Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 781

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

EDGE ARTICLE

Molecular and electronic structure of cyclo[10]thiophene in various oxidation states: polaron pair *vs.* bipolaron[†]

Fan Zhang,^{*a*} Günther Götz,^{*a*} Elena Mena-Osteritz,^{*a*} Matthias Weil,^{*b*} Biprajit Sarkar,^{*c*} Wolfgang Kaim^{*c*} and Peter Bäuerle^{**a*}

Received 9th November 2010, Accepted 25th January 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c0sc00560f

The molecular structure of a cyclic oligothiophene, C10T, has been determined by single-crystal X-ray structure analysis. The exclusive *syn*-conformation of all thiophene units as confirmed in the solid state and the ring strain in this macrocycle result in its unusual and optoelectronic properties. This does not only apply to neutral C10T but also to its oxidized states, as demonstrated by absorption and ESR spectroscopy, supporting the formation of a polaron-pair structure upon oxidation of C10T to $C10T^{2(\cdot+)}$ as has been discussed for linear oligothiophenes. To the best of our knowledge, $C10T^{2(\cdot+)}$ represents an unambiguous example comprising a two-polaron structure (polaron-pair) of a thiophene-based conjugated macrocycle.

π-Conjugated cyclo[*n*]thiophenes (C*n*T)¹ are of significance not only theoretically as model compounds,² but also as a novel class of organic (semi)conductors with fascinating optical³ and selfassembling properties.⁴ However, investigation of this class of aesthetic molecules was limited due to their difficult availability.⁵ Very recently, we developed a novel effective one-pot synthesis of *Cn*Ts.⁶ A series of *Cn*Ts based on a linear quinquethiophene (L5T) building block was obtained in preparative scale, allowing to explore their structural and electronic properties in detail. In this respect, *syn*- or *anti*-conformations as well as β-substitution of repeating thiophene units have significant influence on the overall conjugation and consequently on the properties of the macrocycles.^{2*a*,7}

In this communication, we report the X-ray structure analysis of cyclo[10]thiophene (C10T), the smallest member in the recent series obtained,⁶ unveiling its molecular structure and conformation in the solid state. In addition, unusual optoelectronic properties of C10T in various oxidation states are described and analyzed in detail by optical absorption and electron spin resonance. In the case of doubly oxidized C10T we were able to address a fundamental question in the physics of charge carriers in organic (semi)conducting systems: the relative stability of a radical cation (polaron) pair *vs.* a dication (bipolaron).⁸

Single crystals of C10T suitable for X-ray diffraction measurement were obtained by careful diffusion of n-heptane into a solution of C10T in a chloroform/tetrachloroethane mixture at low temperature, providing the first fully resolved crystallographic structure analysis of a cyclothiophene.[†] The top view on an individual molecule of C10T revealed a nearly circular shape with inner diameters of about 1.0 nm (Fig. 1, left). In contrast to the prevailing anti-conformation of linear oligothiophenes, in C10T all thiophenes in the centrosymmetric ring adopt a syn-conformation exhibiting interring bond lengths between 1.44(1) to 1.464(9) Å. Ring strain is reflected by the torsional angles of adjacent thiophene units, ranging from 25.9(8)° to $34.0(8)^{\circ}$ (side view, Fig. 1, left), far above the values observed for linear oligothiophenes.^{4d} Furthermore, short distances between sulfur atoms (d = 3.13-3.28 Å) in C10T, far below the sum of their van der Waals radii (3.6 A), were found. Thus, the full syn-arrangement of the thiophene units in C10T and the ring strain lead to consequences in optoelectronic properties: a decrease in oxidation potential and thus a raised HOMO level (Fig. S1 in ESI[†]).^{2a,6b,7}

The macrocycles in the crystal lattice, stabilized by partly disordered solvent molecules in the cavities, pack in partially overlapping stacks (Fig. 1, right). The distance between macrocycles in one stack amounts to \sim 7 Å, however, macrocycles from neighboring stacks significantly overlap with a global shortest distance of 3.41 Å resulting in typical π - π interactions (Fig. 1, middle, right).

In order to gain insight into how the geometric structure influences the optoelectronic properties of C10T in various redox states, absorption spectra in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane were monitored upon stepwise chemical oxidation and compared to

^aInstitute of Organic Chemistry II and Advanced Materials, University of Ulm, Albert–Einstein–Allee 11, D-89081 Ulm, Germany. E-mail: peter. baeuerle@uni-ulm.de

^bInstitute for Chemical Technologies und Analytics, Division of Structural Chemistry, Technical University of Vienna, Getreidemarkt 9/164-SC, A-1060 Vienna, Austria

^cInstitute for Inorganic Chemistry, University Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 55, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Data for sample preparation and measurement, CV and absorption spectra. CCDC reference numbers 779279. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c0sc00560f



Fig. 1 Molecular structure (front and side view, left) and packing view (middle, right) of C10T in the crystal lattice (solvent molecules were omitted for clarity).



Fig. 2 Electronic absorption of C10T (top), linear L5T (middle) and linear L10T (bottom) in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane at room temperature under stepwise oxidation with ThiSbCl₆: 0.0 eq. (black), 1.0 eq. (red plain) and 2.0 eq. (blue patterned). "0", "+", and "++" denote bands for neutral, radical cationic, and dication species, respectively.

the behavior of linear quinquethiophene L5T and decithiophene L10T (Fig. 2, Table 1).

Thianthrenium hexachloridoantimonate(v) (ThiSbCl₆) was used as the oxidizing agent because its first oxidation potential $(E^{\circ}_{1} = 0.83 \text{ V vs. Fc/Fc}^{+})^{9}$ allows the controlled oxidation of C10T up to the second oxidation level. C10T in its neutral state is characterized by one sharp absorption band peaking at 424 nm and an unresolved shoulder at around 490 nm which we assign to the S₂ \leftarrow S₀ and S₁ \leftarrow S₀ transitions, respectively (Fig. 2 top, black curve).^{6b} Upon addition of 0.5 (not shown) and 1 eq. of ThiSbCl₆, the main band of neutral C10T is gradually replaced by three new bands, one broad at 500 nm and two others in the near-infrared with maxima at 2052 nm and 1576 nm, which we assign to radical cation species (red filled curve).^{10,11} Temperature-dependent measurements revealed that with increasing temperature the intensity of the absorption band at 1576 nm decreases by a simultaneous increase of the absorption intensity at 2052 nm, which is indicative for reversible aggregation of the radical species C10T⁺⁺ (Fig. S2 in ESI[†]). Thus, we assign the longer wavelength band at 2052 nm to the monomeric radical cation C10T⁺⁺ and the band at 1576 nm to the dimer (C10⁺⁺)₂, which previously was also characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) studies on C10T⁻⁶ The broad absorption band centered at 500 nm for C10T⁺⁺ (Fig. 2, middle), which has no analogy in L5T and other linear oligothiophenes,¹² can be ascribed to a high energy electronic transition (b_g \leftarrow a_u) specific for cyclic compounds due to their higher symmetry related to the linear ones.^{6b,13} Conversion of neutral C10T into C10T⁺⁺ generates an isosbestic point at $\lambda = 457$ nm indicating a clean transformation.

Upon further oxidation with 1.5 eq. (not shown) and 2 eq. of ThiSbCl₆ (Fig. 2 top, blue curve) to form the dication, the intensities of the three bands of the radical cation species C10T⁺⁺/(C10T⁺⁺)₂ progressively decreased and two very intensive sharp bands appeared at $\lambda_{max} = 683$ nm and 1334 nm. The direct and clean conversion of C10T⁺⁺/(C10T⁺⁺)₂ into C10T²⁺ is indicated by two isosbestic points at $\lambda = 587$ nm and 1469 nm. Treatment of monocations C10T⁺⁺/(C10T⁺⁺)₂ or the dication C10T²⁺ with an excess of hydrazine monohydrate easily allowed the recovery of neutral C10T, indicating the stability of the various charged species and the reversibility of the oxidation processes. The redox behavior of C10T investigated by cyclic voltammetry^{6b} is consistent with the observed changes in the absorption spectra by stepwise oxidation and clearly supports the formation of two distinct reversible electron transfer equilibria.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \text{C10T} & \stackrel{-e}{\xrightarrow{+e}} & \text{C10T}^{++} & \stackrel{-e}{\xrightarrow{+e}} & \text{C10T}^{2+} \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & (\text{C10T}^{++})_2 \end{array}$$

For the dicationic species, C10T²⁺, two fundamentally different electronic structures have to be considered:¹² a polaron pair configuration, consisting of two locally separated radical

Table 1 Absorption maxima, λ_{max} (nm), of C10T, L10T and L5T in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane in their neutral, first and second oxidation states. Values in brackets correspond to shoulders in the absorption band

CnT/LnT	nT	<i>n</i> T ^{+.}	$(nT^{+})_{2}$	<i>n</i> T ²⁺
C10T	424 (490)	2052, ~500	1576	1334 (1212), 683 (620)
L101	439	2050, 840, 780		1705 (1410), 680 (822)
L5T	385	1284, 722	1110 (630)	855 (722, 700), 470



Fig. 3 Electronic structure description of neutral C10T, of radical cationic C10T \cdot and of the two dicationic species: radical cation pair C10T²⁽⁻⁺⁾ (polaron-pair) and dication C10T²⁺ (bipolaron).

cations with minimized charge interaction (diradical alternative), and a bipolaron structure, described as a spinless dication with minimized structural distortion (Fig. 3, right). The polaron pair can exist as singlet or triplet species.^{12b} The optical spectrum of C10T²⁺ (Fig. 2 top, blue curve), characterized by two equally intense and narrow absorption bands at 1334 and 683 nm, differs substantially from that expected for a bipolaron system, for which typically only one strong absorption band is observed, like in the case of L5T²⁺ (855 nm) (Fig. 2 middle, blue curve). In stark contrast to C10T²⁺, the absorption spectrum of the linear analogue L10T²⁺ shows two broad bands of different intensity at 1705 nm and 680 nm (Fig. 2 bottom, blue curve). In the bipolaron configuration, the interaction of the electrons in the single geometrical conformation leads to a negligible value for the probability coefficient of the second absorption band.^{12a} Thus, the observed single absorption band in the electronic spectrum of L5T²⁺ indicates a bipolaron structure and is in accordance with previous reports on oligothiophenes.12 In contrast, in the case of a polaron-pair (non-interacting single polarons) distributed over the π -system, similar coefficients for both transitions are expected from theoretical analysis.12a Our experimental findings on C10T²⁺ completely support these theoretical assumptions, and it can be denoted thus as C10T^{2(·+)}. Furthermore, similar absorption energies of the corresponding bands for polaronic species L5T⁺⁺ and those of C10T²⁺, 722 vs. 683 nm and 1284 vs. 1334 nm, respectively (Fig. 2 middle red curve vs. top blue curve), indicate a similarity between their electronic configuration and, as a first hint, the formation of two single polarons in $C10T^{2(+)}$ confined in two separated structural distortions on the macrocycle. The electronic spectrum of the longer linear oligomer L10T in its doubly oxidized state also reveals as well two absorption bands albeit of different intensities; we attribute these two subgap absorptions to two polarons in separated geometrical distortions (vide infra), which, due to the limited delocalization length, are most probably not independent. This interaction is responsible for the broadness of the bands and the inhomogeneity in their intensity (Fig. 2 bottom, blue curve).¹³

The bipolaron/polaron pair alternative with singlet or triplet configuration of the latter implies that the absence of an ESR signal is not necessarily a signature of a bipolaron, it could also be caused by a strongly stabilized singlet ground state in a polaron-pair arrangement.^{12b} The ESR spectra of polaron-pairs and diradicals in general can vary considerably, depending on the strength of the exchange interaction between the two electrons.^{12a} For very small exchange interaction, the ESR spectrum of the

diradical is equivalent to that of two independent monoradicals. When the exchange interaction increases beyond an observable electron-nuclear hyperfine coupling constant, that hyperfine coupling parameter is halved compared with that of the corresponding monoradical. Strong exchange interaction can produce a singlet diradical ground state^{14,15} with a close lying triplet excited state.^{12a}

The ESR results for the stepwise oxidation of C10T in 1,1,2,2tetrachloroethane showed signal intensity at all ratios of oxidant/ C10T between 0.5 and 3.5 (Fig. 4). The unresolved ESR line is similar to that of $L10T^{+}$ (Fig. 5) and the maximum signal intensity was observed for the ratios 1.0 and 1.5, i.e. for predominantly one-electron oxidized C10T⁺⁺ (monoradical). The partial but never complete decrease of ESR intensity for twoelectron oxidized C10T and beyond is attributed to formation of $C10T^{2(\cdot+)}$ in both singlet and triplet states. This hypothesis is supported by the temperature-dependence of that signal (Fig. 6) which shows a significant increase, e.g., on going from 293 to 343 K, suggesting a thermally populated triplet state above a singlet ground state. The absence of a half field ESR feature in the frozen state (110 K) confirms this interpretation. An interesting observation is the small but notable monotonous increase of the g factor on addition of oxidant, ranging from 2.0011 (after adding 0.5 eq. ThiSbCl₆) to 2.0022 (after addition of 3.5 eq., Fig. 4). We tentatively attribute this effect to an association of the spin-carrying π system with the SbCl₆⁻ counter anions which contain heavy elements with high spin-orbit coupling constants.

The spectral evidence for $C10T^{2(\cdot+)}$ supports a polaron-pair configuration, as in the cases of stabilized dicationic



Fig. 4 ESR spectra of C10T in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane under stepwise oxidation with ThiSbCl₆ at room temperature: 0.5 eq. (black), 1.0 eq. (red), 1.5 eq. (green), 2.0 eq. (blue), 2.5 eq. (orange), 3.0 eq. (brown), 3.5 eq. (pink).



Fig. 5 ESR spectra of the mono radical cation (black) and dication (blue) of L10T in 1,1,2,2-tetrachlorethane.



Fig. 6 ESR spectra of the radical cation $C10T^{+}$ (black) and radical cation pair $C10T^{2(+)}$ (blue at 295 K and red at 343 K).

oligothiophenes¹⁶ and of the linear analogue $L10T^{2(-+)}$ (Fig. 5), which was also predicted theoretically.^{86,c} To assure the correct assignment of the species responsible for the various ESR signals, absorption spectra of the solutions were taken before and after the acquisition of the ESR spectra. While the resonance intensities and *g*-values in the spectra change with stepwise oxidation (*vide supra*), no annihilation of the ESR signal has been observed. The increase of spin intensity for $C10T^{2(-+)}$ with increasing temperature (Fig. 6) can be attributed either to dimer formation at room temperature, which is neither observed in temperature-dependent absorption spectroscopy of the dication nor supported by theory (*vide supra*), or to a singlet to triplet state transition of the polaron-pair.^{12b}

In summary, the molecular structure of a cyclic oligothiophene, C10T, has been determined by single-crystal X-ray structure analysis. The exclusive *syn*-conformation of all thiophene units as confirmed in the solid state and the ring strain in this macrocycle result in its unusual and optoelectronic properties. This does not only apply to neutral C10T^{6b} but also to its oxidized states, as demonstrated by absorption and ESR spectroscopy, supporting the formation of a polaron-pair structure upon oxidation of C10T to C10T^{2(.+)} as has been discussed for linear oligothiophenes. To the best of our knowledge, C10T^{2(.+)} represents an unambiguous example comprising a two-polaron structure (polaron-pair) of a thiophene-based conjugated macrocycle. This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) in the frame of Collaborative Research Center (SFB) 569.

Notes and references

‡ Crystal data for compound C10T C_{77.5}H₈₆Cl_{10.2}S₁₀, M_r = 1699.65, red fragment, 0.18 × 0.18 × 0.08 mm³, triclinic, PI, a = 11.0629(4), b = 13.6610(5), c = 15.0564(6) Å, α = 93.282(2), β = 107.469(2), γ = 106.870(2)°, V = 2050.98(13) Å³, Z = 1, ρ_c = 1.376 kg m⁻³, μ = 0.643 mm⁻¹. Mo radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å), 100(2) K, $2\theta_{max}$ = 46°, 19882 measured reflections, 5618 independent reflections, R_{int} = 0.057, R = 0.078 (for 3702 reflections $I > 2\sigma(I)$, wR = 0.219 (for all 5618 unique reflections), ρ_{min} = -0.90 e⁻·Å⁻³, ρ_{max} = -1.69 e⁻·Å⁻³.

- 1 A. Mishra, C.-Q. Ma and P. Bäuerle, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 1141.
- 2 (a) S. S. Zade and M. Bendikov, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 2972; (b)
 S. Fomine and P. Guadarrama, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2006, 110, 10098;
 (c) J. Fabian and H. Hartmann, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2007, 20, 554;
 (d) S. Fomine, P. Guadarrama and P. Flores, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 3124; (e) P. Flores, P. Guadarrama, E. Ramos and S. Fomine, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2008, 112, 3996.
- 3 (a) J. Casado, V. Hernandez, M. C. Ruiz Delgado, J. T. Lopez Navarrete, G. Fuhrmann and P. Bäuerle, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2004, **35**, 592; (b) A. Bhaskar, G. Ramakrishna, K. Hagedorn, O. Varnavski, E. Mena-Osteritz, P. Bäuerle and T. Goodson III, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2007, **111**, 946; (c) O. Varnavski, P. Bäuerle and T. Goodson III, Opt. Lett., 2007, **32**, 3083.
- 4 (a) E. Mena-Osteritz and P. Bäuerle, Adv. Mater., 2001, 13, 243; (b)
 E. Mena-Osteritz, Adv. Mater., 2002, 14, 609; (c) E. Mena-Osteritz
 and P. Bäuerle, Adv. Mater., 2006, 18, 447; (d) R. Azumi, E. Mena-Osteritz, R. Boese, J. Benet-Buchholz and P. Bäuerle, J. Mater. Chem., 2006, 16, 728.
- 5 (a) J. Krömer, I. Rios-Carreras, G. Fuhrmann, C. Musch, M. Wunderlin, T. Debaerdemaeker, E. Mena-Osteritz and P. Bäuerle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 3481; (b) G. Fuhrmann, T. Debaerdemaeker and P. Bäuerle, Chem. Commun., 2003, 948.
- 6 (a) F. Zhang and P. Bäuerle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 3090; (b)
 F. Zhang, G. Götz, H. D. F. Winkler, C. A. Schalley and P. Bäuerle, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 6632.
- 7 B. Jousselme, P. Blanchard, E. Levillain, J. Delaunay, M. Allain, P. Richomme, D. Rondeau, N. Gallego-Planas and J. Roncali, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 1363.
- 8 (a) A. J. W. Tol, Synth. Met., 1995, 74, 95; (b) A. J. W. Tol, Chem. Phys., 1996, 208, 73; (c) Y. Gao, C.-G. Liu and Y.-S. Jia, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2002, 106, 5380.
- 9 (a) C.-C. You and F. Würthner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 9716;
 (b) A. L. Balch, C. R. Cornman, L. Latos-Grażyński and M. M. Olmstead, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 7552.
- 10 The reduction product, thianthrene, mainly absorbs lower than 300 nm.
- 11 A. Knorr and J. Daub, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 2817.
- 12 (a) J. A. E. H. van Haare, E. E. Havinga, J. L. J. van Dongen, R. A. J. Janssen, J. Cornil and J.-L. Brédas, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1998, 4, 1509; (b) V. M. Geskin and J.-L. Brédas, *ChemPhysChem*, 2003, 4, 498.
- 13 M. Bednarz, P. Reineker, E. Mena-Osteritz and P. Bäuerle, J. Lumin., 2004, 110, 225.
- 14 V. Bachler, G. Olbrich, F. Neese and K. Wieghardt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 4179.
- 15 S. Samanta, P. Singh, J. Fiedler, S. Záliš, W. Kaim and S. Goswami, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 1625.
- 16 T. Nishinaga, A. Wakamiya, D. Yamazaki and K. Komatsu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 3163.