# Appendix A

## **Mathematics of classical mechanics**

### A.1 Canonical transformations

Classical mechanics can be expressed by Hamilton's integral principle [51, 64]. Consider a N-dimensional system described by the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$ . The Lagrangian is defined by the difference of kinetic energy T and potential energy  $V, \mathcal{L} = T - V$ . Any continuos vector function  $\mathbf{q}(t)$  is called a *path*. The classical trajectories are those special kinds of paths that are extrema of the functional,

$$S[\mathbf{q}(t)] = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t) dt.$$
(A.1)

A path is extremal if the variation  $\delta$  among all paths  $\mathbf{q}(t)$  from  $\mathbf{q}(t_1)$  to  $\mathbf{q}(t_2)$  with boundary conditions  $\delta \mathbf{q}(t_1) = \delta \mathbf{q}(t_2) = 0$  vanishes,

$$\delta S[\mathbf{q}(t)] = 0. \tag{A.2}$$

The Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian are connected by a Legendre transformation,

$$H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t) = \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t), \qquad (A.3)$$

where the momentum  $\mathbf{p} = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \dot{\mathbf{q}}$  is *canonical conjugated* to the position  $\mathbf{q}$ . Note, momenta and positions are *generalized*. For each generalized position  $q_j$ , there is a conjugated momentum  $p_j$ . For instance, a system with rotational symmetry may be conveniently expressed by using cylinder coordinates. Then, the angular momentum L is the generalized momentum canonical conjugated to the angle  $\theta$ , a generalized position.

Hamilton's principle can be recast into the form [51],

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t) \right] dt = 0.$$
 (A.4)

Here, the positions q and momenta p are independent variables and the variations is performed with respect to both. This leads to the well-known Hamilton's equation of motion,

$$\dot{p}_j = -\frac{H}{\partial q_j}, \quad \dot{q}_j = \frac{H}{\partial p_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, N.$$
 (A.5)

Consider an arbitrary transformation from the old variables  $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$  to new variables  $(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q})$ ,

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}), \tag{A.6}$$

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}), \tag{A.7}$$

where the new variables are expressed as functions of the old variables. Configuration space coordinate transformations are only a special kind of such transformations. For instance, in Appendix B transformations are discussed, that mix momentum and position. The transformation is *canonical* if there is a new Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, t)$  and Hamilton's principle is satisfied in the new variables,

$$\delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \cdot \mathbf{P} - \tilde{H}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, t) \right] dt = 0.$$
 (A.8)

The simultaneous satisfaction of Equation (A.4) and (A.8) is only possible, if the integrands differ only by the total differential dF/dt of a function F [51]. This function is called the *generator* of the canonical transformation. Assuming, that F is a function of the old and new positions and the time,  $F = F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}, t)$ , it follows,

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - H(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, t) = \dot{\mathbf{Q}} \cdot \mathbf{P} - \tilde{H}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}, t) + \frac{dF(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q})}{dt}.$$
 (A.9)

Evaluating the total differential,

$$\frac{dF_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q})}{dt} = \sum_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_j} \dot{q}_j + \sum_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial Q_j} \dot{Q}_j + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t}, \qquad (A.10)$$

and collecting terms yields the transformation equations,

$$p_j = \frac{\partial F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}, t)}{\partial q_j}, \qquad (A.11)$$

$$P_j = -\frac{\partial F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}, t)}{\partial Q_j}, \qquad (A.12)$$

$$\tilde{H} = H + \frac{\partial F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}, t)}{\partial t}.$$
 (A.13)

By inverting the N Eqs. (A.11) with respect to the  $Q_j$  and inserting the result in the N Eqs. (A.12) the transformation Eqs. (A.6-A.7) is rediscovered. Moreover,

the new Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}$  is given by Eq. (A.13). Therefore, these  $2 \cdot N+1$  equations are the reason why  $F_1$  is called the generator of the canonical transformation, because the canonical transformation is uniquely characterized by this function. There are more types of generator functions, all dependent on exactly N old and N new variables. They are related to the present F by corresponding Legendre transformations. For instance, the generator  $F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)$  that depends on the old positions and new momenta is given by,

$$F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t) = F_1(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) + \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{Q}, \qquad (A.14)$$

where Eqs. (A.12) must be inverted with respect to the  $Q_j$  and inserted in the right-hand side of Eq. (A.14). The transformation equations in this case read,

$$p_j = \frac{\partial F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)}{\partial q_j},$$
 (A.15)

$$Q_j = \frac{\partial F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)}{\partial P_j}, \qquad (A.16)$$

$$\tilde{H} = H + \frac{\partial F_2(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P}, t)}{\partial t}.$$
(A.17)

The remaining generator functions and transformation equations are given in a similar way. However, in this work only the present generator functions are of certain importance.

The  $F_1$ -type generator function  $S_{cl}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_0; t)$  of the dynamical transformation is given by [51],

$$S_{\rm cl}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_0; t) \equiv S[\mathbf{q}_{\rm cl}(t)], \qquad (A.18)$$

where the functional S is defines in Eq. (A.1) and  $\mathbf{q}_1(t)$  is a classical trajectory that evolves from  $\mathbf{q}_0$  to  $\mathbf{q}$  in time t. One can show that derivative with respect to  $\mathbf{q}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_0$ , and t yields, respectively, the final momentum  $\mathbf{p}$ , the initial momentum (times -1)  $-\mathbf{p}_0$ , and the energy (times -1) -E [51].

There is another important class of canonical transformations that lead to the notion of *integrability*. Consider a time-independent canonical transformation, characterized by a  $F_2$ -type generator function  $S(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{P})$ , where the new momenta  $\mathbf{P}$  are constant. Hamilton's equation of motion with respect to the new set of coordinates ( $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}$ ) reads,

$$\dot{P}_j = -\frac{\partial \dot{H}}{\partial Q_j} = 0,$$
 (A.19)

$$\dot{Q}_j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_j}.$$
 (A.20)

That is, the new Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}(\mathbf{P})$  is a function of the constant momenta alone. If each DOF corresponds to a vibration then the submanifold corresponding

to fixed **P** is an invariant torus. Thus, one can choose the N actions  $I_j$  associated to the N irreducible curves  $C_j$ , i.e., **P** = **I**. The generalized positions become angles, **Q** =  $\varphi$ , and the integration of Eq. (A.20) yields,

$$\varphi_j = \omega_j(\mathbf{I}) t + \varphi_j^{(0)}, \qquad (A.21)$$

where  $\varphi_{i}^{(0)}$  are integration constants and,

$$\omega_j(\mathbf{I}) = \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial I_j},\tag{A.22}$$

are the N fundamental frequencies as a function of the actions **I**. A system for which a canonical transformation like the present one exists are called *integrable*. Equation A.21 is equivalent to the time evolution of the phases of a set of N uncoupled harmonic oscillators, i.e., an integrable system is equivalent to a system of harmonic oscillators.

#### A.2 The method of characteristics

The *method of characteristics* is a well known means to construct a submanifold of phase space on which an action function  $S(\mathbf{q})$  is (piecewise) defined [52, 53]. Consider an initial manifold  $\Lambda^{N-1}$  of dimension N-1 that is a submanifold of the full 2N-dimensional phase space with the property that for each  $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in \Lambda^{N-1}$ there is a function  $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q})$  such that the energy E is fixed,  $H(\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = E$ , and such that,

$$\oint_{p} \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q}) d\mathbf{q} = 0, \tag{A.23}$$

for any closed path p in the projection of  $\Lambda^{N-1}$  onto configuration space. [For instance, in a two-dimensional system, the projection of  $\Lambda^1$  onto configuration space is a line and one may choose  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{q})$  perpendicular to that line with magnitude determined by the energy constraint.] Any  $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in \Lambda^{N-1}$  can be regarded as the initial condition of a classical trajectory. Propagating all points of the initial manifold by a time step t leads to a new manifold  $\Lambda_t^{N-1}$ . Maslov and Fedoriuk [52] showed, that for this new manifold Eq. (A.23) holds, too. Moreover, these authors showed that for the joint N-dimensional manifold for the time interval  $0 \le t \le T$ ,

$$\Lambda^N = \bigcup_{0 \le t \le T} \Lambda_t^{N-1}, \tag{A.24}$$

a similar property holds, where there are certain branches  $\mathbf{p}^{(r)}(\mathbf{q})$  corresponding to the different projections onto confi guration space and for each branch Eq. (A.23) holds. This implies, that there exists a function  $S^{(r)}(\mathbf{q})$  for each branch with  $\mathbf{p}^{(r)} = \nabla S^{(r)}(\mathbf{q})$ . A submanifold of phase space with these properties is called *Lagrange manifold*. Thus, the invariant tori discussed in Section 2.1.1 are special types of Lagrange manifolds.

### A.3 Derivation of the Hydroperoxyl-Lagrangian

The Lagrangian of the hydroperoxyl anion  $HO_2^-$  reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} m_O \left( \dot{\mathbf{r}}_1^2 + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_H \dot{\mathbf{r}}_3^2 - V(r_1, r_2, r_3), \tag{A.25}$$

where  $m_O$  and  $m_H$  is the mass of an oxygen and hydrogen atom, respectively, and  $M = m_H + 2m_O$  is the total mass. Inserting Eqs. (3.26-3.28) yields

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (2m_O) \left( \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2 + \dot{\mathbf{r}}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_H \left( \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2 + \dot{\mathbf{s}}^2 + 2\dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{s}} \right) - V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}).$$
(A.26)

The PES V only depends on the vectors  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{s}$ . Equation (3.29) holds if the center of mass is assumed (without restriction) to be fixed at the origin. This implies

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (2m_O) \left( \frac{m_H^2}{M^2} \dot{\mathbf{s}}^2 + \dot{\mathbf{r}}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_H \left( \frac{m_H^2}{M^2} + 1 - 2 \frac{m_H}{M} \right) \dot{\mathbf{s}}^2 - V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}).$$
(A.27)

And after rearanging terms one arives at Eq. (3.30).