

7. Literatur

7.1 Eigene Artikel

A Fischer T, Filimonow S, Slowinski T, Hamm B, Thomas A. Dignitätsbeurteilung mammasonographischer Herde mittels dreidimensionaler Darstellung. Fortschr Röntgenstr 2006;178(12):1224-1234

B Thomas A, Filimonow S, Slowinski T, Fischer T. Steigerung der Bildqualität bei der Dignitätsbeurteilung mammasonographischer Herde mittels Frequenzcompounding. Ultraschall in der Medizin, in press

C Thomas A#, Fischer T#, Ohlinger R, Blohmer JU, Winzer KJ, Weber S, Kristinansen G, Kümmel S. An advanced method of ultrasound - Real-time Elastography: First experience on 106 patients with breast lesions. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2006;28(3):335-340

D Thomas A, Kümmel S, Fritzsch F, Warm M, Ebert B, Hamm B, Fischer T. Real-time Sonoelastography Performed in Addition to B-mode Ultrasound and Mammography: Improved Differentiation of Breast Lesions? Acad Radiol 2006; 13(12):1496-1504

E Thomas A, Warm M, Diekmann F, Hoopmann M, Fischer T. Tissue Doppler and Strain Imaging for Evaluating Tissue Elasticity of Breast Lesions, Acad Radiol, in press

7.2 Allgemeines Literaturverzeichnis

1. WHO. Incidence, mortality and survival database. 2000.

<http://www.who.int/entity/healthinfo/paper13.pdf>

2. Ries LAG, Eisner MP, Kosary CL, et al. (eds). SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2002. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute. Available at: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2002/.

3. Ferlay J, Bray F, Sankila R, Parkin D. GLOBOCAN 2002: Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Prevalence Worldwide. IARC CancerBase No. 5, version 2.0., Lyon: IARC Press 2004
4. Gesellschaft der epidemiologischen Krebsregister in Deutschland e.V. (GEKID) und RKI, Krebs in Deutschland, 5. Auflage, Saarbrücken 2006
5. Katalinic A, Bartel M. Epidemiologie Mammakarzinom. Institut für Krebsepidemiologie e.V. an der Universität zu Lübeck. 2006.
<http://www.krebsregister-sh.de>
6. Engel J, Hölzel D, Schubert-Fritschle G. Epidemiologie. In: Empfehlung zur Diagnostik, Therapie und Nachsorge Mammakarzinome. W. Zuckerschwerdt Verlag, München; 10. Auflage, 2005, pp: 1-11
7. Schön D, Bertz J, Görsch B et al. Die Dachorganisation Krebs. Eine Surveillance-Einrichtung der Krebsregistrierung in Deutschland. Bundesgesundheitsblatt - Gesundheitsforschung – Gesundheitsschutz 2004; 47: 429-436
8. Engle J, Eckel R, Kerr J, Schmidt M, Fürstenberger G, Richter R, Sauer H, Senn HJ, Hölzel D. The process of metastasis for breast cancer.. Eur J Cancer 2003; 39(12): 1794-1806
9. Guidelines of AGO Breast Commission. Diagnostic and treatment of patients with primary and metastatic breast cancer. http://www.ago-online.org/download/recommendation_ago_breast_06.pdf
10. Carlson RW, Brown E, Burstein HJ et al. NCCN Task Force Report: Adjuvant Therapy for Breast Cancer. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2006 ;4 (Suppl 1): 1-26
11. Blanks RG, Moss SM, Mc Gahan CE et al. Effect of NHS breast screening programme on mortality from breast cancer in England and Wales, 1990-8: comparison of observed with predicted mortality. BJM 2000; 321(7262): 665-669

12. Nyström L, Andersson I, Bjurstrom N, Frisell J, Nordenskjöld B, Rutqvist LE. Long-term effect of mammography screening: updated overview of the Swedish randomised trials. *Lancet* 2002; 359: 209-219
13. Irwig L, Houssami N, van Vliet C. New technologies in screening for breast cancer: a systematic review of their accuracy. *Br J Cancer* 2004; 90: 2118-2122
14. Humphrey LL, Helfand M, Chan B et al. Breast Cancer screening: a summary of the evidence for the U.S Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med* 2002; 137: 347-360
15. Tabar L, Vitak B, Chen HH. The Swedish Two-Country Trial twenty years late. Updated mortality results and new insights from long-term follow-up. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2000; 38: 625-651
16. Heywang-Köbrunner SH, Schreer I. In: *Bildgebende Mammadiagnostik*. Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart New York, 1996; Kapitel 18, pp: 330-336
17. Bick U. Mammographie-Screening in Deutschland: Wie, wann und warum? *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2006; 178: 957-969
18. Gøtsche PC, Olsen O. Is screening for breast cancer with mammography justifiable? *Lancet* 2000; 355: 129
19. Vainio H, Gaudin N. Mammography screening can reduce deaths from breast cancer. 2002; www.iarc.fr
20. Hendrick RE, Smith RA; Rutledge IJH et al. Benefit of screening mammography in women aged 40-49: A new meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr* 1997; 22: 87-92
21. Organising Committee and Collaborators. Breast-cancer screening with mammography in aged 40-49 Years. *Int J Cancer* 1996; 68: 693-699
22. NIH Consensus Statement. Breast cancer screening for women ages 40-49. NIH Consens Statement. 1997; 15(1): 1-35

23. Dodd GD. American Cancer Society guidelines from the past to the present. *Cancer*. 1993; 72(4 Suppl): 1429-1432
24. Schulz KD; Albert US (Hrsg). Stufe-3-Leitlinie Brustkrebs-Früherkennung in Deutschland. W. Zuckerschwerdt Verlag München-Wien-New York, 2003.
25. Antonio A, Pharoah PD, Narod N et al. Average risks of breast and ovarian cancer associated with BRCA 1 or BRCA 2 mutations detected in case Series unselected for family history: a combined analysis of 22 studies. *Am J Hum Genet* 2003; 72: 1117-1130
26. Miki Y, Swenson J, Shattuck ED et al. A strong candidate for the breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene BRCA 1. *Science* 1994; 266: 66-71
27. Wooster R, Neuhausen SL, Mangion J et al. Localisation of a breast cancer susceptibility gene, BRCA 2, to chromosome 13q12-13. *Science* 1994; 265: 2088-2090
28. Kreienberg R, Kop I, Lorenz W et al. Diagnostik, Therapie und Nachsorge des Mammakarzinoms der Frau. Eine nationale S3-Leitlinie. Deutsche Krebshilfe e.V. 2004
29. Schmutzler RK, Beckmann MW, Kiechle M. Prävention: Familiäres Mamma- und Ovarialkarzinom. *Dtsch Ärztebl* 2002; 99: B 1146-1151
30. Gerhardus A, Christ M, Gadzicki D et al. MHH Erblicher Brust-und Eierstockkrebs – BRCA. Beratung-Testverfahren-Kosten. Ein Health Technology Assessment für den AOK-Bundesverband. Abteilung Epidemiologie, Sozialmedizin und Gesundheitssystemforschung, Hannover, Oktober 2004
31. Law J, Faulkner K. Cancers detected and induced, and associated risk and benefit, in a breast screening programme. *Br J Radiol* 2001; 74: 1121-1127

32. Pisano, ED.; Gatsonis, C; Hendrick, E et al. Diagnostic Performance of Digital versus Film Mammography for Breast-Cancer Screening. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 1773-1783
33. http://www.auntminnie.com/index.asp?sec=rca&Sub=rsna_2006&Pag=dis&itemId=73667
34. Bird RE, Wallace TW, Yankaskas BC. Analysis of cancers missed at screening mammography. *Radiology* 1992; 184: 613
35. Van Dijck JAAM, Verbeek ALM, Hendriks JHCL, Holland R. The current detectability of breast cancer in a mammographic screening programm. *Cancer* 1993; 72: 1933
36. Carney PA, Miglioretti DL, Yankaskas BC et al. Individual and combined effects of age, breast density, and hormone replacement therapy use on the accuracy of screening mammography. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003; 138(3): 168-175
37. Saarenmaa I, Salminen T, Geiger U et al. The effect of age and density of the breast on the sensitivity of breast cancer diagnostic by mammography and ultasonography. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2001; 67(2): 117-123
38. Heywang-Köbrunner SH, Viehweg P, Heinig A, Küchler C. Contrast-enhanced MRI of the breast- accuracy, value, controversies, solutions. *Europ J Radiol* 1997; 24: 94-108
39. Ciatto S, Roselli-del-Turco M, Catarzis M et al. The diagnostic role of breast echography. *Radiol Med* 1994; 88: 221
40. Dershaw D, Eddens G, Liberman L et al. Sonographic and clinical findings in women with palpable breast disease and negative mammography. *Breast Dis* 1995; 8: 13
41. Madjar H. Wertigkeit der Mammasonographie im Rahmen der Brustkrebsheilung. *Der Gynäkologe* 2004; 37(4): 839-844

42. Hou MF, Chuang HY, Yang FO et al. Comparison of breast mammography, sonography and physical examination for screening women at high risk of breast cancer in Taiwan. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2002; 28(4): 415-420
43. Kolb TM, Lichy J, Newhouse JH. Occult cancer in women with dense breasts: detection with screening US-diagnostic yield and tumor characteristics. *Radiology* 1998; 207: 191-199
44. Buchberger W, DeKoekkoek-Doll P. Springer P et al. Incidental findings on sonography of the breast: clinical significance and diagnostic workup. *Am J Roentgenol* 1999; 173: 921-927
45. Crystal P, Strano SD, Shcharynski S, Koretz MJ. Using sonography to screen women with mammographically dense breasts. *Am J Roentgenol* 2003; 181: 177-182
46. Leconte I, Feger C, Galant C et al. Mammography and subsequent whole-breast sonography of nonpalpable breast cancers: the importance of radiologic breast density. *Am J Roentgenol* 2003; 180: 1675-1679
47. Kolb T, Lichy J, Newhouse JH. Comparison of the performance of screening mammography, physical examination of factors the influence them: an analysis of 27825 patient evaluations. *Radiology* 2002; 225(1): 165-175
48. Ohlinger R, Heyer H, Thomas A et al. Non-palpable breast lesions in asymptomatic women: diagnostic value of initial ultrasonography and comparison with mammography. *Anticancer Res* 2006; 26: 3943-3956
49. American College of Radiology (ACR). Mendelson EB et al. (eds.) ACR-BI-RADS-US, first edition. In: ACR Breast. Breast Imaging and Reporting Data System Atlas, 2003
50. Madjar H, Ohlinger R, Mundinger A et al. BI-RADS analoge DEGUM Kriterien von Ultraschallbefunden der Brust – Konsensus des Arbeitskreises Mammasonographie der DEGUM. *Ultraschall Med* 2006; 27: 374-379

51. Schulz-Wendland R, Aichinger U, Kramer S et al. Sonographical breast biopsy: how many core biopsy specimens are needed? *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2003; 175(1): 94-98
52. Dillon MF, Hill AD, Quinn CM et al. The accuracy of ultrasound, stereotactic, and clinical core biopsies in the diagnosis of breast cancer, with an analysis of false-negative cases. *Ann Surg* 2005; 242(5): 701-707
53. Delorme S, Krix M, Albrecht T. Ultraschallkontrastmittel - Grundlagen und klinische Anwendung. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2006; 178(2): 155-164
54. Desser TS, Jeffrey Jr RB, Lane MJ, Ralls PW. Tissue harmonic imaging: utility in abdominal and pelvic sonography. *J Clin Ultrasound* 1999; 27(3): 135-142
55. Stiskal M, Steinbach R, Obholzer G et al. Tissue Harmonic Imaging Sonographie. Wird die Bildqualität bei Routineultraschalluntersuchungen im Abdomen verbessert? *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2000; 172(12): 1006-1010
56. Schoelgens C. Native tissue harmonic imaging. *Radiologe* 1998; 38(5):420-423.
57. Shapiro RS, Wagreich J, Parsons RB et al. Tissue harmonic imaging sonography: evaluation of image quality compared with conventional sonography. *Am J Roentgenol* 1998, 171(5): 1203-1206
58. Fischer T, Filimonow SI, Taupitz M et al. Bildqualität und Detektion pathologischer Prozesse im Ultraschallbild: Vergleich von Photopischem Sehen, Tissue Harmonic Imaging und nativem B-Bild. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2002; 174:1313-1317
59. Benoit B, Chaoui R. Three-dimensional ultrasound with maximal mode rendering: a novel technique for the diagnosis of bilateral or unilateral absence or hypoplasia of nasal bones in second-trimester screening for Down syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 25(1): 19-24

60. Kostsianos D, Wirth S, Fischer T et al. 3D ultrasound in the diagnosis of focal breast lesions. *Radiologe* 2005; 45(3): 237-244
61. Cho KR, Seo BK, Lee JY et al. A comparative study of 2D and 3D ultrasonography for evaluation of solid breast masses. *Eur J Radiol.* 2005; 54(3): 365-370
62. Blohmer JU, Bollmann R, Heinrich G et al. Three-dimensional ultrasound study (3D-sonography) for female breast. *Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd* 1996; 56(4): 161-165
63. Kapur A, Carson PL, Eberhard J, Goodsitt MM et al. Combination of digital mammography with semi-automated 3D breast ultrasound. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2004; 3(4): 325-334
64. Surry KJ, Smith WL, Campbell LJ et al. The development and evaluation of a three-dimensional ultrasound-guided breast biopsy apparatus. *Med Image Anal* 2002; 6(3): 301-312
65. Krouskop TA, Wheeler TM, Kallel F et al. Elastic moduli of breast and prostate tissues under compression. *Ultrason Imaging* 1998; 20(4): 260-274
66. Konofagou E, Ophir J. A new elastographic method for estimation and imaging of lateral displacements, lateral strains, corrected axial strains and Poisson's ratios in tissues. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 1998; 24: 1183-1199
67. Ophir J, Garra B, Kallel F et al. Elastographic imaging. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2000; 26(1): 23-29
68. Plewes DB, Bishop J, Samani A, Sciarretta J. Visualization and quantification of breast cancer biomechanical properties with magnetic resonance. *Phys Med Biol* 2000; 45: 1591-1610
69. Liu HT, Suna LZ. Analytic modeling of breast elastography. *Med Phys* 2003; 30(9): 2340-2349

70. Shah NS, Kruse SA, Lager DJ et al. Evaluation of Renal Parenchymal disease in a rat model with magnetic resonance elastography. *Mag Res Med* 2004; 52: 56-64
71. Cespedes I, Ophir J, Ponnekanti H, Maklad N. Elastography: elasticity imaging using ultrasound with application to muscle and breast in vivo. *Ultrason Imaging* 1993; 15(2): 73-88
72. Srinivasan S, Krouskop T, Ophir J. A quantitative comparison of modulus images obtained using nanoindentation with strain elastograms. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2004; 30(7): 899-918
73. Bilgen M, Srinivasan S, Lachman LB, Ophir J. Elastography imaging of small animal oncology models: a feasibility study. *Ultrasound Med Bio* 2003; 29(9): 1291-1296
74. Blohmer JU, Schmalisch G, Hruby B et al. Sonographische Kriterien in der Differentialdiagnose von Herdbefunden der Mamma. *Ultraschall* 1995; 16: 525
75. Frauscher F, Grisl J, Pallwein L. Prostate ultrasound--for urologists only? *Cancer Imaging* 2005; 23(5): 76-82
76. Lorenzen J, Wedel AK, Lisboa BW et al. Diagnostische Mammographie und Sonographie: Korrelation von diagnostischer BI-RADS-Einstufung mit dem histologischen und klinischen Endbefund. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2005; 177(11): 1545-1551
77. Schulz-Wendtland R, Bock K, Aichinger U et al. Ultrasound examination of the breast with 7.5 MHz and 13 MHz-transducers: scope for improving diagnostic accuracy in complementary breast diagnostics? *Ultraschall Med* 2005; 26(3): 209-215
78. Berg WA, Gutierrez L, NessAiver MS et al. Diagnostic accuracy of mammography, clinical examination, US, and MR imaging in preoperative assessment of breast cancer. *Radiology* 2004; 233(3): 830-849

79. Geller BM, Vacek PM, Skelly J et al. The use of additional imaging increased specificity and decreased sensitivity in screening mammography. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2005; 58(9): 942-950
80. Benacerraf BR, Benson CB, Abuhamad AZ, Copel JA, Abramowicz JS et al. Three- and 4 dimensional ultrasound in obstetrics and gynecology. Proceedings of the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine Consensus Conference. *J Ultrasound Med* 2005; 24: 1587-1597
81. Ruano R. Recent advances in sonographic imaging of fetal thoracic structures. *Expert Rev Med Devices* 2005; 2(2): 217-222
82. Chaoui R, Heling KS. New developments in fetal heart scanning: three- and four-dimensional fetal echocardiography. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2005; 10(6): 567-577
83. Watermann DO, Foldi M, Hanjalic-Beck A et al. Three-dimensional ultrasound for the assessment of breast lesions. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 25(6): 592-598
84. Hong AS, Rosen EL, Soo MS, Baker JA. BI-RADS for sonography: positive and negative values of sonographic features. *AJR* 2005; 184(4): 1260-1265
85. Jung EM, Clevert DA, Lutz R et al. Tissue Harmonic Imaging (THI) zur präoperativen sonographischen Markierung von Mammaherden.. *Fortschr Röntgenstr* 2002; 174(9): 1121-1125
86. Obenauer S, Hermann KP, Grabbe E. Applications and literature review of the BI-RADS classification. *Eur Radiol* 2005; 15: 1027-1036
87. Joo S, Yang YS, Moon WK, Kim HC. Computer-aided diagnosis of solid breast nodules: use of an artificial neural network based on multiple sonographic features. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2004; 23(10): 1292-1300
88. Collins MJ, Hoffmeister J, Worrell SW. Computer-aided detection and diagnosis of breast cancer. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR* 2006; 27(4): 351-355

89. Skaane P, Engedal K. Analysis of sonographic features in the differentiation of fibroadenoma and invasive ductal carcinoma. AFJ Am J Roentgenol 1998; 170: 191-199
90. Chao TC, Lo YF, Chen SC, Chen MF. Prospective sonographic study of 3093 breast tumors. J Ultrasound Med 1999; 18: 363-370
91. Zonderland HM, Hermans J, Coerkamp EG. Ultrasound variables and their prognostic value in a population of 1103 patients with 272 breast cancers. Eur Radiol 2000; 10: 1562-1568
92. Schelling M, Gnirs J, Braun M et al. Optimized differential diagnosis of breast lesions by combined B-mode and color Doppler sonography. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 1997; 10: 48-53
93. Kook SH, Park HW, Lee YR, Lee YU, Pae WK, Park YL. Evaluation of solid breast lesions with power Doppler sonography. J Clin Ultrasound 1999; 27: 231-237
94. Michailovich OV, Tannenbaum A. Despeckling of medical ultrasound images. IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control 2006; 53(1): 64-78
95. Adam D, Beilin-Nissan S, Friedmann Z, Behar V. The combined of spatial compounding and nonlinear filtering on the speckle reduction in ultrasound images. Ultrasonics 2006; 44: 166-181
96. [http://www.toshiba-europe.com/medical/products/ultrasound/images
/aplipure02.jpg](http://www.toshiba-europe.com/medical/products/ultrasound/images/aplipure02.jpg)
97. [www-brs.ub.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/netahtml/HSS/Diss/WilkeningWilkoG/
Zusammenfassung.pdf](http://www-brs.ub.ruhr-uni-bochum.de/netahtml/HSS/Diss/WilkeningWilkoG/Zusammenfassung.pdf)
98. Bercoff J, Chaffai S, Tanter M, Sandrin L, Catheline S, Fink M, Gennisson JL, Meunier M. In vivo breast tumor detection using transient elastography. Ultrasound Med Biol 2003; 10(29): 1387-1396
99. Konofagou EE. Quo vadis elasticity imaging? Ultrasonics 2004; 42(1-9): 331-336

100. Parker KJ, Lerner RM. Sonoelasticity of organs: Shear waves ring a bell. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1999; 105(5): 2941-2950
101. Ophir J, Céspedes I, Ponneanti H, Yazdi Y, Li X. Elastography: A quantitative method for imaging the elasticity of biological tissue. *Ultrason Imaging* 1991; 13: 111-114
102. Levinson SF, Shinagawat M, Satot T. Sonoelastic determination of human skeletal muscle elasticity. *J Biomechanics* 1995; 10(28): 1145-1154
103. Catheline S, Wu F, Fink M. A solution to diffraction biases in sono-elasticity: The acoustic impulse technique. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1999; 105: 2941–2950
104. Sandrin L, Tanter M, Catheline S, Fink M. Shear modulus imaging. using 2D transient elastography. *IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelec Freq Control* 2002; 49(4): 426–435
105. Frey H. Realtime-Elastographie. Ein neues sonographisches Verfahren für die Darstellung der Gewebeelastizität. *Radiologe* 2003; 43:850-854.
106. Nitta N, Yamakawa M, Ueno E et al. Tissue elasticity imaging based on combined autocorrelation method and 3D tissue model. *Proc IEEE Ultrasonic Symp* 0-7803-4095-7/98, 1998
107. Finite Elemente Analyse für Ingenieure. Hanser Fachbuchverlag, ISBN 3446213155
108. Ophir J, Céspedes I, Ponneanti H et al. A quantitative method for imaging the elasticity of biological tissue. *Ultrason Imaging* 1991; 13:111-114
109. Yamakawa M, Shiina T. Tissue elasticity reconstruction based on 3-dimensional finite-element model. *Jpn J Appl Phys* 1999; 38: 3393-3398
110. Yamakawa M, Shiina T. Evaluation of a method for ultrasonic elastic imaging using 3-D tissue model. *J Acoust Soc Am* 1998; 923-924

111. Blohmer JU, Schmalisch G, Hruby B et al. Sonographische Kriterien in der Differentialdiagnose von Herdbefunden der Mamma. Ultraschall 1995; 16: 525
112. Garra BS, Céspedes EI, Ophir J et al. Elastography of breast lesions: Initial clinical results. Radiology 1997; 202: 79-86
113. Hiltawsky KM, Krüger M, Starke C et al. Freehand ultrasound elastography of breast lesions: clinical results. Ultrasound Med Biol 2001; 27(11): 1461-1469
114. Hall JT, Zhu Y, Spalding CS. In vivo real-time freehand palpation imaging. Ultrasound Med Biol 2003; 29(3): 427-435
115. Lyshchik A, Higashi T, Asato R, et al. Thyroid gland tumor diagnosis at US. Radiology 2005; 237(1); 202-211
116. Varghese T, Shi H. Elastographic imaging of thermal lesions in liver in-vivo using diaphragmatic stimuli. Ultrason Imaging 2004; 26(1): 18-28
117. Thomas A, Kümmel S, Fischer T et al. Darstellung von Mammabefunden mittels der neuen SonoElastographie bei anschließend histologischer Sicherung. 24.Jahrestagung der Deutschen Gesellschaft für Senologie, Onkologie August 2004; 27(suppl. 2): P 68
118. Svensson WE, Hall TJ, Zhu Y, Malin J, Rattansingh A, Lowery C, Shousha S, Chopra D. Elasticity imaging may improve local pre-treatment staging of breast cancers. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Ultrasonic Measurement and Imaging of Tissue Elasticity© Lake Windermere, Cumbria, United Kingdom, October 17-20, 2004
119. Matsumura T, Tamano S, Shinomura R, Mitake T, Yamakawa M, Shiina T, Itoh A, Ueno E. Preliminary evaluation of breast disease diagnosis based on real-time elasticity imaging. Proceedings of the Third International Conference on the Ultrasonic Measurement and Imaging of Tissue Elasticity© Lake Windermere, Cumbria, United Kingdom, 2004;October 17-20: 023

120. Itoh A, Ueno E, Tohno E et al. Breast disease: Clinical application of US elastography for diagnosis. *Radiology* 2006; 239: 341-350
130. Ciatto S, Houssami N, Apruzzese A et al. Categorizing breast mammographic density: intra- and interobserver reproducibility of BI-RADS density categories. *Breast* 2005; 14(4): 269-275
131. Pisano ED, Gatsonis CA, Yaffe MJ et al. American College of Radiology Imaging Network Digital Mammographic Imaging Screening Trial: Objectives and Methodology. *Radiology* 2005; 236(2): 404-412
132. Pisano ED, Gatsonis CA, Yaffe MJ et al. Diagnostic Performance of Digital versus Film Mammography for Breast-Cancer Screening. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 1773-1783
133. Weber S, Wojcinski S, Ertan K. Realtime Sonoelastography of 156 breast lesions in a prospective clinical setting. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on the Ultrasonic Measurement and Imaging of Tissue Elasticity© Lake Travis, Texas, October 16-19, 2005: 110
134. Guiseppetti GM, Martegani A, Di Cioccio, Baldassarre S. Elastosonography in the diagnosis of the nodular breast lesions: a preliminary report. *Radiol Med* 2005; 110: 69-76
135. Shiina T, Yamakawa M, Itoh A et al. Computer aided diagnosis of breast cancer based on elasticity images. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on the Ultrasonic Measurement and Imaging of Tissue Elasticity© Lake Travis, Texas, October 16-19, 2005: 074
136. Nikitin NP, Witte KK. Application of tissue Doppler imaging in cardiology. *Cardiology* 2004; 101(4): 170-184