# SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 25 July 2017 Accepted: 6 December 2017 Published online: 15 January 2018

## **OPEN** Controlled dynamic screening of excitonic complexes in 2D semiconductors

Andrey R. Klots<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Weintrub<sup>1,2</sup>, Dhiraj Prasai<sup>3</sup>, Daniel Kidd<sup>1</sup>, Kalman Varga<sup>1</sup>, Kirill A. Velizhanin<sup>4</sup> & Kirill I. Bolotin<sup>1,2</sup>

We report a combined theoretical/experimental study of dynamic screening of excitons in media with frequency-dependent dielectric functions. We develop an analytical model showing that interparticle interactions in an exciton are screened in the range of frequencies from zero to the characteristic binding energy depending on the symmetries and transition energies of that exciton. The problem of the dynamic screening is then reduced to simply solving the Schrodinger equation with an effectively frequency-independent potential. Quantitative predictions of the model are experimentally verified using a test system: neutral, charged and defect-bound excitons in two-dimensional monolayer WS<sub>2</sub>, screened by metallic, liquid, and semiconducting environments. The screening-induced shifts of the excitonic peaks in photoluminescence spectra are in good agreement with our model.

Excitonic complexes (EC) including excitons, trions, and biexcitons are many-body bound states of electrons and holes that can be viewed as solid state analogs of atoms and molecules. Many fundamental atomic physics phenomena such as Bose-Einstein condensation, the Lamb shift, and the fine structure are also observed in ECs<sup>1-3</sup>. One of the key differences between ECs and atomic systems is the size – nanometers for ECs and Angstroms for atoms. While electric fields inside atoms are not perturbed by the environment, the fields in much larger ECs propagate into the surrounding medium and are screened by it. The dielectric properties of the environment can often be adequately described by a *dielectric constant*,  $\varepsilon$ . In that case, the EC binding energy,  $E_{bind}$ , can be determined by solving the Schrodinger equation with screened interaction potential, V, calculated from the Poisson equation. Many realistic dielectrics, however, are characterized by a *dielectric function*,  $\varepsilon(\omega)$ , with pronounced frequency-dependence. In that much more complex but experimentally relevant case<sup>4-6</sup>, screening becomes dynamic, i.e. frequency-dependent. The following question arises naturally: how does one calculate the EC binding energies for frequency-dependent environments?

Effects of dynamic screening are especially interesting in two-dimensional semiconductors from the group of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs). These materials feature a gamut of tightly-bound ECs with binding energies as large as  $0.7 \text{eV}^{\frac{7}{8}}$ . The screening of the ECs, either by their microenvironment<sup>5,9</sup> or by free carriers<sup>10</sup>, is especially strong due to the atomic thickness of TMDCs. So far, screening in TMDCs has been modeled as static with the dielectric constant taken either at zero<sup>4,5</sup> or optical<sup>4,11,12</sup> frequencies. While this approach is justified for some systems, for others it may lead to large errors. Although there have been no attempts - to the best of our knowledge - to examine dynamic screening of ECs in TMDCs, theoretical approaches have been developed for conventional semiconductors<sup>13-16</sup>. Unfortunately, these approaches rely on precise knowledge of properties of specific materials and/or require numerical solution of the Bethe-Salpeter equation, and hence are impractical for many realistic systems.

In this work, we develop an analytical model providing intuitive understanding of the screening process. Our model suggests that in order to obtain the energy levels of the dynamically screened ECs it is sufficient to consider the behavior of  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  only within certain upper and lower frequency bounds, that in turn depend on internal properties of the EC. Further we show that even in the case of dynamic screening, EC binding energies can still be calculated using effectively static dielectric functions and screened interaction potentials evaluated at a certain fixed effective frequency that depends on EC symmetries. We experimentally test the model by studying ECs in

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN-37235, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Freie University, Berlin, 14195, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Interdisciplinary Graduate Program in Materials Science, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN-37234, USA. <sup>4</sup>Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM-87545, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to K.I.B. (email: bolotin@gmail.com)

monolayer TMDCs coupled to metallic, semiconducting, and liquid environments with frequency-dependent dielectric functions.

#### Setting up the problem

The EC is a system of electrons (*e*) and holes (*h*) bound by an electric field, e.g. neutral exciton (e + h), charged exciton (2e + h or e + 2h, also known as trion), defect-bound exciton (modeled as a trion with one particle being static), etc. We start with a simple semiclassical model of an exciton: two oppositely charged particles revolving around each other inside a homogeneous electrically polarizable medium. In the symmetric case of equally massive particles,  $m_e = m_{h}$ , an electron and a hole revolve around their common center of mass with a frequency  $\omega_{rot}$ . The combined electric field of the particles and hence the polarization of the medium oscillate at the same frequency  $\omega_{rot}$ . In the opposite asymmetric case,  $m_h \gg m_e$ , the hole is static while the electron revolves around it. Correspondingly, the total electric field created by the charges will have both static and time-dependent components (see Supplementary Information S1). Thus, frequencies relevant for screening of interparticle interactions are expected to depend on EC symmetries in addition to the characteristic frequency  $\omega_{rot}$  and related binding energy  $E_{bind} \sim \hbar \omega_{rot}$ .

We now approach the problem of dynamic screening analytically. Let EC eigenvectors,  $|S\rangle$ , and eigenenergies,  $E_{s}$ , be the solutions of the *D*-dimensional Schrodinger equation with a frequency-independent interparticle interaction potential,  $V_0$ . The screening becomes dynamic due to medium excitations,  $j_{med}$  such as plasmons or phonons. The corresponding correction to the EC ground state energy can be obtained using the second-order perturbation theory:

$$\Delta E_0 = -\sum_{S,j} \frac{|\langle S| \langle j_{med} | H_{int} | 0_{med} \rangle | 0 \rangle|^2}{E_{S0} + E_{j0}}.$$
 (1)

Here, the perturbation  $H_{int} = \int \rho(k) \rho_{med}(-k) V_0(k) d^D k/(2\pi)^D$  describes Coulombic interactions between the EC and the medium, with  $\rho_{(med)}(k)$  denoting exciton (medium) charge density in the momentum space. The summation is performed over all possible states of the EC and of the environment. The multi-index  $S = \{n, q\}$  consists of an index *n* describing internal excitations of the EC (Rydberg series) and the total momentum *q* of the EC as a whole. Finally,  $E_{S0}$  and  $E_{j0}$  are the transition energies between ground and excited states of the EC and the medium respectively. Evidently,  $\Delta E_0$  depends on EC transition energies  $E_{S0}$  starting with  $E_{00} = 0$ . While exact expressions for  $|j_{med}\rangle$  and  $H_{int}$  depend on the structure of a particular solid state system and can be quite complex, their explicit forms are not required for calculating (1).

It is easy to see that the matrix element of the environment charge density entering (1) is directly related to the frequency-dependent environmental polarizability  $\chi(q, \omega)$  written in the Lehmann representation<sup>17</sup>:  $|(j_{med}|\rho_{med}(q)|0_{med})|^2 \propto \text{Im}\chi(q, E_{j0})$ . This relation allows us to express  $|j_{med}\rangle$  and  $H_{int}$ , in terms of experimentally accessible dielectric functions of the medium. Then, the Poisson equation with medium dielectric constants evaluated at each frequency  $\omega$  yields the dynamically screened  $\omega$ -dependent interaction potential,  $V(\omega)$ . We note that  $V(\omega)$  may have a complex spatial or, equivalently, momentum(q)-dependence. For example, in a two-dimensional material sandwiched between two dielectrics interparticle interactions are described by the Keldysh potential<sup>18</sup>. We, however, do not write this q-dependence explicitly, since our main focus is the frequency-dependence of interactions. The interaction potential  $V(\omega)$  consists of an unperturbed frequency-independent potential  $V_0$  and a complex-valued dynamic term,  $V_s(\omega) = V'_s(\omega) + iV''_s(\omega)$ , henceforth referred to as the *screening potential*. Expressing the matrix elements of the perturbation  $H_{int}$  via  $V_s(\omega)$  we rewrite equation (1) without explicit involvement of  $j_{med}^{-13,14}$ :

$$\Delta E_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{A} \sum_{S} |\rho_{S0}|^2 \tilde{V}_s(E_{S0}/\hbar).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Here A is the crystal volume,  $\tilde{V}_s(E_{S0}) = 2\pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty V''_s(\omega)(\omega + E_{S0}/\hbar)^{-1} d\omega^{13}$ , and  $\rho_{S0} = \langle S | \rho(q) | 0 \rangle$  is a charge density operator in momentum space "sandwiched" between EC ground and excited state-vectors. By analogy with transition dipole moment,  $\rho_{S0}$  can be also called the transition charge density. Throughout the paper we use unitless elementary charge e = 1.

#### **Relevant screening frequencies**

While it is possible to numerically compute  $\Delta E_0$  from equation (2), such calculations require evaluation of wavefunctions for all of the EC excited states. This is complex even for neutral excitons and impractical for larger ECs. However, we can further simplify equation (2) by using the general properties of  $\tilde{V}_s$  and  $\rho_{s0}$  (see Supplementary Information S1):

(a) The frequency-integral  $\widetilde{V}_s$  can be expressed, using the Kramers-Kronig relations, as frequency-smoothened real part of the screening potential,  $V'_s$ :

$$\widetilde{V}_{s}(E_{s0}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\ln E_{s0}/\hbar - \ln \omega) V'_{s}(\omega) d \ln \omega, \qquad (3)$$

where  $f(x) = 2\pi^{-2}x/\sinh x$  is a normalized bell-shaped distribution function with a vanishing mean value and standard deviation of ~2. According to (3),  $\tilde{V}_s(E_{s0})$  can simply be approximated by a real part of the screening potential  $\tilde{V}_s(E_{s0}) \cong V'_s(E_{s0}/\hbar)$ , provided that  $V'_s(\omega)$  is a slow-varying function of frequency. This approximation is valid for many real media<sup>19–22</sup> and is used henceforth to simplify derivations. Furthermore, such frequency-smoothened potential turns out to be free of sharp irregularities caused by lattice excitations. For example, if a potential screened by a Lorentz medium with a divergence at a frequency  $\omega_0$  (typically in the mid-IR range) has a shape of  $V_s(\omega) \propto (\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)^{-1}$ , then the transformed potential  $\tilde{V}_s(E_{s0}) \propto (E_{s0}/\hbar + \omega_0)^{-1}$  is always smooth since  $E_{s0} \ge 0$ . This means that lattice excitations of the medium and other spectral irregularities or "kinks" do not have a strong effect on the dynamic screening of ECs.

- (b) The transition charge density created by an electron and a hole vanishes as can be shown analytically if |0⟩ and |S⟩ are both symmetric with respect to exchange between electron and hole coordinates r<sub>e</sub> ↔ r<sub>h</sub>. In the case of such symmetric transition, the contributions to ρ<sub>S0</sub> from an electron and a hole are equal in magnitude and opposite in sign and therefore cancel each other out. Thus, only the *asymmetric* transitions contribute to the sum in (2). This condition is analogous to selection rules in atomic physics. As a result, the minimal value, E<sub>min</sub>, of the transition energy E<sub>S0</sub> contributing to the sum in (2) is the *energy difference* between the ground state and the lowest asymmetric state. The summation in equations (1 and 2) also has a characteristic upper-bound cutoff energy of the order of the EC binding energy, E<sub>max</sub> ~ |E<sub>bind</sub>|<sup>23,24</sup>: due to decreasing overlap between |0⟩ and |S⟩, the terms corresponding to transition energies above that cutoff quickly decay with increasing E<sub>S0</sub>, allowing the sum in (1, 2) to converge. Thus, only some of the lower-energy terms in (2) effectively contribute to ΔE<sub>0</sub>. This means that in order to investigate dynamic screening of the ECs one needs to consider the behavior of the dielectric functions only within a certain frequency range between E<sub>min</sub> and E<sub>max</sub>.
- (c) The summation in equation (2) can be further simplified by replacing the frequency-dependent function  $V'_s(E_{s0}/\hbar)$  by a frequency-independent mean value  $V'_s(E_{eff}/\hbar)$  where the effective energy,  $E_{eff}$ , is a constant lying between the lower and upper energy bounds,  $E_{min} < E_{eff} < E_{max}$ . This assumption of effectively static screening allows one to treat the EC as a set of particles interacting via frequency-independent potential  $V_0 + V'_s(E_{eff}/\hbar) = \text{ReV}(E_{eff}/\hbar)$ . In this case, the perturbed ground state energy is

$$E_{0} + \Delta E_{0} = \langle 0|T + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} Q_{j} Q_{k} \Big( V_{0}(r_{jk}) + V'_{s}(r_{jk}, E_{eff}/\hbar) \Big) |0\rangle,$$
(4)

where  $Q_j$  is the charge of the *j*-th particle,  $r_{jk}$  is the interparticle distance and *T* is the total kinetic energy of all the particles in the EC.

It is instructive to consider examples clarifying the evaluation of the lower-bound energy  $E_{\min}$ . In the case of a neutral exciton with equal electron and hole masses<sup>25</sup>, the ground state n = 0 is symmetric. For a realistic system of *nearly equal e-* and *h*-masses in TMDC,  $\rho_{00}$  is proportional to the mass discrepancy between an electron and a hole  $(2 \sim 20\%)^{25}$ . Hence,  $|\rho_{00}|^2$  entering (2) does not exceed ~4% compared to the case of unequal e/h-masses. Then, the energy of the first *asymmetric* transition is  $E_{\min} \approx E_{1,0} = E_{n=1} - E_{n=0}$ , which typically is of the same order as  $|E_{bind}|^4$ . Other common ECs such as trions, defect-bound excitons or neutral excitons with uneven *e-* and *h*-masses behave differently. Their ground state wavefunctions are inherently asymmetric with respect to  $r_e \leftrightarrow r_h$  exchange<sup>7</sup>. The lowest asymmetric transition for such ECs is purely translational (with no change in *n*) with  $E_{\min} \rightarrow 0$ . Realistically, an EC may decay before the medium has enough time to get fully polarized. Hence, the effective  $E_{\min}$  is not exactly zero, but is limited by the inverse characteristic lifetime  $\sim \tau^{-1}$  of the particles constituting the EC.

Equations (3, 4) along with the estimates of  $E_{eff}$  constitute our main theoretical result. In (4), we effectively replace the dynamically screening medium by a medium with a static dielectric constant  $\varepsilon(E_{eff}/\hbar)$ . To enable experimental predictions from (4), we note that the 'diagonal' terms with k = j represent *self-interaction* of each carrier with its image charges. 'Off-diagonal' terms with  $k \neq j$  account for screening of *interparticle interactions* (i.e. EC binding). Within simple, but widely used effective-medium approximations for interaction potentials, the calculation of self-energies is very susceptible to small uncertainties in microscopic structure of the investigated system and can even yield divergent results<sup>24</sup>. However, the *effective binding energy*, calculated using only off-diagonal ( $k \neq j$ ) terms in (4), can still serve as a proxy for evaluating strength of interparticle interactions, screened by the medium with *effective* dielectric constant  $\varepsilon(E_{eff}/\hbar)$ .

In summary: the range of binding energies of ECs dynamically screened by environment with dielectric function  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  can be evaluated, to the second order of the perturbation theory, by simply solving the EC Schrödinger equation with the effective dielectric constants, obtained from the true frequency-dependent dielectric function evaluated at two limiting frequencies:  $\omega_{\min} = E_{\min}/\hbar$  and  $\omega_{\max} = E_{\max}/\hbar \sim |E_{bind}|/\hbar$ . Binding energies obtained from these two cases are the upper and the lower bounds for the actual EC binding energy. The lower bound depends on the EC symmetry:  $E_{\min} \approx E_{1,0} \sim |E_{bind}|$  for symmetric charge-neutral ECs with equal e/h masses and  $E_{\min} \sim \hbar/\tau$  (inverse lifetime of particles constituting the EC) for asymmetric ECs with unequal *e*/*h*-masses or non-zero net charge. In some specific cases the problem can be simplified further. For example, in the case of a long-lived exciton with  $m_h \gg m_e$ , a heavy hole can be effectively treated as static and its field – as constant. Such a field, and hence, exciton binding will be screened by the medium only at zero effective frequency  $\omega = 0$  yielding a static effective dielectric constant  $\varepsilon(\omega = 0)$ . Below we will demonstrate that for many realistic cases,  $\varepsilon$  does not change significantly between frequencies  $E_{\min}/\hbar$  and  $E_{\max}/\hbar$ . This allows us to make experimentally testable predictions regarding screening of EC binding despite the simplicity and generality of the developed approach. Although the developed approach deals with the frequency and energy ranges rather than with precise numerical values, in the following section we will demonstrate that it allows to make experimentally testable predictions regarding the dynamic screening of ECs. These predictions follow from simple equations (3) and (4) and can be carried out with minimal computational resources.



**Figure 1.** Effect of environments on WS<sub>2</sub> PL spectra. (a) top: schematic illustrations of X<sup>D</sup> (static impurity is in the middle), X<sup>-</sup> and X<sup>0</sup>. (b) Dielectric functions of the screening materials: graphene<sup>19</sup>, ionic liquid<sup>20,21</sup>, and monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub><sup>22</sup>. Since experimental dielectric functions are not available for the entire frequency range, we interpolate them using double Lorentzian fitting. Although it is possible that sharp features arising from the lattice excitations may exists between the experimentally verified data points, they – as shown above – do not contribute to  $\tilde{V}_{s}$ . (c) PL spectra of WS<sub>2</sub> in different environments – schematics are on the right. Dashed curves are fitted excitonic peaks. The symbol "//" separates curves obtained from different samples/at different gate voltages. Gate voltage at which the curve was recorded is shown above each curve. As *in-situ* gating with ionic liquid is impossible at low temperatures, the data for the WS<sub>2</sub>/liquid device (right curve) were obtained at 240 K and artificially blue-shifted by 40 meV to account for thermal shift of the peaks<sup>27</sup>.

**Setting up the experiment.** In order to test the developed theory, we measure the effect of different dispersive environments on binding energies of different types of ECs in a monolayer TMDC. We choose monolayer WS<sub>2</sub> as a test bed since this material has a variety of tightly bound ECs<sup>4,8,10,26–28</sup> that produce narrow and well-resolved peaks in photoluminescence (PL) spectra<sup>4,8,10,27,29</sup>. Note that in the tungsten-based materials, excitons experience a spin-splitting of ~11 meV<sup>30</sup>. Due to the optical selection rules, only one higher-energy state is optically bright and can be observed experimentally<sup>31</sup>. We focus on three prominent excitonic species (Fig. 1a):

- (a) <u>Neutral exciton</u> (X°). It has nearly identical electron and hole masses<sup>7,25</sup> and is symmetric according to our classification. Therefore, interparticle interactions are expected to be screened at an effective energy in the mid-IR range: between the first excited state transition energy of ~130 meV<sup>4</sup> and binding energy of ~320 meV<sup>4</sup>.
- (b) <u>Trion</u> (X<sup>-</sup>). This charged state is classified as asymmetric. In the case of trion, we expect screening in the THz range: between ~0.5 meV, which corresponds to ~10 ps lifetime<sup>32,33</sup>, and the binding energy ~30 meV<sup>8</sup>.
- (c) <u>Defect-bound exciton</u><sup>26,28</sup> (X<sup>D</sup>), treated here as a neutral exciton bound to a static charged impurity. Although currently the origin of impurities is not completely clear, the observed ~150 meV binding energy of X<sup>D</sup> agrees with our numerical model (e + h + static charge) described below. Note that the binding energies of X<sup>D</sup> and X<sup>-</sup> are defined with respect to the energy of a neutral exciton. The electric field of a static charged impurity, binding the exciton, is screened at zero frequency. Since it is energetically favorable to have an electron (hole) highly localized near a static impurity and hole (electron) - delocalized, the corresponding distribution of the density function makes the defect-bound exciton similar to a highly asymmetric neutral exciton described above. Thus, defect-bound excitons are expected to be screened at zero frequency.

To test the dynamic screening of these ECs, we choose the media with qualitatively different dielectric functions in the range of relevant frequencies (Fig. 1b):

- (i) <u>Metallic medium</u>. Two-dimensional semimetal graphene exemplifies a metallic-type dielectric response  $\varepsilon \propto \omega^{-2}$ . Specifically,  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  for graphene is large (>10) for  $\omega$  from 0 to THz and is close to 1 in the IR range.
- (ii) <u>Liquid medium</u>. We use the ionic liquid *Dirthyl methyl (2-methoxyethyl) ammonium bis (trifluoromethylsulfonyl) imide*, for which  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  is large (>10) at sub-GHz frequencies and is insignificant above 1 THz.
- (iii) Semiconducting medium. For semiconductors,  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  is roughly constant in a broad range of frequencies. In our experiments, monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> transferred onto our device serves as a semiconducting screening layer with  $\varepsilon(\omega)$ ~15 in IR-to-visible range and ~5 in the sub-THz range.

Figure 1b shows the dielectric functions for each medium along with the frequency ranges (shown as vertical bands) relevant for screening of X°, X<sup>-</sup>, and X<sup>D</sup>. The dielectric functions are relatively constant within each band. Summarizing, we expect the binding energy of neutral excitons to be strongly affected by semiconducting but not liquid or metallic environments. For trions, we expect strong screening by metallic environment only. Finally, defect-bound excitons should be affected by metallic and liquid environments. We cannot make a definitive qualitative prediction of the effect of the semiconducting medium on X<sup>-</sup> and X<sup>D</sup> because, in relevant sub-THz range, MoS<sub>2</sub> dielectric constant ( $\varepsilon$ ~5) is neither large (>10) nor small (~1).

**Measurements.** Measurements were performed on monolayer WS<sub>2</sub> flakes exfoliated on Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> substrates. Electrostatic gating was used to control the Fermi level and isolate the contribution of free-carrier screening<sup>8,10</sup>. In order to study X<sup>D</sup>, we induced defects using argon plasma<sup>26</sup>. We begin our measurements by recording PL spectra (532 nm, ~20 µW laser excitation focused into a ~2 µm spot) at T = 78 K for pristine WS<sub>2</sub> devices without any material on top (Fig. 1c, WS<sub>2</sub> device). The well-known peaks in the PL spectra at ~2.06 eV (black dashed line), ~2.03 eV (blue dashed line), ~1.92 eV (green dashed line) are identified as stemming from neutral excitons X<sup>0</sup>, trions X<sup>-</sup> and defect-bound excitons X<sup>D</sup> respectively<sup>7,8,10,26,27</sup>. The peak at ~2.02 eV observed in some devices (e.g. Fig. 1c, pink dashed line) is likely associated with an additional trion state<sup>2,10,34</sup> and is not analyzed further.

We modify the dielectric environment of the WS<sub>2</sub> flake by either mechanically transferring<sup>35</sup> monolayer graphene or MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>/metal and WS<sub>2</sub>/semiconductor device respectively), or dropcasting a layer of ionic liquid (WS<sub>2</sub>/liquid device). We then re-acquire the PL spectra. We observe large and reproducible shifts of all three excitonic peaks (Fig. 1c). Note that environmental factors other than screening (i.e. induced doping, strain, and chemical modifications) may also cause peak shifts<sup>8,10,36,37</sup>. However, as shown below and in Supplementary Information S3, the observed shifts are too strong to be explained by changes in the doping level. The effects of strain are shown to be weak by comparing PL spectra of transferred heterostructures and naturally grown WS<sub>2</sub> bilayers. We also see no evidence of chemical modifications in WS<sub>2</sub>/liquid devices as observed shifts are reversed by *removing* the ionic liquid. Thus, we interpret observed shifts as originating from the dielectric screening of excitons. To compare these shifts with theory, we extract exciton binding energies for different types of environment. The binding energies of trions and defect-bound excitons are determined as  $|E_{bind}(X^{-,D})| = Pos(X^{-,D}) - Pos(X^0)$ , where Pos(X) is the energy position of a particular excitonic peak in the PL spectrum. In pristine devices, we observe  $|E_{bind}(X^{-})| \sim 25 \text{ meV}$  and  $|E_{bind}(X^{-})| \sim 140 \text{ meV}$ , close to literature values<sup>8,10,26</sup>.

Unfortunately,  $|E_{bind}(X^0)|$  cannot be measured directly using absorption or PL spectroscopies as these techniques are unable to directly probe the single-particle electronic bandgap<sup>4,8,38</sup>. We rely on the on the experiments by Chernikov *et al.*<sup>4,10</sup> measuring  $|E_{bind}(X^0)| \sim 320$  meV for uncovered Si/SiO<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> devices similar to ours, and showing 1 meV red-shift in *Pos*( $X^0$ ) per ~6 meV decrease in the exciton binding energy (studied by controlling the interparticle interactions by either varying the number of layers or the carrier density in WS<sub>2</sub>). These observations allow us to convert the screening-induced shifts of the  $X^0$  PL peak position into its effective binding energy.

Figure 2 summarizing the effects of metallic, semiconducting, and liquid environments on the binding energies of X<sup>0</sup>, X<sup>-</sup>, and X<sup>D</sup> (square symbols) constitutes our main experimental result. The following trends are evident: The extracted binding energy of X<sup>0</sup> decreases by  $120 \pm 40 \text{ meV}$  (~40%) in the WS<sub>2</sub>/semiconductor sample. This conforms well with studies performed on bi- and multi-layer TMDCs<sup>4,39,40</sup>. For X<sup>-</sup>, the binding energy is downshifted by  $10 \pm 3 \text{ meV}$  (~30%) due to the presence of graphene. The binding energy of X<sup>D</sup> is reduced by  $40 \pm 20 \text{ meV}$  (~30%) in presence of both metallic and liquid environments. In all other measured cases EC peak shifts are insignificant within our error bars. These trends agree well with our qualitative predictions. In the case of WS<sub>2</sub>/metal and WS<sub>2</sub>/semiconductor samples we could not bring WS<sub>2</sub> close to depletion, likely due to strong effects of charge transfer in these heterostructures<sup>41</sup>.

Nevertheless, observed shifts exceed possible doping-induced effects: the trion binding energy in presence of graphene becomes as low as 19 meV, and the neutral exciton red-shifts to 2.045 eV in semiconductor-capped devices. These values are significantly below the energies achieved by doping alone<sup>8,10</sup> (see Supplementary Information S3).

**Quantitative comparison with theory.** To further verify our model, we perform quantitative estimates of screening-induced changes in ECs energies (see Supplementary Information S2). We computationally solve the Schrodinger equation for 2- or 3-body systems using a variational approach<sup>42-44</sup> with *e*- and *h*-masses of  $0.45 m_0^{25,45}$  and infinite mass for the defect charge. Interparticle interactions are modelled by the Keldysh potential<sup>18</sup> calculated using effective WS<sub>2</sub> and medium dielectric functions taken at effective frequency  $\omega$ . Upper- and lower-bound estimates for EC effective binding energies ( $E_{bind}(\omega_{\min})$ ) and  $E_{bind}(\omega_{\max})$ ) are obtained by setting  $\omega$  to  $\omega_{\min} = E_{\min}/\hbar$  or  $\omega_{\max} = |E_{bind}|/\hbar$  as prescribed by our theoretical model. We assume that the dielectric functions of all the materials depend on frequencies but not on wavenumbers. This is because the characteristic spatial dimension of excitons in TMDCs is significantly greater compared to the lattice constant but significantly smaller than the mean distance between charge carriers (see Supplementary Information S3.2). The values  $E_{bind}(\omega_{\min}, m_{max})$ , acting as proxies for expected shifts of EC energy levels, can now be compared to experimentally observed values.

The ranges of calculated effective EC binding energies – from  $E_{bind}(\omega_{\min})$  to  $E_{bind}(\omega_{\max})$  – are shown as shaded ovals in Fig. 2. Our computational results are in agreement with values obtained via different methods by other groups<sup>46,47</sup>. Experimentally observed values of X<sup>0</sup> and X<sup>-</sup> binding energies are within the theoretically expected range for all media. Shifts of X<sup>D</sup>, calculated assuming only zero-frequency screening, exceed experimental ones, probably due finite spatial separation between the measured EC and the medium, which is assumed to be negligible in our model. In the case of X<sup>-</sup> and X<sup>D</sup> in the presence of a semiconductor environment, predicted shifts are too subtle to be experimentally tested with certainty and were not measured as that would require higher accuracy of computational models and measurement techniques. Overall, we believe that this quantitative agreement is remarkable for a minimal model with no free parameters.

**Conclusions.** The theory of excitonic complexes in dynamically-screening media was developed and confirmed experimentally. We obtained the binding energies of dynamically screened ECs by solving the Schrodinger equation with *effectively static* interaction potentials calculated at the *fixed effective* frequency. This frequency depends on the symmetries of the wavefunctions and the binding energies of ECs. The model was tested and confirmed experimentally by using neutral, charged, and defect-bound excitons in monolayer WS<sub>2</sub> screened by metallic, semiconducting, and liquid environments. The developed approach is general and can be applied to diverse systems of quasiparticles, interacting via electric fields: including plasmons, excitonic molecules, and polaritons, screened by various media.

Our simple dynamic screening model may help to re-interpret and clarify a wide range of previous experiments where static screening was assumed. For example, the assumption of zero-frequency screening of two-dimensional ECs by liquids ( $\varepsilon(\omega = 0) \sim 50$ ) has led to the appearance of outlying data points, overestimation of exciton binding energies<sup>5,48</sup> and underestimation of the effective electron mass by two orders of magnitude<sup>49</sup>. Moderate shifts in exciton energies observed in these experiments are more consistent with screening at optical frequencies, as predicted by our model, where most liquids have  $\varepsilon \sim 2$ . Another important example is the inconsistency in the reported neutral exciton binding energy in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>, which ranges from 220 meV to 660 meV depending on the type of measurements and applied models<sup>38,50,51</sup>. The lowest binding energy, 220 meV, was obtained by Zhang et *al.*<sup>51</sup> by subtracting the optically measured energy of the excitonic PL peak from the electronic bandgap measured using scanning tunneling spectroscopy. Their measurements were performed using MoS<sub>2</sub> samples on a semimetallic graphite substrate. According to our model, excitonic and free-particle states are screened by graphite at different effective frequencies, which yields ~400 meV difference in corresponding screening-induced energy shifts. This accounts for the discrepancy between the values obtained by Zhang *et al.* and by others<sup>38,50</sup>.

Effects of dynamic screening may also have practical applications. For example, it may be possible to probe frequency-dependent dielectric functions of various microscopic environments by measuring relative shifts of different types of ECs (including EC excited states) that are screened at different effective frequencies. This can be interesting for label-free biodetection or chemical sensing.

**Data Availability.** The data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files). All additional datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### References

- 1. Eisenstein, J. & MacDonald, A. Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons in bilayer electron systems. Nature 432, 691-694 (2004).
- 2. Jones, A. M. et al. Optical generation of excitonic valley coherence in monolayer WSe2. Nature nanotechnology 8, 634–638 (2013).
- 3. Mysyrowicz, A. *et al.* "Dressed excitons" in a multiple-quantum-well structure: evidence for an optical Stark effect with femtosecond response time. *Physical review letters* **56**, 2748 (1986).
- Chernikov, A. et al. Exciton Binding Energy and Nonhydrogenic Rydberg Series in Monolayer WS<sub>2</sub>. Physical Review Letters 113, 076802 (2014).
- 5. Lin, Y. et al. Dielectric Screening of Excitons and Trions in Single-Layer MoS<sub>2</sub>. Nano Letters 14, 5569–5576 (2014).
- 6. Peyghambarian, N. *et al.* Room temperature excitonic optical nonlinearities of molecular beam epitaxially grown ZnSe thin films. *Applied physics letters* **52**, 182–184 (1988).
- Berkelbach, T. C., Hybertsen, M. S. & Reichman, D. R. Theory of neutral and charged excitons in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides. *Physical Review B* 88, 045318 (2013).
- 8. Zhu, B., Chen, X. & Cui, X. Exciton Binding Energy of Monolayer WS<sub>2</sub>. Scientific Reports 5, 9218 (2015).
- 9. Raja, A. *et al*. Coulomb engineering of the bandgap in 2D semiconductors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.01204* (2017).
- 10. Chernikov, A. et al. Electrical Tuning of Exciton Binding Energies in Monolayer WS2. Physical review letters 115, 126802 (2015).
- 11. Walsh, A. G. et al. Screening of excitons in single, suspended carbon nanotubes. Nano letters 7, 1485–1488 (2007).
- 12. Andersen, K., Latini, S. & Thygesen, K. S. Dielectric genome of van der Waals heterostructures. *Nano letters* **15**, 4616–4621 (2015). 13. Zimmermann, R., Kilimann, K., Kraeft, W., Kremp, D. & Röpke, G. Dynamical screening and self energy of excitons in the
- electron-hole plasma. physica status solidi (b) 90, 175–187 (1978).
- 14. Haug, H. & Schmitt-Rink, S. Electron theory of the optical properties of laser-excited semiconductors. *Progress in Quantum Electronics* 9, 3–100 (1984).
- 15. Gay, J. Screening of excitons in semiconductors. Physical Review B 4, 2567 (1971).
- Ninno, D., Liguori, F., Cataudella, V. & Iadonisi, G. Dynamical screening of excitons in a semiconductor electron-hole plasma. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 6, 9335 (1994).
- 17. Gross, E. K. & Maitra, N. T. In Fundamentals of Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory Ch. 4, 72-75 (Springer, 2012).
- 18. Keldysh, L. V. Coulomb interaction in thin semiconductor and semimetal films. Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz 29, 658-660 (1979).
- 19. Ikeda, S., Yamashita, M. & Otani, C. In 2014 39thInternational Conference on Infrared, Millimeter, and Terahertz waves (IRMMW-THz). 1-2 (IEEE) (2014).
- Wakai, C., Oleinikova, A., Ott, M. & Weingärtner, H. How polar are ionic liquids? Determination of the static dielectric constant of an imidazolium-based ionic liquid by microwave dielectric spectroscopy. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 109, 17028–17030 (2005).
- 21. Seki, S. et al. Comprehensive refractive index property for room-temperature ionic liquids. Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data 57, 2211–2216 (2012).
- 22. Yan, X. et al. Dielectric property of MoS<sub>2</sub> crystal in terahertz and visible regions. Applied optics 54, 6732–6736 (2015).
- 23. Bethe, H., Brown, L. & Stehn, J. Numerical value of the lamb shift. *Physical Review* 77, 370 (1950).
- 24. Peskin, M. E., Schroeder, V. S. Introduction to Quantum Field Theory. 2 edn, 228-250 (Perseus Book Publishing, 1995).
- 25. Ramasubramaniam, A. Large excitonic effects in monolayers of molybdenum and tungsten dichalcogenides. *Physical Review B* 86, 115409 (2012).
- Chow, P. K. *et al.* Defect-induced photoluminescence in monolayer semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides. ACS nano 9, 1520–1527 (2015).

- Plechinger, G. et al. Identification of excitons, trions and biexcitons in single layer WS<sub>2</sub>. physica status solidi (RRL)-Rapid Research Letters 9, 457–461 (2015).
- 28. Tongay, S. *et al*. Defects activated photoluminescence in two-dimensional semiconductors: interplay between bound, charged, and free excitons. *Scientific reports* **3**, 2657 (2013).
- 29. Gutiérrez, H. R. *et al.* Extraordinary room-temperature photoluminescence in triangular WS2 monolayers. *Nano letters* **13**, 3447–3454 (2012).
- 30. Echeverry, J., Urbaszek, B., Amand, T., Marie, X. & Gerber, I. Splitting between bright and dark excitons in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers. *Physical Review B* 93, 121107 (2016).
- 31. Zhang, X.-X., You, Y., Zhao, S. Y. F. & Heinz, T. F. Experimental evidence for dark excitons in monolayer WSe 2. *Physical review letters* 115, 257403 (2015).
- 32. Lui, C. et al. Trion-induced negative photoconductivity in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. Physical review letters 113, 166801 (2014).
- 33. Schmidt, R. et al. Ultrafast Coulomb-induced intervalley coupling in atomically thin WS2. Nano letters 16, 2945–2950 (2016).
- Yu, H., Liu, G., Gong, P., Xu, X. & Yao, W. Bright excitons in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides: from Dirac cones to Dirac saddle points. arXiv preprint arXiv:1401.0667 (2014).
- Zomer, P., Dash, S., Tombros, N. & Van Wees, B. A transfer technique for high mobility graphene devices on commercially available hexagonal boron nitride. *Applied Physics Letters* 99, 232104 (2011).
- 36. Conley, H. J. et al. Bandgap engineering of strained monolayer and bilayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. Nano letters 13, 3626–3630 (2013).
- 37. Nan, H. *et al.* Strong photoluminescence enhancement of MoS<sub>2</sub> through defect engineering and oxygen bonding. *ACS nano* **8**, 5738–5745 (2014).
- Klots, A. R. et al. Probing excitonic states in suspended two-dimensional semiconductors by photocurrent spectroscopy. Scientific Reports 4, 6608 (2014).
- Mak, K. F., Lee, C., Hone, J., Shan, J. & Heinz, T. F. Atomically Thin MoS<sub>2</sub>: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor. *Physical Review Letters* 105, 136805 (2010).
- Molina-Sánchez, A., Sangalli, D., Hummer, K., Marini, A. & Wirtz, L. Effect of spin-orbit interaction on the optical spectra of singlelayer, double-layer, and bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Physical Review B* 88, 045412 (2013).
- 41. Sachs, B. et al. Doping mechanisms in graphene-MoS2 hybrids. Applied Physics Letters 103, 251607 (2013).
- 42. Mitroy, J. et al. Theory and application of explicitly correlated Gaussians. Reviews of Modern Physics 85, 693 (2013).
- Varga, K. Solution of few-body problems with the stochastic variational method II: Two-dimensional systems. Computer Physics Communications 179, 591–596 (2008).
- 44. Kidd, D. W., Zhang, D. K. & Varga, K. Binding energies and structures of two-dimensional excitonic complexes in transition metal dichalcogenides. *Physical Review B* **93**, 125423 (2016).
- 45. Dendzik, M. et al. Growth and electronic structure of epitaxial single-layer WS2 on Au(111). Physical Review B 92, 245442 (2015).
- Szyniszewski, M., Mostaani, E., Drummond, N. D. & Fal'Ko, V. Binding energies of trions and biexcitons in two-dimensional semiconductors from diffusion quantum Monte Carlo calculations. *Physical Review B* 95, 081301 (2017).
- Ganchev, B., Drummond, N., Aleiner, I. & Fal'ko, V. Three-particle complexes in two-dimensional semiconductors. *Physical review letters* 114, 107401 (2015).
- Velizhanin, K. A. & Saxena, A. Excitonic effects in two-dimensional semiconductors: Path integral Monte Carlo approach. *Physical Review B* 92, 195305 (2015).
- 49. Yadav, P., Srivastava, P. K. & Ghosh, S. Dielectric screening of excitons in monolayer graphene. Nanoscale 7, 18015–18019 (2015).
- Qiu, D. Y., Felipe, H. & Louie, S. G. Optical spectrum of MoS<sub>2</sub>: many-body effects and diversity of exciton states. *Physical review letters* 111, 216805 (2013).
- 51. Zhang, C., Johnson, A., Hsu, C.-L., Li, L.-J. & Shih, C.-K. Direct imaging of band profile in single layer MoS<sub>2</sub> on graphite: quasiparticle energy gap, metallic edge states, and edge band bending. *Nano letters* 14, 2443–2447 (2014).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Moshe Harats, Ryan Nicholl, Jason Bonacum and Slava Rotkin for useful discussions and comments. K.I.B. acknowledges support from ONR N000141310299, NSF DMR 1508433 and ERC Starting grant 639739. K.A.V. was supported by the DOE BES EFRC program. Samples for this work were prepared at the Vanderbilt Institute of Nanoscale Science and Engineering.

#### **Author Contributions**

A.K. and K.A.V. developed the theoretical approach. B.W., D.P. and A.K. fabricated the samples. A.K. and B.W. performed measurements. K.B. supervised the project. D.K., K.V. performed numerical calculations. A.K., K.A.V. and K.B. co-wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

### **Additional Information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18803-y.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018