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#### Abstract

The reaction of amines with pentafluorophenyl-substituted $A_{3} B$-porphyrins has been used to obtain different useful reactive groups for further functionalization and/or conjugation of these porphyrins to other substrates or materials. Porphyrins with alkenyl, alkynyl, amino, azido, epoxide, hydroxyl, and maleimido groups have thus been synthesized. For the first time such functionalized porphyrins have been conjugated to hyperbranched polyglycerol (hPG) as a biocompatible carrier system for photodynamic therapy (PDT) using the copper(I)-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (CuAAC). The photocytotoxicity of selected porphyrins as well as of the porphyrin-hPG-conjugates has been assessed in cellular assays with human epidermoid carcinoma A-253 and squamous carcinoma CAL-27 cells. For several biomedical applications a release of the active drug and/or fluorescent dye is desired. Therefore, additionally, the synthesis of $A_{3} B$-porphyrins with cleavable linker moieties is presented, namely disulfide, cleavable in a reductive environment, and acetal linkers whose cleavage is pH triggered.


## Introduction

Cyclic tetrapyrrolic systems are essential in many biological processes and are also of interest for diverse applications such as photodynamic therapy (PDT), ${ }^{1-6}$ light-harvesting, ${ }^{7,8}$ or catalysis. ${ }^{9-11}$ PDT is a treatment modality for malignant tissues, which is today routinely applied for the treatment of certain forms of cancer. ${ }^{1-6}$ In PDT, a dye - the so-called photosensitizer - and light are combined to provoke a toxic effect in the tumor cells. Different photosensitizers based on tetrapyrrolic structures are described in literature: e.g. chlorins and bacteriochlorins, ${ }^{2,12-17}$ porphyrins, ${ }^{2,5,6}$ phthalocyanines, ${ }^{18,19}$ and corroles. ${ }^{20,21}$ When choosing porphyrins as tetrapyrrolic systems, these may also be transformed into the corresponding chlorins or bacteriochlorins which are even more potent photosensitizers. ${ }^{2,12-17}$ If the connection to carriers or other substrates is intended porphyrins of the $A_{3} B$-type (with ' $B$ ' being the substituent suitable for coupling) are preferable to assure a specific linkage without undesired crosslinking. One way to obtain such specifically functionalized tetrapyrroles is the nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction of a fluorine atom in pentafluorophenyl-substituted tetrapyrrolic systems.

[^0]Different nucleophiles have been used like amines, ${ }^{5,22-25}$ alcohols, ${ }^{5,26-28}$ carborane, ${ }^{29}$ phosphanes, ${ }^{30}$ phosphite, ${ }^{31}$ and thiols. ${ }^{14,26,32}$ Thereby, the reaction with amines or thiols does not require any addition of catalysts or other reagents (e.g. bases), ${ }^{5,22-25}$ which simplifies reaction conduct and workup.

In this work the functionalization of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-type pentafluorophenyl containing porphyrins with amines is described, specifically intended for conjugation of these porphyrins to other substrates, carrier systems or material surfaces. An easy and convenient way is shown to introduce the following functionalities: alkenyl, alkynyl, amino, azido, epoxide, hydroxyl, and maleimido. The alkynyl-substituted porphyrin was chosen for further linkage - via the copper(1)-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (CuAAC) - to a second porphyrin, to glyco-substituents, and especially to hyperbranched polyglycerol (hPG), as a prominent example for a biocompatible carrier system, ${ }^{33-37}$ exemplifying the applicability of this method. One of the important issues with respect to carrier systems for medically active substances is the site-specific release of the active substance from the carrier. ${ }^{38-41}$ To provide such cleavable linkages porphyrins carrying disulfide or acetal linkers are also presented.

## Synthesis

The focus of this work is the synthesis of substituted porphyrin systems to obtain a toolkit for cleavable and non-cleavable linkers to different substrates e.g. carrier systems, surfaces or the formation of multimeric systems. In literature different tetrapyrroles have been described and used for further
linkage. ${ }^{42-44}$ Only little has been reported in this respect on the synthesis of porphyrins with cleavable linkers that should allow the release from a substrate or carrier system, which is of interest for many biological applications. ${ }^{45-47}$

In the literature a number of nucleophilic aromatic substitutions with amines on pentafluorophenyl-substituted porphyrins have been described involving however mainly the tetra-substituted derivatives. ${ }^{5,22-26,30}$ For the purpose of specific linkage mono-pentafluorophenyl-substituted porphyrins ( $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ systems) are preferable therefore we expanded the substitution reaction onto these porphyrin systems (Scheme 1).

The reaction was performed with porphyrins carrying 3-acetoxyphenyl 1a,,$^{27,48} 3$-benzyloxyphenyl 1b ${ }^{27}$ or 3-hydroxyphenyl $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{c}^{27,48}$ groups as $R^{1}$ (substituent A). The structure of


Scheme 1 Regioselective nucleophilic aromatic substitution of $A_{3} B$ porphyrins $1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ with different amines. $\mathrm{R}^{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is defined as in Table 1. Reagents and conditions: DMSO, $0.5-7 \mathrm{~h}, 83-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (detailed conditions and yields are given in Table 1).
the $A_{3} B$ porphyrins with (protected) 3-hydroxyphenyl groups is inspired by the structure of the photosensitizer Temoporfin (5,10,15,20-tetrakis(3-hydroxyphenyl)-chlorin, mTHPC) which is one of the few photosensitizers currently approved for clinical use. ${ }^{49}$ The polar hydroxyphenyl groups thereby increase the solubility of the hydrophobic macrocycle in the biological environment and enhance membrane affinity. ${ }^{49}$

The different amines and detailed conditions are given in Table 1. The reaction was performed in DMSO at $83^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (b.p. of propargylamine) or $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction with the diamine cystamine under these conditions led to degradation of the disulfide linker resulting in low yield (results not shown). Therefore the reaction was tried under microwave conditions (Table 1, entry 1). Using the microwave the reaction time gets shorter at the same time the yield is improved, showing that with this method it is possible to introduce labile functionalities, like the disulfide-containing cystamine. The different polarities of $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ did not interfere with the reactivity of the amines, therefore unpolar substituents like 3-benzyloxyphenyl can be used as well as the polar 3-hydroxyphenyl group. However, the more polar 3-hydroxyphenyl group is of higher interest for biological applications due to its close analogy to the photosensitizer Temoporfin.

Employing the 3-acetoxyphenyl residues it is possible to do a two-step one-pot reaction. ${ }^{27,48}$ The amine acts as a nucleophile for the nucleophilic aromatic substitution and simultaneously removes the acetoxy protection groups resulting in the functionalized $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-porphyrin with three polar hydroxyphenyl groups. This is shown with the example of the acetoxyprotected porphyrin 1a which on reaction with excess propargylamine directly afforded the deprotected and propargylamino-substituted compound $2 \mathbf{f}$. This simplifies the synthesis of substituted $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ porphyrins and makes it possible to get to the final product in only two steps starting from pyrrole and aldehydes. The unsubstituted and the two propargylamino-substituted porphyrins 1c and 2f,g (Scheme 2) were further converted to their corresponding zinc-complexes $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $\mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{i}$ obtained between $73 \%$ and quant. yield.

Mono-functionalized porphyrins like $2 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{g}$ should in principle also be accessible by the mono-functionalization of the tetrakispentafluorophenyl-substituted porphyrin 3 (Scheme 3). To test this 3 was reacted with propargylamine. Under optimized reaction conditions (DMSO/THF mixture, 1.5 h reaction

Table 1 Reactions of the $A_{3} B$ porphyrins $1 a-c$ with amines in DMSO

| Entry | Starting material | Amine | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | Conditions ${ }^{a}$ | Product | Yield ${ }^{\text {b }}$ [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1c | Cystamine | H | $30 \mathrm{~min}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ microwave (300W) | 2 a | 87 |
| 2 | 1c | 1,4-Diaminobutane | H | $1 \mathrm{~h}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2b | 69 |
| 4 | 1c | 1,5-Diaminopentane | H | $1 \mathrm{~h}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2c | 54 |
| 5 | 1c | 1,6-Diaminohexane | H | $1 \mathrm{~h}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2d | 79 |
| 3 | 1c | 1-( $N$-Boc-),5-diaminopentane | H | $4 \mathrm{~h}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2e | 69 |
| 6 | 1a | Propargylamine | Ac | $3 \mathrm{~h}, 83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $2 \mathbf{f}^{c}$ | 94 |
| 7 | 1b | Propargylamine | Bn | $7 \mathrm{~h}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 g | 78 |

[^1] propargylamine simultaneously removes the acetyl protection groups.


Scheme 2 Zinc insertion into the $A_{3} B$ porphyrins 1c, 2f and 2g. Reagents and conditions: $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ or $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}, 1-2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}$.


Scheme 3 Synthesis of the mono-functionalized porphyrin 4. Reagents and conditions: propargylamine, DMSO/THF (1/1), 1.5 h , $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
time) the mono-propargylamino-substituted porphyrin 4 could be obtained in $22 \%$ yield, in addition, the disubstituted compound carrying two propargylamino-substituents was also isolated ( $18 \%$, not shown). The $\mathrm{A}_{3} B$ porphyrin 4 carries only one propargylamino-substituent it lacks, however, the polar hydroxyphenyl-substitution of $2 \mathbf{2 a - g}$ which significantly contributes to the solubility of the hydrophobic macrocycle in the biological environment. ${ }^{49}$ To overcome this, a subsequent modification of the three remaining pentafluoropheny-substituents e.g. by nucleophilic substitution would be necessary.

The free amino group of the porphyrins $2 a-\mathbf{d}$ is a useful and reactive functionality for further modifications. It is possible to use it directly for the linkage to carriers or substrates. Use of amide coupling, e.g. allows the introduction of other linkage functionalities (Scheme 4). On the one hand it is possible to directly use a carboxylic acid, here propynoic acid, with DCC and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBt hydrate). This method is commonly used in peptide synthesis and prevents the formation of N -acylurea. ${ }^{50}$ The porphyrins $2 \mathbf{a}$ and $2 \mathbf{b}$, propynoic acid, HOBt hydrate, and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) in THF were stirred for 130 min at RT. The crude products were purified by column chromatography to afford the porphyrins $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ with a yield of 33 and $77 \%$, respectively. Products $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{b}$ and the zinc complex $5 \mathbf{c}$ carry the alkyne functionality which allows the CuAAC in further reactions; in addition $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ incorporate a cleavable disulfide linker as well.

On the other hand we used an active ester, which allows reactions with compounds containing amino-sensitive groups. One example is the maleimido functionality, which can undergo a reaction with the free amine of the porphyrin. Scheme 4 shows the reaction of 3-(maleimido)propionic acid N -hydroxysuccinimide ester with the porphyrins 2a, 2c and 2d.

The porphyrins 2a,c,d and 3-(maleimido)propionic acid N -hydroxysuccinimide ester were stirred in DMF for 1 h at RT. The crude products were purified by column chromatography to afford the porphyrins 6a-c in high yields between 65 and $81 \%$. The introduced maleimido functionality is useful for metal free conjugations of these porphyrins to substrates, additionally avoiding the complexation of the metal by the porphyrin which is a common problem in reactions of porphyrins involving transition metal catalysts.

For affording the release of the porphyrin it is necessary to introduce labile linker bonds. It is important that these bonds are predominantly cleaved when the active agent has reached its target. Above, the synthesis of thiol-disulfide linker-containing porphyrins 5b and 6a has been described (Scheme 4). This linker moiety can be used for drug delivery and is relying on the difference of the redox potential between the cytosol and the blood stream. In the blood stream the global potential is mildly oxidative. ${ }^{47,51}$ The intracellular environment is reductive on the other hand because of the fact that the concentration of glutathione (GSH) is $10^{3}$ fold higher compared to its counterpart, GSSG. ${ }^{52,53}$ In literature it is described that disulfide bonds are reduced in the cytosol, making the release of drugs possible. ${ }^{47,51,54,55}$

Another way is the pH-triggered cleavage via acetal linkers. By the time a conjugate or compound is taken up by the cell



Scheme 4 Substitution of the $A_{3} B$ porphyrins $2 a-d$ with free amine end groups via amide coupling with propynoic acid or 3-(maleimido)propionic acid $N$-hydroxysuccinimide ester. Reagents and conditions: (i) propynoic acid, HOBt hydrate, DCC, THF, 130 min, RT; (ii) 3-(maleimido)propionic acid $N$-hydroxysuccinimide, DMF, 1 h ., RT; (iii) $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{RT}$ (see Experimental section for further details).


Scheme 5 Acetal formation with the $A_{3} B$ porphyrin 7. Reagents and conditions: (i) 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde or 4-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)benzaldehyde, trimethyl orthoformate, indium(III) trifluoromethane sulfonate, neat, 3-27 h, RT. (ii) 1-(Allyloxy)-4-(dimethoxymethyl)benzene, trimethyl orthoformate, indium(III) trifluoromethane sulfonate, nitromethane/THF (5/1), $72 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}$ (see Experimental section for details).
the pH drops from 7.4 to 5-6 in endosomes and even down to 4.5 in lysosomes. ${ }^{47,56}$ Yet, the acetal-linkage is stable in the blood at $\mathrm{pH} 7.4 .{ }^{47}$ Once taken up by the cell via endocytosis the linker can then be cleaved in the endosomes or lysosomes.

To evaluate the possibility to introduce an acetal-linker into the porphyrin periphery the glycerol-substituted $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ porphyrin $7^{57,58}$ was reacted with the corresponding aldehyde or dimethoxy-acetal to obtain the acetal linker-containing porphyrins 8a-c and 9 with yields between 27 and $75 \%$ (Scheme 5). Employing this method functional linker groups
like epoxy, allyl, and phenolic hydroxyl were introduced. These groups make a further functionalization or linkage to a substrate possible.

The aim was to develop a toolset for linking porphyrins to various molecular substrates. A versatile, fast and easy reaction for connecting different molecules is the CuAAC. It is commonly applied in organic, ${ }^{59}$ polymer, ${ }^{60}$ materials, ${ }^{61}$ and medicinal chemistry. ${ }^{62,63}$ Therefore, in the next step the suitability of the alkynyl-substituted porphyrins $\mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{i}$ in the CuAAC-coupling reaction was assessed (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6 Modification of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ porphyrins $2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{i}$ via CuAAC. Reagents and conditions for all reactions: $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{ascorbic}$ acid sodium salt, DMSO, $0.5-75 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (see Experimental section for details).

The reaction of $2 \mathbf{h}$ with 3 -azidopropanol conveys a change in the functionality from an alkyne to a hydroxyl group (in 10a) with a yield of $89 \%$. Also the increased hydrophilicity may be favorable for a possible biological application. Glycosylated porphyrins are of great interest for the use in PDT and other fields, as they make it more specific and effective. ${ }^{64,65}$ Therefore in a test reaction alpha-d-glucose was connected to porphyrin 2i. Cancer cells show an increased uptake of glucose, which provides metabolic energy and maintains their proliferation. ${ }^{66,67}$ In various cancer cells glucose transporter proteins are over-expressed. ${ }^{67,68}$ We used 2-azido-beta-dglucose tetraacetate which was formed in situ from aceto-bromo-alpha-d-glucose tetraacetate and sodium azide and reacted it with $2 \mathbf{i}$ to obtain the glucosylated porphyrin 11 with a yield of $17 \%$. A large number of such CuAAC-mediated glycosylations are already described in the literature. ${ }^{64,65,69,70}$

To obtain the symmetric dimeric porphyrin 10c and the azido-porphyrin 10b (with a functionality swap from alkynyl to azido) 1,3-diazidopropane was reacted with the alkynyl-substituted porphyrin $2 \mathbf{h}$. It is noteworthy that even with a high excess of 1,3-diazidopropane partial dimer formation is observed. This indicates that the reactivity of the azidoporphyrin 10b is higher compared to the 1,3-diazidopropane itself. Mannose units are known to interact with mannose receptors on the bacterial membranes which makes porphyrin-mannose conjugates possible candidates for antibacterial PDT. ${ }^{71-73}$ Therefore the azido-porphyrin 10b with the inversed end group was then further functionalized with pro-pargyl- $\alpha$-d-mannopyranoside to directly obtain the corresponding deprotected glycosylated porphyrin 10d.

Finally, the polar alkynyl-substituted porphyrin $2 h$ was reacted with $h \mathrm{PG}_{19.5^{-}}$or $\mathrm{hPG}_{116}$-azides 12a-d under CuAAC conditions (Scheme 7 and Table 2). By this the porphyrin-$\mathrm{hPG}_{19.5}$-conjugates 13a-c were obtained which are the first examples of conjugates combining porphyrins and the hPG carrier system. hPG is an ideal drug carrier for medical applications. The synthesis of the chemically stable hPG can easily be upscaled to the kilogram scale and the conjugate still possesses hydroxyl groups for further functionalization, ${ }^{33,34,37,74,75}$ allowing e.g. the attachment of targeting moieties. ${ }^{34,74} \mathrm{hPG}$ is highly water soluble and tests in vitro and in vivo showed a good biocompatibility. ${ }^{35,36,75-77}$ Moreover, it shows high photostability which is advantageous with respect to its use in a photomedical application.
hPG systems with different degrees of azide loading were $u^{4} \mathrm{u}^{78}$ and reacted with different amounts of the porphyrin $\mathbf{2 h}$ to obtain a range of porphyrin loadings. In Table 2 the porphyrin loading is given as the approximate number of porphyrin groups. The degree of loading was determined by NMR spectroscopy by correlating the aromatic with the polyglycerol backbone protons as described in the literature. ${ }^{79-82}$

The conjugates 13a,c were further functionalized with methoxypoly(ethylene glycol) (mPEG)-propargyl ether leading to the porphyrin-mPEG-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugates $\mathbf{1 4 a}, \mathbf{b}$. It is known that PEGylation can be beneficial for in vivo applications as it increases the water solubility and renal clearance. ${ }^{83,84}$ Another



13a-c



Scheme 7 Functionalization of hPG with the $A_{3} B$ porphyrin $2 h$ via CuAAC. Porphyrin-, azide- and mPEG-loading of the conjugates 12a-d, $13 a-c$ and $14 a, b$ are given in Table 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, l-ascorbic acid sodium salt, DMSO, $5 \mathrm{~min}-75 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}$ $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, L-ascorbic acid sodium salt, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or acetone/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=11 / 4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 24-48 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}$ (see Experimental section for details).

Table 2 Core size and loading (porphyrin, azide and mPEG) of the hPG conjugates 12a-d, 13a-c and 14a,b

| Entry | Compound | Core size <br> $[\mathrm{kDa}]$ | Porphyrin <br> groups | Azide <br> groups | mPEG <br> groups |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 12a | 19.5 | - | $\sim 34$ | - |
| 2 | 12b | 116 | - | $\sim 78$ | - |
| 4 | 12c | 19.5 | - | $\sim 5$ | - |
| 5 | 12d | 19.5 | - | $\sim 53$ | - |
| 6 | 13a | 19.5 | $\sim 8$ | $\sim 26$ | - |
| 7 | 13b | 116 | $\sim 63$ | $\sim 16$ | - |
| 8 | 13c | 19.5 | $\sim 1$ | $\sim 4$ | - |
| 9 | 14a | 19.5 | $\sim 1$ | $\sim 1$ | $\sim 3$ |
| 10 | $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ | 19.5 | $\sim 8$ | $\sim 18$ | $\sim 8$ |

advantage is the possible use as a carrier with so-called 'stealth' properties, which hides the nanoparticles from the mononuclear phagocytotic system. ${ }^{85}$ The porphyrin-hPGconjugates 13a-c and the conjugates with additional PEGs 14a,b are examples for active substance-loaded nanocarrier systems, which may benefit from two effects: the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR)-effect ${ }^{86-89}$ and the photo-sensitizer-properties of the porphyrin. This makes them promising candidates for PDT.

In summary, using the nucleophilic substitution on a meso-mono-pentafluoro-substituted porphyrin carrying as additional meso-substituents three (protected) hydroxyphenyl groups a set of functionalized $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$-porphyrins suitable for the connection to carrier systems and other substrates has been prepared. The specific advantage of the present approach is that - starting from pyrrole and aldehyde - in only two steps (porphyrin condensation, nucleophilic functionalization and simultaneous deprotection) polar 3-hydroxyphenyl-substituted porphyrins with a single specific coupling site are obtained. The yields for the basic porphyrin condensation are typical for those involving the statistical condensation of two aldehydes and pyrrole ( $\sim 10 \%$ ), the yields for the nucleophilic functionalization are good to very good (54-94\%). As an alternative approach the selective mono-functionalization of a tetrakis(pentafluoro-phenyl)-substituted porphyrin has also been tested. The synthesized compounds benefit from their structural similarity with the clinically applied photosensitizer Temoporfin. For an application in the CuAAC zinc insertion in the alkynyl-substituted porphyrin was necessary as a third step. These polar porphyrins were coupled to hPG, as a prominent example of a biocompatible drug carrier system. With set of compounds at hand, we set out to investigate the photocytotoxicity of selected functionalized porphyrins and of the hPG-photosensitzer conjugates in two cancer cell lines to prove the feasibility of this approach in PDT.

## Photocytotoxicity in cellular assays

The photocytotoxicity of the free porphyrin dyes $\mathbf{2 h}, \mathbf{5 c}, \mathbf{1 0 a}$, 10b, and 10d was evaluated in cellular assays with human epidermoid carcinoma A-253 and squamous carcinoma CAL-27 cells (Fig. 1 and 2) (see Experimental section for details). The assays were carried out after incubation for 24 h with the photosensitizer in medium containing $10 \%$ fetal calf serum (FCS). After the 24 h incubation the medium was exchanged to ensure that only photosensitizer that has been taken up by the cells contributes to the observed effect. Both, the dark and the phototoxicity were determined at two different sensitizer concentrations ( 2 and $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ). A white light source at a dose rate of app. $50 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ was used for irradiation. Additionally, zinc porphyrin 15, [5,10,15,20-tetrakis(3-hydroxyphenyl)porphyri-nato]-zinc(II), ${ }^{90}$ was tested for comparison. Porphyrins $2 h, 5 \mathbf{c}$, 10a, and 10 b show phototoxicity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations and in both cell lines, and exhibited a somewhat higher activity than the control sensitizer 15. At the concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ the porphyrins $2 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \mathrm{a}$, and 10b show increased phototoxicity against the cell line CAL-27. For A-253 cells the highest phototoxicity


Fig. 1 Photocytotoxicity of the porphyrins $2 h, 5 c$, and 10 a in cellular assays with human epidermoid carcinoma A-253 and squamous carcinoma CAL-27 cells, irradiated with a white light source (see Experimental section for details). DT: dark toxicity.
at the concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ is observed with porphyrin 10 a . Porphyrins with terminal hydroxyl groups are described in literature to exhibit a higher phototoxicity. ${ }^{5}$ In this case for porphyrin 10a a much better efficacy compared to the control porphyrin 15 was observed. The zinc-porphyrin $10 d$ with the mannose functionality displayed a lower toxicity and was only active at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$. Hence, in this case neither the mannose substitution nor the concomitant increase in polarity via the additional OH groups did increase


10b

15

Fig. 2 Photocytotoxicity of the porphyrins 10b, 10d, and control 15, [5,10,15,20-tetrakis(3-hydroxyphenyl)porphyrinato]-zinc(ı), in cellular assays with human epidermoid carcinoma A-253 and squamous carcinoma CAL-27 cells, irradiated with a white light source (see Experimental section for details). DT: dark toxicity.
the phototoxicity of the sensitizer. None of the tested sensitizers showed dark toxicity in the CAL-27 cell line. Compounds $2 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \mathrm{a}$, and the control zinc porphyrin 15 showed only minor dark toxicity at the highest concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ in the A253 cell line.

Furthermore, the photocytotoxicity of the porphyrin-hPGconjugates without and with PEG 13a,b and $\mathbf{1 4 a}, \mathbf{b}$, respectively, were evaluated in the A-253 and the CAL-27 cell line (Fig. 3


Fig. 3 Photocytotoxicity of the porphyrin-hPG-conjugates 13a, 13b, and 14a in cellular assays with human epidermoid carcinoma A-253 and squamous carcinoma CAL-27 cells, irradiated with a white light source (see Experimental section for details). DT: dark toxicity.
and 4). As a control $\mathrm{hPG}_{19.5}$-azide 12 d with approx. 53 azido groups was tested to evaluate the toxicity of the carrier polymer.

All of the conjugates except of the $\mathrm{hPG}_{19.5}$-azide control 12d showed phototoxicity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentrations in both cell lines. The highest phototoxicity was observed for the conjugate with approx. 8 porphyrin and 8 PEG groups 14 bb which exhibited a higher activity than the unfunctionalized zinc porphyrin 15. Presumably, the higher PEGylation of the carrier increases
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Fig. 4 Photocytotoxicity of the porphyrin-hPG conjugate 14b and the control 12d in cellular assays with human epidermoid carcinoma A-253 and squamous carcinoma CAL-27 cells, irradiated with a white light source (see Experimental section for details). DT: dark toxicity.
the solubility of the conjugate leading to a better availability of the photosensitizer. ${ }^{84}$ At the concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ the conjugates 13a and 14a,b show increased phototoxicity against the cell line CAL-27. For all conjugates in the two cell lines no or only minor dark toxicity was observed. The $\mathrm{hPG}_{19.5}$-azide $\mathbf{1 2 d}$ as a control does not show any significant toxicity with or without irradiation. The results show that the linkages do not impair the phototoxicity in the investigated cell lines compared to the basic porphyrin.

## Conclusions

The reaction of mono-meso-pentafluorophenyl-substituted $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ type porphyrins with various amines has been employed in the context of functionalizing porphyrins for the conjugation to carrier systems for PDT. The nucleophilic substitution with amines afforded a set of different $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ porphyrins with functional linkers i.e. alkenyl, alkynyl, amino, azido, epoxide, hydroxyl, and maleimido groups. Amide coupling of the porphyrins containing an amine functionality has been exemplified with propynoic acid and 3-(maleimido)propionic acid $N$-hydroxysuccinimide ester. The maleimido groups allow the linkage to certain other substrates (e.g. thiols) without the use
of any catalyst. The versatility of the alkynyl-substituted $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ porphyrins for the CuAAC (Click reaction) has been demonstrated by the linkage to another porphyrin (dimer formation) and to sugar moieties. Finally for the first time porphyrins were conjugated to hPG as a biocompatible carrier system. Additionally, the synthesis of porphyrins with a cleavable linker and functional groups for further connections was established. Thus, a porphyrin with a reductively cleavable disulfide-bridge was obtained as well as porphyrins with a pH sensitive acetal linker. Overall, a toolkit for the functionalization of porphyrins with linkers for (bio-)conjugation is introduced. It could be shown that these linkages did not impair the phototoxicity in the investigated cell lines compared to the basic porphyrin which is an important prerequisite for their application in (bio-)conjugation. Cellular assays of selected zinc-porphyrins and porphyrin-hPG-conjugates showed promising phototoxicity, making their inclusion in PDT-active bioconjugates feasible.

## Experimental section

## Reagents

2,3,4,5,6-Pentafluorobenzaldehyde was purchased from Fluorochem. Acetobromo-alpha-d-glucose stabilized with $1 \%$ $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (98\%); 3-acetoxybenzaldehyde (97\%); indium(III) trifluoromethane sulfonate (99\%); and pyrrole (98\%) were purchased from ABCR. l-Ascorbic acid sodium salt (99\%); 1,5diaminopentane (98\%); 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) (98\%); dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) ( $\geq 99.7 \%$ ) extra dry over molecular sieves; dimethylformamide (DMF) (99.8\%) extra dry over molecular sieves; nitromethane ( $\geq 99 \%$ ); tetrahydrofuran (THF), (99.5\%), extra dry over molecular sieve, stabilized, AcroSeal®; trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (99\%); and trimethyl orthoformate (99\%) were purchased from Acros Organics. $N$-Boc-cadaverine ( $\geq 97 \%$ ); 1,4-diaminobutane ( $99 \%$ ); dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) (99\%); N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) (Atofina EDIPA) (99\%); 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBt hydrate); methanol ( $\geq 99.8 \%$ ); propargylamine ( $98 \%$ ); propynoic acid ( $95 \%$ ); and triethyl amine ( $\geq 99 \%$ ) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Dichloromethane (DCM) ( $\geq 99 \%$ ) was purchased from Fisher Chemical. Sodium acetate $\times 3 \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for analysis (99.5\%); sodium dihydrogen phosphate ( $99 \%$ ) pure; and zinc acetate $\times$ $2 \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for analysis (99.5\%) were purchased from Grüssing. DMSO ROTIDRY® ( $\leq 200 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ( $\geq 99.5 \%$ ); potassium hydroxide ( $\geq 85 \%$ ) Ph. Eur. pellets; sodium chloride ( $\geq 99.5 \%$ ) p. a, ACS, ISO; sodium hydroxide ( $\geq 99 \%$ ); and sodium sulfate ( $\geq 99 \%$ ) were purchased from Roth. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) ( $\geq 99.7 \%$ ) for HPLC was purchased from VWR. 1,6Diaminohexane ( $\geq 98 \%$ ); cystamine hydrochloride ( $\geq 97 \%$ ); and 3-maleimidopropionic acid $N$-hydroxysuccinimide ester (99\%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. 4-Hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $\geq 98 \%$ ) for synthesis and sodium hydrogen phosphate ( $\geq 99.5 \%$ ) for analysis were purchased from Merck. All these chemicals were used without further purification. Acetone-D ${ }_{6}$
(99.8\%); $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (99.8\%) stab. with silver; $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (99.95\%); $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ( $99.8 \%$ ); and THF-D 8 ( $99.5 \%$ ) were purchased from Deutero GmbH. 1,3-Diazidopropane, ${ }^{91} \quad \mathrm{hPG}_{19.5}$-azide 12a,c,d (synthesized from an hPG with $M_{\mathrm{w}}=19.5 \mathrm{kDa}$ and $\left.M_{\mathrm{n}}=8.4 \mathrm{kDa}\right){ }^{78}$ $\mathrm{hPG}_{116}$-azide 12b (synthesized from an hPG with $M_{\mathrm{w}}=116 \mathrm{kDa}$ and $M_{\mathrm{n}}=115 \mathrm{kDa}$ ), ${ }^{78,92,93}$ mPEG propargyl ether (average MW $=350),{ }^{94} 4$-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)benzaldehyde, ${ }^{95}$ 5,10,15-tris(3-acetoxyphenyl)-20-pentafluorophenylporphyrin (1a), ${ }^{27,48}$ 5,10,15-tris(3-benzyloxyphenyl)-20-pentafluorophenylporphyrin (1b), ${ }^{27}$ 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-pentafluorophenylporphyrin $(1 \mathbf{c}),{ }^{27,48}\{5,10,15,20$-tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrinato $\}$-zinc(II) (3), ${ }^{96,97}$ [5,10,15,20-tetrakis(3-hydroxyphenyl) porphyrinato]-zinc(II) (15), ${ }^{90}$ and 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(2,3-dihydroxypropoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (7) ${ }^{57,58}$ were prepared according to the literature or with slight modifications.

## Thin-layer chromatography (TLC)

TLC analysis was performed on Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ precoated aluminium sheets with fluorescence indicator $\mathrm{F}_{254}$. In addition, detection of the intrinsic tetrapyrrole fluorescence was performed with UV light at 366 nm .

## Column chromatography

The preparative purification of mixtures by column chromatography was conducted on silica gel, pore size $60 \AA, 40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, high purity containing $0.1 \%$ Ca from Fluka or MN Silica Gel $60 \mathrm{M}, 0.04-0.063 \mathrm{~mm} / 230-400 \mathrm{mesh}$, American Society for Testing (ASTM) for column chromatography from Machery-Nagel. The different eluents and the brands of the silica gel used in the synthesis are given in the individual procedures.

## Dialysis

Dialysis (dialysis tubing benzoylated, avg. flat width 32 mm (1.27 in), Sigma Aldrich) was performed in 1 or 2 L beakers and the solvents were changed 3 times over a period of 24 h . The solvents used are given in the individual procedures.

## NMR spectroscopy

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectra were recorded on Bruker BioSpin ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ AC250 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 250 MHz ), JEOL ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ECX 400 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR: 376 MHz ), JEOL ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ECP 500 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $500 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $126 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR: 471 MHz ), and Bruker BioSpin AVANCE700 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $700 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 176 MHz ) instruments. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, and THF-D 8 were used as deuterated solvents. Chemical shifts $\delta$ are given in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard or relative to the resonance of the solvent $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$, acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6}: \delta=2.05 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \delta=$ $4.79 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: \delta=3.31 \mathrm{ppm}+4.78 \mathrm{ppm}$, and THF-D $\delta=$ $3.58 \mathrm{ppm}+1.73 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta=77.16 \mathrm{ppm}$, acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6}: \delta=29.84 \mathrm{ppm}+206.26 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: \delta=$ 49.00 ppm , and $\left.\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{D}_{8} \delta=67.57 \mathrm{ppm}+25.37 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. All spectra were recorded at RT. Abbreviations for the signals: s (singlet), bs (broad singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet),
q (quartet), quin (quintet), h (heptet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet of doublets), dt (doublet of triplets), and td (triplet of doublets).

## MS spectrometry

Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were measured on an Agilent 6210 ESI-TOF from Agilent Technologies.

## UV/Vis spectroscopy

The UV/Vis measurements were performed on a Specord S300 spectrometer from Analytik Jena at RT. The solvents are given in the individual procedures.

## In vitro biological studies

Human epidermoid carcinoma A-253 and squamous carcinoma CAL-27 cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM) from cc-pro GmbH with $10 \%$ heat inactivated FCS from cc-pro $\mathrm{GmbH}, 1 \%$ penicillin (10 000 IU ) and streptomycin $\left(10000 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right.$ ) from cc-pro GmbH. A stock solution ( 2 mM ) of the PS was prepared at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO and kept in the dark. DMEM (without phenol red) with $10 \%$ FCS was used for further dilution to reach concentration 2 or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the PS, respectively. In micro plates $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well were seeded with fresh medium (DMEM without phenol red) containing $10 \%$ FCS with $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the PS and incubated for 24 h . After exchange of medium (to remove any PS not taken up by the cells), the photosensitization was performed at RT with a white light source (Schott KL 200 LCD) at a dose rate of app. $50 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. The cell viability of the samples was measured with a Tecan Infinite 200 microplate reader from Tecan Group AG, Switzerland, at a wavelength of 490 nm , assessed using the XTT assays ${ }^{98}$ and the absorbance. A wavelength of 630 to 690 nm was used to measure the reference absorbance (for measuring the non-specific readings).

## Recrystallization

Recrystallization of the porphyrinoids was performed by dissolving the product in the minimum amount of solvent (e.g. DCM) and layering it with a 3-fold excess of the anti-solvent $(e . g$. methanol/water $=9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$.

## Melting point (m.p.) measurements

The m.p. measurements were performed on a Thermovar m.p. microscope from Reichert.

## General synthesis of the zinc-porphyrins $1 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 2 \mathrm{i}$, and 5 c

In a flask with magnetic stirrer the porphyrin $\mathbf{1 c}, \mathbf{2 f}, \mathbf{2 g}$, or $\mathbf{5 b}$ was dissolved in methanol or a $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol mixture. A point of a spatula of sodium acetate and zinc acetate dihydrate was added to the stirred solution. The solution was stirred for 0.5 to 18 h at RT. The crude product was diluted with ethyl acetate or DCM and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column chromatography and/or recrystallization from DCM/n-hexane to obtain the corresponding zinc-porphyrins $\mathbf{1 d}, \mathbf{2 h}, 2 \mathbf{i}$, and $5 \mathbf{c}$.

Detailed experimental conditions are given in the ESI. $\dagger$ The products were analyzed by NMR, MS, and UV/Vis spectroscopy.

## General synthesis of the porphyrins $2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{c}, 2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{e}, 2 \mathrm{f}$, and 4 using the nucleophilic aromatic substitution with amines

In a flask with magnetic stirrer porphyrin 1a or 1c was dissolved in anhydrous DMSO or DMSO/THF mixture under argon. To the stirred solution the amine was added. The solution was stirred at 83 to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 to 4 h . The crude product was diluted with ethyl acetate or DCM and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and/or saturated NaCl -solution. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was evaporated to dryness and the remaining residue was purified by column chromatography and recrystallization to obtain the porphyrin products $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{2 b}, 2 \mathbf{c}, 2 \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{2 e}, 2 \mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{4}$. Detailed experimental conditions are given in the ESI. $\dagger$ The products were analyzed by NMR, MS, and UV/Vis spectroscopy.

5,10,15-Tris(3-benzyloxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-ynylamino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (2g). In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer 5,10,15-tris(3-benzyloxyphenyl)-20-pentafluorophenylporphyrin (1b) ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 152 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous THF (Acros) under argon. 3 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Roth) were added. The THF was evaporated in vacuo as long as the porphyrin stayed in solution. Propargylamine ( $98 \%, 160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the solution was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . The crude product was diluted with 100 mL of DCM and washed twice with 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was evaporated to dryness and the remaining residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / n$-hexane $=3 / 1$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Machery-Nagel) and recrystallization from DCM/methanol to obtain $5,10,15$-tris(3-benzyloxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-ynylamino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (2g) ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 118 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $78 \%$ yield) as a purple solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=8.94\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-\beta$ ), 8.89-8.83 (m, 6H, 3,7,8,12,13,17- $\beta$ ), 7.88 (s, 3H, Ar ), $7.86-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.67\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, $7.53\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.44-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, 7.38-7.32 (m, 3H, Ar), 5.27 (s, 6H, OCH $)^{2}$, 4.49-4.43 (m, 3H, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}+\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NH}$ ), $2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH})-2.78 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=157.27,147.56$, 146.17, 143.46, 143.30, 138.70, 137.34, 137.04, 131.66, 128.80, 128.19, 128.15, 127.80, 121.66, 121.34, 120.39, 114.91, 110.70, 102.40, 80.43, 73.03, 70.43, 36.13. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 471 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta=-139.78\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz} ;{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$, -158.89-(-159.30) ppm (m, 2F, o-Ar $)$. m.p.: $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 1058.3693$ found: 1058.3651. UV/Vis (DCM): $\lambda_{\text {max }}\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=645$ (3000), 589 (6000), 548 (6000), 514 (18 000), $419 \mathrm{~nm}(338000)$.

5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(N-4-propyneamidobutylamino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (5a). In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer propynoic acid $(95 \%, 3.00 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.40 \mathrm{mg}$, $46.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), HOBt hydrate ( $7.40 \mathrm{mg}, 54.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), DCC ( $99 \%$, 17.3 mg , $83.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 1 mL THF (VWR). The solution was stirred for 10 min at RT. To the stirred solution 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(4-aminobutylamino)tetra-
fluorophenyl]porphyrin (2b) ( $40.1 \mathrm{mg}, 48.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added. The solution was stirred at RT for 2 h . The crude product was diluted with 150 mL of ethyl acetate and washed three times with 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was evaporated to dryness and the remaining residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/methanol = 94/6, v/v, Fluka) to obtain 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(N-4-propyneamidobutylamino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (5a) ( $13.9 \mathrm{mg}, 15.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 33 \%$ yield) as a purple solid. The relatively low yield is due to the fact that the product partly decomposed during workup. Also the final product exhibited a low stability in solution.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=8.99-8.84(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \beta+$ 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), $7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})), 7.69-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, 5,10,15-meso-2,6-Ar), $7.58-7.51$ (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-5-Ar), 7.22-7.18 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-4-Ar), $5.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NH}\right.$ ), 3.69 $\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 3.35$ $\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right), 1.91-1.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.80-1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right),-2.73 \mathrm{ppm}$ (s, 2H, pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=157.32$, 157.28, 152.59, 149.08, 147.15, 144.29, 144.16, 138.93, 137.08, $130.49,128.35,128.30,127.06,123.05,122.28,121.37,115.81$, 103.59, 79.52, 73.01, 67.99, 54.96, 46.25, 39.92, 30.71, 29.36, 27.79, $25.86 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 376 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=-142.72-$ $(-143.27)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-162.78-(-163.07) \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $>230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 873.2807$; found: 873.2806. UV/Vis (acetone): $\lambda_{\max }$ $\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=645$ (3000), 592 (5000), 546 (6000), 512 (16 000), $416 \mathrm{~nm}(203000)$.

5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-( $N$-(2-((2-aminoethyl)disulfanyl)ethylpropyneamido))-phenyl]porphyrin (5b). In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer DCC ( $99 \%$, $16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 76.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), propynoic acid ( $95 \%, 4.82 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 73.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and HOBt hydrate ( $12.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were dissolved in 1 mL of THF (VWR) and stirred for 10 min at RT. 5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(N-(2-((2-aminoethyl)disulfanyl)ethylamino))phenyl]porphyrin (2a) ( $69.0 \mathrm{mg}, 78.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the solution was stirred for 2 h at RT. The crude product was dissolved in 100 mL of ethyl acetate and washed three times with 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solution was evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by column chromatography $(\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $=85 / 15, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Machery-Nagel) and recrystallization from DCM/n-hexane to obtain 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxy-phenyl)-20-[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-(N-(2-((2-aminoethyl)disulfanyl) ethylpropyneamido) phenyl]porphyrin (5b) ( $56.0 \mathrm{mg}, 59.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $77 \%$ yield) as a purple solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone-D ${ }_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.13-9.10(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-$ $\beta$ ), 9.04-9.01 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, 3,17-\beta$ ), $9.00-8.95$ (m, 7H, $7,8,12,13-\beta+$ 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 8.10-8.07 (bs, 1H, NHC(O)), 7.76 (d, ${ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5,15-$ meso-2-Ar), $7.75\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, 10-$ meso- $2-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.73\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5,15-\right.$ meso-6Ar), $7.72\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 10-\right.$ meso-6-Ar), $7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-5-Ar), $7.33\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.5,{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=2.3\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-4-Ar), $5.91\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{N} H\right), 4.04\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.68$
( $\left.\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$, $3.26\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.02\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})\right.$ $\left.=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right),-2.75 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole- NH ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone-D ${ }_{6}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=156.85,156.80,152.91$, 148.56, 147.22, 143.94, 143.80, 138.96, 137.50, 132.13, 129.94, 128.66, 128.61, 127.19, 127.14, 122.84, 122.80, 122.34, 121.38, 115.98, 108.24, 103.56, 78.69, 74.46, 45.44, 39.57, 39.43, $37.95 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetone-D ${ }_{6}, 376 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=-143.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-161.79 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=18.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{~F}, \quad o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $>230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 937.2254$ found: 937.2294. UV/Vis (ethanol): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=645$ (3000), 589 (6000), 547 (7000), 512 (18 000), 416 nm (329 000).

5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-((2-((3-maleimidyl) propanamido)ethyl)disulfanyl)ethyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl] porphyrin (6a). In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer under argon 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-((2-aminoethyl) disulfanyl)ethyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 138 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 1.5 mL of anhydrous DMF. 3-(Maleimido)propionic acid $N$-hydroxysuccinimide ester ( $99 \%, 47.1 \mathrm{mg}, 177 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the solution was stirred for 1 h at RT. The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL ethyl acetate and washed four times with $150 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography $(\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $=95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Fluka) . The product was recrystallized from $n$-hexane to obtain 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-((2-((3-maleimidyl)propanamido)ethyl)disulfanyl)ethyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (6a) ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 112 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 81 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.02-8.85(\mathrm{bm}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \beta)$, 8.75-8.66 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.72-7.61 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-2,6-Ar), $7.55\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-\right.$ meso-5-Ar), 7.53-7.44 (m, 1H, NHC(O)), 7.26-7.14 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-4-Ar), 6.74 (s, 2H, HC $=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.11-6.03 (bs, 1 H , $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NH}\right), 3.98\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.83-3.69$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.53\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, $3.19\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right), 2.90\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})\right.$ $\left.=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right), 2.50-2.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $-2.72 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=171.04,170.21,157.08,157.04,148.77,146.84,144.03$, 143.89, 138.88, 136.97, 134.86, 131.60, 129.65, 128.11, 128.05, 126.80, 122.81, 122.08, 121.16, 115.58, 108.21, 103.20, 45.44, 39.68, 39.07, 38.45, 34.93, $34.91 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}$, $471 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=-142.56-(-142.86)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-162.24-$ $(-162.47) \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$. m.p.: $185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 1036.2569 found: 1036.2588. UV/Vis (methanol): $\lambda_{\text {max }}\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=645$ (3000), 588 (5000), 546 (6000), 512 (16000), $415 \mathrm{~nm}(229000)$.

5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((((5-maleimidyl)propanamido)pentyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (6b). In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer under argon 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(5-aminopentylamino)tetrafluorophenyl] porphyrin ( 2 c ) ( $46.1 \mathrm{mg}, 55.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 1.5 mL of anhydrous DMF. 3-(Maleimido)propionic acid $N$-hydroxysuccinimide ester ( $99 \%, 19.8 \mathrm{mg}, 73.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was
added and the solution was stirred for 1 h at RT. The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL ethyl acetate and washed four times with $150 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (DCM/methanol $=95 / 5, ~ v / v$, Fluka). The product was recrystallized from $n$-hexane to obtain 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((()(5-maleimidyl)propanamido)pentyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin ( $6 \mathbf{b}$ ) ( $35.3 \mathrm{mg}, 35.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 65\% yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.02-8.85(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \beta), 8.76$ (s, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.71-7.62 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-$2,6-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.55\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-\right.$ meso-5-Ar), 7.24-7.19 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-4-Ar), $7.17\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}), 5.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{N} H\right)$, 3.79-3.72 (m, 2H, C(O) $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.66\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.24\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, 2.45-2.38 (m,2H, C $\left.(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.87$ (quin, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.65-1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $-2.72 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=171.28,169.83,157.27,157.23,149.02,147.10,144.32$, $144.19,135.10,128.36,128.31,127.15,127.12,123.05,122.25$, $121.35,115.79,103.65,46.56,39.76,35.25,35.16,31.76,30.66$, $25.86 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (THF-D $8,376 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=-142.87-$ $(-143.22)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-162.24 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}\right.$, $o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{+}$ ([M + H] $]^{+}$): 986.3284 found: 986.3329. UV/Vis (methanol): $\lambda_{\max }$ $\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=645$ (3000), 588 (6000), 546 (7000), 513 (19000), $415 \mathrm{~nm}(257000)$.

5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((((6-maleimidyl)propanamido)hexyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (6c). In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer under argon 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(6-aminohexylamino)tetrafluorophenyl] porphyrin (2d) ( $78.6 \mathrm{mg}, 92.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 1.5 mL of anhydrous DMF. 3-(Maleimido)propionic acid $N$-hydroxysuccinimide ester ( $99 \%, 30.2 \mathrm{mg}, 112 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the solution was stirred for 1 h at RT. The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL ethyl acetate and washed four times with $150 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (DCM/methanol = 95/5, v/v, Fluka). The product was recrystallized from $n$-hexane to obtain 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((((6-maleimidyl)propanamido)hexyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (6c) ( $62.8 \mathrm{mg}, 62.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 68 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D ${ }_{8}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.00-8.88(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \beta)$, 8.75-8.88 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.69-7.62 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-2,6-Ar), $7.55\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-\right.$ meso-5-Ar), $7.20\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.9,{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $5,10,15-$ meso-4-Ar), $7.13\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right), 6.75$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} H), 5.77\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{N} H\right)$, $3.78-3.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.66\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, 2.44-2.36 (m, 2H, C $\left.(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.85$ (quin, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \quad 1.61-1.51 \quad\left(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 4 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $1.51-1.41 \quad\left(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $-2.71 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
(THF-D $\left.{ }_{8}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=171.26,169.74,157.25,157.21,149.15$, $147.10,144.33,144.19,135.08,130.53,128.37,128.32,127.17$, $127.14,123.05,122.24,121.34,115.78,103.65,46.46,39.77$, $35.24,35.14,32.09,30.85,30.70,27.68,27.51 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{D}_{8}, 471 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=-142.88-(-143.17)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$, -163.09 ppm (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$. m.p.: $181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 1000.3440$ found: 1000.3460. UV/Vis (methanol): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=$ 645 (4000), 588 (7000), 545 (8000), 512 (20000), 415 nm (263 000).
( $\pm$ )-5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-methoxy-1,3-dioxo-lan-4-yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (8a). In a sample tube with magnetic stirrer 5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(2,3-dihydroxypropoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (7) 31.2 mg , $37.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $98 \%$, $58.8 \mathrm{mg}, 472 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), trimethyl orthoformate $(99 \%, 79 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 720 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and indium(III) trifluoromethane sulfonate ( $99 \%, 2.8 \mathrm{mg}, 4.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were mixed and stirred neat for 3 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL ethyl acetate and washed three times with 100 mL phosphate buffer ( 100 mM , pH 8). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (n-hexane/acetone $=3 / 2, \quad \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Fluka) to obtain $( \pm)-5,10,15$-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-methoxy-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (8a) (20.1 mg, 23.2 $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 61 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone-D $\left.{ }_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=9.10\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-\beta), 9.03\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3,17-\beta\right), 8.98(\mathrm{~d}$, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7,8,12,13-\beta$ ), $9.00-8.87$ (bs, $3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.78-7.75 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-2-Ar), 7.75-7.71 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-6-Ar), 7.66-7.61 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-5$\mathrm{Ar}), 7.36-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-4-Ar), 5.97, $5.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, acetal $-H$ ), 4.91-4.64 (m, 3H), 4.39-4.33 (m, 1H), 4.17-4.07 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41,3.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-2.74 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone-D $\left.{ }_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=156.83,156.77,148.68$, $146.77,143.87,143.69,141.25,139.36,132.35,128.68,128.62$, $127.20,127.16,122.83,122.65,121.45,117.44,1.10,116.00$, $115.83,102.22,76.82,75.84,75.75,75.12,66.14,65.97,51.54$, 51.15 ppm. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetone-D ${ }_{6}, 471 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=-141.47-$ $(-141.71)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-158.70-(-158.92) \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 867.2442$ found: 867.2456. UV/Vis (ethanol): $\lambda_{\max }$ $\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=644$ (2000), 588 (6000), 545 (6000), 511 (19 000), 415 nm (383000).
( $\pm$ )-5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (8b). In a sample tube with magnetic stirrer 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $98 \%$, $80.3 \mathrm{mg}, 644 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), trimethyl orthoformate (99\%, $51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 460 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and indium(III) trifluoromethane sulfonate ( $99 \%, 4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 7.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were mixed and stirred neat for 3 h . 5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(2,3-dihydroxypropoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (7) $(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 36.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h . The reaction was quenched with triethyl amine $(99 \%, 500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.55 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL ethyl acetate and washed three times with 100 mL phosphate buffer $(100 \mathrm{mM}$,
pH 8). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $n$-hexane/acetone $=3 / 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Fluka) to obtain $( \pm)-5,10,15-$ tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-(4-hydroxy-phenyl)-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (8b) $(25.3 \mathrm{mg}, 27.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $75 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone-D $\left.6,500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): ~ \delta=9.11-8.88(\mathrm{bm}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \beta+$ 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 8.74-8.47 (bs, 1H, acetal-4-Ar-OH), 7.79-7.71 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-2,6-Ar), $7.637\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=\right.$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5,15-$ meso-5-Ar), $7.630\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 10-\right.$ meso-5-Ar), 7.49, $7.43\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.1,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, acetal-2,6$\mathrm{Ar}), 7.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-\right.$ meso-4-Ar), $6.91(\mathrm{~d}$, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, acetal-3,5-Ar), 6.05, $5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, acetal- $H)$, 4.88-4.72 (m, 3H), 4.53-4.09 (m, 2H), -2.75 ppm (s, 2 H , pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone-D $\left.{ }_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=159.44$, $159.26,156.86,156.82,148.74,146.82,143.93,143.74,141.29$, $139.58,132.79,130.05,129.49,129.39,129.14,128.71,128.64$, $127.28,127.20,122.90,122.85,122.68,121.60,116.04,115.91$, 115.88, 105.72, 104.98, 102.30, 76.37, 76.08, 75.87, 75.77, $67.75,67.51 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetone-D $\left.{ }_{6}, 471 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=$ $-141.47-(-141.71)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-158.70-(-158.92) \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}$, $2 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{+}$ $\left([M+H]^{+}\right): 929.2598$ found: 929.2632. UV/Vis (DCM): $\lambda_{\max }$ $\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=645$ (2000), 589 (4000), 548 (4000), 514 (12000), $418 \mathrm{~nm}(220000)$.
$( \pm)-5,10,15-T r i s(3-h y d r o x y p h e n y l)-20-[4-((2-(4-(o x i r a n-2-$ ylmethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl] porphyrin (8c). In a sample tube with magnetic stirrer 4-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)benzaldehyde ( $92.4 \mathrm{mg}, 519 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), trimethyl orthoformate ( $99 \%, 39 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 350 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and indium(III) trifluoromethane sulfonate ( $99 \%, 4.2 \mathrm{mg}, 7.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were mixed and stirred neat for 3 h. 5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(2,3-dihydroxypropoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (7) $(32.1 \mathrm{mg}, 38.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and 2 drops of DCM were added and the mixture was stirred for another 24 h . The reaction was quenched with triethyl amine ( $99 \%, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 710 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with 100 mL ethyl acetate and washed three times with 100 mL phosphate buffer $(100 \mathrm{mM}$, pH 8). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography ( $n$-hexane/acetone $=1 / 1, ~ v / v$, Fluka) followed by a second column chromatography ( $n$-hexane/ acetone $=3 / 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Fluka) to obtain ( $\pm$ )-5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxy-phenyl)-20-[4-((2-(4-(oxiran-2-ylmethoxy)phenyl)-1,3-dioxolan-4yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (8c) (10.4 mg , $10.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $27 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone-D $\left.{ }_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=9.10-8.89(\mathrm{bm}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \beta+$ 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.77-7.70 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-2,6-Ar), 7.67-7.61 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-5-Ar), 7.57, $7.52\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=\right.$ $8.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, acetal-2,6-Ar), $7.36-7.31$ (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-$4-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.04,7.03\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.7,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, acetal-3,5-Ar), $6.07,5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, acetal $-H), 4.90-4.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-3.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $5.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}),-2.76-(-2.80) \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, pyrrole$\mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.\mathrm{D}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=159.84,159.69$, 156.06, 156.00, 143.09, 142.92, 142.91, 131.71, 131.66, 130.80, $130.25,128.55,128.28,127.91,127.84,126.44,126.38,122.06$,
122.01, 121.86, 121.85, 120.78, 120.76, 115.23, 114.96, 114.37, $114.33,104.66,104.64,103.86,75.48,75.30,75.16,75.14$, 69.37, 69.35, 69.32, 69.26, 66.93, 66.71, 49.76, 49.65, 43.61, 43.51 ppm. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetone-D $6,471 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=-141.31-$ $(-142.08)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-158.48-(-159.15) \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}$, $m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{+}$ $\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 985.2861$ found: 985.2851. UV/Vis (acetone): $\lambda_{\max }$ $\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=644$ (2000), 588 (5000), 545 (5000), 511 (13000), $415 \mathrm{~nm}(243000)$.
( $\pm$ )-5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-((2-(4-(allyloxy)phenyl)-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (9). In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer 1-(allyloxy)-4-(dimethoxymethyl)benzene $(33.0 \mathrm{mg}, 158 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 5,10,15$-tris(3-hydroxy-phenyl)-20-[4-(2,3-dihydroxypropoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (7) $(80.3 \mathrm{mg}, 97.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and indium(III) trifluoromethane sulfonate $(99 \%, 6.4 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in 5 mL of nitromethane. After 24 h 1 mL of dry THF (Acros) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h . 1-(Allyloxy)-4(dimethoxymethyl)benzene ( $275 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and indium (III) trifluoromethane sulfonate ( $99 \%, 6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. After 3 d the reaction was completed. The reaction mixture was diluted with 50 mL methanol/triethyl amine (99:1) and filtered over silica gel. The product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{DCM} /\left(\right.$ methanol $\left./ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 4: 1+\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{pH} 8)\right)$ to obtain $( \pm)-5,10,15-\operatorname{tris}(3-h y d r o x y p h e n y l)-20-[4-((2-(4-($ allyloxy $)$ phenyl)-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)methoxy)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrin (9) ( $52.5 \mathrm{mg}, 54.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 56 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone-D ${ }_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.10-8.83(\mathrm{bm}, 11 \mathrm{H}, \beta+$ 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.80-7.69 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-2,6-Ar), $7.66-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-m e s o-5-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.55,7.51\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=\right.$ 8.6, $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, acetal-2,6-Ar), $7.34\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $5,10,15-$ meso-4-Ar), 7.012, $7.006\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.5,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, acetal-3,5-Ar), 6.07, $5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, acetal-H), 6.11-6.05, 5.97-5.89 (m, 1H, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) , 5.46-5.38, 5.29-5.21, 5.11-5.05 (m, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.90-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.61\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\right), 4.55-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\right), \quad 4.16-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, \quad 0.5 \mathrm{H}),-2.75-(-2.76) \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}$, pyrrole-NH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone-D $\left.6,176 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=160.59$, $160.44,156.85,156.80,148.43,147.06,143.92,143.74,142.95$, 141.57, 139.62, 134.64, 134.47, 131.36, 130.79, 129.28, 129.03, 128.71, 128.64, 127.26, 127.20, 122.87, 122.83, 122.69, 122.66, $122.65,121.60,121.58,121.57,117.45,117.37,116.03,115.29$, $115.25,105.51,104.73,102.28,76.29,76.12,76.10,76.07$, $75.95,69.32,69.26,67.74,67.53,49.78 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetone- $\left.\mathrm{D}_{6}, 471 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=-141.54-(-141.89)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$, $-158.58-(-158.87)$ ppm (m, 2F, m-Ar $)^{2}$ ). m.p.: $140-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 969.2911$ found: 969.2915. UV/Vis (acetone): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=644$ (6000), 589 (13 000), 511 (41 000), $416 \mathrm{~nm}(231000)$.
\{5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(((1-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyri-nato\}-zinc(II) (10a). In a 25 mL flask with magnetic stirrer \{5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-yn-1-ylamino)tetra-fluorophenyl]porphyrinato\}-zinc(II) (2h) (43.4 mg, $51.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in 1 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Acros) under argon. To the stirred solution 3-azidopropanol $(823 \mathrm{mg}$,
8.14 mmol ), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $20.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.50 \mathrm{M}$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}\right)$, and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate $(12.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.40 M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5.10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. The solution was stirred for 30 min at RT. The crude product was diluted with 100 mL of ethyl acetate and was washed once with 100 mL of saturated NaCl solution. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with 50 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed four times with 100 mL of saturated NaCl solution. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was evaporated to dryness and the remaining residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ methanol $=95 / 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Fluka) and recrystallization from DCM to obtain $\quad\{5,10,15$-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(((1-(3-hydroxy-propyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl] porphyrinato\}-zinc(II) (10a) ( $47.7 \mathrm{mg}, 45.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 89 \%$ yield) as purple-red solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (THF-D $\left.8,700 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=8.97\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-\beta), 8.92\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 7,13-\beta\right), 8.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 8,12-\beta\right), 8.88\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $3,17-\beta$ ), $8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5,15-$ meso-Ar-OH), 8.83 (s, 1H, 10-meso-Ar$\mathrm{OH}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, triazole- $H$ ), $7.65-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-$2,6-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.508\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5,15-\right.$ meso-5-Ar), $7.506(\mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 10-$ meso-5-Ar), $7.184\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=\right.$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5,15-$ meso-4-Ar), 7.181 (dt, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 10-$ meso-4-Ar), $6.15(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NH}\right), 4.89\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.55\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, triazole- $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.98$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 2.14-2.09 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (THF-D, 176 MHz ): $\delta=157.02,157.00$, $151.28,150.96,150.78,148.68$, 147.32, 146.52, 145.57, 145.51, $139.01,137.66,133.33,132.46,132.18,130.62,129.57,127.85$, $127.81,127.14,127.12,127.09,127.06,127.02,123.20,123.17$, $123.13,122.99,122.84,121.87,115.27,110.29,103.47,59.05$, 47.73, 42.00, $34.41 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (THF-D $8,376 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=$ $-142.62-(-142.92)\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-162.03 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=\right.$ $17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Zn}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right)$: 951.2009; found: 951.1966.
\{5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(((1-(3-azidopropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyri-nato\}-zinc(ii) (10b). In a 25 mL flask with magnetic stirrer \{5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-yn-1-ylamino)tetra-fluorophenyl]porphyrinato\}-zinc(II) (2h) (103 mg, $121 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in 4 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Acros) under argon. To the stirred solution 1,3-diazidopropane $(1.60 \mathrm{~g}$, 12.7 mmol ), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $\geq 99 \%, 75.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $375 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and copper(iI) sulfate pentahydrate $(32.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $128 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were added. The solution was stirred for 30 min at RT. The crude product was diluted with 100 mL of ethyl acetate and was washed once with 100 mL of saturated NaCl solution. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with 50 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed four times with 100 mL of saturated NaCl solution. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was evaporated to dryness and the remaining residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $=96$ / $4, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} \rightarrow 85 / 15, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Fluka) to obtain two fractions. Both frac-
tions were recrystallized from $n$-pentane to obtain: fraction 1 \{5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(((1-(3-azidopropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrinato\}zinc(II) (10b) ( $43.4 \mathrm{mg}, 44.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 37 \%$ yield) and fraction 2 por-phyrin-dimer (10c) ( $44.2 \mathrm{mg}, 24.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 40 \%$ yield) as purplered solids.

Porphyrin 10b. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=8.98$ (d, $\left.{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-\beta\right), 8.97-8.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3,7,8,12,13,17-$ $\beta$ ), 8.75-8.70 (bs, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.90 (s, 1H, tri-azole- $H$ ), 7.74-7.72 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-2-Ar), 7.72-7.69 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-6-Ar), $7.59\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-\right.$ meso-5-Ar), $7.29\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, 5,10,15-meso-4-Ar), $5.63\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NH}\right), 4.39$ $\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, triazole- $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.32\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=\right.$ $\left.7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.29\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $2.09 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ (acetone-D $\left.{ }_{6}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}\right): ~ \delta=156.52$, 156.50, 151.16, 151.11, 150.85, 150.68, 148.41, 147.06, 146.24, 145.38, 145.30, 138.98, 137.62, 133.47, 132.69, 132.39, 130.98, 129.18, 128.23, 128.20, 127.29, 123.14, 122.92, 122.77, 121.78, 115.41, 115.34, 110.37, 103.55, 48.95, 47.84, 41.28, $41.20 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6}$, $471 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=-142.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$, $-161.13-(-161.51) \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .:>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Zn}^{-}\left([\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}\right)$: 974.1922; found: 974.2182. UV/Vis (DCM): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=647$ (4000), 595 (4000), 553 (19000), 515 (20 000), $422 \mathrm{~nm}(20000)$.

Porphyrin dimer 10c. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- ${ }_{6}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=$ 8.97-8.93 (m, 12H, 3,7,8,12,13,17- $\beta$ ), $8.91\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-\beta$ ), $8.81-8.74$ (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-3-Ar-OH), 7.74 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-2-Ar), 7.71-7.66 (m, 6H, 5,10,15-meso-6-Ar), 7.58-7.51 (m, 8H, 5,10,15-meso-5-Ar + triazole-H), 7.29-7.24 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-4-Ar), 5.23-5.15 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NH}$ ), 3.91-3.82 (bs, 4H, triazole- $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 3.70-3.60 (bs, $4 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 2.04-1.99 ppm (m, 2H, triazole- $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone$\left.\mathrm{D}_{6}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=156.52,156.49,156.41,151.17$, 151.09, 150.87, 150.69, 148.33, 146.97, 145.71, 145.43, 145.32, 138.82, 137.47, 133.49, 132.67, 132.38, 131.00, 128.85, 128.20, 128.16, 127.30, 123.15, 122.99, 122.92, 122.77, 121.80, 115.40, 110.52, 103.46, 47.42, $40.58 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6}, 376 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=$ $-141.69-(-142.99)\left(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-161.08 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=\right.$ $16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ). m.p.: $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{97} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~F}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Zn}_{2}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right): 1825.3410$; found: 1827.3568. UV/Vis (methanol): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=647$ (8000), 594 (9000), 553 (36000), 515 (35000), 422 nm (36000).
\{5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(((1-(3-(4-()(mannosyl-6-(hydroxymethyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)propyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)tetrafluor-ophenyl]porphyrinato\}-zinc(II) (10d). In a 25 mL flask with magnetic stirrer \{5,10,15-tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(((1-(3-azidopropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)tetrafluoro-phenyl]porphyrinato\}-zinc(II) (10b) $(20.8 \mathrm{mg}, 21.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in 3 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Acros) under argon. To the stirred solution propargyl- $\alpha$-d-mannopyranoside $(8.60 \mathrm{mg}$, $39.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $\geq 99 \%, 15.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $75.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( 5.00 mg , $20.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added. The solution was stirred for 1 h at RT.

The crude product was diluted with 100 mL of ethyl acetate and was washed once with 100 mL of saturated NaCl solution. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with 50 mL of ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed four times with 100 mL of saturated NaCl solution. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was evaporated to dryness and the remaining residue was purified by column chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol $=85 / 15$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Fluka) and recrystallization from DCM to obtain $\{5,10,15$-tris (3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(((1-(3-(4-)((mannosyl-6-(hydroxymethyl) tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)propyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)amino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrinato $\}$-zinc(II) (10d) ( $24.1 \mathrm{mg}, 20.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 95 \%$ yield) as a purple-red solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=8.94\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-\beta), 8.93-8.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7,8,12,13-\beta), 8.83\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=\right.$ $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3,17-\mathrm{OH}), 8.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right.$-triazole- $H$ ), 7.92 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$-triazole- $H$ ), $7.71-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 5,10,15-$ meso-2,6-Ar), 7.59-7.51 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-5-Ar), 7.26-7.19 (m, 3H, 5,10,15-meso-4-Ar), 4.84-4.79 (m, 2H, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.71(\mathrm{~d}$, ${ }^{2} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$-triazole), $4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Man- $\mathrm{H}-1)$, $4.54\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$-triazole $), 4.44\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})\right.$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$-triazole- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.40\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$-triazole- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.81\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}\right.$, $\mathrm{H})=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Man-H-6b), $3.75\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})\right.$ $=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Man- $\mathrm{H}-2), 3.69\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=\right.$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Man- $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}$ ), 3.68-3.61 (m, 1H, Man- $H-3$ ), $3.58(\mathrm{t}$, ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Man- $\left.H-4\right), 3.58-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Man $-H-5)$, $2.51 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right.$-triazole$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=156.69,151.69$, 151.60, 151.34, 151.17, 147.96, 145.93, 145.48, 133.65, 132.76, 132.47, 130.83, 128.31, 127.77, 125.56, 124.45, 123.27, 122.11, 115.44, 103.65, 100.81, 74.90, 72.47, 71.98, 71.13, 68.61, 62.95, 60.72, 41.71, 31.59, 30.72, $30.49 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, $376 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=-143.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=21.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$, $-162.12 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{~F})=20.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$. m.p.: $225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{59} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{NaZn}^{+}\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}\right): ~ 1216$, 2678; found: 1216, 2535. UV/Vis (methanol): $\lambda_{\max }\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=647$ (6000), 595 (4000), 555 (4000), 515 ( 25000 ), 422 nm (23000).
\{5,10,15-Tris(3-benzyloxyphenyl)-5-[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-((1(( $2 R, 3 R, 4 S, 5 R, 6 R)-3,4,5$-triacetoxy-6-(acetoxymethyl)tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methylamino)phenyl]por-phyrinato\}-zinc(iI) (11). In a 25 mL flask with magnetic stirrer acetobromo-alpha-d-glucose ( $98 \%, 110 \mathrm{mg}, 263 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 3.4 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Roth). $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ( $99 \%$, $21.0 \mathrm{mg}, 320 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at RT. \{5,10,15-tris(3-benzyloxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-ynylamino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrinato\}-zinc(II)
( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 134 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), L -ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.43$ M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.43 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at RT for 52 h . Portions of the reactants were added after 16 h (acetobromo-alpha-d-glucose ( $98 \%, 110 \mathrm{mg}$, $263 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(99 \%, 21.0 \mathrm{mg}, 320 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ dissolved in 2 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Roth), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt
( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.43 M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $700 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.43 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ )), 32 h (aceto-bromo-alpha-d-glucose ( $98 \%, 550 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ ( $99 \%, 105 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Roth), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $3.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.52 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $3.30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 1.52 M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ )), and 48 h (acetobromo-alpha-dglucose $(98 \%, 275 \mathrm{mg}, 656 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(99 \%, 52.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $800 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dissolved in 5 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Roth), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $1.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.47 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 2.50 mmol ) and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $1.70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.47$ M in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ ) of stirring. Three drops DIPEA were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . The crude product was diluted with 100 mL of DCM and washed three times with 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Afterwards the organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was evaporated to dryness and the remaining residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/ethyl acetate $=9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$, Machery-Nagel) and recrystallization from $\operatorname{DCM} /$ methanol to obtain $\{5,10,15-$ tris(3-benzyloxyphenyl)-5-[2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-((1-((2R,3R,4S,5R,6R)-3,4,5-triacetoxy-6-(acetoxymethyl)tetrahydro- 2 H -pyran-2-yl)- 1 H -1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methylamino)phenyl]porphyrinato $\}$-zinc(II) (11) ( $34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 22.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 17 \%$ yield) as a pink solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=9.01-8.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $3,7,8,12,13,17-\beta), 8.88\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2,18-\beta\right)$, 7.89-7.80 (m, 6H, Ar), 7.66-7.58 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.43 (s, 1H, tri-azole- $H$ ), 7.39-7.10 (m, 18H, Ar), $5.55\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, $H-1$ ose), $5.34-5.27$ (m, 1H, H-3 ose), $5.21\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-4$ ose), $5.17-5.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}-2\right.$ ose), 4.19 (dd, vicinal: ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, geminal: ${ }^{2} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H})=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6$ ose), 4.06-3.99 (m, 1H, H-5 ose), 3.90-3.83 (m, 1H, H-6 ose), 2.02 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.95 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.92 (s, 3H, OAc), 1.65 ppm $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OAc}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=170.57,169.95$, 169.40, 168.85, 157.06, 150.55, 150.31, 150.19, 150.03, 144.22, 144.17, 136.92, 136.84, 133.04, 132.41, 132.12, 130.65, 128.57, 128.07, 128.01, 127.94, 127.66, 127.59, 127.55, 127.52, 122.14, 121.47, 121.42, 121.15, 119.98, 114.63, 114.59, 111.10, 85.85, 75.26, 72.33, 70.28, 70.20, 67.67, 61.44, 20.71, 20.63, 20.58, $19.95 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 471 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=-140.23-(-140.53)$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, m-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right),-159.31-(-159.55) \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{~F}, o-\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$. m.p.: $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HRMS (ESI): calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{82} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Zn}^{+}$([M] ${ }^{+}$): 1492.3871 found: 1492.3994. UV/Vis (DCM): $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ $\left(\varepsilon\left[\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]\right)=585(3000), 548(17000), 513(19000), 420 \mathrm{~nm}$ (260 000).

Porphyrin-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate with $\mathbf{3 \%}$ porphyrins and $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ azides 13a. In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer $\mathrm{hPG}_{19.5^{-}}$ azide with $13 \%$ azides $12 \mathrm{a}(68.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3.34 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 114 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ azido groups) was dissolved in 1 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Acros). $\quad$ 55,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-yn-1ylamino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrinato $\}$-zinc(II) (2h) $(22.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $26.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), L -ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $26.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $13.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $16.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40$ M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 6.40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at RT for 2 d . The crude product was purified by dialysis (acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 2 d to obtain the purple wax-like product porphyrin-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate with $3 \%$ porphyrins and

10\% azides 13a ( $75.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.89 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 19.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ porphyrin and $80.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ azido groups, $87 \%$ yield, $84 \%$ conversion).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}=5 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.16-8.28$ (bs, $\beta$ ), $7.86-6.53(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}+$ triazole- $H$ ), $4.05-2.72 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{hPG}-$ backbone + porphyrin- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}=5 / 1$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=155.71,150.64,150.37$, 150.13, 144.77, 133.14, 132.25, 131.98, 130.53, 127.92, 126.85, 122.39, 121.30, $114.98,80.50,80.24,78.95,78.66,72.94,71.80,71.36,71.15$, 71.12, 69.85, 69.55, 63.34, 61.53, 53.83 ppm . UV/Vis (acetone/ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}=598,557,424 \mathrm{~nm} . M_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{NMR}}=26.000$.

Porphyrin-h $\mathrm{PG}_{116}$-conjugate with $\mathbf{4 \%}$ porphyrins and $\mathbf{1 \%}$ azides 13b. In a 5 mL flask with magnetic stirrer $\mathrm{hPG}_{116}$-azide with $5 \%$ azides 12b $(55.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $466 \mathrm{nmol}, 36.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ azido groups) was dissolved in 1 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Acros). \{5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-yn-1-ylamino)tetra-fluorophenyl]porphyrinato\}-zinc(II) (2h) ( $30.2 \mathrm{mg}, 35.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $252 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 26 \mathrm{mM}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $6.55 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $52.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.14$ M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 7.05 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at RT for 3 d . Afterwards the reaction mixture was heated to $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The crude product was purified by dialysis (acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 6 d to obtain the purple wax-like product porphyrin-hPG ${ }_{116}$-conjugate with $4 \%$ porphyrins and $1 \%$ azides 13 b ( $58.4 \mathrm{mg}, 330 \mathrm{nmol}, 22.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ porphyrin and $3.10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ azido groups, $71 \%$ yield, $91 \%$ conversion).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.77-8.51$ (bs, $\beta$ ), 8.51-6.98 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}+$ triazole- $H$ ), 4.32-2.62 ppm (m, hPG-backbone + por-phyrin- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=154.80,149.85$, $145.88,143.88,136.69,132.44,127.70,122.16,115.05,107.93$, 79.42, 77.91, 72.12, 70.85, 70.69, 70.41, 69.16, 68.87, 62.60, 60.76 ppm . UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \lambda_{\max }=597,557,423 \mathrm{~nm} . M_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{NMR}}=$ 177.000 .

Porphyrin-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate with $0.4 \%$ porphyrins and $\mathbf{1 . 6 \%}$ azides 13c. In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer $h^{19.5}{ }^{-}$-azide with $2 \%$ azides $12 \mathrm{c}(56.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.85 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $14.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ azido groups) was dissolved in 1 mL of anhydrous DMSO (Acros). \{5,10,15-Tris(3-hydroxyphenyl)-20-[4-(prop-2-yn-1-ylamino)tetrafluorophenyl]porphyrinato\}-zinc(II)
( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 7.05 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $26.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5$ M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 13.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $16.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 6.40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at RT for 5 min . The crude product was purified by dialysis (methanol/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 2 d to obtain the purple product porphyrin-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate $0.4 \%$ porphyrins and $1.6 \%$ azides 13c. The product was directly converted to 14a in the next reaction without drying.

Porphyrin-mPEG-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate with $0.4 \%$ porphyrins, $\mathbf{1 . 3 \%}$ mPEG, and $0.3 \%$ azides 14a. In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer porphyrin-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate $0.4 \%$ porphyrins and $1.6 \%$ azides $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ was dissolved in 3 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. mPEG propargyl ether (average $\mathrm{MW}=350)(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 20.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, L-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $26.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 13.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate $\left(16.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $6.40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at RT for 1 d . The crude product was purified by dialysis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ for 2 d to obtain the purple wax-like product porphyrin-mPEG-hPG 19.5 $^{-}$
conjugate with $0.4 \%$ porphyrins, $1.3 \%$ mPEG, and $0.3 \%$ azides 14a ( $53.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.38 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.05 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ porphyrin, $3.43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ mPEG, and 791 nmol azido groups, $84 \%$ yield over two steps).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.22-8.63(\mathrm{~m}, \beta), 8.42-7.15(\mathrm{~m}$, Ar + triazole- $H$ ), 4.32-3.35 (m, hPG-backbone + porphyrin$\mathrm{CH}_{2}+$ mPEG- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$-hPG starter unit), 0.89 ppm ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$-hPG starter unit). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=79.63$, 79.41, 78.14, 77.90, 72.12, 70.97, 70.86, 70.69, 70.40, 69.56, 69.42, 69.16, 68.86, 62.59, 60.73, 58.04 ppm . UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : $\lambda_{\text {max }}=600,559,429 \mathrm{~nm} . M_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{NMR}}=22.300$.

Porphyrin-mPEG-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate with 3\% porphyrins, 3\% mPEG, and $\mathbf{7 \%}$ azides 14b. In a 10 mL flask with magnetic stirrer porphyrin-hPG ${ }_{19.5}$-conjugate $3 \%$ porphyrins and $10 \%$ azides 13a ( $59.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.11 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $18.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ porphyrin and $53 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ azido groups) was dissolved in 2.2 mL of acetone and $800 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{mPEG}$ propargyl ether (average $\mathrm{MW}=350$ ) $(22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 62.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{L}$-ascorbic acid sodium salt ( $26.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.5 M in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 13.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $21.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.30 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 6.30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at RT for 2 d . The crude product was purified by dialysis (acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for 2 d to obtain the purple wax-like product porphyrin-mPEG-hPG 19.5 $^{5}$-conjugate with $3 \%$ porphyrins, $3 \%$ mPEG and $7 \%$ azides $\mathbf{1 4 b}(62.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.99 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $17.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ porphyrin, $17.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{mPEG}$ and $32.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ azido groups, $94 \%$ yield, $35 \%$ conversion).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (acetone- $\mathrm{D}_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=9.23-8.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, \beta), 8.20-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar}+$ triazole- $H$ ), 4.17-2.50 (m, hPG-backbone + porphyrin- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{mPEG}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$-hPG starter unit), $0.80 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{hPG}\right.$ starter unit). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (acetone-D ${ }_{6} /$ $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 176 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=150.02$, 144.62, 94.49, 80.19, 79.98, 78.69, 78.44, 72.69, 71.50, 71.16, 70.91, 70.08, 69.64, $69.35,63.10,61.30,58.39,53.58,51.50 \mathrm{ppm}$. UV/Vis (acetone$\left.\mathrm{D}_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): \lambda_{\text {max }}=597,556,423 \mathrm{~nm} . M_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{NMR}}=31.200$.
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