einer großen Anzahl von Biomolekülen. So könnten neue Einblicke in die Wechselwirkungen zwischen intrauterinen Bedingungen, maternalen Krankheitheiten und neonatalem Outcome gewonnen werden. Ziel dieser Arbeit war es, maternale und fetale Metaboliten zum Zeitpunkt der Geburt zu bestimmen und nach Zusammenhängen mit den klinischen Parametern Geburtsgewicht und Frühgeburtlichkeit sowie Gestationsdiabetes zu suchen.

Methoden: Mittels Massenspektrometrie wurden mütterliche Serumproben vom Zeitpunkt der Geburt und Nabelschnurblut analysiert und 163 Metaboliten quantifiziert. Um Assoziationen zwischen maternalem und fetalem Metabolom und klinischen Parametern zu finden, wurden entsprechend der vorhandenen Daten Untergruppen gebildet und verschiedene multiple Regressionsmodelle gerechnet. Eine Kontrolle für falsch positive Ergebnisse wurde mit dem Benjamini-Hochberg Verfahren durchgeführt.

Ergebnisse: Frühgeburtlichkeit war unabhängig mit einer höheren Konzentration von Diacyl-phosphatidylcholin 38:6 im maternalen Serum assoziiert, während das Geburtsgewicht eine unabhängig positive Assoziation mit fetalem Lyso-phosphatidylcholin 14:0, 16:1, and 18:1 zeigte. Zwischen Geburtsgewicht bzw. Gestationsdiabetes und maternalen Metaboliten wurden keine signifikanten Zusammenhänge gefunden, jedoch war Gestationsdiabetes unabhängig mit fetalem Acyl-alkyl-phosphatidylcholin 32:1 und Prolin assoziiert.

Schlussfolgerungen: Die Ergebnisse dieser Studie zeigen, dass Parameter des neonatalen Outcomes bzw. Gestationsdiabetes mit Veränderungen des fetalen und maternalen Metaboloms assoziiert sind. Interessanterweise wurden für fetale Metabolite besonders häufig Zusammenhänge gefunden. In weiteren Studien sollte daher besonders das bis dato schlecht charakterisierte fetale Metabolom im Fokus der Untersuchungen stehen.

1. Introduction

It is by now widely accepted, that the early life environment is not just an important factor affecting immediate birth outcomes, but is also associated with disease susceptibility in later life. First observations in this regard originated from epidemiological studies that linked an impaired intrauterine environment - measured by a reduced weight at time of birth - with an increased risk for adult chronic, non-communicable disease [1,2]. These first observations were replicated in a large number of independent studies and lead to the hypothesis of the developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD) [2-4]. Next to a reduced birth weight, newer evidence suggests that preterm birth (PTB) is similarly associated with an increased risk for later life non-communicable diseases, including metabolic and cardiovascular diseases [5,6].

Maternal pregnancy related diseases are known to impact on birth outcomes and also have been associated with long term risks for the offspring [7]. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is an important disease in this regard. It is defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy. GDM is one of the most common complications of pregnancy and its prevalence is constantly rising [9]. If uncontrolled, GDM results in overt hyperglycemia, which may significantly increase perinatal morbidity and mortality [10-14]. Potential long-term consequences for the health of mother [7,15] and child [7] may be an impaired glucose tolerance, obesity, and metabolic disorders. Traditionally, GDM is consider as a result of environmental and maternal genotype predisposition [16,17]. Previous studies [18,19] also reported fetal might affect maternal physiology, even a risk of GDM [20]. The pathogenesis of GDM is not well understood so far.

Metabolomics is a fairly new 'omics' technology, which helps to characterize physiological conditions, systematically monitor environmental factors, genetic regulations, and enzymatic activity states [21,22]. Metabolomics may also serve to give new insight into the complex relationship between intrauterine conditions, maternal-fetal interactions, gestational diseases and birth outcomes. Furthermore, metabolomics may help in identifying relevant predictive biomarkers for impaired birth outcomes or gestational disease, such as PTB, birth weight and GDM. In this study a targeted metabolomics approach was performed, measuring 163 metabolites in maternal and fetal serum samples. Resulting metabolomics data were then used to find associations between certain metabolites and birth outcomes (PTB, birth weight) or

maternal gestational disease (GDM).

2. Methodology

2.1 Clinic data collection

The data compiled in this thesis stems from three studies performed with a subcohort (678 mother child pairs) of the Berlin birth cohort (BBC [23,24]) in which metabolomics was performed. Study 1 investigated associations between maternal serum metabolites and PTB. 550 mother child pairs were included in this study. In the following two studies the focus was shifted from solely analyzing maternal metabolites to also investigate the fetal metabolome. Study 2 investigated associations between maternal and fetal metabolites and birth weight. 226 mother child pairs were included in this study. Study 3 analyzed associations between maternal and fetal metabolites and GDM. 412 mother child pairs were included in this study. Study 3 analyzed associations between maternal and fetal metabolites and GDM. 412 mother child pairs were included in this study. In the forman Diabetes Association (DDG) and the German Association for Gynecology and Obstetrics (DGGG) [25]. In the analyzed cohort, 31 of the 412 pregnant women were diagnosed with GDM.

Maternal basic and clinic data (age, ethnicity, body height and body weight before pregnancy, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension during pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy, systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) measurements recorded during pregnancy, and mode of delivery.) and newborn biometric data (birth weight, birth length, ponderal index (birth length (m) / the cube root of weight (kg)), head circumference, child sex, and Apgar score 5 & 10 minutes.) were collected.

2.2 Sample collection

Before delivery, midwives collected maternal blood from the cubital vein, and collected umbilical cord immediately after delivery in the delivery room or on the ward. After collection, the blood samples were centrifuged at 2750 g immediately. All obtained serum was stored at -80 °C until metabolites measurement.

Out of the 678 mother child pairs that entered the study, metabolomics was performed in 550 maternal and 412 fetal cord blood samples. In study 1, which focused on screening for PTB

biomarkers, metabolic data of 550 mothers was investigated. In study 2 and 3, both maternal and fetal metabolites were analyzed. Study 2 investigated associations between maternal and fetal metabolites and birth weight, and also studied interactions between the maternal and fetal metabolome, resulting in the data analysis of 226 mother/child pairs. Study 3 investigated associations between maternal and fetal metabolites and GDM, yet due to the lack of data in literature in regard to fetal cord blood metabolites and GDM, the main focus was set on the available metabolomic data of 412 fetal cord blood samples.

2.3 Targeted metabolomics in blood serum

Metabolomic measurements were performed in the Metabolomic Platform of the Genome Analysis Center, Helmholtz Zentrum München. The quantification of 163 metabolites were assayed by FIA-ESI-MS/MS and the Absolute*IDQ*TM p150 Kit (BIOCRATES Life Sciences AG, Innsbruck, Austria) out of 10 μ L serum. All metabolite concentrations were reported in μ M. In total, the 163 metabolites including free carnitine, 40 acylcarnitines, 14 amino acids (13 proteinogenic + ornithine), hexoses (sum of hexoses – about 90-95 % glucose), 92 glycerophospholipids (15 lyso-phosphatidylcholines (lysoPC) and 77 phosphatidylcholines (PC)), and 15 sphingolipids. The method of Absolute*IDQ*TM p150 Kit has been proven to be in conformance with the EMEA-Guideline "Guideline on bioanalytical method validation (July 21st 2011") [26], which implies proof of reproducibility within a given error range. A detailed description of the sample preparation, assay procedures and nomenclature have been published previously [27,28]. For more details of the sample handling, mass spectrometric analyses, data evaluation, and quality assessment see publication 1, 2, and 3.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS version 22.0. Quantitative data results of were expressed as the arithmetic mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Continuous variable data between two groups were compared by unpaired t-test. Qualitative data were analyzed by Pearson's chi-square test. The linear correlation between quantitative data were analyzed by bivariate correlation analysis. In order to reduce false discovery rate (FDR), *P*-values were adjusted with Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure. Significant difference of BH procedure is defined as $P_m \leq m \times q/M$ [29,30]. M equals the total number of tested metabolites (M=163), q equals the FDR (the

FDR is 0.05 in the current study), *P*m equals the individual *P*-value's rank, and m equals the individual rank of tested metabolite. Significant difference was considered as a *P*-value less than 0.05. A flow diagram of the three studies analysis strategy is given in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the three studies analysis strategy. PTB = preterm birth; GDM = gestational diabetes. LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine; PC = phosphatidylcholine; ae = acyl-alkyl. Note. The number of participants in the three studies were different, because we used different analytic methods and different clinical data sets depending on the specific topic of the studies. Fetal metabolomics was only performed in a subset of the 678 mothers with available metabolomic data.

3. Results

Publication 1: "Maternal PC aa C38:6 is associated with preterm birth - a risk factor for early and late adverse outcome of the offspring"

Maternal serum metabolites and PTB

For more details see publication 1 (page 25)

Summary

Bivariate correlation analysis and multivariable regression analysis models adjusted for maternal BMI before pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy, systolic blood pressure at the third trimester, maternal BMI at the third trimester showed that PC aa C38:6 was inversely correlated with gestational age. This result was further checked by unpaired t-test: group 1, gestational age < 37 weeks (PTB group); group 2, gestational age \geq 37 weeks. The PTB group showed significantly higher levels of PC aa C38:6 when compared with group 2 (100.09 ± 4.22 µM vs. 89.66 ± 1.17 µM, *P* = 0.023).

Publication 2: "Cord blood lysophosphatidylcholine 16: 1 is positively associated with birth weight"

Maternal serum metabolites and child birth weight

For more details see publication 2 (page 33)

Summary

Employing bivariate correlation analysis followed by BH adjustment, there was no maternal serum metabolite associated with child birth weight ($P_m > m \times q/M$).

Fetal serum metabolites and child birth weight

Summary

Following bivariate correlation analysis and BH procedure, multiple linear regression analysis (considering known factors associated birth weight, such as gestational age [31], child sex [32], maternal age [33], maternal BMI before pregnancy [34], maternal smoking during pregnancy [35] as confounders), showed that fetal serum LPC 14:0, 16:1, and 18:1 were significantly associated with birth weight. Stepwise models of multiple linear regression were conducted to identify which of the of the three LPCs (LPC 14:0, 16:1 and 18:1) has the strongest impact on birth weight. These analyses demonstrated that fetal serum LPC 16:1 showed the strongest correlation with birth weight in the stepwise regression models.

Publication 3: "Fetal serum metabolites are independently associated with gestational diabetes mellitus"

Maternal serum metabolites and gestational diabetes

For more details see publication 3 (page 44)

Summary

Unpaired t-test comparisons of mothers with GDM and mothers without GDM showed no significant differences in maternal serum metabolite concentrations after BH adjustment ($P_m > m \times q/M$).

Fetal serum metabolites and gestational diabetes

Summary

Following BH adjusted t-tests comparing fetal cord blood metabolites from offspring of diabetic to offspring of non-diabetic mothers, logistic regression models (considering known factors associated with GDM, such as maternal age, ethnicity, family history of diabetes, pre-pregnancy BMI, and smoking during pregnancy [36,37] as confounders), showed independent associations between fetal serum PC ae C 32:1 or the amino acid proline with GDM.

As it was shown that gestational age may impact on the fetal and maternal metabolome [38], an additional model was calculated including gestational age as confounder. Also in this model fetal serum PC as C 32:1 and the amino acid proline still demonstrated an independent association with GDM.

4. Discussion

The study aimed at investigating associations between maternal and fetal serum metabolites and birth outcomes such as gestational age at birth and child birth weight. Another aim was to find characteristic metabolites of well-controlled GDM. Maternal serum concentrations of PC aa C38:6 were associated with PTB. There were no associations between maternal serum metabolites and birth weight or GDM. However, fetal serum LPCs 14:0, 16:1, and 18:1 were significantly and positively correlated with birth weight. Furthermore, fetal serum PC ae C 32:1 and the amino acid proline showed an independent association with GDM.

LPCs and PCs are clusters of glycerophospholipids which make up the main structural element of most eukaryotic membranes [39,40]. PCs are secreted and biosynthesised in the liver whereas [40] LPCs are derived from PCs hydrolysis by the enzymatic action of phospholipase A2 [41]. So far the role of PCs and LPCs during intrauterine life is very incompletely understood. In the current studies, variations of maternal or fetal glycerophospholipids were independently associated with PTB, birth weight, and maternal GDM.

Publication 1: "Maternal PC aa C38:6 is associated with preterm birth - a risk factor for early and late adverse outcome of the offspring"

Two previous studies identified characteristic metabolites for PTB in amniotic fluid samples, including carbohydrates, amino acids [42] and many metabolites reflecting liver metabolism [43] to be associated with PTB. Different from the former two studies, the present study investigated maternal serum metabolites to investigate associations between maternal serum metabolites and PTB. Compared with normal mothers with a normal course of pregnancy, mothers with PTB demonstrated significantly higher levels of PC aa C38:6.

Several factors capable of causing redox imbalances, such as maternal malnutrition, smoking, obesity, intra-amniotic infection and inflammation, have been associated with PTB [44-46]. Oxidative stress induced damage plays an important role in the premature rupture of membranes [47-49]. A possible link between increased oxidative stress, e.g. due to smoking [50] and PTB might be alterations in metabolite levels. Xu *et al.* [51] demonstrated in a large cohort study that current smokers had higher levels of unsaturated diacyl-PCs when compared with former smokers and never smokers. In the present study unsaturated diacyl-PCs (PCaaC36:4, PCaaC38:4, PCaaC38:5, PCaaC38:6, PCaaC40:4, PCaaC40:5, PCaaC40:6, PCaaC42:4), especially PCaaC38:6, were negatively associated with gestational age even after adjusting for maternal smoking status during pregnancy. Compared to the term birth group, the PTB group showed significantly higher levels of PC aa C38:6. Higher levels of the unsaturated diacyl-PCs may indicate higher levels of oxidative stress, which may be involved in the pathogenesis of PTB. The measurement of unsaturated diacyl-PCs might serve as a biomarker for PTB, yet appropriately designed future studies are still needed to confirm this.

Publication 2: "Cord blood lysophosphatidylcholine 16: 1 is positively associated with birth weight"

In agreement with a recent study [52], the present study demonstrated that fetal LPCs were independently associated birth weight. In the large birth cohort study [52], several cord blood LPCs, including LPC 14.0, 16:1, and 18:1 were independently positively correlated with birth weight. The current study confirmed these results using a strict statistical approach the including BH procedure and stepwise multiple linear regression models adjusted for relevant confounding factors.

Increasing evidence in epidemiological studies [53-57] and animal studies [58] shows a relationship between LPCs and overweight/obesity. However, study results in this regard are conflicting, showing both positive and negative associations between obesity and LPCs. An explanation for the contradictive results of these studies [53-58] might be due to the number and specific types of analyzed LPCs, as saturated and unsaturated LPCs might exert different biological functions [59-61]. It was shown in human or animal studies that obesity was associated with lower concentrations of the unsaturated LPC 18:1 [55-58] and higher levels of saturated LPCs (LPC 14:0, 18:0) [57] compared to lean controls. Another study performed in children demonstrated that rapid growth in infancy and childhood obesity was positively related to LPC 14:0 [54]. It is also known that subcutaneous adipose tissue mass is lower in growth-restricted (GR) infants than appropriate-for-gestational-age (AGA) infants [62], and extremely preterm birth with significantly lighter weight [63]. In the current study, lower birth weight newborns demonstrated significantly lower levels of serum LPCs 14:0, 16:1 and 18:1, Based on these observations, this may indicate that during early life development LPCs might be involved in cell division, adipose tissue growth and remodeling, potentially beneficially affecting fetal intrauterine growth.

LPCs were also shown to be connected to insulin signaling. LPCs were reported to stimulate adipocyte glucose uptake and improve glucose homeostasis [64] and enhance glucose-dependent insulin secretion [65]. Furthermore, a correlation between lower levels of LPC and insulin resistance was reported in women with GDM [66]. In regard to the interpretation of the current study these findings are interesting, as there is evidence that fetal insulin resistance may be related to decreased birth weight [67,68]. In the current study, lower levels of LPCs (especially

LPC 16:1) were associated with lower birth weight. Hypothetically, low levels of cord blood LPCs might be associated with insulin resistance and decreased insulin secretion, and thus influence fetal growth.

Publication 3: "Fetal serum metabolites are independently associated with gestational diabetes mellitus"

Until now there are only a few studies that investigated the fetal metabolome in regard to maternal GDM [69]. A previous study [66], analyzing maternal plasma metabolites, demonstrated that lower levels of diacyl-PCs, LPCs and arachidonic acid were associated with insulin resistance in women with GDM. The current study not solely analyzed potential relationships between maternal metabolites and GDM, but also investigated associations between fetal cord blood metabolites and GDM. Maternal serum metabolites were not associated with GDM in the current study. However, fetal serum PC ae C 32:1 and the amino acid proline were independently associated with GDM, even after BH procedure and adjustment for established risk factors of GDM.

There is increasing evidence [70-73] reporting that changes of diacyl-PC and acyl-alkyl-PC are associated with type 2 diabetes in the general population. Elevated concentrations of branched-chain amino acids were also associated with an increased risk of GDM [74,75] T2D [74,76], and insulin resistance [75]. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first large scale study that identified the fetal serum acyl-alkyl-PC 32:1 and the amino acid proline to be independently associated with maternal GDM. The pathogenesis of GDM is incompletely understood so far. It is believed that GDM results from a complex interaction of multiple environmental stimuli and maternal factors, with the placenta playing a key role [16,17]. Studies [18,19] propose that the fetal genetic setup may also impact on maternal physiology and pathophysiology during pregnancy, including maternal metabolism. The mechanisms that underlie a fetal influence on maternal metabolism during gestation are still very incompletely understood. The fetal genome might affect secretion patterns of placental hormones resulting in variations of placental function [18,19], thus potentially impacting on maternal glucose status. Metabolomics is a predictive approach that summarizes the impact of genetic regulation, physiological conditions, and environmental factors on the metabolome [21,22]. Altered levels of

fetal cord blood metabolites may be indicative of fetal genetic variants that are associated with maternal GDM. However, this hypothesis has to be confirmed by future prospective studies, integrating maternal and fetal genetic data and information of lifestyle-related environmental factors and associate these with characteristic metabolomic alterations.

Conclusion

The performed studies identified maternal and fetal serum metabolites that were associated with PTB, birth weight or GDM. Especially the fetal metabolome seems of interest, as more and stronger associations were found analyzing fetal metabolites than by the analysis of maternal metabolites. Given that there still is a lack of studies focusing on the fetal metabolome, the variety of associations between fetal metabolites and birth outcomes/gestational disease show that further research is warranted. These findings add to the growing evidence in literature, demonstrating a maternal impact on fetal intrauterine development, yet also highlight a potential fetal impact on maternal gestational disease. Given the purely associative character, the current study result needs confirmation by future well designed clinical and animal studies.

5. References:

1. Barker DJ, Osmond C. Infant mortality, childhood nutrition, and ischaemic heart disease in England and Wales. Lancet. 1986 May 10;1(8489):1077-81.

2. Reichetzeder C, Dwi Putra SE, Li J, Hocher B. Developmental Origins of Disease - Crisis Precipitates Change. Cell Physiol Biochem 2016;39:919-938.

3. Barker DJ, Hales CN, Fall CH, Osmond C, Phipps K, Clark PM: Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia (syndrome X): relation to reduced fetal growth. Diabetologia 1993;36:62-67.

4. Luyckx VA, Brenner BM: Birth weight, malnutrition and kidney-associated outcomes--a global concern. Nat Rev Nephrol 2015;11:135-149.

5. Parkinson JR, Hyde MJ, Gale C, Santhakumaran S, Modi N. Preterm birth and the metabolic syndrome in adult life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatrics 2013; 131: e1240-1263.
 6. Tinnion R, Gillone J, Cheetham T, Embleton N. Preterm birth and subsequent insulin sensitivity: a systematic review. Arch Dis Child 2014; 99: 362-368.

7. Catalano PM, Kirwan JP, Haugel-de Mouzon S, King J. Gestational diabetes and insulin resistance: role in short- and long-term implications for mother and fetus. J Nutr 2003;133(5 Suppl 2):1674S-1683S.

8. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2013;36 Suppl 1:S67-S74.

9. DeSisto CL, Kim SY, Sharma AJ. Prevalence estimates of gestational diabetes mellitus in the United States, Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), 2007-2010. Prev Chronic Dis 2014;11:E104.

10. Chen Y, Quick WW, Yang W, Zhang Y, Baldwin A, Moran J, Moore V, Sahai N, Dall TM. Cost of gestational diabetes mellitus in the United States in 2007. Popul Health Manag 2009;12:165-174.

11. Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR, McPhee AJ, Jeffries WS, Robinson JS; Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in Pregnant Women (ACHOIS) Trial Group. Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2477-2486.

12. Hod M, Merlob P, Friedman S, Schoenfeld A, Ovadia J: Gestational diabetes mellitus. A survey of perinatal complications in the 1980s. Diabetes 1991;40 Suppl 2:74-78.

13. Langer O, Yogev Y, Most O, Xenakis EM: Gestational diabetes. the consequences of not treating. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:989-997.

14. HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, McCance DR, Hod M, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Persson B, Rogers MS, Sacks DA. Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 2008;358:1991-2002.

15. Kim C, Newton KM, Knopp RH. Gestational diabetes and the incidence of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. Diabetes Care 2002;25:1862-1868.

16. Nair AV, Hocher B, Verkaart S, van Zeeland F, Pfab T, Slowinski T, Chen YP, Schlingmann KP, Schaller A, Gallati S, Bindels RJ, Konrad M, Hoenderop JG. Loss of insulin-induced activation of TRPM6 magnesium channels results in impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:11324-11329.

17. Reichetzeder C, Dwi Putra SE, Pfab T, Slowinski T, Neuber C, Kleuser B, Hocher B. Increased global placental DNA methylation levels are associated with gestational diabetes. Clin

Epigenetics 2016;8:82.

18. Petry CJ, Ong KK, Dunger DB. Does the fetal genotype affect maternal physiology during pregnancy? Trends Mol Med 2007;13:414-421.

19. Petry CJ, Beardsall K, Dunger DB. The potential impact of the fetal genotype on maternal blood pressure during pregnancy. J Hypertens 2014;32:1553-1561.

20. Jaskolka D, Retnakaran R, Zinman B, Kramer CK: Sex of the baby and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus in the mother: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetologia 2015;58:2469-2475.

21. Martis E, Ahire D, Singh R. Metabolomics in drug discovery: a review. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci Res 2011;1:67-74.

22. Ellis JK, Athersuch TJ, Thomas LD, Teichert F, Pérez-Trujillo M, Svendsen C, Spurgeon DJ, Singh R, Järup L, Bundy JG, Keun HC. Metabolic profiling detects early effects of environmental and lifestyle exposure to cadmium in a human population. BMC Med. 2012 Jun 19;10:61.

23. Hocher B, Slowinski T, Stolze T, Pleschka A, Neumayer HH, Halle H. Association of maternal G protein beta3 subunit 825T allele with low birthweight. Lancet 2000;355:1241-1242.

24. Antonucci R, Atzori L, Barberini L, Fanos V. Metabolomics. the "new clinical chemistry" for personalized neonatal medicine. Minerva Pediatr 2010;62:145-8.

25. Kleinwechter H, Schäfer-Graf U, Bührer C, Hoesli I, Kainer F, Kautzky-Willer A, Pawlowski B, Schunck K, Somville T, Sorger M; German Diabetes Association; German Association for Gynaecology and Obstetrics. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) diagnosis, therapy and follow-up care: Practice Guideline of the German Diabetes Association(DDG) and the German Association for Gynaecologyand Obstetrics (DGGG). Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2014; 122:395-405.

26. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Guideline on bioanalytical method validation. EMEA/CHMP/EWP/192217/2009 Rev. 1 Corr. 2, 21 July 2011.

27. Römisch-Margl W, Prehn C, Bogumil R, Röhring C, Suhre K, Adamski J. Procedure for tissue sample preparation and metabolite extraction for high-throughput targeted metabolomics. Metabolomics 2012;8:133-142.

28. Illig T, Gieger C, Zhai G, Römisch-Margl W, Wang-Sattler R, Prehn C, Altmaier E,

Kastenmüller G, Kato BS, Mewes HW, Meitinger T, de Angelis MH, Kronenberg F, Soranzo N, Wichmann HE, Spector TD, Adamski J, Suhre K. A genome-wide perspective of genetic variation in human metabolism. Nat Genet 2010;42:137-141.

29. Yoav B, Yosef H. Controlling the False Discovery Rate. A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Statist Soc B 1995;57:289-300.

30. Chung PJ, Bohme JF, Mecklenbrauker CF, Hero, AO. Multiple signal detection using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing 2005:209-212.

31. Wilcox AJ, Skjaerven R. Birth weight and perinatal mortality: the effect of gestational age. Am J Public Health. 1992;82:378-382.

32. Di Renzo GC, Rosati A, Sarti RD, Cruciani L, Cutuli AM. Does fetal sex affect pregnancy outcome? Gend Med 2007;4:19-30.

33. Geronimus AT. Black/white differences in the relationship of maternal age to birthweight: a population-based test of the weathering hypothesis. Soc Sci Med 1996;42:589-597.

34. HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group. Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study: associations with maternal body mass index. BJOG 2010;117:575-584.

35. Jaddoe VW, Troe EJ, Hofman A, Mackenbach JP, Moll HA, Steegers EA, Witteman JC. Active and passive maternal smoking during pregnancy and the risks of low birthweight and preterm birth: the Generation R Study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2008;22:162-171.

36. Desoye G, Hauguel-de Mouzon S. The human placenta in gestational diabetes mellitus. The insulin and cytokine network. Diabetes Care 2007;30 Suppl 2:S120-S126.

37. Dodds L, Woolcott CG, Weiler H, Spencer A, Forest JC, Armson BA, Giguère Y. Vitamin D Status and Gestational Diabetes: Effect of Smoking Status during Pregnancy. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2016;30:229-337.

38. Li J, Lu YP, Reichetzeder C, Kalk P, Kleuser B, Adamski J, Hocher B. Maternal PCaaC38:6 is Associated With Preterm Birth - a Risk Factor for Early and Late Adverse Outcome of the Offspring. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257.

39. an Meer G, Voelker DR, Feigenson GW. Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2008; 9: 112-124.

40. Cole LK, Vance JE, Vance DE. Phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis and lipoprotein

metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012; 1821: 754-761.

41. ChristieW.Phosphatidylcholine-AOCSLipidLibrary.http://lipidlibrary.aocs.org/Primer/content.cfm?ItemNumber=39351.

42. Romero R, Mazaki-Tovi S, Vaisbuch E, Kusanovic JP, Chaiworapongsa T, Gomez R, Nien JK, Yoon BH, Mazor M, Luo J, Banks D, Ryals J, Beecher C. Metabolomics in premature labor: a novel approach to identify patients at risk for preterm delivery. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2010; 23: 1344-1359.

43. Menon R, Jones J, Gunst PR, Kacerovsky M, Fortunato SJ, Saade GR, Basraon S. Amniotic fluid metabolomic analysis in spontaneous preterm birth. Reprod Sci 2014; 21: 791-803.

44. Menon R: Oxidative stress damage as a detrimental factor in preterm birth pathology. Front Immunol 2014; 5: 567.

45. addoe VW, Troe EJ, Hofman A, Mackenbach JP, Moll HA, Steegers EA, Witteman JC. Active and passive maternal smoking during pregnancy and the risks of low birthweight and preterm birth: the Generation R Study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2008;22:162-171.

46. Tong VT, England LJ, Rockhill KM, D'Angelo DV. Risks of Preterm Delivery and Small for Gestational Age Infants: Effects of Nondaily and Low-Intensity Daily Smoking During Pregnancy. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2017;31:144-148.

47. Marseglia L, D'Angelo G, Manti S, Arrigo T, Barberi I, Reiter RJ, Gitto E. Oxidative stress-mediated aging during the fetal and perinatal periods. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2014; 2014: 358375.

48. Soydinc HE, Sak ME, Evliyaoglu O, Evsen MS, Turgut A, Özler A, Yıldız İ, Gul T. Prolidase, matrix metalloproteinases 1 and 13 activity, oxidative-antioxidative status as a marker of preterm premature rupture of membranes and chorioamnionitis in maternal vaginal washing fluids. Int J Med Sci 2013; 10: 1344-1351.

49. Ilhan N, Celik E, Kumbak B. Maternal plasma levels of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, vitamins C, E and A, 8-isoprostane and oxidative status in women with preterm premature rupture of membranes. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2015; 28: 316-319.

50. Zuo L, He F, Sergakis GG, Koozehchian MS, Stimpfl JN, Rong Y5, Diaz PT, Best TM. Interrelated role of cigarette smoking, oxidative stress, and immune response in COPD and corresponding treatments. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2014;307:L205-L218.

51. Xu T, Holzapfel C, Dong X, Bader E, Yu Z, Prehn C, Perstorfer K, Jaremek M, Roemisch-Margl W, Rathmann W, Li Y, Wichmann HE, Wallaschofski H, Ladwig KH, Theis F, Suhre K, Adamski J, Illig T, Peters A, Wang-Sattler R. Effects of smoking and smoking cessation on human serum metabolite profile: results from the KORA cohort study. BMC Med 2013; 11: 60.

52. Hellmuth C, Uhl O, Standl M, Demmelmair H, Heinrich J, Koletzko B, Thiering E. Cord Blood Metabolome Is Highly Associated with Birth Weight, but Less Predictive for Later Weight Development. Obes Facts 2017;10:85-100.

53. Pietiläinen KH, Sysi-Aho M, Rissanen A, Seppänen-Laakso T, Yki-Järvinen H, Kaprio J, Oresic M. Acquired obesity is associated with changes in the serum lipidomic profile independent of genetic effects--a monozygotic twin study. PLoS One 2007;2:e218.

54. Rzehak P, Hellmuth C, Uhl O, Kirchberg FF, Peissner W, Harder U, Grote V, Weber M, Xhonneux A, Langhendries JP, Ferre N, Closa-Monasterolo R, Verduci E, Riva E, Socha P, Gruszfeld D, Koletzko B; European Childhood Obesity Trial Study Group. Rapid growth and childhood obesity are strongly associated with lysoPC(14:0). Ann Nutr Metab 2014;64:294-303.

55. Wahl S, Yu Z, Kleber M, Singmann P, Holzapfel C, He Y, Mittelstrass K, Polonikov A, Prehn C, Römisch-Margl W, Adamski J, Suhre K, Grallert H, Illig T, Wang-Sattler R, Reinehr T. Childhood obesity is associated with changes in the serum metabolite profile. Obes Facts 2012;5:660-670.

56. Farook VS, Reddivari L, Chittoor G, Puppala S, Arya R, Fowler SP, Hunt KJ, Curran JE, Comuzzie AG, Lehman DM, Jenkinson CP, Lynch JL, DeFronzo RA, Blangero J, Hale DE, Duggirala R, Vanamala J. Metabolites as novel biomarkers for childhood obesity-related traits in Mexican-American children. Pediatr Obes 2015;10:320-327.

57. Kim JY, Park JY, Kim OY, Ham BM, Kim HJ, Kwon DY, Jang Y, Lee JH. overweight/obese subjects showed higher levels of lysoPC C14:0 and lysoPC C18:0 and lower levels of lysoPC C18:1 than lean subjects. J Proteome Res 2010;9:4368-4375.

58. Schäfer N, Yu Z, Wagener, A, Millrose MK, Reissmann M, Bortfeldt R, Dieterich C, Adamski J, Wang-Sattler R, Illig T, Brockmann GA. Changes in metabolite profiles caused by genetically determined obesity in mice. Metabolomics 2014;10:461-472.

59. Hung ND, Sok DE, Kim MR. Prevention of 1-palmitoyl lysophosphatidylcholine-induced

inflammation by polyunsaturated acyl lysophosphatidylcholine. Inflamm Res. 2012 May;61(5):473-83.

60. Lin P, Ye RD. The Lysophospholipid Receptor G2A Activates a Specific Combination of G Proteins and Promotes Apoptosis. J Biol Chem 2003;278:14379-14386.

61. Drzazga A, Sowińska A, Koziołkiewicz M. Lysophosphatidylcholine and lysophosphatidylinosiol--novel promissing signaling molecules and their possible therapeutic activity. Acta Pol Pharm 2014;71:887-899.

62. Harrington TA, Thomas EL, Frost G, Modi N, Bell JD. Distribution of adipose tissue in the newborn. Pediatr Res. 2004; 55: 437-41.

63. Uthaya S, Thomas EL, Hamilton G, Doré CJ, Bell J, Modi N. Altered adiposity after extremely preterm birth. Pediatr Res. 2005;57):211-5.

64. Li Y, Wang DD, Chiuve SE, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB, Qi L. Dietary phosphatidylcholine intake and type 2 diabetes in men and women. Diabetes Care 2015;38:e13-e14.

65. Floegel A, Stefan N, Yu Z, Mühlenbruch K, Drogan D, Joost HG, Fritsche A, Häring HU, Hrabě de Angelis M, Peters A, Roden M, Prehn C, Wang-Sattler R, Illig T, Schulze MB, Adamski J, Boeing H, Pischon T. Identification of serum metabolites associated with risk of type 2 diabetes using a targeted metabolomic approach. Diabetes 2013;62:639-648.

66. Wittenbecher C, Mühlenbruch K, Kröger J, Jacobs S, Kuxhaus O, Floegel A, Fritsche A, Pischon T, Prehn C, Adamski J, Joost HG, Boeing H, Schulze MB. Amino acids, lipid metabolites, and ferritin as potential mediators linking red meat consumption to type 2 diabetes. Am J Clin Nutr 2015;101:1241-1250.

67.]Hales CN, Barker DJP. The thrifty phenotype hypothesis: Type 2 diabetes. British Medical Bulletin 2001;60:5-20.

68. Hattersley AT, Tooke JE. The fetal insulin hypothesis: an alternative explanation of the association of low birthweight with diabetes and vascular disease. Lancet 1999;353:1789-1792.

69. Herrera E, Ortega-Senovilla H. Implications of Lipids in Neonatal Body Weight and Fat Mass in Gestational Diabetic Mothers and Non-Diabetic Controls. Curr Diab Rep. 2018;18:7.

70. Yea K, Kim J, Yoon JH, Kwon T, Kim JH, Lee BD, Lee HJ, Lee SJ, Kim JI, Lee TG, Baek MC, Park HS, Park KS, Ohba M, Suh PG, Ryu SH. Lysophosphatidylcholine Activates

Adipocyte Glucose Uptake and Lowers Blood Glucose Levels in Murine Models of Diabetes. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 33833-33840.

71. Soga T, Ohishi T, Matsui T, Saito T, Matsumoto M, Takasaki J, Matsumoto S, Kamohara M, Hiyama H, Yoshida S, Momose K, Ueda Y, Matsushime H, Kobori M, Furuichi K. Lysophosphatidylcholine enhances glucose-dependent insulin secretion via an orphan G-protein-coupled receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2005;326:744-751.

72. Lehmann R, Friedrich T, Krebiehl G, Sonntag D, Häring HU, Fritsche A, Hennige AM. Metabolic profiles during an oral glucose tolerance test in pregnant women with and without gestational diabetes. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes Off J Ger Soc Endocrinol Ger Diabetes Assoc 2015;123:433-438.

73. Wientzek A, Floegel A, Knüppel S, Vigl M, Drogan D, Adamski J, Pischon T, Boeing H. Serum metabolites related to cardiorespiratory fitness, physical activity energy expenditure, sedentary time and vigorous activity. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 2014;24:215-226.

74. Butte NF, Hsu HW, Thotathuchery M, Wong WW, Khoury J, Reeds P. Protein metabolism in insulin-treated gestational diabetes. Diabetes Care 1999;22:806-811.

75. Rahimi N, Razi F, Nasli-Esfahani E, Qorbani M, Shirzad N, Larijani B: Amino acid profiling in the gestational diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2017;16:13.

76. Lee CC, Watkins SM, Lorenzo C, Wagenknecht LE, Il'yasova D, Chen YD, Haffner SM, Hanley AJ. Branched-Chain Amino Acids and Insulin Metabolism: The Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). Diabetes Care 2016;39:582-588.

Affidavit

I, Yongping Lu, certify under penalty of perjury by my own signature that I have submitted the thesis on the topic "*Maternal and fetal metabolomic signatures in regard to birth outcome and gestational disease*" I wrote this thesis independently and without assistance from third parties, I used no other aids than the listed sources and resources.

All points based literally or in spirit on publications or presentations of other authors are, as such, in proper citations (see "uniform requirements for manuscripts (URM)" the ICMJE www.icmje.org) indicated. The section on methodology (in particular practical work, laboratory requirements, statistical processing) and results (in particular images, graphics and tables) corresponds to the URM (s.o) and are answered by me. My contribution in the selected publication for this dissertation corresponds to those that are specified in the following joint declaration with the responsible person and supervisor.

The importance of this affidavit and the criminal consequences of a false affidavit (section 156,161 of the Criminal Code) are known to me and I understand the rights and responsibilities stated therein.

Date.....

Signature.....

Declaration of own contributions

YongPing Lu had the following share in the following publications: * contributed equally

Publication 1:

*Li J, *Lu YP, Reichetzeder C, Kalk P, Kleuser B, Adamski J, Hocher B. Maternal PC aa C38:6 is associated with preterm birth - a risk factor for early and late adverse outcome of the offspring. Kidney Blood Press Res. 2016;41(3):250-7. (Impact Factor: 3.104)

Contribution in detail:

Contributed to the idea and conception of the article, performed alone after training all biochemical analysis related to metabolomics using mass tandem spectroscopy, this is the key biochemical technology of the study. Also analyzed all data, performed statistics what is very complex in metabolomic studies and finally created the tables and figures of the manuscript. I also drafted the first version of the manuscript that was thereafter circulated for corrections among the coauthors.

Publication 2:

*Lu YP, *Reichetzeder C, Prehn C, Yin LH, Yun C, Zeng SF, Chu C, Adamski J, Hocher B. Cord blood lysophosphatidylcholine 16:1 is positively associated with birth weight. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2018;45:614-624. (Impact Factor: 5.104)

Contribution in detail:

Contributed to the idea and conception of the article, performed alone after training all biochemical analysis related to metabolomics using mass tandem spectroscopy, this is the key biochemical technology of the study. Also analyzed all data, performed statistics what is very complex in metabolomic studies and finally created the tables and figures of the manuscript. I also drafted the first version of the manuscript that was thereafter circulated for corrections among the coauthors.

Publication 3:

*Lu YP, *Reichetzeder C, Prehn C, Websky KV, Slowinskib T, Chen YP, Yin LH, Kleuser B, Yang XS, Adamski J, Hocher B. Fetal serum metabolites are independently associated with gestational diabetes mellitus. Cell Physiol Biochem. 2018;45:625-638. (Impact Factor: 5.104)

Contribution in detail:

Contributed to the idea and conception of the article, performed alone after training all biochemical analysis related to metabolomics using mass tandem spectroscopy, this is the key biochemical technology of the study. Also analyzed all data, performed statistics what is very complex in metabolomic studies and finally created the tables and figures of the manuscript. I also drafted the first version of the manuscript that was thereafter circulated for corrections among the coauthors.

Signature, date and stamp of the supervising University teacher

Signature of the doctoral candidate



Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257 DOI: 10.1159/000443428 Published online: April 22, 2016

Accepted: March 18, 2016

© 2016 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel 1423-0143/16/0413-0250\$39.50/0 www.karger.com/kbr



This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of modified material requires written permission.

Original Paper

Maternal PCaaC38:6 is Associated With Preterm Birth – a Risk Factor for Early and Late Adverse Outcome of the Offspring

Jian Li^a Yong Ping Lu^{b,c} Christoph Reichetzeder^c Philipp Kalk^b Burkhard Kleuser^d Jerzy Adamski^e Berthold Hocher^{a,c,f}

^aDepartment of Clinical Medicine, Medical college of Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410006, China; ^bDepartment of Nephrology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Berlin; ^cInstitute of Nutritional Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam-Rehbrücke; ^dInstitute of Nutritional Science, Department of Toxicology, University of Potsdam, Potsdam; ^eInstitute of Experimental Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg; ^fIFLb, Institut für Laboratoriumsmedizin Berlin GmbH, Berlin, Germany

Key Words

Metabolomics • PCaaC38:6 • Biomarker • Preterm birth

Abstract

Background/Aims: Preterm birth (PTB) and low birth weight (LBW) significantly influence mortality and morbidity of the offspring in early life and also have long-term consequences in later life. A better understanding of the molecular mechanisms of preterm birth could provide new insights regarding putative preventive strategies. Metabolomics provides a powerful analytic tool to readout complex interactions between genetics, environment and health and may serve to identify relevant biomarkers. In this study, the association between 163 targeted maternal blood metabolites and gestational age was investigated in order to find candidate biomarkers for PTB. *Methods:* Five hundred twenty-three women were included into this observational study. Maternal blood was obtained before delivery. The concentration of 163 maternal serum metabolites was measured by flow injection tandem mass spectrometry. To find putative biomarkers for preterm birth, a three-step analysis was designed: bivariate correlation analysis followed by multivariable regression analysis and a comparison of mean values among gestational age groups. *Results:* Bivariate correlation analysis showed that 2 acylcarnitines (C16:2, C2), 1 amino acids (xLeu), 8 diacyl-PCs (PCaaC36:4, PCaaC38:4, PCaaC38:5, PCaaC38:6, PCaaC40:4, PCaaC40:5, PCaaC40:6, PCaaC42:4), and 1 Acylalkyl-PCs (PCaeC40:5) were inversely correlated with gestational age. Multivariable regression analysis confounded for PTB history, maternal body mass index (BMI) before pregnancy, systolic blood

Jian Li and Yong Ping Lu contributed equally to this study and therefore share first authorship.

Prof. Dr. Berthold Hocher Department of Clinical Medicine, Medical college of Hunan Normal University, Changsha, (China) and Institute of Nutritional Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam-Rehbrücke, Arthur-Scheunert-Allee 114-116, 14558 Nuthetal (Germany) E-Mail hocher@uni-potsdam.de





Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257

| DOI: 10.1159/000443428 Published online: April 22, 2016 | © 2016 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/kbr |
|--|---|
|--|---|

Li/Lu/Reichetzeder/Kalk/Kleuser/Adamski/Hocher: Maternal Blood PCaaC38:6, a Biomarker for Preterm Birth

pressure at the third trimester, and maternal body weight at the third trimester, showed that the diacyl-PC PCaaC38:6 was the only metabolite inversely correlated with gestational age. **Conclusions:** Maternal blood concentrations of PCaaC38:6 are independently associated with gestational age.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2016 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Preterm birth (PTB), defined as birth before 37th week of gestation, affects 5 to 18% of pregnancies worldwide. Evidence suggests that PTB not only significantly influences mortality and morbidity of the offspring in early life [1-7] but also causes serious long-term consequences in later life [8-11]. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses including large populations and different separate cohorts demonstrated that PTB increased the risk of metabolic syndrome at later childhood and adulthood [8-10]. Sensitive, effective, and noninvasive new biomarkers to screen and diagnose PTB before birth could lead to treatment options that prevent poor birth outcomes associated with PTB. Pregnancy is a very complex process, influenced by a plethora of physiological and pathophysiological factors. It is known that environmental factors like maternal infections, nutrition, and stressful events can be associated with changes in the serum metabolite profile. Metabolomics provides a powerful analytic tool to get insights into the complex interaction of genetics, environment and health and may serve to identify relevant predictive biomarkers for PTB. Previous work from Romero et al. [12] and Menon et al. [13] showed that the metabolomic profile of amniotic fluid is a good biomarker to assess the risk of preterm delivery. However, amniotic fluid sample collection is an invasive procedure with potential risk for adverse outcomes for both mother and child [14,15]. Blood plasma and (or) urine can be collected much easier and therefore should be targeted in the search of potential biomarkers. One recent study demonstrated that the maternal urinary metabolic profile in early pregnancy can be helpful to identify PTB [16]. So far, there is no publication about the metabolomic profile of maternal plasma and PTB. In this study, a targeted metabolomics approach was performed, measuring 163 metabolites which were analyzed for associations with gestational age. The detection of new biomarkers predictive for PTB could help to better understand the underlying pathomechanisms of PTB and to create new preventive strategies for PTB.

Materials and Methods

Clinic data collection

This observational study was approved by the local Ethics Committee. A total of 550 pregnant women who delivered their babies at the Charité obstetrics department in Berlin, Germany between January 2007 and December 2008 were invited to participate. As 27 mothers were excluded from the study because they delivered twins, 523 women entered the study. The majority of the mothers (n = 471) were of caucasian ethnicity, the others had an African, Asian, or Arabic background.

After written consent was obtained, a structured medical history was taken. The following data were extracted into our database: age, ethnicity, body height, body weight before pregnancy, gravidity, parity, diabetes mellitus and hypertension during pregnancy, smoking status before and during pregnancy, systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) measurements recorded during pregnancy and the mode of delivery. Biometric data of the newborn were collected during the routine postnatal examination: birth weight, birth length, head circumference, child sex, and Apgar score 5 minutes postnatally and Apgar score 10 minutes postnatally. Gestational age at delivery was based on last menstrual period, anamnestically assessed during the first pregnancy examination.

Sample collection, blood metabolomics compounds assay

Midwives collected maternal blood from a cubital vein in the delivery room or on the ward prior to





birth, before the usage of oxytocin, or analgesics. Blood was centrifuged at 2750 g immediately after its taking and the obtained serum was stored at -80 °C until measurements were performed.

163 targeted small metabolites were quantified simultaneously in 10 µL of serum using the Absolute IDQTM-kit p150 (Biocrates Life Sciences AG, Innsbruck, Austria). The assay procedures were the same as previously described [17]. Concentrations of these targeted metabolites were recorded in µM. These metabolites included 14 amino acids, 1 sugar, 1 carnitine, 26 acylcarnitines, 14 hydroxy and dicarboxy-acylcarnitines, 10 sphingomyelins, 5 hydroxy-sphingomyelins, 38 diacyl-phosphatidylcholines (diacyl-PCs), 39 acyl-alkyl-phosphatidylcholines (Acylalkyl-PCs) and 15 lysophosphatidylcholines.

Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257

| DOI: 10.1159/000443428 © 2016 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/kbr |
|--|
|--|

Li/Lu/Reichetzeder/Kalk/Kleuser/Adamski/Hocher: Maternal Blood PCaaC38:6, a Biomarker for Preterm Birth

Table 1. Detailed Descriptive Data of the Mother/Child Pairs (n = 523)

| Variable | Mean±SE/% |
|--|---------------|
| Maternal age, y | 30.78±0.26 |
| Maternal height, cm | 166.62±0.29 |
| Maternal weight before pregnancy, kg | 62.99±0.59 |
| Maternal BMI before pregnancy, kg/m ² | 22.66±0.20 |
| Primigra/pluripara, % | 37.37/62.63 |
| Smoking before/during pregnancy, % | 43.07/16.18 |
| Hypertension before/during pregnancy, % | 4.40/10.33 |
| Diabetes mellitus before/during pregnancy, % | 0.96/8.60 |
| Mean weight $1^{ m st}$ half of pregnancy, kg | 66.12±0.70 |
| Mean weight 2 nd half of pregnancy, kg | 69.11±0.63 |
| Mean weight 3 rd half of pregnancy, kg | 76.19±0.62 |
| Mean systolic BP 1 st half of pregnancy, mm Hg | 113.20±0.63 |
| Mean systolic BP 2 nd half of pregnancy, mm Hg | 112.58±0.53 |
| Mean systolic BP 3 rd half of pregnancy, mm Hg | 114.23±0.47 |
| Mean diastolic BP 1 st half of pregnancy, mm Hg | 68.42±0.45 |
| Mean diastolic BP 2 nd half of pregnancy, mm Hg | 67.40±0.35 |
| Mean diastolic BP 3 rd half of pregnancy, mm Hg | 69.26±0.33 |
| Gestational age at delivery, weeks + days | 38+3 |
| Child sex, male/female, % | 52.18/47.82 |
| Child birth weight, g | 3257.53±28.21 |
| Child birth length, cm | 50.03±0.18 |
| Head circumference, cm | 34.53±0.08 |
| Apgar score at 5 min | 9.21±0.05 |
| Apgar score at 10 min | 9.48±0.04 |
| Data are given as mean ± SE or % | |

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS version 17.0. Results of quantitative

data were expressed as arithmetic mean \pm standard error (SE). Bivariate Correlation Analysis was applied to detect correlations of metabolites and gestational age. Additionally, certain factors (PTB history, maternal BMI before pregnancy, systolic blood pressure at the third trimester, maternal body weight at the third trimester) were used as confounders to calculate and adjust putative predictive metabolites in multivariable linear regression models. Unpaired t-test was used for comparison of continuous variables between two groups. A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Description of the cohort

Descriptive data of the study population are given in table 1. The study population represented a typical German birth cohort in regards to key characteristics like maternal age, ethnicity, BMI before pregnancy, gravidity, parity, biometric data of the newborns like birth weight, birth length, child sex, and Apgar score (for more details, see Table 1). The distribution of gestational age is given in Figure 1.

Bivariate correlation analyses of maternal serum metabolites and gestational age

Bivariate correlation analyses showed that 2 acylcarnitines (C16:2, C2), 1 amino acid (xLeu), 8 diacyl-PCs (PCaaC36:4, PCaaC38:4, PCaaC38:5, PCaaC38:6, PCaaC40:4, PCaaC40:5, PCaaC40:6, PCaaC42:4), and 1 acylalkylphosphatidylcholine (PCaeC40:5) were significantly negatively correlated with gestational age (for more details, see table 2).

Multivariable regression analyses of maternal blood metabolites and gestational age Significant results obtained by bivariate correlation analysis were subsequently analy-

zed by multivariable regression analysis considering PTB history, maternal BMI before pregnancy, systolic blood pressure at the third trimester, maternal BMI at the third trimester in model B. Analysis showed that PCaaC38:6 was the only metabolite significantly inverselv correlated with gestational age (for more details, see table 3).

> PCaaC38:6 serum concentrations in relation to gestational age

2 gestational age groups were stratified as follows: gestational age < 37weeks as group 1 (PTB group), ≥ 37 weeks as group 2. The PTB group displayed significantly higher concentrations of PCaaC38:6 in comparison to group 2 (100.09 ± 4.22 µM vs. 89.66 $\pm 1.17 \mu M$, P = 0.023);

4 gestational age groups were stratified as follows: gestational age < 35 weeks as group 1 (severe PTB group), 35~37 weeks as group 2 (moderate PTB group), 38~39 weeks as group $3 \ge 40$ weeks as group 4. PCaaC38:6 showed a trend to decrease with increasing gestational age (for more details, see figure 2).

Discussion



| DOI: 10.1159/000443428 | © 2016 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Base |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Published online: April 22, 2016 | www.karger.com/kbr |

Li/Lu/Reichetzeder/Kalk/Kleuser/Adamski/Hocher: Maternal Blood PCaaC38:6, a Biomarker for Preterm Rirth



Fig. 1. Distribution of gestational age.

| | Variable | Pearson | P value |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | Correlation | |
| Acylcarnitines | C16:2 | -0.163 | 1.189×10^{-4} |
| | C2 | -0.122 | 0.004 |
| Amino acids | xLeu | -0.091 | 0.033 |
| Diacyl-PCs | PCaaC36:4 | -0.104 | 0.014 |
| | PCaaC38:4 | -0.111 | 0.009 |
| | PCaaC38:5 | -0.088 | 0.040 |
| | PCaaC38:6 | -0.122 | 0.004 |
| | PCaaC40:4 | -0.089 | 0.037 |
| | PCaaC40:5 | -0.094 | 0.027 |
| | PCaaC40:6 | -0.120 | 0.005 |
| | PCaaC42:4 | -0.084 | 0.048 |
| Acylalkyl-PCs | PCaeC40:5 | -0.108 | 0.012 |
| Paul and barrent and a | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Table 2. Maternal serum metabolites related to gestational age in bivariate correlation analysis

For each maternal serum metabolite, the bivariate correlation analysis was performed, with the maternal serum metabolite values being the dependent and each of the maternal gestational age being the independent variable. Only the 12 significant correlated metabolites are shown here. Diacyl-PCs : diacyl-phosphatidylcholines; Acylalkyl-PCs: acyl-alkyl-phosphatidylcholines

In the current birth cohort study, the association of 163 maternal serum metabolites and gestational age before delivery was investigated. Diacyl phosphatidylcholine PCaaC38:6 was strongly and inversely correlated with gestational age. Concentration of PCaaC38:6 was significantly higher in serum of mothers with PTB than in the serum of mothers who gave birth between 37th and 40th or above 40th week of gestation. This indicates that unsaturated diacyl-PCs (PCaaC38:6) might be candidate biomarkers for PTB screening and monitoring.

In recent years, elaborate molecular biologic methods like genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics have been used to study normal pregnancy and pregnancy complications. Yet, only two studies have reported the use of metabolomics in PTB. Romero et al. [12]

Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257

DOI: 10.1159/000443428© 2016 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, BaselPublished online: April 22, 2016www.karger.com/kbr

Li/Lu/Reichetzeder/Kalk/Kleuser/Adamski/Hocher: Maternal Blood PCaaC38:6, a Biomarker for Preterm Birth

| Table 3. Maternal serum metabolites related to § | gestational age in multivariable | linear regression analysis |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|

| Variable | В | t | Р | 95.0% Confidence |
|--|--------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | interval for B |
| PCaaC38:6 | -0.04 | -2.19 | 0.029 | -0.072 \sim -0.004 |
| PTB history | -15.98 | -4.14 | 4.06×10^{-5} | -23.56 \sim -8.40 |
| Maternal BMI before pregnancy | -0.51 | -2.93 | 0.017 | -0.93~-0.09 |
| Systolic blood pressure at the third trimester | -0.27 | -4.32 | 4.09×10 ⁻⁵ | -0.39~-0.14 |
| Maternal body weight at the third trimester | 0.31 | 3.98 | 7.83×10 ⁻⁵ | 0.16~0.46 |

Considering PTB history, maternal BMI before pregnancy, systolic blood pressure at the third trimester, maternal body weight at the third trimester, the 4 metabolites shown in table 2 (P<0.01) being the independent variable and gestational age being the dependent variable. Only PCaaC38:6 was significant correlated with gestational age. Shown are the B, t, and P-values for the maternal gestational age. B: non-standardized regression coefficient.



Fig. 2. Mean maternal PCaaC38:6 according to gestational age groups. A: 2 gestational age groups were stratified as follows: <37 weeks with 57 cases, \geq 37 weeks with 466 cases. B: 4 gestational age groups were stratified as follows: <35 weeks with 29 cases, 35~37 weeks with 73 cases, 38~39 weeks with 233 cases, \geq 40 weeks with 188 cases.

collected amniotic fluid from transabdominal amniocentesis at the time of diagnosis for preterm labor and showed that mothers without intraamniotic infection/inflammation who delivered preterm had a relative decrease in carbohydrates and amino acids. In contrast, mothers with intraamniotic infection/inflammation had a more substantial decrease in compounds of the carbohydrate cluster, and a relative increase in amino acids. Menon et al. [13] collected amniotic fluid from transvaginal amniocentesis samples taken prior to delivery during active labor in an African-American population and found that the global metabolite profiles differed significantly between normal and preterm birth. Many of the significantly altered metabolites in the PTB group reflected liver metabolism. Different to previous studies, the current study investigated maternal metabolites in serum obtained before delivery in a typical German cohort. Statistical analyses showed that maternal plasma phospholipids (six Diacyl-PCs and two Acylalkyl-PCs), especially PCaaC38:6, are relevant to PTB.

Glycerophospholipids make up the main structural lipids of cellular membranes, and phosphatidylcholine (PC) accounts for >50% of the glycerophospholipids in most eukaryotic membranes [18, 19]. PC is the main component of circulating lipoprotein classes in the human plasma [20]. In addition, PC is particularly essential for hepatic secretion of



Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257

Kidney Blood Pressure Research

DOI: 10.1159/000443428 © 2016 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/kbr

255

Li/Lu/Reichetzeder/Kalk/Kleuser/Adamski/Hocher: Maternal Blood PCaaC38:6, a Biomarker for Preterm Birth

triglyceride-rich very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) and high density lipoprotein (HDL) [19]. PC is essential for proper cell division and thus plays a very important role during fetal intrauterine growth. Furthermore, PC is the main source of choline which is essential for fetal brain and neurocognitive development [21,22]. Bernhard et al. [23] showed that in preterm infants, choline concentrations are lower in postnatal plasma than in cord plasma. La et al [24] conducted an S-plot for biomarkers of gestational age (PCs, PEs, and SMs) and showed that they had a weak, negative correlation with gestational age. Till now, there is no data available that links diacyl-PCs to gestational physiological or pathological conditions.

The most common phenotype of PTB is spontaneous PTB of unknown etiology. Risk factors of PTB include malnutrition, obesity, intra-amniotic infection (IAI) and inflammation, cigarette smoking, alcohol intake, drug use, antioxidant deficient diets, physiologic and psycho-social stressors, environmental pollutants, genotoxic agents, geographic location [25]. All of the PTB risk factors are capable of causing redox imbalances, leading to the production of superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl ions and nitric oxide that can damage collagen matrix and consume antioxidant defenses. These events can trigger uterine contractions (labor), leading to PTB and placing these infants at a higher risk of injury [25]. Recently, a large cohort study with 18,079 participants (KORA study) and seven years follow-up [26] showed that compared with former smokers and never smokers, current smokers had higher concentrations of unsaturated diacyl-PCs but lower concentrations of saturated diacyl-PC. It has been shown that unsaturated fatty acids are more vulnerable to lipid peroxidation [27-29]. Furthermore, polyunsaturated diacyl-PCs can promote the oxidation and fragmentation of γ -hydroxyalkenals [29]. Oxidative stress (OS) can occur early in pregnancy [30], induce pregnancy-related disorders like preeclampsia [31-33] and preterm premature rupture of membranes [34-36], and is considered a detrimental factor in preterm birth pathology [25]. Results of the current study showed that unsaturated diacyl-PCs (PCaaC36:4, PCaaC38:4, PCaaC38:5, PCaaC38:6, PCaaC40:4, PCaaC40:5, PCaaC40:6, PCaaC42:4), especially PCaaC38:6, were negatively correlated with gestational age. Higher unsaturated diacyl-PCs may be indicative of a higher level of OS. Future studies, including animal experiments and human epidemiological studies are needed to confirm the relationship between diacyl-PCs and OS.

One limitation of the study is that maternal serum metabolites were only measured prior to birth. Given that a predictive biomarker for PTB could be of great clinical significance, the current results should stimulate studies, which focus on measuring serum metabolites at multiple and/or earlier occasions in order to consider a potential gestational age dependent secretion of the metabolomic biomarkers. In particular the lipid biomarkers identified in the current study need confirmation in a second independent prospective study. Moreover, our work should stimulate preclinical work using specific tools to block and up-regulate the biological action of PCaaC38:6 to reveal causality and biological relevance between PCaaC38:6 and PTB.

Conclusion

Maternal blood PCaaC38:6 was clearly correlated with gestational age. PCaaC38:6 may be a candidate biomarker for PTB.

Disclosure Statement

The authors of this manuscript state that they do not have any conflict of interests and nothing to disclose.

KARGER

Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257



DOI: 10.1159/000443428© 2016 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel
www.karger.com/kbr

Li/Lu/Reichetzeder/Kalk/Kleuser/Adamski/Hocher: Maternal Blood PCaaC38:6, a Biomarker for Preterm Birth

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participating mothers without whom this study would not have been possible.

Reference

- Liu L, Johnson HL, Cousens S, Perin J, Scott S, Lawn JE, Rudan I, Campbell H, Cibulskis R, Li M, Mathers C, Black RE; Child Health Epidemiology Reference Group of WHO and UNICEF: Global, regional, and national causes of child mortality: an updated systematic analysis for 2010 with time trends since 2000. Lancet 2012;379:2151-2161.
- 2 Mwaniki MK, Atieno M, Lawn JE, Newton CR: Long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes after intrauterine and neonatal insults: a systematic review. Lancet 2012;379:445-452.
- 3 Reichetzeder C, Chen H, Föller M, Slowinski T, Li J, Chen YP, Lang F, Hocher B: Maternal vitamin D deficiency and fetal programming--lessons learned from humans and mice. Kidney Blood Press Res 2014;39:315-329.
- 4 Karadag A, Ozdemir R, Degirmencioglu H, Uras N, Dilmen U, Bilgili G, Erdeve O, Cakir U5, Atasay B: Comparison of Three Different Administration Positions for Intratracheal Beractant in Preterm Newborns with Respiratory Distress Syndrome. Pediatr Neonatol 2016;57:105-112.
- 5 Chen YP, Lu YP, Li J, Liu ZW, Chen WJ, Liang XJ, Chen X, Wen WR, Xiao XM, Reichetzeder C, Hocher B: Fetal and maternal angiotensin (1-7) are associated with preterm birth. J Hypertens 2014;32:1833-1841.
- 6 Aufdenblatten M, Baumann M, Raio L, Dick B, Frey BM, Schneider H, Surbek D, Hocher B, Mohaupt MG: Prematurity is related to high placental cortisol in preeclampsia. Pediatr Res 2009;65:198-202.
- 7 Kodjebacheva GD, Sabo T: Influence of premature birth on the health conditions, receipt of special education and sport participation of children aged 6-17 years in the USA. J Public Health (Oxf) 2015; pii: fdv098. [Epub ahead of print]
- 8 Abitbol CL, Rodriguez MM: The long-term renal and cardiovascular consequences of prematurity. Nat Rev Nephrol 2012; 8: 265-274.
- 9 Parkinson JR, Hyde MJ, Gale C, Santhakumaran S, Modi N: Preterm birth and the metabolic syndrome in adult life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pediatrics 2013;131:e1240-1263.
- 10 Tinnion R, Gillone J, Cheetham T, Embleton N: Preterm birth and subsequent insulin sensitivity: a systematic review. Arch Dis Child 2014;99:362-368.
- 11 Hofman PL, Regan F, Jackson WE, Jefferies C, Knight DB, Robinson EM, Cutfield WS: Premature birth and later insulin resistance. N Engl J Med 2004;351:2179-2186.
- 12 Romero R, Mazaki-Tovi S, Vaisbuch E, Kusanovic JP, Chaiworapongsa T, Gomez R, Nien JK, Yoon BH, Mazor M, Luo J, Banks D, Ryals J, Beecher C: Metabolomics in premature labor: a novel approach to identify patients at risk for preterm delivery. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2010;23:1344-1359.
- 13 Menon R, Jones J, Gunst PR, Kacerovsky M, Fortunato SJ, Saade GR, Basraon S: Amniotic fluid metabolomic analysis in spontaneous preterm birth. Reprod Sci 2014;21:791-803.
- 14 Mujezinovic F, Alfirevic Z: Procedure-related complications of amniocentesis and chorionic villous sampling: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol 2007;110:687-694.
- 15 Seeds JW: Diagnostic mid trimester amniocentesis: how safe? Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;191:607-615.
- 16 Maitre L, Fthenou E, Athersuch T, Coen M, Toledano MB, Holmes E, Kogevinas M, Chatzi L, Keun HC: Urinary metabolic profiles in early pregnancy are associated with preterm birth and fetal growth restriction in the Rhea mother-child cohort study. BMC Med 2014;12:110.
- 17 Wahl S, Yu Z, Kleber M, Singmann P, Holzapfel C, He Y, Mittelstrass K, Polonikov A, Prehn C, Römisch-Margl W, Adamski J, Suhre K, Grallert H, Illig T, Wang-Sattler R, Reinehr T: Childhood obesity is associated with changes in the serum metabolite profile. Obes Facts 2012;5:660-670.
- 18 van Meer G, Voelker DR, Feigenson GW: Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2008;9:112-124.
- 19 Cole LK, Vance JE, Vance DE: Phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis and lipoprotein metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta 2012;1821:754-761.



Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257

| DOI: 10.1159/000443428 Published online: April 22, 2016 | © 2016 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Base www.karger.com/kbr |
|--|---|
| | |

Li/Lu/Reichetzeder/Kalk/Kleuser/Adamski/Hocher: Maternal Blood PCaaC38:6, a Biomarker for Preterm Birth

- 20 Quehenberger O, Dennis EA: The human plasma lipidome. N Engl J Med 2011;365:1812-1823.
- 21 Zeisel SH: Nutritional importance of choline for brain development. J Am Coll Nutr 2004;23:621S-626S.
- 22 Zeisel SH: Importance of methyl donors during reproduction. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;89:673S-677S.
- 23 Bernhard W, Raith M, Kunze R, Koch V, Heni M, Maas C, Abele H, Poets CF, Franz AR: Choline concentrations are lower in postnatal plasma of preterm infants than in cord plasma. Eur J Nutr 2015;54:733-741.
- 24 La Torre D, Seppänen-Laakso T, Larsson HE, Hyötyläinen T, Ivarsson SA, Lernmark A, Oresic M; DiPiS Study Group: Decreased cord-blood phospholipids in young age-at-onset type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 2013;62:3951-3956.
- 25 Menon R: Oxidative stress damage as a detrimental factor in preterm birth pathology. Front Immunol 2014;5:567.
- 26 Xu T, Holzapfel C, Dong X, Bader E, Yu Z, Prehn C, Perstorfer K, Jaremek M, Roemisch-Margl W, Rathmann W, Li Y, Wichmann HE, Wallaschofski H, Ladwig KH, Theis F, Suhre K, Adamski J, Illig T, Peters A, Wang-Sattler R: Effects of smoking and smoking cessation on human serum metabolite profile: results from the KORA cohort study. BMC Med 2013;11:60.
- 27 Kris-Etherton PM: AHA science advisory: monounsaturated fatty acids and risk of cardiovascular disease. J Nutr 1999;129:2280-2284.
- 28 Mozaffarian D, Rimm EB, Herrington DM: Dietary fats, carbohydrate, and progression of coronary atherosclerosis in postmenopausal women. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;80:1175-1184.
- 29 Chen X, Zhang W, Laird J, Hazen SL, Salomon RG: Polyunsaturated phospholipids promote the oxidation and fragmentation of gamma-hydroxyalkenals: formation and reactions of oxidatively truncated ether phospholipids. J Lipid Res 2008;49:832-846.
- 30 Marseglia L, D'Angelo G, Manti S, Arrigo T, Barberi I, Reiter RJ, Gitto E: Oxidative stress-mediated aging during the fetal and perinatal periods. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2014;2014:358375.
- 31 Tastekin A, Ors R, Demircan B, Saricam Z, Ingec M, Akcay F: Oxidative stress in infants born to preeclamptic mothers. Pediatr Int 2005;47:658-662.
- 32 Zhuang B, Luo X, Rao H, Li Q, Shan N, Liu X, Qi H: Oxidative stress-induced C/EBPβ inhibits β-catenin signaling molecule involving in the pathology of preeclampsia. Placenta 2015;36:839-846.
- 33 Turpin CA, Sakyi SA, Owiredu WK, Ephraim RK, Anto EO: Association between adverse pregnancy outcome and imbalance in angiogenic regulators and oxidative stress biomarkers in gestational hypertension and preeclampsia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2015;15:189.
- 34 Soydinc HE, Sak ME, Evliyaoglu O, Evsen MS, Turgut A, Özler A, Yıldız İ, Gul T: Prolidase, matrix metalloproteinases 1 and 13 activity, oxidative-antioxidative status as a marker of preterm premature rupture of membranes and chorioamnionitis in maternal vaginal washing fluids. Int J Med Sci 2013;10:1344-1351.
- 35 Menon R, Boldogh I, Hawkins HK, Woodson M, Polettini J, Syed TA, Fortunato SJ, Saade GR, Papaconstantinou J, Taylor RN: Histological evidence of oxidative stress and premature senescence in preterm premature rupture of the human fetal membranes recapitulated in vitro. Am J Pathol 2014;184:1740-1751.
- 36 Ilhan N, Celik E, Kumbak B: Maternal plasma levels of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, vitamins C, E and A, 8-isoprostane and oxidative status in women with preterm premature rupture of membranes. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2015;28:316-319.

KARGER

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Published online: February 02, 2018

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624 DOI: 10.1159/000487118

Accepted: January 09, 2018

© 2018 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

614

Karger pen access

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of modified material requires written permission.

Original Paper

Cord Blood Lysophosphatidylcholine 16:1 is Positively Associated with Birth Weight

Yong-Ping Lu^{a,b,c} Christoph Reichetzeder^a Cornelia Prehn^d Chen Yun^{a,b} Shufei Zeng^{a,b,c} Liang-Hong Yin^c Chang Chu^c Jerzy Adamski^{d,e,f} Berthold Hocher^{a,g,h}

^aInstitute of Nutritional Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam-Rehbrücke, ^bDepartment of Nephrology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Mitte, Berlin, Germany, Department of Nephrology, the first Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, ^dInstitute of Experimental Genetics, Genome Analysis Center, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, ^eLehrstuhl für Experimentelle Genetik, Technische Universität München, Freising-Weihenstephan, ^fGerman Center for Diabetes Research, München-Neuherberg, Germany, ⁹Department of Histology and Embryology, Basic Medical College, Jinan University 510632 Guangzhou, hKey Laboratory of Study and Discovery of Small Targeted Molecules of Hunan Province, School of Medicine, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, China

Key Words

Metabolomics • Lysophosphatidylcholine • Birth Weight • DOHaD • Hypertension • Type 2 Diabetes

Abstract

Background/Aims: Impaired birth outcomes, like low birth weight, have consistently been associated with increased disease susceptibility to hypertension in later life. Alterations in the maternal or fetal metabolism might impact on fetal growth and influence birth outcomes. Discerning associations between the maternal and fetal metabolome and surrogate parameters of fetal growth could give new insight into the complex relationship between intrauterine conditions, birth outcomes, and later life disease susceptibility. *Methods:* Using flow injection tandem mass spectrometry, targeted metabolomics was performed in serum samples obtained from 226 mother/child pairs at delivery. Associations between neonatal birth weight and concentrations of 163 maternal and fetal metabolites were analyzed. Results: After FDR adjustment using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure lysophosphatidylcholines (LPC) 14:0, 16:1, and 18:1 were strongly positively correlated with birth weight. In a stepwise linear regression model corrected for established confounding factors of birth weight, LPC 16:1 showed the strongest independent association with birth weight (CI: 93.63 - 168.94; $P = 6.94 \times 10^{-11}$). The association with birth weight was stronger than classical confounding factors such as offspring sex (CI: -258.81- -61.32; P = 0.002) and maternal smoking during pregnancy (CI: -298.74 - -29.51; P = 0.017). **Conclusions:** After correction for multiple testing and adjustment for potential confounders, LPC 16:1 showed a very strong and independent

Y.-P. Lu and C. Reichetzeder contributed equally to this work.

Berthold Hocher and Liang-Hong Yin



Key Laboratory of Study and Discovery of Small Targeted Molecules of Hunan Province, School of Médicine, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, (China) Department of Nephrology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, (China) E-Mail hocher@uni-potsdam.de; 13725251458@126.com

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624 DOI: 10.1159/000487118 Published online: February 02, 2018 Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

association with birth weight. The underlying molecular mechanisms linking fetal LPCs with birth weight need to be addressed in future studies.

© 2018 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

It is by now widely accepted, that the early life environment is not just an important factor affecting immediate birth outcomes, but is also associated with cardiovascular disease susceptibility in later life - for instance the likelihood of developing hypertension. The developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD) hypothesis, an explanatory model for the link between early life conditions and adult disease susceptibility, has been established based on the data of epidemiological [1-4] and animal studies [5-8]. As alterations in the intrauterine environment can affect fetal growth, anthropometric measures at birth, like birth weight, birth length and head circumference are well established surrogate parameters in the investigation of developmental disease origins [9]. There is a vast amount of compelling evidence in literature demonstrating that low birth weight is associated with an increased risk for metabolic and cardiovascular disease as well as hypertension in later life [2, 10-11]. Growth and development in utero are complex processes which depend on a variety of maternal, paternal and fetal factors for an optimal outcome [12]. Due to the intricacy of involved factors, our understanding of underlying mechanisms of developmental disease origins is still limited. Recent technologic advances in high-throughput methods, like array and diverse Omics approaches have revolutionized biological research. Metabolomics may capture exposures that are notoriously challenging to quantify and improve our understanding of the link between early-life environmental factors, fetal development and disorders in later life [12-14]. Targeted metabolomics can provide detailed quantitative information on the metabolic status of an organism, adding to the better characterization of phenotypes associated with metabolic and cardiovascular sequelae over the life course [14]. Metabolic profiling was already used in characterizing maternal plasma and umbilical cord blood metabolomes in conditions such as preterm birth [15], small for gestational age (SGA) [16], low birth weight [17], very low birth weight [18, 19] and intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) [20-22]. However, a major limitation of available studies are heterogeneous study designs, applied methodology, low sample sizes, the usage of untargeted metabolomic approaches, and the lack of replication of obtained study results [15-23].

The aim of the current study was to investigate associations between the maternal and fetal metabolome at time of birth and well established birth weight in an appropriately sized mother child cohort employing a widely used targeted metabolomic approach.

Materials and Methods

Clinic data collection

This observational study was approved by the local ethics committee and carried out at the Department of Obstetrics, Charité Universitaetsmedizin Berlin (Berlin, Germany). 226 newborns and their mothers entered the study. The majority (89.9%) were of Caucasian ethnicity – for details see also [15, 24, 25].

A structured medical history was taken. The following data were extracted into our database: age, ethnicity, weight before pregnancy, body height, gravidity, parity, hypertension and diabetes mellitus during pregnancy, smoking status before and during pregnancy, systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) measurements recorded during pregnancy, and the mode of delivery (normal delivery or cesarean section). As well as the newborn postnatal examination biometric data birth weight, birth length, head circumference, child sex, and Apgar scores assessed at 5 and 10 minutes were collected

Sample collection

Midwives collected maternal blood from a cubital vein in the delivery room or on the ward prior to birth. Fetal blood was collected from the umbilical cord immediately after delivery. Blood was centrifuged at 2750 g and the obtained serum samples were then stored at -80 °C until it was analyzed.

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624 Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624 DOI: 10.1159/000487118 Published online: February 02, 2018 Www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

Targeted metabolomics in blood serum

Metabolite quanitification were done in the Metabolomic Platform of the Genome Analysis Center, Helmholtz Zentrum München, using FIA-ESI-MS/MS and the Absolute*IDQ*TM p150 Kit (BIOCRATES Life Sciences AG, Innsbruck, Austria). The assay allows simultaneous quantification of 163 metabolites out of 10 µL serum, and includes free carnitine, 40 acylcarnitines, 14 amino acids (13 proteinogenic + ornithine), hexoses (sum of hexoses – about 90-95 % glucose), 92 glycerophospholipids (15 lysophosphatidylcholines (lysoPC) and 77 phosphatidylcholines (PC)), and 15 sphingolipids. The method of Absolute*IDQ*TM p150 Kit has been proven to be in conformance with the EMEA-Guideline "Guideline on bioanalytical method validation (July 21st 2011") [26], which implies proof of reproducibility within a given error range. A detailed description of the sample preparation, assay procedures and nomenclature have been published previously [27-29].

Sample handling was performed by a Hamilton Microlab STARTM robot (Hamilton Bonaduz AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland) and a Ultravap nitrogen evaporator (Porvair Sciences, Leatherhead, U.K.), beside standard laboratory equipment. Mass spectrometric analyses were done on an API 4000 triple quadrupole system (Sciex Deutschland GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) equipped with a 1200 Series HPLC (Agilent Technologies Deutschland GmbH, Böblingen, Germany) and a HTC PAL auto sampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland) controlled by the software Analyst 1.5.1. Data evaluation for quantification of metabolite concentrations and quality assessment was performed with the Met/DQ^{TM} software package, which is an integral part of the Absolute IDQ^{TM} Kit. Metabolite concentrations were calculated using internal standards and reported in μ M.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS version 22.0. To find associations between neonatal birth weight and targeted metabolites, a three-step analysis was used: bivariate correlation analysis, *P*-values adjustment for multiple testing, and multiple linear regression analysis. In bivariate correlation analysis, B was used to estimate the strength of a correlation. To reduce false discovery rate (FDR) due to multiple testing, resulting *P*-values from bivariate correlation analysis were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure. The BH procedure is defined as $P_m \leq m \times q/M$ [30, 31]. M equals the total number of tested metabolites (M=163, 163 metabolites), q equals the FDR (the FDR set up at 5% in the present paper), *P*m equals the individual *P*-value's rank, and m equals the individual rank of tested metabolite. Factors known to be associated with birth weight (gestational age [32], child sex [33], maternal age [34], maternal BMI before pregnancy [35], maternal smoking during pregnancy [36, 37]) were used as confounders to calculate and adjust putative predictive metabolites in linear regression and stepwise linear regression models. For stratifying the cohort into small (SGA), appropriate (AGA), and large for gestational age (LGA) offspring, the 10th and 97th percentiles of birth weight for the gestational age were used as cut-offs defining SGA and LGA, respectively [38, 39]. A statistically significant difference

was considered as P < 0.05.

Results

KARGER

Description of the cohort

Descriptive data of the study population, which represented a regular birth cohort in regard to key characteristics such maternal age, height, BMI before pregnancy, smoking status and newborn sex, birth weight, birth length, and head circumference, are given in Table 1. For the distribution of child birth weight see Fig. 1. A.

Bivariate correlation analyses of maternal serum metabolites and child birth weight

Bivariate correlation analyses showed that four acylcarnitines (C10:1, C14:2-OH, C16:2-OH, C18:1-OH), and one sphingolipid SM (OH) C 16:1 **Table 1.** Detailed Descriptive Data of the mother and child (n = 226). Data are given as mean ± SE or %

| Variable | Mean±SE / % |
|--|-------------|
| Caucasian ethnicity/other ethnicity, % | 90.0/10.0 |
| Maternal age, y | 30.4±0.4 |
| Maternal height, cm | 166.3±0.5 |
| Maternal weight before pregnancy, kg | 63.2±0.6 |
| Maternal BMI before pregnancy, kg/m ² | 22.3±0.3 |
| Primigravida/primipara, % | 37.4/62.6 |
| Smoking before/during pregnancy, % | 43.1/16.2 |
| Hypertension before/during pregnancy, % | 3.5/11.3 |
| Diabetes mellitus before/during pregnancy, % | 1.5/9.0 |
| Mean weight 1 st trimester kg | 65.0±1.0 |
| Mean weight 2nd trimester, kg | 67.7±1.0 |
| Mean weight 3 rd trimester half , kg | 75.2±0.0.9 |
| Mean SBP in 1st trimester, mm Hg | 113.1±1.0 |
| Mean SBP in 2 nd trimester, mm Hg | 112.3±0.8 |
| Mean SBP in 3rd trimester, mm Hg | 113.3±0.7 |
| Mean DBP in 1st trimester, mm Hg | 68.7±0.7 |
| Mean DBP in 2nd trimester, mm Hg | 67.2±0.5 |
| Mean DBP in 3rd trimester half, mm Hg | 69.2±0.5 |
| Gestational age at delivery, days | 273.3±0.6 |
| Child sex, male/female, % | 52.2/47.8 |
| Child birth weight, g | 3372.3±30.5 |
| Child birth length, cm | 50.7±0.2 |
| Child head circumference | 34.7±0.1 |
| Apgar score at 5 min | 9.4±0.1 |
| Apgar score at 10 min | 9.6±0.1 |
| Fetal cord blood pH | 7.27±0.05 |

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624

and Biochemistry Published online: February 02, 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

617

were positively correlated with birth weight (Table 2a). After p-value correction of the initially significant associations using the BH procedure ($P_m > m \times q/M$), no more significant associations were observed.

> Bivariate correlation analyses of fetal metabolites and child birth weight

Bivariate correlation analyses showed that nine LPCs (LPC 14:0, 16:0, 16:1, 17:0, 18:0, 18:1, 18:2, 20:3, 20:4) were positively correlated with birth weight (Table 2b). After Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment, three LPCs (LPC 14:0, 16:1, 18:1) with $P_{\rm m} \leq {\rm m} \times {\rm q} / {\rm M}$ remained significantly correlated with birth weight (Table 2b).

> Linear regression analyses of fetal metabolites and child birth weight

Significant results obtained by FDR corrected bivariate correlation analysis were subsequently analyzed by multiple linear regression analysis. considering gestational age, child sex, maternal maternal age, BMI before pregnancy, and maternal smoking during pregnancy as confounders. LPC 14:0 (Standardized beta $= 0.31, P = 1.75 \times 10^{-7}), 16:1$ (Standardized beta = 0.38, $P = 6.94 \times 10^{-11}$), and 18:1 (Standardized beta = 0.35, P = 7.89×10^{-9}) were strongly correlated with birth weight (table 3a). To investigate

KARGER



Fig. 1. Distribution of child birth weight (A), mean fetal serum LPC 16:1 in groups of child weight <2500g (n = 5), 2500-4000g (n = 199) and >4000g (n = 22) (B), and mean fetal serum LPC 16:1 in groups of SGA (n = 23), AGA (n = 198), and LGA (n = 5) (C). LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine. Data are given as mean \pm SE, SGA = small for gestational age, AGA = appropriate for gestational age, LGA = large for gestational age.

Table 2. a. Correlation between maternal serum metabolites and child birth weight. (n = 226). Note: only metabolites with a p-value less than 0.05 in bivariate correlation analysis shown in the table. Cx:y = acylcarnitine, -OH = hydroxy, SM = sphingomyelin. b. Correlation between fetal serum metabolites and child birth weight. (n = 226). Note: only metabolites with a p-value less than 0.05 in bivariate correlation analysis shown in the table. LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine

| a) Independent variable | Spearman Correlation | P m value | m×q/M value |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| C10:1 | 0.144 | 0.031 | 1.23×10-3 |
| C14:2-OH | 0.137 | 0.040 | 1.53×10-3 |
| C16:2-OH | 0.145 | 0.029 | 9.20×10-4 |
| C18:1-OH | 0.159 | 0.017 | 3.07×10-4 |
| SM (OH) C 16:1 | 0.156 | 0.019 | 6.13×10-4 |
| b) Independent variable | Spearman Correlation | P _m value | m×q/M value |
| LPC 14:0 | 0.252 | 2.12×10-4 | 6.13×10-4 |
| LPC 16:0 | 0.223 | 7.42×10-3 | 1.23×10-3 |
| LPC 16:1 | 0.286 | 2.60×10-5 | 3.07×10-4 |
| LPC 17:0 | 0.200 | 0.003 | 1.53×10-3 |
| LPC 18:0 | 0.192 | 0.004 | 1.84×10-3 |
| LPC 18:1 | 0.220 | 8.79×10-4 | 9.20×10-4 |
| LPC 18:2 | 0.139 | 0.037 | 2.76×10-3 |
| LPC 20:3 | 0.143 | 0.032 | 2.45×10-3 |
| LPC 20:4 | 0.146 | 0.029 | 2.15×10-3 |
| | | | |

which of the of the three identified LPCs (LPC 14:0, 16:1 and 18:1) shows the greatest impact on birth weight, a stepwise multiple linear regression model was calculated. In this model fetal LPC 16:1 (Standardized beta = 0.39, $P = 6.94 \times 10^{-11}$, Table 3b model B) demonstrated the strongest correlation with birth weight.

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624

 DOI: 10.1159/000487118
 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

 Published online: February 02, 2018
 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

To rule out that the observed correlation between fetal LPC 16:1 and birth weight is an epiphenomenon mediated by hypoxic activation of phospholipase A2 and subsequent generation/ accumulation of LPCs, further statistical analyses using fetal cord blood pH and APGAR scores as parameters of birth related hypoxia were performed. Fetal LPC 16:1 was not significantly correlated to fetal cord blood pH. Furthermore, quartiles of LPC 16:1 fetal serum levels were generated and fetal cord blood pH and APGAR scores at 5 min & 10 min compared within these 4 groups. There were no significant differences in fetal cord blood pH and APGAR score at 5 min & 10 min among the LPC 16:1 quartiles (For more details, see Table 4). To further confirm that fetal LPC 16:1 is independently associated with birth weight, a third linear regression model (model C) using fetal cord blood pH and APGAR score at 5 min as confounders were conducted. Also in this model, fetal LPC 16:1 (Standardized beta = 0.39, $P = 8.17 \times 10^{-10}$) was clearly positively correlated with birth weight (Table 3b).

To check and confirm the final result, fetal serum LPC 16:1 concentrations were compared in low (<2500g), normal (2500-4000g) and high (>4000g) birth weight groups, and in groups small (SGA), appropriate (AGA) and large for gestational age (LGA). Newborns with low birth **KARGER**

37

Table 3. a. Linear Regression models analyzing associations between fetal serum metabolites and child birth weight. (n = 226). LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine. Model A Considering gestational age, child sex, maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, mother smoking during pregnancy, and LPC 14:0 being the independent variable and birth weight being dependent variable. Model B Considering gestational age, child sex, maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, mother smoking during pregnancy, and LPC 16:1 being the independent variable and birth weight being dependent variable. Model C Considering gestational age, child sex, maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, mother smoking during pregnancy, and LPC 18:1 being the independent variable and birth weight being dependent variable. 3b. Stepwise Linear Regression models analyzing associations between fetal serum metabolites and child birth weight. (n = 226). LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine. Model A Considering gestational age, child sex, maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, mother smoking during pregnancy being the independent variable and birth weight being dependent variable. Model B Considering gestational age, child sex, maternal age, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, mother smoking during pregnancy, and the 3 metabolites from the above table 2b ($P_m \le m \times q/M$) being the independent variable and birth weight being dependent variable. Model C Considering gestational age, child sex, maternal age, maternal prepregnancy BMI, mother smoking during pregnancy, fetal cord blood pH, apgar score at 5 min and the 3 metabolites from the above table 2b $(P_m \le m \times q/M)$ being the independent variable and birth weight being dependent variable

| a Variable | Standardized Beta | t | Р | 95.0% Confidence interval for B |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Model A (R ² = 0.33) | | | | |
| LPC 14:0 | 0.31 | 5.40 | 1.75×10 ⁻⁷ | 213.27~458.26 |
| Gestational age | 0.42 | 7.32 | 3.62×10 ⁻¹² | $17.24 \sim 29.94$ |
| Child sex | -0.17 | -2.95 | 0.003 | -255.75~-50.81 |
| Maternal age | 0.15 | 2.59 | 0.010 | $2.78 \sim 20.56$ |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | 0.09 | 1.52 | 0.129 | -2.59~20.23 |
| Mother smoking during pregnancy | -0.14 | -2.31 | 0.022 | -303.11~-23.90 |
| | Model B (| $R^2 = 0.38$) | | |
| LPC 16:1 | 0.39 | 6.87 | 6.94×10 ⁻¹¹ | $93.63 \sim 168.94$ |
| Gestational age | 0.47 | 8.30 | 1.22×10 ⁻¹⁴ | $19.99 \sim 32.44$ |
| Child sex | -0.18 | -3.19 | 0.002 | -258.81~-61.32 |
| Maternal age | 0.14 | 2.52 | 0.013 | $2.37 \sim 19.53$ |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | 0.10 | 1.75 | 0.082 | $-1.26 \sim 20.77$ |
| Mother smoking during pregnancy | -0.14 | -2.40 | 0.017 | -298.74~-29.51 |
| 100404 | Model C (| $R^2 = 0.35$ | | 25.22 52.42 |
| LPC 18:1 | 0.35 | 6.01 | 7.89×10-9 | 25.38~50.13 |
| Gestational age | 0.47 | 8.07 | 5.30×10-14 | 19.78~32.57 |
| Child sex | -0.18 | -3.15 | 0.002 | -263.23~-60.72 |
| Maternal age | 0.16 | 2.79 | 0.006 | 3.62~21.10 |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | 0.09 | 1.62 | 0.108 | -2.03~20.47 |
| Mother smoking during pregnancy | -0.15 | -2.53 | 0.012 | -313.99~-38.96 |
| D Variable | Standardized Beta | t t | Р | 95.0% Confidence interval for B |
| Contational age | Model A | (R ² = 0.24) | 1 20 - 10.9 | 14.01 ~ . 29.22 |
| Child any | 0.39 | 0.55 | 1.29×10 2 | 225 20~ 0.12 |
| Matamal and | -0.15 | -2.14 | 0.034 | -225.59 ~-9.12 |
| Maternal age | 0.16 | 2.92 | 0.004 | 4.52~25.50 |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | 0.06 | 0.90 | 0.337 | -0.19 ^{-~} 17.99 |
| Mother shloking during pregnancy | -0.15 Model P (| -2.37 (0.20) – 29 | 0.018 | -326.23 31.41 |
| LPC 16:1 | 0.39 | 6.87 | 6 94×10-11 | 9363~16894 |
| Gestational age | 0.47 | 8 30 | 1 22×10-14 | 19.99~32.44 |
| Child sex | -0.18 | -3.19 | 0.002 | -258.81~-61.32 |
| Maternal age | 0.14 | 2.52 | 0.013 | 237~1953 |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | 0.10 | 1.75 | 0.082 | $-1.26 \sim 20.77$ |
| Mother smoking during pregnancy | -0.14 | -2.40 | 0.017 | -298 74~-29 51 |
| Fromer officially and the pregnancy | Model C (| $R^2 = 0.38$ | 0.017 | 270071 27101 |
| LPC 16:1 | 0.39 | 6.49 | 8.17×10 ⁻¹⁰ | $88.56 \sim 166.31$ |
| Gestational age | 0.47 | 7.85 | 2.80×10-13 | $19.51 \sim 32.59$ |
| Child sex | -0.17 | -2.93 | 0.004 | -259.87~-50.93 |
| Maternal age | 0.12 | 1.88 | 0.061 | -0.42~17.93 |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | 0.08 | 1.32 | 0.190 | -3.76~18.81 |
| Mother smoking during pregnancy | -0.14 | -2.41 | 0.017 | -319.10~-32.10 |
| Fetal cord blood pH | -0.05 | -0.75 | 0.456 | $-1124.26 \sim 506.98$ |
| Angar score at 5 min | 0.16 | 1.82 | 0.070 | -7.53~189.23 |

619

Table 4. Fetal cord blood pH and apgar score at 5 min & 10 min comparison according LPC 16:1 quartiles. Data are given as mean ± SE.

| Variable | First quartile (n = 56) | Second quartile (n = 56) | Third quartile (n = 56) | Fourth quartile (n = 55) | P value |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Fetal cord blood pH | 7.25±0.01 | 7.25±0.01 | 7.29±0.01 | 7.27±0.01 | 0.072 |
| Apgar score at 5 min | 9.22±0.13 | 9.38±0.11 | 9.54±0.08 | 9.31±0.10 | 0.154 |
| Apgar score at 10 min | 9.48±0.11 | 9.62±0.09 | 9.72±0.07 | 9.62±0.09 | 0.250 |

weight (<2500g; n = 5) displayed significantly lower ($1.90\pm0.21 \mu$ M) mean serum LPC 16:1 levels compared to normal (2500-4000g; n = 199; $3.85\pm0.09 \mu$ M) and high (>4000g; n = 22; $4.60\pm0.33 \mu$ M) birth weight newborns (for more details, see Fig. 1.B). Additionally, high birth weight newborns had significantly elevated serum LPC 16:1 concentrations compared to normal birth weight newborns. The same pattern of significant differences in fetal serum LPC 16:1 levels could be observed comparing SGA (n = 23; $3.04\pm0.25 \mu$ M), AGA (n = 198; $3.97\pm0.09 \mu$ M), and LGA (n = 5; $5.50\pm0.74 \mu$ M) newborns (for more details, see Fig. 1.C).

Discussion

The current study investigated the association between 163 maternal and fetal serum metabolites at birth and newborn birth weight in a cohort of 226 mother-newborn pairs. An initial analysis, not adjusted for confounding factors, identified associations between several maternal and fetal metabolites with birth weight. However, after FDR adjustment only fetal LPC 14:0, LPC 16:1, and LPC 18:1 were significantly associated with birth weight. Employing linear multiple regression models followed by a stepwise multiple regression model, all adjusted for confounding factors known to affect neonatal anthropometric measurements (gestational age [32], child sex [33], maternal age [34], maternal BMI before pregnancy [35], maternal smoking during pregnancy [36, 37]) revealed that out of the three LPCs, fetal serum LPC 16:1 showed the strongest independent association with birth weight. Data stratification into groups of birth weight (<2500g; 2500-4000g; >4000g;) and groups of size for gestational age (SGA; AGA; LGA) further substantiated the positive association between fetal LPC 16:1 and size at birth.

One possible explanation of the observed positive association between fetal LPC 16:1 and birth weight might be hypoxia. It is well known that hypoxia activates phospholipases that induce the generation of LPCs [40-42]. The process of giving birth is characterized by hypoxic periods of varying duration for both, the mother and the fetus. Theoretically, due to a longer duration of giving birth, larger newborns may be subjected to longer acute periods of hypoxia and thus display a stronger activation of phospholipases and higher levels of LPCs. However, in the current study we were not able to observe a significant association between data indicative of hypoxia, ie. fetal cord blood pH and APGAR scores, and fetal LPC 16:1 levels.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the second large scale study showing an independent association between fetal LPCs and birth weight. Very recently, Hellmuth et al. demonstrated in a large birth cohort a strong independent positive correlation between birth weight and several cord blood LPCs, including LPC 14.0, LPC 16:1, and LPC 18:1 [43]. Similarly, also in the current study these metabolites were independently associated with birth weight after FDR adjustment. Furthermore, also in the study by Hellmuth et al. LPC 16:1 demonstrated the strongest association with birth weight. In addition, several metabolites were negatively associated with birth weight, which might have been due to the larger cohort size of 753 fetal cord blood samples/newborns. Different from the current study, Hellmuth et al. did not use a commercially available kit for the metabolomic analyses but several methodological approaches targeting different classes of metabolites. Based on these methodological differences absolute metabolite concentrations might not be comparable between the two studies. The general tendencies of metabolite concentrations i.e. in relation to birth weight should not be affected. This underscores a putatively important role of specific LPCs in fetal growth. Based on the current state of literature, however, not much is known regarding

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624

Cellular Physiology DOI: 10.1159/000487118 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

and Biochemistry Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids underlying mechanisms of the observed association. LPCs in the adult organism result from partial hydrolysis of PCs by the enzymatic action of phospholipase A2 [44], from hepatic

secretion [45], and lecithin-cholesterol acyltransferase [46]. Several studies have linked LPCs with obesity, but results are contradictive, which might be due to the multifaceted incompletely understood functions of LPCs [47-49]. Higher levels of LPC species were reported in obese men [50] and also observed in an obese monozygotic twin study [51]. In a study designed to discover biomarkers that are indicative of weight change, LPC 14:0 was shown to be strongly positively associated with rapid growth and childhood obesity [52]. In contrast to these positive associations other studies showed negative associations between serum LPCs and obesity [53-57]. Overweight/obese children had decreased levels of the unsaturated LPC 18:1 [53, 54] compared with normal weight children. The comparison of adult overweight/obese subjects to their lean counterparts demonstrated increased concentrations of saturated LPCs (LPC 14:0, 18:0) and decreased concentrations of the unsaturated LPC 18:1 [55]. Also in an animal model of obesity decreased levels of the unsaturated LPCs 16:1 and 18:1 were observed [58]. Given the inhomogeneity of study results and lack of available suitable mechanistic data, it is hard to draw any firm conclusions on how LPCs might influence body weight, especially in the fetal organism. However, one mechanism that is believed to negatively affect offspring birth weight that might also be connected to LPC metabolism is fetal insulin resistance. Insulin is one of the main culprits of macrosomia in children born to diabetic mothers [59]. Contrary, attenuated fetal insulin signaling, as found in insulin resistance, has been suggested to decrease birth weight and to be a characteristic of the low birth weight phenotype [60, 61]. It was shown, that certain LPCs (among these LPC14:0) can interact with glucose metabolism independent of insulin signaling and lead to enhanced cellular glucose uptake [62]. Transcriptome analyses of human myotubes treated with LPC 16:0 and 18:1, demonstrated an increased expression of PPAR δ regulated transcripts, inducing anti-diabetic and antiinflammatory effects [63]. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that LPCs (especially LPC 18:1) can enhance glucosedependent insulin secretion in perfused rat pancreas via an orphan G-protein coupled receptor [64]. A study investigating associations between BMI, inflammation and insulin resistance demonstrated negative associations between LPC 18:1 and various adipokines and inflammatory mediators. Low levels of LPC 18:1 together with increased levels of leptin or CRP were associated with increased HOMA scores [65]. Applied to the results of the current study, altered levels of LPCs hypothetically could affect fetal growth by influencing insulin resistance and insulin secretion. Lower levels of LPCs, as found in offspring with lower birth weight, could result in increased fetal insulin resistance and decreased insulin secretion, which could negatively affect fetal growth. This hypothesis is interesting in context with previous findings from our group. In two independent previous studies we observed a negative correlation between total glycated cord blood hemoglobin and birth weight, an observation that contrasts the usual positive correlation between maternal glycemia and birth weight [66, 67]. Results of these studies indicated that lighter fetuses, when subjected to similar degrees of maternal glycemia, display an incapability of adequately lowering their blood glucose concentrations (reflected by elevated cord blood total glycated hemoglobin). in comparison to heavier fetuses. The mechanism behind these associations remained unexplored, but alterations in LPC metabolism may serve as a link in the connection between impaired fetal glucose handling and low birth weight.

The reason why LPCs 14:0, 16:1 and 18:1 were lower in the serum of lower birth weight newborns cannot be answered by our study. Several factors including maternal dietary intake, placental transfer and fetal production are possible. In the current study, levels of LPC 16:1 were about threefold higher in fetal compared to maternal serum, yet showed a barely significant positive correlation (data not shown). This finding suggests that LPC 16:1 might predominantly be generated in the fetus, but does not preclude alterations in the transplacental transport of precursor forms. Interesting in this regard, LPC 16:0 concentrations did not differ between mother and fetus and there was a modest, highly significant correlation between fetal and maternal LPC 16:0 levels. The same pattern of absent maternal-fetal



Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624 DOI: 10.1159/000487118 Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

concentration differences and presence of significant correlations could be observed for the saturated LPCs 14:0 and 18:0.

Study limitations and Outlook

One limitation of the current study is that serum metabolites were only measured at one occasion prior to birth. Furthermore, we cannot rule out if specific phenotypic and lifestyle factors which we did not account for, may have influenced the results. However, we used a very strict approach, employing BH procedure to reduce false discovery rate, followed by linear regression analysis adjusted for confounding factors known to affect neonatal anthropometric measurements. Moreover, the results of the study remain purely associative. Future studies are needed to investigate underlying mechanisms of the observed associations. Despite of the mentioned study limitations, a strength of the current study is the replication of major findings of a previous study by Hellmuth et al., [43] which is a crucial aspect of research based on high-throughput data.

In conclusion, after correction for multiple testing and adjustment for potential confounders, lysophosphatidylcholine 16:1 showed a very strong and independent association with birth weight, a surrogate parameter of intrauterine development and adult disease susceptibility. In the future, suitable preclinical studies are needed to better characterize underlying mechanisms of the observed association and to investigate if there is a mechanistic link between low birth weight, insulin resistance and alterations in LPC metabolism. Future clinical studies should include additional collection of information on possible lifestyle-related environmental factors and medication with a possible influence on the metabolic profile, as well as the measurement of serum metabolites at multiple and/or earlier occasions.

Conclusion

The aim of the current study was to investigate associations between the maternal and fetal metabolites and birth weight. There were no correlations between maternal metabolomics and birth weight. After correction for multiple testing and adjustment for potential confounders, LPC 16:1 showed a very strong and independent association with birth weight. This correlation was even stronger than classical confounding factors such as maternal smoking orr offspring sex.

Acknowledgements

We thank Julia Scarpa, Werner Römisch-Margl, and Katharina Faschinger for metabolomics measurements performed at the Helmholtz Zentrum München, Genome Analysis Center, Metabolomics Core Facility.

This study was supported in part by a grant from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) to the German Center Diabetes Research (DZD e.V.), Guangzhou industry university research cooperative innovation major project [grant number 201508020101], Guangdong science and technology project in the field of social development [grant number 2013B021400002], and Guangzhou science and technology project, Guangdong, China [grant number 201604020175]

Disclosure Statement

No conflict of interest exists.



Cellular Physiology

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624

and Biochemistry DOI: 10.1159/000487118 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

References

- 1 Barker DJ, Hales CN, Fall CH, Osmond C, Phipps K, Clark PM: Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hyperlipidaemia (syndrome X): relation to reduced fetal growth. Diabetologia 1993;36:62-67.
- 2 Reichetzeder C, Dwi Putra SE, Li J, Hocher B: Developmental Origins of Disease Crisis Precipitates Change. Cell Physiol Biochem 2016;39:919-938.
- Hales CN, Barker DJ: Type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: the thrifty phenotype hypothesis.
 1992. Int J Epidemiol 2013;42:1215-1222.
- 4 Skilton MR, Mikkilä V, Würtz P, Ala-Korpela M, Sim KA, Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Viikari JS, Juonala M, Laitinen T, Lehtimäki T, Taittonen L, Kähönen M, Celermajer DS, Raitakari OT: Fetal growth, omega-3 (n-3) fatty acids, and progression of subclinical atherosclerosis: preventing fetal origins of disease? The Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;97:58-65.
- 5 Nissen PM, Nebel C, Oksbjerg N, Bertram HC: Metabolomics reveals relationship between plasma inositols and birth weight: possible markers for fetal programming of type 2 diabetes. J Biomed Biotechnol 2011;pii:378268.
- 6 Desai M, Gayle D, Babu J, Ross MG: The timing of nutrient restriction during rat pregnancy/lactation alters metabolic syndrome phenotype. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007;196:555.e1-7.
- 7 Godfrey KM, Barker DJ: Fetal nutrition and adult disease. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;71:1344S-1352S.
- 8 Desai M, Gayle D, Han G, Ross MG: Programmed hyperphagia due to reduced anorexigenic mechanisms in intrauterine growth-restricted offspring. Reprod Sci 2007;14: 329-337.
- 9 Luyckx VA, Brenner BM: Birth weight, malnutrition and kidney-associated outcomes--a global concern. Nat Rev Nephrol 2015;11:135-149.
- 10 Barker DJ: Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. BMJ 1995; 311:171-174.
- 11 Reynolds RM, Allan KM, Raja EA, Bhattacharya S, McNeill G, Hannaford PC, Sarwar N, Lee AJ, Bhattacharya S, Norman JE: Maternal obesity during pregnancy and premature mortality from cardiovascular event in adult offspring: follow-up of 1 323 275 person years. BMJ 2013;347:f4539.
- 12 Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL: Effect of in utero and early-life conditions on adult health and disease. N Engl J Med 2008;359:61-73.
- 13 Putignani L, Del Chierico F, Petrucca A, Vernocchi P, Dallapiccola B: The human gut microbiota: a dynamic interplay with the host from birth to senescence settled during childhood. Pediatr Res 2014;76:2-10.
- 14 Hocher B, Adamski J: Metabolomics for clinical use and research in chronic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol. 2017;13:269-284.
- 15 Li J, Lu YP, Reichetzeder C, Kalk P, Kleuser B, Adamski J, Hocher B: Maternal PCaaC38:6 is Associated With Preterm Birth - a Risk Factor for Early and Late Adverse Outcome of the Offspring. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257.
- 16 Horgan RP, Broadhurst DI, Walsh SK, Dunn WB, Brown M, Roberts CT, North RA, McCowan LM, Kell DB, Baker PN, Kenny LC: Metabolic profiling uncovers a phenotypic signature of small for gestational age in early pregnancy. J Proteome Res 2011;10:3660-3673.
- 17 Ivorra C, García-Vicent C, Chaves FJ, Monleón D, Morales JM, Lurbe E: Metabolomic profiling in blood from umbilical cords of low birth weight newborns. J Transl Med 2012;10:142.
- 18 Alexandre-Gouabau MC, Courant F, Moyon T, Küster A, Le Gall G, Tea I, Antignac JP, Darmaun D: Maternal and cord blood LC-HRMS metabolomics reveal alterations in energy and polyamine metabolism, and oxidative stress in very-low birth weight infants. J Proteome Res 2013;12:2764-2778.
- 19 Tea I, Le Gall G, Küster A, Guignard N, Alexandre-Gouabau MC, Darmaun D, Darmaun D, Robins RJ: 1H-NMRbased metabolic profiling of maternal and umbilical cord blood indicates altered materno-foetal nutrient exchange in preterm infants. PLoS One 2012;7:e29947.
- ²⁰ Favretto D, Cosmi E, Ragazzi E, Visentin S, Tucci M, Fais P, Cecchetto G, Zanardo V, Viel G, Ferrara SD: Cord blood metabolomic profiling in intrauterine growth restriction. Anal Bioanal Chem 2012;402:1109-1121.
- 21 Dessì A, Pravettoni C, Cesare Marincola F, Schirru A, Fanos V: The biomarkers of fetal growth in intrauterine growth retardation and large for gestational age cases: from adipocytokines to a metabolomic all-in-one tool. Expert Rev Proteomics 2015;12:309-316.
- 22 Liu J, Chen XX, Li XW, Fu W, Zhang WQ: Metabolomic Research on Newborn Infants With Intrauterine Growth Restriction. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e3564.
- 23 Isganaitis E, Rifas-Shiman SL, Oken E, Dreyfuss JM, Gall W, Gillman MW, Patti ME: Associations of cord blood metabolites with early childhood obesity risk. Int J Obes (Lond) 2015;39:1041-1048.
- 24 Reichetzeder C, Dwi Putra SE, Pfab T, Slowinski T, Neuber C, Kleuser B, Hocher B: Increased global

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry

Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

placental DNA methylation levels are associated with gestational diabetes. Clin Epigenetics 2016;8:82.

- 25 Nair AV, Hocher B, Verkaart S, van Zeeland F, Pfab T, Slowinski T, Chen YP, Schlingmann KP, Schaller A, Gallati S, Bindels RJ, Konrad M, Hoenderop JG: Loss of insulin-induced activation of TRPM6 magnesium channels results in impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:11324-11329.
- 26 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Guideline on bioanalytical method validation. EMEA/CHMP/EWP/192217/2009 Rev. 1 Corr. 2, 21 July 2011.
- 27 Bachlechner U, Floegel A, Steffen A, Prehn C, Adamski J, Pischon T, Boeing H: Associations of anthropometric markers with serum metabolites using a targeted metabolomics approach: results of the EPIC-potsdam study. Nutr Diabetes 2016;6:e215
- 28 Römisch-Margl W, Prehn C, Bogumil R, Röhring C, Suhre K, Adamski J: Procedure for tissue sample preparation and metabolite extraction for high-throughput targeted metabolomics. Metabolomics 2012;8:133-142.
- 29 Illig T, Gieger C, Zhai G, Römisch-Margl W, Wang-Sattler R, Prehn C, Altmaier E, Kastenmüller G, Kato BS, Mewes HW, Meitinger T, de Angelis MH, Kronenberg F, Soranzo N, Wichmann HE, Spector TD, Adamski J, Suhre K: A genome-wide perspective of genetic variation in human metabolism. Nat Genet 2010;42:137-141.
- 30 Yoav B, Yosef H. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Statist Soc B 1995;57:289-300.
- 31 Chung PJ, Bohme JF, Mecklenbrauker CF, Hero, AO: Multiple signal detection using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing 2005:209-212.
- 32 Wilcox AJ, Skjaerven R: Birth weight and perinatal mortality: the effect of gestational age. Am J Public Health. 1992;82:378-382.
- 33 Di Renzo GC, Rosati A, Sarti RD, Cruciani L, Cutuli AM: Does fetal sex affect pregnancy outcome? Gend Med 2007;4:19-30.
- 34 Geronimus AT: Black/white differences in the relationship of maternal age to birthweight: a populationbased test of the weathering hypothesis. Soc Sci Med 1996;42:589-597.
- 35 HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group: Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study: associations with maternal body mass index. BJOG 2010;117:575-584.
- 36 Hammoud AO, Bujold E, Sorokin Y, Schild C, Krapp M, Baumann P: Smoking in pregnancy revisited: findings from a large population-based study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:1856-1862; discussion 1862-183.
- 37 Jaddoe VW, Troe EJ, Hofman A, Mackenbach JP, Moll HA, Steegers EA, Witteman JC: Active and passive maternal smoking during pregnancy and the risks of low birthweight and preterm birth: the Generation R Study. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2008;22:162-171.
- 38 Alexander GR, Himes JH, Kaufman RB, Mor J, Kogan M: A United States national reference for fetal growth. Obstet Gynecol 1996;87:163-168.
- 39 Boulet SL, Alexander GR, Salihu HM, Pass M: Macrosomic births in the united states: determinants, outcomes, and proposed grades of risk Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;188:1372.
- 40 Sedlis SP, Hom M, Sequeira JM, Esposito R: Lysophosphatidylcholine accumulation in ischemic human myocardium J Lab Clin Med 1993;121:111-117.
- 41 McHowat J, Creer MH: Lysophosphatidylcholine accumulation in cardiomyocytes requires thrombin activation of Ca2+-independent PLA2. Am J Physiol 1997;272:H1972-H1980.
- 42 Lambert IH, Pedersen SF, Poulsen KA: Activation of PLA2 isoforms by cell swelling and ischaemia/hypoxia. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2006;187:75-85.
- 43 Hellmuth C, Uhl O, Standl M, Demmelmair H, Heinrich J, Koletzko B, Thiering E: Cord Blood Metabolome Is Highly Associated with Birth Weight, but Less Predictive for Later Weight Development. Obes Facts 2017;10:85-100.
- 44 Christie W: Phosphatidylcholine AOCS Lipid Library. http://lipidlibrary.aocs.org/Primer/content. cfm?ltemNumber=39351.
- 45 Sekas G, Patton GM, Lincoln EC, Robins SJ: Origin of plasma lysophosphatidylcholine: evidence for direct hepatic secretion in the rat. J Lab Clin Med 1985;105:190-194.
- 46 Jonas A: Lecithin cholesterol acyltransferase. Biochim Biophys Acta 2000;1529:245-256.
- 47 Meyer zu Heringdorf D, Jakobs KH: Lysophospholipid receptors: signalling, pharmacology and regulation by lysophospholipid metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta 2007;1768:923-940.
- 48 Lin P, Ye RD: The Lysophospholipid Receptor G2A Activates a Specific Combination of G Proteins and



Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:614-624 DOI: 10.1159/000487118 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Intrauterine Growth and Fetal Lipids

Promotes Apoptosis. J Biol Chem 2003;278:14379-14386.

- 49 Drzazga A, Sowińska A, Koziołkiewicz M: Lysophosphatidylcholine and lysophosphatidylinosiol--novel promissing signaling molecules and their possible therapeutic activity. Acta Pol Pharm 2014;71:887-899.
- 50 Graessler J, Schwudke D, Schwarz PE, Herzog R, Shevchenko A, Bornstein SR: Top-down lipidomics reveals ether lipid deficiency in blood plasma of hypertensive patients. PLoS One 2009;4:e6261.
- 51 Pietiläinen KH, Sysi-Aho M, Rissanen A, Seppänen-Laakso T, Yki-Järvinen H, Kaprio J, Oresic M: Acquired obesity is associated with changes in the serum lipidomic profile independent of genetic effects--a monozygotic twin study. PLoS One 2007;2:e218.
- 52 Rzehak P, Hellmuth C, Uhl O, Kirchberg FF, Peissner W, Harder U, Grote V, Weber M, Xhonneux A, Langhendries JP, Ferre N, Closa-Monasterolo R, Verduci E, Riva E, Socha P, Gruszfeld D, Koletzko B; European Childhood Obesity Trial Study Group: Rapid growth and childhood obesity are strongly associated with lysoPC(14:0). Ann Nutr Metab 2014;64:294-303.
- 53 Wahl S, Yu Z, Kleber M, Singmann P, Holzapfel C, He Y, Mittelstrass K, Polonikov A, Prehn C, Römisch-Margl W, Adamski J, Suhre K, Grallert H, Illig T, Wang-Sattler R, Reinehr T: Childhood obesity is associated with changes in the serum metabolite profile. Obes Facts 2012;5:660-670.
- 54 Farook VS, Reddivari L, Chittoor G, Puppala S, Arya R, Fowler SP, Hunt KJ, Curran JE, Comuzzie AG, Lehman DM, Jenkinson CP, Lynch JL, DeFronzo RA, Blangero J, Hale DE, Duggirala R, Vanamala J: Metabolites as novel biomarkers for childhood obesity-related traits in Mexican-American children. Pediatr Obes 2015;10:320-327.
- 55 Kim JY, Park JY, Kim OY, Ham BM, Kim HJ, Kwon DY, Jang Y, Lee JH: overweight/obese subjects showed higher levels of lysoPC C14:0 and lysoPC C18:0 and lower levels of lysoPC C18:1 than lean subjects. J Proteome Res 2010;9:4368-4375.
- 56 Fayyaz S, Japtok L, Schumacher F, Wigger D, Schulz TJ, Haubold K, Gulbins E, Völler H, Kleuser B: Lysophosphatidic Acid Inhibits Insulin Signaling in Primary Rat Hepatocytes via the LPA3 Receptor Subtype and is Increased in Obesity. Cell Physiol Biochem 2017;43:445-456.
- 57 Ailhaud G, Guesnet P, Cunnane SC: An emerging risk factor for obesity: does disequilibrium of polyunsaturated fatty acid metabolism contribute to excessive adipose tissue development? Br J Nutr 2008;100:461-470.
- 58 Schäfer N, Yu Z, Wagener, A, Millrose MK, Reissmann M, Bortfeldt R, Dieterich C, Adamski J, Wang-Sattler R, Illig T, Brockmann GA: Changes in metabolite profiles caused by genetically determined obesity in mice. Metabolomics 2014;10:461-472.
- 59 Kc K, Shakya S, Zhang H: Gestational diabetes mellitus and macrosomia: a literature review. Ann Nutr Metab 2015;66:S14-20.
- 60 Hales CN, Barker DJP: The thrifty phenotype hypothesis: Type 2 diabetes. British Medical Bulletin 2001;60:5-20.
- 61 Hattersley AT, Tooke JE: The fetal insulin hypothesis: an alternative explanation of the association of low birthweight with diabetes and vascular disease. Lancet 1999;353:1789-1792.
- 62 Yea K, Kim J, Yoon JH, Kwon T, Kim JH, Lee BD, Lee HJ, Lee SJ, Kim JI, Lee TG, Baek MC, Park HS, Park KS, Ohba M, Suh PG, Ryu SH: Lysophosphatidylcholine Activates Adipocyte Glucose Uptake and Lowers Blood Glucose Levels in Murine Models of Diabetes. J Biol Chem 2009; 284: 33833–33840.
- 63 Klingler C, Zhao X, Adhikary T, Li J, Xu G, Häring HU, Schleicher E, Lehmann R, Weigert C: Lysophosphatidylcholines activate PPARδ and protect human skeletal muscle cells from lipotoxicity. Biochim Biophys Acta 2016;1861:1980-1992.
- 64 Soga T, Ohishi T, Matsui T, Saito T, Matsumoto M, Takasaki J, Matsumoto S, Kamohara M, Hiyama H, Yoshida S, Momose K, Ueda Y, Matsushime H, Kobori M, Furuichi K: Lysophosphatidylcholine enhances glucosedependent insulin secretion via an orphan G-protein-coupled receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2005;326:744-751.
- 65 Wallace M, Morris C, O'Grada CM, Ryan M, Dillon ET, Coleman E, Gibney ER, Gibney MJ, Roche HM, Brennan L: Relationship between the lipidome, inflammatory markers and insulin resistance. Mol Biosyst 2014;10:1586-95.
- 66 Pfab T, Slowinski T, Godes M, Halle H, Priem F, Hocher B: Low birth weight, a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in later life, is already associated with elevated fetal glycosylated hemoglobin at birth. Circulation 2006;114:1687-1692.
- 67 Li J, Wang ZN, Schlemm L, Pfab T, Xiao XM, Chen YP, Hocher B: Low birth weight and elevated head-toabdominal circumference ratio are associated with elevated fetal glycated serum protein concentrations. J Hypertens 2011;29:1712-1718.



Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Published online: February 02, 2018

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119

Accepted: January 09, 2018

© 2018 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/cpb

625

Karger pen access

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of modified material requires written permission.

Original Paper

Fetal Serum Metabolites Are Independently Associated with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

Yong-Ping Lu^{a,b,c} Christoph Reichetzeder^a Cornelia Prehn^d Karoline von Websky^a Torsten Slowinski^b You-Peng Chen^e Liang-Hong Yin^c Burkhard Kleuser^a Jerzy Adamski^{d,g,h} Berthold Hocher^{a,f, j} Xue-Song Yang^f

^aInstitute of Nutritional Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam-Rehbrücke, ^bDepartment of Nephrology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Mitte, Berlin, Germany Department of Nephrology, the first Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, dInstitute of Experimental Genetics, Genome Analysis Center, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany, eDepartment of Infectious Diseases, the first Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, ^fDepartment of Embryology, Basic Medical College, Jinan University Guangzhou, China, ^gLehrstuhl für Experimentelle Genetik, Technische Universität München, Freising-Weihenstephan, ^hGerman Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), München-Neuherberg, Germany, ^jKey Laboratory of Study and Discovery of Small Targeted Molecules of Hunan Province, School of Medicine, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, China

Key Words

Gestational diabetes • Metabolomics • Phosphatidylcholine acyl-alkyl C 32:1 • Proline

Abstract

Background/Aims: Gestational diabetes (GDM) might be associated with alterations in the metabolomic profile of affected mothers and their offspring. Until now, there is a paucity of studies that investigated both, the maternal and the fetal serum metabolome in the setting of GDM. Mounting evidence suggests that the fetus is not just passively affected by gestational disease but might play an active role in it. Metabolomic studies performed in maternal blood and fetal cord blood could help to better discern distinct fetal from maternal disease interactions. Methods: At the time of birth, serum samples from mothers and newborns (cord blood samples) were collected and screened for 163 metabolites utilizing tandem mass spectrometry. The cohort consisted of 412 mother/child pairs, including 31 cases of maternal GDM. Results: An initial non-adjusted analysis showed that eight metabolites in the maternal blood and 54 metabolites in the cord blood were associated with GDM. After Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) procedure and adjustment for confounding factors for GDM, fetal phosphatidylcholine acyl-alkyl C 32:1 and proline still showed an independent association with GDM. Conclusions: This study found metabolites in cord blood which were associated with GDM, even after adjustment for established risk factors of GDM. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating an independent association between fetal

Y.-P- Lu and C. Reichetzeder contributed equally to this work.

Prof. Berthold Hocher and Prof. Liang-Hong Yin



Key Laboratory of Study and Discovery of Small Targeted Molecules of Hunan Province, School of Medicine, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410013 (China), Department of Nephrologie, the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Guangzhou, (China) E-Mail hocher@uni-potsdam.de; 13725251458@126.com

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 Published online: February 02, 2018 Publ

serum metabolites and maternal GDM. Our findings might suggest a potential effect of the fetal metabolome on maternal GDM.

© 2018 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

GDM is defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy. Commonly, the diagnosis is based on results from an oral glucose tolerance test at 24–28 weeks of gestation [1]. GDM is one of the most common complications of pregnancy and its prevalence is constantly rising [2]. If uncontrolled, GDM results in overt hyperglycemia which may significantly increase perinatal morbidity and mortality [3]. Women with GDM have a higher risk of preeclampsia and cesarean section, [4, 5] whereas complications for their newborns include a higher risk for macrosomia [5-7] and fetal hypoglycemia [4, 8]. Potential long-term consequences for the health of mother [9-11] and child [10, 12, 13] may be an impaired glucose tolerance, obesity, and metabolic disorders. Even though GDM usually resolves after birth and blood glucose returns to normal levels, mothers that developed GDM during pregnancy have an increased risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) [14]. Therefore, screening and treatment for GDM are common in most developed countries. Randomized controlled trials have shown improved maternal and neonatal outcomes for these strategies [15,]. But even with strict glycaemic control GDM still represents a risk for adverse pregnancy outcomes. It is known that ethnicity, higher maternal age, obesity, greater weight gain during pregnancy, and hypertension display risk factors for GDM [17].

The pathogenesis of GDM is multifactorial and exact mechanisms underlying the development of the disease are still poorly understood. A traditional pathophysiologic concept proposes that pancreatic β -cells are not able to account for the physiologic pregnancy-related decline in tissue sensitivity to insulin. Glucose intolerance occurs as a result of an inadequate increase in insulin secretion [18]. The placenta secretes cytokines and other factors which add to pregnancy-induced insulin resistance [19]. Other potentially contributing factors discussed in the literature include chronic low-grade inflammation [20], different genetic, epigenetic and non-genetic environmental factors including nutrition [21-26]. Moreover, fetal sex [23, 27] and fetal genes [28] have been shown to correlate with maternal glucose concentrations during pregnancy and thus may modulate the risk for maternal GDM. However, it is not clear if the fetus can impact on the maternal organism in such a regulating manner. Pathophysiologic pathways of development and progression of GDM still need to be investigated more thoroughly in order to better understand a potential involvement of a fetal influence.

Metabolomics is an investigative approach that analyses products of biochemical pathways in a detailed way [29]. It is a robust, rapid, and efficient method to analyze a large number of small molecules in tissues, urine, blood and other biological fluids. This approach is well suited to find biomarkers for the prediction, diagnosis, and monitoring of several diseases including metabolic disorders like GDM [30]. It can also help to better understand physiologic and pathophysiologic processes on a molecular level and, as such, in a more detailed manner. However, the knowledge of the human metabolome in general still presents a big challenge to science. This is especially true for a period like pregnancy where the body undergoes multiple physiologic changes.

In this study, we wanted to investigate characteristic disease-associated metabolites in the serum of pregnant women with GDM and compare them to the findings from women without GDM. Moreover, as there is a lack of studies that investigated the fetal metabolome in GDM, we compared the metabolic cord blood profile of newborns from mothers with GDM to the profile of newborns from mothers without GDM.

KARGER

Cellular Physiology

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel and Biochemistry Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

Materials and Methods

Clinical study

This observational study was approved by the local Ethics Committee. A total of 412 pregnant women who delivered their newborns at the Charité obstetrics department in Berlin, Germany were invited to participate (Berlin Birth Cohort, ref: [31, 32]). As the focus of this study was set on patients with GDM, mothers with overt diabetes before pregnancy were not included. The majority of mothers (n = 344) were of European background, the others had an African, Asian, or Arabic background.

After written consent was obtained, a structured medical history was taken. The following data were extracted into our database: age, ethnicity, body height and body weight before pregnancy, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension during pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy, systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) measurements recorded during pregnancy, and mode of delivery. Biometric data of the newborns were collected during the routine postnatal examination: birth weight, birth length, ponderal index (birth length (m) / the cube root of weight (kg)), head circumference, child sex, and Apgar score 5 minutes postnatally and Apgar score 10 minutes postnatally were screened and assessed [33]. Gestational age at delivery was based on the last menstrual period and anamnestically assessed during the first pregnancy examination. Midwives collected maternal blood from the cubital vein in the delivery room or on the ward. Fetal blood samples were collected from the umbilical cord within 10 min after delivery. Blood was centrifuged at 2750 g immediately after its withdrawal and the obtained serum was stored at -80 °C until measurements were performed. Obtained serum samples were used for metabolomic analyses and additionally to measure glucose and insulin concentrations. GDM was screened and assessed according to the practice guideline of the German Diabetes Association (DDG) and the German Association for Gynecology and Obstetrics (DGGG) [34]. In total, 31 out of 412 pregnant women were diagnosed with GDM.

Targeted metabolomics in maternal and fetal blood samples

The targeted metabolomics approach was based on flow injection analysis-electrospray ionization-

tandem mass spectrometry (FIA-ESI-MS/MS) measurements with the Absolute IDOTM p150 kit (BIOCRATES Life Sciences AG, Innsbruck, Austria). For more details of the assay workflow see Fig. 1. The assay allows simultaneous quantification of 163 metabolites out of 10 µL serum and includes free carnitine, 40 acylcarnitines (Cx:y), 14 amino acids (13 proteinogenic ornithine), hexoses (sum of + hexoses - about 90-95 % glucose), glycerophospholipids 92 (15 lysophosphatidylcholines (lysoPC) and 77 phosphatidylcholines (PC)), and 15 sphingolipids (SMx:y). The abbreviations Cx:y are used to describe the total number of carbons and double bonds of all chains, respectively. The method of the Absolute IDQ^{TM} p150 kit has been proven to be in conformance with the FDA-Guidlines "Guidance for Industry - Bioanalytical Method Validation (May 2001)" [35], which implies proof of reproducibility within a given error range. Measurements were KARGER



Fig. 1. Flowchart for the metabolomics analysis strategy. M = total number of analyzed metabolites (M=163); q = FDR; m = the individual rank of tested metabolite; Pm = the individual P-value; PC = phosphatidylcholine; ae = acyl-alkyl. The Assay Workflow was adapted from: AbsoluteIDQ® p150 Kit - Biocrates. Pge 2. Assay Workflow. http://www.biocrates.com/images/p150 KitFolder. pdf.

628

Cellular Physiology Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119

and Biochemistry Published online: February 02, 2018 Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

performed as described in the manufacturer's manual. This manual contains comprehensive instructions and detailed information on analytical specifications, including the limit of detection (LOD), specificity, accuracy, reproducibility, and all other specifications. The assay procedures of the Absolute IDQ^{TM} p150 kit, as well as the metabolite nomenclature, have been described in detail previously [36, 37]. Sample handling was performed by a Hamilton Microlab STARTM robot (Hamilton Bonaduz AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland) and a Ultravap nitrogen evaporator (Porvair Sciences, Leatherhead, U.K.). Mass spectrometric analyses were done on an API 4000 triple quadrupole system (Sciex Deutschland GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) equipped with a 1200 Series HPLC (Agilent Technologies Deutschland GmbH, Böblingen, Germany) and a HTC PAL auto sampler (CTC Analytics, Zwingen, Switzerland) controlled by the software Analyst 1.6.1. Data evaluation for quantification of metabolite concentrations and quality assessment was performed with the MetIDQTM software package, which is an integral part of the Absolute IDQ^{TM} kit. Internal standards served as a reference for the calculation of metabolite concentrations [µM].

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS version 22.0. Results of quantitative data were expressed as the arithmetic mean \pm standard deviation (SD). An unpaired t-test was used for comparison of continuous variables between two groups. To reduce false discovery rate (FDR) after t-test, *P*-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. The BH procedure is defined as $P_m \le m \le q/M$ [38, 39]. M = total number of tested metabolites (M=163), q = FDR (the FDR set up at 5% in the present paper), *P*m = the individual *P*-value's rank, m = the individual rank of the tested metabolite. Pearson's chi-square test was used for testing qualitative data. In the next step, we performed logistic regression analyses to correct for known confounding factors. Relevant confounding factors of GDM mentioned in current literature were included into the models: maternal age, pre-pregnancy body

mass index (BMI), ethnicity, family history of diabetes, and smoking during pregnancy. A flowchart for the metabolomics analysis strategy is given in Fig. 1. A *P*-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Description of the cohort

Descriptive data of the study population are given in Table 1. The study population represented a typical German birth cohort in regards to key characteristics like maternal age, ethnicity, BMI before pregnancy, gravidity, parity, and biometric data of the newborns (for more details, see Table 1).

Pregnancy outcomes of mothers and newborns

Mothers with GDM had a significantly higher age compared to non-GDM mothers. There were no significant differences in blood glucose levels or in any of the other recorded parameters between the two groups (for more details, see Table 2).

Newborns from mothers with GDM had a significantly higher preterm birth rate compared to newborns from non-GDM mothers. Crucial parameters of fetal outcome like birth weight or ponderal index were not

KARGER

Table 1. Detailed descriptive data of all mother/ child pairs (n = 412). Data are given as mean \pm SD or %

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

| Variable | Mean±SD / % |
|---|--------------|
| Maternal age, v | 30.5±5.9 |
| Maternal height, cm | 166.3±7.2 |
| Maternal BMI before pregnancy, kg/m ² | 22.6±4.5 |
| Smoking before pregnancy, % | 40.8 |
| Smoking during pregnancy, % | 14.6 |
| Hypertension before pregnancy, % | 3.40 |
| Hypertension during pregnancy, % | 9.5 |
| Diabetes during pregnancy, % | 8.1 |
| Mean systolic BP 3rd trimester of pregnancy, mm Hg | 114.0±9.8 |
| Mean diastolic BP 3rd trimester of pregnancy, mm Hg | 69.6±6.9 |
| Gestational age at delivery, day | 271.7±11.4 |
| Child sex, male/female, % | 50.8/49.2 |
| Child birth weight, g | 3346.9±581.9 |
| Child birth length, cm | 50.7±2.7 |
| Ponderal index | 25.6±2.3 |
| Head circumference, cm | 34.7±1.5 |
| Apgar score at 5 min | 9.3±1.0 |
| Apgar score at 10 min | 9.5±0.9 |

Table 2. Descriptive data of mothers grouped according to GDM (n = 412). Data are given as mean \pm SD or %

| | Non-GDM | GDM | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| Variable | | | v2 /t | P value |
| vu ubic | | | x / c | i vuide |
| | (n =381) | (n = 31) | | |
| Maternal age, y | 30.3±5.9 | 32.6±6.2 | -2.01 | 0.045 |
| Maternal height, cm | 166.4±7.0 | 165.4±8.9 | 0.79 | 0.431 |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI, kg/m ² | 22.5±4.4 | 24.8±6.5 | 2.00 | 0.054 |
| Ethnicity, n (%) | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Courseion | 21((04.00/) | 20 (00 20/) | 0.00 | 0.416 |
| Caucasian | 316 (84.9%) | 28 (90.3%) | 0.66 | 0.416 |
| | | | | |
| Other | 56 (15.1%) | 3 (9.7%) | | |
| Weight gain during pregnancy, kg | 13.1±7.2 | 13.7±8.2 | -0.38 | 0.704 |
| Gestational hypertension, n (%) | 36 (9.5%) | 3 (9.7%) | 0.001 | 0.974 |
| Smoking during pregnancy, n (%) | 54 (14.2%) | 6 (19.4%) | 0.27 | 0.606 |
| C-section, n (%) | 22 (5.8%) | 2 (6.4%) | 0.51 | 0.612 |
| Maternal glucose, mmol/L | 5.2±1.4 | 5.2±1.3 | 0.13 | 0.899 |
| Maternal insulin, mIU/L | 37.2±36.9 | 29.6±22.8 | 0.74 | 0.460 |

Cellular Physiology Cell F and Biochemistry Publis

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

and Biochemistry DOI: 10.1159/000487119 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, B www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

significantly different in newborns from mothers with GDM when compared to newborns from non-GDM mothers (for more details, see Table 3).

Results from targeted metabolomics in maternal and newborn blood samples

In total, eight of the 163 targeted maternal serum metabolites differed significantly between mothers with GDM and mothers without GDM (1 acylcarnitines, 2 diacyl-PCs, 4 acyl-alkyl-PCs, and sum of hexoses.). After adjusting the *P*-values using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure no metabolites were significantly different between mothers with GDM and mothers without GDM (for more details, see Table 4).

In fetal cord blood, 54 of the 163 targeted metabolites were significantly different in newborns of mothers with GDM compared to non-GDM mothers. These metabolites included amino acids, 5 sphingomyelins, 10 hydroxy-sphingomyelin, and 38 1 glycerophospholipids (for more details, see Table 5). After BH adjustment of the P-values fourteen metabolites (3 amino acids, 2 sphingomyelins, and 9 glycerophospholipids (2 lyso-PCs, 4 diacyl-PCs, and 3 acyl-alkyl-PCs)) with P_m \leq m×q/M remained significantly different in fetal cord blood from GDM mothers compared to non-GDM mothers (for more details see Table 5). Furthermore, Manhattan Plot of all fetal serum metabolites is given in Fig. 2.

Logistic regression

Table 3. Descriptive data of newborn grouped according to maternal GDM (n = 412). Data are given as mean \pm SD or %

| Variable | Non-GDM | GDM | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| | | | γ^2/t | P value |
| | (201) | (24) | λ / - | |
| | (n = 381) | (n = 31) | | |
| Gestational age, (d) | 272.2±11.3 | 265.8±10.6 | 3.05 | 0.002 |
| Gestational age, n (%) | 20(5 50() | 4(12,00/) | | |
| < 259 d | 20(5.5%) | 4(12.9%) | | |
| 259~280 d | 293(81.2%) | 27(87.1%) | | |
| 237 200 1 | 48(13.3%) | 0(0.0%) | 6.77 | 0.034 |
| > 280 d | | | | |
| Birth weight (g) | 3352.3±514.7 | 3297.1±575.8 | 0.57 | 0.285 |
| Birth weight, n (%) | | | | |
| < 2500 g | 17(4.6%) | 3(9.7%) | | |
| $2500{\sim}4000~{ m g}$ | 316(84.7%) | 27(87.1%) | 2 1 2 | 0.210 |
| > 4000 g | 40(10.7%) | 1(3.2%) | 3.12 | 0.210 |
| Birth length, cm | 50.7±2.7 | 50.2±3.2 | 1.07 | 0.285 |
| Head circumference, cm | 34.7±1.5 | 34.2±1.6 | 1.90 | 0.066 |
| Ponderal index | 25.6±2.9 | 26.1±2.8 | -1.31 | 0.191 |
| Apgar score | | | | |
| 5 min | 9.3±1.0 | 9.2±1.0 | 0.48 | 0.631 |
| 10 min | 9.6±0.9 | 9.5±0.8 | 0.41 | 0.684 |
| Fetal glucose, mmol/L | 2.9±1.3 | 3.0±2.6 | -0.10 | 0.924 |
| Fetal insulin, mIU/L | 6.8±3.9 | 9.6±10.4 | -0.59 | 0.585 |

Table 4. Comparison of maternal serum metabolites between non-GDM and GDM. Data are given as mean \pm SD. Cx:y=acylcarnitines, the abbreviations Cx:y are used to describe the total number of carbons and double bonds of all chains, respectively; PC = phosphatidylcholine; a = acyl; aa = diacyl; ae = acyl-alkyl; SM = Sphingomyelins; H1 = sum of hexoses. Note. Only metabolites with P_m ≤ 0.05 were shown in the table. M = total number of analyzed metabolites (M=163); q = FDR; m = the individual rank of tested metabolite; Pm = the individual P-value

| | Non-GDM | GDM | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Variable | | | t | Pm value | m×a/M value | | |
| | (n - 201)M | (n = 21)M | | | | | |
| | (n = 361) μM | (n = 51) μm | | | | | |
| Acylcarnitines a | nd Hydroxy-&dicarboxy | /-acylcarnitines | | | | | |
| C4:1 | 1.44×10 ⁻² ±3.73×10 ⁻³ | 1.64×10 ⁻² 4.69×10 ⁻³ | -2.15 | 0.033 | 9.20×10-4 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Diacyl-phospha | tidyl-cholines | | | | | | |
| PC aa C36:5 | 19.60+9.57 | 24.91+11.83 | -2.28 | 0.024 | 6.10×10 ⁻⁴ | | |
| PC aa C36:6 | 1.68+0.65 | 2.01+0.85 | -2.05 | 0.041 | 1.84×10 ⁻³ | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Acyl-alkyl-phosphatidyl-cholines | | | | | | | |
| PC ae C38:0 | 3.54+1.23 | 4.18+1.59 | -2.10 | 0.037 | 1.23×10 ⁻³ | | |
| PC ae C38:3 | 17.06+5.33 | 19.66+6.16 | -2.01 | 0.046 | 2.45×10 ⁻³ | | |
| PC 20 C40-1 | 3 94+1 56 | 474+233 | -2.03 | 0.044 | 2 15×10·3 | | |
| DC C40.7 | 0.70.2.00 | 101(-22) | -2.05 | 0.030 | 1.52 10-2 | | |
| PC ae C40:5 | 8.79±2.69 | 10.16±3.26 | -2.09 | 0.038 | 1.53×10 ⁻⁵ | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sum of hexoses | | | | | | | |
| H1 | 4565.46±1679.64 | 6346.35±2585.17 | -2.95 | 0.008 | 3.07×10-4 | | |

Following the univariate analyses, logistic regression models were calculated to see which of the identified fetal metabolites were independently associated with GDM. Logistic regression models were adjusted for age, ethnicity, family history of diabetes, and prepregnancy BMI, and smoking during pregnancy, all known risk factors for GDM [19, 40]. An additional model was calculated including gestational age, as GDM offspring had a significantly reduced gestational age and it is know that gestational age is a contributing factor to the fetal and maternal metabolome [41, 42].

All these models demonstrated an independent association of the phosphatidylcholine acyl-alkyl C 32:1 (PC ae C 32:1) and the amino acid proline with GDM (for more details, see Table 6).

PC ae C 32:1, proline, GDM and preterm birth

As mentioned above, GDM offspring displayed a significantly reduced gestational age. Adding gestational age as a confounder in logistic regression analysis (Model D) did not affect the independent association between fetal PC ae C 32:1, proline, and GDM. To get further

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry

insight if these metabolites might be associated with a reduced gestational age in GDM we calculated two additional multivariable regression models (Table 7). Model A consisted of known factors affecting gestational age at birth, including pre-pregnancy BMI, history of preterm birth, smoking during pregnancy and the maternal GDM status. In Model B fetal concentrations of PC ae C 32:1 and proline were added. Model A showed significant association а between gestational age and GDM status of the mother and history of preterm birth. Adding fetal PC ae C 32:1 and proline in Model B rendered the significant previously association between GDM and gestational age insignificant, yet both metabolites demonstrated a significant association with gestational age. This result indicates that altered levels of PC ae C 32:1 and proline in cord blood of GDM offspring might impact on GDM related reductions in gestational age at birth.

Discussion

KARGER

In the current study, 163 metabolites were analyzed in maternal and fetal cord blood of 412 delivering women. 31 of the participating pregnant women had been diagnosed with GDM. The goal of the study was to identify associations between

| ell Physiol Biochem 2018;4 | 5:625-638 |
|----------------------------|---|
| OI: 10.1159/000487119 | © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. k |

 DOI: 10.1159/000487119
 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

 Published online: February 02, 2018
 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

Table 5. Comparison of newborn serum metabolites between non-GDM and GDM. Data are given as mean \pm SD. Data are given as mean \pm SD. PC = phosphatidylcholine; a = acyl; LPC = lysophosphatidylcholine; aa = diacyl; ae = acyl-alkyl; SM = Sphingomyelins; OH = hydroxy. Note. Only metabolites with $P_m \le 0.05$ were shown in the table. M = total number of analyzed metabolites (M=163); q = FDR; m = the individual rank of tested metabolite; Pm = the individual P-value

| Variable t Pm value mxq/M value (n = 381) µM (n = 31) µM (n = 31) µM 0.010 Gin 398.04±106.67 449.75±120.24 -2.57 0.011 0.010 His 111.08±286 128.02±27.28 -3.18 1.60×10 ⁻³ 9.20×10 ⁴ Met 36.49±10.06 41.39±7.27 -2.66 8.24×10 ⁻³ 7.67×10 ³ Pro 182.78±47.68 219.47±51.19 -4.10 5.00×10 ⁴⁵ 3.07×10 ⁴ Ser 136.62±43.47 152.858.93 -0.10 9.20×10 ³ 1.045 Val 187.19±55.22 215.66±50.06 -2.78 5.71×10 ⁻³ 6.44×10 ³ Lyso-phosphatidy-colines LPC 14:0 3.80±0.42 3.96±0.40 -2.07 0.039 0.015 LPC 16:1 3.72±14.54 4.24±1.33 -2.21 0.028 0.014 LPC 16:1 3.72±14.54 4.54±1.33 -2.27 0.68×10 ³ 0.015 LPC 16:1 3.72±10 ⁴⁴ 1.68±0.50 -2.20 0.029 0.014 | | Non-GDM | GDM | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Variable | | | t | P _m value | m×q/M value |
| $\begin{split} \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | | (n = 381) μM | (n = 31) μM | | | |
| | Amino acids | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Gln | 398.04±106.67 | 449.75±120.24 | -2.57 | 0.011 | 0.010 |
| Met 36.49±10.06 41.39±7.27 -2.66 8.24±10 ³ 7.67×10 ³ Pro 182.78±47.68 219.47±51.19 -4.10 5.00±10 ³ 3.07×10 ⁴ Ser 136.62±43.47 152.85±38.93 -2.01 0.045 0.017 Thr 217.31±81.80 246.62±61.74 -2.08 0.03±6 0.015 Val 187.19±55.22 215.66±50.06 -2.78 5.71×10 ³ 6.44×10 ³ Lyso-phosphatidyl-colines LPC 14:0 3.80±042 3.96±0.40 -2.07 0.039 0.015 LPC 16:0 53.53±15.96 59.99±11.28 -2.21 0.028 0.014 LPC 16:1 3.72±1.84 4.42±1.33 -2.27 6.85×10 ³ 7.06×10 ³ LPC 18:1 12.29±4.24 14.54±3.50 -2.87 4.30×10 ³ 4.60×10 ³ LPC 20:3 3.95±1.45 4.58±1.28 -2.36 0.010 9.51×10 ³ LPC 20:4 13.53±5.15 16.00±4.71 -2.58 0.010 9.51×10 ³ LPC 20:4 13.53±1.51 1.60±4.2 | His | 111.08±28.66 | 128.02±27.28 | -3.18 | 1.60×10-3 | 9.20×10-4 |
| Pre 09.3.311/9/ 76.08214/10 -2.04 0.042 0.017 Ser 136.62443.47 152.8538.93 -2.01 0.045 0.017 Thr 217.3141.80 248.6246.17.4 -2.08 0.038 0.015 Tyr 73.00420.86 84.04417.37 -2.87 4.35×10-3 5.21×10-3 ALE 206.33±56.82 233.94±63.44 -2.58 0.010 9.20×10-3 Lyso-phosphatidyl-colines LPC 16:0 5.35.31±5.96 5.999±11.28 -2.27 0.039 0.015 LPC 16:1 3.72±1.38 4.42±1.33 -2.72 6.85×10-3 7.06×10-3 LPC 18:1 1.29±4.24 1.454±3.05 -3.35 1.63×10-3 1.23×10-3 LPC 20:4 1.353±5.15 16.0±4.71 -2.58 0.019 9.51×10-3 DiacyL-phosphatidyl-cholines PC -2.20 0.029 0.014 PC aa C3:0:0 4.73±4.78 17.28±3.63 -2.91 3.81×10-3 3.68×10-3 DiacyL-phosphatidyl-cholines PC -2.20 0.029 | Met | 36.49±10.06 | 41.39±7.27 | -2.66 | 8.24×10-3 | 7.67×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Phe | 69.33±17.97 | 76.08±14.10 | -2.04 | 0.042 | 0.016 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Pro | 182./8±4/.68 | 219.4/±51.19 | -4.10 | 5.00×10 ⁻⁵ | 3.0/×10-4 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Ser | 130.02±43.47 217.21±01.00 | 152.85±38.93 249.62±61.74 | -2.01 | 0.045 | 0.017 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Tur | 73 00+20 86 | 84 04+17 37 | -2.00 | 4 35×10-3 | 5 21×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Val | 187 19+55 22 | 215 66+50 06 | -2.07 | 5 71×10-3 | 6.44×10-3 |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | xLeu | 206.33±56.82 | 233.94±63.44 | -2.58 | 0.010 | 9.20×10-3 |
| Lyso phosphatidy-colines J9640.40 -2.07 0.039 0.015 LPC 14:0 3.8040.42 3.9640.40 -2.07 0.028 0.014 LPC 16:0 53.53±15.96 59.99±11.28 -2.21 0.028 0.014 LPC 18:1 12.29±4.24 14.54±3.50 -2.87 6.85×10-3 7.06×10-3 LPC 18:2 11.49±4.56 13.49±3.05 -3.35 1.63×10-3 12.83×10-3 LPC 20:3 3.95±1.45 4.59±1.28 -2.36 0.010 9.51×10-3 Diacyl-phosphatidyl-cholines PC aa (28:1 0.93±0.36 1.08±0.50 -2.20 0.029 0.014 PC aa (23:0 14.73±4.78 17.28±3.63 -2.91 3.86×10-3 3.99×10-3 PC aa (23:1 1.02±0.52 1.23±0.86 -2.00 0.044 0.016 PC aa (23:4: 0.44±0.52 -2.66 8.41×10-3 7.98×10-3 PC aa (23:4: 0.49±0.17 0.60±0.32 -3.00 2.88×10-3 2.15×10-3 PC aa (23:6: 5.18±2.66 -2.93 3.99×10-3 | | | | | | |
| LPC 16:0 3.389±0.42 3.59±0.40 -2.07 0.039 0.015 LPC 16:0 53.531±5.96 59.99±11.28 -2.21 0.028 0.014 LPC 18:1 12.29±4.24 14.54±3.50 -2.87 6.85×10 ⁻³ 7.06×10 ⁻³ LPC 18:1 12.29±4.24 14.54±3.50 -2.87 4.30×10 ⁻³ 1.23×10 ⁻³ LPC 20:3 3.95±1.45 4.56±1.28 -2.36 0.019 0.013 LPC 20:4 13.53±5.15 16.00±4.71 -2.58 0.010 9.51×10 ⁻³ Diacyl-phosphatidyl-cholines PC ac (28:1 0.93±0.36 1.08±0.50 -2.20 0.029 0.014 PC ac (32:0 1.4.73±4.78 17.28±3.63 -2.91 3.86×10 ⁻³ 3.99×10 ⁻³ PC ac (32:1 10.46±2.66 119.59±27.78 -2.99 3.00×10 ⁻³ 3.07×10 ⁻³ PC ac (34:1 104.66±2.66 119.59±27.78 -2.99 3.00×10 ⁻³ 3.07×10 ⁻³ PC ac (36:4 123.24±2.65 -2.65 8.41×10 ⁻³ 7.9×10 ⁻³ 7.26×10 ⁻³ PC ac (36: | Lyso-phosphatic | lyl-colines | 206.040 | 2.07 | 0.020 | 0.015 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | LPC 14:0 | 3.80±0.42 | 3.96±0.40 | -2.07 | 0.039 | 0.015 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | LPC 16:0 | 53.53±15.96 | 59.99±11.28 | -2.21 | 0.028 | 0.014 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | LPC 10:1 | 3.72±1.30 | 4.4211.33 | -2.72 | 4.20×10-3 | 7.00×10 ⁻³ |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | LPC 10:1 | 12.29±4.24 | 12 40+2 05 | -2.07 | 4.30×10° | 4.00×10 3 |
| Lip C 20:4 13.53±5.15 16.00±4.71 -2.58 0.010 9.51±10 ³ DiacyEphosphatidyEcholines PC aa C28:1 0.93±0.36 1.08±0.50 -2.20 0.029 0.014 PC aa C30:0 4.12±1.25 4.78±0.92 -2.91 3.81±10 ³ 3.68±10 ³ PC aa C32:0 1.473±4.78 17.28±3.63 -2.91 3.86±10 ³ 3.99±10 ³ PC aa C32:1 11.92±4.62 1.448±4.03 -3.00 2.91±10 ⁻³ 2.45±10 ³ PC aa C32:2 1.02±0.52 1.23±0.86 -2.03 0.043 0.016 PC aa C34:4 0.49±0.17 0.60±0.32 -3.00 2.88±10 ³ 2.15±10 ³ PC aa C36:1 2.448±7.17 2.87±7.30 -2.90 3.89±10 ³ 4.29±10 ³ PC aa C36:4 0.55±19.72 61.37±28.65 -2.69 .735±10 ³ 7.36±10 ³ PC aa C36:4 13.24±28.67 136.94±25.58 -2.58 0.010 8.90±10 ³ PC aa C36:5 5.18±2.46 6.64±2.54 -3.16 1.69±10 ³ 1.53±10 ³ PC | LPC 20:3 | 3 95+1 45 | 4 58+1 28 | -2.35 | 0.019 | 0.013 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | LPC 20:4 | 13.53±5.15 | 16.00±4.71 | -2.58 | 0.010 | 9.51×10-3 |
| Diacyt-phosphatidyl-cholines PC aa C28:1 0.9340.36 1.08±0.50 -2.20 0.029 0.014 PC aa C30:0 4.12±1.25 4.78±0.92 -2.91 3.81×10 ⁻³ 3.68×10 ⁻³ PC aa C32:0 14.73±4.78 17.28±3.63 -2.91 3.81×10 ⁻³ 3.99×10 ⁻³ PC aa C32:1 11.92±4.62 14.48±4.03 -3.00 2.91×10 ⁻³ 2.45×10 ⁻³ PC aa C34:1 10.46.6±2.666 119.59±27.78 -2.99 3.00×10 ⁻³ 3.07×10 ⁻³ PC aa C34:4 0.49±0.17 0.60±0.32 -3.00 2.88×10 ⁻³ 2.15×10 ⁻³ PC aa C36:1 2.48±47.17 28.74±7.30 -2.90 3.89×10 ⁻³ 4.29×10 ⁻³ PC aa C36:1 2.48±47.17 28.74±7.30 -2.90 3.89×10 ⁻³ 4.23×10 ⁻³ PC aa C36:6 0.51±19.73 7.568±2.49 -2.66 7.35×10 ⁻³ 7.36×10 ⁻³ PC aa C36:6 0.32±0.14 0.39±0.18 -2.530 0.012 0.011 PC aa C36:6 0.32±0.14 0.39±0.18 -2.32 0.021 0.013 | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Diacyl-phosphat | idyl-cholines | 1.00:0.50 | 0.00 | 0.020 | 0.014 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C28:1 | 0.93±0.36 | 1.08±0.50 | -2.20 | 0.029 | 0.014 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C30:0 | 4.12±1.25 | 4.78±0.92 | -2.91 | 3.81×10-3 | 3.68×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C32:0 | 14./3±4./8 | 17.28±3.63 | -2.91 | 3.86×10-3 | 3.99×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C32:1 | 11.92±4.62 | 14.48 ± 4.03 1.22±0.96 | -3.00 | 2.91×10 ⁻⁵ | 2.45×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C34-1 | 104 66+26 66 | 1.23±0.00 | -2.03 | 0.045 3.00×10-3 | 2.07×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C34.1 | 85 16+31 22 | 101 08+42 55 | -2.99 | 8.41×10 ⁻³ | 7.98×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C34.4 | 0 49+0 17 | 0.60+0.32 | -3.00 | 2.88×10-3 | 2 15×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C36:1 | 24.84±7.17 | 28.74±7.30 | -2.90 | 3.89×10-3 | 4.29×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C36:2 | 51.05±19.72 | 61.37±28.65 | -2.69 | 7.35×10-3 | 7.36×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C36:3 | 65.01±19.73 | 75.68±22.49 | -2.86 | 4.43×10-3 | 5.52×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C36:4 | 123.24±28.67 | 136.94±25.58 | -2.58 | 0.010 | 8.90×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C36:5 | 5.18±2.46 | 6.64±2.54 | -3.16 | 1.69×10 ⁻³ | 1.53×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C36:6 | 0.32±0.14 | 0.39±0.18 | -2.530 | 0.012 | 0.011 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C38:3 | 41.35±11.77 | 45.84±9.39 | -2.07 | 0.039 | 0.015 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC aa C38:5 | 21.05±5.82 | 23.70±8.99 | -2.32 | 0.021 | 0.013 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | PC aa C38:6 | 56.98±18.92 | 65.43±21.45 | -2.37 | 0.018 | 0.012 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Acyl-alkyl-phose | hatidyl-cholines | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C30:0 | 0.27±0.08 | 0.30±0.07 | -2.20 | 0.028 | 0.013 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C30:1 | 0.18±0.07 | 0.21±0.07 | -2.48 | 0.014 | 0.011 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C32:1 | 2.40±0.80 | 2.83±0.67 | -2.87 | 4.31×10-3 | 4.91×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C32:2 | 0.48±0.15 | 0.55±0.12 | -2.47 | 0.014 | 0.012 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C34:1 | 4.75±1.65 | 5.72±1.74 | -3.15 | 1.77×10 ⁻³ | 1.84×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C34:2 | 3.02±1.21 | 3.62±1.96 | -2.49 | 0.013 | 0.011 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C36:3 | 1.99±0.80 | 2.34±1.33 | -2.23 | 0.026 | 0.013 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C36:4 | 8.88±2.80 | 10.22±2.78 | -2.57 | 0.011 | 0.010 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C36:5 | 6.37±2.18 | 7.34±2.26 | -2.37 | 0.018 | 0.012 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C38:4 | 7.59±2.23 | 8.47±2.37 | -2.09 | 0.037 | 0.014 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C38:5 | 7.14±2.25 | 8.32±2.79 | -2.76 | 6.01×10-3 | 6.75×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | PC ae C38:6 | 2.96±1.01 | 3.54±1.25 | -2.99 | 2.93×10-3 | 2.76×10-3 |
| Hydroxy-sphingomyelins 1.0310.42 1.0310.24 12.11 0.041 1.00410 Hydroxy-sphingomyelins SM (OH) C16:1 1.67±0.54 1.93±0.52 -2.61 9.47×10 ⁻³ 8.28×10 ⁻³ Sphingomyelins SM C16:0 52.30±14.60 60.03±15.26 -2.83 4.96×10 ⁻³ 6.13×10 ⁻³ SM C16:1 9.82±3.09 11.33±3.28 -2.59 0.010 9.82×10 ⁻³ SM C18:0 20.24±5.73 23.79±4.85 -3.35 8.77×10 ⁻⁴ 6.10×10 ⁴ SM C18:1 13.05±4.22 15.29±3.89 -2.84 4.68×10 ⁻³ 5.83×10 ⁻³ SM C24:1 30.55±9.08 35.54±9.22 -2.94 3.52×10 ⁻³ 3.37×10 ⁻³ | PC ae C40:0 | 0.70±0.99 | 7.19±1.15 1.05±0.24 | -2.60 | 0.010 | 8.59×10-3 |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | r C ae C40.1 | 0.9310.42 | 1.0510.24 | -2.11 | 0.041 | 1.30×10 ° |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Hydroxy-sphing | omyelins | | | | |
| Sphingomyelins 60.03±15.26 -2.83 4.96×10 ⁻³ 6.13×10 ⁻³ SM C16:0 52.30±14.60 60.03±15.26 -2.83 4.96×10 ⁻³ 6.13×10 ⁻³ SM C16:1 9.82±3.09 11.33±3.28 -2.59 0.010 9.82×10 ⁻³ SM C18:0 20.24±5.73 23.79±4.85 -3.35 8.77×10 ⁻⁴ 6.10×10 ⁻⁴ SM C18:1 13.05±4.22 15.29±3.89 -2.84 4.68×10 ⁻³ 5.83×10 ⁻³ SM C24:1 30.55±9.08 35.54±9.22 -2.94 3.52×10 ⁻³ 3.37×10 ⁻³ | SM (OH) C16:1 | 1.67±0.54 | 1.93±0.52 | -2.61 | 9.47×10-3 | 8.28×10-3 |
| Springeningening Soft Clic(s) 52.30±14.60 60.03±15.26 -2.83 4.96×10 ⁻³ 6.13×10 ⁻³ SM Clic(s) 9.82±3.09 11.33±3.28 -2.59 0.010 9.82×10 ⁻³ SM Clic(s) 20.24±5.73 23.79±4.85 -3.35 8.77×10 ⁻⁴ 6.10×10 ⁻⁴ SM Cli8(1) 13.05±4.22 15.29±3.89 -2.84 4.68×10 ⁻³ 5.83×10 ⁻³ SM Cl2(1) 30.55±9.08 35.54±9.22 -2.94 3.52×10 ⁻³ 3.37×10 ⁻³ | Sphingomyoli | | | | | |
| SM C16:1 9.82±3.09 11.33±3.28 -2.59 0.010 9.82±10 ³ SM C16:1 9.82±3.09 11.33±3.28 -2.59 0.010 9.82±10 ³ SM C18:0 20.24±5.73 23.79±4.85 -3.35 8.77×10 ⁴ 6.10×10 ⁴ SM C18:1 13.05±4.22 15.29±3.89 -2.84 4.68×10 ³ 5.83×10 ³ SM C24:1 30.55±9.08 35.54±9.22 -2.94 3.52×10 ³ 3.37×10 ³ | SM C16-0 | 52 30+14 60 | 60.03+15.26 | -2 02 | 4.96~10-3 | 6 12 - 10-3 |
| SM C18:0 20.24±5.73 23.79±4.85 -3.35 8.77×10 ⁻⁴ 6.10×10 ⁻⁴ SM C18:1 13.05±4.22 15.29±3.89 -2.84 4.68×10 ⁻³ 5.83×10 ⁻³ SM C24:1 30.55±9.08 35.54±9.22 -2.94 3.52×10 ⁻³ 3.37×10 ⁻³ | SM C16-1 | 982+309 | 11 33+3 28 | -2.03 | 0.010 | 9.82×10-3 |
| SM C18:1 13.05±4.22 15.29±3.89 -2.84 4.68×10 ⁻³ 5.83×10 ⁻³ SM C24:1 30.55±9.08 35.54±9.22 -2.94 3.52×10 ⁻³ 3.37×10 ⁻³ | SM C18:0 | 20 24+5 73 | 23 79+4 85 | -3.35 | 8 77×10-4 | 6 10×10-4 |
| SM C24:1 30.55±9.08 35.54±9.22 -2.94 3.52×10-3 3.37×10-3 | SM C18:1 | 13.05±4.22 | 15.29±3.89 | -2.84 | 4.68×10-3 | 5.83×10-3 |
| | SM C24:1 | 30.55±9.08 | 35.54±9.22 | -2.94 | 3.52×10-3 | 3.37×10-3 |

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

Fig. 2. Manhattan Plot of all fetal serum metabolites. The –log10 of resulted p-values is shown. The level of significance for the unadjusted analyses is shown by a dotted line. Each class of metabolites is marked with a respective symbol. Metabolites shown in grey together with the respective metabolite name indicate metabolites that were still significantly different after Benjamini Hochberg adjustment.



Table 6. Adjusted logistic regression models analyzing associations between newborn metabolites and GDM. PC = phosphatidylcholine; ae = acyl-alkyl. Model A: Considering maternal age, Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, Ethnicity, and 14 metabolites from the above Table 4. ($P_m \le m \times q/M$) being the independent variable and GDM being dependent variable. Model B: Model A + family history of diabetes being the independent variable. Model C: Model B + smoking during pregnancy being the independent variable. Model D: Model C + gestational age being the independent variable

| OR | 95.0% Confidence interval for B |
|------|--|
| | |
| 1.07 | $1.00\!\sim\!1.15$ |
| 1.11 | $1.04 {\sim} 1.19$ |
| 1.59 | 0.40~6.32 |
| 1.01 | 1.00~1.02 |
| 1.66 | $1.06{\sim}2.62$ |
| | |
| 1.07 | 1 00~1 14 |
| 1.07 | 1.00 1.11 |
| 0.80 | 0.42~1.52 |
| 1.56 | 0.30~6.23 |
| 1.01 | 1.00~1.02 |
| 1.01 | 1.00 1.02 |
| 1.00 | 1.06~2.62 |
| | |
| 1.07 | $1.00\!\sim\!1.14$ |
| 1.11 | 1.04~1.19 |
| 1.54 | 0.38~6.20 |
| 1.03 | 0.45~2.35 |
| 1.81 | $0.64 {\sim} 5.16$ |
| 1.01 | 1.00~1.02 |
| 1.65 | 1.05~2.60 |
| | |
| 1.07 | 1.00~1.14 |
| 1.11 | 1.04~1.19 |
| 1.73 | 0.40~7.42 |
| 1.03 | 0.45~2.36 |
| 1.69 | 0.59~4.90 |
| 0.98 | $0.95 \sim 1.02$ |
| 1.01 | 1.00~1.02 |
| 1.66 | $1.06 \sim 2.61$ |
| | 0k 1.07 1.11 1.59 1.01 1.66 1.07 1.11 0.80 1.55 1.01 1.66 1.07 1.11 1.64 1.03 1.65 1.07 1.11 1.65 1.07 1.11 1.63 1.61 1.03 1.69 0.98 0.91 1.06 |

distinctive maternal and fetal metabolites and GDM. Our study identified metabolites in cord blood which were associated with GDM, even after adjustment for established risk factors GDM. Interestingly, of further analyses showed an additional independent interaction between the identified cord blood metabolites **GDM** and associated reductions in gestational age at birth. To the best of our knowledge,



Table 7. Multiple linear regression models analyzing the association between cord blood PC ae C32:1 and proline and gestational age. PC = phosphatidylcholine; ae = acyl-alkyl. Model A: Considering maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, Maternal GDM, maternal smoking during pregnancy, and history of preterm birth (<37weeks). Model B: Model A + cord blood proline and PC ae C32:1

| Variable | Standardized Beta | t | Р | 95.0% Confidence interval for B |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------------|
| Model A (R ² = 0.05) | | | | |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | -0.03 | -0.52 | 0.605 | -0.32~0.19 |
| Maternal GDM | -0.12 | -2.29 | 0.023 | -9.16~-0.69 |
| Smoking during pregnancy | -0.03 | -0.57 | 0.568 | -4.03~2.22 |
| History of preterm birth | -0.14 | -2.63 | 0.009 | -16.42~-2.36 |
| Model B (R ² = 0.09) | | | | |
| Proline | -0.15 | -2.81 | 0.005 | -0.06~-0.01 |
| PC ae C32:1 | -0.12 | -2.28 | 0.023 | -3.16~-0.23 |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI | -0.05 | -0.99 | 0.325 | -0.38~0.13 |
| Maternal GDM | -0.07 | -1.34 | 0.182 | -7.13~1.35 |
| Smoking during pregnancy | -0.03 | -0.65 | 0.518 | -4.07~2.05 |
| History of preterm birth (<37weeks) | -0.13 | -2.48 | 0.014 | -15.62~-1.79 |

Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 Published online: February 02, 2018 Www.karger.com/cpb Www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

this is the first study demonstrating an independent association between fetal serum metabolites and maternal GDM. Our findings might suggest a potential effect of the fetal metabolome in maternal GDM.

Analysis of descriptive data of the study population revealed that newborns from mothers with GDM had a significantly higher risk of preterm birth than newborns from non-GDM mothers, in accordance with earlier studies [43, 44]. To investigate, if differences in gestational age might have contributed to the observed differences in PC ae C32:1 and proline cord blood levels, gestational age was added to the logistic regression model. The addition of gestational age did not impact on the independent association between cord blood PC ae C32:1, and proline and GDM. However, two multivariable linear regression models using gestational age as independent variable indicated that the impact of GDM on gestational age might be controlled by cord blood PC ae C32:1 and proline concentrations. This result is in accordance with current literature, at least for proline. Two previous publications also demonstrated a negative correlation between proline, measured in amniotic fluid and neonatal blood, and gestational age [45-48]. To the best of our knowledge, there are no earlier studies that observed an association of proline and PC ae C32:1 with GDM related reductions in gestational age [44].

There was no significant difference in birth weight from newborns from mothers with GDM and newborns of mothers without GDM in our study. This may seem surprising because GDM is a known risk factor for macrosomia of the newborn, at least if untreated [4, 8, 49]. In our study, women with GDM had comparable glucose levels to women without GDM, therefore a good glucose control of mothers with GDM during pregnancy in combination with the reduced duration of gestation might explain the similar birth weight results. Despite comparable glucose levels in both groups, we found differences in the metabolomic profiles of mothers and newborns. Therefore, the observed differences may be independent of blood glucose. This is corroborated by the fact that there was no correlation between the identified metabolites and glucose or insulin (data not shown).

Fetal metabolites associated with maternal GDM

An initial non-adjusted analysis identified 54 metabolites in the cord blood being associated with GDM. After adjusting the *P*-values using the BH procedure and calculating various models corrected for confounding factors of GDM, our study demonstrated that PC ae C32:1 and the amino acid proline were independently associated with GDM.

The traditional pathophysiologic concept states that GDM is a result of environmental cues and maternal genetic predisposition [21, 22]. However, this has currently been challenged by a new theory. It was proposed that fetal genes may also impact on maternal physiology during pregnancy, thus potentially modifying maternal blood pressure and glucose concentration [50]. In women with certain maternal gene polymorphisms, fetal sex influenced important parameters of maternal physiology during pregnancy, including those of the glucose metabolism [23-25]. Moreover, paternally transmitted gene variants of the fetal IGF2 gene (which encodes insulin-like growth factor-II) were associated with increased maternal glucose concentrations, thus potentially altering her risk of developing gestational diabetes mellitus [28].

The mechanisms, however, of how the fetal genotype may influence maternal metabolism are unknown. One hypothesis is that variations in placental function induced by the fetal genome could play a key role in this process, probably by changing the secretion pattern of placental hormones [50, 51]. In fact, the placenta acts as an interphase between mother and child and is partially of fetal origin. Thus, the fetal genome may influence maternal glucose status and blood pressure via placental function in order to guarantee the nutrient supply for the fetus. As such, fetal genes would be able to "demand" an increased flow of nutrients from the maternal blood, in case it is needed, which is best exemplified by multifetal pregnancies. Interestingly, multifetal pregnancies are associated with an increased risk for GDM [52]. A recent meta-analysis including twenty studies and data of over 2, 4 million women showed that pregnant women bearing a male fetus had a 1.04-fold higher risk of developing GDM



Cell Physiology and Biochemistry Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

633

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

than those bearing a female fetus [27]. This data clearly shows that fetal genotype (sex being considered as a genetic variant) is associated with maternal GDM.

Until now it is not known if the fetal metabolome is also associated with maternal GDM. We believe that a comparable concept like one of fetal genes influencing maternal metabolism can be applied to the fetal metabolome. So far, no data has been published explicitly on eventual effects of fetal metabolites on the development of maternal GDM during pregnancy. However, first hints of an association of fetal metabolites and maternal GDM come from other metabolomics studies [53-55].

Up to now, the focus of metabolomics research for GDM lies on analyzing maternal body fluids. Lehman et al. [56] saw decreased levels of plasma diacyl-PCs, lyso-PCs and arachidonic acid being associated with insulin resistance in women with GDM. Liu et al. [57] found changes in serum metabolites of women with GDM, but only in the later stage of pregnancy. Acyl-alkyl-PCs have been associated with T2D in the general population [58]. A European prospective study analyzing more twenty thousand individuals revealed that diacyl-PCs were independently associated with increased risk of T2D and serum acyl-alkyl-PCs were associated with a decreased risk [59]. Importantly, other studies showed that environmental, dietary and lifestyle factors changed metabolic patterns of diacyl-PC and acyl-alkyl-PC in T2DM-cohorts [60-62]. We found distinct fetal acyl-alkyl-PC independently associated with GDM, even after strict adjustment for confounding factors and apparently independent of blood glucose.

Some studies have reported that elevated plasma levels of branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) also were associated with an increased risk of GDM [63, 64] T2D [64, 65], and insulin resistance [66]. In our present study, there were no significant differences in maternal plasma amino acid levels between GDM and non-GDM mothers. Similarly, Chorell et al. did not observe significant differences in levels of BCAAs during pregnancy, yet were able to demonstrate a significant increase in GDM mothers postpartum [67]. In the current study, ten amino acids displayed higher cord blood concentrations in newborns from mothers with GDM compared to newborns from non-GDM mothers. After BH procedure and strict adjustment for confounding factors for GDM, fetal proline still showed an independent association with GDM. This finding is supported by literature [68]. Cetin et al. [68] also demonstrated higher cord blood levels of the amino acid proline, which was absent in the maternal circulation. Correlation analysis furthermore showed a significant relationship between fetal and maternal proline levels in GDM cases, which could not be found in the absence of GDM. The authors concluded that alterations in placental amino acid exchange and/or fetal/placental amino acid metabolism might have been responsible for this observation.

Our results suggesting a fetal contribution to the development of maternal GDM has to be investigated more thoroughly. Of course, it is also possible that the observed changes in fetal metabolites solely occurred as a consequence to the developing GDM in the mother. We are aware that the idea of a fetal influence on maternal physiology via genes and/or metabolites is a new concept and study results including ours, so far do not give evidence for causality.

Study limitations and Outlook

In this study, serum metabolites were only measured at one occasion prior to birth. Metabolomics data as a reflection of systemic metabolic processes, in general, need to be interpreted with caution as it may be influenced by phenotype and lifestyle factors. However, Floegel et. Al. [69] performed targeted metabolomics at two points in time 4 months apart among 100 healthy subjects and demonstrated that most of the metabolites of a single measurement may be sufficient for risk assessment in epidemiologic studies with healthy subjects.

Despite the mentioned study limitations, findings of the current study may contribute to better understand fetal pathophysiological processes in GDM pregnancies. However, for further conclusions findings of the current study need confirmation in independent prospective studies. Future studies should include collection of information on possible







lifestyle-related environmental factors and medication with a possible influence on the metabolic profile, as well as the measurement of serum metabolites at multiple and/or earlier occasions. Metabolomic assessment of other easily accessible biological fluids, such as urine and feces might be of importance. Furthermore, the role of the preconceptual paternal metabolome in gestational disease such as GDM should be addressed by future studies. Lastly, GDM studies with a long-term follow up should be conducted, to evaluate lasting effects of early life metabolic alterations [67]. With a well-designed prospective study based on findings from this and other studies, a comprehensive understanding of the variation of metabolites in the development of GDM and possibly its consequences could be achieved.

Conclusion

This study aimed at finding characteristic metabolites in a mother-child cohort of well-controlled GDM and healthy pregnancies. There were no significant differences in the maternal metabolome between GDM and non-GDM mothers. Interestingly fetal cord blood phosphatidylcholine acyl-alkyl C 32:1 and proline were associated with GDM independent of established GDM risk factors. This finding of an independent association adds to the growing evidence in literature demonstrating a fetal impact on maternal gestational metabolic disease and warrants further research. Figure 3 gives a summary of the significantly different metabolites found in the current study and their association with GDM or T2D according to published literature.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported in part by a grant from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) to the German Center Diabetes Research (DZD e.V.), Guangzhou industry university research cooperative innovation major project [grant number 201508020101], Guangdong science and technology project in the field of social development [grant number 2013B021400002], and Guangzhou science and technology project, Guangdong, China [grant number 201604020175].



Cellular Physiology

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 © 2018 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel and Biochemistry Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

Disclosure Statement

No conflict of interests exists.

References

- 1 American Diabetes Association: Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2013;36 Suppl 1:S67-S74.
- 2 DeSisto CL, Kim SY, Sharma AJ: Prevalence estimates of gestational diabetes mellitus in the United States, Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS), 2007-2010. Prev Chronic Dis 2014;11:E104.
- 3 Chen Y, Quick WW, Yang W, Zhang Y, Baldwin A, Moran J, Moore V, Sahai N, Dall TM: Cost of gestational diabetes mellitus in the United States in 2007. Popul Health Manag 2009;12:165–174.
- 4 Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR, McPhee AJ, Jeffries WS, Robinson JS; Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in Pregnant Women (ACHOIS) Trial Group: Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2477-2486.
- 5 Schmidt MI, Duncan BB, Reichelt AJ, Branchtein L, Matos MC, Costa e Forti A, Spichler ER, Pousada JM, Teixeira MM, Yamashita T; Brazilian Gestational Diabetes Study Group: Gestational diabetes mellitus diagnosed with a 2-h 75-g oral glucose tolerance test and adverse pregnancy outcomes. Diabetes Care 2001;24:1151-1155.
- 6 Hod M, Merlob P, Friedman S, Schoenfeld A, Ovadia J: Gestational diabetes mellitus. A survey of perinatal complications in the 1980s. Diabetes 1991;40 Suppl 2:74-78.
- 7 Langer O, Yogev Y, Most O, Xenakis EM: Gestational diabetes: the consequences of not treating. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:989-997.
- 8 HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, Hadden DR, McCance DR, Hod M, McIntvre HD, Oats JJ, Persson B, Rogers MS, Sacks DA: Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 2008;358:1991-2002.
- 9 Kim C, Newton KM, Knopp RH: Gestational diabetes and the incidence of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. Diabetes Care 2002;25:1862-1868.
- Catalano PM, Kirwan JP, Haugel-de Mouzon S, King J: Gestational diabetes and insulin resistance: role in 10 short- and long-term implications for mother and fetus. J Nutr 2003;133(5 Suppl 2):1674S-1683S.
- Engeland A, Bjørge T, Daltveit AK, Skurtveit S, Vangen S, Vollset SE, Furu K: Risk of diabetes after 11 gestational diabetes and preeclampsia. A registry-based study of 230, 000 women in Norway. Eur J Epidemiol 2011;26:157-163.
- 12 Dabelea D, Hanson RL, Lindsay RS, Pettitt DJ, Imperatore G, Gabir MM, Roumain J, Bennett PH, Knowler WC: Intrauterine exposure to diabetes conveys risks for type 2 diabetes and obesity: a study of discordant sibships. Diabetes 2000;49:2208-2211.
- Reichetzeder C, Dwi Putra SE, Li J, Hocher B: Developmental Origins of Disease Crisis Precipitates Change. 13 Cell Physiol Biochem 2016;39:919-938.
- 14 Bellamy L, Casas JP, Hingorani AD, Williams D: Type 2 diabetes mellitus after gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Lond Engl 2009;373:1773-1779.
- 15 Landon MB, Spong CY, Thom E, Carpenter MW, Ramin SM, Casey B, Wapner RJ, Varner MW, Rouse DJ, Thorp JM Jr, Sciscione A, Catalano P, Harper M, Saade G, Lain KY, Sorokin Y, Peaceman AM, Tolosa JE, Anderson GB; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network: A multicenter, randomized trial of treatment for mild gestational diabetes. N Engl I Med 2009;361:1339-1348.
- 16 Harrison CL, Lombard CB, East C, Boyle J, Teede HJ: Risk stratification in early pregnancy for women at increased risk of gestational diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2015;107:61-68.
- 17 Padayachee C, Coombes JS: Exercise guidelines for gestational diabetes mellitus. World J Diabetes 2015;6:1033-1044.
- 18 Reece EA, Leguizamón G, Wiznitzer A: Gestational diabetes: the need for a common ground. Lancet Lond Engl 2009;373:1789-1797.
- 19 Desoye G, Hauguel-de Mouzon S: The human placenta in gestational diabetes mellitus. The insulin and cytokine network. Diabetes Care 2007;30:S120-S126.



KARGER

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

- 20 Abell SK, De Courten B, Boyle JA, Teede HJ: Inflammatory and Other Biomarkers: Role in Pathophysiology and Prediction of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Int J Mol Sci 2015;16:13442–13473.
- 21 Nair AV, Hocher B, Verkaart S, van Zeeland F, Pfab T, Slowinski T, Chen YP, Schlingmann KP, Schaller A, Gallati S, Bindels RJ, Konrad M, Hoenderop JG: Loss of insulin-induced activation of TRPM6 magnesium channels results in impaired glucose tolerance during pregnancy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:11324–11329.
- 22 Reichetzeder C, Dwi Putra SE, Pfab T, Slowinski T, Neuber C, Kleuser B, Hocher B: Increased global placental DNA methylation levels are associated with gestational diabetes. Clin Epigenetics 2016;8:82.
- 23 Hocher B, Chen YP, Schlemm L, Burdack A, Li J, Halle H, Pfab T, Kalk P, Lang F, Godes M: Fetal sex determines the impact of maternal PROGINS progesterone receptor polymorphism on maternal physiology during pregnancy. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2009;19:710-718.
- 24 Hocher B, Schlemm L, Haumann H, Poralla C, Chen YP, Li J, Guthmann F, Bamberg C, Kalache KD, Pfab T: Interaction of maternal peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma2 Pro12Ala polymorphism with fetal sex affects maternal glycemic control during pregnancy. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2010;20:139-142.
- 25 Hocher B, Schlemm L, Haumann H, Jian Li, Rahnenführer J, Guthmann F, Bamberg C, Kalk P, Pfab T, Chen YP: Offspring sex determines the impact of the maternal ACE I/D polymorphism on maternal glycaemic control during the last weeks of pregnancy. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst 2011;12:254-261.
- 26 Yang X, Darko KO, Huang Y, He C, Yang H, He S, Li J, Li J, Hocher B, Yin Y: Resistant Starch Regulates Gut Microbiota: Structure, Biochemistry and Cell Signalling. Cell Physiol Biochem 2017;42:306-318.
- 27 Jaskolka D, Retnakaran R, Zinman B, Kramer CK: Sex of the baby and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus in the mother: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetologia 2015;58:2469-2475.
- 28 Petry CJ, Seear RV, Wingate DL, Manico L, Acerini CL, Ong KK, Hughes IA, Dunger DB: Associations between paternally transmitted fetal IGF2 variants and maternal circulating glucoseconcentrations in pregnancy. Diabetes 2011 ;60:3090-3096.
- 29 Hocher B, Adamski J: Metabolomics for clinical use and research in chronic kidney disease. Nat Rev Nephrol 2017 May;13:269-284.
- 30 Fanos V, Atzori L, Makarenko K, Melis GB, Ferrazzi E: Metabolomics application in maternal-fetal medicine. BioMed Res Int 2013;2013:720514.
- 31 Pfab T, Slowinski T, Godes M, Halle H, Priem F, Hocher B: Low birth weight, a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in later life, is already associated with elevated fetal glycosylated hemoglobin at birth. Circulation 2006;114:1687-1692.
- 32 Hocher B, Slowinski T, Stolze T, Pleschka A, Neumayer HH, Halle H: Association of maternal G protein beta3 subunit 825T allele with low birthweight. Lancet 2000;355:1241-1242.
- 33 Finster M, Wood M: The Apgar score has survived the test of time. Anesthesiology 2005;102:855-7.
- 34 Kleinwechter H, Schäfer-Graf U, Bührer C, Hoesli I, Kainer F, Kautzky-Willer A, Pawlowski B, Schunck K, Somville T, Sorger M; German Diabetes Association; German Association for Gynaecology and Obstetrics: Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) diagnosis, therapy and follow-up care: Practice Guideline of the German Diabetes Association(DDG) and the German Association for Gynaecologyand Obstetrics (DGGG). Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes 2014; 122:395-405.
- 35 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Guideline on bioanalytical method validation. EMEA/CHMP/EWP/192217/2009 Rev. 1 Corr. 2, 21 July 2011.
- 36 Römisch-Margl W, Prehn C, Bogumil R, Röhring C, Suhre K, Adamski J: Procedure for tissue sample preparation and metabolite extraction for high-throughput targeted metabolomics. Metabolomics 2012;8:133-142.
- 37 Illig T, Gieger C, Zhai G, Römisch-Margl W, Wang-Sattler R, Prehn C, Altmaier E, Kastenmüller G, Kato BS, Mewes HW, Meitinger T, de Angelis MH, Kronenberg F, Soranzo N, Wichmann HE, Spector TD, Adamski J, Suhre K: A genome-wide perspective of genetic variation in human metabolism. Nat Genet 2010;42:137-141.
- 38 Yoav B, Yosef H. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J R Statist Soc B 1995;57:289-300
- 39 Chung PJ, Bohme JF, Mecklenbrauker CF, Hero AO: "Multiple signal detection using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure". IEEE International Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing 2005:209-212.



Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

- 40 Dodds L, Woolcott CG, Weiler H, Spencer A, Forest JC, Armson BA, Giguère Y: Vitamin D Status and Gestational Diabetes: Effect of Smoking Status during Pregnancy. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2016;30:229-337.
- 41 Jelliffe-Pawlowski LL, Norton ME, Baer RJ, Santos N, Rutherford GW: Gestational dating by metabolic profile at birth: a California cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2016;214:511.e1-13.
- 42 Li J, Lu YP, Reichetzeder C, Kalk P, Kleuser B, Adamski J, Hocher B: Maternal PCaaC38:6 is Associated With Preterm Birth - a Risk Factor for Early and LateAdverse Outcome of the Offspring. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:250-257.
- 43 Xiong X, Saunders LD, Wang FL, Demianczuk NN: Gestational diabetes mellitus: prevalence, risk factors, maternal and infant outcomes. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2001;75:221-228.
- 44 Hedderson MM, Ferrara A, Sacks DA: Gestational diabetes mellitus and lesser degrees of pregnancy hyperglycemia: association with increased risk of spontaneous preterm birth. Obstet Gynecol 2003;102:850-856.
- 45 Liu J, Chen XX, Li XW, Fu W, Zhang WQ: Metabolomic Research on Newborn Infants With Intrauterine Growth Restriction. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e3564.
- 46 Athanasiadis AP, Michaelidou AM, Fotiou M, Menexes G, Theodoridis TD, Ganidou M, Tzevelekis B, Assimakopoulos E, Tarlatzis BC: Correlation of 2nd trimester amniotic fluid amino acid profile with gestational age and estimated fetal weight. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2011;24:1033-1038.
- 47 Camelo Jr JS, Martinez FE, Gonçalves AL, Monteiro JP, Jorge SM: Plasma amino acids in pregnancy, placental intervillous space and preterm newborn infants. Braz J Med Biol Res 2007;40:971-977.
- 48 Camelo JS Jr, Jorge SM, Martinez FE: Amino acid composition of parturient plasma, the intervillous space of the placenta and the umbilical vein of term newborn infants. Braz J Med Biol Res 2004;37:711-717.
- 49 Tyrrell J, Richmond RC, Palmer TM, Feenstra B, Rangarajan J, Metrustry S, Cavadino A, Paternoster L, Armstrong LL, De Silva NM, Wood AR, Horikoshi M, Geller F, Myhre R, Bradfield JP, Kreiner-Møller E, Huikari V1, Painter JN, Hottenga JJ, Allard C, Berry DJ, Bouchard L, Das S, Evans DM, Hakonarson H, Hayes MG, Heikkinen J, Hofman A, Knight B, Lind PA, McCarthy MI, McMahon G, Medland SE, Melbye M, Morris AP, Nodzenski M, Reichetzeder C, Ring SM, Sebert S, Sengpiel V, Sørensen TI, Willemsen G, de Geus EJ, Martin NG, Spector TD, Power C, Järvelin MR, Bisgaard H, Grant SF, Nohr EA, Jaddoe VW, Jacobsson B, Murray JC, Hocher B, Hattersley AT, Scholtens DM, Davey Smith G, Hivert MF, Felix JF, Hyppönen E, Lowe WL Jr, Frayling TM, Lawlor DA, Freathy RM; Early Growth Genetics (EGG) Consortium: Genetic Evidence for Causal Relationships Between Maternal Obesity-Related Traits and Birth Weight. JAMA 2016;315:1129– 1140.
- 50 Petry CJ, Ong KK, Dunger DB: Does the fetal genotype affect maternal physiology during pregnancy? Trends Mol Med 2007;13:414-421.
- 51 Petry CJ, Beardsall K, Dunger DB: The potential impact of the fetal genotype on maternal blood pressure during pregnancy. J Hypertens 2014;32:1553-1561.
- 52 Norwitz ER, Edusa V, Park JS: Maternal physiology and complications of multiple pregnancy. Semin Perinatol 2005;29:338-348.
- 53 Graca G, Duarte IF, Barros AS, Goodfellow BJ, Diaz SO, Pinto J, Carreira IM, Galhano E, Pita C, Gil AM: Impact of prenatal disorders on the metabolic profile of second trimester amniotic fluid: a nuclear magnetic resonance metabonomic study. J Proteome Res 2010;9:6016-6024.
- 54 Graça G, Goodfellow BJ, Barros AS, Diaz S, Duarte IF, Spagou K, Veselkov K, Want EJ, Lindon JC, Carreira IM, Galhano E, Pita C, Gil AM: UPLC-MS metabolic profiling of second trimester amniotic fluid and maternal urine and comparison with NMR spectral profiling for the identification of pregnancy disorder biomarkers. Mol Biosyst 2012;8:1243-1254.
- 55 Peng S, Zhang J, Liu L, Zhang X, Huang Q, Alamdar A, Tian M, Shen H: Newborn meconium and urinary metabolome response to maternal gestational diabetes mellitus: a preliminary case-control study. J Proteome Res 2015;14:1799-1809.
- 56 Lehmann R, Friedrich T, Krebiehl G, Sonntag D, Häring HU, Fritsche A, Hennige AM: Metabolic profiles during an oral glucose tolerance test in pregnant women with and without gestational diabetes. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes Off J Ger Soc Endocrinol Ger Diabetes Assoc 2015;123:483–438.
- ⁵⁷ Liu T, Li J, Xu F, Wang M, Ding S, Xu H, Dong F: Comprehensive analysis of serum metabolites in gestational diabetes mellitus by UPLC/Q-TOF-MS. Anal Bioanal Chem 2016;408:1125-1135.

637

KARGER

Cell Physiol Biochem 2018;45:625-638 DOI: 10.1159/000487119 Published online: February 02, 2018 www.karger.com/cpb

Lu et al.: Metabolomics and GDM

- 58 Li Y, Wang DD, Chiuve SE, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB, Qi L: Dietary phosphatidylcholine intake and type 2 diabetes in men and women. Diabetes Care 2015;38:e13-e14.
- 59 Floegel A, Stefan N, Yu Z, Mühlenbruch K, Drogan D, Joost HG, Fritsche A, Häring HU, Hrabě de Angelis M, Peters A, Roden M, Prehn C, Wang-Sattler R, Illig T, Schulze MB, Adamski J, Boeing H, Pischon T: Identification of serum metabolites associated with risk of type 2 diabetes using a targeted metabolomic approach. Diabetes 2013;62:639-648.
- 60 Xu T, Holzapfel C, Dong X, Bader E, Yu Z, Prehn C, Perstorfer K, Jaremek M, Roemisch-Margl W, Rathmann W, Li Y, Wichmann HE, Wallaschofski H, Ladwig KH, Theis F, Suhre K, Adamski J, Illig T, Peters A, Wang-Sattler R: Effects of smoking and smoking cessation on human serum metabolite profile: results from the KORA cohort study. BMC Med 2013;11:60.
- 61 Wittenbecher C, Mühlenbruch K, Kröger J, Jacobs S, Kuxhaus O, Floegel A, Fritsche A, Pischon T, Prehn C, Adamski J, Joost HG, Boeing H, Schulze MB: Amino acids, lipid metabolites, and ferritin as potential mediators linking red meat consumption to type 2 diabetes. Am J Clin Nutr 2015;101:1241-1250.
- 62 Wientzek A, Floegel A, Knüppel S, Vigl M, Drogan D, Adamski J, Pischon T, Boeing H: Serum metabolites related to cardiorespiratory fitness, physical activity energy expenditure, sedentary time and vigorous activity. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 2014;24:215-226.
- 63 Butte NF, Hsu HW, Thotathuchery M, Wong WW, Khoury J, Reeds P: Protein metabolism in insulin-treated gestational diabetes. Diabetes Care 1999;22:806-811.
- 64 Rahimi N, Razi F, Nasli-Esfahani E, Qorbani M, Shirzad N, Larijani B: Amino acid profiling in the gestational diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Metab Disord 2017;16:13.
- 65 Lee CC, Watkins SM, Lorenzo C, Wagenknecht LE, Il'yasova D, Chen YD, Haffner SM, Hanley AJ: Branched-Chain Amino Acids and Insulin Metabolism: The Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). Diabetes Care 2016;39:582-588.
- 66 Newgard CB, An J, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Stevens RD, Lien LF, Haqq AM, Shah SH, Arlotto M, Slentz CA, Rochon J, Gallup D, Ilkayeva O, Wenner BR, Yancy WS Jr, Eisenson H, Musante G, Surwit RS, Millington DS, Butler MD, Svetkey LP: A branched-chain amino acid-related metabolic signature that differentiates obese and lean humans and contributes to insulin resistance. Cell Metab 2009;9:311-326.
- 67 Chorell E, Hall UA, Gustavsson C, Berntorp K, Puhkala J, Luoto R, Olsson T, Holmäng A: Pregnancy to postpartum transition of serum metabolites in women with gestational diabetes. Metabolism 2017;72:27-36.
- 68 Cetin I, de Santis MS, Taricco E, Radaelli T, Teng C, Ronzoni S, Spada E, Milani S, Pardi G: Maternal and fetal amino acid concentrations in normal pregnancies and in pregnancies with gestational diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:610-617.
- 69 Floegel A, Drogan D, Wang-Sattler R, Prehn C, Illig T, Adamski J, Joost HG, Boeing H, Pischon T: Reliability of serum metabolite concentrations over a 4-month period using a targeted metabolomic approach. PLoS One 2011;6:e21103.
- 70 AbsoluteIDQ® p150 Kit Biocrates. Pge 2. Assay Workflow. http://www.biocrates.com/images/p150_ KitFolder.pdf.
- 71 Dudzik D, Zorawski M, Skotnicki M, Zarzycki W, Kozlowska G, Bibik-Malinowska K, Vallejo M, García A, Barbas C, Ramos MP: Metabolic fingerprint of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. J Proteomics 2014 30;103:57-71.
- 72 Newgard CB: Interplay between lipids and branched-chain amino acids in development of insulin resistance. Cell Metab 2012;15:606-614.
- 73 Allalou A, Nalla A, Prentice KJ, Liu Y, Zhang M, Dai FF, Ning X, Osborne LR, Cox BJ, Gunderson EP, Wheeler MB: A Predictive Metabolic Signature for the Transition From Gestational Diabetes Mellitus to Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes 2016;65:2529-2539.
- 74 Molnos S, Wahl S, Haid M, Eekhoff EMW, Pool R, Floegel A, Deelen J, Much D, Prehn C, Breier M, Draisma HH, van Leeuwen N, Simonis-Bik AMC, Jonsson A, Willemsen G, Bernigau W, Wang-Sattler R, Suhre K, Peters A, Thorand B, Herder C, Rathmann W, Roden M, Gieger C, Kramer MHH, van Heemst D, Pedersen HK, Gudmundsdottir V, Schulze MB, Pischon T, de Geus EJC, Boeing H, Boomsma DI, Ziegler AG, Slagboom PE, Hummel S, Beekman M, Grallert H, Brunak S, McCarthy MI, Gupta R, Pearson ER, Adamski J, 't Hart LM: Metabolite ratios as potential biomarkers for type 2 diabetes: a DIRECT study. Diabetologia 2018;61:117-129.

KARGER

Curriculum Vitae

My curriculum vitae does not appear in the electronic version of my paper for reasons of data protection.

Complete publications list

* contributed equally

1. *Li J, *Lu YP, *Tsuprykov , Hasan AA, Tian M, Zhang XL, Zhang Q, Sun GY, Guo JL, Gaballa MMS, Peng XN, Hocher B. Folate treatment of pregnant dams abolishes metabolic effects on female offspring induced by a paternal pre-conceptional unhealthy diet. Diabetologia 2018; 61: 1862-1876.

2. *<u>Lu YP</u>, *Reichetzeder C, Prehn C, Websky KV, Slowinskib T, Chen YP, Yin LH, Kleuser B, Yang XS, Adamski J, Hocher B. Fetal serum metabolites are independently associated with gestational diabetes mellitus. Cell Physiol Biochem 2018; 45: 625-638.

3. *<u>Lu YP</u>, *Reichetzeder C, Prehn C, Yin LH, Yun C, Zeng SF, Chu C, Adamski J, Hocher B. Cord blood lysophosphatidylcholine 16:1 is positively associated with Birth Weight. Cell Physiol Biochem 2018; 45: 614-624.

4. *Li J, *Lu YP, Reichetzeder C, Kalk P, Kleuser B, Adamski J, Hocher B. Maternal PCaaC38:6 is associated with preterm birth - a risk factor for early and late adverse outcome of the offspring. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016; 41: 250-257.

5. *Chen YP, *<u>Lu YP</u>, Li J, Liu ZW, Chen WJ, Liang XJ, Chen X, Wen WR, Xiao XM, Reichetzeder C, Hocher B. Fetal and maternal angiotensin (1-7) are associated with preterm birth. J Hypertens 2014; 32: 1833-1841.

6. <u>Lu YP</u>, Tsuprykov O, Vignon-Zellweger N, Heiden S, Hocher B. Global overexpression of ET-1 decreases blood pressure - a systematic review and meta-analysis of ET-1 transgenic mice. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:770-780.

7. <u>Lu YP</u>, Hasan AA, Zeng S, Hocher B. Plasma ET-1 concentrations are elevated in pregnant women with hypertension - meta-analysis of clinical studies. Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:654-663.

8. *Xu M, *<u>Lu YP</u>, Hasan AA, Hocher B. Plasma ET-1 concentrations are elevated in patients with hypertension - meta-analysis of clinical studies. Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313.

9. *Lu YP, *Zeng DY, Chen YP, Liang XJ, Xu JP, Huang SM, Lai ZW, Wen WR, Von Websky K, Hocher B. Low birth weight is associated with lower respiratory tract infections in children with hand, foot, and mouth disease Clin Lab 2013; 59: 985-992.

10. <u>Lu YP</u>, Liang XJ, Xiao XM, Huang SM, Liu ZW, Li J, Hocher B, Chen YP. Telbivudine during the second and third trimester of pregnancy interrupts HBV intrauterine transmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis Clin Lab 2014; 60: 571-586.

11. He J, Liu ZW, <u>Lu YP</u>, Li TY, Liang XJ, Arck PC, Huang SM, Hocher B, Chen YP. A systematic review and meta-Analysis of influenza a virus infection during pregnancy associated with an increased risk for stillbirth and low birth weight. Kidney Blood Press Res 2017; 42: 232-243.

12. Li J, Chen YP, Dong YP, Yu CH, <u>Lu YP</u>, Xiao XM, Hocher B. The impact of umbilical blood flow regulation on fetal development differs in diabetic and non-diabetic pregnancy. Kidney Blood Press Res 2014; 39: 369-377.

13. Liang XJ, Huang SM, Li JP, Zhu XN, <u>Lu YP</u>, Hocher B, Chen YP. Hepatic impairment induced by scrub typhus is associated with new onset of renal dysfunction. Clin Lab 2014; 60: 63-68.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere thanks to my supervisors PD. Dr. med. Philipp Kalk and Prof. Dr. med. Berthold Hocher for providing me with the opportunity to start and finish my doctoral research, giving me outstanding guidance, mentorship.

I would also like to express my gratitude to my colleagues Dr. Christoph Reichetzeder, Dr. Karoline von Websky, Jingli Guo, and Ahmed Hasan for the pleasant working environment and extremely good teamwork, scientific advice and reliable support during the preparation of my thesis. I deeply appreciate the openness you have shown to me when I have needed your advice and assistance.

Last but not least, I want to thank my family for their selfless love and support at all time during my doctoral study. Also, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to all the people who supported me and contributed to the completion of the thesis.