

THE p -DAUGAVET PROPERTY FOR FUNCTION SPACES

ENRIQUE A. SÁNCHEZ PÉREZ AND DIRK WERNER

ABSTRACT. A natural extension of the Daugavet property for p -convex Banach function spaces and related classes is analysed. As an application, we extend the arguments given in the setting of the Daugavet property to show that no reflexive space falls into this class.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Banach space B is said to have the *Daugavet property* if every rank one operator $T: B \rightarrow B$ satisfies the *Daugavet equation*

$$\|\text{Id} + T\| = 1 + \|T\|,$$

where Id is the identity map on B . In the setting of Banach function spaces, several recent papers have analysed for which ones the Daugavet property is satisfied. The main examples are $L^1(\mu)$ and $L^\infty(\mu)$ whenever μ does not have any atoms (see [7, Section 2]). Also, if K is a compact Hausdorff topological space without isolated points, the space $C(K)$ has the Daugavet property; this space is a function space, but not a Banach function space in the sense that we use in this paper.

Some modifications of the Daugavet property have also been introduced in order to provide a weaker property for extending the techniques and results that arises for spaces with the Daugavet property to a bigger class of spaces (see for example [4, 9, 10, 11]). In this paper we consider what we call generalized function spaces (to be defined at the end of the introduction), a new class that includes p -convex Banach function spaces and $C(K)$ -spaces, whose definition is partially motivated by the one of a K -representable space given in [5]. Our generalization of the Daugavet property is the following.

Definition 1.1. A constant 1 p -convex (generalized) function space X is said to have the *p -Daugavet property* if and only if for every rank one operator $T: X \rightarrow X$, the equation

$$\sup_{f \in B_X} \|(f^p + T(f)^p)^{1/p}\| = (1 + \|T\|^p)^{1/p}$$

is fulfilled. We call this equation for an operator T the *p -Daugavet equation*.

Date: March 18, 2010. *File:* PurePDaugavet6.tex.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46B04; secondary 46B25.

Key words and phrases. Daugavet property, L_p -space.

The first author was partially supported by a grant from the Generalitat Valenciana (BEST/2009/108) and a grant from the Universidad Politécnic de Valencia (PAID-00-09/2291). Support of the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, under project #MTM2006-11690-C02-01 (Spain) and FEDER is also gratefully acknowledged.

We remark that we deal with a different p -version of the Daugavet property in our paper [13].

The aim of this paper is to characterize the p -Daugavet property and provide a description of the structure of the spaces that satisfy it, as well as to exhibit a class of spaces having the property. As applications, and following the same steps as for spaces with the Daugavet property, we prove that no reflexive constant 1 p -convex generalized function space has the p -Daugavet property. From the methodological point of view, we adopt the Banach lattice approach to the Daugavet property (see [1, 2]) but use the geometric tools that were introduced later ([7]).

We use standard notation. All the Banach spaces considered in the paper are supposed to be defined over the reals. Let Y be a Banach space. B_Y and S_Y are the (closed) unit ball and the unit sphere, respectively. Y^* denotes the dual space of Y . The slice $S(y^*, \varepsilon)$ defined by $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is given by

$$S(y^*, \varepsilon) = \{y \in B_Y : \langle y, y^* \rangle \geq 1 - \varepsilon\}.$$

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space. A *Banach function space* $X(\mu)$ is an ideal of the space $L^0(\mu)$ of classes of measurable functions (the usual μ -a.e. order is considered) that is a Banach space with a lattice norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that for every $A \in \Sigma$ of finite measure, $\chi_A \in X(\mu)$ (see [8, Def. 1.b.17]). If the measure μ is fixed in the context we simply write X for $X(\mu)$. $X(\mu)^+$ stands for the positive cone of $X(\mu)$ and in general, if $S \subset X(\mu)$, we will write S^+ for its positive part $S \cap X(\mu)^+$.

Let us now recall two basic geometric properties of Banach lattices. If X is a Banach lattice, it is called *p -convex* if there is a constant K such that for each finite sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in X ,

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_X \leq K \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_X^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

An operator $T: X \rightarrow F$ on a Banach lattice X is *p -concave* if there is a constant k such that for every sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ in X ,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|T(x_i)\|_F^p \right)^{1/p} \leq k \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_X.$$

The quantities $M^{(p)}(X)$ and $M_{(p)}(T)$ are respectively the best constants in the above inequalities. If T is the identity map, we also say that X is *p -concave* and denote the corresponding constant by $M_{(p)}(X)$. Throughout the paper we assume that the p -convexity constants of the spaces are equal to 1; we will write that the space X is constant 1 p -convex for short.

In order to extend these lattice notions to a bigger family of spaces, we introduce the class of *generalized function spaces* (g.f.s. for short). Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let Δ be a set and consider a family of measure spaces $\{(A_\delta, \Sigma_\delta, \mu_\delta) : \delta \in \Delta\}$. Let $(\mathbb{R}^{A_\delta})_{\mu_\delta}$ be the space of classes of μ_δ -a.e. equal measurable functions, usually denoted by $L^0(A_\delta, \mu_\delta)$. For each element $f \in \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} (\mathbb{R}^{A_\delta})_{\mu_\delta}$, the modulus $|f|$ is defined pointwise by $|f|(\delta) = |f(\delta)|$. Let X be a Banach space of (classes of) functions $f \in \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} (\mathbb{R}^{A_\delta})_{\mu_\delta}$ such that $|f| \in X$ and with a norm with lattice properties when the natural order inherited in X from the product is considered. Thus, X is a Banach space

of functions whose values at each point δ are classes of μ_δ -a.e. equal real functions such that the modulus of each element belongs to the space, too, and with a lattice norm; notice that the counting measure on A_δ is also admissible, which is important for including $C(K)$ spaces. The measure μ_δ will not be written explicitly if it is not relevant in the context.

Note that, if $f \in X$, we can write it at each point $\delta \in \Delta$ as $f(\delta) = \text{sign}\{f(\delta)\}|f(\delta)|$ and define the map i_p from X taking values in $\prod_{\delta \in \Delta} (\mathbb{R}^{A_\delta})_{\mu_\delta}$ by means of its pointwise evaluation

$$i_p(f)(\delta) := \text{sign}\{f(\delta)\}|f(\delta)|^p, \quad f \in X.$$

Here, $\text{sign}\{a\}$ denotes the sign of the real number a . We use the notation

$$f^p := i_p(f);$$

we caution the reader that for even integers f^p need not be the same as $|f|^p$. The map i_p is clearly an injection; we denote by $i_{1/p}$ (i.e., $i_{1/p}(g) = g^{1/p}$ for every $g \in i_p(X)$), the inverse map.

We say that a Banach space of functions as above is a *constant 1 p -convex generalized function space* if for every finite family of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ the function $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p)^{1/p}$ belongs to X and

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

It can easily be seen that this class includes for instance constant 1 p -convex Banach function spaces (take Δ a singleton), $C(K)$ -spaces and $C(K, Y)$ -spaces, where Y is a constant 1 p -convex Banach function space.

2. THE p -CONVEXIFICATION OF THE DAUGAVET PROPERTY

Let X be a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. Consider the linear space $F_{X,p}$ of functions from X to the corresponding product $\prod_{\delta \in \Delta} (\mathbb{R}^{A_\delta})_{\mu_\delta}$ that are finite sums of the elements of the set

$$S_{X,p} = \left\{ \phi: X \rightarrow \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} (\mathbb{R}^{A_\delta})_{\mu_\delta} : \phi = i_p \circ T, T: X \rightarrow X \text{ linear and continuous} \right\}.$$

Define the function norm on the space $F_{X,p}$ by

$$\|\psi\|_{F_{X,p}} := \sup_{f \in B_X} \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(f) \right)^{1/p} \right\|_X^p, \quad \psi = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(f) \in F_{X,p}.$$

Clearly, this formula is independent of the representation that is used for the element ϕ as a sum of elements of $S_{X,p}$. It can easily be checked that it is a norm on the space $F_{X,p}$, just taking into account that $\|\cdot\|_X^{1/p}$ is a norm in $i_p(X)$ since X is a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. and that the map i_p is one-to-one.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. Suppose that T and S are operators from X into X such that*

$$\sup_{f \in B_X} \| |T(f)^p + S(f)^p|^{1/p} \| = (\|T\|^p + \|S\|^p)^{1/p}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then for every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$,

$$\sup_{f \in B_X} \|(\alpha T(f))^p + (\beta S(f))^p\|^{1/p} = (\|\alpha T\|^p + \|\beta S\|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Consider the functions ϕ and φ in $S_{X,p}$ defined by $\phi = i_p \circ T$ and $\varphi = i_p \circ S$ and note that $\|\phi\|_{F_{X,p}} = \|T\|^p$ and $\|\varphi\|_{F_{X,p}} = \|S\|^p$. Therefore, by (2.1) we have that

$$\|\phi + \varphi\|_{F_{X,p}} = \|\phi\|_{F_{X,p}} + \|\varphi\|_{F_{X,p}}.$$

This implies, by Lemma 11.4 in [2] (or [14, p. 78]), that for every couple of non-negative real numbers a and b ,

$$\|a\phi + b\varphi\|_{F_{X,p}} = a\|\phi\|_{F_{X,p}} + b\|\varphi\|_{F_{X,p}}.$$

But this can be rewritten as

$$\sup_{f \in B_X} \|a(T(f))^p + b(S(f))^p\|^{1/p} = a\|T\|^p + b\|S\|^p.$$

Thus, the result holds just by considering $\alpha = a^{1/p}$ and $\beta = b^{1/p}$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. Suppose that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is the rank one operator given by $T(f) := \langle f, g^* \rangle g$, where $g^* \in X^*$ and $g \in X$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\sup_{f \in B_X} \|f^p + T(f)^p\|^{1/p} = (1 + \|T\|^p)^{1/p}$.
- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an element $h \in S(\frac{g^*}{\|g^*\|}, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left\| \left(\frac{g}{\|g\|} \right)^p + h^p \right\|^{1/p} \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let us prove first that (1) implies (2). By Lemma 2.1, we can assume that the norm of T is one, just by replacing T by $T/\|T\| = T/\|g\|\|g^*\|$, and representing the resulting operator with two norm one elements that we still denote by g and g^* . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $h \in S_X$ such that

$$\|h^p + T(h)^p\|^{1/p} \geq 2 - \varepsilon.$$

We can assume that $\langle h, g^* \rangle \geq 0$ (otherwise, replace h by $-h$). Notice first that since X is constant 1 p -convex,

$$1 + \langle h, g^* \rangle^p \|g\|_X^p = \|h^p\|_X^p + \|T(h)^p\|_X^p \geq 2 - \varepsilon,$$

which implies that $\langle h, g^* \rangle \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{1/p} \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. Consequently, $g \in S(g^*, \varepsilon)$. On the other hand, also by the constant 1 p -convexity of X ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - \varepsilon &\leq \|h^p + T(h)^p\|_X^p \\ &\leq \|h^p + g^p\|_X^p + \|T(h)^p - g^p\|_X^p \\ &= \|h^p + g^p\|_X^p + \|(1 - \langle h, g^* \rangle^p)g^p\|_X^p \\ &\leq \|h^p + g^p\|_X^p + (1 - \langle h, g^* \rangle^p)\|g^p\|_X^p \\ &\leq \|h^p + g^p\|_X^p + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result.

For the converse, first notice that the inequality

$$\sup_{f \in B_X} \|f^p + T(f)^p\|^{1/p} \leq 1 + \|T\|^p$$

always holds, by the constant 1 p -convexity of X . By Lemma 2.1, we can assume that $\|g\| = 1$ and $\|g^*\| = 1$, and then $\|T\| = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $h \in S(g^*, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\| |g^p + h^p|^{1/p} \|^p \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Then, again by the constant 1 p -convexity of X ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 2\varepsilon &\leq \| |g^p + h^p|^{1/p} \|^p = \| |g^p - T(h)^p + T(h)^p + h^p|^{1/p} \|^p \\ &\leq \| |g^p - T(h)^p|^{1/p} \|^p + \| |T(h)^p + h^p|^{1/p} \|^p \\ &\leq (1 - \langle h, g^* \rangle^p) + \| |T(h)^p + h^p|^{1/p} \|^p \\ &\leq (1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^p) + \| |T(h)^p + h^p|^{1/p} \|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain the result. \square

Example 2.3. Let $X(\mu)$ be a constant 1 p -convex Banach function space. Consider the set P of positive rank one operators from $X(\mu) \rightarrow X(\mu)$, i.e.,

$$P = \{T: X \rightarrow X: T = g^* \otimes g, g^* \in (X(\mu)^*)^+, g \in (X(\mu))^+\}.$$

Proposition 2.2 gives directly the following result by taking into account that the supremum in (1) of Proposition 2.2 can be computed using just positive elements.

The following assertions are equivalent:

- (1) For every positive rank-one operator $T \in P$,

$$\sup_{f \in B_X} \| |f^p + T(f)^p|^{1/p} \|_X = (1 + \|T\|^p)^{1/p}.$$

- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, every $g \in S_X^+$ and every $g^* \in S_{X^*}^+$ there is an element $h \in (S(g^*, \varepsilon))^+$ such that

$$\| |g^p + h^p|^{1/p} \|^p \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon.$$

For instance, L^p -spaces satisfy the statements above, since they are p -concave and $M_{(p)}(L^p) = 1$. This includes the case of ℓ^p ; recall that the Daugavet property is not satisfied for ℓ^1 , so the property given by the equivalent assertions above, at least for the case $p = 1$, is strictly weaker than the Daugavet property. We will show that this is also the case for $p > 1$ but in a more dramatic sense, since $L^p(\mu)$ over an atomless measure μ does not satisfy the p -Daugavet property. The reader can find more information about what is called the positive Daugavet property in [3, Section 5].

Let us now give another example of Banach function space to which Proposition 2.2 can be applied, i.e., a Banach function space that satisfies a weak version of the p -Daugavet property: we show that it holds when only the functionals of the Köthe dual of X are considered, instead of the whole dual space X^* (see for instance [12, p. 35] for the definition of Köthe dual). As a consequence of further results in this section, we shall see that in fact it satisfies also the p -Daugavet property, i.e., when *all* the continuous functionals are considered.

Example 2.4. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Consider an atomless measure μ , a measurable partition $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and the space $X = \bigoplus_p L^\infty(\mu_i)$, where $\mu_i = \mu|_{A_i}$. Taking as the selected set of functionals the ones of the Köthe dual, i.e.,

the space $X' = \bigoplus_{p'} L^1(\mu|_{A_i})$, we obtain that all rank one operators defined by $T_{f'_0, f_0}(f) = \langle f, f'_0 \rangle f_0$, $f_0 \in X$, $f'_0 \in X'$ satisfy the p -Daugavet equation. Notice that X is in particular a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. To see this, just consider the set $\Delta = \mathbb{N}$, for each $i \in \Delta$ the set A_i , and the representation of a function $f \in X$ as $(f|_{A_i})_{i=1}^\infty \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathbb{R}^{A_i})_{\mu|_{A_i}}$, and taking into account that this definition makes sense almost everywhere.

We show our claim in two steps.

(1) Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that the function $g \in S_X$ has its support in A_i , and fix $g' \in S_{X'}$ that has its support in A_i , too. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let us find an element $h \in S(g', \varepsilon)$, also with support in A_i , such that $\| |g|^p + h^p |^{1/p} \| \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon$.

Take the function $h = \text{sign}\{g'\}$. Clearly, $h \in S(g', \varepsilon)$. There is a set $B_i \subset A_i$ such that $0 < \mu_i(B_i) < \varepsilon$ and $1 - \varepsilon \leq \|g\chi_{B_i}\| \leq 1$. We can assume also that the sign of the function is $+$ or $-$ in all the set B_i . Now:

(i) If $\text{sign}\{g\chi_{B_i}\} = \text{sign}\{h\chi_{B_i}\}$ in a set of positive measure, take $h_0 = h$ and $C = B_i$.

(ii) If this is not the case, notice that $\text{sign}\{g\chi_{B_i}\} = -\text{sign}\{h\chi_{B_i}\}$ μ -a.e. and then take a subset $C \subset B_i$ such that $0 < \int_C |g'| d\mu < \varepsilon/2$. Define h_0 in this case as $h_0 = h\chi_{A_i \setminus C} - h\chi_C$. Notice that

$$\int h_0 g' d\mu = \int_{A_i \setminus C} h_0 g' d\mu + \int_C h_0 g' d\mu \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2 - \varepsilon/2 = 1 - \varepsilon,$$

and so $h_0 \in S(g', \varepsilon)$.

So, in both cases,

$$\| |g|^p + h_0^p |^{1/p} \| \geq \| |g|^p + h_0^p |^{1/p} \chi_C \| = 2.$$

In particular, these calculations show that $L^\infty(\mu)$ has the p -Daugavet property.

(2) Using Lemma 2.1 one can show that the same can be obtained for vectors with norm different from one, i.e., when $\|g\|$ is not necessarily one, for a fixed $k > 0$ we can find an element h_0 of norm k such that $h_0/\|h_0\|$ belongs to $S(g', \varepsilon)$ and $\| |g|^p + h_0^p |^{1/p} \| \geq \|g\|^p + \|h_0\|^p$.

Fix an $\varepsilon > 0$ and take now a general $g' \in S_{X'}$, i.e., $g' = \sum_{i=1}^\infty g'_i$ with each g'_i with support in A_i and such that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|g'_i\|_{L^1(\mu_i)}^{p'} = 1$. Every $h = \sum_{i=1}^\infty h_i \in S(g', \varepsilon)$ satisfies

$$1 - \varepsilon < \sum_{i=1}^\infty \int g'_i h_i d\mu$$

and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \|h_i\|_{L^\infty(\mu_i)}^p = 1$. Let us find the particular h needed. Take for each i the function h_i as a product of some positive constant by the corresponding h_0 obtained as in (1) for ε and $g'_i/\|g'_i\|$, and write C_i for the corresponding set C . Notice that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, g'_i and h_i satisfy

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \int \frac{g'_i}{\|g'_i\|} \frac{h_i}{\|h_i\|} d\mu$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon) \|g'_i\| \|h_i\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int g'_i h_i d\mu.$$

Choose now an h defined by h_i satisfying the properties above and such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|h_i\|^p = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|h_i\| \|g'_i\| = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|g'_i\|^{p'}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \| |g|^p + |h|^p |^{1/p} \| &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \| |g_i^p + |h_i^p |^{1/p} \|_{L^\infty}^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \| |g_i^p + |h_i^p |^{1/p} \chi_{C_i} \|_{L^\infty}^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|g_i\|^p + \|h_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} = 2^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides these examples and taking into account the purpose of this paper, the main application of Proposition 2.2 is the geometric characterization of the p -Daugavet property that is given in the following result.

Corollary 2.5. *Let X be a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) X has the p -Daugavet property.
- (2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, every $g \in S_X$ and every $g^* \in S_{X^*}$ there is an element $h \in S(g^*, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\| |g|^p + |h|^p |^{1/p} \| \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon.$$

In what follows we extend the idea given in Example 2.4 in order to define a new class of spaces that we call (p, \mathcal{K}) -representable spaces. This definition generalizes in a sense the one given in [5, Definition 2.3].

Definition 2.6. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let I be an index set. Consider a family $\mathcal{K} = \{K_i: i \in I\}$ of (disjoint) compact Hausdorff spaces. Let X be a Banach space. We say that X is (p, \mathcal{K}) -representable if there exists a family $(X_k)_{k \in \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i}$ of constant 1 p -convex Banach function spaces or $C(K)$ -spaces such that:

- (i) Each $x \in X$ can be identified linearly with its coordinates in the product $\prod_{k \in \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i} X_k$, and if $i \in I$, the restriction of x to the product $\prod_{k \in K_i} X_k$ belongs to X . Also, for every finite family $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$, the element $(\sum_{\nu=1}^n x_\nu^p)^{1/p}$ that is defined pointwise by means of its representation belongs to X .
- (ii) Consider the space $\bigoplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(K_i)$, where the sup norm for the sum is considered. If $x \in X$, for every $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I} \in \bigoplus_{i \in I}^\infty C(K_i)$ the product $(\varphi_i)x = (\varphi_i x|_i)$ belongs to X .
- (iii) For every $x \in X$,

$$\|x\| = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{j \in F} \|x(k_j)\|^p \right)^{1/p} : F \subset I \text{ finite, } k_j \in K_j \right\} < \infty.$$

- (iv) For every $x \in X$, $i \in I$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{k \in K_i: \|x(k)\| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\|x|_i\|\}$$

is infinite.

Remark 2.7.

- (1) If F is a finite subset of I , we write $x|_F$ for the element $x|_F = \sum_{i \in F} x|_i$ that coincides with the projection of x in the coordinates belonging to $\prod_{k \in \bigcup_{i \in F} K_i} X_k$.
- (2) Notice that since each space X_k has lattice properties, by the description of the norm required in (iii) for every $(\varphi_i) \in B_{\bigoplus_{i \in I} C(K_i)}$ and $x \in X$, $\|\varphi x\| \leq \|x\|$.
- (3) Straightforward calculations show that if the space X is (p, \mathcal{K}) -representable, then it is a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s.
- (4) The chief example of a (p, \mathcal{K}) -representable space is the ℓ^p -sum $\bigoplus^p L^\infty(\mu_i)$ from Example 2.4

Proposition 2.8. *If X is (p, \mathcal{K}) -representable, then it has the p -Daugavet property.*

Proof. Take two elements $x \in S_X$ and $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a finite set $F_1 \subset I$ such that

$$(1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2p} \|x\| \leq \left(\sum_{i \in F_1} \|x|_i\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

On the other hand, the set $\{x|_F: x \in B_X, F \subset I \text{ finite}\}$ is clearly dense in B_X and hence norming for X^* . Consequently, there is a finite set $F_2 \subset I$ and an element $z \in S_X$ such that

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \|x^*\| \leq \langle z|_{F_2}, x^* \rangle$$

Take $F = F_1 \cup F_2$ and $N = |F|$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4 in [5], for each $i \in F$, (iv) in Definition 2.6 provides a sequence of different points $(w_{i,n})_{n=1}^\infty$ in K_i such that for each n ,

$$\|x|_i(w_{i,n})\| > (1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2p} \|x|_i\|.$$

An application of Uryson's lemma in each K_i provides a sequence of normalised disjointly supported functions $(f_{i,n})_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $f_{i,n}(w_{i,n}) = 1$ for every n . For every $i \in F$, the sequence $(f_{i,n})_n$ converges pointwise to 0, and consequently it converges weakly to 0 in $C(K_i)$. Therefore, the function $(f_{i,n}): \prod_{i \in F} K_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ defined in each coordinate as $f_{i,n}(w)$ converges weakly to 0 in $\bigoplus_{i \in F} C(K_i)$.

As a consequence of the requirements in Definition 2.6, for each $v \in X$, the linear map $J: \bigoplus_{i \in F} C(K_i) \rightarrow X$ given by $J((\varphi_i)) = \sum_{i \in F} \varphi_i v|_i$ is well-defined and continuous, and so weak-to-weak continuous. This implies that the sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, where

$$y_n := \sum_{i \in F} f_{i,n} x|_i + \sum_{i \in F_2} (1 - f_{i,n}) z|_i$$

(note that only F_2 appears in the second sum) converges weakly to $z|_{F_2}$ in X . Notice that all these elements have norm ≤ 1 . Therefore there is an index m such that $\langle y_m, x^* \rangle > 1 - \varepsilon$. Take $y := y_m$. Finally, note that

$$\| |x|^p + |y|^p |^{1/p} \| \geq \left(\sum_{i \in F} \| |x|_i^p + |y|_i^p |^{1/p} \|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \left(\sum_{i \in F} \| |x(w_{i,m})^p + y(w_{i,m})^p |^{1/p} \|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&= 2^{1/p} \left(\sum_{i \in F} \|x(w_{i,m})\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\geq 2^{1/p} (1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2p} \left(\sum_{i \in F} \|x|_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\
&\geq 2^{1/p} (1 - \varepsilon)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.9. A direct consequence of the definition of (p, \mathcal{K}) -representable spaces is that every representable space in the sense of [5, Definition 2.3], which is represented on a compact set K and over a product $\prod_{k \in K} X_k$ of constant 1 p -convex Banach function spaces or $C(K)$ -spaces and for which the requirements in (i) of Definition 2.6 are fulfilled, is in fact (p, \mathcal{K}) -representable; it is enough to consider a one point set I .

3. THE p -DAUGAVET EQUATION FOR WEAKLY COMPACT OPERATORS

The proofs of the following results hold by adapting the techniques used in the ones for the case of spaces with the Daugavet property, so we only sketch the parts that are different. For the Daugavet property, Theorem 3.1 was first proved in [7] and Proposition 3.3 in [6].

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. and with the p -Daugavet property. Then every weakly compact operator satisfies the p -Daugavet equation. Consequently, X cannot be reflexive.*

Proof. Let us show first the following claim:

- *Let $X(\mu)$ be a constant 1 g.f.s. If for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are a slice $S(g^*, \delta)$ and an element $g \in S_X$ such that $T(S(g^*, \delta))$ is included in the ball $B_\varepsilon(g)$, then T satisfies the p -Daugavet equation.*

In order to see this, note that we can assume that $\|T\| = 1$. Take $\varepsilon > 0$, and note that we can also assume that $0 < \delta \leq \varepsilon$. By Proposition 2.2 there is an element $h \in S(g^*, \delta)$ such that $\|(h^p + g^p)^{1/p}\|_X \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon$; it follows that $\|T(h) - g\|_X \leq \varepsilon$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{f \in B_X} \|(f^p + T(f)^p)^{1/p}\|_X^p &\geq \|(h^p + T(h)^p)^{1/p}\|_X^p \\
&\geq \|(h^p + g^p - (g^p - T(h)^p))^{1/p}\|_X^p \\
&\geq \|(h^p + g^p)^{1/p}\|_X^p - \|(g^p - T(h)^p)^{1/p}\|_X^p \\
&\geq 2 - 2\varepsilon - \|(g^p - T(h)^p)^{1/p}\|_X^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Now notice that the inequality

$$\| |g^p - T(h)^p|^{1/p} \|_X^p \leq \|g - T(h)\|^p + p(2k(p))^{p/p'} \|g - T(h)\|,$$

holds (Lemma 2.4 in [13]), where $k(p)$ is defined to be 1 if $p \geq p'$ and $k(p) = 2^{(p'/p)-1}$ if $p < p'$; it can be proved using the pointwise estimates

given in [12, Section 2.2], Hölder's inequality for Banach function spaces and the constant 1 p -convexity of X . Therefore, we obtain that

$$2 - 2\varepsilon - \|g - T(h)\|_X^p \geq 2 - 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^p - p(2k(p))^{p/p'}\varepsilon.$$

Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have proved the claim.

For finishing the proof let $\varepsilon > 0$ and take into account that we are assuming that the norm closure $\overline{T(B_X)} = K$ is a weakly compact set, and therefore it is the closed convex hull of its strongly exposed points. Thus, there is a strongly exposed point $f_0 \in K$ such that $1 - \varepsilon/2 < \|f_0\| \leq 1$. Then there is a slice S such that $T(S) \subset B_\varepsilon(f_0)$ (see the proof of this for example in [2, Theorem 11.50] or [7]). Since $B_{\varepsilon/2}(f_0) \subset B_\varepsilon(f_0/\|f_0\|)$, the claim gives the result. Finally, note that the space X cannot be reflexive, for otherwise the operator $-\text{Id}$ would satisfy the p -Daugavet equation. \square

Remark 3.2. A relevant consequence of Theorem 3.1 is that no $L^p(\mu)$ space for $1 < p < \infty$ satisfies the p -Daugavet property; recall that for $p = 1$ and an atomless measure μ the space $L^1(\mu)$ has the Daugavet property (see [1, Theorem 3.2] or [7, Example, p. 858]). In general, for $1 < p < \infty$, if a constant 1 p -convex Banach function space has the p -Daugavet property, then every p -concave operator satisfies the p -Daugavet equation. This is so because each such operator factorizes through an L^p space (see [8, Corollary 1.12]), and so it is weakly compact. Related arguments can also be used for proving that there are no ideals in such spaces being isomorphic to L^p spaces.

In a constant 1 p -convex Banach function space with the p -Daugavet property, $p > 1$, there are no p -concave lattice projections. To see this, assume that $Q: X \rightarrow X$ is such a projection; there is another disjoint projection P such that $\text{Id} = Q + P$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \in B_X} \|(f^p - Q(f)^p)^{1/p}\| &= \sup_{f \in B_X} \|((P(f) + Q(f))^p - Q(f)^p)^{1/p}\| \\ &= \sup_{f \in B_X} \|(P(f)^p + Q(f)^p - Q(f)^p)^{1/p}\| \\ &= \sup_{f \in B_X} \|P(f)\| \leq 1 < (1 + \|Q\|^p)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible since $-Q$ is p -concave and hence factorizes through an L^p space and is weakly compact. Moreover, the same computation gives that in a constant 1 p -convex Banach function space with the p -Daugavet property there are no projection bands being isomorphic to L^p spaces or to any reflexive Banach space. Finally, note that if X is order continuous, then every ideal is the range of a positive contractive projection, so there are no ideals isomorphic to L^p spaces as Banach spaces (see [8, Proposition 1.a.11]).

Let us finish the paper a suitable version of the non-existence of unconditional bases for Banach spaces with the p -Daugavet property. We recall that no Banach space with the Daugavet property has an unconditional basis [6] and does not even embed into a space with an unconditional basis [7]. Let X be a g.f.s. and consider an unconditional basis $\mathbf{B} := \{e_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with projections P_A , where A is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . Write Q_A for the complementary projection $\text{Id} - P_A$. We say that \mathbf{B} satisfies a lower p -estimate if

there is a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\|Q_A\| \geq \sup_{f \in B_X} \|(kf^p - P_A(f)^p)^{1/p}\|.$$

Notice that every unconditional basis satisfies a 1-lower estimate with the constant $k = 1$. Also, if the natural lattice structure associated to the basis is considered and X becomes a g.f.s. over the counting measure, the basis satisfies a lower p -estimate for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, also with the constant $k = 1$. None of these cases can occur if X is a Banach space with the Daugavet property or a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. with the p -Daugavet property, respectively. The following result generalizes these examples.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a constant 1 p -convex g.f.s. that has the p -Daugavet property. Then X does not have an unconditional basis with a lower p -estimate.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{B} := \{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an unconditional basis for X with a lower p -estimate. For every finite subset $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ denote by P_A and Q_A the corresponding projections on the subspaces generated by the elements of the basis with subscripts in A and $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$, respectively. Since $\text{Id} = P_A + Q_A$, \mathbf{B} has a lower p -estimate and by Theorem 3.1 $-P_A$ satisfies the p -Daugavet equation, there is a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\|Q_A\| \geq \sup_{f \in B_X} \| |kf^p + (-P_A(f))^p|^{1/p} \|_X \geq (k^{1/p} + \|P_A\|^p)^{1/p}.$$

If we define $V = \sup\{\|P_A\| : A \text{ finite}\}$ and $W = \sup\{\|Q_A\| : A \text{ finite}\}$, clearly $W \leq V$. Since by the inequalities above $W \geq (k^{1/p} + V^p)^{1/p}$, we obtain that $V = W = \infty$, a contradiction with the fact that \mathbf{B} is an unconditional basis. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] YU.A. ABRAMOVICH, C.D. ALIPRANTIS, AND O. BURKINSHAW. *The Daugavet equation in uniformly convex Banach spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. 97 (1991), 215–230.
- [2] YU.A. ABRAMOVICH AND C.D. ALIPRANTIS. *An Invitation to Operator Theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 50. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2002.
- [3] D. BILIK, V. KADETS, R. SHVIDKOY, AND D. WERNER. *Narrow operators and the Daugavet property for ultraproducts*. Positivity 9 (2005), 45–62.
- [4] K. BOYKO AND V. KADETS. *Daugavet equation in L_1 as a limiting case of the Benyamini-Lin L_p theorem*. Kharkov National University Vestnik 645 (2004), 22–29.
- [5] J. BECERRA GUERRERO AND A. RODRÍGUEZ-PALACIOS. *Banach spaces with the Daugavet property, and the centralizer*. J. Funct. Anal. 254 (2008), 2294–2302.
- [6] V.M. KADETS. *Some remarks concerning the Daugavet equation*. Quaestiones Math. 19 (1996), 225–235.
- [7] V. KADETS, R. SHVIDKOY, G. SIROTKIN, AND D. WERNER. *Banach spaces with the Daugavet property*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 855–873.
- [8] J. LINDENSTRAUSS AND L. TZAFRIRI. *Classical Banach Spaces II*. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [9] M. MARTÍN. *The Daugavetian index of a Banach space*. Taiwan. J. Math. 7 (2003), 631–640.
- [10] M. MARTÍN AND T. OIKHBERG. *An alternative Daugavet property*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 294 (2004), 158–180.
- [11] T. OIKHBERG. *Spaces of operators, the ψ -Daugavet property, and numerical indices*. Positivity 9 (2005), 607–623.

- [12] S. OKADA, W.J. RICKER, AND E.A. SÁNCHEZ PÉREZ. *Optimal Domain and Integral Extension of Operators acting in Function Spaces*. Operator Theory: Adv. Appl., vol. 180. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [13] E.A. SÁNCHEZ PÉREZ AND D. WERNER. *The geometry of L^p -spaces over atomless measure spaces and the Daugavet property*. Preprint 2009.
- [14] D. WERNER. *Recent progress on the Daugavet property*. Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin 46 (2001), 77–97.

INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA Y APLICADA, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE VALENCIA, CAMINO DE VERA S/N, 46071 VALENCIA, SPAIN.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 6, D-14 195 Berlin, Germany.

E-mail address: [easancpe@mat.upv.es](mailto: easancpe@mat.upv.es)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, ARNIMALLEE 6, D-14 195 BERLIN, GERMANY

E-mail address: [werner@math.fu-berlin.de](mailto: werner@math.fu-berlin.de)