Contents

Abst	ract		iv
List	of Figur	es	V
List	of Table	es	X
List	of Abbro	eviations and Symbols	xi
1.	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Some pertinent definitions	2
	1.2	Need for the present study	3
	1.3	Lithospheric field models	4
	1.4	Preparation of field models for interpretation	6
	1.5	The objective of deriving the lithospheric field models	9
	1.6	Ambiguities in interpretation	12
	1.7	The present work	12
2.		al Crustal Magnetisation Model	15
	2.1	Introduction	15
	2.2	Geology of the continents	16
		2.2.1 Cathaysian craton	16
		2.2.2 Siberian craton	17
		2.2.3 East European craton	18
		2.2.4 Greenland	20
		2.2.5 South American craton	20
		2.2.6 North American craton	22
		2.2.7 African craton	23
		2.2.8 Indian craton	25
		2.2.9 Australian craton	25
		2.2.10 Antarctic craton	26
	2.3	Oceanic crust	26
		2.3.1 Oceanic plateaus	27
	2.4	Continental and Island arcs	28
	2.5	Phanerozoic cover	28
	2.6	Modelling	29
		2.6.1 Vertically Integrated Susceptibility model	29
		2.6.2 Geographical Information System method	31
	2.7	Flowchart showing the present work	33
3.	Meth	nodology	35
	3.1	Introduction	35
	3.2	Equivalent dipole method	36
	3.3	Nolte-Siebert method	38
	3.4	Comparison of the two methods	41

	3.5	Compi	uting vertical field anomaly	42
4.	Verti		l Anomaly Map	44
	4.1	Compa	arison of observed and initial model vertical field	
			ly map	44
	4.2	Examp	ples of predicted anomalies in agreement and in	
		disagre	eement with observed anomaly map	45
		4.2.1	Cathaysian craton	45
		4.2.2	Siberian craton	49
		4.2.3	East European craton	50
		4.2.4	North American craton	52
		4.2.5	South American craton	54
		4.2.6	African craton	56
		4.2.7	Australian craton	58
		4.2.8	Greenland	59
		4.2.9	Antarctic craton	60
		4.2.10	Oceanic crust	62
		4.2.11	Oceanic plateaus	64
5.	Impl	ications	for Geology	68
	5.1	Kentuc	cky-Tennessee region, North America	70
	5.2		Greenland, Greenland	72
	5.3	West A	African craton, West Africa	74
	5.4	Bangu	i anomaly, Central Africa	76
	5.5	Kolym	na-Omolon block, Siberia	78
	5.6	Tarim	basin, China	80
	5.7	Global	first iteration model	82
6.	Discu	ıssion		84
	6.1		temperature isotherm depth	84
	6.2		nence	85
	6.3		ent-Ocean boundary	86
	6.4		ic plateaus	88
	6.5	-	ncertainties	90
7.		lusions		92
	7.1	Summ	·	92
	7.2	Outloo	ok .	95
Appe		I	Magnetic Susceptibilities of rocks and minerals	96
Appe		II	Continental crustal composition	99
Appe		III	Susceptibility distribution for Cathaysian-Indian craton	100
Appendix		IV	Susceptibility distribution for Siberian craton	103
Appendix		V	Susceptibility distribution for East European craton	105
Appe		VI	Susceptibility distribution for North American craton	107
Appe		VII	Susceptibility distribution for South American craton	110
Appe		VIII	Susceptibility distribution for African craton	112
Appe		IX	Susceptibility distribution for Australian craton	116
Appe		X	Susceptibility distribution for Greenland	118
Appe	endix	XI	Susceptibility distribution for Antarctic craton	119

Appendix	XII	Susceptibility distribution for Oceanic crust and plateaus	120
References			122
Acknowledgements			136
Curriculum Vitae		137	

Abstract

After a gap of nearly two decades since the Magsat mission in 1980, the dedicated loworbit potential field mission CHAMP is now in the third of its seven year mission. Already, the new magnetic total intensity and vector data have yielded maps of the global crustal field of unprecedented accuracy and resolution. Here, we assess the value of these maps to infer deep crustal structure of regions overlain by younger cover. A GIS based modelling technique has been developed to model the various geological units of the continents starting from the geological map of the world. Depending upon the known rock types of the region, they are assigned a standard susceptibility value and using the global seismic crustal structure, a vertically integrated susceptibility (VIS) model is computed at each point of the region. Starting with this initial VIS model, the vertical field anomaly is computed at a satellite altitude of 400 km and compared with the corresponding CHAMP vertical field anomaly map. The first comparison is carried out against a model using the lateral extent of a cratonic region as given by published tectonic maps. In the subsequent modelling step, depending upon the extent of the observed anomaly pattern of that region, the surface geology is extended beneath the sediments until the recomputed map fits the observed magnetic anomaly map. Here, we focus on modelling results for the selected few provinces of the world where the initial model does not agree with the observed anomaly map. Similar modelling of CHAMP satellite magnetic anomalies can constrain the subsurface structure hidden by Phanerozoic cover in many parts of the world.

List of figures

1.1.	Power Spectra of the total intensity anomaly (Lowes spectra/2n+1) at 400 km altitude of lithospheric field models derived by various	
1.2.	workers from Magsat, POGO, Ørsted and CHAMP data. Power Spectra of the total intensity anomaly (Lowes spectra/ 2n+1) at	6
	400 km altitude of Ørsted and CHAMP lithospheric field models	
	derived by our group.	6
1.3. 1.4.	Internal field model for the vertical component at an altitude of 400 km. Lowes power spectra (Lowes, 1966) of an internal field model from	7
	CHAMP and Ørsted data.	8
1.5.	Lithospheric field model for vertical component derived from CHAMP scalar data at 400 km for degrees 16-80.	9
1.6.	Lithospheric field model for vertical component derived from Ørsted scalar data at 400 km for degrees 16-80.	9
1.7.	Profiles for (a) vertical dipole located at north pole, (b) horizontal dipole located at equator, and, (c) vertical dipole located at south pole. Lines in red are the total field anomaly and in blue the vertical field anomaly for a dipole (marked in arrows) induced and directed along the main field of	
	the earth.	10
2.1.	Tectonic map of Cathaysian craton (Goodwin, 1991).	17
2.2.	Tectonic map of Siberian craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after Shatzki and Bogdanoff, 1959; Salop, 1977).	18
2.3.	Tectonic map of East European craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after Khain, 1985; Shatzki and Bogdanoff, 1959).	19
2.4.	Tectonic map of Greenland shield (from Goodwin, 1991; after Escher and Watt, 1976).	20
2.5	Tectonic map of the South American craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after Almeida et al., 1981; Litherland et al., 1985)	21
2.6	Tectonic map of the North American craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after Hoffman, 1989).	22
2.7.	Tectonic map of African craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after Saggerson, 1978).	24
2.8.	Tectonic map of Indian craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after Naqvi and Rogers, 1987).	25
2.9.	Tectonic map of Australian craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after Wyborn, 1988).	26
2.10.	Tectonic map of Antarctica craton (from Goodwin, 1991; after James and Tingey, 1983).	27
2.11.	Diagram shows a geological cross-section of a region, for which a VIS	<i>41</i>
∠ .11.	value is computed.	31

2.12.	Initial model Vertically Integrated Susceptibility (VIS) map of the world.	32
2.13.	The curve shows the admissible values for the factor of maximum	
	susceptibility for a minimum misfit.	33
2.14.	Flowchart showing the modelling steps involved in the present work.	34
3.1.	Schematic diagram showing an elemental dipole moment producing a	
	potential at point O.	36
3.2.	The distribution of induced dipoles on the surface of Earth in an inducing	
	dipole field. Each dipole is aligned with the direction of the inducing	
	field.	37
3.3.	Correlation coefficients between the Gauss coefficients derived using	
	Nolte-Siebert and equivalent dipole method.	42
4.1.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km.	46
4.2.	Predicted vertical field anomaly map (initial model) for spherical harm-	
	onic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km.	46
4.3a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Cathaysian craton and Indian	
	subcontinent.	47
4.3b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Cathaysian craton and Indian	
	subcontinent.	47
4.4a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Siberian craton.	49
4.4b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Siberian craton.	49
4.5a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the European craton.	51
4.5b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the European craton.	51
4.6a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the North American craton.	52
4.6b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the North American craton.	52
4.7a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the South American craton.	55
4.7b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
4.0	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the South American craton.	55
4.8a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the African craton.	57
4.8b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
4.0	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the African craton.	57
4.9a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
4.01	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Australian craton.	58
4.9b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Australian craton.	58
4 10a	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	

	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Greenland.	60
4.10b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Greenland.	60
4.11a.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Antarctica.	61
4.11b.	Initial model vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the Antarctica.	61
4.12.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km shown in cylindrical equidistant	
	projection. The numbers shown in white is marked over the plateaus.	63
4.13.	Predicted vertical field anomaly map (initial model) for spherical harmonic	2
	degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km shown in cylindrical equidistant	
	projection.	63
5.1a.	World geological map (CGWM, 2000). The white rectangles show the	
	areas to be studied in this chapter.	69
5.1b.	<i>Initial model</i> vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km shown in cylindrical equidistant projection.	69
5.2.	Distribution of major Mid-proterozoic anorogenic granites and	0,
·	anorthosites in North America. (Anderson, 1983).	70
5.3.	The geological map of the southwest USA region. Thick black line is the	, ,
0.5.	previous boundary and the red line marks the new boundary.	70
5.4a.	First iteration (predicted) vertical field anomaly map for spherical	, (
J. 14.	harmonic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km following the boundary	
	of mid-proterozoic province shown in Fig.5.2.	71
5.4b.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	, 1
J.40.	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for the southwest USA region. Line AA'	
	shows the profile section.	71
5.5.	Profile section along AA', shown for <i>initial model</i> (green line),	, 1
5.5.	first iteration (red line), and the observed anomaly (blue line) map.	72
5.6.	Depths to Moho in km for all the stations in the map of Greenland. The	12
5.0.	suggested division of the Proterozoic part of Greenland is marked in pink	
	(Dahl-Jensen, 2003).	72
5.7.	Geological map of Greenland (Henriksen, 2000). The region marked in	1 4
5.7.	red line is the possible plume passage and symbol '?' suggests that it has	
	not been proved (Wölbern et al., 2002).	72
5.8a.	First iteration (predicted) vertical field anomaly map for spherical harm-	12
J.6a.	onic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Greenland.	73
5.8b.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	/ -
5.60.	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Greenland. Lines AA' and BB' show	
	the profile sections.	73
5.9.	Profile sections along AA' and BB', shown for <i>initial model</i> (green line),	1-
3.9.	First iteration (red line), and the observed anomaly (blue line) map.	74
5.10.	The geological map of the West African region. Thick black line is the	/-
J.10.	previous boundary and the red line marks the new boundary.	74
5.11.	The geological map of the West African region (Goodwin, 1991).	74
	First iteration (predicted) vertical field anomaly map for spherical harm-	12
.) 1 47	THE REPORT OF CHICAGO PERIOD AND THE PROPERTY OF SOME HEAD TO SOME HEA	

	onic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for West African region.	75
5.12b.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for West African region. Line AA' show	
	the profile section.	75
5.13.	Profile section along AA', shown for initial model (green line), First	
	Iteration (red line), and the Observed anomaly (blue line) map.	76
5.14.	Geological map of the Central African region (Goodwin, 1991).	77
5.15.	Granulites distribution of the northern part of Congo Craton (Pin and	
	Poidevin, 1987). 1. Archean granulites; 2. Undifferentiated Precam-	
	brian formations; 3. Pan-African granulites; 4. Sedimentary upper Prec-	
	ambrian foreland of Oubanguides; 5. Post Pan-African cover.	77
5.16a.	First iteration (predicted) vertical field anomaly map for spherical harm-	
	onic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Central African region.	77
5.16b.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Central African region. Line BB' show	
	the profile section.	77
5.17	Profile section along BB', shown for initial model (green line), First	
	iteration (red line), and the observed anomaly (blue line) map.	77
5.18.	Tectonic reconstruction of the Cordilleran Arctic region since the Early	
	Jurassic (Sweeney, 1981, and Howell and Wiley, 1987. Diagram taken	
	from Condie, (1989).	78
5.19.	The geological map eastern region of Siberian craton. Thick black line	
	is the previous boundary and the red line marks the new boundary of the	
	Kolyma block.	79
5.20a.	First iteration (predicted) vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmo-	
	nic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Kolyma block.	79
5.20b.	J 1 1	
	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Kolyma block.	79
5.21.	Profile section along AA', shown for <i>initial model</i> (green line), First itera-	
	tion (red line), and the observed anomaly (blue line) map.	80
5.22.	Main outline of Tarim craton within the Cathayian craton. (Goodwin,	
5.00	1991).	81
5.23a.	First iteration (predicted) vertical field anomaly map for spherical harm-	0.1
5 001	onic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Tarim basin.	81
5.236.	Observed vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	0.1
5 24	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km for Tarim basin.	81
5.24.	Profile sections along AA' and BB', shown for <i>initial model</i> (green line),	01
5 25	first iteration (red line), and the observed anomaly (blue line) map.	81
5.25.	First iteration VIS map of the world.	82
5.26.	First iteration vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees	02
5 27	16-80 at an altitude of 400 km.	83
5.27.	<i>Initial model</i> vertical field anomaly map for spherical harmonic degrees 16-80 at an altitude of 400 km.	83
6.1.		03
U.1.	First iteration vertical field anomaly map for degrees 1-80 at an altitude of 400 km.	87
6.2	First iteration vertical field anomaly man for degrees 16-80 predicted for	0/

	Model-1, at an altitude of 400 km.	89
6.3.	First iteration vertical field anomaly map for degrees 16-80 predicted for	
	Model-2, at an altitude of 400 km.	89
6.4.	First iteration vertical field anomaly map for degrees 16-80 predicted for	
	Model-3, at an altitude of 400 km.	89

List of Tables

1.1	The parameters used for VIS modelling.	30
6.1	The susceptibility distribution of continents and oceans to study the	
	continent-ocean boundary effect.	87

List of Abbreviations and Symbols

VIS	Vertically Integrated Susceptibility
3SMAC	Global seismic model by Nataf and Ricard (1996)
CRUST5.1	Global seismic model by Mooney et al. (1998)
	(Resolution: $5^0 \times 5^0$)
CRUST2.1	Improved version of global seismic model CRUST5.1
	(Resolution: $2^0 \times 2^0$)
CTD	Depth of the Curie-temperature isotherm
VRM	Viscous Remanent Magnetisation
GFZ	GeoForschungsZentrum
F	magnitude of the geomagnetic main field of the Earth (nT)
V_{c}	magnetic potential outside the Earth's core (v)
c	'core' of the Earth
I	inclination of the geomagnetic field (deg.)
D	declination of the geomagnetic field (deg.)
M	magnetisation or (dipole moment per unit volume, A/m)
d au'	elemental volume over the Earth's surface (km ³)
dm'	magnetisation of the elemental volume $d\tau'(A-m^2)$
$r'(r', \theta', \phi')$	source coordinates of the elemental volume $d\tau'(m)$
$r(r,\theta,\phi)$	distance from the center of the Earth to the point of observation
	(m)
dV(r,r')	magnetic potential at point $r(v)$
$\chi(r')$	susceptibility at point r' (dimensionless in SI units)
$\widetilde{\chi}(heta',\phi')$	vertically integrated susceptibility (m)
$\widetilde{M}(heta', \phi')$	vertically integrated magnetisation (A)
d	thickness of the crust (m)
ds'	elemental surface area at the source (m ²)
r'	radial distance of the source from the Earth's center (m)
heta'	colatitude at the source (deg.)
ϕ '	longitude at the source (deg.)
r	radial position coordinate at the point of observation (m)
heta	colatitude at the point of observation (deg.)
ϕ	longitude at the point of observation (deg.)
R	r-r' (m)
S_0	area of cell size at the equator (m ²)
$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$	magnetic permeability of free space $[4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ Henry/m}]$

m m	
p_n^m , q_n^m	spherical harmonic coefficients of the VIS
I n > 1 n	- I

 g_n^m, h_n^m Gauss coefficients of the Geomagnetic main field

 G_N^M , H_N^M Gauss coefficients of the crustal field

nspherical harmonic degree of the main fieldmspherical harmonic order of the main field

N degree of spherical harmonic expansion for the crustal field
M order of spherical harmonic expansion for the crustal field

 $\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle MN}$ Kronecker delta

 P_n^m Legendre's associated functions

 $V(r, \theta, \phi)$ magnetic potential at the point of observation (v)

 B_r component of the crustal magnetic field in radial direction (nT) B_{θ} component of the crustal magnetic field in colatitude direction (nT) component of the crustal magnetic field in longitude direction (nT) vertical component of the crustal magnetic field (nT), positive

downward