

## Literaturverzeichnis

Andrich, D. 1978. Application of a psychometric model to ordered categories which are scored with successive intergers. Applied Psychological Measurement, 2: 581-594.

Antonowsky, A. 1979. Health, stress, and coping. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.

Arck, P. 2001. Stress and pregnancy loss. Am J Reprod Immunol, 46(2): 117-123.

Baba, V., Jamal, M., & Tourigny, L. 1998. Work and mental health: A decade in Canadian research. Canadian Psychology, 39(1-2): 94-107.

Bandura, A. 1977. Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review, 84: 191-215.

Baum, A. & Grunberg, N. 1997. Measurement of stress hormones. In Cohen, S., Kessler, R. C., & Gordon, L. U. (Eds.), Measuring Stress: 175-193. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.

Becker, J. 2004a. Computergetütztes Adaptives Testen (CAT) von Angst auf der Grundlage der Item Response Theory.

Becker, J. 2004b. Computergetütztes Adaptives Testen (CAT) von Angst auf der Grundlage der Item Response Theory.

Ben-Porath, Y. S., Slutske, W. S., & Butcher, J. N. 1989. A real-data simulation of computerized adaptive administration of the MMPI. Psychological Assessment: A Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 1(1): 18-22.

Biener, K. 1988. Stress. Epidemiologie und Prävention. Bern: Huber.

Biondi, M. & Zannino, L. G. 1997. Psychological stress, neuroimmunomodulation, and susceptibility to infectious diseases in animals and man: A review. Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 66(1): 3-26.

Birnbaum, A. 1968. Some latent trait models and their use in inferring an examinee's ability. In Lord, F. M. & Novick, M. R. (Eds.), Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Bjorner, J. Developing tailored instruments: item banking and computerized adaptive assessment. DIA and Advances in Health Outcomes Measurement. 2004. <http://www.outcomes.cancer.gov/conference/irt>.

- Bjorner, J. B., Kosinski, M., & Ware, J. E. 2002. The feasibility of applying item response theory to measures of migraine impact: A re-analysis of three clinical studies. Quality of Life Research.
- Bock, R. D. & Mislevy, R. J. 1982. Adaptive EAP estimation of ability in a microcomputer environment. Applied Psychological Measurement, 12: 261-280.
- Bock, R. D. & Mislevy, R. J. 1988. Comprehensive educational assessment for the states: The duplex design. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 10: 89-105.
- Borcherding, H., Michallik-Herbein, U., Langosch, W., & Friedling, E. 1984. Psychische Belastungen und Beanspruchungen am Arbeitsplatz. Psychologie Und Praxis, 28: 11-15.
- Brantley, P., Waggoner, C. D., Jones, G. N., & Rappaport, N. B. 1987. A daily stress inventory: Development, reliability, and validity. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 10: 61-74.
- Brown, G. W. & Harris, T. O. 1989. Life events and illness. New York: Guilford.
- Camilli, G. & Shepard, L. 1994. Methods for identifying biased test items. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- Cannon, W. B. 1932. The wisdom of the body. New York: Norton.
- Carstensen, C. H. 2000. Mehrdimensionale Testmodelle mit Anwendungen aus der pädagogisch-psychologischen Diagnostik. Dissertation. Kiel: Universität Kiel.
- Cella, D. & Chang, C.-H. 2000. A discussion of item response theory and its applications in health status assessment. Medical Care, 38(9): II-66-II-72.
- Chang, C.-H. Developing tailored instruments: item banking and computerized adaptive assessment. DIA and Advances in Health Outcomes Measurement. 2004. <http://www.outcomes.cancer.gov/conference/irt>.

- Childs, R. A., Dahlstrom, W. G., Kemp, S. M., & Panter, A. T. 2002. Item response theory in personality assessment: A demonstration using the MMPI-2 depression scale. Psychological Assessment, 7(1): 37-54.
- Cohen, S., Kessler, R. C., & Gordon, L. U. 1997. Measuring Stress. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. 1983. A global measure of perceived stress. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 1983(24): 385-396.
- Cohen, S., Kessler, R. C., & Gordon, G. L. 1995. Strategies for measuring stress in studies of psychiatric and physical disorders. In Cohen, S., Kessler, R. C., & Gordon, L. U. (Eds.), Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists: 3-26. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cohen, S. & Williamson, G. 1991. Stress and infectious disease in humans. Psychological Bulletin, 109(1): 5-24.
- Cook, K. F. Simulation of a Computer Adaptive Administration of a Pool of 15 Items Measuring General Distress. 2004. Bethesda, Conference on Advances in Health Outcome Measurement - CAT: Health Outcomes Data Project.
- Cooke, D. J. & Michie, C. 1997. An itemresponse theory analysis of the Hare Psychopathy Checklist - Revised. Psychological Assessment, 9(1): 3-14.
- DeLongis, A., Coyne, J. C., Dakof, G., Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. 1982. Relationship of daily hassles, uplifts, and major life events to health status. Health Psychology, 1: 119-136.
- DeLongis, A., Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. 1988. The impact of daily stress on health and mood: Psychological and social resources as mediators. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 54: 486-495.
- Dodd, B. D., De Ayala, R. J., & Koch, W. R. 1995. Computerized adaptive testing with polytomous items. Applied Psychological Measurement, 19(1): 5-22.
- Dohrenwend, B. S. & Dohrenwend, B. P. 1981. Socioenvironmental factors, stress, and psychopathology. American Journal of Community Psychology, 9: 128-159.
- Dunckel, H. & Semmer, N. 1987. Stressbezogene Arbeitsanalyse: Ein Instrument zur Abschätzung von Belastungsschwerpunkten in Industriebetrieben. In Sonntag, K. H. (Ed.), Arbeitsanalyse und Technikentwicklung: 163-177. Köln: Wirtschaftsverlag Bachem.
- Embretson 1997. Measurement principles for the new generation of tests: A quiet revolution. In Dillon, R. F. (Ed.), Handbook on testing: 20-38. Westport, C.T.: Greenwood Press.
- Embretson, S. E. 1996. The new rules of measurement. Psychological

Assessment, 8: 341-349.

Embretson, S. E. & Reise, S. P. 2000a. Item Response Theory for Psychologists. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Embretson, S. E. & Reise, S. P. 2000b. Item response theory for psychologists. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Fahrenberg, J. 1994. Ambulantes Assessment. Computerunterstützte Datenerfassung unter Alltagsbedingungen. Diagnostica, 40(3): 195-216.

Ferrando, P. J. 2001. The measurement of neuroticism using MMQ, MPI, EPI and EPQ items: a psychometric analysis based on item response theory. Personality and Individual Differences, 30: 641-656.

Fleiss, J. L. & Cohen, J. 1973. The Equivalence of weighted Kappa and the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient as Measures of Reliability. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 33: 613-619.

Fliege, H., Rose, M., Arck, P., Levenstein, S., & Klapp, B. F. 2001a. Validierung des "Perceived Stress Questionnaire" (PSQ) an einer deutschen Stichprobe. Diagnostica, 47: 142-152.

Fliege, H., Rose, M., Arck, P., Levenstein, S., & Klapp, B. F. 2001b. Validierung des "Perceived Stress Questionnaire" (PSQ) an einer deutschen Stichprobe. Diagnostica, 47(3): 142-152.

Fowler, R. D. 1985. Landmarks in computer-assisted psychological assessment. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 53: 748-759.

Frick, T. & Semmel, M. 1978. Observer Agreement and Reliabilites of Classroom Observational Measures. Review of Educational Research, 48(1): 157-184.

Friedmann, M. & Rosenman, R. H. 1974. Type A behavior and your heart. New York: Knopf.

Gardner, W., Kelleher, K. J., & Pajer, K. A. 2002. Multidimensional adaptive testing for mental health problems in primary care. Medical Care, 40(9): 812-823.

Gierl, M. & Khaliq, S. N. 2001. Identifying sources of differential item and bundle functioning on translated achievement tests: a confirmatory analysis. Journal of Educational Measurement, 38(2): 164-187.

Gitzinger, I. 1990. Akzeptanz der Darbietung eines Test auf dem Personalcomputer von stationären Patient/-innen. Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik Und Medizinische Psychologie, 40: 143-145.

Hambleton, R. K. & Slater, S. C. 1997. Item response theory models and testing practices: Current international status and future directions. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 13(1): 21-28.

- Hambleton, R. K., Swaminathan, H., & Rogers, H. J. 1991. Fundamentals of item response theory. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Hambleton, R. K. & Zaal, J. N. 1990. Computerized adaptive testing: Theory, applications and standards. In Hambleton, R. K. & Zaal, J. N. (Eds.), Advances in educational and psychological testing: 341-366. London: Kluwer Academic.
- Hamburg, D. A., Hamburg, B., & deGoza, S. 1953. Adaptive problems and mechanisms in severely burned patients. Psychiatry, 16: 1-20.
- Hasson, F., Keeney, S., & McKenna, H. 2000. Research guidelines for the delphi survey technique. J.Adv.Nurs., 32(4): 1008-1015.
- Hattie, J. 1984. An empirical study of various indices for determining unidimensionality. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 19: 49-78.
- Hays, R., Morales, L., & Reise, S. 2000. Item response theory and health outcomes measurement in the 21st century. Medical Care, 38 (suppl II): II-28-II-42.
- Hiller, W. & Goebel, G. 1992. A psychometric study of complaints in chronic tinnitus. J Psychosom Res, 36: 337-348.
- Hinkle, L. E. 1974. The concept of 'stress' in the biological and social sciences. International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine, 5: 335-357.
- Hobfoll, S. E. 1988. The ecology of stress. Washington, DC: Hemisphere.
- Hobfoll, S. E. 1989. Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. American Psychologist, 44(3): 513-524.
- Hodapp, V. & Weyer, G. 1980. Weiterentwicklung von Fragebogenskalen zur Erfassung der subjektiven Belastung und Unzufriedenheit von Hausfrauen (SBUS-H). Psychologische Beiträge, 22: 322-334.
- Holland, P. & Wainer, H. 1993. Differential Item Functioning. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Holmes, T. H. & Rahe, R. H. 1967. The Social Readjustment Scale. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 11: 213-218.
- Hornke, L. F. 1999. Benefits from computerized adaptive testing as seen in simulation studies. European Journal of Psychological Assessment, 15(2): 91-98.
- Hornke, L. F., Küppers, A., & Etzel, S. 2000. Konstruktion und Evaluation eines adaptiven Matrizentests. Diagnostica, 46(4): 182-188.
- Hornke, L. F. 1993. Mögliche Einspareffekte beim computergestützten Testen. Diagnostica, 39(2): 109-119.
- Janke, W., Erdmann, G., & Boucsein, W. 1985. Stressverarbeitungsfragebogen (SVF). Göttingen : Hogrefe.

- Jerusalem, M. 1990. Persönliche Ressourcen, Vulnerabilität und Stresserleben. Göttingen : Hogrefe.
- Jerusalem, M. & Schwarzer, R. 1992. Self-efficacy as a resource factor in stress appraisal process. In Schwarzer, R. (Ed.), Self-efficacy: Thought control of action: 195-213. New York: Hemisphere.
- Kallus, K. W. 1995. Erholungs-Belastungs-Fragebogen (EBF). Frankfurt: Swets.
- Kelderman, H. 1997. Log-linear multidimensional model for polytomous scored items. In Linden, W. J. & Hambleton, R. K. (Eds.), Handbook of modern item response theory: 287-304. New York, N.Y.: Süromger.
- Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. & Glaser, R. 1997. Measurement of immune response. In Cohen, S., Kessler, R. C., & Gordon, L. U. (Eds.), Measuring Stress: 213-230. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Kliem, O. 1992. Ist mein leben voller Stress? Ein Spiel zur kritischen Selbstbesinnung. Personal, 12: 544-548.
- Krantz, D. S. & Falconer, J. J. 1997. Measurement of cardiovascular responses. In Cohen, S., Kessler, R. C., & Gordon, L. U. (Eds.), Measuring Stress: 193-212. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press.
- Krohne, H. W. 1990. Stress und Stressbewältigung. In Schwarzer, R. (Ed.), Gesundheitspsychologie: 263-277. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Krohne, H. W., Egloff, B., Kohlmann, C.-W., & Tausch, A. 1996. Untersuchungen mit einer deutschen Version der 'Positive and Negative Affect Schedule' (PANAS). Diagnostica, 42: 139-156.
- Kubinger, K. D. 1993. Testtheoretische Probleme der Computerdiagnostik. Zeitschrift Für Arbeits- Und Organisationspsychologie, 37: 130-137.
- Kubinger, K. D. 1996. Methoden der Psychologischen Diagnostik. In Erdfelder, Mausfeld, Meiser, & Rudinger (Eds.), Handbuch Quantitative Methoden: 567-576. Weinheim: Psychologie Verlags Union.
- Kubinger, K. D. 1999. Forschung in der psychologischen Diagnostik. Psychologische Rundschau, 50(3): 131-139.
- Kubinger, K. D. & Wurst, E. 2000. Adaptives Intelligenz Diagnostikum 2. AID2. [Testkoffer mit Manual sowie Computerprogramm]. Göttingen: Beltz.
- Kubinger, K. D. 1986. Adaptive Intelligenzdiagnostik. Diagnostica, 32(4): 330-344.
- Lasogga, F. 1987. Problemfragebogen für Schule und Unterricht (PSU). Diagnostica, 33(123): 132.
- Laux, L. 1983. Psychologische Stresskonzeptionen. In Thomae, M. (Ed.), Theorien und Formen der Motivation: 453-535. Göttingen: Hogrefe.
- Lazarus, R. S. 1966. Psychological stress and the coping process. New York:

McGraw-Hill.

Lazarus, R. S. 1977. Psychological stress and coping in adaptation and illness. In Lipowski, Z. J., Lipsi, D. R., & Whybrow, P. C. (Eds.), Psychosomatic medicine: 14-26. New York: Oxford University Press.

Lazarus, R. S. 1990. Theory-based stress measurement. Psychological Inquiry, 1: 3-13.

Lazarus, R. S. 1993. From psychological stress to the emotions: A history of a changing outlook. Annual Review of Psychology, 44: 1-21.

Lazarus, R. S. & Folkman, S. 1984. Stress, appraisal, and coping. New York: Springer.

Lazarus, R. S. & Folkman, S. 1989. Hassles and Uplift Scales. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Lazarus, R. S. & Launier, R. 1981. Stressbezogene Transaktionen zwischen Person und Umwelt. In Nitsch, J. R. (Ed.), Stress: 213-258. Bern: Huber.

Lepore, S. J. 1995. Measurement of chronic stress. In Cohen, S., Kessler, R. C., & Gordon, L. U. (Eds.), Measuring stress: A guide for health and social scientists: 102-120. New York: Oxford University Press.

Levenstein, S., Prantera, C., Varvo, V., Scribano, M. L., Berto, E., Luzi, C., & Andreoli, A. 1993a. Development of the Perceived Stress Questionnaire (PSQ): A new tool for psychosomatic research. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 1: 19-32.

Levenstein, S., Prantera, C., Varvo, V., Scribano, M. L., Berto, E., Luzi, C., & Andreoli, A. 1993b. Development of the Perceived Stress Questionnaire: A new tool for psychosomatic research. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 37(1): 19-32.

Lord, F. M. 1952. A theory of test scores. Psychometric Monograph No.7. Iowa City, IA: Psychometric Society.

Lord, F. N. & Novick, M. R. 1968. Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesely.

Lotz, G. 1984. Stress, Bewältigung und soziale Kompetenz bei Schülern. Frankfurt: Lang.

Maier-Diewald, W., Wittchen, H. U., Hecht, H., & Werner-Eilert, K. 1983. Die Münchner Ereignisliste (MEL) - Anwendungsmanual. München: Max-Planck-Institut für Psychiatrie.

Mason, J. W. 1975a. A historical view of the stress field. Part II. Journal of Human Stress, 1(2): 22-36.

Mason, J. W. 1975b. A historical view of the stress field. Part I. Journal of Human Stress, 1(1): 6-12.

- Masters, G. N. 1982. A Rasch model for partial credit scoring. Psychometrika, 47: 149-174.
- Masters, G. N. & Evans, J. 1986. Banking non-dichotomously scored items. Applied Psychological Measurement, 10: 355-367.
- McDonald, R. P. 1989. Future directions for item response theory. International Journal of Educational Research, 13: 205-220.
- McEwen, M. 1993. The Health Motivation Assessment Inventory. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 15(6): 770-779.
- McHorney, C. & Cohen, A. 2000. Equating health status measures with item response theory: Illustration with functional status items. Medical Care, 38 (suppl): II-43-II-59.
- McKinley, R. L. & Way, W. D. 1992. The feasibility of modeling secondary TOEFL ability dimensions using multidimensional IRT models. TOEFL technical report TR-5. Princeton, N.J.: Educational Testing Service.
- Millsap, R. & Everson, H. 1993. Methodology Review: statistical approaches for assessing measurement bias. Applied Psychological Measurement, 17: 297-334.
- Müller, H. Pawlik, K. (Ed.) . 1999. Probabilistische Testmodelle für diskrete und kontinuierliche Ratingskalen. Bern: Huber.
- Muraki, E. 1993. Information functions of the Generalized Partial Credit Model (GPCM). Applied Psychological Measurement, 17(4): 351-363.
- Muraki, E. 1997. A Generalized Partial Credit Model. In Linden, W. J. & Hambleton, R. K. (Eds.), Handbook of Modern Item Response Theory: 153-164. Berlin: Springer.
- Muthén, L. K. & Muthén, B. O. 1998. Mplus. The comprehensive modeling program for applied researchers. User's guide. [Manual and Software]. Los Angeles: Authors.
- Nagelkerke, N. J. D. 1991. Miscellanea. A note on a general definition of the coefficient of determination. Biometrika, 78(3): 691-692.
- Nandakumar, R. 1994. Assessing dimensionality of a set of items - Comparison of different approaches. Journal of Educational Measurement, 31: 17-35.
- Nunnally, J. C. & Bernstein, I. H. 1994. Psychometric Theory. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Orlando, M. Critical Issues to address when applying item response theory (IRT) models. DIA and Advances in Health Outcomes Measurement. 2004. <http://www.outcomes.cancer.gov/conference/irt>.
- O'Keefe, M. K. & Baum, A. 1990. Conceptual and methodological issues in the study of chronic stress. Stress Medicine, 6: 105-115.



Potenza, M. & Dorans, N. 1995. DIF assessment for polytomously scored items: a framework for classification and evaluation. Applied Psychological Measurement, 19: 23-27.

Pratt, L. I. & Barling, J. 1988. Differentiating between daily events, acute and chronic stressors: A framework and its implications. In Hurrell, J. J., Murphy, L. R., Sauter, S. L., & Cooper, C. L. (Eds.), Occupational Stress: Issues and developments in research: 41-53. New York: Taylor & Francis.

Quast, H. H., Jerusalem, M., & Faulhaber, J. 1986. Daily hassels and daily uplifts. In Schwarzer, R. (Ed.), Skalen zur Befindlichkeit und Persönlichkeit (Forschungsbericht 5): 203-217. Berlin: Freie Universität, Institut für Psychologie.

Ramsay, J. O. 1995. TestGraf. A program for the graphical analysis of multiple choice test and questionnaire data. [Manual and Software]. Montreal: Author.

Rasch, G. 1960a. Probabilistic models for some intelligence and attainment tests. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Rasch, G. 1960b. Probabilistic models for some intelligence and attainment tests. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Reckase, M. D. 1997. The past and future of multidimensional item response theory. Applied Psychological Measurement, 21: 25-36.

Reeve, B. Applications of item response theory (IRT) modeling for building and evaluating questionnaires measuring patient-reported outcomes. DIA and Advances in Health Outcomes Measurement. 2004.  
<http://www.outcomes.cancer.gov/conference/irt>.

Reise, S. P. 2000. Application of IRT in personality and attitude assessment. In Embretson, S. & Reise, S. P. (Eds.), Psychometric methods: Item response theory for psychologists: Mahway, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Reise, S. P., Widaman, K. F., & Pugh, R. H. 1993. Confirmatory factor analysis and item response theory: Two approaches for exploring measurement invariance. Psychological Bulletin, 114: 352-356.

Rese, M. 2000. Logistische Regression. In Backhaus, K. (Ed.), Multivariate Analysemethoden: 105-144. Heidelberg: Springer.

Rose, M., Walter, O. B., Fliege, H., Becker, J., Hess, V., & Klapp, B. F. 2002. 7 years of experience using Personal Digital Assistants (PDA) for psychometric diagnostics in 6000 inpatients and polyclinic patients. In Bludau, H.-B. & Koop, A. (Eds.), Mobile Computing in Medicine. Lecture Notes in Informatics (LNI): 35-44. Bonn: Köllen.

Rose, M., Hess, V., Hoerhold, M., Braehler, E., & Klapp, B. F. 1999. Mobile computergestützte psychometrische Diagnostik. Ökonomische Vorteile und Ergebnisse zur Teststabilität. Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik, Medizinische

Psychologie, 49(6): 202-207.

Rost, J. 1996. Lehrbuch Testtheorie und Testkonstruktion. Bern: Huber.

Rost, J. 1999. Was ist aus dem Rasch-Modell geworden ? Psychologische Rundschau, 50 (3): 140-156.

Rost, J. & Carstensen, C. H. 2002. Multidimensional Rasch measurement via item component models and faceted designs. Applied Psychological Measurement, 26(1): 42-56.

Rost, J. & Spada, H. 1982. Probabilistische Testtheorie. In Klauer, K. J. (Ed.), Handbuch der Pädagogischen Diagnostik: Düsseldorf: Schwann.

Rotter, J. B. 1966. Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. Psychological Monographs: General and Applied, 80: 1-28.

Samejima, F. 1969. Estimation of latent ability using a response pattern of graded scores. Psychometrika Monograph, 17.

Samejima, F. 1996. Graded Response Model. In Linden, W. J. & Hambleton, R. K. (Eds.), Handbook of Modern Item Response Theory: 85-100. New York: Springer.

Santor, D. A. & Coyne, J. C. 2001. Examining symptom expression as a function of symptom severity: Item performance on the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression. Psychological Assessment, 13(1): 127-139.

Santor, D. A. & Ramsay, J. O. 1998. Progress in the technology of measurement: Applications of item response models. Psychological Assessment, 10(4): 345-359.

Schmidt-Atzert, L. 1989. Ein Fragebogen zur Erfassung emotional relevanter Alltagsereignisse. Diagnostica, 35: 354-358.

Schulz, P. & Schlotz, W. 1999. Trierer Inventar zur Erfassung von chronischem Streß (TICS): Skalenkonstruktion, teststatistische Überprüfung und Validierung der Skala Arbeitsüberlastung. Diagnostica, 45: 8-19.

Schwarzer, R. 1992. Self-Efficacy in the Adoption and Maintenance of Health Behaviors: Theoretical Approaches and a New Model. In Schwarzer, R. (Ed.), Self-Efficacy: Thought Control of Action: Washington: Hemisphere.

Schwarzer, R. 1993. Stress, Angst und Handlungsregulation. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.

Schwarzer, R. 1996. Psychologie des Gesundheitsverhaltens. Göttingen: Hogrefe.

Segall, D. O. 1996. Multidimensional adaptive testing. Psychometrika, 61(2): 331-354.

Segall, D. O. 2001. General ability measurement: An application of

- multidimensional item response theory. Psychometrika, 66(1): 79-97.
- Selye, H. 1936. A syndrome produced by diverse noxious agents. Nature, 32: 138.
- Selye, H. 1981. Geschichte und Grundzüge des Stresskonzepts. In Nitsch, J. R. (Ed.), Stress: 161-184. Bern: Huber.
- Stout, W. 1987. A nonparametric approach for assessing latent trait unidimensionality. Psychometrika, 52: 589-617.
- Stout, W. 1990. A new item response theory with applications to unidimensionality assessment and ability estimation. Psychometrika, 55(2): 293-325.
- Suen, H. K. 1990. Principles of test theories. Hillsdale: LEA.
- Teresi, J. 2001. Statistical methods for examination of differential item functioning using logistic regression procedures. Journal of Mental Health and Aging, 7: 31-40.
- Teresi, J. Differential item functioning and health assessment. DIA and Drug Information Association. 2004. <http://www.outcomes.cancer.gov/conference/irt>.
- Thissen, D., Steinberg, L., & Wainer, H. 1993. Detection of differential item functioning using the parameters of item response theory. In Holland, P. & Wainer, H. (Eds.), Differential Item Functioning: 67-113. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Traue, H., Hrabal, V., & Kosarz, P. 2000. AlltagsBelastungsFragebogen (ABF). Verhaltenstherapie Und Verhaltensmedizin, 21(1): 15-38.
- Udris, I. 1980. Fragebogen zur Einschätzung von Arbeitsbeanspruchung (FAB). In Barth, H. R., Muster, M., & Ulich, E. (Eds.), Arbeits- und sozialpsychologische Untersuchungen von Arbeitsstrukturen im Bereich der Aggregatfertigung der Volkswagenwerk AG: 157-189. Karlsruhe: Fachinformationszentrum.
- Ulrich, A. 1987. Krebsstation: Belastungen der Helfer. Frankfurt: Lang.
- Van der Linden, W. J. & Glas, C. A. W. 2003. Computer adaptive testing: Theory and practice. Boston: Kluwer Academic.
- Visotsky, H. M., Hamburg, D. A., Goss, M. E., & Lebovitz, B. Z. 1961. Coping behavior under extreme stress. Archives of General Psychiatry, 5: 27-52.
- Vogel, W., Raymond, S., & Lazarus, R. S. 1959. Intrinsic motivation and psychological stress. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 58: 225-233.
- Wainer, H., Dorans, N. J., Flaugher, R., Green, B. F., Mislevy, R. J., Steinberg, L., & Thissen, D. 1990. Computerized adaptive testing: A primer. Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Waller, N. G., Tellegen, A., McDonald, R. P., & Lykken, D. T. 1996. Exploring nonlinear models in personality assessment: Development and validation of a

negative emotionality scale. Journal of Personality, 64: 545-576.

Walter, O. B., Becker, J., Fliege, H., Bjorner, J., Kosinski, M., Walter, M., Klapp, B. F., & Rose, M. 2004. Entwicklung eine Computer Adaptiven Tests zur Erfassung von Angst. Diagnostica, in press.

Walter, O. B., Becker, J., Fliege, H., Bjorner, J., Kosinski, M., Walter, M., Klapp, B. F., & Rose, M. 2005. Entwicklung eine Computer Adaptiven Tests zur Erfassung von Angst. Diagnostica, in press.

Wang, S. 1999. The accuracy of ability estimation methods for computerized adaptive testing using the Generalized Partial Credit Model. University of Pittsburgh.

Ware, J. E., Jr., Bjorner, J. B., & Kosinski, M. 2000. Practical implications of item response theory and computerized adaptive testing: a brief summary of ongoing studies of widely used headache impact scales. Medical Care, 38(9): 1173-1182.

Ware, J. E., Kosinski, M., Bjorner, J., Bayliss, M. S., Batenhorst, A., Dahlöf, C. G. H., Tepper, S., & Dowson, A. 2003. Applications of computerized adaptive testing (CAT) to the assessment of headache impact. Quality of Life Research, 12: 935-952.

Weiss, D. J. 1985. Adaptive testing by computer. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 53: 774-789.

Weiss, D. J. & Davison, M. L. 1981. Test theory and methods. Annual Review of Psychology, 32: 629-658.

Weyer, G. & Hodapp, V. 1975. Entwicklung von Fragebogenskalen zur Erfassung der subjektiven Belastung. Archiv Für Psychologie, 127: 161-188.

Weyer, G., Hodapp, V., & Neuhäuser, S. 1980. Weiterentwicklung von Fragebogenskalen zur Erfassung der subjektiven Belastung und Unzufriedenheit im beruflichen Bereich (SBUS-B). Psychologische Beiträge, 22: 335-355.

Zumbo, B. D. 1999. A handbook on the theory and methods of Differential Item Functioning (DIF): Logistic regression modeling as a unitary framework for binary and Likert-type (ordinal) item scores. [Dissertation]. Ottawa, Directorate of Human Resources Research and Evaluation, Department of National Defense.

## Abbildungsverzeichnis

Abbildung 1: Transaktionale Stresstheorie nach Lazarus (1984) .....	9
Abbildung 2: Kognitive Einschätzung von Stress nach Lazarus und Folkman (1984) ..	10
Abbildung 3: Health Action Process Approach Model nach Schmid (2004). .....	19
Abbildung 4: Zusammenhang zwischen der Ausprägung des zu messenden Merkmals und der Auftretenswahrscheinlichkeit verschiedener Antwortalternativen bei drei exemplarischen Items. Die x-Achse entspricht der Ausprägung des zu beobachtenden Konstruktes in der Population von 0 ‚gar nicht‘ bis 100 ‚vollständig‘, die y-Werte der Wahrscheinlichkeit, dass bei einer bestimmten Merkmalsausprägung (‚latent trait‘) des Probanden eine bestimmte Antwortstufe gewählt wird. ....	24
Abbildung 5: Logik eines CATs nach Wainer et al. (1990).....	30
Abbildung 6: Überblick über die wichtigsten 1-Parametrischen-, 2-Parametrischen- und 3-Parametrischen Modelle IRT-Modelle (nach Becker, 2004).....	41
Abbildung 7: Ablaufschema der Entwicklung des IRT-basierten Stress-CAT .....	45
Abbildung 8: Intendierter Geltungs- und Gültigkeitsbereich des Stress-CAT im Rahmen eines relationalen Verständnisses von Stress. ....	46
Abbildung 9: Kategoriefunktionen eines Items („Ich wurde missverstanden“) mit modellkonformer Itemcharakteristik (oben) und eines Items („Jemand hat meine schon erledigte Arbeit mies gemacht“) mit nicht modellkonformer Itemcharakteristik (unten links), die durch das Zusammenlegen von Antwortkategorien verbessert werden kann (unten rechts). ....	65
Abbildung 10: Kategoriefunktionen eines Items („Ich war durcheinander“) mit modellkonformer Itemcharakteristik. ....	66
Abbildung 11: Kategoriefunktionen eines Items („Gefühl, dass ich bei anderen Menschen wenig Ansehen genieße“) mit nicht modellkonformer Itemcharakteristik (links), die durch das Zusammenlegen von Antwortkategorien verbessert werden kann (rechts). ....	67
Abbildung 12: Abhängigkeit der Itemantworten für die Items ABF18 und ABF36 vom <i>Alter</i> für einen gegebenen IRT-Score/Skalenwert. ....	68
Abbildung 13: Anzahl der dazubietenden Items in Abhängigkeit von der geschätzten Merkmalsausprägung Theta (jeweils für die Dimension A: ‚erlebte Belastung‘ und B: ‚Belastungsreaktion‘) bei einer voreingestellten Messgenauigkeit von $SE \leq 0,32$ . .....	78
Abbildung 14: Totale Testinformation für die Dimension ‚erlebte Belastung‘ (n=38 Items).....	79
Abbildung 15: Totale Testinformation für die Dimension ‚Belastungsreaktion‘ (n=31 Items).....	80
Abbildung 16: Beziehung zwischen dem simulierten Stress-CAT Scores (jeweils für ‚erlebte Belastung‘ und ‚Belastungsreaktion‘) und dem Score bei Berücksichtigung	

---

aller Items (,erlebte Belastung': n=38 Items; ,Belastungsreaktion': n=31 Items). ..	81
Abbildung 17: Beziehung zwischen dem simulierten Stress-CAT-Score (für die Dimension ,erlebte Belastung') und dem Alltagsbelastungsfragebogen von Traue et al. (2000).....	82
Abbildung 18: Beziehung zwischen dem simulierten Stress-CAT-Score (für die Dimension ,Belastungsreaktion') und der Perceived Stress Scale (Levenstein et al., 1993a; deutsche Adaptation: Fliege et al., 2001b).....	83

## Tabellenverzeichnis

Tabelle 1: Überblick über IRT-Anwendungen im Bereich der Persönlichkeits- und.....	27
Tabelle 2: Theoriegeleitete Itemauswahl zu „Stresserleben“ (126 Items; S: ‚erlebte Belastung‘ n=62; R: ‚Belastungsreaktion‘ n=42; Ø: nicht eindeutig zuzuordnen n=22).....	57
Tabelle 3: Ergebnisse der konfirmatorischen Faktorenanalyse für die Dimension ‚erlebte Belastung‘, n=62 (RMSEA=.038) und ‚Belastungsreaktion‘, n=42 (RMSEA=.049).....	60
Tabelle 4: Itemparameter für finale Itembank, Dimension: ‚erlebte Belastung‘ (n=38 Items).....	70
Tabelle 5: Itemparameter für finale Itembank, Dimension: ‚Belastungsreaktion‘ (n=31 Items).....	71
Tabelle 6: Verteilung der Items auf die Dimensionen und Ausschlussgründe .....	73