Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572 Published online: May 30, 2017

Accepted: May 08, 2017

© 2017 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel www.karger.com/kbr Karger Open access

304

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND) (http://www.karger.com/Services/OpenAccessLicense). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes as well as any distribution of modified material requires written permission.

Original Paper

Plasma ET-1 Concentrations are Elevated in Patients with Hypertension – Meta-Analysis of Clinical Studies

Mei Xu^a Yong-Ping Lu^{b,c} Ahmed Abdallah Hasan^{b,d} Berthold Hocher^{b,e,f}

^aDepartment of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Medical School of the Jinan University, The First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China; ^bInstitute of Nutritional Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam-Rehbrücke; ^cDepartment of Nephrology, Charité -Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Campus Mitte, Berlin, Germany; ^dDepartment of Biochemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Egypt; ^eDepartment of Embryology, Medical School of the Jinan University, Guangzhou, China; ^fIFLb, Institut für Labormedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Key Words Hypertension • ET-1 • Meta-analysis

Abstract

Background/Aims: A recent study revealed that global overexpression of ET-1 causes a slight reduction in systemic blood pressure. Moreover, heterozygous ET-1 knockout mice are hypertensive. The role of ET-1 in human hypertension was so far not addressed by a strict meta-analysis of published human clinical studies. Methods: We included studies published between January 1, 1990 and February 28, 2017. We included case control studies analyzing untreated essential hypertension or hypertensive patients where antihypertensive medication was discontinued for at least two weeks. Based on the principle of Cochrane systematic reviews, case control studies (CCSs) in PubMed (Medline) and Google Scholar designed to identify the role of endothelin-1 (ET-1) in the pathophysiological of hypertension were screened. Review Manager Version 5.0 (Rev-Man 5.0) was applied for statistical analysis. Mean difference and 95% confidence interval (CI) were shown in inverse variance (IV) fixed-effects model or IV random-effects models. Results: Eleven studies fulfilling our in- and exclusion criteria were eligible for this meta-analysis. These studies included 450 hypertensive patients and 328 controls. Our meta-analysis revealed that ET-1 plasma concentrations were higher in hypertensive patients as compared to the control patients [mean difference between groups 1.57 pg/mL, 95%CI [0.47~2.68, P = 0.005]. These finding were driven by patients having systolic blood pressure higher than 160 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure higher than 100 mmHg. **Conclusions:** This meta-analysis showed that hypertensive patients do have elevated plasma

M. Xu and Y. Lu contributed equally to this article and therefore share first authorship.

Prof. Dr. Berthold Hocher

Institute of Nutritional Science, University of Potsdam, Potsdam-Rehbrücke Arthur-Scheunert-Allee 114-116, 14558 Nuthetal, Potsdam (Germany), Homepage: http://www.uni-potsdam.de/eem; E-Mail hocher@uni-potsdam.de



Downloaded by: Freie Universität Berlin 130.133.152.82 - 8/31/2017 2:41:14 PM

Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572© 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel
www.karger.com/kbr

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

ET-1 concentrations. This finding is driven by those patients with high systolic/diastolic blood pressure. Given that the ET-1 gene did not appear in any of the whole genome association studies searching for hypertension associated gene loci, it is very likely that the elevated plasma ET-1 concentrations in hypertensive patients are secondary to hypertension and may reflect endothelial cell damage.

© 2017 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Thirty-two years ago Hickey et al. [1] found an endothelium-derived constricting factor by analyzing the supernatant of bovine aortic endothelial cells. This factor was thought to be a peptide hormone, since trypsin abolished the vasoconstrictive property of this new factor [1]. The molecular structure of this endothelium-derived constricting factor was identified later by Yanagisawa et al. [2] They named it endothelin, because it was isolated from the supernatant of porcine aortic endothelial cells. Endothelin was a very strong vasoconstrictor. It produces powerful, very long-lasting constrictions of a range of mammalian blood vessels in vitro including human arteries and veins. It also causes long-lasting elevation of blood pressure when injected into rodents [2]. Because of these observation, scientists at this time were of the opinion that this peptide nowadays called endothelin-1 (ET-1), since there are two further endothelin isoforms that were discovered shortly after the initial discovery of ET-1 - plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of arterial hypertension [3]. It was thus an unexpected finding that ET-1 transgenic mice do not develop hypertension. This was first shown by our group in Berlin, Germany [4]. ET-2 overexpressing rats likewise do not develop hypertension [5]. Both rat and mouse ET overexpression models develop renal intestinal fibrosis and glomerulosclerosis in a blood pressure independent manner [4-10]. When going to the original publications, it was always noted that numerically the blood pressure was even somewhat lower in ET-1 transgenic mice as compared to their WT control counterparts. The difference was numerically small and always detectable, When applying appreciate statistical testing of this hypothesis, however, statistical differences between blood pressure in ET-1 transgenic mice and their controls could not be verified in these individual studies. To address this topic in more detail, we recently performed a meta-analsis about blood pressure in ET-1 transgenic mice studies reported in the past 20 years. This meta-analysis provides robust evidence that global ET-1 overexpression in mice lowers blood pressure in an age-dependent manner. Older ET-1+/+ mice had even a somewhat more pronounced reduction of blood pressure as compared to younger ET-1 transgenic mice when comparing these mice to their age-matched controls [11]. Given this findings in transgenic endothelin rodent models, the aim of the current study therefore was to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis of all published studies on ET-1 plasma concentrations in human patients with hypertension published so far. We likewise analyzed data on age and body mass index with regard to blood ET-1 concentrations in humans.

Materials and Methods

Search strategy

Two authors screened for clinical studies in PubMed (Medline) and Google Scholar for studies relevant to the topic of ET-1 and hypertension in humans. We included studies published between January 1, 1990 and February 28, 2017. Searching keywords were "endothelin-1", and "hypertension".

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The first phases, we included all case control studies (CCSs) published in English. Inclusion criteria were established as following: untreated essential hypertension or antihypertensive medication was discontinued for at least two weeks. We checked all published papers fulfilling the above mentioned



Downloaded by: Freie Universität Berlin 130.133.152.82 - 8/31/2017 2:41:14 PM

Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

 DOI: 10.1159/000477572
 © 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

 Published online: May 30, 2017
 www.karger.com/kbr

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

criteria. The ET-1 concentration varied from 0.1 to 1000 pg/mL. Most of the studies reported mean ET-1 concentration between 0.5 and 100 pg/mL. We thus excluded studies reporting either extreme low or extreme high mean ET-1 concentrations in controls or hypertensive patients, because we assume quality issues of the used ET-1 assay systems in these studies reporting extreme values. We also excluded duplicate publications, abstracts, and review articles. Studies without comparison of hypertensive with normotensive subjects were likewise excluded. We used the following definition for hypertension: systolic blood pressure >140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure 90 mmHg.

Data extraction and quality assessment

Two authors selected relevant articles, abstracted data, and evaluated the quality of enrolled studies independently. Questionable studies were resolved by discussion or consensus based on the views of a third reviewer. We contacted the authors when we encountered information that was unclear or incomplete.

The following data were extracted from the included articles: ET-1 blood concentrations, age, BMI, SBP, DBP. Data were given as mean \pm SD. When encountered data given as mean \pm SEM, we calculated SD form SEM (the formula is SD = SEM × n^{1/2}, n is the number of subjects in each group); When data ere given as mean and range (a,b), SD ≈ [(b-a)² + (a-2m+b)²/4]^{1/2}/12^{1/2} (n≤15) or SD ≈ (b-a)/4 (15<n≤70) [12]. We unified the ET-1 concentration unit as pg/mL, 1 pg/mL ET-1 = 2.4919 × pmol/L = 2.4919 × fmol/mL, because reported ET-1 concentrations in the individual studies were not reported using the same units.

Statistical processing

Statistical analyses were conducted by Review Manager Version 5.0 (Rev-Man 5.0) software, devised by Co-chrane Collaboration as described earlier [11]. Heterogeneity was assessed by *P* value and I². If there was no heterogeneity ($P \ge 0.1$, $I^2 \le 50\%$), we used the IV fixed-effects model. If there was high heterogeneity (P < 0.1, $I^2 > 50\%$), we chose IV random-effects model. Binary outcomes were expressed as the risk ratio (RR) with 95%CI. Continuous variables were expressed as mean difference (MD) with 95% CI. In test for overall effect, the $P \le 0.05$ was considered statistically difference.

We performed also a subgroup analysis of the hypertensive patients. In the 11 studies in the topic of ET-1 and hypertension, we conducted subgroups analysis according mean SBP in hypertensive group: subgroup 1, mean SBP < 160mmHg; subgroup 2 mean SBP > 160mmHg; subgroup 3 mean SBP unclear. A comparable classification was used for diastolic blood subgroups: subgroup 1, mean DBP < 100mmHg; subgroup 2 mean DBP unclear.

Results

Basic information

Based on the principle of Cochrane systematic reviews, and the inclusion and exclusion criteria of our meta-analysis, eleven [13-23] CCSs published studies included were in the present meta-analysis (450 patients in the hypertensive group, 328 controls in the normotensive group). A more detailed description of the clinical characteristics of the included studies is given in Table 1. Overall characteristics of included studies are given in Table 2. The study design/flow chart is given in Figure 1.

ET-1 and blood pressure

Eleven studies [13-23] reporting data on ET-1 and blood pressure could be included into the meta-analysis reporting data in patients without antihypertensive drug treatment.

The overall effect of the 11 studies [13-23] showed that hypertensive patients had higher ET-1 plasma concentrations than normotensive controls (mean difference 1.57 pg/mL, 95%CI [0.47~2.68], P = 0.005, with high heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 99\%$), Figure 2). A subgroups analysis according to mean SBP in the hypertensive group revealed that hypertensive patients with SBP > 160mmHg hypertensive had higher ET-1 level than normotensive group (mean difference 2.03, 95%CI [0.10~3.97], P = 0.04, with high heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 95\%$), Figure 2), whereas patient with mild hypertension (SBP between 140 and 160 mmHg) had similar ET-1 plasma concentrations as their normotensive controls (mean difference 0.70 pg/mL, 95%CI [-0.28~1.67], P = 0.16, with high heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 92\%$), Figure 2).



Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572 © 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel Published online: May 30, 2017 www.karger.com/kbr

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

Study ID	Study	Type of patients	:
	type	Hypertensive	Normonaltensive
Saito, 1990	CCS	Untreated essential hypertension.	
[13]		Age: 51.7±2.8	Age: 50±0.6
Kohno, 1990	CSC	Untreated or antihypertensive discontinued	
[14]		for at least 2 weeks.	
		Stage I hypertension age:49±7	Age:50±7
		Stage II hypertension age:52±7	
Hoffman, 1994	CCS	All patients stopped medications 2 weeks.	
[15]		52±16.5	Age:45±13.1
Januszewicz,	CSC	Antihypertensive medication was	
1994 [16]		discontinued for at least 2 weeks.	
		Age:38.2±9.7 BMI:28±3.6	Age:35.6±6.4 BMI:24.5±7.8
		SBP:146±24.3 DBP:100±12.2	SBP:126±9.2 DBP:80±9.2
Lemne, 1994	CCS	Untreated essential hypertension.	
[17]		Age:50±6 BMI:25.9±2.9	Age:50±6 BMI:24.6±2.8
		SBP:141±9 DBP:89±2	SBP:125±11 DBP:76±4
Parrinello, 1996	CCS	Untreated essential hypertension.	
[18]		Age:37.5±4 BMI:35±7	Age:34±6 BMI:34±5
Cottone, 1998	CSC	Untreated essential hypertension.	
[19]		Age:42±4	Age:41±3
		SBP:168±4.4 DBP:105±4.8	SBP:122±8.5 DBP:77±7.0
Hwang, 1998	CCS	Most essential hypertension were untreated.	
[20]		Or antihypertensive medication was	
		discontinued for 2 weeks.	47.2.12.1
		Age: 48 ± 10.3	Age:4/.2±12.1
Daviania 2001	000	SBP:145±3 DBP:93±2	SBP:131±3 DBP:84±2
Parissis, 2001	LLS	Untreated essential hypertension. $A_{\text{max}} = C + 7$	
		Age: 50 ± 7 BMI: 20.2 ± 1.1	Age: 55 ± 6 BMI: 25.8 ± 0.9
Prupo 2011	CSC	JDP:1/0±/ DDP:105±5	3DP:120±4 DDP:05±4
[22]	L3L	Age 45 8+6 8 BMI 23 8+4 1	Age: 43 5+5 6 BMI: 22 2+3 5
		SRP:150 7+11 7 DRP:105+5	SRP-130 1+7 1 DRP-85+4
Gu 2015	CCS	Untreated essential hypertension	3DI .130.117.1 DDI .0314
[23]	005	Age $64+11.4$ BMI $23.5+2.6$	Аде:60 5+11 1 ВМІ·22 2+3 2
[]		SBP:164.2±16.7 DBP:85.3±15.5	SBP:112.5±12.2 DBP:70.7±8.3

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies

A subgroups analysis according DBP showed that hypertensive patients with DBP > 100mmHg had higher ET-1 plasma concentrations as compared to normotensive subjects: (mean difference 4.79 pg/mL, 95%CI [2.22~7.35], P = 0.0003, with medium heterogeneity (P= 0.08, I² = 61%), Figure 3); hypertensive patients with DBP **Table 2.** Overall characteristics of the patients in the includedstudies

	Hypertensive	Normotensive
	group	group
Age, year	51.8	48.8
BMI, kg/m ²	26.0	25.1
SBP, mmHg	148.2	119.4
DBP, mmHg	91.0	75.2
ET-1 concentration, pg/mL	5.4	3.8
Data are shown as mean		

between 90 and 100mmHg had similar ET-1 plasma concentrations as compared to normotensive controls (mean difference 0.45 pg/mL, 95%CI [-0.30~1.21], P = 0.24, with high heterogeneity (P < 0.00001, $I^2 = 95\%$), Figure 3).

BMI

KARGER

Six [16-18, 21-23] studies reported also data on BMI. The overall effect of the six studies showed that hypertensive patients had higher BMI than normotensive controls (mean difference 0.71 kg/m², 95%CI [0.36~1.06], P < 0.0001, with minimum heterogeneity (P = 0.16, I² = 37%), Figure 4 and Figure 5). A subgroup analysis according SBP in hypertensive group showed that patients with SBP between 140 and 160mmHg (mean difference 1.45 kg/m²,

307

Downloaded by: Freie Universität Berlin 130.133.152.82 - 8/31/2017 2:41:14 PM

95%CI [0.61~2.30], P = 0.005, with miniheterogeneity mum $(P = 0.49, I^2 = 0\%),$ Figure 4) as well as patients with SBP > 160mmHg (mean difference between groups: 0.55 kg/m^2 , 95%CI [0.16~0.94], P = 0.005, with medium heterogeneity (P $= 0.09, I^2 = 66\%$), Figure 4) had a higher BMI than normotensive group. Similar findings were seen when using DBP to differentiate the hypertensive patients. Patients with DBP between 90 and 100 mmHg (mean difference 1.31 kg/m²,

Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572 © 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel Published online: May 30, 2017 www.karger.com/kbr

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension





	Hyperte	nsive g	roup	Normote	ensive g	roup		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	I IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Mean SBP<160 r	nmHg in h	yperten	sive gro	up					
Bruno 2011	3.2	1.5	15	2.3	2.4	12	8.8%	0.90 [-0.66, 2.46]	+
Hwang 1998	2	0.3	23	2.8	0.8	11	10.4%	-0.80 [-1.29, -0.31]	-
Januszewicz 1994	18.4	9.1	37	10.2	5.7	21	4.7%	8.20 [4.39, 12.01]	
Kohno 1990 (stage I)	0.5	0.3	12	0.5	0.2	25	10.6%	0.00 [-0.19, 0.19]	<u>†</u>
Lemne 1994	5	2	75	3.7	1.7	75	10.3%	1.30 [0.71, 1.89]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			162			144	44.7%	0.70 [-0.28, 1.67]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).89; Chi² =	47.58, 0	df = 4 (P	< 0.00001); I ² = 92	%			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 1.41 (P	= 0.16)							
1.1.2 Mean SBP>160 n	nmHg in h	yperten	sive gro	up					
Cottone 1998	17.2	8	16	13.8	3.2	25	4.3%	3.40 [-0.72, 7.52]	
Gu 2015	2.6	1.1	123	1.5	0.7	58	10.5%	1.10 [0.83, 1.37]	-
Kohno 1990 (stagell)	1.1	0.7	42	0.5	0.2	25	10.5%	0.60 [0.37, 0.83]	-
Parissis 2001	6.2	0.7	60	2.4	0.3	30	10.5%	3.80 [3.59, 4.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			241			138	35.9%	2.03 [0.10, 3.97]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3	3.33; Chi² =	482.42,	df = 3 (F	o < 0.0000	1); I ² = 9	9%			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 2.06 (P	= 0.04)							
1.1.3 Mean SBP uncle	ar in hype	rtensive	group						
Hoffman 1994	1.3	0.4	17	1.1	1.3	19	10.3%	0.20 [-0.41, 0.81]	+ -
Parrinello 1996	8.4	2.5	30	5	2.6	27	9.2%	3.40 [2.07, 4.73]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			47			46	19.4%	1.74 [-1.39, 4.88]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 4	.84; Chi² =	18.38, 0	df = 1 (P	< 0.0001);	l² = 95%	5			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 1.09 (P	= 0.28)							
Total (95% CI)			450			328	100.0%	1.57 [0.47, 2.68]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3	3.01; Chi ² =	890.07,	df = 10	(P < 0.000	01); l² =	99%			
Cost for overall offect: 7	' = 2.78 (P)	= 0.005		•					-4 -2 0 2 4

Fig. 2. Forrest blot showing the result of the meta-analysis of ET-1 plasma concentrations according to mean SBP in hypertensive and normotensive subjects.

95%CI [0.68~1.95], P < 0.0001, with minimum heterogeneity (P = 0.98, $I^2 = 0\%$), Figure 5) as well as patients with DBP > 100mmHg (mean difference 0.44 kg/m², 95%CI [0.02~0.87], P = 0.04, with medium heterogeneity (P = 0.09, $I^2 = 66\%$), Figure 5) had a higher BMI as compared to the normotensive controls.



Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572 © 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel Published online: May 30, 2017 www.karger.com/kbr

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

o	ing perce		Tutal	Mannote		Tatal			NCCAT Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	50	lotal	Mean	50	Total	weight	IV, Random, 95% C	I IV, Random, 95% CI
2.1.1 Mean DBP<100 r	nmHg in h	yperten	sive gro	up					
Bruno 2011	3.2	1.5	15	2.3	2.4	12	8.8%	0.90 [-0.66, 2.46]	
Gu 2015	2.6	1.1	123	1.5	0.7	58	10.5%	1.10 [0.83, 1.37]	-
Hwang 1998	2	0.3	23	2.8	0.8	11	10.4%	-0.80 [-1.29, -0.31]	
Kohno 1990 (stage I)	0.5	0.3	12	0.5	0.2	25	10.6%	0.00 [-0.19, 0.19]	Ť
Lemne 1994	5	2	75	3.7	1.7	75	10.3%	1.30 [0.71, 1.89]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			248			181	50.5%	0.45 [-0.30, 1.21]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0).63; Chi² =	75.71, 0	if = 4 (P	< 0.00001); l ² = 959	%			
Test for overall effect: Z	2 = 1.18 (P	= 0.24)							
2.1.2 Mean DBP>100 r	nmHg in h	yperten	sive gro	up					
Cottone 1998	17.2	8	16	13.8	3.2	25	4.3%	3.40 [-0.72, 7.52]	
Januszewicz 1994	18.4	9.1	37	10.2	5.7	21	4.7%	8.20 [4.39, 12.01]	
Parissis 2001	6.2	0.7	60	2.4	0.3	30	10.5%	3.80 [3.59, 4.01]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			113			76	19.5%	4.79 [2.22, 7.35]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3	3 21: Chi ² =	5.14. df	= 2 (P =	0.08): $l^2 =$	61%				
Test for overall effect: 2	z = 3.66 (P	= 0.0003	3)						
2.1.3 Mean DBP uncle	ar in hype	rtensive	group						
Hoffman 1994	1.3	0.4	17	1.1	1.3	19	10.3%	0.20 [-0.41, 0.81]	+-
Kohno 1990 (stagell)	1.1	0.7	42	0.5	0.2	25	10.5%	0.60 [0.37, 0.83]	+
Parrinello 1996	84	2.5	30	5	2.6	27	9.2%	3 40 [2 07 4 73]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.1	2.0	89	Ŭ	2.0	71	30.0%	1.16 [0.07, 2.25]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = () 77 [.] Chi ² =	18.67	f = 2 (P	< 0.0001).	1 ² = 89%		/0		
Test for overall effect: 2	z = 2.09 (P	= 0.04)	. 2 (1	- 0.0001),	1 0070				
Total (95% CI)			450			328	100.0%	1.57 [0.47, 2.68]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3	3.01: Chi ² =	890.07.	df = 10	(P < 0.000	01): l ² = 9	99%			
	,				,,				-4 -2 0 2 4

Fig. 3. Forrest blot showing the result of the meta-analysis of ET-1 plasma concentrations according to mean DBP in hypertensive and normotensive subjects.

	Hypertensive group Normotensive					oup		Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Fixe		IV, Fixed, 95% C	CI IV, Fixed, 95% CI						
3.1.1 Mean SBP<160	mmHg in h	nyperten	sive gr	oup							
Bruno 2011	23.8	4.1	15	22.2	3.5	12	1.5%	1.60 [-1.27, 4.47]		<u> </u>	
Januszewicz 1994	28	3.6	37	24.5	7.8	21	1.0%	3.50 [-0.03, 7.03]			
Lemne 1994	25.9	2.9	75	24.6	2.8	75	14.7%	1.30 [0.39, 2.21]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			127			108	17.2%	1.45 [0.61, 2.30]			
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = ²	1.41, df = 2	(P = 0.4)	9); l² = ()%							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.37 (P	= 0.000	8)								
3.1.2 Mean SBP>160	mmHg in h	nyperten	sive gr	oup							
Gu 2015	23.5	2.6	123	22.2	3.2	58	13.8%	1.30 [0.36, 2.24]		— • · · ·	
Parissis 2001	26.2	1.1	60	25.8	0.9	30	67.7%	0.40 [-0.03, 0.83]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			183			88	81.5%	0.55 [0.16, 0.94]		•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.91, df = 1	(P = 0.0)	9); l² = 6	6%							
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.79 (P	= 0.005)								
3.1.3 Mean SBP uncle	ar in hype	ertensive	group								
Parrinello 1996	35	7	30	34	5	27	1.2%	1.00 [-2.14, 4.14]		· · · · ·	
Subtotal (95% CI)			30			27	1.2%	1.00 [-2.14, 4.14]			
Heterogeneity: Not apr	licable										
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.63 (P	= 0.53)									
Total (95% CI)			340			223	100.0%	0.71 [0.36, 1.06]		•	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7	7.95. df = 5	(P = 0.1	6); l ² = 3	37%						<u> </u>	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.99 (P	< 0.000	1)						-4 -2	0 2 4	
Test for subgroup diffe	rences: Ch	i ² = 3.63	df = 2(P = 0.16	$ ^2 = 44.9$	%			Normotensive group	Hypertensive gr	

Fig. 4. Forrest blot showing the result of the meta-analysis of ET-1 plasma concentrations according to mean BMI in hypertensive and normotensive subjects when using SBP to define hypertensive patients.

Discussion

Key findings

The current meta-analysis in untreated patients with essential hypertension (450 hypertensive patients, 328 normotensive controls) revealed that ET-1 plasma concentrations were 42 % (= 1.57 pg/mL) higher in hypertensive patients as compared to the control patients. The body mass index was slightly higher in the hypertensive patients. These

Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572 © 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel Published online: May 30, 2017 www.karger.com/kbr

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

Hypertensive group				Normote	ensive gr	oup		Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean SD Total Weight IV, Fixed, 95% CI		I IV, Fixed, 95% CI					
4.1.1 Mean DBP<100 r	nmHg in h	nyperter	sive gro	oup							
Bruno 2011	23.8	4.1	15	22.2	3.5	12	1.5%	1.60 [-1.27, 4.47]			
Gu 2015	23.5	2.6	123	22.2	3.2	58	13.8%	1.30 [0.36, 2.24]			
Lemne 1994	25.9	2.9	75	24.6	2.8	75	14.7%	1.30 [0.39, 2.21]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			213			145	30.0%	1.31 [0.68, 1.95]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 0	.04, df = 2	(P = 0.9)	8); I ² = 0	%							
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 4.03 (P	< 0.000	1)								
4.1.2 Mean DBP>100 r	nmHg in h	nyperter	nsive gro	oup							
Januszewicz 1994	28	3.6	37	24.5	7.8	21	1.0%	3.50 [-0.03, 7.03]		•	
Parissis 2001	26.2	1.1	60	25.8	0.9	30	67.7%	0.40 [-0.03, 0.83]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			97			51	68.7%	0.44 [0.02, 0.87]	◆		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	.92, df = 1	(P = 0.0)	9); l ² = 6	6%							
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 2.06 (P	= 0.04)									
4.1.3 Mean DBP uncle	ar in hype	rtensiv	e group								
Parrinello 1996	35	7	30	34	5	27	1.2%	1.00 [-2.14, 4.14]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			30			27	1.2%	1.00 [-2.14, 4.14]			
Heterogeneity: Not app	licable										
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 0.63 (P	= 0.53)									
Total (95% CI)			340			223	100.0%	0.71 [0.36, 1.06]	•		
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 7	.95. df = 5	(P = 0.1)	6); ² = 3	7%							
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 3.99 (P	< 0.000	1)						-4 -2 0 2	. 4	
Fest for subgroup differ	ences: Chi	$i^2 = 4.99$, df = 2 (I	P = 0.08)	$l^2 = 59.99$	%			Normotensive group Hyperten	sive group	

Fig. 5. Forrest blot showing the result of the meta-analysis of ET-1 plasma concentrations according to mean BMI in hypertensive and normotensive subjects when using DBP to define hypertensive patients.

finding were driven by patients having systolic blood pressure higher than 160 mmHg and/ or diastolic blood pressure higher than 100 mmHg.

Study population

We identified 28 studies reporting data on ET-1 plasma levels in hypertensive patients (Figure 1). However, when applying the in- and exclusion criteria, only 11 studies were eligible for our meta-analysis due to various reasons such as incomplete reporting of data, reporting unrealistic high or low ET-1 plasma concentrations, duplication publications of studies and measurements of ET-1 concentration while the patients were treated with anti-hypertensive drugs. We excluded studies where patients were on anti-hypertensive medication, since it is well known that there is a close interaction for example of the reninangiotensin-aldosterone system and the ET-1 system [24-28]. RAAS blocking agents thus might influence ET-1 plasma concentrations independent of the actual blood pressure. It was likewise shown that also beta blockers [29, 30] and calcium channel blockers [31-32] alter the paracrine ET-1 system. The majority of the remaining studies reported plasma ET-1 concentrations in treatment naive hypertensive patients, see table 1. We also included studies where the antihypertensive medication was stopped for at least two weeks. Plasma ET-1 concentrations did not behave differently in treatment naive patients and patients where antihypertensive treatment was stopped, we thus analyzed both groups together. It is of note that all hypertensive patients had a higher BMI as compared to the controls. This is consistent with the statement in the analyzed studies that patients with essential - and not secondary forms of hypertension - were included only, since essential hypertension is associated frequently with an increased BMI.

ET-1 and blood pressure

A recent study showed that global ET-1 overexpression in mice lowers blood pressure in an age-dependent manner [11]. This fits well with the observation that a global heterozygous ET-1 knockout in mice causes hypertension (the complete knockout in homozygous ET-1 knockout mice is lethal due to craniofacial malformations [23]). This landmark study was recently confirmed by another independent group showing that a decrease in systemic ET-1 level of up to 35 % of that in the control mice causes hypertension [33]. Notably, in this model blood pressure could be normalized by epithelial sodium channel blockers, indicating



Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

www.karger.com/kbr



DOI: 10.1159/000477572 Published online: May 30, 2017

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

that renal tubular sodium transport plays a key role in the pathogenesis of hypertension in these settings. These animal studies showed that ET-1 has numerous independent effects on blood pressure regulation in vivo, it is involved in tubular water and salt excretion, promotes constriction of smooth muscle cells, modulates sympathetic nerve activity, and activates the liberation of nitric oxide via an ETB receptor-mediated pathway from endothelial cells. [3, 34, 35]. In mice, the net effect of these partially counteracting mechanisms on blood pressure is a slight reduction of blood pressure [11]. The current meta-analysis of human studies – on the other hand - showed that ET-1 plasma concentrations were 42 % higher in hypertensive patients as compared to the control patients. The human ET-1 gene located on chromosome 6 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/1906), however, was not associated to human hypertension in any of the large scale genome wide association studies searching for hypertension associated gene loci (37.38.39). The elevation of plasma ET-1 in the hypertensive patients seen in our meta-analysis thus rather reflects hypertension induced endothelial cell damage due to hypertension rather than the primary cause of hypertension. This hypothesis is supported by the finding that the evasion of ET-1 was seen in the subgroup of patient with very high systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure (= patients with most likely blood pressure induced endothelial damage), but not in the patients with milder forms of hypertension.

Study limitations

The underlying reason for hypertension was not proven in most of the analyzed studies. The authors simply assumed that they have analyzed patients with essential hypertension based on patient's medical records, but did not check the quality of the records in detail. In addition, we had no systematic information's about the duration of hypertension in the analyzed studies in our meta-analysis. Some patients were newly diagnosed or untreated essential hypertension, some other were hypertensive patients who stopped medications at least 2 weeks before study entry. However, it is not clear whether this time in enough to eliminate the potential confounding effect of antihypertensive treatment. It is thus not possible to state what was first hypertension followed by an elevation of ET-1 or elevated ET-1. The renal tubular ET system is involved in water and salt transport and hence an increased salt reuptake in the kidney may contribute to the pathogeneses of hypertension. Salt intake, however, was not measured in the studies included into the meta-analysis.

Conclusion

This meta-analysis revealed that treatment naive hypertensive patients and patient who stopped blood pressure medication for at least two weeks do have slightly elevated plasma ET-1 concentration. This finding is driven by these patients with high systolic/diastolic blood pressure. Given that the ET-1 gene did not appear in any of the whole genome association studies searching for hypertension associated gene loci, it is very likely that the elevated plasma ET-1 concentrations in hypertensive patients are secondary to hypertension and may reflect endothelial cell damage.

Disclosure Statement

The authors of this manuscript state that they do not have any conflict of interests and nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgment

The study was supported by a research grant from Bayer AG to Dr. B. Hocher.



Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572© 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, BaselPublished online: May 30, 2017www.karger.com/kbr

Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

References

- 1 Hickey KA, Rubanyi G, Paul RJ, Highsmith RF: Characterization of a coronary vasoconstrictor produced by cultured endothelial cells. Am J Physiol 1985;248:C550-556.
- 2 Yanagisawa M, Kurihara H, Kimura S, Tomobe Y, Kobayashi M, Mitsui Y, Yazaki Y, Goto K, Masaki T: A novel potent vasoconstrictor peptide produced by vascular endothelial cells. Nature 1988;332:411-415.
- 3 Davenport AP, Hyndman KA, Dhaun N, Southan C, Kohan DE, Pollock JS, Pollock DM, Webb DJ, Maguire JJ: Endothelin. Pharmacol Rev 2016;68:357-418.
- 4 Hocher B, Thöne-Reineke C, Rohmeiss P, Schmager F, Slowinski T, Burst V, Siegmund F, Quertermous T, Bauer C, Neumayer HH, Schleuning WD, Theuring F: Endothelin-1 transgenic mice develop glomerulosclerosis, interstitial fibrosis, and renal cysts but not hypertension. J Clin Invest 1997;99:1380-1389.
- 5 Hocher B, Liefeldt L, Thöne-Reineke C, Orzechowski HD, Distler A, Bauer C, Paul M: Characterization of the renal phenotype of transgenic rats expressing the human endothelin-2 gene. Hypertension 1996;28:196-201.
- 6 Shindo T, Kurihara H, Maemura K, Kurihara Y, Ueda O, Suzuki H, Kuwaki T, Ju KH, Wang Y, Ebihara A, Nishimatsu H, Moriyama N, Fukuda M, Akimoto Y, Hirano H, Morita H, Kumada M, Yazaki Y, Nagai R, Kimura K: Renal damage and salt-dependent hypertension in aged transgenic mice overexpressing endothelin-1. J Mol Med (Berl) 2002;80:105-116.
- 7 Ong AC, von Websky K, Hocher B: Endothelin and tubulointerstitial renal disease. Semin Nephrol 2015;35:197-207.
- 8 Tsuprykov O, Chaykovska L, Kretschmer A, Stasch JP, Pfab T, Krause-Relle K, Reichetzeder C, Kalk P, Adamski J, Hocher B: Endothelin-1 Overexpression Improves Renal Function in eNOS Knockout Mice. Cell Physiol Biochem 2015;37:1474-1490.
- 9 Vignon-Zellweger N, Relle K, Kienlen E, Alter M, Seider P, Sharkovska J, Heiden S, Kalk P, Schwab K, Albrecht-Küpper B, Theuring F, Stasch JP, Hocher B: Endothelin-1 overexpression restores diastolic function in eNOS knockout mice. J Hypertens 2011;29:961-970.
- 10 Chang YK, Choi H, Jeong JY, Na KR, Lee KW, Choi DE: Co-inhibition of Angiotensin II Receptor and Endothelin-1 Attenuates Renal Injury in Unilateral Ureteral Obstructed Mice. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:450-459.
- 11 Lu YP, Tsuprykov O, Vignon-Zellweger N, Heiden S, Hocher B: Global Overexpression of ET-1 Decreases Blood Pressure - A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of ET-1 Transgenic Mice. Kidney Blood Press Res 2016;41:770-780.
- 12 Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T: Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:135.
- 13 Saito Y, Nakao K, Mukoyama M, Shirakami G, Itoh H, Yamada T, Arai H, Hosoda K, Suga S, Jougasaki M, Yoshihiro O, Shigeyuki N, Motohiko U, Hiroo I: Application of monoclonal antibodies for endothelin to hypertensive research. Hypertension 1990;15:734-738.
- 14 Kohno M, Yasunari K, Murakawa K, Yokokawa K, Horio T, Fukui T, Takeda T: Plasma immunoreactive endothelin in essential hypertension. Am J Med 1990;88:614-618.
- 15 Hoffman A, Grossman E, Goldstein DS, Gill JR Jr, Keiser HR: Urinary excretion rate of endothelin-1 in patients with essential hypertension and salt sensitivity. Kidney Int 1994;45:556-560.
- 16 Januszewicz A, LapińskiM, Symonides B, Dabrowska E, Kuch-Wocial A, Trzepla E, Ignatowska-Switalska H,Wocial B, Chodakowska J, Januszewicz W: Elevated endothelin-1 plasma concentration in patients with essential hypertension. J Cardiovasc Risk 1994;1:81-85.
- 17 Lemne CE, Lundeberg T, Theodorsson E, de Faire U: Increased basal concentrations of plasma endothelin in borderlinehypertension. J Hypertens 1994;12:1069-1074.
- 18 Parrinello G, Scaglione R, Pinto A, Corrao S, Cecala M, Di Silvestre G, Amato P, Licata A, Licata G: Central obesity and hypertension: the role of plasma endothelin. Am J Hypertens 1996;9:1186-1191.
- 19 Cottone S, Vadalà A, Vella MC, Nardi E, Mulé G, Contorno A, Riccobene R, Cerasola G: Changes of plasma endothelin and growth factor levels, and of left ventricular mass, after chronic AT1-receptor blockade in humanhypertension. Am J Hypertens 1998;11:548-553.

Kidney Blood Press Res 2017;42:304-313

DOI: 10.1159/000477572 © 2017 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel Published online: May 30, 2017 www.karger.com/kbr Xu/Lu/Hasan/Hocher: ET-1 and Hypertension

- 20 Hwang YS, Hsieh TJ, Lee YJ, Tsai JH: Circadian rhythm of urinary endothelin-1 excretion in mildly pertensive patients. Am J Hypertens 1998;11:1344-1351.
- 21 Parissis JT, Venetsanou KF, Mentzikof DG, Kalantzi MV, Georgopoulou MV, Chrisopoulos N, Karas SM:Plasma levels of soluble cellular adhesion molecules in patients with arterial hypertension. Correlations with plasma endothelin-1. Eur J Intern Med 2001;12:350-356.
- 22 Bruno RM, Sudano I, Ghiadoni L, Masi L, Taddei S: Interactions beteween sympathetic nervous system andendogenous endothelin in patients with essential hypertension. Hypertension 2011;57:78-84.
- 23 Gu X, Li H, Zhu X, Gu H, Chen J, Wang L, Harding P, Xu W: Inverse Correlation Between Plasma Adropin and ET-1 Levels inEssential Hypertension: A Cross-Sectional Study.Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e1712.
- 24 Hocher B, George I, Rebstock J, Bauch A, Schwarz A, Neumayer HH, Bauer C: Endothelin system-dependent cardiac remodeling in renovascular hypertension. Hypertension 1999;33:816-822.
- 25 Hocher B, George I, Diekmann F, Zart R, Rebstock J, Schwarz A, Thöne-Reineke C, Neumayer HH, Bauer C: ETA receptor blockade induces fibrosis of the clipped kidney in two-kidney-one-clip renovascular hypertensive rats. J Hypertens 2000;18:1807-1814.
- 26 Barton M, Shaw S, d'Uscio LV, Moreau P, Lüscher TF: Differential modulation of the renal and myocardial endothelin system by angiotensin II in Vivo. Effects of chronic selective ETA receptor blockade. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1998;31:S265-268.
- 27 Barton M, Shaw S, d'Uscio LV, Moreau P, Lüscher TF: Angiotensin II increases vascular and renal endothelin-1 and functional endothelin converting enzyme activity in vivo: role of ETA receptors for endothelin regulation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1997;238:861-865.
- 28 Moreau P, d'Uscio LV, Shaw S, Takase H, Barton M, Lüscher TF: Angiotensin II increases tissue endothelin and induces vascular hypertrophy: reversal by ET(A)-receptor antagonist. Circulation 1997;96:1593-1597.
- 29 Garbin U, Fratta Pasini A, Stranieri C, Manfro S, Mozzini C, Boccioletti V, Pasini A, Cominacini M, Evangelista S, Cominacini L: Effects of nebivolol on endothelial gene expression during oxidative stress in human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Mediators Inflamm 2008;2008:367590.
- 30 Brehm BR, Bertsch D, von Fallois J, Wolf SC: Beta-blockers of the third generation inhibit endothelin-1 liberation, mRNA production and proliferation of human coronary smooth muscle and endothelial cells. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2000;36:S401-403.
- 31 Filep JG, Skrobik Y, Fournier A, Földes-Filep E: Effects of calcium antagonists on endothelin-1-induced myocardial ischaemia and oedema in the rat. Br J Pharmacol 1996;118:893-900.
- Wright HM, Malik KU: Prostacyclin synthesis elicited by endothelin-1 in rat aorta is mediated by an ETA receptor via influx of calcium and is independent of protein kinase C. Hypertension 1995;26:1035-1040.
- 33 Hathaway CK, Grant R, Hagaman JR, Hiller S, Li F, Xu L, Chang AS, Madden VJ, Bagnell CR, Rojas M, Kim HS, Wu B, Zhou B, Smithies O, Kakoki M: Endothelin-1 critically influences cardiac function via superoxide-MMP9 cascade. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015;112:5141-5146.
- 34 Hocher B, Thöne-Reineke C, Bauer C, Raschack M, Neumayer HH: The paracrine endothelin system; pathophysiology and implications in clinical medicine. Eur J Clin Chem Clin Biochem 1997;35;175-189.
- 35 von Websky K, Heiden S, Pfab T, Hocher B: Pathophysiology of the endothelin system lessons from genetically manipulated animal models. Eur J Med Res 2009;14:1-6.