5 APPENDIX

5.1 DENSITY POROSITY FORMULA

In order to be able to compute loads, we need information about the density of the sediments. Under normal conditions one can accept a constant density. But in applying for example in south China sea (BRAITENBERG ET AL. 2005) the problem resulted that the sediments reach up to a depth of d = 12km. Here we can not assume a constant density. The density of the sediments increases with the depth. If it concerns oceanic sediments, then the concept of porosity plays an important role. Therefore in the following we will construct a formula taking porosity and density information from boreholes into account.

Large extended sediment basins produce a long-wave gravity signal. This has an important influence on the calculation of the CMI depth variation. Therefore, it is necessary to determine first the gravity effect of the sediment basin and reduce this effect from the gravity signal. To compute the gravity effect the densities of the sediments are required. The density of the sediments increases with depth. Since porosity is also a function of depth, one can construct a formula to calculate the density of sediments (application in Chapter 3.1) by using the porosity. The general porosity formula is (e.g. SU ET AL. 1989) :

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 \cdot e^{-b \cdot d} \tag{5.1.1}$$

thereby is Φ the porosity, d the depth and Φ_0 is the initial porosity of the sediments at the surface. The parameter b must be determined by calibration, e.g. from boreholes. The bulk density of a rock is composed of the density of the fluid ρ_f and the grain density ρ_s which is related to the porosity by:

$$\rho = \Phi \cdot \rho_f + (1 - \Phi) \cdot \rho_s \tag{5.1.2}$$

For example in South China Sea we can assume $\rho_f = 1050 kg / m^3$ and $\rho_s = 2700 kg / m^3$. Using Eq. 5.1.1 and 5.1.2, a general density porosity formula results:

$$\rho(d) = \Phi_0 \cdot e^{-b_1 \cdot d} \cdot \rho_f + (1 - \Phi_0 \cdot e^{-b_2 \cdot d}) \cdot \rho_s$$
(5.1.3)

As boundary conditions in e.g. South China Sea we have porosity/depth data of IODP Leg 184. Therefore results the idea to use the density values from borehole measurements - shown in Table 5.1.1. and to construct a new function. In the following the density values are used for construction of a depth-density function. If we would fit the data with a linear relation, then we would overestimate the density value for sediments at a depth d = 10km. This means, that we would create a pseudo anomaly in large depth. Therefore is it essential to find a function in such way, that it approximates at greater depth a constant value, e.g. $\rho_s = 2800kg/m^3$. For the radical - logarithm function we observe a good fit with the data.

	name of boreholes							
	Site	1148	Site	1143	Site 1			
depth [m]	min	max	min	max	min	max	den	
100	1570	1650	1450	1600	1500	1600	sity	
200	1650	1700	1500	1700	-	-	- [kg	
300	-	-	1520	1700	1650	1700	/m ³	
400	-	-	1600	1750	-	-		
500	-	-	-	-	1800	1900		

However, the exponential function is physically better explained and for this reason this function is favored (see Fig. 5.1.2).

Table 5.1.1) minimal and maximal density values from borehole measurements

The exponential function is described by the following equation:

$$\rho(z) = 0.8 \cdot e^{-0.44z} \cdot 1.04 + (1 - 0.8 \cdot e^{-0.44z}) \cdot 2.8$$
(5.1.4)

By comparison of Eq. 1.4.4 with Eq. 1.4.3 we conclude 0.8 for the initial porosity. This fits to the results from borehole measurements 80%. The data adjustment gives the density of the fluid with $\rho_f = 1040 kg/m^3$. This is comparable with borehole measurement of $\rho_f = 1050 kg/m^3$. The grain density is through the data adjustment $\rho_s = 2800 kg/m^3$. According to the borehole measurements a density value of $\rho_s = 2700 kg/m^3$ is obtained.



Figure 5.1.2) Construction of a depth-density function using information from borehole measurements. A linear relation could not be found (see dark blue colored Graph). The radical - logarithm function has a good fit to the data (yellow color). However, the exponential function (light blue color) is better explained in the physical way.

APPENDIX

In order to avoid producing a pseudo gravity anomaly at greater depth, it is better to use the value $\rho_s = 2800 kg / m^3$, since the exponential function converges to this density value in the infinite (if *d* becomes infinitely large) and this density value fits also to the density value of the reference crust. The parameter *b* was determined with b = 0.44. This function was insert into the slice program, which was introduced in the Chapter 1.4.

5.2 COMPARISON OF FLEXURE CURVES

5.2.1 FFT solution compared with logarithm and sine function

In Chapter 2.5, the flexure curves calculated with the analytical solution are compared with the flexure curves derived by FFT methods. The following Figs. 5.2.1 to 5.2.4 illustrate the agreement of the flexure curves for a specific T_e value and a certain factor.



Figure 5.2.1) Comparison of flexure curve calculated for $T_e = 10 km$. The flexure curve fits for a factor $fact = 2.25 \cdot 10^5$.



Figure 5.2.2) Comparison of flexure curve calculated for $T_e = 20km$. The flexure curve fits for a factor $fact = 4 \cdot 10^5$.



Figure 5.2.3) Comparison of flexure curve calculated for $T_e = 30 km$. The flexure curve fits for a factor $fact = 4 \cdot 10^5$.



Figure 5.2.4) Comparison of flexure curve calculated for $T_e = 40 km$. The flexure curve fits for a factor of $fact = 9 \cdot 10^5$.

5.2.2 Comparison of output from computer program with FFT

As mentioned at the end of Chapter 2.5.2, the output of the computer program has been compared with the flexure curves of the analytical solution and derived from the FFT methods. We obtain a very good agreement between all functions. In Figs. 5.2.5 to 5.2.7 the deflection curves in [m/km] over a distance x calculated for $T_e = 5km$ are shown. Thereby the logarithm function is colored dark blue, the sinus function light blue, the output flexure of the Fortran computer program red and the flexure curves derived from FFT methods orange. Enlarging the graph, we obtain the "automatically switch" of the computer program from the logarithm function to the sinus function. Obviously, at close range the curve from the computer program (red) agrees with the logarithm function (dark blue) in the wide range with the sinus function (light blue). The flexure curve computed by the software fits well to

the flexure curves derived with FFT methods (orange). For further enlarging of the graph we obtain as well a very good agreement of all functions for the bulge.



Figure 5.2.5) The flexure curves were calculated for $T_e = 5km$. The deflection in [m/km] of the logarithm function is dark blue, the sine function light blue, the output of the computer program is red and derived from FFT methods are orange colored.



Figure 5.2.6) Zoom of Figure 5.2.5 shows the deflection in [m/km] calculated for $T_e = 5km$ for the logarithm function (dark blue), the sine function (light blue), the output of the computer program (red) and from FFT (orange).



Figure 5.2.7) Further zoom of Figure 5.2.5 shows the bulge of the deflection in [m/km] calculated for $T_e = 5km$.

5.3 FE MODELS

5.3.1 Calculation input parameters and results

		F bzw.						у-
Name of	D (D)	F _{min} / F _{max}	E_1/E_2	r / 2 1	time	0	max.	displacement
FEmodel	P _z [Pa]	[N]		g [m/s ⁻]	[S]	Steps	iterations	[m]
susi_1	10 ⁸		$10^{13} / 10^{13}$	-9.81	1.0	22	40	-94096.73
susi_2	6x10 ⁸		10 ¹³ / 10 ¹³	-9.81	1.0	22	40	-75862.35
susi_3	6x10 ⁹		10 ¹³ / 10 ¹³	-9.81	1.0	22	40	+802088.20
susi_4	10 ⁹		10 ¹³ / 10 ¹³	-9.81	1.0	22	40	-292.10
susi_5	1.1x10 ⁹		10 ¹³ / 10 ¹³	-9.81	1.0	22	40	+214.24
susi_6	1.05x10 ⁹		10 ¹³ / 10 ¹³	-9.81	1.0	22	40	+4.28
susi_7	1.05x10 ⁹		10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	-9.81	1.0	22	40	+50.70
susi_8	1.05x10 ⁹		10 ¹¹ / 10 ¹¹	-9.81	1.0	22	40	+118269.90
susi_9	1.03x10 ⁹		10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	-9.81	1.0	22	40	-412.85
susi_11	1.05x10 ⁹		10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	-10.0	1.0	22	40	-420.33
susi_12	1.07x10 ⁹		10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	-10.0	1.0	22	40	+46.08
susi_13		-10 ⁴	10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	-10.0	1.0	22	40	-15.02
susi_14		-10 ⁴	10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	without	1.0	22	40	-3.14x10 ⁻⁸
susi_15		-10 ⁴	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹⁰	without	1.0	22	40	-3.14x10 ⁻⁶
susi_16		-10 ⁵	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹⁰	without	1.0	22	40	-3.14x10 ⁻⁵
susi_17		-10 ⁶	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹⁰	without	1.0	22	40	-3.14x10 ⁻⁴
susi_18		-10 ⁸	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹⁰	without	1.0	22	40	-3.14x10 ⁻²
susi_19		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹⁰	without	1.0	22	40	-339.43
susi_20		-10 ¹²	10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	without	1.0	22	40	-3.41
susi_21		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹²	without	1.0	22	40	-266.96
susi_22		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹²	-10.0	1.0	22	40	-598.48
susi_23		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹⁰	-10.0	1.0	22	40	-1724.01

susi_24		-10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹²	without	1.0	22	40	-5.35
susi_25		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹²	without	1.0	22	40	-7569.98
susi_26		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	1.0	22	40	-1255.87
susi_27		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹¹	without	1.0	22	40	-58123.76
susi_28		-10 ¹²	10 ¹² / 10 ¹²	without	1.0	22	40	-1006.14
susi_29	vgl. susi_26	-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	1.0	22	40	-1266.11
susi_30		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	22	40	-1266.11
susi_31		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	200	40	-3407.00
susi_32		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	500	40	-6017.04
susi_33		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	1000.0	500	40	-6025.17
susi_34		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	1	40	-1064.92
susi_35		-10 ¹²	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	1000	40	-10000.33
susi_36		-10 ⁴	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	1	40	-2.25x10 ⁻⁶
susi_37		-10 ⁴	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	10	40	-2.68x10 ⁻⁶
susi_38		-10 ⁴	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	100	40	-2.75x10 ⁻⁶
susi_39		-10 ⁴	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	1000	40	-2.76x10 ⁻⁶
susi_40		-10 ⁴	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	10000	40	-2.76x10 ⁻⁶
susi_41		-10 ⁹	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	100	50	-0.27
susi_42		-10 ⁹	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	200	50	-0.27
susi_43		-10 ⁹	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	200	50	-0.27
susi_44		-10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	100	50	-2.75
susi_45		-10 ¹¹	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	100	50	-29.28
susi_46		-2x10 ¹¹	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	without	100.0	100	50	-76.53

The following FE models are calculated without gravity.

		F bzw.	_ /_				у-
	L flore 1	F _{max} /	E_1 / E_2	time [a]	Chama	max.	displacement
name	I _F [KM]	F _{min} [N]	[Pa]	time [s]	Steps	Iterations	լոյ
			10 ¹⁰ /				
susi_47	120	-10 ¹⁰	10 ¹³	100.0	100	50	-27.11
susi 48	240	-10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	100.0	100	50	-42 13
0001_10	210	10	10 ¹⁰ /	100.0	100	00	12.10
susi_49	60	-10 ¹⁰	10 ¹³	100.0	100	50	-7.67
		-10 ¹⁰ /-	10 ¹⁰ /				
susi_50	120	10'	10	100.0	100	50	-6.78
	100	-10 ¹⁰ /-	10 ¹⁰ /			-	
susi_51	120	10°	10 ¹⁰	100.0	100	50	-6.84
auai 50	100	-10 ^{1°} / -	10 ¹⁰ /	100.0	100	50	10.00
SUSI_52	192	4X10	10 ¹⁰ /	100.0	100	50	-12.09
susi_53	192p	-10 ¹¹ / 0	10 / 10 ¹³	100.0	100	50	-38.36
		-10 ¹⁰ / -	10 ¹⁰ /				
susi_54	120	10 ⁸	10 ¹³	100.0	100	50	-51.54
		central -	10				
		10 ¹⁰ /-	10 ¹⁰ /				
susi_55	60	10°	10 ¹³	100.0	100	50	-4.18
		central -	10 /				
. 50		5x10 ¹⁰ /	10 ¹⁰ /	400.0	400	50	40.00
susi_56	60	-5x10°	10.5	100.0	100	50	-46.00
		right -	1010 /				
susi 57	60	$-5x10^{-7}$	10 ⁻¹⁷	100.0	100	50	-48.11

		E ₁ / E ₂			σ_{v1}/σ_{v2}	
	F bzw. F _{max} / F _{min} [N]	[Pa]	C ₁ / C ₂ [Pa]	φ ₁ / φ ₂ [°]	[Pa]	
susi_58	right -5x10 ¹⁰ / -5x10 ⁸	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	2x10 ¹⁰ /2x10 ⁷	31 / 31		-48.12
susi_59	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	2x10 ¹⁰ /2x10 ⁷	31 / 31		-13.88
susi_60	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	$2x10^{7}/2x10^{7}$	31 / 31		-13.88
susi_61	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	2x10 ¹⁰ /2x10 ¹⁰	31 / 31		-13.79
susi_62	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹¹ / 10 ¹³	2x10 ¹⁰ /2x10 ¹⁰	31 / 31		-2.70
susi_63	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	2x10 ¹⁰ /2x10 ¹⁰	15 / 31		-13.79
susi_64	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³	2x10 ¹⁰ /2x10 ¹⁰	40 / 40		-13.79
susi_65	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³			10 ⁷ / 10 ⁸	-13.79
susi_66	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³			10 ⁷ / 10 ⁸	-13.79
susi_67	-5x10 ¹⁰	10 ¹⁰ / 10 ¹³			$10^7 / 10^7$	-21.08

The following models are calculated without gravity, time=100s, 100 steps, and with maximum 50 iterations.



5.3.2 Settings of the FE models